### University of Massachusetts Amherst ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst

Doctoral Dissertations 1896 - February 2014

1-1-1984

Population dynamics, spatial distribution, dispersal behavior and life history of the predaceous histerid, Carcinops pumilio (Erichson), with observations of other members of the poultry manure arthropod community.

Christopher John Geden University of Massachusetts Amherst

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.umass.edu/dissertations\_1

#### **Recommended Citation**

Geden, Christopher John, "Population dynamics, spatial distribution, dispersal behavior and life history of the predaceous histerid, Carcinops pumilio (Erichson), with observations of other members of the poultry manure arthropod community." (1984). *Doctoral Dissertations 1896 - February 2014*. 5626. https://scholarworks.umass.edu/dissertations\_1/5626

This Open Access Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. It has been accepted for inclusion in Doctoral Dissertations 1896 - February 2014 by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. For more information, please contact scholarworks@library.umass.edu.



POPULATION DYNAMICS, SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION, DISPERSAL BEHAVIOR AND LIFE HISTORY OF THE PREDACEOUS HISTERID, <u>CARCINOPS PUMILIO</u> (ERICHSON), WITH OBSERVATIONS OF OTHER MEMBERS OF THE POULTRY MANURE ARTHROPOD COMMUNITY

- 2

A Dissertation Presented

By

CHRISTOPHER JOHN GEDEN

Submitted to the Graduate School of the University of Massachusetts in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

February 1984

ENTOMOLOGY



POPULATION DYNAMICS, SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION, DISPERSAL BEHAVIOR AND LIFE HISTORY OF THE PREDACEOUS HISTERID, CARCINOPS PUMILIO (ERICHSON), WITH OBSERVATIONS OF OTHER MEMBERS OF THE POULTRY MANURE ARTHROPOD COMMUNITY

A Dissertation Presented

By

CHRISTOPHER JOHN GEDEN

Approved as to style and content by:

Dr. John G. Stoffolano, Jr.,

Chairman of Committee

Joseph S. Elkinton, Member

John T. Finn, Member

on

Dr. Ring T. Carde, Department Head Department of Entomology

### DEDICATION

To my parents, George F. and Doris L. Geden, for all their years of encouragement, love, and emotional support.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my most sincere appreciation to my advisor, Dr. John G. Stoffolano, Jr., for his enthusiastic support and encouragement throughout the course of this study. I especially wish Stoffolano for allowing me the independence to largely to thank Dr. determine the directions which the project would take. Aside from the professional encouragement and support which one expects of a graduate advisor, Dr. Stoffolano gives more - an opportunity to design and implement a research program from the bottom up. This experience has proven to be among the most edifying of my graduate education. I also wish to thank Dr. Stoffolano for first introducing me to the manure arthropod community and the tremendous potential which this system offers as an object of study. Finally, I would like to thank Dr. for the candor and friendliness which he shows towards his Stoff' students, which has made working with him a thoroughly enjoyable and rewarding experience.

I would also like to thank the other members of my committee, Dr. Joseph S. Elkinton and Dr. John T. Finn, for their helpful suggestions and careful reading of ther manuscript.

Gratitude is also extended to Dr. Bob Grover, formerly of the Animal Science Department, for allowing me to use the poultry research facilities at Tilson Farm in the early phases of the project. Also to

V

the staff at Station Service, especially Mrs. Jean Teft and Messrs. "Doc" Deluca and John Rogers, for kindly providing transportation for me and my unsavory cargo from study sites to Fernald Hall.

Special thanks go to Mr. Louis Ruggles of the Masschusetts Cooperative Extension Service for providing the initial impetus for this project, for sharing his invaluable observations of fly predators, for serving as a critical liason between myself and the state's egg producers, and for exposing me to the range of arthropod problems and production methods of poultrymen in our state.

Appreciation is also extended to the Massachusetts Society for Promoting Agriculture for their generous support of several aspects of this study.

I would like to thank the people who have assisted me in some of the many hours of often tedious, and occasionally foul, work which went into this study: these were Mark Syrett, Amy LeBlanc, Donna Blair and Karen Holub. Special thanks are extended to Ms. LeBlanc and Ms. Blair, who belped with the seemingly endless counting during the field studies, and for enduring with good humor the occasionally insufferable working conditions inside the layer houses.

This study would have been impossible without the kind cooperation of Mr. Maitland Hill of Hubbardston, MA, who allowed me to work in his poultry houses, even though he felt that University researchers brought "bad luck". Perhaps he was right after all; the summer after the project began, one of his three layer houses was demolished by a tornado.

vi

I would also like to thank our department heads, initially Dr. James B. Kring, later Dr. Ring T. Carde, for allowing me to use classroom space for processing manure samples. The kind patience and tolerance of those most proximal to the the resulting odor plume, Mr. William Coli, Dr. David Ferro, Dr. Chih-Ming Yin, Mr. Ralph Mankowski and Dr. T. Michael Peters, was greatly appreciated. Similarly, I wish to thank the other denizens of Lab 6, Mrs. Lucy Yin, Ms. Mei-Ann Liu, Mr. Dennis LaPoint, Dr. Coby Schal and Ms. Sandra Allan, for their patience and valuable friendship during this project.

I would like to thank all of the faculty, staff and graduate students of the Department of Entomology for making my degree program the rewarding experience which it has been. The high caliber of instruction, good humor and genuine concern for student welfare exhibited by all of the faculty have made this an enjoyable experience as well.

Naturally, this section would not be complete without extending the warmest of thanks to Sandy Allan for her moral support and companionship throughout my doctoral program.

And last, as he always has been, I would like to thank Mr. Tom Zyzlinsky of Belchertown who, for five years (1977-1982), was a constant source of inspiration.

vii

#### ABSTRACT

Population Dynamics, Spatial Distribution, Dispersal Behavior and Life History of the Predaceous Histerid, <u>Carcinops pumilio</u> (Erichson), with Observations of Other Members of the Poultry Manure Arthropod Community.

February 1984

Christopher J. Geden, B.S., Boston College M.S., University of Massachusetts, Ph.D., University of Massachusetts

Directed by: Dr. John G. Stoffolano, Jr.

Succession of arthropods associated with poultry manure was monitored in 2 poultry houses throughout a manure accumulation cycle. Sphaerocerid flies and cereal mites were the first arthropods to become established, followed by Macrocheles muscadomesticae and Macrocheles Carcinops pumilio. abundance peaked at 10 weeks post-cleanout, then declined, while Carcinops adults increased in number throughout the cycle. <u>Carcinops</u> sex ratios were male-biased early in the cycle, approached 1:1 in the middle weeks, and became male-biased again in later weeks. Significantly smaller-sized beetle

viii

adults appeared following peaks of numbers of immatures. Adults during peaks of predator abundance had significantly less-developed ovaries than those from lower density populations.

Spatial distribution studies showed that <u>Carcinops</u> adults and larvae were concentrated within a narrow band of the manure surface and were more abundant near the crest than the base of manure rows. <u>Carcinops</u> and sphaerocerid adults showed no significant preference for wetter or drier manure; immatures of these species, <u>Macrocheles</u> adults and cereal mites were significantly correlated with higher manure moisture content. Predators preferred older to fresh manure, even when the latter was rich in dipteran prey.

At peak <u>Carcinops</u> densities in the field, beetles were observed to fly. Flight was not correlated with physiological age, sex, body size, mating condition or ovarian state. Flight propensity was reversed in the lab via administration of prey. Flight was induced in the lab by witholding prey.

<u>Carcinops</u> was successfully colonized using <u>Coproica hirtula</u> as a prey source and Ralston-Purina house fly diet as a medium. Adult longevity averaged ca. 90 days; no major difference was observed between male and female survival. Development time from egg to adult was 21.6 days at  $30-31^{\circ}$  C. Females would mate immediately after emergence; males required 5-6 days of prey-feeding before mating. A partial life table was developed for this species under optimal colony conditions.

ix

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATION	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
ABSTRACTV	iii
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
INTRODUCTION	1
Chapter I. LITERATURE REVIEW	4
The House Fly, <u>Musca domestica</u> L. Historical and epidemiological significance Economic status of house fly as a pest Sampling methods for adult flies Cultural and Chemical Fly Management Strategies Cultural control Chemical control Natural Enemies of Fly Immatures Parasites Predators	4 5 7 9 9 10 11 12 14
II. POPULATION DYNAMICS OF ARTHROPODS ASSOCIATED WITH POULTRY MANURE IN MASSACHUSETTS, WITH OBSERVATIONS OF SEX RATIOS, OVARIAN STATE AND BODY SIZE OF THE PREDACEOUS HISTERID, <u>CARCINOPS PUMILIO</u> (ERICHSON)	18
Introduction Materials and Methods Study site and weekly collections Temperature data Sex ratios and ovarian state of <u>C</u> . <u>pumilio</u> Morphometric analysis of <u>C</u> . <u>pumilio</u> Results Weekly survey of manure arthropod populations Other insects Temperature data Sex ratios and ovarian state of <u>C</u> . <u>pumilio</u> Morphometric analysis of <u>C</u> . <u>pumilio</u> Discussion Weekly survey of manure arthropod populations	18 20 26 27 28 28 28 53 53 55 58 61 61

	69
Temperature dala	71
Sex ratios of C. pumilio	11
och include of <u>C</u> i	- 72
Ovarian state of C. pulling	71
Morphometric analysis of C. pumilio	14
Morphonetra	

III.	INFLUENCE OF SPATIAL POSITION, LOCAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS AND HABITAT MATURITY ON DISTRIBUTION PATTERNS OF ARTHROPODS ASSOCIATED WITH POULTRY MANURE	76
	Introduction	76 78 78 78
	Surface distribution and local environmental conditions Dropping board samples Influence of habitat maturity on distribution Results Cross-sectional profile samples	82 83 83 85 85
	Surface distribution and local environmental cond <sup>i+</sup> ions Dropping board samples Influence of habitat maturity on distribution Discussion	85 99 102 104
	Cross-sectional profiles, surface distribution and local environmental conditions Dropping board samples Influence of habitat maturity on distribution	104 109 110
IV.	PREY-MEDIATED DISPERSAL BEHAVIOR OF THE PREDACEOUS HISTERID, <u>CARCINOPS</u> <u>PUMILIO</u> (ERICHSON)	112
	Introduction	112 113 113
	and foraging C. pumilio Flight initiation by field-collected dispersers and foragers Morphometric analysis of dispersers and foragers	114 115 116
	Sex ratios, mating condition, parity and ovarian state of dispersers and foragers Effects of feeding treatments on dispersing <u>C. pumilio</u> Induction of dispersal in prey-deprived beetles	117 118 119
	Results Phototactic response and flight initiation of dispersing and foraging C. pumilio	119

Sex ratios, mating condition, parity and ovarian state of dispersers and foragers	122 124 124 124 127 127 131 131 132 133
Conclusions	137
SUCCESSFUL COLONIZATION OF THE PREDACEOUS HISTERID, CARCINOPS PUMILIO (ERICHSON), WITH OBSERVATIONS OF DEVELOPMENT TIME, OVARIAN MATURATION, MATING READINESS, LONGEVITY, MORTALITY AND FECUNDITY	138
Introduction	138
Materials and Methods	140
hirtula (Bondani)	140
Tochnique for mass-rearing C. hirtula	142
Coneral considerations	143
Adult extraction	143
Seeding new rearing jars with adults	144
Pupation and adult emergence	145
Colonization of Carcinops pumilio	145
Collection of beetles	145
Rearing method	147
Obtaining beetles of known ser, mating condition	
and age	149
Life history of C. pumilio	150
Adult longevity	150
Ovarian development of prey-fed beetles	150
Development of mating readiness by prey-fed beetles Effect of prey-deprivation on survival	151
and reproduction	152
Development time of immature stages	153
Mortality rates of immature stages	153
Apparent fecundity of beetles under various levels	
of crowding	154
Results	155
Adult longevity	155
Ovarian development of prey-fed beetles	158
Development of mating readiness by prey-fed beetles	170
Effect of prey deprivation on survival, mating and	
ovarian development	172
Development time of immature stages	176

V.

Mortality rates of immature stages	178
of crowding	181
Discussion	184
Colonization of <u>Carcinops</u> - general considerations	189 193
Ovarian development and mating readiness of beetles	195 196
Mortality of immatures	198
	201

# LIST OF TABLES

1.	Outside air temperature and mean temperature inside 2 poultry houses 1 m above, 1 cm above and 6 cm below the manure surface at six locations in each house	54
2.	Length (mm) of most-developed and second-most- developed oocytes of female <u>C</u> . <u>pumilio</u> collected from House I during three time intervals corresponding to the first, middle and last five weeks of a manure accumulation cycle	59
3.	Size (mm) of five morphometric characters of female <u>C. pumilio</u> collected from House I during three time intervals corresponding to the first, middle and last five weeks of a manure accumulation cycle	60
4.	Size (mm) of 13 morphometric characters of 100 male and 100 female <u>C. pumilio</u> collected from House I	62
5.	Total numbers of arthropods collected from 5 half-liter samples of manure at each of 14 positions along cross- sectional profiles of 12-week-old poultry manure	86
6.	Mean numbers of arthropods collected from 10 half-liter samples of manure at each of 7 positions along the surface of 12-week-old poultry manure	87
7.	Moisture content of manure at 7 surface positions corresponding to sample locations presented in Table 6	89
8.	Correlation coefficients and significance levels of correlations between arthropod predators and prey, and all arthropod numbers with manure moisture content	100
9.	Mean numbers of arthropods collected from 10 one-liter samples of manure which had accumulated on dropping boards for 24 h prior to sampling	101
10.	Mean numbers of arthropods collected from half-liter samples of manure under conditions simulating alternate row removal for three weeks following removal of the central row	103
11.	Mean numbers of arthropods collected from 10 half- liter samples of manure from each of three groups of manure "islands" of different accumumulation times	105

`

12.	Comparisons of phototactic response and flight initiation of dispersing and foraging <u>C. pumilio</u> collected from poultry houses in 1980 and 1982, respectively
13.	Comparisons of sex ratios, mating condition, parity and ovarian state of dispersing and foraging <u>C</u> . <u>pumilio</u> collected from poultry houses in 1980–1982 123
14.	Comparisons of morphometric characters of dispersing and foraging <u>C</u> . <u>pumilio</u> females collected from poultry houses on May 19, 1981 125
15.	Phototactic response and flight initiation of field-collected dispersing <u>C. pumilio</u> before and after being maintained for 24 h on four different feeding treatments
16.	Daily mortality rates of female and male <u>C</u> . <u>pumilio</u> adults throughout life under colony conditions (30-31 <sup>o</sup> C) where prey was never limiting
17.	Time to first mating by <u>C. pumilio</u> males and females which were paired, at emergence with either older beetles (10 days old) or other newly emerged individuals
18.	Survival of prey-deprived <u>Carcinops</u> adults with a prey-rich prior feeding history and adults which never fed on prey
19.	Estimated and observed development times of immature stages of <u>C. pumilio</u> under colony conditions (30-31°C) where prey was never limiting
20.	A partial life table for <u>C. pumilio</u> under colony conditions (30-31 <sup>o</sup> C) where prey was never limiting

# LIST OF FIGURES

1.	Schematic illustration of manure accumulation in a typical Massachusetts poultry house	22
2.	Schematic illustration of study site	25
3.	Mean number of <u>C. pumilio</u> adults collected weekly from six 1.5-liter core samples of poultry manure throughout a complete manure accumulation cycle in House I	30
4.	Mean number of <u>C</u> . <u>pumilio</u> adults collected weekly from six 1.5-liter core sample <sup>-</sup> of poultry manure from House II, where manure had accumulated for six weeks prior to sampling	33
5.	Mean number of <u>C. pumilio</u> larvae collected weekly from six 1.5-liter core sample <sup>-</sup> of poultry manure throughout a complete manure accumulation cycle in House I	35
6.	Mean number of <u>C</u> . <u>pumilio</u> larvae collected weekly from six 1.5-liter core sample <sup>-</sup> of poultry manure from House II, where manure had accumulated for six weeks prior to sampling	38
7.	Mean number of <u>M</u> . <u>muscadomesticae</u> adults collected weekly from six 1.5-liter core samples of poultry manure throughout a complete manure accumulation cycle in House I	40
8.	Mean number of M. <u>muscadomesticae</u> adults collected weekly from six 1.5-liter core samples of poultry manure from House II, where manure had accumulated for six weeks prior to sampling	42
9.	Mean number of <u>C. hirtula</u> adults collected weekly from six 1.5-liter core samples of poultry manure throughout a complete manure accumulation cycle in House I	45
10.	Mean number of <u>C. hirtula</u> larvae collected weekly from six 1.5-liter core sample <sup>-</sup> of poultry manure throughout a complete manure accumulation cycle in House I	47

11. Mean number of non-predaceous mites of all stages

	collected weekly from six 1.5-liter core samples of poultry manure throughout a complete manure accumulation cycle in House I	50
12.	Mean number of non-predaceous mites of all stages collected weekly from six 1.5-liter core ~amples of poultry manure from House II, where manure had accumulated for six weeks prior to sampling	52
13.	Seasonal changes in proportions of male and female <u>C. pumilio</u> collected throughout a complete manure accumulation cycle in House I	57
14.	Schematic illustration of location of sample positions from a cross-sectional manure profile study	80
15.	Distribution of <u>C. pumilio</u> adults and immatures in poultry manure with respect to manure moisture content	92
16.	Distribution of <u>C. hirtula</u> adults and immatures in poultry manure with respect to manure moisture content	94
17.	Distribution of <u>M. muscadomesticae</u> adults in poultry manure with respect to manure moisture content	96
18.	Distribution of Acarina other than <u>M</u> . <u>muscadomesticae</u> in poultry manure with respect to manure moisture content	98
19.	Flight initiation response of <u>C. pumilio</u> which were placed on water-only regimes after being maintained for four weeks on a diet rich in dipteran prey	129
20.	Survivorship of a hypothetical cohort of 1000 male and 1000 female <u>Carcinops</u> <u>pumilio</u> adults	157
21.	Ovary of a female <u>C. pumilio</u> on the first day of adult life	163
22.	Ovarv of a female <u>C</u> . <u>pumilio</u> on day 3 post-emergence	163
23.	Ovary of a female <u>C</u> . <u>pumilio</u> on day 4 post-emergence	165
24.	Second ovary of day-4 female shown in Fig. 23	165
25.	Ovary of a female <u>C</u> . <u>pumilio</u> on day 5 post-emergence	167
26.	Ovary of a female <u>C. pumilio</u> on day 6 post-emergence	167
27	Ovary of a female C. pumilio on day 7 post-emergence	169

28.	Ovaries of a 32-day-old female <u>C</u> . <u>pumilio</u> which was deprived of a suitable oviposition site	169
29.	Reproductive system of recently mated, newly emerged female <u>C. pumilio</u> , indicating the spermatheca, spermathecal gland, spermathecal duct and sperm- atophore	174
30.	Fecundity of female <u>C. pumilio</u> under varying levels	183

#### INTRODUCTION

generated by agriculture in revenue total of terms In egg production ranks third, representing 12% of total Massachusetts, This contribution to the state's agricultural economy cash receipts. eclipsed only by that of the dairy and greeenhouse/nursery is A major problem faced by all egg producers is regulation industries. the common house fly, Musca domestica L., which, when unchecked, of can build up to enormous population levels in the large manure modern egg production typically associated with accumulations Maintaining populations of this pest below levels practices. acceptable to public health officials and the local citizenry has historically been problematic for virtually all individuals involved with animal agriculture.

In recent years, fly control on poultry farms has become increasingly difficult for three principal reasons. First, in order to increase farm revenue, many egg producers are increasing the number of birds per unit of housing, resulting in greater accumulations of manure, where larvae of this pest breed. Second, changing demographic patterns, in particular, a shift of people from urban to suburban and rural settings, have placed previously isolated producers in close proximity to human dwellings and population centers. These changes, along with increasing public intolerance of filth flies, have resulted in the need for producers to maintain more vigilance with respect to

fly control than has historically been practiced. Third, traditional chemical methods of fly control have become less satisfactory due to the high insecticide resistance shown by house flies, increasing cost of application, and public demand for lessened pesticide residues in food products and the environment.

Caught in the squeeze between public fly control demands and the high cost, restriction and ineffectiveness of chemical control measures, many New England producers now face a crisis situation. Dozens of once-profitable poultry farms in Southern New England have been forced into closure in recent years by these conflicting, and at times, irreconcilable pressures.

Clearly, alternative control methods for house flies are sorely needed. Most research to date on biological control of filth flies has centered on manipulation and mass-releases of parasitic wasps, especially members of the family Pteromalidae. The results of over 30 years of work with these parasites have largely been discouraging; wasps have generally been found to be cost-ineffective and have failed to suppress fly populations below acceptable nuisance thresholds. These drawbacks are further compounded by the shortcomings of many private insectaries with respect to parasite quality control.

As a consequence of these factors, recent years have witnessed renewed interest in predators of filth fly immatures in accumulating animal manure. In Massachusetts, the two most important predatory species are the mite, <u>Macrocheles muscadomesticae</u> (Scolopi), and the histerid, Carcinops pumilio (Erichson).

muscadomesticae is a well documented, highly effective Μ. fly eggs and newly hatched larvae, has been and predator of the other hand, although Carcinops, on studied. extensively and clearly the most important abundant most frequently the coleopteran predator of fly immatures, has received comparatively little attention. At the start of this project, very little was known of the population dynamics, prey range, ecology, behavior and life this beneficial insect. Sound pest management practices history of dictate a thorough understanding of the biology and dynamics of natural enemy, as well as pest, species. Without such knowledge, surveillance have neither heuristic nor predictive sampling and It was this need which formed the basis of the present significance. dissertation.

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

### The House Fly, Musca domestica L.

and epidemiological significance. Since the plague of Historical visited upon the Egyptians in Biblical times (Exodus 8:24), flies was flies and, in particular, the common house fly (Musca domestica filth have been viewed as being among the primary arthropodan pests of L.), Although medieval and early modern physicians speculated on the man. flies in the transmission of disease, Raimbert (1869) role of house to clearly demonstrate the potential of these pests in first the was transmission of pathogens (anthrax). Nicholas (1873) mechanical the pointed out that the habit of flies to alternately alight on human food and refuse was cause for concern with respect to public health, and it is felt that this report provided the impetus for much of the subsequent work by others on the behavior and bionomics of filth flies In the years following 1896, L. O. Howard, who may be (West 1951). the study of flies, investigated numerous viewed father of as the aspects of the biology and epidemiological importance of the house work culminated in the first authoritative text on this fly. This 1911 (Howard 1911), pest in and was shortly followed bv the publication of similar volumes by Hewitt (1914) and Graham-Smith Because of the importance of filth flies in the mechanical (1914).

transmission of the agents of disease, Howard (1909) proposed changing the common name of  $\underline{M}$ . <u>domestica</u> to "the typhoid fly", however, the term "house fly" prevailed due to extensive prior usage and convention.

In the years since the publication of the above texts, there has been an "explosion" in the volume of literature dealing with <u>Musca</u> and other non-biting flies in relation to disease. Major diseases in which flies have been thought to play an epidemiological role include dysentery, infantile diarrhea, typhoid fever, food poisoning, cholera, helminthoses, poliomyelitis, hepatitus, salmonellosis and yaws. For further information on the epidemiological significance of house fly, the reader should consult Greenburg (1973), Keiding (1976), Lindsay (1956) or West (1951).

Economic status of house fly as a pest. In most of the developed world, where improved sanitation and health care have essentially eliminated fly-born disease, the status of house fly and other filth largely been redirected from epidemiological to economic flies has considerations. Fly populations readily develop in and around animal agriculture facilities due to the large accumulations of manure typically associated with modern intensive production practices. A major obstacle to the development of pest manaement approaches to filth fly control has been, and remains, the ambiguous and enigmatic economic status of these pests. Unlike plant pests, where meaningful correlations can be made between pest densities and crop losses

(Metcalf and Luckmann 1975), there is virtually no evidence which indicates that house fly causes any direct economic damage to livestock, poultry or swine production (Anonymous 1979, Campbell 1981).

As a consequence, house fly is regarded as a "nuisance" pest, whose economic status depends on a variety of environmental, cultural and demographic factors, including the distance between breeding sites nearest neighbor, population densities of both flies and humans, and the tolerance of local communities towards flies. Completely and accept fly populations of sizes producers thus isolated can approaching infinity, while those abutting human population centers may be required to maintain near-zero levels. As a result of the great variation in these factors, the prospects for developing fly "nuisance thresholds" which have any meaning over large areas are discouraging.

As pointed out at a meeting sponsored by U.S.D.A. on livesock pest management (Anonymous 1979), the fact remains that house fly is a pest, regardless of the reasons, and large amounts of precious farm capital are expended on its control. Hard data on losses to <u>M</u>. <u>domestica</u> are extremely difficult to generate and, with the exception of a few attempts by workers in the early part of the century (L. O. Howard 1909, C. W. Howard 1917), nearly all of the available information on this subject is based on U.S.D.A estimates. In 1976, U.S.D.A. (Anonymous 1976) estimated total losses to house fly at \$115,000,000 per year. (This figure was, in turn, largely derived by

extrapolation from California estimates of \$20,000,000/year (Robinson 1975).) According to U.S.D.A., losses can be further broken down by commodity as follows: beef = \$30 million, dairy = \$30 million, swine = \$25 million, poultry = \$25 million, and horses = \$5 million (Anonymous 1976). Most of these losses are due to control costs in the form of pesticide applications. It has been estimated that 10.8 million pounds of insecticide are applied annually to control pests of livestock and poultry, at a cost of \$60 million for the chemicals alone, independent of the associated equipment, energy and labor expenses (Anonymous 1979).

Sampling methods for adult house flies. Another factor which further clouds the already murky picture of house fly economics is the lack of a universally accepted sampling method with which thresholds can be Light traps emitting large amounts of ultraviolet established. the range of 330 to 370 nanometers have been found to be radiation in effective in attracting flies (Tarry et al. 1971). Trap efficiency be greatly augmented by the addition of heated baits (Pickens et can Although light traps can collect over 400 flies per day al. 1975). (Morgan et al. 1970), their use for survey purposes is limited by the high variation in capture rates with respect to trap height and position (Driggers 1971, Hienton 1974, Pickens et al. 1972, 1975).

Baited traps for house fly control and surveillance were first examined by Morrill (1914), who found that ripened bananas were highly attractive to flies. Since that time, most research on baits has

concentrated on combinations of sugar and attractants such as ammonium carbonate or yeast (Mulla et al. 1977, Pickens et al. 1973, Wicht and Rodriguez 1970) or the sex pheromone "Muscalure" (Carlson and Beroza 1973). As with light traps, however, the utility of bait stations is limited by high capture-rate variation associated with trap position (Willson and Mulla 1973, 1975). A further drawback to baited traps is that they must be changed at least every 1-2 days or else the accumulating fly cadavers become attractive to blow flies (Patterson 1981).

The "Scudder grid" (Scudder 1947) sampling method has been in use for many years, and has the advantage of providing an immediate estimate of fly numbers by making visual counts of alighting flies per unit of time. Despite the simplicity and immediacy provided by this method, obvious drawbacks of the grid include the need for care in maintaining consistency between sampling periods with respect to grid location, time of day and counting time. There is also considerable variation among different individuals using the grid under similar conditions (Patteron 1981).

A number of other methods have been developed which exploit the tendency of flies to rest on the edges of hanging objects (West 1951). These include fly paper and strips, rigid sticky strips (Patterson et al. 1980) and modifications of the "Williams trap", which was originally designed for stable flies (Williams 1973). Another common method for estimating fly densities which requires neither immediate counting nor sticky materials is the use of "spot cards", which are

hung near fly resting places. The number of fly specks deposited over time can thus be used as indicator of relative changes in the abundance of flies (Axtell 1970).

population estimation methods makes of variety The wide meaningful comparison of annoyance thresholds difficult to make. In Georgia, control measures are recommended when 3 X 5 inch index cards contain greater than 25 specks after 24 hours (Nolan 1981), while in North Corolina the threshold is expressed as 350 flies per baited trap In Nebraska, the action threshold is (Rutz 1980). week per "arbitrarily" set at 100 flies per sticky trap per two-week interval (Campbell 1981).

Nolan (1981) has taken initial steps to attempt to compare and correlate fly number estimates obtained by some of these methods. Based on work done in Georgia poultry houses, he found that 7.54 adult flies per square foot is the equivalent of 14.8 fly specks per 3 X 5 index card per day and 34.9 fly landings per Scudder grid (Nolan 1981).

### Cultural and Chemical Fly Management Strategies

<u>Cultural control</u>. House fly larvae develop optimally in manure with a 60-75% moisture range (Miller et al. 1974). At levels above above 80%, manure becomes anaerobic and larvae will not penetrate below the surface layer. This fairly narrow tolerance interval has given rise to the development of manure management practices which drive moisture levels towards one extreme or the other, leading to the expression "wet it or dry it" (Loomis 1981). Under "wet" systems, manure is removed, liquefied and held in lagoons, tank trucks or underground storage pits (Bell et al. 1965, Fairbank 1963, Ostrander 1966). In "dry" systems, manure drying is promoted by proper ventillation, low bird densities and water management (Card and Nesheim 1975, Winter and Funk 1941). In recent years, additional manure aeration by mechanical stirring, or "rotovation", has been advocated by some workers in Florida (Hinton 1977, Hogsette 1979).

Both the frequency and timing of manure removal can have a major impact on potential fly populations. Removal on a very frequent basis will break the breeding cycle of the fly (Wilson and Card 1956), although this is impractical except where completely automated systems are in operation. Removal on a bi-weekly or monthly basis creates conditions which are optimal for fly outbreaks (Peck and Anderson 1970), while very long accumulation times (>3 months) encourage manure drying and favor the establishment of natural enemy populations (Bills 1973, Legner 1971, Peck and Anderson 1969).

<u>Chemical control</u>. Where manure management fails to prevent the development of fly populations, insecticides have been and remain the primary method of fly control. In poultry houses, pesticides are generally applied as space sprays (mists and fogs) or as residuals on walls and ceilings. Poison baits are also used on occasion, as are larvicides which are applied to the manure surface, although the

latter adversely affect natural enemies in the manure as well. In addition, there has been an increased amount of work in recent years on "feed-through" insect growth regulators and chitin synthesis inhibitors (Ables et al. 1975, Georghiou et al. 1978, Morgan et al. 1975, Weaver and Begley 1982). A thorough treatment of chemical control of house fly is beyond the the scope of this review. For general information on insecticidal control of house fly, the reader should consult Keiding (1974, 1976) and Pal and Wharton (1974), while discussions of insecticide resistance and resistance management may be found in Brown and Pal (1971), Georghiou (1972), Keiding (1976), Rawlins et al. (1982), Roush and Plapp (1982) and Vinson and Plapp (1974).

### Natural Enemies of Fly Immatures

Because of the cosmopolitan distribution and long-standing public health/economic importance of the house fly, there has been a considerable amount of research conducted on natural enemies of this pest. In 1964, Jenkins reported that there were 135 known pathogens and parasites of synanthropic flies, and 83 predator species (Jenkins 1964). Since that time, the list has grown much longer as efforts have continued to locate, assess and import exotic predators and parasites of filth flies (Hoyer 1981, Legner et al. 1974, 1983). Despite the large number of parasitic, predatory and scavenger species which have been examined, a relatively small number have been found to

•

possess real potential for utilization in fly IPM programs. The following review is restricted to the most important and promising natural enemies of fly immatures.

<u>Parasites</u>. There is a large volume of literature dealing with insect parasites of house fly (Ables and Shephard 1974a,b, 1976, Beard 1964, Legner 1967a, Legner and Dietrick 1972, 1974, Legner and Gerling 1967, Legner and Greathead 1969, Legner and Olton 1970, Legner et al. 1965, 67, 76, Morgan 1981, Morgan and Patterson, 1975, 1977, Morgan et al. 1975a,b, 1976a,b, 1978, Propp and Morgan 1983). Nearly all of this work has focused on parasitic wasps of the family Pteromalidae, although some research has been conducted on staphylinid parasites of the genus <u>Aleochara</u> as well (Lesne and Mercier 1922, Moore and Legner 1971, 1973, White and Legner 1966).

pupal parasite <u>Nasonia</u> vitripennis (Walker) received a great The attention in the years between 1955 and 1970, and was used as deal of a model system for studying population dynamics, host discrimination and other aspects of parasite-host interactions under laboratory (Edwards, 1961, Madden and Pimentel 1966, conditions Nagel and Pimentel 1963, Varley and Edwards 1957, Wylie 1958, 1965, 1966). As pointed out by Legner, however, this species has little potential was for fly suppression in the field due to its inability to locate fly pupae which are not directly exposed on the manure surface (Legner Despite its poor biocontrol potential, many commercial 1967a). insectaries continue to market these parasites for fly control, either deliberately, because of the ease with which they can be mass-reared, or inadvertently, since they frequently contaminate colonies of other beneficial species (Legner 1981, Stage and Patterson 1981).

Pteromalid parasites which hold the greatest potential for fly suppression belong to the genera <u>Spalangia</u> and <u>Muscidifurax</u> (Morgan 1981), although under certain environmental conditions <u>Spheigigaster</u> and <u>Pachycrepoideus</u> species appear to be effective as well (Legner 1977, Legner et al. 1974, Pickens 1981). With house fly, as with other species, the question of whether single or multiple species introductions will provide maximal pest suppression remains problemmatic (Axtell 1981), however, the most spectacular successes have been acheived via sustained releases of single species, particularly <u>Spalangia endius</u> Walker (Morgan and Patterson 1977, Morgan et al. 1975a, Morgan 1981).

It has been pointed out that despite the apparent effectiveness endius under mass-release conditions, it appears to be of S. competitively inferior to other species such as Muscidifurax raptor (Legner 1977), which raises doubts about the persistance of these parasites in the field following augmentative releases. lab In colonies, M. raptor readily outcompetes and displaces S. endius due its shorter development time (Legner 1981). Spalangia is capable of detecting and avoiding Muscidifurax-parasitized fly pupae, while M. raptor will readily oviposit in Spalangia-parasitized pupae. In multiparasitized pupae, <u>Muscidifurax</u> is generally the survivor (Propp and Morgan 1983). In the field, however, there is evidence that

parasites tend to search different areas within the habitat, with <u>Muscidifurax</u> concentrating on the manure surface and <u>Spalangia</u> searching in deeper zones (Legner 1977). Such niche-partitioning under field conditions may therefore neutralize the apparent competitive advantage which <u>M. raptor</u> has over <u>S. endius</u>.

#### Predators of fly immatures.

<u>Hymenoptera</u>. In more tropical regions of the world, ants have been found to exert considerable predation pressure on house fly larvae. Simmonds (1940) noted that <u>Pheidole megacephala</u> appeared to bring about a noticable decrease in fly numbers following its accidental introduction into Fiji in 1910, and similar observations were made on this species in Hawaii (Phillips 1934). A closely related species of the <u>Pheidologelon affinis</u> group was observed to effect a degree of fly suppression due to its high rate of predation on fy eggs in Puerto Rico (Pimentel 1957) and the Philippines (Pimentel and Uhler 1971).

<u>Acarina</u>. Although there are many species of predaceous mites in accumulating animal wastes (Axtell 1963b), <u>Macrocheles muscadomesticae</u> (Scolopi) appears to be the most important and abundant mite predator in poultry manure (Peck 1968, Axtell 1981). The predatory nature of <u>M. muscadomesticae</u> was first noted by Pereira and deCastro (1945), who observed the mites feeding on house fly eggs and, to a lesser extent, on first-instar larvae. Since that time, numerous authors have attempted to assess the predation potential of <u>Macrocheles</u> under a variety of experimental and field conditions (Axtell 1961, 1963a, Filiponni 1955, Kinn 1966, Peck 1968,1969, Singh et al. 1966, Willis and Axtell 1968).

Under laboratory conditions of 80°F, 15h L: 9h D photoperiod and 55-60% RH, Wade and Rodriguez (1961) found that development from egg to adult was completed in only 54.5 hours for males and 56.4 hours for females when mites were provided with abundant frozen house fly eggs. One advantage that <u>Macrocheles</u> has over some other predators is that it readily feeds on naturally-occurring nematodes in the manure, and thus persists during the winter months and other periods when dipteran prey availability is low (Rodriguez et al 1962). Singh and Rodriguez later developed a mass-rearing method for M. muscadomesticae (1966)large-scale production of a common manure-inhabiting based on nematode, Rhabditella leptura Cobb. Wallwork and Rodriguez (1963) noted that ammonia, which is an oviposition stimulant for the house fly (Detier 1947), also serves as a releasing cue for biting and puncturing behavior by the mites. This response could be elicited in mites even after feeding to repletion on fly eggs (Wallwork and Rodriguez 1963).

A number of fly species have been found to prey on Diptera. house fly immatures, with most work having been done on members of the genus 0. leucostoma is a cosmopolitan species which has been Ophyra. in privies, carrion, swallows' found nests, rabbit droppings nad poultry manure (Peck 1968), while O. capensis and O. aenescens appear poultry houses in Britain and Florida, to be restricted to

respectively (Hogsette 1979). Seguy (1923) first noted that <u>Ophyra</u> immatures were predaceous, and this observation was later confirmed by morphological (Keilin and Tate 1930) and experimental (Peck 1968, 1969, Hogsette 1979) studies.

Another fly which has received considerable attention in recent years is the black soldier fly, <u>Hermetia illuscens</u> L. Although this species does not appear to be predaceous, it sometimes eliminates house fly larvae from manure by effecting physico-chemical changes in the medium which drive moisture levels above house fly's upper threshold (Hogsette 1979). Since most manure management strategies strive for drier manure, this species is probably of limited value for fly IPM programs.

Legner and Olton (1970) and Pfeiffer (1978) have Coleoptera. exhaustive lists of coleopteran predator and scavenger provided species associated with accumulating manure, while Peck and Anderson (1969)and Legner et al. (1975) examined seasonal changes in population densities of key species in poultry manure. The most important species appear to be staphylinids of the genus Philonthus and the histerids Gnathoncus nanus (Scriba) and Carcinops pumilio The lesser mealworm Alphitobius diaperinus (Panzer) also (Erichson). feeds on fly immatures to some extent and aids in manure aeration, however, the habit of larvae to bore into styrofoam insulation to construct pupal cells renders them unsuitable biocontrol agents (Gall 1980, Pfeiffer 1978).

Of all coleopteran predators, C. pumilio appears to be the most
effective in regulating populations of house flies (Bills 1973, Legner 1971, Pec 1968, 1969, Peck and Anderson 1969). In the laboratory, Peck (1968, 1969) found this species to be as effective as Macrocheles in locating and consuming fly immatures when predator body size and Carcinops appears to be longevity were taken into account. an opportunistic predator and scavenger which feeds readily on flies and a variety of other food items including mites (Smith 1975) stored product pests (Hinton 1945) birds eggs and dead arthropods (Geden, personal observations). While little is known of its evolutionary history, C. pumilio appears to have radiated from the nests of wild avian species (Hicks 1959) into modern poultry production facilities, with their associated "monocultures" of bird manure. At the start of the present project, little was known of the population dynamics, niche characteristics, behavior or life history of Carcinops. The objective of this dissertation was therefore to gather much-needed biological information on this potential biocontrol agent.

## CHAPTER II

POPULATION DYNAMICS OF ARTHROPODS ASSOCIATED WITH POULTRY MANURE IN MASSACHUSETTS, WITH OBSERVATIONS ON SEX RATIOS, OVARIAN STATE AND BODY SIZE OF THE PREDACEOUS HISTERID, CARCINOPS PUMILIO (ERICHSON)

## Introduction

Increasing efforts have been mounted in recent years to identify determine the effectiveness of natural enemies of filth fly and immatures in poultry manure (U.S.D.A. 1981). To date, nearly all of has been conducted in warmer areas of the U.S., especially this work California (Anderson and Poorbaugh 1964, Anderson et al. 1968, Legner 1971, 1981, Legner and Brydon 1966, Legner and Dietrick 1972, 1974, Legner and Olton, 1968, 1971, Legner et al. 1975a, b, Olton and Legner 1975, Peck 1969, Peck and Anderson 1969, 1970), North Carolina (Axtell 1969, 1970a, b, 1981, Pfeiffer 1978, Pfeiffer and 1961, 1963a, b, Axtell 1980, Rutz and Axtell 1979) and Florida (Hogsette 1980, Morgan and Patterson 1977, Morgan et al. 1975a, b). In these regions, climatic factors and, frequently, open-sided house design, promote the a highly diverse community of predator and scavenger establishment of arthropod species.

In Massachusetts, where houses are closed and environmentally

regulated throughout the year, this community is much simpler. House fly parasites are rarely encountered (Ruggles 1979) and the predator complex is essentially comprised of two species. These are the mite, muscadomesticae (Scolopi), and the histerid, Carcinops Macrocheles muscadomesticae is a predator of house fly pumilio (Erichson). Μ. and newly hatched larvae in animal manure, and has been eggs extensively studied (Axtell 1961, 1963a, b, 1969, 1970a, b, 1981, Filipponi 1955, 1960, Filipponi and diDelupis 1963, Filipponi and Petrelli 1967, Kinn 1966, Rodriguez and Wade 1961, Singh and Rodriguez 1969, Singh et al. 1966, Wade and Rodriguez 1961, Wicht and Rodriguez 1970).

<u>Carcinops</u>, on the other hand, has received comparatively little attention. In the laboratory, Peck (1968, 1969) found this species to be as effective as <u>Macrocheles</u> in suppressing house fly production, and Smith (1975) has provided valuable information on <u>Carcinops</u> life history. Aside from observations of seasonal abundance of beetles in poultry houses in California (Peck 1968, Peck and Anderson 1969, 1970) and North Carolina (Pfeiffer 1978, Pfeiffer and Axtell 1980), very little research has been done on the ecology and feeding habits of <u>C</u>. <u>pumilio</u> in the field.

This study was part of a larger project to investigate the life history and behavior of C. pumilio in relation to other ecology, members of the manure arthropod community. Specifically, the objectives of the present study were as follows: 1) to monitor seasonal population dynamics of principal community members throughout

a complete manure accumulation cycle; 2) to compare the relative influences of calender date and manure accumulation time on arthropod population sizes by sampling from two adjacent poultry houses on different manure removal schedules; 3) to monitor temperature changes in regions of the manure where predators are most abundant in relation to ambient and manure-air interface temperatures; and, 4) to assess seasonal changes in <u>Carcinops</u> populations with respect to sex ratios, ovarian state and body size.

## Materials and Methods

site and weekly arthropod collections. Sampling was conducted Study small commercial egg production facility in Hubbardston, MA, at a by Mr. Maitland Hill. At the time of this study (1980) the farm owned consisted of three caged-layer houses and a separate breeder house. Each of the layer houses contained ca. 25,000 white leghorn hens which under maintained conditions typical of were producers in Massachusetts. Hens were housed in paired, two-tiered rows of cages suspended ca. 1.2 m over a concrete floor. Manure from birds in the tiers dropped onto so-called "dropping boards", where upper it accumulated for 24 h before being scraped onto the main manure rows beneath the lower birds (Fig. 1). Five of these rows of paired cages in each house and were separated by concrete walkways. were present Manure was completely removed from the houses every 3-4 months on a staggered schedule such that, at any one time, the three houses had

Fig. 1. Schematic illustration of manure accumulation in a typical Massachusetts poultry house. Light arrows indicate manure dropping continuously from lower tiers of birds. Manure from upper tiers collects on dropping boards (db) and is scraped every 24 hr onto the main manure row. Dark arrows represent this once-daily deposition of dropping board manure onto the crest of the main row below.



manure of different accumulation times. Following house cleanouts, manure was left in a pile behind the houses for 4-5 weeks to allow predator movement into newly accumulating droppings before it was removed from the farm.

According to the producer, extension service records and neighbors' reports, no serious filth fly outbreaks had occurred on the farm for at least seven years prior to the present study, due to the combined factors of manure management, water regulation and predators (Ruggles 1979). During this seven year period, the producer reported that he had never used insecticides for fly control.

All samples were taken from two of the layer houses, which were parallel along the long axes and were structural "mirror images" with respect to the position of the exhaust fans and house entrances (Fig. 2). House I was sampled weekly throughout a complete manure accumulation cycle, starting on May 19, 1980, one day before manure removal, and ending on Sept. 2, several days before the next cleanout. House II was sampled weekly from May 26, 1980, at which time the manure had accumulated for five weeks, through July 29, several days before the next removal.

On each sampling date, manure samples were taken from each of six positions in each house (Fig. 2) with a polyvinylchlorine core sampler which had a volume of 1.5 liters (diam. = 10.3 cm, length = 18 cm). When a sufficient volume of manure was present to fill the corer, samples were taken by inserting the corer at a 45  $^{\circ}$  angle into the manure at a position halfway up the row. During the first several

Fig. 2. Schematic illustration of study site. Stars along rows indicate locations of the six sample positions in each house. Breaks along the facing walls represent positions of the exhaust fans (EF).



weeks post-cleanout (hereafter designated as PC) in House I, when the height of the manure was less than the length of the corer, a hand trowel was used to fill the corer with manure from the area immediately surrounding the sample site. Following sample removal, the site was marked with a red flag and avoided in subsequent weeks to minimize the effect of sequential habitat removal on arthropod counts.

Samples were placed in medium-sized trash bags, loosely tied at the top, and returned to the lab, where they were extracted through modified Tullgren funnels into 80% ethanol. Arthropods were separated in the alcohol via water flotation and selective from debris screening. All stages of C. pumilio, sphaerocerids (mostly Coproica Rondani) and adults of M. muscadomesticae were counted hirtula individually. Counts of cereal mites and other non-predaceus acarines determined volumetrically by first removing all other arthropods were from the alcohol by hand and counting mite numbers in subsample Three mite subsamples were counted for known dilution. aliquots of the mean number per subsample was determined, and the each sample, total number in the original sample was estimated by multiplying this figure by the dilution factor. Immature M. muscadomesticae were mean disregarded in these samples since the generation time of this species is less than three days (Axtell 1981).

<u>Temperature data</u>. At each sample position, the temperature was recorded at three locations. One reading was taken ca. 1 m above the sample site, a second at a position 1 cm above the site, and a third

was taken within the manure at a depth of ca. 6 cm, for a total of 18 temperature readings per house. The ambient temperature was also recorded from a shaded area outside the houses. Samples and temperature readings were taken between 1:00 and 4:00 PM on each weekly visit to the farm.

Sex ratios and ovarian state of <u>C</u>. <u>pumilio</u>. To monitor changes in <u>C</u>. <u>pumilio</u> sex ratios throughout a complete manure accumulation cycle during the fly season, 100 beetles were selected at random on each sampling date from House I and dissected in alcohol for sex determinations. Most beetles were obtained from samples which were collected as part of the weekly survey discussed above. On June 17-July 1, when <u>Carcinops</u> populations were very small, additional beetles were collected as needed to yield a total of 100 per week.

identified during these sex females which were Of the determinations, 20 were also examined each week (except May 19) with respect to ovarian state. C. pumilio has four ovarioles/ovary and deposits eggs singly such that, at any one time, one rarely finds more fully developed, chorionated egg per female, and seldom more than one two occytes with substantial yolk deposition (Chapter V). than The the two most developed oocytes (1/ovary) was therefore length of measured for each female under a dissecting microscope with an ocular purposes of analysis, data were pooled to form three For micrometer. groups, representing the first, middle and last five weeks since manure removal on May 20.

Morphometric analysis of <u>C. pumilio</u>. Changes in body size of <u>Carcinops</u> throughout the season were assessed by measuring the length of the following characters from 20 females per week from House I: pronotal width at the head (PWH), maximum pronotal width (PWM), right elytral width at anterior end (EWA), maximum right elytral length (ELM), and the diagonal length of the right elytron from inner left (anteriorly) to outer right (posteriorly) points (ELD). Measurements were made of alcohol-preserved specimens as described above. For analysis, data were pooled into three groups as above.

In addition to these meaurements, 100 male and 100 female beetles were examined from Aug. 5-Sept. 2 to determine whether there were any diagnostic morphometric characters for sex determination, since the sexes appear to be externally cryptic in this species. The following characters, as well as the five described above (PWH,PWM,EWA,ELM,ELD) were examined and measured: head width across eyes (HW), maximum pronotal length (PLM), and the lengths of the fore-, middle- and hind femora (FF,MF,MT) and tibiae (FT,MT,HT).

## Results

Weekly survey of manure arthropod populations. Results of weekly manure samples for arthropod populations are presented in Figs. 3-12. <u>C. pumilio</u> adults (Fig. 3) were present in relatively low numbers on the day prior to manure removal in House I (51.1 + 16.8/1.5 liter

•

Fig. 3. Mean number of <u>C</u>. <u>pumilio</u> adults collected weekly from six 1.5-liter core samples of poultry manure throughout a complete manure accumulation cycle in House I.



sample). On May 26, several days following house cleanout, numbers of species dropped slightly  $(32.0 \pm 9.9/\text{sample})$ , then appeared to this increased by the following week (140.2 + 61.9/sample). This have early peak gave the impression of greater beetle numbers than were present before the cleanout, however, this was an artifact of the of surface area provided by newly accumulating smaller amount the first several weeks PC. Carcinops adults declined droppings in the next three weeks, reaching a minimum of less than 5 beetles over June 24 (5 weeks PC). Beetle numbers were also low on on sample per July 1 (6 weeks PC), then gradually increased over the next 6 weeks, 12 (12 weeks PC) at 232.2 + 64.7/sample. Beetle Aug. peaking on numbers remained high, at over 170 per sample, for the remaining three weeks before the next manure removal. In House II (Fig. 4), where had accumulated for 5 weeks prior to sampling, beetle numbers manure were much higher than in House I during the period from May 26-July On the initial sampling date of May 26, beetles were present in 15. relatively low numbers (73.7 + 23.0/sample). On June 3, 2 weeks following the cleanout of House I, Carcinops were considerably more House II (145.3 + 30.0) and remained high, at over 100 abundant in sample, until July 1, when numbers fell to 87.0 + beetles per the final sampling date of July 29, several days 14.5/sample. On before cleanout, beetles were also present in lower numbers (72.1 + 13.6/sample).

Numbers of <u>Carcinops</u> immatures dropped sharply following the first cleanout of House I (Fig. 5), and were present at very low

Fig. 4. Mean number of <u>C</u>. <u>pumilio</u> adults collected weekly from six 1.5-liter core samples of poultry manure from House II, where manure had accumulated for six weeks prior to sampling.



Fig. 5. Mean number of <u>C</u>. <u>pumilio</u> larvae collected weekly from six 1.5-liter core samples of poultry manure throughout a complete manure accumulation cycle in House I.

.

•



**NUMBER OF LARVAE** 

the first two weeks PC. On June 10 and June 17 (weeks 3 levels for 4 PC), larval numbers increased (49.5 + 17.2 and and 57.8 + 15.9/sample, respectively), then declined to 16.8 + 8.1/sample on June 24. Immature numbers gradually increared over the following four weeks and peaked at 128.8 + 23.1/sample on July 22 (9 weeks PC), preceding the adult peak in this house by 3 weeks. Larval counts remained high on July 29, then declined steadily until Aug 26 (14 weeks PC), reaching a minimum of  $36.7 \pm 9.8$ /sample on that date. In (Fig. 6), larval numbers were high, at over 145 per sample, House II during the first 3 weeks of sampling (weeks 6-8 PC). This was followed by a decline to 82.0 + 19.4 and 100.3 + 7.5/sample on June 17 and June 24, respectively, at which time adult beetle numbers in this house were at their peak. From July 1 through July 22, larvae were numbers exceeding 160 per sample, and peaked at 174.0 + present in Numbers of larvae dropped sharply on the final 26.1 on July 8. sampling date of July 29 (47.6 + 7.5/sample).

Changes in population sizes of adult Macrocheles muscadomesticae are presented in Figs. 7 and 8 for House I and House II, respectively. House I, manure cleanout was accompanied by a drop of mite numbers In from 141.3 + 50.6 to 24.7 + 12.1/sample. Numbers remained low for the following 4 weeks, then increased sharply on July 1 and July 8 (weeks and 7 PC), peaking on the latter date at 1,032.7 + 247.3/sample. 6 abundance then declined, but remained at levels of between 250 Mite sample, through the remainder of July. On Aug. 5, mites and 500 per declined number to 142.7 + 25.3/sample and remained low, below 150 in

Fig. 6. Mean number of <u>C</u>. <u>pumilio</u> larvae collected weekly from six 1.5-liter core samples of poultry manure from House II, where manure had accumulated for six weeks prior to sampling.



Fig. 7. Mean number of <u>M</u>. <u>muscadomesticae</u> adults collected weekly from six 1.5-liter core samples of poultry manure throughout a complete manure accumulation cycle in House I.

.



Fig. 8. Mean number of <u>M</u>. <u>muscadomesticae</u> adults collected weekly from six 1.5-liter core samples of poultry manure from House II, where manure had accumulated for six weeks prior to sampling.



.

per sample, for the rest of the month. In House II (Fig. 8), mite numbers were consistantly higher than in House I during the period from May 26 through July 1. As in House I, a sudden rise in <u>Macrocheles</u> abundance was observed on July 1 and July 8 (weeks 11 and 12 PC), and also peaked on the latter date at  $970.5 \pm 135.6/sample$ . Following this peak, mite numbers declined over the following three weeks prior to house cleanout.

Sphaerocerid (Coproica hirtula) adult populations were small (53.3 + 12.6/sample) in House I prior to cleanout, then rose abruptly to 318.5 + 51.0/sample on the following sampling date of May 26 and dropped slightly on June 3 to 277.8 + 71.4/sample (Fig. 9). Over the next five weeks, fly numbers continued to rise, and peaked at over 1,500 flies per sample on July 1 (6 weeks PC). Flies were still abundant on July 8 (993.7 + 306.6/sample), then dropped sharply to per sample for the remainder of the season. In contrast to below 80 the situation in House I, C. hirtula adults were present in numbers exceeding 100 flies per sample only on May 26 (6 weeks PC) in House then dropped to below 40 per sample for the balance of the II, sampling period. Because of the low, stable levels of this species in House II, except for the first sample, these data are not presented in a figure.

Larval sphaerocerid populations in House I (Fig. 10) showed a similar pattern to that of the adults. Following house cleanout, larval numbers increased from a pre-cleanout level of  $41.1 \pm 11.0$  on May 19 to  $351.0 \pm 108.1$ /sample on May 26. On June 3, a slight drop

Fig. 9. Mean number of <u>C</u>. <u>hirtula</u> adults collected weekly from six 1.5-liter core samples of poultry manure throughout a complete manure accumulation cycle in House I. 1,0



Fig. 10. Mean number of <u>C</u>. <u>hirtula</u> larvae collected weekly from six 1.5-liter core samples of poultry manure throughout a complete manure accumulation cycle in House I.



observed, followed by a steady increase over the next three weeks, was immature C. hirtula numbers peaking at 812.8 + 79.4/sample on with (5 weeks PC), 1 week prior to the peak of adults of this June 24 species in the same house. A precipitous drop in the number of immatures was observed on the following week (125.3 + 47.0/sample) followed by a decline to below 10 larvae per sample, which persisted through the remainder of the season. As with the adults of this species, few larvae were found at any time in House II, with no more than 32 immatures present in any sample throughout the season. These data are not presented in a figure.

of cereal mites and other non-predaceous acarines were Counts highly variable throughout the sampling period, and are presented on a log scale in Figs. 11 and 12 for House I and House II, respectively. This variability was presumably due to the presence of several species these pooled counts, each of which may have differed in their in seasonal abundance patterns. In general, mite numbers in House I were low, between 10 and 1000 per sample during the first 6 weeks PC, then levels of greater than 1000 for the rest of the sampling increased to Peak numbers of mites were observed on Aug. 12 (12 weeks PC), period. when samples contained 79,000 + 12,740 mites. In House II (Fig. 12), where sampling initiated 6 weeks PC, mite numbers were was consistently high, between 1000 and 100,000 per sample on every sampling date. numbers were observed on July 15 (13 weeks PC), Peak at 89,600 + 1,620 mites per sample.

Fig. 11. Mean number of non-predaceous mites of all stages collected weekly from six 1.5-liter core samples of poultry manure throughout a complete manure accumulation cycle in House I.



Fig. 12. Mean number of non-predaceous mites of all stages collected weekly from six 1.5-liter core samples of poultry manure from House II, where manure had accumulated for six weeks prior to sampling.


<u>Other insects</u>. In addition to <u>Carcinops</u>, <u>Macrocheles</u>, <u>Coproica</u> and cereal mites, the following insects were also found, at very low levels (fewer than 5 per week), at various times during the sampling period:

Diptera:

Musca domestica L.

Fannia canicularis (L.)

Sphaeroceridae (other than <u>C</u>. <u>hirtula</u>)

Psychodidae

Scatopsidae

Coleoptera:

Gnathoncus nanus (Scriba)

<u>Alphitobius</u> <u>diaperinus</u> (Panzer)

Tenebrio molitor L.

Tenebroides mauritanicus (L.)

Stegobium paniceum (L.)

Dermestes lardarius L.

Philonthus sp.

Lobrathium sp.

Lepidoptera:

Tinea sp.

Temperature data. Mean temperature readings 1 m above, 1 cm above and 6 cm below the manure surface at each sample position, plus the ambient outdoor temperature, are presented in Table 1. Examination of Table 1. Outside air temperature and mean temperature inside 2 poultry houses 1 m above, 1 cm above and 6 cm below the manure surface at six locations in each house. House I was monitored throughout a complete manure accumulation cycle starting one day before manure removal. In House II, manure had accumulated for 6 weeks by the first sampling date (May 26), and was removed on Aug. 3.

Date					Temperat	ure ( <sup>o</sup> C)			
Outside				Inside pou	ltry houses	y houses			
	-		<u></u>	House 1			House II		
			Distance i	from manu	re surface	Distance f	rom manu	re surface	
			l m above	l cm above	6 cm below	l m above	l cm above	6 cm below	
May	19	21.5	23.7	21.4	26.2				
	26	21.5	22.0	21.0	19.5	23.5	21.6	25.8	
June	3	27.0	26.0	23.7	22.5	27.0	24.5	29.8	
	10	31.0	33.0	31.4	27.1	33.5	31.5	33.6	
	17	22.0	26.5	24.5	23.5	28.0	23.6	29.6	
	24	31.5	32.5	31.5	33.1	32.0	30.7	36.7	
July	1	28.2	27.5	27.0	28.5	27.5	26.5	33.5	
	8	20.5	24.7	23.2	28.8	24.2	23.8	33.3	
	15	32.5	33.2	30.1	36.3	32.0	30.5	32.3	
	22	33.0	32.0	29.8	36.2	31.5	30.2	34.5	
	29	22.0	23.1	21.4	33.7	24.7	22.9	31.6	
Aug	• 5	36.0	32.3	31.5	38.3				
	12	28.0	29.0	28.0	32.9				
	19	24.0	24.9	24.2	36.7				
	26	31.0	30.9	30.7	37.3				
Sept	. 2	30.0	29.0	26.1	32.7				

.

this data reveals major differences in habitat temperature at these The manure appeared to have a buffering effect different locations. the air temperature at the manure-air interface, which was less on variable, and cooler than, that of the air 1 m from the manure surface all sampling dates in both houses. Manure temperature 6 cm below on showed a trend towards increasing temperature with longer the surface This trend appeared to be relatively independent accumulation times. the air- and manure-air interface temperature. Thus, during the of weeks PC in House I (May 26-June 17), within-manure first four temperature was relatively low (19.5-27.1°C), and was between 1.0 and 4.3°C cooler than that of the air just above the manure surface. In contrast, within-manure temperature in House II during the same calender period (but weeks 6-9 PC) was 2.1 to 6.0°C warmer than that On several occasions, within-manure surrounding air. of the temperature of droppings with long accumulation times was greater than 10°C warmer than that of the air at the manure-air interface.

Sex ratios and ovarian state of <u>C. pumilio</u>. Results of sex determinations of 100 beetles per week from House I are presented in Male-biased sex ratios were observed from May 19, one day Fig. 13. before house cleanout, through July 1 (6 weeks PC). The most skewed observed on June 10, when males outnumbered females by a ratio was 63:37. On July 8, the proportion of males was nearly equal factor of  $(51 \delta^2: 49^{\circ})$  and remained at ca. 50% males through females of that to On Aug. 26 and Sep. 2 a male bias was again apparent, with 19. Aug.

Fig. 13. Seasonal changes in proportions of male and female <u>C</u>. <u>pumilio</u> collected throughout a complete manure accumulation cycle in House I. Data are presented as percent females of 100 beetles which were collected and sexed each week. Significantly male-biased samples ( $\leq 40\%$  females) are indicated by their position below the line label-led "P $\leq .05$ ", as determined by chi-square analysis,



sex ratios of  $61\delta$ : 39 f and  $66\delta$ : 34 f, respectively.

condition of the two most-developed oocytes from each of 20 The females per week from House I during the period from May 26-Sept. 2 are presented in Table 2. No significant differences were found among beetles from the three time intervals (first, middle and last 5 weeks PC) with respect to the state of the most-developed oocyte, with a total average length of 0.65 + 0.318 mm/oocyte. Completely developed, chorionated eggs ranged from 0.65 to 0.92 mm in length. The length of second-most-developed oocyte per female was significantly smaller the (0.31 + 0.202 mm) in beetles collected during the last 5 weeks than in first (0.41 + 0.232 mm) or middle (0.43 + 0.251 mm) those from the five weeks To determine whether this decrease in cocyte size in PC. later samples was related to the smaller average body size of females collected during this time interval (see next section), correlation analyses were conducted for relationships between various morphometric characters and reproductive parameters (length of fully developed, chorionated eggs; lengths of most- and second-most-developed oocytes). significant correlations were found between any body size measures No and any of these indicators of ovarian condition.

Morphometric analysis pumilio. Analysis of body of <u>C</u>. size differences of Carcinops females over the season in House I are No significant differences were found with presented in Table 3. respect to the five characters which were examined (PWH, PWM, EWA, ELM, ELD) beetles which were collected during the between

Table 2. Length (mm) of most-developed and second-mostdeveloped oocytes of female <u>C. pumilio</u> collected from House I during three time intervals corresponding to the first, middle and last five weeks of a manure accumulation cycle.

Time interval	Oocyte 1	Oocyte 2
May 26-June 24	0.68 <u>+</u> 0.300 a	0.41 <u>+</u> 0.232 a
July 1-July 29	0.63 <u>+</u> 0.324 a	0.43 <u>+</u> 0.251 a
Aug. 5-Sept. 2	0.64 <u>+</u> 0.330 a	0.31 <u>+</u> 0.202 b

Data are presented as mean oocyte length in mm + SD. Means within columns which are not followed by the same letter are significantly different. (Student-Newman-Keuls Range Test, P = .05). N = 100 beetles per time interval (20/week).

Table 3. Size (mm) of five morphometric characters	of temate $\underline{C}$ .
pumilio collected from House I during three tim	e intervals
corresponding to the first, middle and last fiv	e weeks of a
manure accumulation cycle.	

Character		Time interval	
	May 26-June 24	July 1-July 29	Aug. 5-Sept. 2
PWH	0.70 <u>+</u> 0.031 a	0.70 <u>+</u> 0.039 a	0.69 <u>+</u> 0.030 a
PWM	1.39 <u>+</u> 0.068 a	1.39 <u>+</u> 0.077 a	1.36 <u>+</u> 0.073 b
EWA	0.83 <u>+</u> 0.039 a	0.84 <u>+</u> 0.048 a	0.82 <u>+</u> 0.049 b
ELM	1.39 <u>+</u> 0.064 a	1.41 <u>+</u> 0.075 a	1.37 <u>+</u> 0.078 b
ELD	1.71 <u>+</u> 0.079 a	1.72 <u>+</u> 0.090 a	1.67 <u>+</u> 0.095 b

Data are presented as mean character size in mm  $\pm$  S.D. Means within rows which are not followed by the same letter are significantly different (Student-Newman-Keuls Range Test, P = .05). N = 100 beetles per time interval (20/week). (PWH = pronotal width at head, PWM = pronotal width at widest point, EWA = elytral width at anterior end, ELM = elytral length at longest point, ELD = elytral length along diagonal from inner anterior to outer posterior points). first and middle five weeks PC. Females collected during the last five weeks (weeks 11-15 PC) were, however, significantly smaller than those collected earlier in the season, as indicated by smaller values for four of the five characters (PWM,EWA,ELM,ELD).

Results of analysis of 13 morphometric characters to locate sex are presented in Table 4. No significant differences differences were found with respect to head (HW), pronotal between sexes The femora (EWA, ELM, ELD) characters. elytral (PWH, PWM, PLM) or (FF,MF,HF) and tibiae (FT,MT,HT) of males were, however, significantly longer than those of females. Lengths of leg segments in themselves were not found to be reliable diagnostic characters for beetle sex due to variation in overall size within sexes. Analysis of character proportions revealed that the most exclusively diagnostic character ratio was that of the diagonal elytral length to the length of the tibia (ELD/HT). For the series of 100 males which were examined, hind this ratio ranged from 2.460-2.574, while for females the range was 2.610-2.810.

#### Discussion

Weekly survey of manure arthropods. Throughout the sampling period in both houses, immatures of the house fly and lesser house fly were virtually absent, except for occasional mature fly larvae which were seeking pupation sites after having developed in predator-free refugia (Chapter III). Sphaerocerids (<u>C. hirtula</u>), which were present in

Character	Males	Females
HW	$0.60 \pm 0.025$	_ 0.61 <u>+</u> 0.027 ns
PWH	0.69 + 0.030	0.70 <u>+</u> 0.027 ns
PWM	1.37 <u>+</u> 0.063	1.36 <u>+</u> 0.074 ns
PLM	0.81 + 0.037	0.81 <u>+</u> 0.044 ns
EWA	0.82 + 0.039	0.82 <u>+</u> 0.047 ns
ELM	1.37 <u>+</u> 0.066	1.38 <u>+</u> 0.076 ns
ELD	$1.67 \pm 0.077$	1.68 <u>+</u> 0.094 ns
FF	$0.63 \pm 0.027$	0.60 + 0.030 **
FT	$0.57 \pm 0.025$	0.55 + 0.028 **
MF	0.58 + 0.028	0.56 + 0.033 **
MT	0.55 <u>+</u> 0.028	0.52 + 0.032 **
HF	0.68 + 0.030	0.65 + 0.037 **
HT	0.66 + 0.031	0.62 + 0.035 **

Table 4. Size (mm) of 13 morphometric characters of 100 male and 100 female C. pumilio collected from House I.

Data are presented as mean character size in mm + S.D. ns = not significant, \* = P<.05, \*\* = P<.01 (Oneway ANOVA). (HW = head width, PWH = pronotal width at head, PWM = pronotal width at widest point, PLM = pronotal length at longest point, EWA = elytral width at anterior end, ELM = elytral length at longest point, ELD = elytral length along diagonal from inner anterior to outer exterior points, FF,MF and HF = lengths of the fore-, middle- and hind femora, FT,MT and HT = lengths of the fore-, middle- and hind tibiae).

numbers in House II (not represented in a figure) at the time of small the cleanout of House I, appeared to rapidly invade the freshly accumulating manure in the latter house and increased to extremely M. muscadomesticae population sizes in subsequent weeks. large appeared to be highly responsive to changes in fly densities, and peaked at over 1000 mites per sample one week following the peak of  $\underline{C}$ . hirtula adults (7 weeks PC). Willis and Axtell (1968) found that Macrocheles populations peaked at ca. 20 days post-cleanout in a study North Carolina poultry houses, then declined in subsequent weeks. of Laboratory studies have established the suitability of C. hirtula as a prey source for this mite (Chapter V). Sphaerocerid populations then crashed and Macrocheles, apparently responding again to changes in prey availability, also declined and were subsequently dipteran by the large populations of cereal mites and other maintained It is more difficult to interpret the non-predaceous acarines. predator mite peak in House II, which occurred at the same time as in House I but was not apparently related to changes in either that dipteran or acarine prey abundance. Axtell (1970a) also reported large fluctuations in M. muscadomesticae population sizes in six poultry farms over two years in North Carolina, and stated that this "illustrates the difficulty in generalizing about variation the importance of predaceous mites in the natural control of flies".

A possible cause of the coincident peaks of <u>Macrocheles</u> numbers in both houses may have been phoretic transfer of mites by either sphaerocerid or <u>Carcinops</u> adults. This mite has frequently been

reported to be phoretically associated with flies from animal manure (Axtell 1964, Filipponi 1955, 1960, Petrova 1964, Steve 1959). Since hirtula did not appear in great numbers in House II during the **C**. explosive outbreak of this species in House I, it is an unlikely candidate as a vehicle for mite transport. Comparison of Figs. 3 and however, suggests that there may have been considerable beetle 4, movement between the houses, which were separated by a distance of ca. Following manure removal from House I, adult C. pumilio 30 meters. moved back into the house from the old manure which was piled outside, and were present in fairly large numbers at 2 weeks PC. Following this early peak, beetle numbers declined steadily over the next few Since Carcinops is a relatively long-lived species (Chapter weeks. V), sudden drops in the number of beetles in a given area is more likely to reflect movement out of the habitat than mortality. Other (Chapter III, Peck 1968, Peck and Anderson 1970) have studies suggested that newly accumulating manure is non-attractive or Carcinops adults, even when prey densities are high. repellent to Thus, in House I, there appeared to be an emigration of beetles from the house 3-5 weeks PC, which coincided with increasing numbers of beetles which appeared in House II. Similarly, beetle numbers in House I increased sharply in the weeks following the cleanout of House Further evidence for beetle transport of mites via phoresy comes II. from frequent personal observations of immature predator mites clinging to dispersing Carcinops collected in the field. (Dispersal of <u>C</u>. pumilio is discussed in greater detail in Chapter IV). behavior

Even after ethanol washings of field-collected beetles, viable immature mites are often found on protected regions of the cuticle, especially under the elytra (Chapter V). In Fiji, Bornemissza (1968) reported finding mites (including <u>Macrocheles</u> sp.) in phoretic association with the predaceous histerid <u>Pachylister chinensis</u> Queens.

In addition to beetle movement between the houses, <u>Carcinops</u> abundance over time was clearly influenced by the seasonal patterns of immatures of this species (Fig. 5). Beetle larvae, after a brief rise associated with the initial adult re-entry into House I, increased steadily from July 24 to July 15, reflecting a delayed response to sphaerocerid prey and increasing availability of non-predaceous mites. Peaks of beetle larvae in House I (June 17 and July 22) preceded adult peaks (July 8 and Aug. 12) by three weeks, which is the approximate developmental time of this species from adult to adult (Chapter V).

Later in the season, as adults became increasingly more numerous, larval populations declined and the ratio of adults to immatures increasingly adult-biased. This drop in the number of became immatures may have been due, at least in part, to intense competition predatory between species, life stage and individuals. Other evidence indicates that additional factors may have played a role as well, however. First, acarine prey densities remained high (10,000 to 100,000 mites/sample) throughout the crash in larval Carcinops numbers and thus were not limiting in themselves. Second, examination of the ovarian state of adults during this period showed that beetles were only slightly, albeit significantly, less fecund than were beetles

during peaks of larval numbers. It is possible that when beetle larvae are crowded above a certain threshold, feeding efficiency is drastically reduced due to mutual interference with foraging. In the lab, larvae are pugilistic and engage in protracted bouts of agonistic behavior when they contact one another, even in the presence of abundant prey (Chapter V). Hammer (1941) noted a similar behavior among immatures of Hister unicolor L. in Denmark. Other factors which may have contributed to the decline in beetle immatures are cannibalism and predation by Macrocheles on Carcinops eggs (Chapter V, Smith 1975). Under optimal conditions of temperature (30-31°C), space ( <7 females/liter of medium) and prey availability, C. pumilio females are capable of producing and depositing over 10 eggs per day the relative numbers of beetle adults and (Chapter V). Based on immatures found in this and other studies (Peck and Anderson 1969, Pfeiffer 1978, Legner et al. 1975b, Smith 1975), it is clear that this reproductive potential is seldom realized under field conditions.

Overall, populations of <u>Carcinops</u> adults were found to be greatest later in the sampling period in House I, and were more numerous in House II than in House I during the first few weeks of sampling. These observations are in agreement with those of many other workers who have noted that large histerid populations are associated with long manure accumulation times (Anderson at al. 1968, Anon. 1975, Axtell 1981, Bills 1973, Dunning etal. 1978, Legner and Brydon 1966, Legner and Olton 1968, Legner et al. 1975b, Peck and Anderson 1969, 1970). On the basis of results presented here, as well as other information on the life history (Chapter V, Smith 1975), ecology (Chapter III) and behavior (Chapter IV) of <u>C. pumilio</u>, this trend may be viewed as reflecting the combined influences of increasing habitat acceptability, emergence of new adults into the population, the long lifespan of adults of this species and immigration of beetles from neighboring houses.

Most of the research on arthropod predators and scavengers in poultry manure has been conducted in warmer regions of the U.S. such North Carolina, where climatic factors California and as and house design result in far greater manure community open-sided diversity. Because of the virtual lack of coleopteran competitors in this system in Massachusetts, C. pumilio was found at considerably higher population densities in the present study than in these latter areas. Legner et al. (1975b), in a study of seasonal abundance of manure arthropods on two farms in California, found that histerid adults (C. pumilio and G. nanus) were present at peak densities of only 27.9 beetles/liter. Peck and Anderson (1969), also working in California, reported maximum densities of 13.8 histerids/liter in manure which had accumulated for six months prior to sampling. In a monthly survey of the coleopteran fauna of 15 poultry farms in North Carolina, Pfeiffer (1978) found more than 140 Carcinops per liter on only one occasion on one farm. Of the 26 sampling dates at these 15 farms, a grand mean of ca. 20 beetles/liter was observed (calculated from data presented in Pfeiffer 1978). In contrast, samples taken during the present study contained an average of less than 33

beetles/liter on only four sampling dates in House I, all of which were within the first 6 weeks PC. In House II, where all sampling was conducted after 5 weeks PC, all samples contained at least 47  $\underline{C}$ . pumilio adults/liter.

Coproica hirtula was found to be the dominant dipteran present in poultry manure in this study, where large numbers were observed in the weeks following house cleanout. Richards (in Stone et al. 1965) reported this species to be widespread in its distribution in the U.S., however, there have been few habitat records noted for C. hirtula in North America (Ware 1966, Poorbaugh et al. 1968). Despite the scarcity of published habitat information for this species, it is apparently quite common in poultry houses in many areas of the Northeast, including Connecticut (J. Rock, pers. comm.), New York (D. Rutz, pers. comm.), Maryland (L. Hellman, pers. comm.) and Ontario (S. While Tenorio (1968) found C. hirtula pers. comm.). Marshall, breeding in cow dung in Hawaii, this species appears to develop primarily in avian manure, where it has been most commonly found in the U.S. (see above), the Netherlands (Rohacek, pers. comm.) and Czechoslovakia (Zuska and Lastovka 1969). Sphaerocerids are among the first colonizers of freshly accumulating manure in deep-pit poultry houses in England (Bills 1973), where they occasionally present nuisance problems to workers in the houses (Anon. 1975). Later in the accumulation cycle, populations of these flies decline due to predator pressure, and can quickly become re-established in areas where are low (Chapter III). Peck (1968) predator densities found sphaerocerids to be present throughout the year in California poultry houses, with greater numbers occurring from June to September.

Although Coproica populations had greatly declined by the time of peak Carcinops numbers, these flies appeared to be important in the initial establishment of predators in newly accumulating manure, where cereal mite populations were still relatively small. Data which are presented in the next chapter (Chapter III) also suggest that C. hirtula larvae may in fact be more available to predators later in the season than is indicated by core sample data. The suitability of sphaerocerids as prey for Carcinops is further substantiated by the successful colonization of C. pumilio through seven generations in the lab using only Coproica (on CSMA fly medium) as a prey source (Chapter Since these dipterans are weak fliers which generally do not V). leave the poultry houses (Geden, pers. obs.), they do not pose a pest problem in themselves to neighboring communities. Therefore, given the potential role of C. hirtula in promoting natural enemies of other, pestiferous fly species and in the degradation and aeration of newly accumulating manure (S. Marshall, pers. comm.), producers should be discouraged from efforts to control them, even during "outbreaks".

<u>Temperature data.</u> In another study (Chapter III), arthropod predators and prey in poultry manure were found to be most abundant in the surface regions of the habitat. Based on information presented in Table 1, it appears that more mobile species in the community such as <u>Macrocheles</u> and <u>Carcinops</u> adults, which freely move on and below the manure surface, are exposed to a wide range of temperatures within a Thus, for example, predators which moved through the small area. outer 6 cm of the manure on Aug. 19 in House I experienced a range of temperature from 24.2 to  $36.7^{\circ}$ C. In a similar study conducted in a deep-pit house in England, Bills (1973) also found that after the first few weeks PC, the manure temperature (8 cm below the surface) was always higher than that of the air immediately over the manure surface. He reported finding, on one occasion, large clusters of C. pumilio larvae in manure which had a temperature of 43°C, compared with a manure-air interface temperature of 14°C. In the present study, the highest manure temperature reading for any individual sample was 41.5°C, which was observed on Aug. 5 in House I. After extraction, this 1.5 liter sample was found to contain 246 C. pumilio adults, 69 and 42 first and second instar beetle larvae, respectively, 334 M. muscadomesticae adults, 26 C. hirtula adults and 188,000 cereal mites and other non-predaceous acarines. It is difficult to separate relative thermal contributions of microbial and arthropodan the from other chemical changes occurring in the manure. activity Nonetheless, it is apparent that those species which utilize this highly metabolically active substrate are tolerant of fairly high local habitat temperatures. Carcinops adults are also tolerant of rather low temperatures, and may be maintained for several months at 6°C with no apparent diminution of survival or fecundity (Geden, pers. obs.).

In Massachusetts, where poultry houses are environmentally

controlled to some extent throughout the year with respect to photoperiod and temperature, none of the members of the manure community appear to enter diapause. Even in February the manure-air interface temperature seldom falls below 15°C, and all life stages of the species or groups discussed in this study can be found in the relatively warmer surface regions of the manure, although in somewhat smaller numbers (Geden, pers. obs.).

Sex ratios of <u>C. pumilio</u>. Smith (1975) found an overall sex ratio of 60 0:40 9 based on 100 beetles collected from a Massachusetts-style poultry house in New Hampshire. In the present study, male Carcinops found in proportionately greater numbers than females during the were seven weeks of sampling in House I, after which an approximately first equal number of males and females was observed for the remainder of the season, except for the final two sampling dates (weeks 14 and 15 Possible sources of this seasonal variation are between-sex PC). differences in dispersal tendency, emergence success or post-emergence In another study (Chapter IV), no significant differences mortality. the sex ratios of dispersing and foraging C. pumilio found in were populations, with both showing similarly male-biased ratios. In laboratory colonies, pupal sex ratios are close to 1:1 and there are no major differences between the sexes with respect to adult emergence post-emergence survival (Chapter V). Under success and field conditions, however, other forces may act to reduce female longevity such that older populations of this long-lived species would have proportionately more males than would younger populations. This would explain the observed change in sex ratios from male-biased to ca. 1:1 which occurred as increasingly more newly emerged adults entered the population in the period from July 15 to Aug. 12. Under crowded conditions in the lab, ovipositing females are frequently attacked by other adults, which tear at the exposed, membranous ovipositor (Geden, pers. obs.). If this behavior occurs in the field, it could partially account for the change back to male-skewed sex ratios which were observed on Aug. 26 and Sept. 2, several weeks after adult <u>Carcinops</u> were present at peak densities.

Ovarian state of C. pumilio. Because Carcinops females develop eggs singly and do not resorb oocyte nutrients unless they are subjected to prolonged periods of prey deprivation (Geden, pers. obs.), it is difficult to make a meaningful assessment of ovarian condition as it relates to prey availability and recent nutritional history. In all samples of females which were examined, a great deal of variability in ovarian state was observed, with some beetles showing no perceptible development and others, in a few rare cases, possessing more than egg fully developed, chorionated eggs. Since beetles are capable of two producing over 10 eggs per day (Chapter V), the condition of the most-developed ocyte in this species provides a "snapshot" impression of the immediate state of the ovaries but gives no information on the of egg development. Thus, for example, if a female is collected rate most-developed oocyte consists of a completely developed egg, it whose

is impossible to determine, from this information alone, whether that individual was about to lay its 10th egg in 24 h or its first in three days. It is therefore not surprising that no significant differences were found among beetles during different time intervals for this parameter of fecundity.

Examination of the second-most-developed oocyte, however, gives some insight into the nutrient reserves which are available for further egg maturation and is thus a more sensitive indicator of prey availability. During the last 5 weeks PC (weeks 11-15), when adult <u>Carcinops</u> population densities were at their peak and larval numbers were low, females had significantly smaller second-most-developed oocytes than did beetles from either of the two earlier age intervals, suggesting increased competition for prey or mutual interference with feeding behavior. This difference was small, however, and cannot entirely account for the crash in numbers of beetle immatures which was also observed during this period.

Little is known of the prey-specificity of <u>C. pumilio</u> or of the relative nutritional value of potential prey items with respect to reproductive output. Peck (1969) reported that <u>C. pumilio</u> would feed readily on house fly eggs and early instar larvae. Smith (1975) found that masses of house fly eggs elicited a stronger feeding response by adult beetles than did scattered fly eggs. He also observed that beetles quickly discovered and fed on cereal mites which had contaminated a mealworm colony, and concluded that "it is probable that acarids make up a portion of the natural diet of <u>C. pumilio</u> in

chicken manure, especially when flies are not abundant" (Smith 1975). In addition, I have also seen adult beetles attack house fly adults as they struggle to emerge from their puparia, and have often observed them feeding on a variety of dead arthropods as well as on broken chicken eggs. Based on these observations and the wide range of habitats from which this species has been recovered (Hicks 1959, Hinton 1945), it seems clear that C. pumilio is an opportunistic and scavenger which is capable of establishing and predator maintaining large populations in the absence of house fly. Further work is needed to determine the relative conversion efficiency of these various food items into beetle egg output, and to relate this information to the natural foraging behavior and feeding preferences of Carcinops in the field.

Morphometric analysis of <u>C</u>. pumilio. Overall, slightly smaller beetles were collected in the last 5 weeks PC than in either of the two earlier time intervals. Since there are no simple, reliable physiological age-grading techniques for this species (Chapter IV) and since there is continuous overlap in generations of these long-lived is difficult to assess the proportion of newly emerged beetles, it individuals in populations sampled from the field. Nonetheless, several lines of evidence strongly suggest that this observed decrease in average body size was the result of intense predator competition or interference which led to the production of undersized adults later in First, the last five-week interval, when smaller adults the season.

were noted, included the period when adult population densities were maximal (Aug. 5-Sept. 2). This peak in adult numbers was preceded by several weeks by similar peaks in the number of beetle immatures. Second, <u>Macrocheles</u> adults were still present at fairly high levels and dipteran prey were relatively scarce during the weeks when beetle larvae were present in greatest numbers. Finally, when beetle larvae are crowded in the laboratory or provided with suboptimal prey densities, they take longer to develop and produce smaller pupae and adults than larvae maintained on optimal regimes (Geden, pers. obs.). The fitness of these smaller-bodied adults remains to be investigated.

# CHAPTER III

INFLUENCE OF SPATIAL POSITION, LOCAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS AND HABITAT MATURITY ON DISTRIBUTION PATTERNS OF ARTHROPODS ASSOCIATED WITH POULTRY MANURE.

## Introduction

Interest in biological control of filth flies has increased in recent years, reflecting efforts to apply integrated pest management concepts and methodologies to the regulation of pests affecting man animals (U.S.D.A. 1981). To date, much of the emphasis of this and work has been on pteromalid parasites of fly pupae such as Spalangia Under certain, highly controlled conditions and Muscidifurax spp. over small areas, these parasites have been demonstrated to be capable of significantly reducing (Legner and Brydon 1966, Legner and Dietrick 1972,1974, Morgan et al. 1975a,b, Olton and Legner 1975, Rutz and Axtell 1979) house fly populations. Major successes have been achieved only through mass releases of parasites, which are available to cattle and poultry producers only through commercial insectaries, whose products have frequently been found to be deficient in quality control (Stage and Peterson 1981, Legner 1981).

In poultry houses in the Northeast, native predators of fly immatures are the most important filth fly biological agents.

76

.

Predators alone have been noted to maintain house fly populations at essentialy zero levels on some farms in Maryland (L. Hellman, pers. comm), Pennsylvania (C. Collison, pers. comm.), New York (D. Rutz, comm.), Connecticut (J. Rock, pers. comm.), Ontario (G. pers. Surgeoner, pers. comm.) and Massachusetts (Geden, Chapter II). In Massachusetts, two principal predators the are Macrocheles muscadomesticae (Scolopi), a mite which feeds on house fly eggs and newly hatched larvae (Axtell 1961, 1963a,b, 1969, 1970a,b) and Carcinops pumilio (Erichson), an histerid which also preys on fly eggs Both adults and larvae of the latter species are and immatures. predaceous, and it is viewed as being equally effective as  $\underline{M}$ . muscadomesticae with respect to filth fly biocontrol (Peck 1969, Peck and Anderson 1969, Axtell 1981). C. pumilio, together with another histerid, <u>Gnathoncus</u> <u>nanus</u> (Scriba), are often the most abundant coleopterans in poultry manure throughout the United States (Legner 1971, Legner and Olton 1970, Peck and Anderson 1969, Pfeiffer and Axtell 1980) and Great Britain (Bills 1973).

Little is known of the environmental factors which promote and maintain predator populations in the absence of house flies. The objective- of the present study were to gather needed ecological data on distribution patterns of members of the poultry manure arthropod community under conditions of high, naturally occurring predator densities. These objectives were as follows: (1) to determine within-habitat distribution patterns of members of the manure community; (2) to examine the relative contributions of spatial position, prey availability and local environmental conditions on predator distribution patterns; (3) to determine whether temporary, relatively predator-free manure accumulations serve as oviposition sites for flies; and, (4) to investigate the influence of habitat maturity on predator and prey distribution.

### Materials and Methods

Study site and house design. All studies were conducted in 1981-1982 at a commercial egg production facility in Central Massachusetts (Hill's Farm, Hubbardston, MA) with an eight year history of natural house fly control (see Chapter II for details). House design was typical of Massachusetts' producers, with hens in paired, two-tiered rows of cages suspended ca. 1.2 m over a concrete floor. Manure from birds in the upper tiers dropped onto so-called "dropping boards", where it accumulated for 24 h before being scraped onto the main manure rows beneath the lower birds (Fig. 14). Manure was removed at 3-4 month intervals.

Cross-sectional profile samples. To determine within-habitat distribution patterns of manure arthropods, cross-sectional profile samples were taken from mature (3 mo accumulation time) manure on July Profiles were examined at five equidistant locations along 28, 1981. of birds to minimize edge and side biases. At each the central row shovelled out to allow access to the location first was an area

Fig. 14. Schematic illustration of manure accumulation in a typical Massachusetts poultry house, and positions of samples taken during a cross-sectional manure profile study in 1981. Light arrows indicate manure dropping continuously from lower tiers of birds. Manure fom upper tiers collects on dropping boards and is scraped every 24 hr onto the main manure row. Dark arrows represent this daily deposition of dropping board manure onto the crest of the main manure row below. (LTS = left top side, TC = top center, RTS = right top side, LMS = left middle side, LMI = left middle interior, MC = middle center, RMI = right middle interior, RMS = right middle side, LBS = left bottom side, LBI = left bottom interior, BC = bottom center, RBI = right bottom interior, RBS = right bottom side.)



Fourteen sample positions were then determined and marked as profile. (1) four equidistant vertical positions were measured and follows: marked at the center of the profile, with one at the crest (CREST), at the base (BC), and two in between (TC,MC); (2) from the bottom one central positions (MC, BC), the distance to both edges of the two profile were marked (LMS,RMS,LBS,RBS), as well as the midpoint of these distances (LMI, RMI, LBI, RBI); (3) from the the central position the crest (TC), only edge positions were marked (LTS,RTS). This below resulted in seven surface positions and seven interior method positions, which are illustrated schematically in Fig. 14.

From each of these 14 positions, half-liter samples were taken with a metal corer (diam = 12.5 cm, length = 4.0 cm), placed in paper with organdy cloth covers, returned to the lab and containers modified Tullgren funnels into 80% ethanol. extracted through Arthropods were separated from debris in the alcohol via water flotation and selective screening. All stages of C. pumilio and sphaerocerids (mostly Coproica hirtula Rondani) were counted individually. Counts of cereal mites and other non-predaceous acarines were determined volumetrically by first removing all other arthropods from the alcohol by hand and counting mite numbers in subsample aliquots of known dilution. Three mite subsamples were counted for each sample, the mean number per subsample was determined. and the total number in the original sample was estimated by multiplying this mean figure by the dilution factor. Immature Macrocheles were disregarded in the counting of these samples. For the latter species, only adults were counted, since the generation time of <u>M</u>. <u>muscadomesticae</u> is less than three days (Axtell 1981). The large number of treatments (14 sample positions) precluded statistical treatment of the data.

Surface distribution and local environmental conditions. Results of the above study revealed strong clumping of all groups on or just below the manure surface. To examine this pattern more closely and to influence of local environmental conditions investigate the on predator-prev distribution, samples corresponding to the seven surface, or peripheral, positions cited above were taken at ten locations along the central row of manure on Aug. 14, 1981. The temperature of the manure 2 cm below the surface was taken for each of samples. Also, for each sample taken for extraction, an these 70 adjacent sample was taken for determination of manure moisture content. These latter samples were weighed immediately in the field to avoid initial water loss (Peck and Anderson 1969), returned to the lab, dried thoroughly in a microwave oven, and reweighed to determine the original water content. Samples for arthropod extraction were handled as described in the previous section. The abundance of arthropods and manure moisture content from the different sample locations were analyzed by Student-Newman-Keuls Range Test at P=.05 (Steel and Torrie 1960). Due to the highly contagious distribution patterns of all species or groups, within as well as between sample positions, animal counts were subjected to log (X + 1.5)

transformation prior to analysis of variance. Original, untransformed counts are used in the presentation of the data in tables. Finally, analyses were conducted to examine significance levels of correlations between predators and prey, and of all arthropods with manure moisture content.

Dropping board samples. To determine the possible role of manure boards providing a relatively accumulating dropping in on predator-free environment for fly oviposition, samples of this manure on Aug. 21, 1981. Samples were taken by forming were taken depressions along the crest of the manure in the early morning, lining these depressions with aluminum flashing collecting troughs, and taking samples from the troughs immediately after the boards were cleaned. Ten one-liter samples of this manure were collected in this manner, placed in paper containers with organdy cloth covers and incubated for an additional 24 h at 28°C under constant light to allow dipteran egg hatch. Samples were then counted and extracted as above.

Influence of habitat maturity on distribution. Casual observations made during another study in 1980 (Chapter II) suggested that newly accumulting manure is non-attractive to predators, even when prey densities are high. A similar observation had been reported by Peck and Anderson (1969, 1970) in California. To test this, I had initially intended to follow repopulation of a row of new manure

surrounded by older rows to simulate alternate row removal conditions. With the cooperation of the producer, the central row of manure was removed from a different layer house on May 26, 1981, leaving it manure of 9-wk accumulation time at the start of the by flanked repopulation experiment. For the next three weeks, five half-liter samples were taken from each side of the central row and five samples from the facing sides of the two adjacent rows (20 samples/week). Sampling was discontinued after three weeks due to the accidental destruction of the house by a tornado on June 22. Samples were handled and counted as described above. Due to large between-week variation in population densities of most arthropods, each week was treated as a separate experimental unit. Between-row (age treatment) differences within weeks were analyzed by one way ANOVA using transformed counts as described above.

a separate study conducted in 1982, islands of different In manure maturity established within a row by sequential were hand-removal of manure at different times. This removal program resulted in the establishment of three groups of ten islands of manure 6 and 10-wk accumulation time. Each age-treatment island of 2, of flanked by islands of the other two age treaments. manure was Two weeks was selected as the earliest age treatment in order to allow the a normal age structure for <u>C. pumilio</u> (Chapter V). development of taken from each of these 30 islands on July Half-liter samples were and handled and counted as described above. 10, 1982, Differences in of arthropods among the three age-treatment groups of islands numbers

were analyzed by Student-Newman-Keuls Range Test at P = .05 using transformed counts.

### Results

Cross-sectional profile samples. Results of cross-sectional profile samples from July 28, 1981 are presented in Table 5. For each arthropod species or group, at least 85% of all individuals were found samples (CREST, LTS, RTS, LMS, RLS, LBS, RBS). surface the seven in Peripheral clumping was most pronounced in C. pumilio 2nd instar (93.9% of the total), <u>C. hirtula</u> immatures (95.2%), <u>M</u>. larvae acarines (99.7%). adults (97.9%) and other muscadomesticae (eg. LMS, LMI, MC, RMI, RMS) samples of within-stratum Comparisons indicate that this surface clumping is height-independent, with far numbers of individuals present in surface than interior greater all three multi-sample strata. Further, the three samples in uppermost peripheral positions (CREST, LTS, RTS) were favored by all groups, and accounted for 53.3% of all C. pumilio adults collected, 59.1% and 63.3% of <u>C. pumilio</u> 1st and 2nd instar larvae, respectively, 63.4% of non-predaceous mites, 67.4% of <u>C. hirtula</u> adults, 76.9% of M. muscadomesticae adults and 86.8% of C. hirtula immatures.

<u>Surface distribution and local environmental conditions</u>. Results of surface distribution samples from Aug. 14, 1981 are presented in Table 6. Because of the highly contagious distribution patterns of all

Positio	n		Spe	cies or group		
			<u>C. pumilio</u>		M. muscadomesticae	
	lst	instars	2nd instars	adults	adults	
CREST*	260	(10.9)	119 ( 7.6)	405 (18.3)	2,750 (15.1)	
LTS	587	(24.6)	470 (30.1)	385 (17.8)	4,707 (25.7)	
RTS	562	(23.6)	400 (25.6)	379 (17.2)	6,530 (35.9)	
TC	22	( 0.9)	8 ( 0.5)	38 ( 1.7)	88 (0.5)	
LMS	193	( 8.1)	189 (12.1)	274 (12.4)	974 (5.4)	
RMS	246	(10.3)	252 (16.1)	247 (11.2)	2,297 (12.6)	
LMI	67	(2.8)	20 ( 1.3)	23 ( 1.0)		
RMI	88	(3.7)	34 (2.2)	117 ( 5.3)	125(0.7)	
MC	16	(0.6)	2 ( 0.1)	16(0./)	35(0.2)	
LBS	70	(2.9)	15 ( 0.9)	155 ( 7.0)	$220 (1 \cdot 2)$ $216 (1 \cdot 7)$	
RBS	176	(7.4)	22(1.4)	100 (4.0)	28 (13)	
LBI	22	(0.9)	7 (0.4)	10(0.0)	20(1.5)	
RBI	/1	(3.0)	22(1.4)	3(0,1)	4 (<0.1)	
DC.	د	((0.1)	2 ( 0.1)	5 ( 001 /		
TOTAL	2,38	3	1,562 2	2,208	18,195	
	Other Acarina			<u>C. hirtula</u>		
		all stag	ges	larvae	adults	
CREST*		127.481	( 6.4)		51 (36.2)	
LTS		757.742	(38.1)	17 (10.3)	12 ( 8.5)	
RTS		371,365	(18.7)	6 (3.6)	32 (22.7)	
TC		1,246	(<0.1)	0 ( 0.0)	1 ( 0.7)	
LMS		286,741	(14.4)	0 ( 0.0)	1 ( 0.7)	
RMS		406,722	(20.4)	14 ( 8.4)	19 (13.4)	
LMI		2,235	(<0.1)	1 ( 0.6)	3 ( 2.1)	
RMI —		893	(<0.1)	1 ( 0.6)	1 ( 0.7)	
MC		74	(<0.1)	0 ( 0.0)	1 ( 0.7)	
LBS		24,866	(1.3)	0 ( 0.0)	2 ( 1.4)	
RBS		7,902	(0.4)	0 ( 0.0)	2(1.4)	
LBI		151	$(\langle 0.1 \rangle$	0 ( 0.0)	8 ( 5.7)	
RBI		/65	$(\langle 0, 1 \rangle)$	5(3.0)	$\delta(0,0)$	
00		13		1 ( 0.0)	0 ( 0.0)	
TOTAL		1,988,198		167	141	

1

Table 5. Total numbers of arthropods collected from 5 half-liter samples of manure at each of 14 positions along cross-sectional profiles of 12-week-old poultry manure. Numbers in parentheses indicate percent of total found at the sample position.

\* See text and Fig. 14 for explanation of sample positions.

•

Position	n Species or group					
		M. muscador	nesticae			
	lst instars	2nd instars	adults	adult	:s	
CREST LT RT LM RM LB RB	40.9 + 8.72bc $81.8 + 12.48c$ $84.5 + 12.32c$ $38.4 + 9.47b$ $64.1 + 8.95bc$ $11.4 + 1.90a$ $8.5 + 5.32a$	$26.8 \pm 6.61b$ $60.7 \pm 12.79c$ $66.7 \pm 9.90c$ $40.1 \pm 11.61b$ $52.4 \pm 12.34b$ $3.0 \pm 0.67a$ $9.8 \pm 2.48a$	84.8 + 6.8 63.9 + 7.2 68.9 + 7.1 51.6 + 10.5 66.8 + 7.7 32.6 + 5.0 36.3 + 4.2	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	74.42c 106.05c 145.24c 62.73b 98.29c 28.46a 54.44b	
	Other A	carina	<u>C</u> . <u>hirt</u>	cula		
	all st	ages	larvae	adults		
CREST LT RT LM RM LB	$\begin{array}{r} 22,289.2 + \\ 37,805.1 + \\ 127,949.3 + \\ 72,864.0 + \\ 72,404.0 + \\ 5,404.8 + \end{array}$	10,490.4ab 13,402.7ab 32,995.2c 15,879.9bc 33,301.2bc 1,631.7a	33.4 + 10.94c $4.8 + 1.51b$ $5.4 + 2.26b$ $0.8 + 0.55a$ $4.8 + 3.04b$ $0.0 + 0.00a$	9.3 + 2.97b $2.8 + 0.61ab$ $6.9 + 2.09b$ $1.0 + 0.49a$ $3.1 + 1.18ab$ $0.8 + 0.33a$		

Table 6. Mean numbers of arthropods collected from 10 half-liter samples of manure at each of 7 positions along the surface of 12-week-old poultry manure.

Means within columns which are not followed by the same letter are significantly different (Student-Newman-Keuls Range Test, P=.05). Data are presented as  $\overline{X}$  number/sample + S.E. See text and Fig. 14 for explanation of sample positions.

 $0.6 \pm 0.34a$ 

3.8 + 1.24ab

 $6,219.1 \pm 2,330.6a$ 

RB

groups, within-position variation was high. Nonetheless, certain patterns may be discerned. Significantly more <u>Carcinops</u> adults were found at the manure crest than at any other sample position, and significantly more were present in the upper four side positions (RT,LT,RM,LM) than the two basal positions (RB,LB)). Both 1st and 2nd instars of this species were significantly more abundant in the top five than the bottom two positions, however, clumping at the crest was not apparent. A slight, but not statistically significant, clumping trend was also evident on the right-hand positions (RT,RM) of the four upper positions.

exception of the middle-right position (RM), With the Μ. muscadomesticae were significantly more abundant in the upper three than the lower four sample positions. In addition, a right-hand side bias was clearly evident in this species and was statistically significant at the basal and middle positions. Other mites were found in greatest numbers in the middle positions and the top right sample Pronounced side bias was apparent in the top side samples, sites. with nearly 130,000 mites present on the right-hand side (RT) compared with the corresponding left-hand position (LT=ca. 30,000).

Sphaerocerid (<u>C. hirtula</u>) adults were present in low numbers and showed little clumping tendency, except for a right-hand side bias at most levels. Immatures, on the other hand were almost exclusively found in the crest position, with some larvae also present in the upper side (RT,LT) and the middle right (RM) positions.

Analysis of manure moisture content (Table 7) revealed the most
Position	Moisture content (% water <u>+</u> S.E.)
CREST	63.6 <u>+</u> 1.99cd
LT	60.5 <u>+</u> 2.83c
RT	67.9 <u>+</u> 1.56d
LM	62.1 <u>+</u> 2.72cd
RM	68.6 <u>+</u> 2.29d
LB	25.8 <u>+</u> 3.44a
RB	41.1 <u>+</u> 3.94b

Table 7. Moisture content of manure at 7 surface positions corresonding to sample locations presented in Table 6.

N=10 for each position. Means which are not followed by the same letter are significantly different (Student-Newman-Keuls Range Test, P=.05). Data are presented as mean percent water + S.E. See text and Fig. 14 for explanation of sample positions.

be the upper five positions. Also, the left-hand moist regions to significantly drier than the right-hand side, was the row of side which was closer to the wall housing the large exhaust fans. This may seem paradoxical, however, Smith (1975) examined air flow patterns and manure moisture in houses of similar design in New Hampshire, and flows more quickly over the row sides distal to the found that air fans, with the result that manure on these distal sides is drier wall that on the proximal sides, except for the row immediately than adjacent to the fans. Distribution of all arthropods with respect to manure moisture is presented in Figs. 15-18. Because considerably more moist (>50% water) samples were collected than drier samples, figures have been converted to mean numbers of data these in individuals per moisture interval rather than total numbers. Both C. pumilio and C. hirtula adults were found to forage over a broad range of manure moisture content. C. pumilio larvae were mainly found in manure with greater than 50% moisture, as were M. muscadomesticae A small number of C. hirtula larvae were found in manure with adults. a moisture content of 41-50%, but never below 41%. The majority of in samples with greater than 51% water. these larvae were found Non-predaceous mites were also present in greatest numbers in wetter manure, and appeared to be most abundant in the 61-70% moisture range. and significance levels of correlations coefficients Correlation all between groups and manure moisture are presented in Table 8. C. pumilio and C. hirtula larvae, and M. muscadomesticae adults were strongly and significantly correlated with manure moisture (P < .01).

Fig. 15. Distribution of <u>C. pumilio</u> adults and immatures in poultry manure with respect to manure moisture content. Bars represent mean numbers of individuals per moisture content interval.



PERCENT MOISTURE

Fig. 16. Distribution of <u>C</u>. <u>hirtula</u> adults and immatures in poultry manure with respect to manure moisture content. Bars represent mean numbers of individuals per moisture content interval.



Fig. 17. Distribution of <u>M</u>. <u>muscadomesticae</u> adults in poultry manure with respect to manure moisture content. Bars represent mean numbers of individuals per moisture content interval.



Fig. 18. Distribution of Acarina other than M. <u>muscadomesticae</u> in poultry manure with respect to manure moisture content. Bars represent mean numbers of individuals of all stages per moisture content interval.



OTHER ACARINA

Neither adults of <u>C</u>. <u>pumilio</u> and <u>C</u>. <u>hirtula</u> nor all stages of non-predaceous mites were significantly correlated with moisture at the 5% level, however, the latter correlation approached significance (P=.053).

Correlation coefficients and significance levels of correlations between predators and potential prey are also presented in Table 8. All stages of <u>C. pumilio</u> and adult <u>M. muscadomesticae</u> were strongly (R>0.45) and significantly (P<.001) correlated with non-predaceous mites. <u>C. hirtula</u> larvae contributed significantly (P<.05), but weakly, to adult <u>C. pumilio</u> (R=0.24) and <u>M. muscadomesticae</u> (R=0.21) distribution, and were not significantly correlated with <u>C. pumilio</u> immatures. Results which are presented in the following section suggest that sphaerocerid larvae are actually more available to predators than is apparent from the preceding core sample data.

Dropping board samples. Numbers of arthropods present in manure which had accumulated for 24 h on dropping boards on Aug. 21, 1981, are presented in Table 9. Since these samples were incubated for an additional 24 h prior to extraction, counts of early instar dipteran larvae may be viewed as reflecting fly eggs and newly hatched larvae which are available to predators on the three upper surface positions discussed above (CREST,LT,RT). With the exception of the highly mobile adults of <u>M. muscadomesticae</u>, predators were present in low numbers in this isolated and temporary habitat. Counts of <u>C. hirtula</u> larvae were fairly high (69.5 larvae/sample). Early instar house fly

Table 8. Correlation	on coefficients and significanc	e levels of correlations
between arthropo	od predators and prey, and all	arthropod numbers with
manure moisture	content. Data from surface di	spersion samples pre-
sented in Tables	s 6 and 7. Arthropod counts su	bjected to log $(x + 1.5)$
transformation	prior to analysis.	

Independent variable	Dependent variable	Correlation coefficient (R)	Significance level
<u>C. pumilio</u> (imm.)	manure moisture	0.635	<0.001
<u>C. pumilio</u> (ad.)	manure moisture	0.226	0.078
C. hirtula (imm.)	manure moisture	0.462	0.003
C. hirtula (ad.)	manure moisture	0.210	0.113
M. muscadomesticae (ad.)	manure moisture	0.694	<0.001
Other Acarina*	manure moisture	0.232	0.053
<u>C. pumilio</u> (imm.)	C. hirtula (imm.	) -0.081	0.252
	other Acarina*	0.673	<0.001
<u>C. pumilio</u> (ad.)	<u>C. hirtula</u> (imm.	) 0.241	0.018
	other Acarina*	0.545	<0.001
M. muscadomesticae (ad.)	<u>C. hirtula</u> (imm.	) 0.213	0.038
	other Larina*	0.461	<0.001

ad.=adults, imm.=immatures \* Acarina other than <u>M</u>. <u>muscadomesticae</u> (all stages).

Species	<u>X</u> <u>+</u> S.E.
<u>C. pumilio</u> – 1st instars	3.0 <u>+</u> 0.41
<u>C. pumilio</u> – 2nd instars	4.9 + 1.65
<u>C. pumilio</u> – adults	7.5 + 0.90
M. muscadomesticae - adults	39.3 <u>+</u> 11.56
<u>C. hirtula</u> – larvae	69.5 <u>+</u> 8.56
<u>C. hirtula</u> – adults	6.7 <u>+</u> 0.85
<u>M. domestica</u> – 1st and 2nd instars	104.4 + 25.84
<u>M. domestica</u> – mature larvae	13.9 <u>+</u> 8.61

Table 9. Mean numbers of arthropods collected from 10 oneliter samples of manure which had accumulated on dropping boards for 24 h prior to sampling. •

larvae, which had not been detected in any samples from the main manure rows below, were present in high numbers also (104.4 larvae/sample). Mature house fly larvae and 2nd instar <u>C. pumilio</u> immatures were also found in these samples, and are presumed to have been developing in clumps of manure around support beams along the boards which had not been reached during previous board cleanings.

on distribution. Results of of habitat maturity Influence repopulation of newly accumulating manure under alternate row removal conditions are presented in Table 10. One week following removal of the manure from the central row, numbers of predators present in fresh manure were significantly lower than in more mature (10-wk-old) manure in adjacent rows. C. hirtula adults were present in equal numbers in both age classes of manure, while larvae of this species were far more abundant in newly accumulating (377 larvae/sample) than in older (41.7 larvae/sample) manure. At two weeks post-removal, predators had still significantly repopulated the fresher manure, while immatures of not hirtula averaged over 3,000 larvae per sample in this newer manure, <u>C</u>. compared with 6.0 larvae per sample in more mature manure (11-wk old). By three weeks post-removal, M. muscadomesticae had reached numbers were significantly higher in fresher than more mature manure, that presumably due a combination of manure composting and high to prey-densities. pumilio adults on newer manure had increased over C. the previous week but were still significantly less numerous than on more mature manure (12-wk-old). C. pumilio larvae showed no increase

Table 10. Mean numbers of arthropods collected from half-liter samples of manure under conditions simulating alternate row removal for three weeks following removal of the central row.

Weeks since removal	e Species or group					~
		<u>C. pumila</u>	Lo		M. muscadome	esticae
-	lst instars	2nd inst	tars	adults	adult	ts
1 10	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.0 + 0.52 \\ 24.5 + 7.12 \\ \end{array} $	1.7 + 32.9 + 100	0.56 7.28**	$7.3 \pm 2.78$ $20.2 \pm 8.98$	8 59.0 <u>+</u> 8* 172.0 <u>+</u>	17.61 38.39*
2 11	$0.5 \pm 0.34$ 72.0 ± 14.92**	0.5 + 75.7 + 1	0.22 4.45**	$4.0 \pm 1.83$ $43.3 \pm 10.43$	8 82.8 <u>+</u> 3** 188.8 <u>+</u>	15.03 42.62**
- 3 12	$1.2 \pm 0.52$ 109.3 $\pm 17.90**$	0.2 <u>+</u> 91.7 <u>+</u>	0.17 8.35**	$21.0 \pm 6.1$ 74.8 ± 19.8	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	101.44 35.71*
	Other Acar	ina		<u>C.</u> <u>h</u>	<u>irtula</u>	
	all stage	s	1:	arvae	adult	S
1 10	347.0 <u>+</u> 14,449.6 <u>+</u> 7,	128.6 207.8*	377.0	+ 128.61 + 23.40*	423.5 <u>+</u> 2 582.8 <u>+</u> 1	.52.02 .43.12ns
2 11	$2,472.7 \pm 1,$ 18,259.3 $\pm 14,$	585.7 315.9ns	3,229.5	+ 1,185.28 + 1.41*	383.3 <u>+</u> 1 273.7 <u>+</u>	106.87 72.15ns
3 12	$\frac{11,359.5 + 3}{71,292.2 + 46}$	625.7 830.2ns	1,207.3 6.2	+ 371.08 + 2.77**	* $191.3 + 74.7$	32.16 28.83**

For each of three weeks following removal of the central row of manure, 10 half-liter samples were collected from both newly accumulating (weeks 1, 2 and 3) and older (weeks 10, 11 and 12) manure. Data were analyzed by pairwise comparisons of numbers of individuals in fresh vs. older manure on each of these three sampling dates (one way ANOVA). Data are presented as  $\overline{X}$  number/sample + S.E. (\* = P<.05, \*\* = P<.01, ns = not signifigant).

and were still present in negligible numbers (  $\langle 2.0 \rangle$  in fresher manure, compared with the numbers of first (109.3/sample) and second (91.7/sample) instars in more mature manure. Non-predaceous mites were present in equivalent numbers in both 3- and 12-wk-old manure. C. <u>hirtula</u> adults and larvae were significantly more abundant on less mature manure and had declined since the previous week.

samples taken in 1982 from artificially established Results of islands of manure of varying maturity are presented in Table 11. C. were present in significantly greater numbers in pumilio adults 6-wk-old manure, and in significantly greater numbers 10-wk-old than larval instars of than in 2-wk-old manure. Both the latter in significantly more abundant in 10-wk-old manure than Carcinops were two younger age classes. Adults of M. muscadomesticae either of the were significantly more abundant in manure of the two older age Other acarines were present in classes than in 2-wk-old manure. greatest numbers in 6-wk-old manure. C. hirtula adults showed little preference for any manure age class, while significantly more immatures of this species were found in the two younger manure age classes than in 10-wk-old manure. House fly larvae were found in appreciable numbers only in manure which had accumulated for two weeks.

## Discussion

# Cross-sectional profiles, surface distribution and local environmental

Manur age (w	re ik)	ţ	Species or g	group	
		<u>C. pumilio</u>		<u>M.</u> musc	adomesticae
	lst instars	2nd instars	adults	a	dults
2	0.3 <u>+</u> 0.21a	0.1 <u>+</u> 0.10a	6.1 <u>+</u> 2.1	19a 580.6	<u>+</u> 122.73a
6	2.4 <u>+</u> 1.23a	16.7 <u>+</u> 6.91a	20 <b>.</b> 9 <u>+</u> 3.2	25b 1,237.6	<u>+</u> 223.79Ъ
10	32.0 <u>+</u> 5.56b	57.9 <u>+</u> 9.89b	54.7 <u>+</u> 5.8	85c 810.7	<u>+</u> 128.26b
	Other Acarina	ı	<u>C. hirtu</u>	<u>la</u>	M. domestica
	all stages	lar	vae	adults	larvae
2	46,924.7 <u>+</u> 7,98	87.2a 537.3	+ 163.28ъ	35.7 <u>+</u> 5.59ab	41.1 <u>+</u> 19.03ъ
6	288,310.0 <u>+</u> 25,20	59.0c 284.2	+ 76.32ъ	90.7 <u>+</u> 41.02b	4.2 <u>+</u> 3.35a
10	146,661.0 <u>+</u> 20,1	15.1b 21.1	+ 5.69a	21.4 <u>+</u> 4.63a	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.00a

Table 11. Mean numbers of arthropods collected from 10 half-liter samples of manure from each of three groups of manure "islands" of different accumumulation times.

Means within columns which are not followed by the same letter are signifiantly different (Student-Newman-Keuls Range Test, P=05). Data are presented as  $\overline{X}$  number/sample + S.E.

In this study of distribution of arthropod predators and conditions. mature manure, nearly all individuals of all species and within prey found within a narrow band on or just below the stages were life manure surface. At the time when these samples were taken, the manure ft wide by 4 ft high. From these results it can be ca. 6 were rows that only a small proportion of the available habitat is utilized seen by predators and prey alike. Willis and Axtell (1968) noted a similar distribution pattern for M. muscadomesticae and Fuscuropoda vegetans (DeGeer) in poultry manure in North Carolina, although no details were The reasons for this apparent restriction of manure arthropods given. to the surface layers are not clear. More interior regions may not be optimal for dipteran and acarine prey development due to prior to changes in the abundance, over-exploitation of the resource or species composition of the associated microflora. activity or Alternatively, physicochemical properties of these interior zones may render the original substrate unsuitable for further utilization by For example, the greater density of manure from interior arthropods. regions may restrict foraging movements of both predators and their Also, gas exchange may well become limiting for arthropods as prey. they move further from the surface and encounter decreasing oxygen tensions and increased levels of ammonia and other noxious gases.

Legner (1971) suggested partial removal of upper portions of manure as a fly management strategy. The results presented here demonstrate that, at least for the type of house design typical of Massachusetts, quite the opposite should be recommended; the manure

surface with its predators should be conserved and the relatively arthropod-free interior discarded during house cleanouts. Such an approach would pose tactical difficulties, however, and may not be These results also indicate that practical under field conditions. the position at which core samples are taken have a profound influence Thus, samples taken perceived predator and prey abundance. on half-way up the pile in this type of house give a misleadingly low impression of Carcinops, Macrocheles and dipteran abundance compared with samples taken from the crest. Crest samples, on the other hand, mask non-predaceous mite availability to mobile predator life stages not accurately reflect <u>C. pumilio</u> larval population sizes. and do Similar distribution information is needed for deep-pit and high-rise house designs, where manure accumulation times are considerably longer and predator populations are frequently very high (Bills 1973, Dunning et al. 1978, Anon. 1975).

Peck and Anderson (1969) reported that <u>Carcinops</u> favored drier regions (ca. 50% water) of manure in open-sided poultry houses in California. Smith (1976), working in New Hampshire, found the greatest proportion of beetles at ca. 40% moisture content, however the total number collected was low. In addition, there is a general feeling among poultrymen and researchers that predators are more abundant in dry manure. Since beetle adults aid in the process of manure aeration by their movements through the substrate, it is likely that drier manure is often a consequence, rather than a cause, of large predator populations. In the present study, most manure samples

were fairly moist (>50% water). This was partially due to the fact that sampling was conducted in the approximate geometric center of the house, where relative humidity is maximal (Geden, pers. obs.). Despite the overall higher moisture levels found in most of these samples, predators were quite abundant. <u>C. pumilio</u> adults appeared to forage across a broad range of manure moisture conditions (11 - 70%water) and were not significantly correlated with either wetter or drier manure. Rather, beetles seemed to select regions of the habitat which were rich in prey.

In addition to bird manure, which appears to be the natural habitat for this species (Hicks 1959), <u>C. pumilio</u> has been recovered from numerous and varied habitats associated with human activity, including cut grass, stored grain, stale yeast, glue factories, bone works and carrion (Hinton 1945). I have also found adults in an experimental composting toilet at an Audobon nature center which had developed phorid and sphaerocerid fly problems (unpub. obs.). Thus, it may be concluded that <u>Carcinops</u> has a wide range of tolerance for moisture conditions and forages wherever prey and other food items are found in the local environment.

Immatures, on the other hand, were significantly and positively correlated with moisture content. This may reflect adult choice with respect to oviposition sites higher larval mortality under drier conditions, or movement of immatures into regions of higher moisture, where dipteran prey are more abundant. Since the optimum manure moisture content for M. domestica larvae is 60-75% (Miller et al.

1974), <u>Carcinops</u> shows considerable ecological overlap with this pest from the standpoint of this environmental parameter.

Temporary manure deposits on dropping boards Dropping board samples. clearly provide a relatively predator-free environment for house fly oviposition. During times of high adult fly sphaerocerid and populations or immigrations from neighboring houses, the number of dipteran eggs and newly hatched larvae in this short-lived habitat must be considerable. Cleaning of these boards onto the main rows of manure beneath the lower tiers of birds provides substantial prey input to foraging predators on the surface of these rows, and probably in part for predator clumping on and near the crest although accounts other factors may play a role as well (Willis and Axtell 1968). Many of these prey items are consumed shortly after their deposition on the main rows' surface, since sphaerocerid larvae are found in much lower in crest samples taken in the afternoon than are present in numbers dropping board manure at the time of board cleaning (compare Tables 6 House fly larvae were not detected in any core samples taken and 9). from the main manure rows, but were present in high numbers in board manure which was held for 24 hr, suggesting predator dropping house fly eggs over those of sphaerocerids. On the preference for other hand, house fly eggs may simply be more apparent to predators. female flies, which in batches deposited by House eggs fly are frequently oviposit in groups. In contrast, sphaerocerid eggs are smaller than those of house fly and are deposited singly in much

crevices under the manure surface (Chapter V).

Influence of habitat maturity on distribution. Several workers have suggested alternate row manure removal as a strategy in filth fly IPM programs (Legner and Brydon 1966, Legner and Olton 1968, Anderson at 1968, Dunning et al. 1978) since predator populations are al. generally higher and more stable after longer accumulation times (Legner et al. 1973, 1975, Peck and Anderson 1969). Peck and Anderson (1970) examined the impact of several manure removal schedules on predator and prey repopulation. Since histerid populations were very under all schedules which they examined, and since C. pumilio and low nanus were not counted seperately in that study, I decided to look G. manure age preferences in houses which contained large populations at both Carcinops and M. muscadomesticae. Under simulated alternate of removal conditions, Carcinops adults were present at much lower row in newly accumulating manure after three weeks than in older levels (12 week) manure from the adjacent rows, even though dipteran prey 200 times more abundant in the former (Table 10). In a were complimentary study, employing contiguous manure islands of varying accumulation times, beetle adults were again more abundant in older fresher manure, even though the latter contained far more fly than larvae Since the distance between the islands was very (Table 11). this distribution pattern may be viewed as a reflection of small. active choice by the highly mobile adults of <u>Carcinops</u> and Macrocheles.

From this study, as well as others (Chapter II, Peck 1968, Peck Anderson 1970), it appears that fresh manure is non-attractive to and adults of both predator species. Data which are presented in Chapter indicate that, at least for Carcinops, newly accumulating manure II a repellent effect as well. This repellency occurs at a time when has prey densities are at their peak (Legner et al. 1973) and when manure best able to support fly larval development (Miller et al. 1974). is Further work is needed to determine the specific olfactory, gustatory or tactile factors involved in this effect, and to identify the biotic and physicochemical changes which occur over the following weeks which render older manure more attractive to predators. From an applied standpoint, these results indicate that while alternate row removal may assist in long-term predator repopulation of newly accumulating droppings, there remains a critical period of several weeks following removal when fresh manure is highly susceptible to fly invasion and establishment. incorporating A management program predator conservation and selective use of pesticides which are compatable with natural enemies during this "window of vulnerability" has the greatest success. Further, potential for it appears that sphaerocerids are important alternative prev items in the diet of Carcinops (Chapters II, IV and V) and other histerid predators (Bornemissza 1968). Since these flies not appear to pose a nuisance problem to communities do adjacent to farms, producers should be discouraged from efforts to control them.

# CHAPTER IV PREY-MEDIATED DISPERSAL BEHAVIOR OF THE PREDACEOUS HISTERID, <u>CARCINOPS</u> <u>PUMILIO</u> (ERICHSON)

#### Introduction

<u>Carcinops</u> <u>pumilio</u> (Erichson) is an histerid predator which is most commonly found in commercial egg production facilities where large, stable deposits of poultry manure accumulate (Legner and Olton 1970). Adult and immature beetles inhabit the surface layers of the manure and forage for dipteran and acarine prey (Chapter II), making this species an object of interest from the standpoint of filth fly pest management. Now cosmopolitan, <u>C. pumilio</u> is believed to have originated in Africa (Fauvel 1889) and, prior to man's domestication of fowl, lived in the nests of wild avian species (Hicks 1959).

Despite the widespread distribution of this beetle in nature and the very high population densities achieved in poultry houses, little is known of the means of transport from one farm to another, a distance which frequently spans many kilometers. Under normal circumstances, <u>Carcinops</u> is repelled by strong light and is rarely observed to fly (Geden, pers. obs.). During a larger study of the

poultry manure arthropod community conducted in central Massachusetts (Chapters II and III), I occasionally observed large numbers of adult beetles climbing the inside walls of poultry houses, flying about the overhead lights and initiating flight from windows.

During this 3-year observation period, dispersal was not found to be correlated with calender date, but occurred at varying levels from May to September. Times of peak dispersal coincided with long manure accumulation times (3-4 mo.), when adult <u>C. pumilio</u> populations are most abundant and immatures of this species are present in relatively low numbers (Chapters II and III). Since flight is an uncommon event in this species, these observations raised the possibility that <u>C. pumilio</u> may undergo a migratory, or adaptive dispersal phase (Johnson 1969).

The objectives of the present study were therefore to investigate causal aspects of dispersal in <u>C. pumilio</u> with respect to the following: 1. sex ratios of dispersers compared with non-dispersers; 2. physiological age (mating condition, parity and ovarian state) of dispersers and non-dispersers; 3. morphometric analysis of dispersers and non-dispersers; and, 4. potential for reversal and induction of dispersal under experimental conditions.

#### Materials and Methods

Study site and beetle collection methods. All beetles were collected from a commercial egg production facility with a long history of

natural house fly suppression (Hill's farm, Hubbardston, MA) in For comparisons of "dispersing" and "foraging" C. pumilio, 1980-1982. "dispersers" are defined here as those beetles which were actively climbing the walls of the poultry houses and orienting towards the narrow windows near the ceilings of the houses. Such beetles were near large exhaust fans in the walls, where the most commonly found incident daylight reaches the interior of the amount of greatest Dispersers were collected by gently scraning beetles off the houses. walls into plastic bags with a putty knife. "Foraging" beetles are defined as those which were found moving about immediately beneath the These were collected by taking large samples of surface. manure sub-surface manure and mechanically removing beetles with a moistened camel's hair paint brush.

Phototactic response of field-collected dispersing and foraging  $\underline{C}$ . To make initial determinations of whether there was in fact pumilio. a behavioral difference between dispersing and foraging C. pumilio as beetles were first tested for phototactic response. defined above, This test was suggested by field observations that wall-climbing (dispersing) beetles would reverse their upward direction of travel illuminated from below with a bright flashlight. Beetles from when manure sub-surface (foragers), on the other hand, were observed to the burrow down into manure when exposed and illuminated from above with the same light.

To examine this response in greater detail, dispersers and

July 1, 1980, and tested in a simple foragers were collected on choice chamber. This chamber consisted of a 30 cm length light-dark plastic tubing (diam.=20mm) with clear plastic 1 oz clear of collecting cups affixed to either end. Half the length of the tubing one of the collecting cups were wrapped in black electrical tape, and the other half and its cup were left clear. The light end of the chamber was oriented towards a south-facing window in a room with no artificial lighting. All tests were run between 2:00 and 4:00 PM, within 4 hours of beetle collections. A replicate consisted of 50 beetles, introduced one at a time, into the middle of the chamber Five minutes following through a hole at its midpoint. the introduction of the 50th beetle, the number found in the light end of the chamber was counted and scored as photopositive.

Flight initiation by field-collected dispersers and foragers. To whether positive phototaxis was a prelude to flight, flight determine initiation was investigated in the lab, using field-collected dispersers and foragers. This behavior was assayed by the use of take-off chambers. chamber consisted of a 1 oz plastic cup Each filled two-thirds full with fine river sand, with a dental wick (Absorbal\*) bridge arching across the surface of the sand. This small cup was then placed inside a 16 oz paper container with a clear plastic wick bridge was found to be essential for lid. dental The monitoring flight initiation, since beetles were reluctant to take-off from the flat surface which the sand provided, but flew readily from

the Absorbal wick when sufficiently motivated to fly.

Beetles were introduced, in replicates of 20 beetles per chamber, onto the surface of the sand in the inner containers. All containers were then placed near a south-facing window in a room with no artificial lighting for a 1 hr observation period commencing at 2:00 PM on May 28, 1981, several hours after beetles were collected. Beetles were unable to climb the surface of the inner container; therefore, any which were found in the outer container after 1 hr were scored as positive for flight initiation.

foragers. Morphometric analysis of dispersers and To test the possibility that dispersal was a delayed response to intense larval resulting competition, in the production of smaller adults. morphometric measurements of field-collected dispersers and foragers were made. Since a slight size difference in certain there is characters between sexes (Chapter II), only females were measured. Measurements were made of 25 dispersing and foraging C. pumilio which were collected on May 19, 1981, using an ocular micrometer under a dissecting microscope. The following characters were measured for each individual: head width across eyes (HW), maximum pronotal width (PWM), pronotal width across points at head (PWH), maximum pronotal length (PLM), right elytral width at anterior end (EWA), maximum right elytral length (ELM), diagonal length of right elytron from inner left (anterior) to outer right (posterior) points (ELD), and the lengths of the fore, middle and hind femora (FF,MF,HF) and tibiae (FT,MT,HT).

Sex ratios, mating condition, parity and ovarian states of dispersers and foragers. Sex ratios of dispersers and foragers were determined from beetles collected on July 1 and Aug. 14, 1980, and May 28, 1981. Beetles were collected as before, preserved in 70% ethanol, and dissected for sex determinations, as there are no reliable external diagnostic characters for separating the sexes of this species (Chapter II). One hundred dispersing and foraging <u>C. pumilio</u> were sexed from each of these 3 sampling dates, for a total of 600 beetles.

collected on June 21, 1982, Additional beetles were for determinations of mating condition, parity and ovarian state. One hundred live dispersing and foraging C. pumilio females were dissected in physiological saline for determination of parity as evidenced by the presence of follicular relics (yellow bodies) at the bases of the lateral oviducts (Chapter V). In addition, the spermathecae of 25 beetles from each group were examined under a compound microscope for the presence of sperm. Finally, the ovarian state of 25 female dispersers and foragers was determined. These beetles were preserved in 70% ethanol prior to inspection, since alcohol preservation allows discrimination from of chorionated non-chorionated eggs via differential tissue shrinkage. C. pumilio has four ovarioles per ovary and develops and deposits eggs singly. At any one time, one rarely finds more fully developed. than one chorionated egg per female, and seldom more than 2 oocytes with substantial yolk deposition (Chapter V). The condition of the four most-developed

oocytes (2/ovariole) was therefore determined visually and ascribed an index value ranging from 0 to 5 according to the following criteria: 0= undeveloped oocyte, no visible yolk deposition; 1= early developed oocyte, some yolk, length up to ca. 0.35mm; 2= oocyte length ca. 0.35-0.50mm; 3= oocyte length ca. 0.50-0.65mm; 4= nearly completely developed egg, chorion lacking, length ca. 0.65-0.80mm; 5= completely developed egg, chorion present, length generally greater than 0.80mm.

Effects of feeding treatments on dispersing C. pumilio. Results of the above work indicated that dispersal was not related to sex, physiological age or long-term nutritional history (ovarian state), raising the possibility that this behavior is appetetive and driven by To test this, dispersers were collected from the field, hunger. subjected to pre-treatment phototactic and flight assays as described above, then held for 24 h on 4 different feeding treatments. These follows: 1. water only (saturated dental wick); 2. water plus were as granulated sucrose; 3. "prey-free" manure, which had been collected in the field, baked in a drying oven, and remoistened to ca. 60% water; 4. water plus house fly eggs and newly hatched larvae. After 24 and, feeding treatments, beetles were assayed again for hr on these phototaxis and flight initiation. Phototaxis tests were run on July 5 and 6, 1980, with 50 beetles/replicate and five replicates/treatment. Flight assavs conducted on were Aug. 12, 11 1982, and with 25 beetles/replicate and five replicates/treatment.

Induction of dispersal in prey-deprived beetles. Since administration was found to reverse dispersal in field-collected beetles, of prey prev-deprivation was strongly suggested as the releasing cue for this examine this and to determine the amount of time behavior. To the expression of dispersal, colony beetles were for required prey-deprived conditions and assayed subjected to for flight initiation daily. Beetles used in this study were from the F4 generation reared in the lab and were approximately four weeks old at the experiment. the start of For the first four weeks of life. beetles were maintained in CSMA house fly medium, with high densities larvae of the small dung fly, Coproica hirtula (Rondani), in a of rearing room maintained at 30-31°C, 24 hr light and 60-70% RH. C. hirtula is a natural prey item in the diet of C. pumilio in the field (Chapter II and III), and is more easily manipulated for beetle colony maintenance than is the house fly (Chapter V). After four weeks on this prey-rich diet, beetles were transferred to containers with moistened dental wick only and assayed daily for flight initiation. Assays were conducted as described above at 20 beetles/relicate, 15 replicates/day. Between assays, beetles were returned to and held in the above-mentioned rearing room.

## Results

Phototactic response and flight initiation of dispersing and foraging <u>C. pumilio</u>. Results of phototactic and flight initiation assays of

and foragers are presented in Table 12. field-collected dispersers Data have been converted to percentages for ease of comparisons between tests, in which the number of beetles per replicate varied. Of the dispersers, 94.0% oriented towards the light end of the light-dark choice chamber, and 90.4% initiated flight during a 1 hr In contrast, 28.8% of the foraging C. pumilio were test period. positively phototactic and only 2.2% initiated flight. Observation of flight chambers confirmed that beetles found in the outer the containers at the end of the observation period had indeed initiated Beetles displayed a characterictic behavior of crossing and flight. re-crossing the dental wick bridge prior to take-off, apparently in search of the highest available point in the local environment. After several such crossings, individual beetles stopped at the peak of the bridge, extended the forelegs so as to raise the anterior part of the head under the pronotum, and opened the elytra. body, tucked the Between  $\langle 1$  to 3later, either the hind wings opened and the sec. beetles would jump to initiate flight, or the elytra closed again. Those beetles which did not fly after assuming the pre-flight posture a short distance generally walked and repeated the behavioral sequence. It was not uncommon for an individual to "prepare" for flight in this manner five or six times before finally taking off. Those beetles which did fly struck the walls and fell to the bottom of the outer container. Subsequently, they generally walked up the walls this container and circled about the upper rim, which was covered of with a clear plastic lid. Flight was rarely attempted from the smooth

Table 12. Comparisons of phototactic response and flight initiation of dispersing and foraging <u>C. pumilio</u> collected from poultry houses in 1980 and 1982, respectively.

	Dispersers	Foragers
% positively phototactic (X <u>+</u> S.D.)	94.0 + 0.98	28.8 + 4.14 **
% initiating flight (X <u>+</u> S.D.)	90.4 + 3.06	2.2 <u>+</u> 0.82 **

\*\* P<.01, Oneway ANOVA

surface of the container bottom, although such documented flyers would readily reinitiate flight when placed in the inner container a second time.

Foraging beetles, on the other hand, generally did not climb the arched wick, but burrowed into the sand at its base. Most foragers which did climb the wick did not display the crossing and recrossing behavior of the dispersers, except for the small percentage (2.2%) which flew.

During the handling of these field-collected beetles, another behavioral difference was noted that was of some interest. Foragers, when touched by the paint brush, frequently exhibited thanatosis (Hinton 1945), retracting the head and legs for as long as one minute. Dispersers, on the other hand, did not show this behavior, but continued to move actively when contacted.

Sex ratios, mating condition, parity and ovarian state of dispersers and foragers. Results of sex ratio determinations of 3 dispersing and foraging <u>C</u>. <u>pumilio</u> populations in 1980-1981 are presented in Table 13. No significant differences were found on any of the sampling dates, with a total, male- biased, sex ratio of 3486:2527.

Of 25 dispersing and foraging females collected June 21, 1982, all were found to have mated (Table 13). Similarly, no significant differences were found with respect to parity, with 94% and 98% of 100 dispersers and foragers having yellow bodies, respectively. Also, no significant differences were found between 25 dispersers and foragers

	Dispersers	Foragers
Sex ratio $(\vec{\sigma}: \vec{\varphi})$	59:41	57:43
% mated	100	100
% parous	94	98
Oocyte 1	3.8 <u>+</u> 1.511	4.1 <u>+</u> 1.63 ns2
Oocyte 2	2.4 <u>+</u> 1.54	0.3 <u>+</u> 1.37 ns
Oocyte 3	1.5 <u>+</u> 1.21	1.7 <u>+</u> 1.37 ns
Oocyte 4	0.6 + 0.89	0.9 <u>+</u> 0.77 ns

Table 13. Comparisons of sex ratios, mating condition, parity and ovarian state of dispersing and foraging C. pumilio collected from poultry houses in 1980-1982.

Mean  $\infty$  yte index + S.D. See text for index criteria. Not significant at P= .05. 1.

2.

with respect to the condition of the four most-developed oocytes (Table 13).

Morphometric analysis of dispersers and foragers. Results of measurements of 13 characters from 25 dispersing and foraging females are presented in Table 14. No significant differences were found between the two groups for any of the characters which were examined.

feeding treatments on dispersing C. pumilio. Results of Effects of the effect of diet on the behavior of field-collected the tests for Table 15. Pre-treatment assays on the are presented in dispersers day of collection confirmed that beetles were in dispersal mode, with 89.6% showing a positive phototactic response and 91.6% initiating Maintaining these beetles for 24 hr on water only had no flight. significant effect on either dispersal-related behavior, with 87.3% still attracted to light and 93.6% initiating flight. Administration of sucrose as well as water had a slight, but not statistically significant, dampening effect on both behaviors. Those beetles which were allowed to feed on prey showed a profound reversal in dispersal behavior, with only 3.7% attracted to light and 4.8% initiating flight. "Prey-free" manure appeared to have a dampening effect as well, however, this effect was not significant at the 5% level.

Induction of dispersal in prey-deprived <u>C. pumilio</u>. The effect of prey-deprivation on colony beetles with a previous prey-rich feeding
Table 14.	Compariso	ons of morp	hometric o	characte	rs of di	Ispersing	and
foragin	ng C. pumi	lio female	s collecte	ed from	poultry	houses of	n
May 19,	1981 · A	ll values	are expres	ssed as	mean dis	stance in	mm
<u>+</u> S.D.							

	Dispersers	Foragers
HW	0.59 + 0.023	$0.60 \pm 0.029$ ns
PWM	0.69 + 0.026	0.68 <u>+</u> 0.031 ns
PWH	1.36 <u>+</u> 0.071	1.33 <u>+</u> 0.073 ns
PLM	0.82 + 0.039	0.79 <u>+</u> 0.057 ns
EWA	0.80 + 0.042	0.78 <u>+</u> 0.044 ns
ELM	1.36 <u>+</u> 0.094	1.34 <u>+</u> 0.107 ns
ELD	$1.67 \pm 0.070$	1.72 <u>+</u> 0.073 ns
FF	$0.60 \pm 0.022$	0.59 <u>+</u> 0.029 ns
FT	$0.55 \pm 0.028$	0.54 <u>+</u> 0.031 ns
MF	$0.56 \pm 0.026$	0.55 <u>+</u> 0.025 ns
MT	0.52 + 0.029	0.51 <u>+</u> 0.029 ns
HF	0.64 + 0.030	0.63 <u>+</u> 0.027 ns
HT	$0.62 \pm 0.026$	0.61 <u>+</u> 0.028 ns

ns = not significant (Oneway ANOVA,  $P \ge .05$ ). (HW = head width at eyes, PWM = pronotal width at widest point, PWH = pronotal width at head, PLM = pronotal length at longest point, EWA = elytral width at widest point, ELM = elytral length at longest point, ELD = elytral length along diagonal from inner anterior to outer exterior points, FF, MF and HF = lengths of the fore-, middle- and hind femora, FT, MT and HT = lengths of the fore-, middle- and hind tibiae.) Table 15. Phototactic response and flight initiation of fieldcollected dispersing <u>C</u>. <u>pumilio</u> before and after being maintained for 24 h on four different feeding treatments.

	% positively phototactic (X <u>+</u> S.D.)	% initiating flight (X <u>+</u> S.D.)
Pre-treatment	89.6 <u>+</u> 4.88 a	91.6 <u>+</u> 9.17 a
After 24 h on:		
water only	87.3 <u>+</u> 4.16 a	93.6 <u>+</u> 4.56 a
water and sucrose	e 82.0 <u>+</u> 10.58 a	77.2 <u>+</u> 9.55 a
"prey-free" manur	e 68.6 <u>+</u> 6.11 ab	54.4 <u>+</u> 22.40 ab
water and prey	3.7 <u>+</u> 2.52 b	4.8 <u>+</u> 1.94 b

Means within columns which are not followed by the same letter are significantly different (P<.05, Student-Newman-Keuls Range Test).

history is illustrated in Fig. 19. On the first day of starvation, virtually none of the beetles initiated flight (1.7%). Flight initiation remained infrequent on the following two days, with 0.8% and 5.0% flying on days 2 and 3, respectively. On day 4, a sudden increase in flight propensity was observed (38.6%), which increased further on day 5 (67.2%) and remained high on day 6 (64.7%). Flight initiation then dropped on day 7 (47.0%) and day 8 (38.0%). On days 9 and 10, no flight was observed at all.

# Discussion

Dispersal versus migration- general considerations. Various authors have attempted to clarify the distinction between dispersal, which is generally characterized by short flights between patches within habitats, and migration, which consists of longer flights between habitats (Johnson 1969, Kennedy 1961, Southwood 1962, Stinner et al. Johnson (1969) further suggested that the term "migration" be 1983). restricted to those flights which are non-appetitive (Thorpe 1951) and ontogenic. He also stated, however, that "no category is ever completely satisfactory", and pointed to examples of species which both feed and mate during true migrations (eg. locusts). Since Carcinops does not engage in trivial flight, but rather walks between patches within the habitat (poultry houses), flight in this species is reserved for movements between habitats, which may be separated by very long distances. The fact that flight may be shut down by Fig. 19. Flight initiation response of <u>C</u>. <u>pumilio</u> which were placed on water-only regimes after being maintained for four weeks on a diet rich in dipteran prey.

÷.



administration of prey and induced by starvation indicates that such movements are appetitive. Thus, flight in <u>C. pumilio</u> appears to share certain characteristics of both dispersal and migration, suggesting that this species is a facultative migrant in the sense of Dingle and Arora (1973).

Adaptive dispersal and migration are mechanisms of escape from the site of development either as an obligatory syndrome occurring shortly after adult eclosion or in response to environmental cues indicative of declining habitat quality (Davis 1980, Johnson 1966, 1969, Messina 1982, Meyer 1982). Since emigration from the native habitat entails some risk of mortality, these behaviors are more common in species which utilize temporary, or patchily distributed, resources (Dingle 1978, Roff 1975, 1977, Southwood 1962, Vepsalainen In such habitats, a behavioral polymorphism with respect to 1978). emigration often evolves, reflecting a balance between the opposing selection pressures against the risks of dispersal with those of remaining in a deteriorating environment (Derr et al. 1981, Dingle 1965, Parker and Stuart 1976, Taylor and Taylor 1977). In the present study, the percentage of dispersers under experimental conditions never exceded 68%, even though all beetles were raised under similar conditions and were the same physiological age. These results suggest that certain individuals within the population are either not preadapted for flight (Young 1965) or are unresponsive to cues which trigger it in others, as has been found in the the milkweed bug (Dingle 1965, Rainkin 1978) and the alfafa weevil (Meyer 1982).

Sex ratios of dispersers and foragers. Males and female of <u>C</u>. <u>pumilio</u> were found to be present in equal proportions in dispersing and foraging populations, with a slight male bias in both, although the sex ratio at emergence is approximately 1:1 (Chapter V). Relatively little is known of the sexual composition of migrants of most species, although females have been shown to predominate in some (Johnson 1969, Messina 1982). In others, females have been noted to fly longer or travel farther than males (Cook 1967, Dingle 1966), however, males may have greater migratory potential when the entire lifespan of individuals is taken into account (Dingle and Arora 1973, Rose 1972, Solbreck and Pehrson 1979).

of dispersers and foragers. No significant Physiological age differences were found between dispersing and foraging C. pumilio with respect to mating condition, parity or ovarian development. Females mate repeatedly throughout life and are first inseminated within several hours of emergence, generally before the cuticle has fully It was therefore not surprising that 100% of hardened (Chapter V). both foraging and dispersing beetles collected from the field were found to have mated. Given the risks involved in emigration and the individuals to be the first or only arrivals at a new potential for site, selection would be against virgin dispersers (Johnson breeding although unmated insects have been reported to disperse more 1969), (Dingle 1966, Solbreck and Pehrson readily than mated individuals

1979).

majority of migrating species which have been studied do so The as post-teneral adults prior to the development or deposition of the first egg batch (Dingle 1965, 1966, Johnson 1969, Messina 1982, Meyer In the present study, no evidence for this "oogenesis flight 1982).syndrome" was found in C. pumilio, with most dispersing beetles having nearly fully developed oocyte and having undergone at least one at least one oviposition. In this species, the use of follicular relics an indicator of age may, however, mask real age differences between as the two groups. Mated, parous females may be as young as 8 or as old 380 days post-eclosion (Chapter V). It is therefore possible that as dispersal is a characteristic of younger beetles, with older beetles either histolizing the flight muscles or being unresponsive to stimuli inducing younger beetles to fly (Dingle 1972, Dingle and Arora 1973, Johnson 1969, Kennedy 1961, Solbreck and Pehrson 1979). Further experimental work with individuals of known age is needed to determine whether such is the case for this species.

Morphometric comparisons of dispersers and foragers. In addition to the behavioral polymorphism displayed by some migrant species, many others are composed of morphologically distinct forms, with some adapted for flight relative to the population at large morphs (Johnson 1969). Production of migratory morphs mav be either environmentally determined (Harrison 1980). genetically or Wing polymorphism is documented example of perhaps the best this

in many species, phenomenon, and has been demonstrated to occur including aphids (Lamb and MacKay 1979), planthoppers (Denno and 1979), locusts (Kennedy 1956), carabids (Lindroth 1949), Grissell (Andersen 1973, water striders and 1964) (McFarlane crickets Vepsalainen 1978). In other species, overall body size has been found to be positively correlated with either proclivity for flight 1977) or its duration (Dingle et al. 1980). Rose (1972), on the (Roff noted that smaller bodied Cicadulina spp. were stronger hand. other flyers than larger-bodied individuals. In the present study, no evidence was found for wing or body size polymorphism, although smaller beetles occur in greater numbers following peaks of larval numbers, apparently reflecting competition for prey in the immature Since times of such adult population peaks are stages (Chapter II). also the times when greatest dispersal is evident, there may be some correlation between flight potential and body size which is not apparent in dispersing and foraging beetles sampled from the same population at the same time.

of dispersal behavior. Prey-mediated induction and reversal Administration of prey in the form of house fly eggs and larvae was found to reverse both parameters of dispersal measured in this study, phototaxis and flight initiation. Water-only and water-plus-sucrose had significant effect these behaviors, indicating that if no on dispersal in this species is appetitive, it is not driven and maintained by water or carbohydrate stress. "Prey-free" manure had a dampening effect on dispersal, which may at first seem paradoxical. This manure, however, may have contained dead potential prey items. Since Carcinops is a scavenger as well as an opportunistic predator, it is likely that some beetles consumed sufficient dead arthropods in the manure to switch-off the dispersal behaviors. Dissections of prey-administered and water/sucrose-administered beetles also revealed differences in the condition of the digestive tract. Prey-fed beetles had greatly distended midguts with a yellow-brownish appearance. Those of water/sucrose-fed beetles, on the other hand, were not distended, clear and possessed many air bubbles, which presumably Casual examination of during flight. could in bouyancy aid field-collected dispersers and foragers confirmed this observation, with dispersers having bubbles in the gut and foragers having at least partially filled, bubble-free alimentary tracts.

The observation that flight could be induced by starvation in Carcinops has several parallels, although most examples of this phenomenon are from phytophagous species. Meyer (1982) has shown that the alfalfa weevil exhibits two flight periods, one of which is a post-teneral, pre-reproductive, pre-diapause syndrome. A second, post-diapause period of flight may be induced by witholding fresh alfalfa from the beetles (Meyer 1982). Dingle and Arora (1973) found that female Dysdercus spp. histolyze the flight muscles and develop when fed continuously after eclosion, but undergo flight if eggs starved several days following emergence. The bugs continue to for not histolyze the flight muscles until they are presented fly and do

with food. Males have the potential for flight throughout life. These authors concluded that <u>Dysdercus</u> spp. are "facultative migrants using starvation as a releasing cue". Solbreck and Pehrson (1979) found similar results with another seed bug, <u>Neacoryphus bicrucis</u> (Say), and noted a critical balance between food density, egg production, diapause-inducing conditions, and migration.

Rankin (1978) has investigated hormonal regulation of flight in fasciatus (Say) and reviewed the literature available on Oncopeltus In Oncopeltus, starvation or feeding on suboptimal other species. food items causes recently emerged females to delay reproduction and undergo long flights. Juvenile hormone (JH) was found to play a dual role in modulating reproductive and migratory efforts. High JH titres are present during periods of intense feeding and are associated with ovarian development, which in turn inhibits flight. Bugs placed on starvation regimes maintain high levels of JH in the absence of further orgenesis for several days, and respond to this high hormone titre with increased flight activity. As starvation continues, CA activity declines until the JH levels in the hemolymph fall below the threshold required for flight, with flight showing a marked decrease on day 9 post-starvation, after having peaked on day 2 (Rankin 1978). Stengel (1974) has found that JH plays a role in the direction, as well the expression, of flight in the migratory cockchafer as L. In the present study, Carcinops also showed Melolontha melolontha delayed response to starvation, with virtually no flight being noted a until day 4 of deprivation. JH may play a role in the flight of this

species as well, although perhaps in a different manner. Since ovarian state appears to have no effect on flight, it is possible that titres associated with feeding and subsequent ovarian high JH development inhibit flight, with this behavior being released in response to lower titres. Since males appear to disperse as readily females, other hemolymph-borne factors associated with feeding may as involved as well. The observed decline in flight after day 6 is be more difficult to interpret. Beetles which have had a prey-rich feeding history can survive on water alone for 30 days before significant mortality is observed (Chapter V). Thus, beetles on days 7-10, when flight declined, clearly had not exhausted their nutrient reserves, but appeared to have responded to internal cues which shut off flight. A similar rise and fall in flight behavior in response to starvation has been observed in the stilt bug Jalysus spinosus (Say) (Elsey 1974), and represents one of the only documented cases of this phenomenon in a generally flightless predator. J. spinosus, when deprived of its usual prey (tobacco hornworm eggs), did not show significant flight activity until day 4 post-deprivation. Flight continued to increase and peaked on day 7, followed by a gradual decline to the level of fed controls on day 12. In contrast with however, the decline in Jalysus flight appeared to be Carcinops, associated with decreasing fuel reserves, since mortality was much higher towards the end of the starvation period than at the beginning, and higher than the fed controls at the same time (Elsey 1974).

#### Conclusions

Prior to the domestication of fowl and the ensuing artificial accumulation of large and stable deposits of poultry manure, Carcinops pumilio was presumably associated with the nests of wild birds, where fed on dipterans and other prey. Given the temporary nature of it these early, natural habitats, selection for migratory potential appears to have been strong. A delayed, rather than immediate, response to declining habitat quality (insufficient prey) would serve to prevent beetles from making highly risky emigrations on the basis a short-lived dearth of prey. Since Carcinops populations under of natural conditions can be assumed to be small, selection has not favored a population-wide migration strategy which ensures dispersal is seen in many herbivorous migrants. from the breeding site, as Rather, prey availability is a more conservative cue which permits each individual to assess resource abundance and behave accordingly. Further, dispersal by adults in prey-scarce situations would enhance fitness of their own progeny, with whom they would otherwise the compete for rare prey items.

## CHAPTER V

SUCCESSFUL COLONIZATION OF THE PREDACEOUS HISTERID, <u>CARCINOPS PUMILIO</u>, WITH OBSERVATIONS OF DEVELOPMENT TIME, OVARIAN MATURATION, MATING READINESS, LONGEVITY, MORTALITY AND FECUNDITY

# Introduction

Histerid predators of filth fly immatures in accumulating animal manure are frequently the most numerous coleopterans in this habitat (Bai and Sankaran 1977, Legner and Olton 1970, Peck 1968, Peck and Anderson 1969, Pfeiffer and Axtell 1980), and are thought to be among the most important natural enemies of synanthropic and zoophilous flies (Peck 1969). At least some of these predators appear to have shifted from natural associations with solitary mammals and birds into modern animal agriculture facilities, where large, stable deposits of manure are typically present (Hicks 1959, Kryzaovskij 1977).

Aside from invaluable observations by early workers in the field (Hammer 1941, Laurence 1943, Mohr 1943), relatively little is known of the biology of predatory histerids. Bornemissza (1968) conducted an exhaustive study of the life history and ecology of <u>Pachylister</u> <u>chinensis</u> Quenstedt, a predator which was introduced from Java into various areas of the South Pacific to regulate populations of flies breeding in cattle droppings. Several workers have investigated the

biology, seasonal abundance and predatory potential of histerids associated with cattle droppings in Texas (Summerlin 1980, Summerlin et al. 1981, 1982a, 1982b). The predatory potential of <u>Carcinops</u> <u>pumilio</u>, which is most common in poultry houses, was studied in the lab by Peck (1968, 1969), and **s**ome life history information on this species was provided by Smith (1975).

C. pumilio is viewed as a predator of major significance in the suppression of filth flies in poultry houses in the U.S. (Axtell 1981, Legner 1971, Peck 1969, Pfeiffer 1978), England (Anon. 1975, Bills and Denmark (Anon. 1982). Further efforts to study and 1974) manipulate this beetle have, until now, been hampered by the lack of a rearing system capable of producing large, self-sustaining colonies of Observations which were made by the author during a larger Carcinops. the population dynamics (Chapter II) and dispersion patterns study of of this species in the field demonstrated that (Chapter III) especially Coproica hirtula (Rondani), frequently sphaerocerids, represent the sole dipteran components of the diet of C. pumilio in The Massachusetts poultry houses. small size of these flies, plus their apparently high reproductive potential (Chapter II), suggested that they could be exploited as a prey base for Carcinops colonization.

The objectives of the present study were therefore as follows: 1. to colonize and mass-rear <u>Coproica hirtula</u> on a medium other than poultry manure; 2. using the latter as prey, to develop a rearing method for <u>C. pumilio</u>; and, 3. to gather essential life history

information on <u>Carcinops</u>, including development time, ovarian maturation, mating competence, longevity, fecundity, and stage-specific mortality.

#### Materials and Methods

Coproica hirtula. Approximately 500 adult Initial colonization of flies were collected on Sept. 24, 1981, in a poultry house at a farm in central Massachusetts (see Chapter II for farm description). On the date of collection, the manure in the house had accumulated for three was supporting outbreak level populations of weeks and sphaerocerids. Flies were collected with a canvas sweep net from the manure surface and from the inside walls of the house. After returning to the lab, roughly half of the flies were placed in small cages and provided with distilled water and granulated sucrose; none of these flies survived for more than 24 h. The other half were also placed in small cages and were provided with 250 cc of poultry manure which had been thoroughly dried in a microwave oven and remoistened to ca. 70% moisture (by mass) several days before fly introduction. Cages were placed in a rearing room maintained at 30-31°C, 60-70% RH and 24-h photoperiod.

Within 24 h of fly introduction, eggs were visible and had been deposited singly into crevices and folds under the surface of the manure. After this initial 24 h period, manure was removed and replaced with similarly prepared, "reconstituted" manure. Manure which was removed was added to an equal volume (total vol. = 500 cc) of freshly prepared manure, and checked daily for fly development. The moisture level of the breeding material was maintained at 60-70% by the daily addition of small volumes of water.

first noted on day 2 post-adult-introduction, and Larvae were pupae were first seen on day 5. Most larvae pupated by day 6. Newly emerged adults were first observed on day 9 and were present in greatest numbers on day 10. Males emerged earlier than females and were capable of mating within three hours of emergence. Females were receptive to mating immediately following eclosion; all copulating pairs which were observed consisted of older, fully-darkened males and emerged, incompletely-tanned females. After mating and newly "resting" for several hours after emergence, flies became positively phototactic, and climbed the walls of the cage to the underside of the cage top. (If geotaxis played a role, it was secondary to the light response; flies would track a moving illumination source to the bottom the top of the cage.) Within 24 h of emergence this as well as behavior was reversed, with flies showing negative phototaxis and starting to feed under the surface of the manure.

Most males died within 2 days of emergence. The mean adult lifespan of females was ca. 4 daws, with some living as long as 10 days. Dissection of females revealed the presence of 11 ovarioles per ovary. Eggs were developed in synchrony and flies began to oviposit within 48 hours of emergence. Females were capable of undergoing at least two gonotropic cycles, however, no record was kept of the total

number of eggs produced by individual flies throughout life.

reared through five generations the manner in Flies were described above, using prepared poultry manure as a substrate. Beginning with the F-6 generation, increasing proportions of CSMA\* house fly diet were mixed in with the manure. By the F-12 generation, flies were maintained solely on the latter medium. Adult and larval survival and development time appeared to be unaffected by the transition from manure to house fly diet however, a dampening effect fecundity was observed (Fredeen and Glen 1964). Inhibitors of on fungi (NaOH, methyl parahydroxybenzoate) which become established in this medium were found to have profound deleterious effects on larval survival. On the other hand, larvae and adults were Coproica unable to move through the den-e fungal mats which found to be developed 2-3 daws following media preparation if no corrective action This technical problem was resolved by preparing the were taken. media four days in advance of use (at 3 parts dry diet: 1 part water and manually agitating the diet on davs 2 by volume) and 3 post-preparation to disrupt the mycelial mats and aerate the medium. This four-day time lag also permitted the establishment of high levels of bacteria and other microorganisms on which the larvae feed.

Technique for mass-rearing <u>C</u>. <u>hirtula</u>. After considerable experimentation, the following method was developed for rearing large numbers of <u>C</u>. <u>hirtula</u> in the laboratory:

<u>General considerations</u>. Wide-mouthed 1-gallon jars (diam. at mouth=11.5 cm; diam. at mid-point=13.5 cm; height=24.8 cm) with organdy cloth covers were found to serve as ideal rearing vessels. Jars with narrower openings proved to be unsatisfactory due to problems with gas exchange and condensation on the inner walls. For maximum fly yield, minimum development time and greatest ease of adult extraction, optimal environmental conditions were found to be 30-31°C, 24 h photoperiod and a<sup>+</sup> least 60% RH. Under these conditions, development from adult to adult took 9-10 days, with peaks of available new adults on days 10-11 following "seeding" of jars with flies. At temperatures below 28°C, development was slower and adults were sluggish and difficult to extract from rearing jars.

Adult extraction. Flies were extracted during the first 24 hours of adult life, when the flies were positively phototactic. As above, this occurred 10-11 days following seeding in indicated synchronous cultures. Flies were first tapped down from the undersurface of the cloth cover of the jar. The cloth was then and quickly replaced with a large plastic funnel with the wide removed the funnel overlapping the mouth of the jar. A 1 oz plastic end of cup with a parafilm cover was then pressed over the tapered, upper end the funnel, and illuminated from above with a 60-watt light source. of Recently-emerged flies were attracted to the light and would walk or fly up the funnel and become trapped in the plastic collecting cup. These cups were changed every five minutes during peaks of fly numbers

and closed with plastic snap-on lids. After most flies had been collected in this manner, the funnel was removed from the rearing jar and again replaced with an organdy cloth cover. Collecting cups which contained flies were then placed in a refrigerater  $(5^{\circ}C)$  and chilled until flies ceased movement. Flies were either pooled and used immediately to seed new jars (see next section) or held at a slightly warmer temperature in the "egg keeper" section of the refrigerater  $(10^{\circ}C)$  for up to 48 h until they were needed. Holding flies at this temperature for greater than 2 days resulted in substantial mortality.

"Seeding" new rearing jars with adult flies. Chilled flies were pooled and measured out into seeding lots on a volumetric basis. On average. 5 cc of flies was found to be the equivalent of ca. 8500-9500 individuals, depending on mean body size (smaller adults were produced under conditions where larvae were crowded). For routine colony maintenance, lots of 5-cc "fly equivalents" were introduced into 1 gallon jars containing an initial volume of 1 liter of 4-day-old Chilling of the flies, even for a very short premixed CSMA diet. found to reverse phototaxis and dispersal behavior. time. was Following revival, flies became negatively phototactic and commenced foraging on the medium. For each of the next four days, a small volume of water (ca. ml) was sprinkled over the surface of the 20 medium with a squeeze bottle to maintain moisture levels. On day 4 post-seeding, large numbers of larvae were seen crawling through the At this time, a second liter of 3- to 4-dav-old diet was mediam.

added to the surface of the medium in the jar.

<u>Pupation and adult emergence</u>. If left undisturbed, most larvae from the first gonotropic cycle would pupate on day 5 throughout the medium in relatively dry, protected locations among bits of plant debris. To enhance adult emergence success and to gain access to large numbers of pupae of known age, prepupae were driven to the surface layer of the medium by slowly adding ca. 250 ml of water to the rearing jars early in the day on day 5. When this was done, thousands of pupae were eviden<sup>+</sup> along the sides of the jar at the medium surface on day 6. This method had the additional advantage of synchronizing development, although younger larvae, which were not seeking pupation sites at the time of flooding, were lost in the process. Adults began to emerge on day 9, and peak numbers were available for extraction and seeding on days 10 and 11.

Using the method described above, each rearing jar was capable of producing sufficient flies for the seeding of four new jars (ca. 45,000 flies/emergence). With a labor investment of 20 hours per week, 350,000 flies were easily raised on a weekly basis.

## Colonization of Carcinops pumilio.

<u>Collection of beetles.</u> Adult <u>C. pumilio</u> were collected from poultry manure on Feb. 12, 1982, at the farm where sphaerocerids were initially collected. At this time, manure had accumulated for four and a half months. All of the arthropods normally collected in summer

months at this farm (Chapters II and III) were also present on this collection date, although in somewhat smaller numbers. Inspection of the manure revealed considerable numbers of foraging Carcinops larvae, indicating that beetles were not in reproductive diapause. Beetles removed from manure with a camel's hair brush and held in were quarantine, on water only, for one week prior to introduction to Coproica rearing jars in an effort to avoid contamination of cultures with the predaceous mite, Macrocheles muscadomesticae. (Macrocheles readily discover and feed on Carcinops eggs (Smith 1975)). Despite this precaution, predator mites were found in these rearing jars within several days of beetle introduction, and soon developed into populations sufficiently large to prevent beetle population increases. Beetles were removed, quarantined a second time, and re-introduced again, mites contaminated the rearing into prey jars. Once inspection of beetles from the contaminated media containers. Close revealed predator mite immatures clinging to the intersegmental membranes under the elytra. After several additional attempts to "de-mite" the beetles, the following method was found to be effective: beetles were first shaken in a container filled with talc (Sweet Life\* baby powder) for one minute, transferred to a beaker containing 70% sec, then allowed to dry. After two additional ethanol for 30 talc-alcohol treatments, beetles were placed in containers with water-saturated dental wick (Absorbal\*) and held for three days. Mortality among beetles was high following this demiting procedure however, it had the desired effect of eliminating all mite (>40%),

contaminants from beetle cuticle.

Rearing method. One hundred beetle adults were placed, unsexed, in a day-5 Coproica rearing jar which had not been flooded with water medium = 2 liters). On the third and sixth day of (volume post-beetle-introduction, additional "pulses" of prey were added in the form of day-4 C. hirtula cultures which had been established on day 9 cc). By of medium (250)normal volume half the post-beetle-introduction, large numbers of second instar predator larvae were visible in the rearing jar, including many prepupae (large, sluggish, light-colored L2's with no food visible in the gut). contents of the jar were then emptied into a live-beetle The This extractor consisted of a rectangular pine box (175 cm extractor. long x 24 cm wide x 30 cm deep) with a hardware cloth bottom and a hinged top, on the underside of which 975-watt light bulbs were its shape and appearance, the extractor was fastened. Because of "Berlese coffin". Heat and light from the bulbs referred to as a drove beetle adults and immatures to the bottom of the box, where they fell through the hardware cloth into teflon-lined rectangular flower boxes.

Beetle adults and first and second instar larvae were separated by hand from debris in the flower boxes, counted into plastic holding cups, and placed separately in day-5 <u>C. hirtula</u> culture jars. Adults were frequently held for several days on moistened Absorbal prior to reintroduction to prey jars. Cannibalism and fighting among larvae

made it imperative that they be moved back into prey jars within several hours of extraction. Even when provided with large numbers of house fly eggs and larvae, beetle immatures which were crowded in plastic cups declined feeding and spent most of the time in protracted conflicts. This was especially true of the L2's. Whenever two larvae made contact, they would twist around to face each other and engage one another's mandibles. Once so engaged, pairs of larvae would twist and thrash about for periods of up to 20 minutes before uncoupling.

L2's, once transferred to day-5 Coproica jars, required no additional prey input to complete development to the adult stage. Jars containing L1's were provisioned with additional pulses of Coproica larvae four days following introduction. All jars containing larvae were subsequently monitored for adult emergence. Ten days following the appearance of the first adult, these jars were extracted adult beetles were collected and counted. Beetles were above and as sexed by gently squeezing the abdomen between the thumb and forefinger under a dissecting microscope and examining the everted genitalia (Smith 1975). With practice, this method proved to be faster and more reliable than examination of morphometric characters for proportional differences (see Chapter II). Chilling beetles prior to sexing was found to facilitate handling and geni+al extrusion.

After emergence of the first F-1's, routine colon<sup>-,</sup> maintenance consisted of introducing 50 female and 50 male beetles into 2 liters of day-4 <u>C. hirtula</u> cultures and following the schedule outlined above. When this protocol was followed, each 9-day feeding and

re-roductive bout by adults resulted in the production of sufficient larvae to give rise to over 400 new beetle adults.

Obtaining beetles of known age and sex. For various aspects of life history studies described below, it was often necessary to the obtain beetles of known, precise age, and to maintain the sexes separately from the time of emergence. In addition, it was felt that the risk of possible trauma caused by mechanical sex deteminations this an unacce-table method for use in mating and survival made These problems were resolved by first separating prepupae studies. from other L2's following extraction of beetle colony jars. Prepupae were then placed on sheets of moistened filter paper and returned to After an initial wandering period, these larvae the rearing room. would tear at the filter paper and, over the next few days, construct pupal cells of paper and silk. Cell construction appeared to be essential for pupation, since prepupae which were deprived of suitable cell-forming material would continue to wander until dead. After completion, cells were teased from the surrounding filter paper with forceps and placed in individual 1-oz containers with moistened Absorbal. Following pupation, the cells were gently opened and the sexes of the pupae were determined. Sex determinations were easily made in the pupal stage by examination of the abdominal terminalia. (Smith (1975) has provided line drawings of male and female Carcinops pupae, however, it should be cautioned that these figures were improperly labelled with the wrong sex designations.) Using this method, virgin adults of known age and sex were obtained and maintained in individual containers.

# Life history of C. pumilio.

Adult longevity. A cohort of 100 female and 100 male F-2 beetles which emerged between April 1 and April 15, 1981, were monitored throughout life for mortality, starting on the latter date. Since the precise emergence date of individuals in the cohort was not known, this date was assumed, for purposes of analysis, to have been April 5, that all beetles were regarded as being 10 days old at the start such Although the schedule occasionally deviated from of surveillance. plan, beetles were generally subjected to 9- or 10-day feeding bouts which were followed by 1- or 2-day periods in which beetles were extracted, sexed and counted. Because beetles were occasionally killed during sexing, percent mortality since the last count was determined for each interval and converted to represent the fate of a hypothetical cohort of 1000 adults at ten days post-emergence. Real mortality of males and females during the first 10 days of adult life was later determined by direct daily observation of 50 males and 50 females of known emergence dates which were fed ad lib. on house fly eggs.

Ovarian development of prey-fed beetles. Ovarian development of prey-fed beetles was observed by the daily dissection and examination of 10 females per day, starting on the day of emergence and ending with the deposition of the first egg. Each female was paired, on the day of emergence, with a virgin male which had emerged at least 15 earlier and had been allored to feed ad lib. on fresh or frozen davs house fly eggs prior to pairing. Older males were used to insure that females would be mated at a natural post-emergence date and not be artificially delayed by the development of male mating competence. These observations were carried out over a period of several weeks number of F2 and F3 cohorts, depending on the and were made on a availability of individually reared, newly emerged females. Pairs of beetles were maintained in 1 oz plastic cups with moistened dental wick and were provided with 100-300 fresh or frozen house fly eggs on Casual observations were also made of the condition of the each day. alimentary tract and the degree of hardness of the cuticle during the first few days post-emergence (henceforth referred to as PE).

Development mating of readiness by prey-fed beetles. To determine age- and sex-specific readiness for mating, three groups of paired beetles of different age compositions were fed on house fly eggs and checked daily for the presence of sperm in the spermathecae females from 10 pairs from each group. In one group, newly-emerged of females were paired with newly-emerged males. In the second group, newly-emerged females were paired with 10-day PE virgin males with a prey-rich feeding history. the third group, newly-emerged males In were paired with 10-day PE virgin females with a pre-rich feeding history. No assessment was made of the number of females which individual males could inseminate in a given amount of time. As above, observations were conducted with beetles from more than one cohort and generation, depending on availability.

Effect of prey derivation on survival and reproduction. Casual observations which were made during other aspects of this project beetles with a prey-rich feeding history could that indicated withstand relatively long periods of prey deprivation. To quantify this, 50 male and 50 female F3 beetles which had been maintained on sphaerocerid prey throughout adult life were transferred to plastic containers and provided with moistened dental wick only. Beetles were days old at the start of prey deprivation. Containers were 30 ca. checked daily for mortality and the time to 50% mortality was Dead beetles were removed from the containers on a daily determined. For comparison, 26 male and 26 female newly-emerged F4's were basis. immediately on moistened wick and were never dental placed administered prey. As above, mortality was determined and dead beetles were removed on a daily basis.

To determine the effect of witholding prey from newly-emerged beetles on mating and ovarian development, beetles were paired at emergence with a member of the opposite sex and maintained, as separate pairs, on moistened dental wick only. Initially, 10 females per day were dissected and examined for mating condition and ovarian state. After 8 days PE, mortality was so high that smaller numbers were inspected. At least two females per day were examined until all beetles were dead.

time of immature stages. Because of practical Development difficulties with respect to egg location and handling of young beetle larvae under observable conditions, no direct observations were made egg, L1 and early L2 development times. Approximate development of times for these stages were estimated by methods described more fully the Results section. Briefly, development time for the egg stage in estimated by determining the amount of time between adult was introduction to prey jars and the first appearance of newly-eclosed L1 development time "as estimated by subtracting this figure L1's. from the observed time between adult introduction and the appearance Similarly, the development time from the of recently-molted L2's. L1/L2 molt to the prepupal phase was approximated by subtracting egg and L1 development time from the observed time between adult introduction and the appearance of prepupae. Direct, twice daily observations of varying numbers (50<n<200) of individuals of known age were used to determine development time for the life stage intervals prepupa-to-cell-formation, cell-formation-to-pupation and pupation-to-adult-emergence.

Mortality rates of immature stages. Again, because of difficulties in locating sufficient numbers of eggs to work with, no data were obtained on mortality for this stage L1 and L2 (to prepupa) mortalities were estimated by methods which parallelled those

These are described in greater detail in for development time. used L1 mortality was estimated by Briefly, Results section. the mortality from (pre-prepupal)-to-adult L2subtracting observed mortality L1-to-adult mortality. L2 (pre-prepupal) was observed subtracting observed prepupa-to-adult mortality from by estimated (pre-prepupal)-to-adult mortality. Mortalities for L2observed (pre-prepupa)-to-adult, and prepupa-to-adult were L1-to-adult, L2actual observations of mortalities of 21 cohorts of L1's, based on (pre-prepupae) and prepupae which were placed in Coproica rearing L2's extracted after adult emergence. Mortality rates for and jars cell-formation-to-pupation, and prepupa-to-cell-formation, pupation-to-adult-emergence direct, daily determined were by observation of mortalities of individual immatures.

Apparent fecundity of beetler under various levels of crowding. Observations of ratios of Carcinops adults to immatures in poultry manure (Chapters II and III) indicated that, under field conditions, where crowding levels frequently exceed those employed in laboratory (100 beetles/2 liters), factors act to dampen the fecundity cultures this species. To quantify this apparent crowding effect and to of gain insight into the reproductive potential of this species under optimal conditions, varying numbers of beetles were introduced into an equal volume (2 liters) of CSMA containing C. hirtula larvae. On days 5 and 8 following adult introduction, additional prey were added to 3, rearing jars to assure an overabundance of prey under the most the

crowded predator conditions. <u>Coproica</u> larvae were live-extracted from the media prior to introduction during these supplemental prey pulses to minimize changes in the volume of the foraging area available to the predators. On day 9 post-adult-introduction, jars containing beetles were extracted and the numbers of surviving adults, L1's and L2's were counted. A minimum daily fecundity index based on ratios of immatures to female<sup>-</sup> over time was then computed.

Using the method outlined above, observations of apparent beetle fecundity were made over a range of initial crowding conditions from 4 to 100 females per 2 liters of diet. In each test, an equal number of males was included as well to eliminate the potentially confounding factor of reduced fertility. In total, 31 observations were made over several months' time, using beetles of varying ages, generations and cohorts.

#### Results

Survivorship curves for a cohort of F2 male and Adult longevity. female C. pumilio are presented in Fig. 20. No major differences were observed between the sexes with respect to the slope or shape of the with both males and females showing mortalities intermediate curves, Type III in the sense of Slobodkin (1962). between Type and II The females lived slightly longer (200-210 days) than the longest-lived longest lived males (188-200 days), however, the time to 50% mortality shorter for females (88 days) than for males (125 days). was

Fig. 20. Survivorship of a hypothetical cohort of 1000 male and 1000 female <u>Carcinops pumilio</u> adults. Data based on observations of 100 male and 100 female F2 colony beetles which were maintained on a prey-rich diet continuously throughout adult life.



NUMBER OF SURVIVORS

Similarly, mean adult longevity was 90.6 days for females and 104.3 days for males. These observations must viewed with caution, however, since the number of beetles actually monitored was fairly small (ni=100 males and 100 females).

Daily mortal<sup>i+</sup>y rates at various times throughout adult life are presented in Table 16. Data for the interval O-10 days PE were obtained by direct daily observation of 50 males and 50 females for the first 10 davs of adult life. Other interval mortalities were based on data obtained during the above-mentioned study of adult survivorship. In both males and females, mortality "as low (0.3 to 0.6% per day) for the first 45 days of life, then increased in later intervals.

In this surveillance of adult mortality, beetles were maintained continuously throughout life (except for periods of extraction and counting) in a highly prey-rich environment. Where prey is some hat less abundant, beetles seem to live longer. In casual observations of another F2 cohort which was alternated between 10- to 12-day feeding bouts and longer (10- to 21-day) "holding" periods on CSMA only, beetles lived at least twice as long as those which were fed continuously. At this writing, 12 females and 8 males of this intermittently fed cohort (ni=121 females and 103 males) were still alive at ca. 380 days PE.

Ovarian development of prey-fed beetles. The ovaries of Carcinops were found to possess 4 ovarioles each, with the ovarioles showing the

Days since emergence	Daily mortality rate	
	Females	Males
0 - 10*	0.53	0.41
10 - 45**	0.56	0.29
45 - 81	0.70	0.98
81 - 113	0.91	1.23
113 - 144	2.03	1.93
144 - 176	1.89	1.17
176 - 210	4.25	4.76

Table 16. Daily mortality rates of female and male <u>C</u>. <u>pumilio</u> adults throughout life under colony conditions (30-31°C) where prey was never limiting.

\* Based on laboratory observations of 50 and 50 F<sub>3</sub> beetles which were maintained on water and house fly eggs.

\*\* Other mortalities were based on observations of an initial cohort of 100 and 100  $F_2$  beetles which were maintained in <u>Coproica hirtula</u> rearing jars throughout life.

polytrophic condition typical of the Polyphaga (Crowson 1981). Development of the ovaries for the first eight days of adult life are illustrated in Figs. 21-27, and may be summarized as follows:

The ovaries were relatively undifferentiated (Fig. Days 0-2. Distinct oocytes were not visible, nor was any sign of 21). deposition apparent. For the first two days of adult volk life, females did not appear to feed. The alimentary tracts of beetles on those days were filled with a white, fluffy material which did not appear to be of prey origin, and the regenerative crypts of the midgut (Crowson 1981) were undeveloped, indicating that digestive enzyme - - ere not being produced at this time. On day 2 PE, the midguts were least partially filled with a yellowish material at indicative of prey-feeding. The crypts were fully developed and everted, and were as long as the width of the midgut at The cuticle at this time was still not its widest point. fully hardened (a subjective observation based on the amount of resistance which the cuticle presented during dissections).

Day 3. In each ovary, the germinal vessicle was plainly visible at the base of the follicle of one of the four ovarioles, with some apparent yolk deposition (Fig 22). Smaller cocyte nuclei with no associated yolk could be seen in some other ovarioles as well.

Day 4. In each ovary, one follicle showed greater yolk
deposition than on the previous day, and was also more developed than the other three ovarioles (Figs. 23 and 24). Also, in most females, a size difference between the two most-developed oocytes (1/ovary) was apparent, with one showing significantly more yolk deposition than the other.

- Day 5. Follicle size of the most-developed oocyte had at least doubled in size since day 4 (Fig. 25), while the second-most-developed follicle in the opposite ovary had increased by a smaller amount.
- Follicle sizes of the two most-developed oocytes had 6. Day least a factor of (Fig. 26). two at increased by was now visible in two volk deposition Considerable additional (third- and fourth-most-developed) oocytes. In between the two difference size females, the most most-developed oocytes was less pronounced.
- Day 7. By day 7, most females appeared to have "indergone at leat one oviposition, as indicated by distension of the lateral oviducts and the faint presence of corpora lutea (yellow bodies) (Fig. 27). Large amounts of yolk were now apparent in the third- and fourth-most-developed oocytes.

From day 7 on, beetles which were supplied with over-abundant prey were capable of producing and depositing over 10 eggs per day (see fecundity section below). In general, beetles developed and deposited eggs sequentially and singly, with females rarely possessing more than four follicles with substantial yolk deposition. If denied Fig. 21. Ovary of a newly emerged (<1 day old) female <u>C. pumilio</u> adult. (1 in = 0.196 mm)

Fig. 22. Ovary of a female <u>C</u>. <u>pumilio</u> on day 3 post-emergence, showing developing follicle in one ovariole. (1 in = 0.196 mm)

gv = germinal vessicle



Fig. 23. Ovary of a female <u>C</u>. <u>pumilio</u> on day 4 post-emergence, showing most-developed oocyte. (1 in = 0.196 mm).

Fig. 24. Ovary of a female <u>C</u>. <u>pumilio</u> on day 4 post-emergence, showing second-most developed oocyte and the appearance of germinal vessicles in less-developed oocytes. (1 in = 0.196 mm)

gv = germinal vessicle, y = yolk



Fig. 25. Ovary of a female <u>C</u>. <u>pumilio</u> on day 5 post-emergence, showing most-developed oocyte. (1 in = 0.196 mm).

Fig. 26. Ovary of a female <u>C</u>. <u>pumilio</u> on day 6 post-emergence, showing yolk deposition in 4 oocytes. (1 in = 0.49 mm).

gv = germinal vessicle, y = yolk



Fig. 27. Ovaries of a female <u>C</u>. <u>pumilio</u> on day 7 post-emergence. (1 in = 0.98 mm)

Fig. 28. Ovaries of a female <u>C. pumilio</u> which was fed on prey and deprived of a suitable oviposition site, showing development of additional ovarioles. (1 in = 0.98 mm)



a suitable oviposition site, however (eg. by holding them in a plastic petri dish with nothing but prey), females would continue to feed and begin to develop additional occytes (Fig. 28).

Development of mating readiness by prey-fed beetles. Results of daily inspection of paired, fed beetles with respect to mating condition are presented in Table 17. When newly-emerged beetles were paired with newly-emerged males, no mated females were observed until day 5 PE. On day 6, all females were found to have mated. When newly emerged males were paired with older (10-day old) females, similar results were observed; none were found to have mated until day 5, and all were mated by day 7. When newly emerged females were paired with older males, however, all females were found to have mated within one day.

The actual event of copulation was rarely observed, since this nearly always occurred underneath objects in the local environment (dental wick, manure, CSMA, etc). Males displayed little discretion in their choices of potential mates and readily attempted copulation with other males and with mated females. In general, courtship appeared to consist of males climbing onto the backs of other beetles, everting the aedeagus and probing the terminal abdominal segments of the beetle below. On several occasions, however, when single virgin males and females were paired in containers with no objects to crawl a sequence of hind leg "pumping" behavior was observed. beneath. On occasions, the two prospective mates faced each other, separated these distance of less than 10 mm, and rapidly contracted and relaxed by a

Days since emergence of younger member of pair	Number mated on each day among pairs of:						
	young q/older $\sigma^7$	older $Q$ /young $\sigma^7$	young Q/young $\sigma^7$				
1	10	0	0				
2	10	0	0				
3	10	0	0				
4	10	0	0				
5	10	1	2				
6	10	9	10				
7	10	10	10				
8	10	10	10				

Table 17. Time to first mating by <u>C. pumilio</u> males and females which were paired, at emergence, with either older beetles (10 days old) or other newly emerged individuals.

the muscles of the hind legs, giving the appearance of beetles doing "push-ups". This behavior persisted for at least five minutes before the male attempted copulation. Such attempts were successful on all six occasions when this be avior was observed. No record was kept of the duration of the complatory period.

Following copulation, the spermatophore was found lodged in the common oviduct, and was connected to the small, single spermatheca of the female via the spermathecal duct (Fig 29.). The fate of the spermatophore following sperm transfer was not determined.

Effect prey-deprivation of on survival, mating and ovarian Survivorship of adult beetles which were placed on development. prey-deprivation regimes either at emergence or after a 30 day feeding period are presented in Table 18. The median survival time of previously-fed beetles was over three times greater than that of beetles which were deprived since emergence. Further, for the first 31 days of prey-deprivation in the former group, daily mortality ca. occurred at rates approximating those of continuously fed beetles (0.6-1.5% per da-), with a sharp drop in survivorship starting on days In the deprived-since-emergence group, no mortality was 33-36. observed until day 8 post-deprivation, and all beetles were dead by day 13 (males) or 14 (females).

Among beetles from the latter group, ovarian development never proceeded berond the appearance of oocyte nuclei, and no females were found to have mated.

Fig. 29. Reproductive system of newly emerged, recently mated female <u>C. pumilio</u>, indicating the spermatheca, spermathecal duct, spermathecal gland, and spermatophore. (1 in = 0.98 mm)

sc = spermatheca, sd = spermathecal duct, sg = spermathecal gland, sp = spermatophore.



Table 18. Survival of prey-deprived <u>Carcinops</u> adults with a prey-rich prior feeding history and adults which never fed on prey.

Feeding history	Time to 50% mortality in days				
	females	males			
never fed on prey*	11.4	10.8			
fed on prey**	37.6	32.7			

\* 1 day post-emergence at start of study.

\*\* ca. 30 days post-emergence at start of study.

Development times for Carcinops time of immatures. Development immature stages are presented in Table 19. As mentioned above, estimation methods were used to determine egg, L1 and L2 (to prepupa) This was accomplished for the egg stage by development times. small numbers of females with a prey-rich feeding history introducing into Coproica rearing jars, removing them 5 hours later, and searching through the medium every 12 h following for the presence of newly hatched L1's. 137 L1's which were recovered in this manner, 22 Of h post-adult-introduction, 100 were found at 72 h, were found in 60 and 5 located at 84 h. were Assuming that adults commenced ovipositing after soon introduction to the prey jars, these observations yield a rough estimate of egg stage development time of A similar method 72 h, or three days. was used to compute L1 development time by first determining the approximate time from adult introduction to the appearance of large numbers of newly-molted L2's The egg stage estimate was subtracted from this figure to (5.5 days). estimated L1 development time of 2.5 days. give an Numerous observations associated with routine colony maintenance procedures established that 9 days was the average time for the interval from introduction to the appearance of large numbers of prepupae. adult the L2 stage from the L1/L2 molt through the onset of the Thus, prepupal phase may estimated to be 9 - 2.5 - 3.0 = 3.5 days.

Development times for other stages (prepupa to cell formation, cell formation to pupation, duration of the pupal stage) were

Table 19.	Estimated	and obs	served o	developm	ent tin	nes of	immat	ure	stages	of
C. pumi	lio under	colony	conditi	ions (30	-31°C)	where	prey	was	never	
limitir	ng.									

Stage De	evelopment time in days	Percent of total development time
Egg	3.0	13.8
L <sub>1</sub>	2.5	11.6
L <sub>2</sub> :		
$(L_{1}-L_{2} \text{ molt to prepupa})$	3.5	16.2
(prepupa to cell formation)	3.3	15.3
(cell formation to pupation	2.9	13.4
<sup>L</sup> <sub>2</sub> total	9.7	44.9
Pupa	6.4	29.7
Total	21.6	100.0

Egg, L<sub>1</sub> and first interval of L<sub>2</sub> development times were estimated according to methods described in the text. Other times were determined by direct, twice-daily observations of individuals. determined by direct observation of individual immatures. These observations are also summarized in Table 19. Summing of the estimated and observed development times for all stages gives an egg-to-adult development time of 21.6 days. This figure is in agreement with actual observed adult-to-adult development times, which ranged from 20 to 24 days under normal colony maintenance conditions.

Mortality rates of immature stages. Using methods paralleling those outlined ablove, L1 and L2 (to prepupa) apparent mortalities were estimated. For L1's, this was done by subtracting observed mortality from L2 (pre-prepupa) to adult (44.55%) from observed mortality from L1 to adult (51.82%), for an estimated L1 mortality of 7.27%. Similarly, L2(pre-prepupal)-to-prepupal mortality was obtained by subtracting observed prepupa-to-adult mortality (28.12%) from L2 (pre-prepupa)-to-adult mortality (44.55%), providing an estimated L2 (to prepupa) apparent mortality of 16.43%.

These data, along with observed apparent (within-stage) mortality information for other immature stages and F2 adult survivorship data, have been used to construct a partial life for this species under colony conditions (Table 20). These data may be viewed as reflecting mortality rates under conditions which approach optimality, since prey was never limiting and hazardous life events such as cell formation and the pupal stage were protected from predation and disruption by conspecifics. For example, when mortalities were directly observed from L1 to adult (51.82%), L2 to adult (44.55%) and prepupa to adult

Life stage		1x		·	dx		% appar	ent mor	tality	% rea	l mort	ality
 L <sub>1</sub> *		927			73			7.27			7.27	
L <sub>2</sub> (to prepupa)**		775		1	152			16.43			15.23	
Prepupa to cell formation		617		1	158			20.39			15.80	
Cell formation to pupation		554			63			10.25			6.32	
Pupation to adult emergence	(267	518 7:251	lq)		36			6.39			3.55	
Adults (days PE)	male	fem.	tot.	male	fem	tot.	male	fem.	tot.	male	fem.	tot.
0-10	253	241	494	14	10	24	5.26	4.10	4.72	1.40	1.03	2.43
10-45	203	216	419	50	25	75	19.75	10.13	15.06	4.96	2.54	7.54
45-81	151	140	291	52	76	128	25.36	25.43	30.55	5.15	7.64	12.18
81-113	107	85	192	44	55	99	29.12	39.41	34.05	4.41	5.51	9.92
113-144	40	34	74	67	51	118	62.93	60.00	61.60	6.74	5.08	11.84
144-176	16	21	37	24	13	37	60.48	37.52	50 <b>.</b> 17	2.43	1.27	3.70
176-210	0	0	0	16	21	37	100.00	100.00	100.00	1.56	2.12	3.76

Table 20. A partial life table for <u>C</u>. <u>pumilio</u> under colony conditions (30-31<sup>o</sup>C) where prey was never limiting. Data have been converted to represent the fate of a hypothetical cohort of 1000 newly-hatched L<sub>1</sub>'s. Egg mortality was not determined.

\* first instar larval mortality was estimated by subtracting observed mortality of interval Ll-to-adult from interval L2-to-adult.

\*\* second instar larval mortality was estimated by subtracting observed mortality of interval L2-to-adult from interval prepupa-to-adult. All other life stage mortalities were determined by direct observation.

.

(28.12%), these figures were greater than the sums of real mortalities through these same intervals when all stages from the prepupal phase on (prepupa to cell formation, cell formation to pupation, pupation to adult emergence) were held and observed in individual containers (48.17, 40.09 and 25.6%, respectively).

Comparison of Tables 19 and 20 indicate that stage-specific mortality was not directly correlated with stage duration. For example, the pupal stage, which is 6.4 days in duration and comprises 29.7% of immature development time, was also the stage with the lowest apparent mortality rate (6.39%). Overall L2 development time (44.9% approximated apparent mortality for this stage (44.1%), of total) however, inspection of subphases in the second instar show that not all 12 periods present equal risk. Thus, while the period from cell formation to pupation (13.4% of development time) was only slightly less than that from prepupa to cell formation (15.3% of total time), latter interval suffered nearly twice the mortality larvae in the (20.39%) of larvae which successfully constructed cells (10.25%).

sex ratio of adults at emergence was close to 1:1. In Table The an emergence sex ratio of 267 males: 251 females is presented, 20, which was derived from actual observed totals of 187 females and 175 males which emerged from individually-maintained immatures. These latter figures were adjusted to reflect the sexual composition of 518 cohort initial of surviving adults from 1000 L1's. an Sex over 18 months' time of a total of 7,231 beetles which determinations between 1 and 15 days old at the time of sexing showed an overall were

ratio which was even closer to unity (3,647 males and 3,614 females). The former figure was selected for use in Table 20 because the beetles on which it was based were used to track survival for the adult age interval 0-10 days PE. Also, it was feared that the uncertainty of the precise age of recently-emerged beetles from colony jars would obscure the distinction between sex ratios at emergence and sex-specific mortality in the first few days of adult life.

Apparent fecundity of beetles under various levels of crowding. 31 observations of Carcinops apparent fecundity under Results of various levels of crowding are "resented in Fig. 30. "Fecundity" was estimated by first dividing the number of L2's present on day 9 post-adult-introduction by the number of females introduced into Coproica rearing jars. (When fewer females were recovered on day 9 than were introduced on day 1, the number of L2's was divided by an adjusted adult ((ni accordingly figure ni+1) /2). This + L2's-per-female figure was then divided by the number of days in which oviposition could have occurred which would give rise to L2's by day 9 post-adult-introduction. This latter figure was determined by subtracting egg (3.0 days) and L1 (2.5 days) development times from the 9 day observation period. The resulting fecundity index thus expressed the minimum number of eggs laid per female per day during 3.5 days of introduction to the prey jars. the first This figure was not adjusted for L1 mortality since this factor may have varied with Thus, the fecundity index used in analysing the crowding levels.

Fig. 30. Fecundity of female <u>C</u>. <u>pumilio</u> under varying levels of adult crowding. Fecundity index represents the minimum number of eggs produced per female per day during the first 3.5 days of introduction to prey jars. Overabundant prey (<u>C</u>. <u>hirtula</u>) were added to reduce influence of competition on results.



crowding data was highly conservative and assumed the following: 1.) adult females began ovipositing immediately following introduction to the prey jars; 2.) no egg mortality; and, 3.) no L1 or early L2 mortality. Attempts were made to obtain a finer estimate based on L1 numbers, however, it was felt that more accurate counts could be made of second than first instars.

These qualifying remarks aside, Fig. 30 illustrates a major and highly significant (P<.0001) dampening effect of adult crowding levels on beetle larval production (apparent fecundity). Beetles under very low crowding conditions (4 to 14 females per 2 liters of diet) produced a surprisingly large number of eggs. On three occasions, fecundity index values exceeding a minimum of 10 eggs per female per day were observed, with a peak index value of 10.4 eggs per female per from a rearing jar with 6 females per 2 liters of Coproica-seeded da At crowding levels exceeding 14 females per 2 liters, this CSMA. dropped sharply. At levels of 100 females per 2 liters, figure apparent fecundity was only 0.4 eggs per female per day.

## Discussion

Colonization and development of <u>C. hirtula</u>. The Sphaeroceridae, or small dung flies, is a cosmopolitan group of acalyptrate muscoids which are thought to have originated in temperate zones of South America (Hackman 1969, Rohacek and Marshall 1982). Most species feed on a variety of decaying plant and animal material including rotting seaweed (Marshall 1982), fungi (Papp 1979), rotting fruit (Walker 1957), dead snails (Deeming and Knutson 1966) and grass clippings (Ware 1966). Many are dung feeders, and some have developed specialized phoretic associations with other invertebrates to ensure access to frass or dung, e.g. crabs (Gomez and Diego 1977), ants (Richards 1968), dung beetles (Marshall 1983) and, in Cameroon, millipedes (Disney 1974). Some species are found in association with bat guano in caves (Rohacek and Marshall 1982) as well as in the burrows of small mammals (Hackman 1967), the nests of birds (Hicks 1959), and human sewage (Fredeen and Glen 1964, Mihara et al. 1983b)

Coproica hirtula (frequently referred to in the literature as Leptocera hirtula) has been reported from a number of habitats, including grass clippings (Ware 1966) and bovine (Bai and Sankaran 1977, Poorbaugh et al. 1968, Tenorio 1968) and poultry (Zuska and Lastovka 1969) manure, although it is more commonly found in the Dr. Jindrich Rohacek, a leading authority on the group, made latter. the following remarks about this species: "It seems that Coproica hirtula strikingly predominates (often as the only species) among sphaerocerid fauna on fowl manure. It is interesting especially in the fact that on manure of domestic mammals it is relation to comparatively rare, being replaced by other domestic species of Coproica (C. ferruginata, C. vagans and C. lugubris in pasture lands; C. digitata and C. acutangula on horse dung)." (Rohacek, pers. comm.). In the present study, C. hirtula was successfully transferred

from poultry manure to CSMA medium, indicating the adaptability of

these flies to different habitats and substrates. Similarly, Fredeen and Taylor (1964) were able to establish a colony of <u>Leptocera caenosa</u> (Rondani) on autoclaved horse and sheep manure from flies which were collected from human sewage. In a subsequent study, Fredeen and Glen (1970) reported that flies from this same colony could be miccessfully reared on alfalfa, birdsfoot trefoil, sweet clover, fescue grass, CSMA and a bacterial culture medium. Hackman (1969) stated that "numerous species...(of sphaerocerids)...have a wide ecological valence and are able to adapt themselves to a variety of climatic conditions".

Under the rearing conditions described in the present study, C. hirtula was found to complete development in 9 days at 30-31°C. While this temprature may at first seem high, it approximates the temperature of the manure zones in which these flies are found in greatest abundance (Chapters II and III). It should also be pointed that the temperature of the medium itself frequently exceded that out the surrounding air in the rearing room due to metabolic activity of the larvae and microorganisms in the diet. It was not uncommon for of the medium to reach temperatures greater than 35°C, although larval mortality showed a sharp increase at temperatures above 40°C. The available information on development time for other sphaerocerid species is summarized in the following table:

Species	dev. time	medium	reference
"many Limosininae"	3-4 weeks		Hackman 1969
Leptocera <u>caenosa</u> (Rondani)	30-40 davs	alfalfa, birdsfoot trefoil, clover, fescue grass, CSMA	Fredeen and Glen 1970
L. <u>caenosa</u>	16-26 days	horse and sheep manure	Fredeen and Taylor 1964
L. <u>pullala</u> (Zetterstedt)	22–26 das	boiled grass	Okely 1974
L. parapusio (Dahl)	4 days (egg-pupa)	mushrooms	11 11
L. <u>atomus</u> (Rondani)	10 <b>-1</b> 6 days	horse manure	11 11
<u>L. vagans</u> (Haliday)	16 days	horse manure	11 11
L. <u>brachystomata</u> (Steinhammer)	11 days	seaweed	11 II
<u>L. mirabilis</u> (Collin)	8-14 days	horse and cattle manure	11 11
<u>L. bifrons</u> (Steinhammer)	8-12 days	cattle manure	11 H
<u>L. longicosta</u>	12 days	sheep manure	Wilson and Stoll 1929
<u>L. fuscipennis</u> (Haliday)	12–15 days	sewage sludge	Mihara et al. 1983a
Leptocera sp.	<10 days	cattle manure	Mohr 1943
Coprophila lugubris	16-23 days	cattle manure	Hammer 1941
<u>C. hirtula</u>	18 days	grass cuttings	Ware 1966

Meaningful comparisons of development time among species is difficult due to the lack of information on environmental conditions in

the above studies. Fredeen and Taylor (1964) and Fredeen and most of (1970) conducted extensive studies on the influence of breeding Glen and temperature on development time, survival, body size and media Under initial colony conditions, L. fecundity of Leptocera caenosa. caenosa developed from egg to adult in 16-26 days at 22.2°C on horse sheep manure (Fredeen and Glen 1964). When reared at approximately and same temperature on CSMA and vermiculite, similar results were the observed (Fredeen and Taylor 1970). The latter authors also found that survival and fecundity was 2-3 times higher and overall body size fly cooler rearing temperatures (17.0°C), although at larger was considerably longer (>34 days). Overall, time was development temperature was found to be a more important factor in determining development time than was the type of larval medium, which seemed to have a greater impact on survival and fecundity.

Under rearing conditions described in the present study, newly emerged <u>C. hirtula</u> adults were easily transferred into new culture jars by exploitation of the positively phototactic response of flies in the first day or two of adult life. In assessing the usefulners of <u>L</u>. <u>caenosa</u> as laboratory animal, Fredeen and Taylor (1970) made the following observations: "A major disadvantage of the species is its relatively long life cycle...compared with <u>D. melanogaster</u> and <u>A.</u> <u>aegypti</u>. Another disadvantage is the sluggish behavior of the adults and especially their hesitant and not always positive response to light. Whereas light is used to transfer <u>D. melanogaster</u> adults rapidly, <u>L. caenosa</u> adults can be transferred efficiently only with an aspirator." Based on results presented here, <u>C. hirtula</u> apears to be an ideal laboratory animal, for biological and genetic studies as well as a source of prey for predators; it has a short generation time (9-10 days), newly emerged adults can be readily separated from the parental population, it is inexpensive to rear, and very large numbers can be raised with relatively little effort.

Colonization of Carcinops - general considerations. In their review of the literature dealing with biological control of medically important arthropods, Legner et al. (1974) indicated that progress in this area was lagging behind similar efforts with phytophagous pest species. A major reason which they cited for this difference was that the reduction in pest numbers achieved by natural enemies of insects of medical importance was "often unacceptable to the general public or that demanded an even lower population authority health local threshold". In many poultry houses in Massachusetts and other areas of the Northeast, Carcinops pumilio (often in concert with the mite Macrocheles muscadomesticae) has been found to maintain house fly populations at essentially zero levels without the additional use of insecticides or costly mass-releases of pupal parasites (Chapter II), indicating the potency and utility of this histerid predator in filth fly IPM programs.

The environmental and biotic factors which act to promote and maintain large predator populations in the virtual absence of house flies on some farms and not others is as yet poorly understood,

although habitat maturity and the presence of abundant alternative prey clearly play major roles in this process (Chapter III, Legner 1971, Legner et al. 1973, Peck 1968, Peck and Anderson 1969, 1970). In the present study, one of the natural prey items of <u>Carcinops</u> in the field, <u>Coproica hirtula</u>, was successfully exploited in the laboratory as a prey base for maintaining large, self sustaining histerid colonies. This development addresses a second problem which was raised by Legner et al. (1974); the difficulty in colonizing and mass-rearing many biocontrol agents of medical/veterinary pest species.

Initial attempts by myself and others to colonize Carcinops using house fly as prey were largely unsuccessful (P. Morgan, pers. comm., E. Schmidtmann, pers. comm., Smith 1975). While this fly seems to be the work, it has several major for rearing choice logical most Because C. pumilio is highly cannibalistic, very high disadvantages. densities must be maintained in beetle rearing jars. The prev predators, however, due to their small size, can only prey on eggs and young larvae of this fly (Peck 1969). Thus, to achieve the prey densities necessary to minimize beetle cannibalism, so many fly eggs and larvae must be introduced that a great surfeit of older larvae "escape the gauntlet" are produced. In both manure- and which CSMA-based cultures, I have found that these overabundant "refugee adversely affect beetle colonies by disrupting histerid larvae" pupation and oviposition cells and by altering the physico-chemical properties of the media. These problems can be avoided by using frozen source for predators. However, to maintain a eggs as the sole prey

sizable <u>Carcinops</u> colony on such prey alone requires the rearing of astronomical numbers of house flies.

<u>Coproica hirtula</u>, on the other hand, was found to be an ideal prey source for colonies of histerids. As indicated in the preceding section, these flies are inexpensive and simple to mass-rear, known numbers of individuals of known age can be readily obtained from stock culture jars, and they can be maintained on a substrate other than manure. This latter attribute is important since poultry manure may harbor pathogens of avian disease, which could be carried by colony beetles into poultry houses during introduction trials. Another advantage of these flies is their small size, in that all life stages from egg to adult are readily preved on by all feeding stages of  $\underline{C}$ . pumilio.

Sphaerocerids clearly constitute a major portion of the diet of in modern poultry houses under field conditions in Carcinops Massachusetts (Chapters II and III). In addition, there is evidence that this predator-prey association may have preceded the development of intensive poultry production systems and the resulting "monocultures" avian Of manure which are produced under these pumilio prefers poultry conditions. C. to bovine manure in agricultural settings (Legner and Olton 1970). Under more natural conditions, this beetle is also more commonly found in birds' nests than in the burrows of small mammals or the droppings of larger ones (Kryanovskij reported <u>C. pumilio</u> (as <u>C</u>. 1977). Hicks (1959)quattourdecimstriata (Stephens)) as having been found in the nests of

cinera, Asio storks, owls, starlings, purple martins, Andea wilsonianus, Ciconia ciconia, Columba sp., Progne subis, Strigidae, Sturnus vulgaris and Tyto alba as well as several other unidentified Similarly, at least 24 species of sphaerocerids have been species. recovered from birds' nests, and some have been found in association C. pumilio in the same nests (Hicks 1959). From this evidence, as with well as the high affinity which Carcinops shows for sphaerocerids under field and lab conditions, it seems likely that the modern association between this predator and its prey is a reflection of an ancestral relationship which had its evolutionary origins in the nests of wild birds prior to man's domestication of fowl. House fly eggs and young larvae may provide supernormal stimuli which release Carcinops feeding behavior and evoke the high rate of discovery (Smith 1975) and predatory potential (Peck 1968, 1969) of this predator on M. domestica immatures.

light of the above considerations, and in view of the probable In Old World origins of the house fly (Legner and McCoy 1966, Sacca 1964), foreign explorations for natural enemies of this pest should further include inspections of the nests of wild birds for as-yet undiscovered unexploited predators of fly immatures. To date, most of this work and centered on examinations of accumulations of bovine manure (Legner has Olton 1970, Legner et al. 1974, 1981). Similarly, examination of and and droppings of mammals may reveal the presence of the burrows histerids and other predators of dipterans which are predisposed to inhabititing the dung of herbivores. Enthusiasm must be tempered with caution, however, since predators which are quite abundant in isolated or fresh droppings may be repelled by stockpiled, partially composted accumulations of manure (Bornemissza 1968).

Adult longevity. C. pumilio which were provided with overabundant prey continuously throughout life were observed to live an average of ca. 100 days, while those which were intermittently fed lived considerably In general, the Histeridae appears to be a rather long-lived longer. group (Crowson 1981). Lindner (1967), in a study of Hister, Saprinus and Gnathoncus spp., found that adults would live for 2-3 years. Bornemissza (1968) found that field-collected Pachylister chinensis lived for at least 15 months in the lab following collection. Hister has been found to live for at least 6 months and <u>H</u>. incertus coenosis 19.5 months following emergence under laboratory least for at conditions (Summerlin et al. 1981).

Under field conditions in poultry houses, <u>Carcinops</u> populations increase steadily with accumulation time over the season and reach densities exceeding 100 beetles/liter of manure (Chapter II). While prey availability and habitat acceptance clearly play roles in this process (Chapters II and III), data presented here indicate that the population dynamics of this species would be expected to result in heavily adult-biased age structures over long periods of time. That is, where prey are abundant, newly emerged beetle adults join the population at a rate considerably higher than can be compensated for by adult mortality. Thus, in the field, the long lifespan of the adults coupled with short larval development time make major contributions to frequently-observed greater abundance of Carcinops in older manure. the Conversely, sudden drops in the number of adults in a habitat with low moderate prey availability would reflect either beetle emigration, to (Flight and dispersal of this species in parasitism. or disease response to declining habitat quality is discussed in detail in Chapter Over a four-year period of observing and collecting C. pumilio in IV). have found no evidence for the presence of beetle field, I the pathogens or parasites. Since Carcinops appears to be an imported (Hinton 1945, Legner and Olton 1970), its associated natural species enemies may not be present in the U.S. at this time.

Male Carcinops were found to live as long as females under the rearing conditions described here, and were found in roughly equal the field, one generally finds In emergence. proportions at male-biased sex ratios (Chapters II and IV, Smith 1975), suggesting in nature are at higher risk than males with respect to that females cannibalism and predation (see Chapter II for a further discussion of Since females possess a small, single spermatheca and this topic). males have greatly enlarged testes, it seems apparent that females must mate repeatedly throughout life, and that male-male competition for must be keen. Further work is needed to determine whether this mates mating system reflects female need for nutritional or hormonal factors necessary for oogenesis and which are released from the be which may spermatophore following sperm transfer, as has been found in the seed (Huignard 1974, 1975, Huignard et al. obtectus ^canthoscelides bee+le

1977).

Ovarian development and mating readiness of beetles. Carcinops females found to be receptive to mating immediately following eclosion. were term "receptive" may be misleading when applied to newly emerged, The teneral beetles, however, since copulation attempts by males may simply be more likely to succeed with such sluggish, soft-bodied individuals with older, fully hardened females. Males, on the other hand, than observed to require 5-6 days of feeding on prey prior to mating. were feeding may be assumed to be related to development of the testes This Given the apparent of the first spermatophore. production and nutritional investment which males invest in spermatophore production, there is probably considerable constraint placed on them with respect Further studies are needed to determine the to repeated matings. number of matings which individual males are capable of per unit time and with known amounts of prey input. Under field conditions, where virtually all females which are collected are found with active sperm in the spermathecae (Chapters II and IV), the opportunities for males to co-vilate with many females in succession are probably few.

Female beetler were found to develop and deposit the first egg about one week following emergence, and to develop their eggs singly in agreement with observations of This is succession. and in Bornemissza (1968),who found that chinensis by Pachylister reproductive development took one week and that eggs were deposited singly in tunnels beneath manure pats. Single-egg development and deposition is quite common among beetles in general (Crowson 1981) and appears to be typical of histerids (Hinton 1945). Hammer (1941) noted that <u>Hister unicolor</u> L. females deposited one or two eggs in the soil under cattle droppings, and Summerlin et al. (1981) made similar observations of <u>H. coenosis</u> and <u>H. incertus</u>. These latter authors further noted that the "cells" in which eggs were deposited served as incubation chambers; eggs which were mechanically removed from the cells dessicated and did not hatch. Given the temporary and patchily distributed nature of the resources which are exploited by most histerids (carrion, dung, etc.), single egg deposition may also be a strategy to minimize sibling competition, cannibalism and egg predation and parasitism.

Development of immatures. In the present study, Carcinops was found to complete development in 20-24 days. Smith (1975), on the other hand, found that the development time for this species was 28-37 days. This discrepency may be in part accounted for by differences in rearing methods and prey availability. Under the rearing conditions described here, immatures were allowed to forage freely in culture jars and were left undisturbed until complete cells were formed, while Smith observed development of individual immatures either on germination paper or the small clumps of manure in petri dishes. In my experience C. in behave "normally" in petri dishes, but rather pumilio larvae do not expend considerable energy trying to locate dark refuges in the local Another environment. difference between Smith's method and that used
that house fly eggs were used as prey in the former, while was here sphaerocerid immatures were employed in the latter. I have generally found that Carcinops larvae discover moving prey more readily than stationary prey items such as house fly eggs and Coproica pupae. Thus, observed difference in development time may have the reason for one been related to the amounts of time which the predators spent feeding In nature, where competition and predator searching. relative to avoidance may interfere with foraging, longer development times than those observed either here or by Smith (1975) may be common.

Another factor which presumably influenced development time is temperature, which was 30-31°C in the present study and ca. 27° rearing that of Smith (1975). Lindner (1967) found that Hister in С cadaverensis completed development 41 days at 23°C, however, at lower temperatures this period was considerably longer (55 da-s at 14-25°C, days at 13-18°C). At rearing temperatures of 20-25°C, Lindner also 69 made the following observations of development times of several other histerid species: <u>Hister unicolor</u> - ca. 40 days, <u>H. striola</u> - ca. 36 days, H. carbonarius - 42-63 days, Saprinus semistriatus - 33-36 days, Gnathoncus sp. - ca. 40 days (Lindner 1967). Bornemissza (1967) found that larval development of Pachylister chinensis required 16 days at 30 °C and 20 days at 25 °C. He also reported that the pupal period was and variable", and ranged from 3-5 weeks at 25-30 °C. At 27 °C, "long al. (1981) reported that <u>Hister coenosus</u> and <u>H. incertus</u> Summerlin et completed development in 34.2 and 36.0 days, respectively.

In a landmark study of arthropods associated with cattle

droppings, Mohr (1943) noted that mature larvae of Hister abbreviatus "seemed to be familiar with the possibilities of the dung for they gathered the large fibers about them to form a bristly-coated capsule". Pupal cell formation appears to be fairly common among histerids (Hinton 1945), with many species "cementing" bits of debris or habitat together such as dung or soil (Bornemissza 1968, Mohr 1943, Reichart 1941, Summerlin et al. 1981). Carcinops appears to be quite flexible selection of cell-forming materials. in its In addition to using cell construction, Smith (1975) found that C. manure particles for pumilio larvae would enter empty house fly puparia and close off the In the present study, larvae were also observed to construct opening. cells from moistened filter paper and CSMA medium. The source of the used in this process is uncertain, since the cement larvae of cell-forming beetles lack labial salivary glands (Crowson 1981). In this material is thought to originate other species, from the peritrophic membrane (Rudall and Kenchington 1971) or the malpighian tubules (Mazzi and Bacetti 1956).

Mortality of immatures. Natural selection is thought to favor repeated reproduction and long adult lifespans in species where mortality rates are higher in immature stages than as adults (Murphy 1968). While such arguments tend to be circular, examination of Tables 19 and 20 indicates that this generalization holds true for <u>C. pumilio</u>. Of a hypothetical cohort of 1000 first instar larvae, nearly 50% mortality occurred prior to adult emergence under optimal colony conditions.

Since the average lifespan of the adults is at least twice the development time from to adult, immatures clearly suffer egg proportionately higher mortality rates than the adults of this species. In the lab, where, where prey was not limiting and other predators were excluded, the highest risk period among the immature stages was that during which prepupae constructed pupation cells (20% apparent mortality), while the lowest risk period was the pupal stage (6.39 In the field, where beetle population densities apparant mortality). considerably higher (Chapters II and III), and predation pressure are from <u>Macrocheles</u> may be strong, this differential may be assumed to be even more pronounced, considering the vulnerability of prepupae and the protection provided by the pupal cell.

Further life table work is needed to answer a number of questions which were not addressed in the present study, such as egg mortality and differential mortality of all stages under various levels of crowding and prey densities. For an integrated pest management program to be successful in poultry houses, accurate for filth flies on predator population dynamics is essential. information While laboratory life tables are of limited utility towards this end, they provide a basis for comparison with field mortality rates and can indicate weak points in predator life cycles. It is felt that this information, along with other data on predator-prey dynamics (Chapter II), ecology (Chapter III) and dispersal (Chapter IV), can serve as a foundation for further work leading to the development of predictive, deterministic models of predator and prey population dynamics in the

poultry manure arthropod community.

## Literature Cited

- Ables, J. R. and M. Shephard. 1974a. Hymenopterous parasitoids associated with poultry manure. Envir. Ent. 3: 884-886.
- Ables, J. R. and M. Shephard. 1974b. Responses and competition of the parasitoids <u>Spalangia</u> endius and <u>Muscidifurax raptor</u> (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) at different densities of house fly pupae. Can. Ent. 106: 825-830.
- Ables, J. R. and M. Shephard. 1976. Seasonal abundance and activity of indiginous Hymenopterous parasitoids attacking the house fly (Diptera: Muscidae). Can. Ent. 108: 841-844.
- Ables, J. R., R. P. West and M. Shephard. 1975. Response of the house fly and its parasitoids to Dimilin (TH 6040). J. Econ. Ent. 68: 622-624.
- Andersen, N. M. 1973. Seasonal polymorphism and delayed developmental changes in organs of flight and reproduction in bivoltine pondskaters (Hemiptera: Gerridae). Entomol. Scand. 4: 1-20.
- Anderson, J. R. and J. H. Poorbaugh. 1964. Biological control possibility for house flies. Calif. Agr. 18(9): 2-4.
- Anderson, J. R., A. S. Deal, E. F. Legner, E. C. Loomis and M. H. Swanson. 1968. Fly control on poultry ranches. Univ. Calif. Agr. Ext. Serv. Publ. AXT-72. 13pp.
- Anonymous. 1975. Flies and other insects in poultry houses. Ministry of Agr., Fish. and Food Adv. Lflt. No. 537, Edinburgh, U. K. 6pp.
- Anonymous, 1976. Control of insects affecting livestock. ARS National Research Program (NRP) No. 20480, U. S. Dep. Agr. 99pp.
- Anonymous, 1979. Proceedings of Workshop on Livestock Pest Management: To Assess National Research and Extension Needs for Integrated Pest Management of Insects, Ticks and Mites Affeacting Livestock and Poultry, March 5-7, 1979, Kansas State University, Manhattan Kansas. U. S. Dep. Agr., 322pp.
- Anonymous. 1982. The insect fauna associated with houseflies breeding in poultry houses. Dan. Pest. Inf. Lab. Ann. Rep. 1981 (1982).

- Axtell, R. C. 1961. New records of North American Macrochelidae (Acarina: Mesostigmata) and their predation rates on the house fly. Annls. Ent. Soc. Amer. 54: 748.
- Axtell, R. C. 1963a. Effect of Macrochelidae (Acarina: Mesostigmatidae) on house fly production from dairy manure. J. Econ. Ent. 56: 317-321.
- Axtell, R. C. 1963b. Acarina occurring in domestic animal manure. Annls. Ent. Soc. Amer. 56: 628-633.
- Axtell, R. C. 1964. Phoretic relationship of some common manure-inhabiting Macrochelidae (Acarina: Mesostigmatidae) to the house fly. Annls. Ent. Soc. Amer. 57: 584-587.
- Axtell, R. C. 1969. Macrochelidae as biological control agents for synanthropic flies. Proc. 2nd. Int. Cong. Acarol., Budapest (1967), pp. 401-416.
- Axtell, R. C. 1970a. Integrated fly control for caged-poultry houses. J. Econ. Ent. 63: 400-405.
- Axtell, R. C. 1970b. Fly control in caged poultry houses: comparison of larviciding and integrated control programs. J. Econ. Ent. 63: 1734-1737.
- Axtell, R. C. 1981. Use of predators and parasites in filth fly IPM programs in poultry housing. Proc. Wrkshp. Biol. Contr. Filth Flies, Gainesville, Fla. (1981), pp. 26-43.
- Bai, M. G. and T. Sankaran. 1977. Parasites, predators and other arthropods associated with <u>Musca domestica</u> and other flies breeding in bovine manure. Entomophaga 22: 163-167
- Beard, R. L. 1964. Parasites of muscoid flies. Bull. Wrld. Hlth. Org. 31: 49-493.
- Bell, D. D., R. G. Curley and E. C. Loomis. 1965. Poultry manure removal systems. Univ. Calif. Agr. Ext. Serv. AXT-189, 13pp.
- Bills, G. T. 1973. Biological fly control in deep-pit poultry houses. Brit. Poult. Sci. 14: 209-212.
- Bornemissza, G. F. 1968. Studies on the histerid beetle <u>Pachylister</u> <u>chinensis</u> in Fiji, and its possible value in the control of buffalo fly in Australia. Aust. J. Zool. 16: 673-688.
- Brown, A. W. A. and R. Pal. 1971. Insecticide resistance in arthropods. Wrld. Hlth. Org. Monog. Ser. No. 38, 2nd Edit.

(Geneva), 491pp.

- Campbell, J. B. 1981. Integrated pest management feedlots and dairies. Proc. Wrkshp. Biol. Contr. Filth Flies, Gainesville, Fla. (1981), pp. 144-147.
- Card, L. E. and M. C. Nesheim. 1975. Poultry Production. Lea and Febiger Publ. Co., Philadelphia, 392pp.
- Carlson, D. A. and M Beroza. 1973. Field evaluations of (Z) 9 tricosene, a sex attractant pheromone of the house fly. Env. Ent. 2: 555-560.
- Cook, W. C. 1967. Life history, host plants and migrations of the beet leafhopper in the Western United States. Tech. Bull. U. S. Dep. Agr. No. 1365, 122pp.
- Crowson, R. A. 1981. The Biology of the Coleoptera. Academic Press, New York, 802 pp.
- Davis, M.A. 1980. Variation in flight duration among individual <u>Tetraopes</u> beetles: implications for studies of insect flight. J. Insect. Physiol. 26: 403-406.
- Deeming, J. C. and L. V. Knutson. 1966. Ecological notes on some Sphaeroceridae reared from snails, and a description of the puparium of <u>Copromyza</u> (<u>Apterina</u>) <u>pedestris</u> Meigen (Diptera). Proc. Ent. Soc. Wash. 68: 108-112.
- Denno, R. F. and E. E. Grissell. 1979. The adaptiveness of wing dimorphism in the salt marsh-inhabiting planthoppers, <u>Prokelesia</u> <u>marginata</u> (Homoptera: Delphacidae). Ecology 60: 221-236.
- Derr, J. A., B. Alden and H. Dingle. 1981. Insect life histories in relation to migration, body size, and host plant array: a comparaitive study of <u>Dysdercus</u>. J. Anim. Ecol. 50: 181-193.
- Dethier, V. G. 1947. Chemical Insect Attractants and Repellents. Blakiston Co., Philadelphia. 289pp.
- Dingle, H. 1965. The relation between age and flight activity in the milkweed bug, <u>Oncopeltus</u>. J. Exp. Biol. 42: 269-283.
- Dingle, H. 1966. Some factors affecting flight activity in individual milkweed bugs (<u>Oncopeltus</u>). J. Exp. Biol. 44: 335-343.
- Dingle, H. 1972. Migration strategies of insects. Science 175: 1327-1325.

- Dingle, H. 1978. Migration and diapause in tropical, temperate and island milkweed bugs. In: Evolution of Insect Migration and Diapause, H. Dingle, (ed.). 1978. Springer-Verlag, New York, 284pp.
- Dingle, H. and G. K. Arora. 1973. Experimental studies of migration in bugs of the genus <u>Dvsdercus</u>. Oecologia 12: 119-140.
- Dingle, H., N. R. Blakley and E. R. Miller. 1980. Variation in body size and flight performance in milkweed bugs (<u>Oncopeltus</u>). Evolution 34: 371-385.
- Disney, R. H. L. 1974. Speculations regarding the mode of evolution of some remarkable associations between Diptera and other arthropods. Ent. Mon. Mag. 110: 67-74.
- Driggers, D. P. 1971. Field evaluations of blacklight electrocutor grid traps for the control of flies associated with poultry. MS Thesis, Univ. of Florida, Gainesville, 35pp.
- Dunning, L. L., E. C. Loomis, W. S. Coates and F. C. Price. 1978. Domestic fly problems in deep-pit poultry houses. Calif. Agr. 29 (9): 16-19.
- Edwards, R. L. 1961. The area of discovery of two insect parasites, <u>Nasonia</u> <u>vitripennis</u> (Walker) and <u>Trichogramma</u> <u>evanescens</u> Westwood, in an artificial environment. Can. Ent. 93: 457-481.
- Elsey, K. D. 1974. <u>Jalysus spinosus</u>: effect of age, starvation, host plant, and photoperiod on flight activity. Env. Ent. 3: 653-655.
- Fairbank, W. C. 1963. Poultry manure disposal lagoons. Eng. Repts., Univ. Calif. Ext. Serv., April, 4pp.
- Fauvel, A. 1889. Liste des coleopteres communes a l'Europe et l'Amerique du Nord. Rev. Ent. Caen. 8: 92-174.
- Filiponni, A. 1955. Sulla natura dell'associaziona tra <u>Macrocheles</u> <u>muscadomesticae</u> et <u>Musca domestica</u>. Riv. Parassitol. 16: 83-102.
- Filiponni, A. 1960. Macrochelidae (Acarina: Mesostigmatidae) foretici di mosche. Risultati paraziali di una indigina ecologia in corso nell'Agro Pontino. Parassitol. 2: 167-172.
- Filiponni, A. and D. deDelupis. 1963. Sul regime dietetica di alcuni macrochellide (Acari: Mesostigmatidae) associati in natura a muscidi di interesse sanitario. Riv. Parassitol. 24: 277-288.
- Filiponni, A. and M. G. Petrelli. 1967. Autoecologia e capacita moltiplicatava di <u>Macrocheles muscadomesticae</u> (Scolopi) (Acari:

Mesostigmatidae). Riv. Parassitol. 38: 129-159.

- Fredeen, F. J. H. and G. S. Glen. 1970. The survival and development of <u>Leptocera</u> <u>caenosa</u> (Diptera: Sphaerocerida) in laboratory cultures. Can. Ent. 102: 164-171.
- Fredeen, J. H. R. and M. E. Taylor. 1964. Borborids (Diptera: Sphaeroceridae) infesting sewage disposal tanks, with notes on the life cycle, behavior and control of <u>Leptocera</u> (<u>Leptocera</u>) <u>caenosa</u> (Rondani). Can. Ent. 96: 801-808.
- Gall, A. 1980. Are lesser mealworms worth the trouble they may cause? Poultry Digest, February: pp. 76-77.
- Georghiou, G. P. 1972. The evolution of resistance to pesticides. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Systemat. 3: 133-168.
- Georghiou, G. P., S. Lee and D. H. DeVries. 1978. Development of resistance to the juvenoid methoprene in the house fly. J. Econ. Ent. 71: 544-547.
- Gomez, P. and L. Diego. 1977. Flies infesting the land crab <u>Cardisoma</u> <u>crassum</u> Smith (Crustacea: Gecarcinidae) on Coco Island, Costa Rica. Rev. Biol. Trop. 25: 59-64.
- Graham-Smith, G. S. 1914. Flies in Relation to Disease: Non-blood-sucking Flies. Cambridge Univ. Press, 389pp.
- Greenburg, B. 1971. Flies and Disease. Vol. I. Ecology, Classification and Biotic Associations. Princeton Univ. Press, 856pp.
- Greenburg, B. 1973. Flies and Disease. Vol. II. Biology and Disease Transmission. Princeton Univ. Press, 447pp.
- Hackman, W. 1967. On Diptera in small mammal burrows in Northern Europe and Southern Spain. Notul. Ent. 47: 1-14.
- Hackman, W. 1969. A review of the zoogeography and classification of the Sphaeroceridae (Borboridae: Diptera). Notul. Ent. 49: 193-210.
- Hammer, O. 1941. Biological and ecological investigations of flies associated with pasturing cattle and their excrement. Vidensk. Med. Dansk Naturhist. Foren., Vol. 105.
- Harrison, R. G. 1980. Dispersal polymorphisms in insects. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 11: 95-118.
- Hewitt, C. G. 1914. The Housefly, Musca domestica Linn. Structure,

Habits, Development, Relation to Disease and Control. Cambridge Univ. Press, 382pp.

- Hicks, E. A. 1959. Check-list and Bibliography on the Occurrence of Insects in Birds' Nests. Iowa State College Press, Ames, Iowa, 681pp.
- Hienton, T. E. 1974. Summary of invertigations of electric insect traps. U. S. D. A. Tech. Bull. No. 1498, 136pp.
- Hinton, C. F. 1977. Mechanical rotovation of poultry manure as a method of fly control. Poultry Sci. 56: 1722-1723.
- Hinton, H. E. 1945. The Histeridae associated with stored products. Bull. Ent. Res. 35: 309-340.
- Hogsette, J. A. 1980. The evaluation of poultry pest management techniques in Florida poultry houses. PhD Dissertation, Department of Entomology, University of Florida, Gainesville, 308pp.
- Howard, C. W. 1917. What the House-fly costs? Minn. Insect Life 4(2): 1-8.
- Howard, L. O. 1909. Economic loss to the people of the United States through insects that cause disease. U. S. D. A. Bur. Ent. Bull. No. 78, 40pp.
- Howard, L. O. 1911. The House Fly, Disease Carrier. An Account of its Dangerous Activities and the Means of Destroying it. Frederick A. Stokes Co., New York, 312pp.
- Hoyer, H. 1981. Foreign exploration, collection and shipment of parasites and predators. Proc. Wrkshp. Biol. Contr. Filth Flies, Gainesville, Fla. (1981), pp. 128-130.
- Huignard, J. 1974. Influence de la copulation sur la fonction repruductrice femelle chez <u>Acanthoscelides obtectus</u> (Coleoptere: Bruchidae). I. – Copulation et spermatophore. Ann. Sci. Nat. Zool. 16: 361-434.
- Huignard, J. 1975. Influence de la copulation sur la fonction reprucuctrice femelle chez <u>Acanthoscelides obtectus</u> (Coleoptere: Bruchidae). II. - Analyse experimentale. Ann. Sci. Nat. Zool. 17: 1-66.
- Huignard, J., A. Quesneau-Thierry and M. Barbier. 1977. Isolement, action biologique et evolution des substances paragoniales contenues dans la spermatophore d'<u>Acanthoscelides</u> obtectus. J. Insect Physiol. 23: 351-357.

- Jenkins, D. W. 1964. Pathogens, parasites and predators of medically important arthropods. Bull. Wrld. Hlth. Org., Suppl. 30: 1964.
- Johnson, C. G. 1966. A functional system of adaptive dispersal by flight. Ann. Rev. Ent. 11: 233-260.
- Johnson, C. G. 1969. Migration and Dispersal of Insects by Flight. Methuen and Co., Ltd., London, 763pp.
- Keiding, J. 1974. Houseflies (<u>Musca domestica</u>). In: Control of Arthropods of Medical and Veterinary Importance, R. Pal and R. H. Wharton, (eds). Academic Press, New York.
- Keiding, J. 1976. The house fly biology and control. Wrld. Hlth. Org. VBC/76.650, 1-82.
- Keiding, J. 1977. Resistance in the housefly in Denmark and elsewhere. In: Pesticide Management and Insecticide Resistance, D. L. Watson and A. W. A. Brown (eds). Academic Press, New York.
- Keilin, D. and P. Tate. 1930. On certain semi-carnivorous Anthomyid larvae. Parasitol. 22: 168-181.
- Kennedy, J. S. 1956. Phase transformation in locust biology. Biol. Rev. 31: 349-370.
- Kennedy, J. S. 1961. A turning point in the study of insect migration. Nature 189: 785-791.
- Kinn, D. N. 1966. Predation by the mite, <u>Macrocheles muscadomesticae</u> (Acarina: Mesostigatidae) on three species of flies. J. Med. Ent. 3: 155-158.
- Kryzanovskij, O. L. 1977. Nidicolous Histeridae and their associations with hosts. Angew. Parasitol. 18(3): 136-142.
- Lamb, R. K. and P. A. MacKay. 1979. Variability in migratory tendency within and between natural populations of the pea aphid. Oecologia 39: 289-299.
- Laurence, B. R. 1954. The larval inhabitants of cowpats. J. Anim. Ecol. 23: 234-260.
- Legner, E. F. 1967a. The status of <u>Nasonia vitripennis</u> as a natural parasite of the house fly, <u>Musca domestica</u>. Can. Ent. 99: 308-309.
- Legner, E. F. 1967b. Behavior changes the reproduction of <u>Spalangia</u> cameroni, <u>S. endius</u>, <u>Muscidifurax raptor</u>, and <u>Nasonia vitripennis</u>

(Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) at increasing fly host densities. Annls. Ent. Soc. Amer. 60: 819-826.

- Legner, E. F. 1969. Adult emergence interval and reproduction in parasitic Hymenoptera influenced by host size and density. Annls. Ent. Soc. Amer. 62: 220-226.
- Legner, E. F. 1971. Some effects of the ambient arthropod complex on the density and potential parasitization of muscoid Diptera in poultry wastes. J. Econ. Ent. 64: 111-115.
- Legner, E. F. 1972. Observations on hybridization and heterosis in parasitoids of synanthropic flies. Annls. Ent. Soc. Amer. 65: 254-263.
- Legner, E. F. 1977. Temperature, humidity and depth of habitat influencing host destruction and fecundity of muscoid fly parasites. Entomophaga 22: 199-206.
- Legner, E. F. 1981. Improving commercial biological control of filth flies with parasites. Proc. Wrkshp. Biol. Contr. Filth Flies, Gainesville, Fla. (1981), pp. 5-10.
- Legner, E. F. and H. W. Brydon. 1966. Suppression of dung-inhabiting fly populations by pupal parasites. Ann. Ent. Soc. Amer. 59: 638-651.
- Legner, E. F. and E. J. Dietrick. 1972. Inundation with parasitic insects to control filth breeding flies in California. Proc. 40th Ann. Conf. Calif. Mosq. Contr. Assoc., Inc. (1972), pp. 129-130.
- Legner, E. F. and E. J. Dietrick. 1974. Effectiveness of superviced control practices in lowering population densities of synanthropic flies on poultry ranches. Entomophaga 19: 467-478.
- Legner, E. F. and D. Gerling 1967. Host feeding and oviposition on <u>Musca domestica</u> by <u>Spalangia cameroni</u>, <u>Nasonia vitripennis</u>, and <u>Muscidifurax raptor</u> (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) influences their longevity and fecundity. Annls. Ent. Soc. Amer. 60: 678-691.
- Legner, E. F. and D. J. Greathead. 1969. Parasitism of pupae in East African populations of <u>Musca domestica</u> and <u>Stomoxys calcitrans</u>.
- Legner, E. F. and C. W. McCoy. 1966. The housefly, <u>Musca domestica</u> Linnaeus, as an exotic species in the Western Hemisphere incites biological control studies. Can. Ent. 98: 243-248.
- Legner, E. F. and G. S. Olton. 1968. The biological method and integrated control of flies. Calif. Agr. 22 (6): 2-4.

- Legner, E. F. and G. S. Olton. 1968. Activity of parasites from Diptera: <u>Musca domestica</u>, <u>Stomoxys calcitrans</u>, and species of <u>Fannia</u>, <u>Muscina</u>, and <u>Ophyra</u>. Annls. Ent. Soc. Amer. 61: 1306-1341.
- Legner, E. F. and G. S. Olton. 1970. Worldwide survey and comparison of adult predator and scavenger insect populations associated with domestic animal manure where livestock is artificially congregated. Hilgardia 40: 225-256.
- Legner, E. F. and G. S. Olton. 1971. Distribution and relative abundance of dipterous pupae and their parasitoids in accumulations of domestic animal manure in the southwestern United States. Hilgardia 40: 505-535.
- Legner, E. F., E. C. Bay and C. W. McCoy. 1965. Parasitic natural regulating agents attacking <u>Musca domestica</u> L. in Puerto Rico. J. Agric. Univ. Puerto Rico 49: 368-376.
- Legner, E. F., E. C. Bay and E. B. White. 1967. Activity of parasites from Diptera: <u>Musca domestica</u>, <u>Stomoxys calcitrans</u>, <u>Fannia canicularis</u>, and <u>F. femoralis</u>, at sites in the western hemisphere. Annls. Ent. Soc. Amer. 60: 462-468.
- Legner, E. F., W. R. Bowen, W. D. McKeen, W.F. Rooney, and R. F. Hobza. 1973. Inverse relationships between mass of breeding habitat and synanthropic fly emergence and the measurement of population densities with sticky tapes in California inland valleys. Environ. Ent. 2: 199-205.
- Legner, E. F., D. Sjogren and I. M. Hall. 1974. The biological control of medically important arthropods. CRC, Crit. Rev. Env. Contr. 4: 85-113.
- Legner, E. F., W. R. Bowen, W. F. Rooney, W. D. McKeen and G. W. Johnston. 1975a. Integrated fly control on poultry ranches. Calif. Agr. 29 (5): 8-10.
- Legner, E. F., G. S. Olton, R. E. Eastwood and E. J. Dietrick. 1975b. Seasonal density, distribution and interactions of predatory and scavenger arthropods in accumulating poultry wastes in coastal and interior Southern California. Entomophaga 20: 269-283.
- Legner, E. F., I. Moore and G. S. Olton. 1976. Tabular keys and biological notes to common parasitoids of synanthropic Diptera breeding in accumulated animal wastes. Ent. News 87(3/4): 113-144.
- Legner, E. F., D. J. Greathead and I. Moore. 1980. Population

density fluctuations of predatory and scavenger arthropods in accumulating bovine excrement of three age classes in equatorial East Africa. Bull. Soc. Vector Ecol. 5: 23-44.

- Legner, E. F., D. J. Greathead and I. Moore. 1981. Equatorial East African predatory and scavenger arthropods in bovine excrement. Environ. Ent. 10: 620-625.
- Legner, E. F., E. J. Dietrick and D. J. Blehm. 1983. New parasitic insects for biological control of synanthropic flies. Proc. Papers 50th Ann. Calif. Mosq. Vect. Cont. Assoc. April, 1982, Sacrimento, Ca., pp, 45-46.
- Lesne, P. and L. Mercier. 1922. Un staphylinidae des muscides fucicoles <u>Aleochara</u> (<u>Polystoma</u>) <u>algarum</u> Fauvel. Caracteres adaptifs de la larva a la vie parasitaire. Annls. Ent. Soc. France 91: 351-358.
- Lindner, W. 1967. Okologie und Larvalbiologie einheimischer Histeriden. Z. Morphol. Okol. Tierre 59: 341-380.
- Lindroth, C. H. 1949. Die fennoskandischen Carabidae. Ein Tiergeoggraphische Studie. Vol.III. Vetensk Samh. Hanndl., Goteborgs, 911pp.
- Lindsay, D. R. 1956. Nonbiting flies and disease. Ann. Rev. Ent. 1: 323-346.
- Loomis, E. C. 1981. Thirty years an education in filth fly control. Proc. Wrkshp. Biol. Contr. Filth Flies, Gainesville, Fla. (1981), pp. 160-167.
- Madden, J. L. and D. Pimentel. 1966. Density spatial relationships between a wasp parasite and its housefly host. Can. Ent. 97: 1031-1037.
- Marshall, S. A. 1982. A revision of the Nearctic Leptocera (subgenus, <u>Thoracochaeta</u>) (Diptera: Sphaeroceridae). Can. Ent. 114: 63-78.
- Marshall, S. A. 1983. <u>Ceroptera</u> <u>sivinski</u>, a new species of Sphaeroceridae (Diptera) in a genus new to North America, associated with scarab beetles in southwestern United States. Proc. Ent. Soc. Wash. 85: 139-143.
- Mazzi, V. and B. Baccetti. 1956. I tubi malpighiani e la secrezione della seta nell larve de <u>Donus crinitus</u>. Redia 41: 315-334.
- McFarlane, J. E. 1964. Factors affecting growth and wing polymorphism in <u>Gryllodes</u> sigillatus (Walk.): Dietary protein

levels and a possible effect of photoperiod. Can. J. Zool. 42: 767-771.

- Messina, F. J. 1982. Timing of dispersal and ovarian development in goldenrod leaf beetles, <u>Trirhabda virgata</u> and <u>T. borealis</u>. Ann. Ent. Soc. Am: 74: 78-83.
- Metcalf, R. L. and W. H. Luckmann. 1975. Introduction to Insect Pest Management. John Wiley and Sons, New York, 587pp.
- Meyer, J. R. 1982. Physical and biological prerequisites for flight activity in the alfalfa weevil, <u>Hypera</u> postica (Coleoptera:Curculionidae). Ann. Ent. Soc. Am. 75: 922-98.
- Mihara, M., H. Kurahashi, S. Kondo and S. Kawahara. 1983a. A house frequenting case of <u>Leptocera</u> <u>fuscipennis</u> (Haliday) (Diptera: Sphaeroceridae). I. Morphology and bionomics. Jap. J. Sanit. Zool. 34: 7-12.
- Mihara, M., H. Kurahashi, S. Kondo and S. Kawahara. 1983b. A house frequenting case of <u>Leptocera fuscipennis</u> (Haliday) (Diptera: Sphaeroceridae). II. Mass breeding and migration. Jap. J. Sanit. Zool. 34: 13-20.
- Miller, B. F., J. S. Teotia and T. O. Thatcher. 1974. Digestion of poultry manure by <u>Musca domestica</u>. Brit. Poult. Sci. 15: 231-234.
- Mohr, C. O. 1943. Cattle droppings as ecological units. Ecol. Monogr. 13: 275-298.
- Moore, I. and E. F. Legner. 1971. Host records of parasitic staphylinids of the genus <u>Aleochara</u> in America (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae). Annls. Ent. Soc. Amer. 64: 1184-1185.
- Moore, I. and E. F. Legner. 1973. A new host record for the parasitic rove beetle <u>Aleochara</u> <u>bipustulata</u> L. (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae). Ent. News 84: 250.
- Morgan, N. O., L. G. Pickens and R. W. Thimijam. 1970. House flies and stable flies captured by two types of traps. J. Econ. Ent. 64: 672-673.
- Morgan, P. B. 1981. The potential use of parasites to control <u>Musca</u> <u>domestica</u> L. and other filth breeding flies at agricultural installations in the southern United States. Proc. Wrkshp. Biol. Contr. Filth Flies, Gainesville, Fla. (1981), pp. 11-25.
- Morgan, P. B. and R. S. Patterson. 1975. Field parasitization of house flies by natural populations of <u>Pachycrepoideus vindemiae</u>

(Rondani), <u>Muscidifurax raptor</u> Girault and Sanders, and <u>Spalangia</u> nigroaenea Curtis. Fla. Ent. 58: 202.

- Morgan, P. B. and R. S. Patterson. 1977. Sustained releases of <u>Spalangia endius</u> to parasitize field populations of three species of filth breeding flies. J. Econ. Ent. 70: 450-452.
- Morgan, P. B., G. C. Labrecque, D. E. Weidhaas and A. Benton. 1975. The effect of methoprene, an insect growth regulator, on <u>Musca</u> <u>domestica</u> (Diptera: Muscidae). Can. Ent. 107: 413-417.
- Morgan, P. B., R. S. Patterson, G. C. LaBrecque, D. E. Weidhaas and A. Benton. 1975a. Suppression of a field population of house flies with <u>Spalangia</u> endius. Science 189: 388-389.
- Morgan, P. B., R. S. Patterson, G. C. LaBrecque, D. E. Weidhass, A. Benton and T. Whitefield. 1975b. Rearing and release of the house fly pupal parasite, <u>Spalangia</u> endius. Environ. Ent. 4: 609-611.
- Morgan, P. B., R. S. Patterson and G. C. LaBrecque. 1976a. Controlling house flies at a dairy installation by releasing a protolean parasitoid <u>Spalangia</u> <u>endius</u> Walker. J. Georgia Ent. Soc. 11: 39-43.
- Morgan, P. B., R. S. Patterson and G. C. LaBrecque. 1976b. Host-parasitoid relationship of the house fly, <u>Musca domestica</u> L., and the protolean parasitoid, <u>Spalangia endius</u> Walker. J. Kansas Ent. Soc. 49: 483-488.
- Morgan, P. B., G. C. LaBrecque and R. S. Patterson. 1978. Mass-culturing the microhymenopteran parasite, <u>Spalangia endius</u> Walker. J. Med. Ent. 14: 671-673.
- Morrill, A. W. 1914. Experiments with house fly baits and poisons. J. Econ. Ent. 7: 268-273.
- Mulla, M. S., Y.-S. Hwang and H. Axelrod. 1977. Attractants for synanthropic flies: chemical attractants for domestic flies. J. Econ. Ent. 70: 644-648.
- Murphy, G. I. 1968. Patterns in life history and environment. Am. Nat. 102: 391-403.
- Nagel, W. P. and D. Pimentel. 1963. Some ecological attributes of a pteromalid parasite and its housefly host. Can Ent. 95: 208-213.
- Nicholas, G. E. 1873. The fly in its sanitary aspect. Lancet 2: 724.

- Nolan, M. P., Jr. 1981. Monitoring house fly populations and carrying out an insect pest management program in caged layer operations. Proc. Wrkshp. Biol. Contr. Filth Flies, Gainesville, Fla. (1981), pp. 168-177.
- Okely, E. F. 1974. Description of the puparia of twenty-three British species of Sphaeroceridae (Diptera, Acalyptratae). Trans. Roy. Soc. London 26: 41-56.
- Olton, G. S. and F. E. Legner. 1975. Winter innoculative releases of parasitoids to reduce house flies in poultry manure. J. Econ. Ent. 68: 35-38.
- Ostrander, C. E. 1966. Methods of handling poultry-waste materials. In: Management of farm animal wastes, Am. Soc. Agr. Eng. Publ. SP-0366.
- Pal, R. and R. H. Wharton. 1974. Control of Arthropods of Medical and Veterinary Importance. Plenum Pub. Co., New York. 138pp.
- Papp, L. 1979. Some fungivorous species of Shaeroceridae and Drosophilidae (Diptera) from Karelia, U.S.S.R. Folia Ent. Hung. 32(2): 189-194.
- Parker, G. A. and R. A. Stuart. 1976. Animal behavior as a strategy optimizer: evolution of resource assessment strategies and optimal emigration thresholds. Am. Natur. 110: 1055-1076.
- Patterson, R. S. 1981. Importance of monitoring house fly and stable fly immature and adult populations in IPM programs using biocontrol. Proc. Wrkshp. Biol. Contr. Filth Flies, Gainesville, Fla. (1981), pp. 95-102.
- Patterson, R. S., D. W. Meifert, L. Buschman, T. Whitfield and R. L. Fye. 1980. Control of the house fly <u>Musca domestica</u> (Diptera: Muscidae) with permethrin coated fiberglass strips. J. Med. Ent. 17: 232-234.
- Peck, J. H. 1968. The potential role of arthropod predators in the integrated control of Diptera developing in poultry droppings. PhD Dissertation, Department of Entomology, University of California, Berkeley, 129pp.
- Peck, J. H. 1969. Arthropod predators of immature Diptera developing in poultry droppings in Northern California. Part II. Laboratory studies of feeding behavior and predation potential of selected species. J. Med. Ent. 6: 168-171.
- Peck, J. H. and J. R. Anderson. 1969. Arthropod predators of immature Diptera developing in poultry droppings in Northern

California. Part I. Determination, seasonal abundance and natural cohabitation with prey. J. Med. Ent. 6: 163-167.

- Peck, J. H. and J. R. Anderson. 1970. Influence of poultry-manure-removal schedules on various Diptera larvae and arthropod predators. J. Econ. Ent. 63: 82-90.
- Pereira, C. and M. P. deCastro. 1945. Contribicao para o conhecimento da espicie tipo de <u>Macrocheles</u> Latr. (Acarina): <u>M.</u> <u>muscadomesticae</u> (Scolopi, 1772). Arg. Inst. Biol. Sao Paulo 16: 153-186.
- Petrova, A. D. 1964. The role of synanthropic flies in the spreading of Macrochelidae Vitzt. Med. Parazarnye Bolezni 33: 533-556.
- Pfeiffer, D. G. 1978. The Coleoptera of poultry houses in North Carolina. MS Thesis, Department of Entomology, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, 106pp.
- Pfeiffer, D. G. and R. C. Axtell. 1980. Coleoptera of poultry manure in caged layer houses in North Carolina. Environ. Ent. 9: 21-28.
- Phillips, J. S. 1934. The biology and distribution of ants in Hawaiian pineapple fields. Haw. Pin. Prod. Sta. Bull. 15: 1-57.
- Pickens, L. G. 1981. Use of <u>Pachycrepoideus vindemiae</u> to control fly breeding in poultry coops and cattle stalls. Proc. Wrkshp. Biol. Contr. Filth Flies, Gainesville, Fla. (1981), pp. 59-60.
- Pickens, L. G., N. O. Morgan and R. W. Miller. 1972. Comparison of traps and other methods for surveying density of populations of flies in dairy barns. J. Econ. Ent. 65: 144-145.
- Pickens, L. G., R. W. Miller and G. R. Mowry. 1973. An improved bait for flies (Diptera: Muscidae). J. Med. Ent. 10: 84-88.
- Pickens, L. G., R. W., Miller and L. E. Campbell. 1975. Bait-light combinations evaluated as attractants for house flies and stable flies (Diptera: Muscidae). J. Med. Ent. 11: 747-751.
- Pimentel, D. 1954. Relationship of ants to fly control in Puerto Rico. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 4: 147-151.
- Pimentel, D. and L. Uhler. 1969. Ants and the control of flies in the Philippines. J. Econ. Ent. 62: 248.
- Poorbaugh, J. H., J. R. Anderson and J. F. Burger. 1968. The insect inhabitants of undisturbed cattle droppings in Northern California. Calif. Vector Views 15(3): 17-36.

- Propp, G. D. and P. B. Morgan. 1983. Multiparasitism of house fly, <u>Musca domestica</u> L., pupae by <u>Spalangia endius</u> Walker and <u>Muscidifurax raptor</u> Girault and Sanders (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae). Envir. Ent. 12: 1232-1238.
- Raimbert, A. 1869. Recherches experimentales sur la transmission du charbon par les mouches. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 69: 805-812.
- Rankin, M. A. 1978. Hormonal control of insect migratory behavior. In: Evolution of Insect Migration and Dispersal, H. Dingle (ed.). Springer-Verlag, New York, 284pp.
- Rawlins, S. C., D. B. Woodward, J. R. Coppedge and L. Jurd. 1982. Management of an insecticide resistant house fly (Diptera: Muscidae) population by the strategic use of a benzylphenol chemosterilant. J. Econ. Ent. 75: 728-732.
- Reichardt, A. 1941. Faune de l' U.R.S.S. Insectes Colepteres, Vol. V, No. 3, Sphaeritidae et Histeridae (I), 421 pp., Moscow.
- Richards, O. W. 1968. Spaherocerid flies associated with doryline ants, collected by Dr. D. H. Kistner. Trans. Roy. Soc. London 120: 183-192.
- Robinson, D. L. 1975. Estimated damage and crop losses caused by insect/mite pests, 1974. State of California, Report of Food and Agriculture, Publ. E-82-14.
- Rodriguez, J. G. and C. F. Wade. 1961. The nutrition of <u>Macrocheles</u> <u>muscadomesticae</u> (Acarina: Macrochelidae) in relation to its predatory action on the house fly egg. Annals Ent. Soc. Amer. 54: 782-788.
- Rodriguez, J. G., C. F. Wade and C. N. Wells. 1962. Nematodes as a natural food for <u>Macrocheles muscadomesticae</u> (Acarina: Macrochelidae), a predator of the house fly egg. Annls. Ent. Soc. Amer. 55: 507-511.
- Roff, D. A. 1975. Population stability and the evolution of dispersal in a heterogeneous environment. Oecologia 19: 217-237.
- Roff, D. A. 1977. Dispersal in dipterans: its costs and consequences. J. Anim. Ecol. 46: 443-456.
- Rohacek, J. and S. A. Marshall. 1982. A monograph of the genera <u>Puncticorpus</u> and <u>Nearcticorpus</u>, new genus (Diptera: Sphaeroceridae). Zool. Jahrb. Abt. Syst. Oekol. Geogr. Tiere. 109: 357-398.
- Rose, D. J. W. 1972. Dispersal and quality in populations of

Cicadulina species (Cicadellidae). J. Anim. Ecol. 41:589-609.

- Roush, R. T. and F. W. Plapp, Jr. 1982. Effects of insecticide resistance on biotic potential of the house fly (Diptera: Muscicdae). J. Econ. Ent. 75: 708-713.
- Rudall, K. M. and W. Kenchington. 1971. Arthropod silks: the problems of fibrous proteins in animal tissues. Ann. Rev. Ent. 16: 73-96.
- Ruggles, L. H. 1977. Observations on biological fly control in Massachusetts caged layer houses. Coop. Ext. Serv. Univ. Mass., 16pp.
- Ruggles, L. H. 1979. Fly predators in the Northeast. Amer. Agr. June 1979: 31-32.
- Rutz, D. A. 1981. Integrated multipest management, a pilot program for poultry and livestock in North Carolina. Proc. Wrkshp. Biol. Contr. Filth Flies, Gainesville, Fla. (1981), pp. 148-159.
- Rutz, D. A. and R. C. Axtell. 1979. Sustained release of <u>Muscidifurax raptor</u> (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) for house fly control in two types of caged poultry houses. Environ. Ent. 8: 1105-1110.
- Sacca, G. 1964. Comparative bionomics in the genus <u>Musca</u>. Ann. Rev. Ent. 9: 341-358.
- Scudder, H. I. 1947. A new technique for sampling the density of housefly populations. Publ. Hlth. Repts. 62(19): 681-686.
- Seguy, E. 1923. Dipteres anthomyides. Faune de France 6: 277-279.
- Simmonds, H. W. 1940. Investigations with a view to the biological control of houseflies in Fiji. Trop. Agric. 17: 197-199.
- Singh, P. and J. G. Rodriguez. 1966. Food for macrochelid mites (Acarina) by an improved method for mass rearing of a nematode, <u>Rhabditella leptura</u>. Acarologia 8: 449-450.
- Singh, P. and J. G. Rodriguez. 1969. <u>Macrocheles muscadomesticae</u>; current knowledge and status in biological control. WHO/VBC/69.162: 1-9.
- Singh, P., E. W. King and J. G. Rodriguez. 1966. Biological control of muscids as influenced by host preference of <u>Macrocheles</u> <u>muscadomesticae</u> (Acarina: Mesostigma+idae). J. Med. Ent. 3: 78-81.

- Slobodkin, L. B. 1962. Growth and Reglation of Animal Populations. Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, New York, 184pp.
- Smith, K. A. 1975. Observations on the life history, ecology and behavior of <u>Carcinops pumilio</u> (Erichson). MS Thesis, Department of Entomology, University of New Hampshire, Durham, 52pp.
- Solbreck, C. and I. Pehrson. 1979. Relationship between environment, migration and reproduction in a seed bug, <u>Neacoryphus bicrucis</u> (Say) (Heteroptera: Lygaeidae). Oecologia 43: 51-62.
- Southwood, T. R. E. 1962. Migration of terrestrial arthropods in relation to habitat. Biol. Rev. 37: 171-214.
- Stage, D. A. and J. J. Petersen. 1981. Mass release of pupal parasites for control of stable flies and house flies in confined feedlots in Nebraska. Proc. Wrkshp. Biol. Contr. Filth Flies, Gainesville, Fla., (1981), pp.52-58.
- Steel, R. G. D. and J. H. Torrie. 1960. Principals and Procedures of Statistics. McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York. 481pp.
- Stengel, M. M. C. 1974. Migratory behavior of the female of the common cockchafer <u>Melolontha melolontha</u> L. and its neuroendocrine regulation. In: Experimental Analysis of Insect Behavior, Barton-Browne, L. (ed.). Springer-Verlag, New York. 366pp.
- Steve, P. C. 1959. Parasites and predators of the little house fly, <u>Fannia canicularis</u> (L.) and <u>Fannia scalaris</u> (F.). J. Econ. Ent. 52: 530-531.
- Stinner, R. E., C. S. Barfield, J. L. Stimas and L. Dohse. 1983. Dispersal and movement of insect pests. Ann. Rev. Ent. 28: 319-335.
- Stone, A., C. W. Sabrosky, W. W. Wirth, R. H. Foote and J. R. Coulson. 1965. A catalog of the Diptera north of Mexico. U. S. Dep. Agr., Agr. Res. Serv., Washington, D.C.
- Summerlin, J. W. 1980. Biology and distribution of the histerids associated with bovine feces in South Central Texas. M.S. Thesis, Texas A. and M. Univ., College Station, 65pp.
- Summerlin, J. W., D. E. Bay, R. L. Harris and D. J. Russell. 1981. Laboratory observations on the life cycle and habits of two species of Histeridae (Coleoptera): <u>Hister</u> <u>coenosus</u> and <u>H</u>. <u>unicolor</u>. Annls. Ent. Soc. Amer. 74: 316-319.
- Summerlin, J. W., D. E. Bay and R. L. Harris. 1982a Seasonal distribution and abundance of Histeridae collected from cattle in

south central Texas. Southwest Ent. 7(2): 82-86.

- Summerlin, J. W., D. E. Bay, R. L. Harris and K. C. Stafford, III. 1982b. Predation by four species of Histeridae (Coleoptera) on horn fly (Diptera: Muscidae). Annls. Ent. Soc. Amer. 75: 675-677.
- Tarry, D. W., A. C. Kirkwood and C. N. Hebert. 1971. The response to "black-light" radiation of some common flies of economic importance. Ent. Exp. Appl. 14: 23-29.
- Taylor, L. R. and R. A. J. Taylor. 1977. Aggregation, migration, and population mechanics. Nature 265: 415-421.
- Tenorio, J. A. 1968. Taxonomic and biological studies of Hawaiian Sphaeroceridae (Diptera). Proc. Hawaiian Ent. Soc. 20: 169-212.
- Thorpe, W. H. 1951. The definition of terms used in animal behavior studies. Bull. Anim. Behav. 9: 344-40.
- U. S. D. A. 1981. Proceedings of a Workshop on Status of Biological Control of Filth Flies. Science and Education Administration, New Orleans, LA. 212pp.
- Vepsalainen, K. 1978. Wing dimorphism and diapause in <u>Gerris</u>: determination and adaptive significance. In: Evolution of Insect Migration and Diapause, H. Dingle (ed.). Springer-Verlag, New York, 284pp.
- Varley, G. C. and R. L. Edwards. 1957. The bearing of parasite behavior on the dynamics of insect host and parasite populations. J. Anim. Ecol. 26: 471-477.
- Vinson, S. B. and F. W. Plapp. Third generation pesticides: the potential for the development of resistance by insects. J. Agr. Food. Chem. 22: 356-360.
- Wade C. F. and J. G. Rodriguez. 1961. Life history of <u>Macrocheles</u> <u>muscadomesticae</u> (Acarina: Macrochelidae), a predator of the house fly. Annls. Ent. Soc. Amer. 54: 776-781.
- Walker, T. W. 1957. Ecological studies of the arthropods associated with certain decaying materials in four habitats. Ecology 38: 262-276.
- Wallwork, J. H. and J. G. Rodriguez. 1963. The effect of ammonia on the predation rate of <u>Macrocheles muscadomesticae</u> (Acarina: Macrochelidae) on house fly eggs. Adv. Acarol. 1: 60-69.
- Ware, G. 1966. Power-mower flies. J. Econ. Ent. 59: 477-478.

- Weaver, J. E. and J. W. Begley. 1982. Laboratory evaluation of BAY SIR 8514 against the house fly (Diptera:Muscidae): Effects on immature stages and adult sterility. J. Econ. Entomol. 75: 657-661.
- West, L. S. 1951. The Housefly. Its Natural History, Medical Importance, and Control. Comstock Pub. Co, Ithaca, NY, 584pp.
- White, E. B. and E. F. Legner. 1966. Notes on the life history of <u>Aleochara taeniata</u>, a staphylinid parasite of the house fly, <u>Musca domestica</u>. Annls. Ent. Soc. Amer. 59: 573-577.
- Wicht, M. C., Jr. and J. G. Rodriguez. 1970. Integrated control of Muscid flies in poultry houses using predator mites, selected pesticides and microbial agents. J. Med. Ent. 7: 687-692.
- Williams, D. F. 1973. Sticky traps for sampling populations of <u>Stomoxys calcitrans</u>. J. Econ. Ent. 66: 1274-1280.
- Willis, R. R. and R. C. Axtell. 1968. Mite predators of the house fly: a comparison of <u>Fuscuropoda vegetans</u> and <u>Macrocheles</u> <u>muscadomesticae</u>. J. Econ. Ent. 61: 1669-1674.
- Willson, H. R. and M. S. Mulla. 1973. Attractants for synanthropic flies. 2. Response patterns of house flies to attractive baits on poultry ranches. Envir. Ent. 3: 815-833.
- Willson, H. R. and M. S. Mulla. 1975. Spatial and temporal trends of house fly response to an attractive bait on poultry ranches. Envir. Ent. 4: 395-399.
- Wilson, J. W. and N. R. Stoll. 1929. Two common fly species easily reared in the laboratory. Science 69: 577-579.
- Wilson, N. E. and L. E. Card. 1956. Farm Poultry Production. Interstate Printers and Publishers, Danville, 301pp.
- Winter, A. R. and E. M. Funk. 1941. Poultry Science and Practice. J. B. Lippencott Co., New York, 739pp.
- Wylie, H. G. 1958. Factors that affect host finding by <u>Nasonia</u> <u>vitripennis</u> (Walk.) (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae). Can. Ent. 90: 597-608.
- Wylie, H. G. 1965. Discrimination between parasitized and unparasitized house fly pupae by females of <u>Nasonia vitripennis</u> (Walk.) (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae). Can. Ent. 97:279-286.
- Wylie, H. G. 1966. Some mechanisms that affect the sex ratio of

<u>Nasonia</u> <u>vitripennis</u> (Walk.) (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) reared from superparasitized housefly pupae. Can. Ent. 645-653.

- Young, E. C. 1965. Flight muscle polymorphism in the British Corixidae: ecological observations. J. Anim. Ecol. 34: 353-389.
- Zuska, J. and P. Lastovka. 1969. Species composition of the dipterous fauna in various types of food processing plants in Czechoslovakia. Acta Ent. Bohem. 66: 21-221.