This is a peer-reviewed, accepted author manuscript of the following article: Tauanov, Z., Tsakiridis, P. E., Shah, D., & Inglezakis, V. J. (2019). Synthetic sodalite doped with silver nanoparticles: characterization and mercury (II) removal from aqueous solutions. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health - Part A Toxic/Hazardous Substances and Environmental Engineering*, *54*(9), 951-959. https://doi.org/10.1080/10934529.2019.1611129

1	Synthetic sodalite doped with silver nanoparticles:
2	Characterization and Mercury (II) removal from aqueous solutions
3	
4	Tauanov, Z. ^{1,2} , Tsakiridis P.E. ³ , Shah, D ¹ and Inglezakis, V. ^{1,2*}
5	¹ Environmental Science and Technology Group (ESTg), Chemical & Materials Engineering
6	Department, School of Engineering, Nazarbayev University, Astana, 010000, Kazakhstan.
7	² The Environment & Resource Efficiency Cluster (EREC), Nazarbayev University, Astana, 010000,
8	Kazakhstan.
9	³ School of Mining and Metallurgical Engineering, National Technical University of Athens, Athens,
10	Greece.
11	
12	Abstract

In this work, a novel silver-doped synthetic sodalitic composite was synthesized and characterized 13 using advanced characterization methods, namely TEM-EDS, XRD, SEM, XRF, BET, zeta 14 potential and particle size analysis. The synthesized nanocomposite was used for the removal of 15 Hg²⁺ from 10 ppm aqueous solutions of initial pH equal to 2. The results showed that the sodalitic 16 nanocomposites removed up to 98.65% of Hg²⁺, which is approximately 16% and 70% higher than 17 the removal achieved by sodalite and parent coal fly ash, respectively. The findings revealed that 18 the Hg²⁺ retention in nanocomposite microstructure is a multifaceted mechanism that predominantly 19 involves adsorption, precipitation and Hg-Ag amalgamation. The study of the anions effect (Cl-, 20 NO_3^- , $C_2H_3O_2^-$ and SO_4^{2-}) indicated that the Hg^{2+} uptake is comparatively higher when Cl^- anions 21 co-exist with Hg^{2+} in the solution. 22

23 Keywords: Coal fly ash; synthetic sodalite; silver nanoparticles; mercury removal;
24 nanocomposites; water treatment.

1 * Address correspondence to Vassilis Inglezakis, 53, Kabanbay batyr Avenue, Astana, Kazakhstan,

- 2 010000; Phone: +7-7172-706534.
- 3 Email: vasileios.inglezakis@nu.edu.kz
- 4 Introduction

Fly ash-derived porous materials have attracted the interest with recent progress and expanding 5 range of applications, particularly as cost effective and easy-to-process adsorbent for wastewater 6 and gaseous emmisions purification [1-5]. Depending on the reaction conditions, the coal fly ash 7 (CFA) can be converted into useful and stable porous materials, such as zeolites ^[1,6,7] and sodalites 8 ^[8,9]. Sodalite belongs to the group of aluminosilicates and is considered as thermodynamically 9 10 stable among several porous aluminosilicate materials, such as zeolites and geopolymers. Synthetic sodalities can be produced from industrial waste fly ash ^[10,11] and kaolin ^[12,13]. There are many 11 applications, where sodalites have been successfully utilized such as catalysts for hydrogenation 12 reaction ^[14], substrates for a photoluminescence material ^[15] and hollow mesoporous structure for 13 drug release ^[16]. 14

More recently, sodalities and sodalitic composites that contain metal and metal oxide nanoparticles have attracted considerable interest in the field of wastewater treatment for the removal of inorganic and organic pollutants. Although CFA-derived synthetic and natural zeolites are commonly used for heavy metals and ammonium removal [5,17,18], there are limited studies on sodalite group of materials. Despite the fact that the sodalite has a low sorption capacity, the size channels and cages of its porous material framework allow a preferential adsorption of relatively small cations, such as $Ca^{2+[19]}$, $Ag^{+[20]}$ and Cd^{2+} , $Pb^{2+[21]}$.

Amongst several pollutants, mercury, owing to its toxicity, has turned into an pressing environmental problem. Several studies have been conducted on removal of elemental mercury (Hg^o) from coal combustion flue gas and Hg²⁺ from water, employing various physical and chemical methods, such as fiber-based and membrane bioreactors ^[22,23], adsorption, catalytic ^[24] and thermal ^[25] methods, wet electrostatic precipitators ^[26], vacuum ultraviolet light and heat co-

activation method ^[27], and bioremediation ^[28]. Among these methods the most popular, cost 1 effective, and widely applied is adsorption by use of various porous, non-porous, functionalized and 2 surface modified sorbents. Table 1 summarizes recent studies on waste-derived and natural 3 adsorbents for the removal of Hg²⁺ from water. As could be observed from the Table 1, the waste-4 5 derived and natural adsorbents have the adsorption capacity ranging between 0.1 to 41.7 mg/g. While there are several closely related studies on mercury remediation using CFA-based zeolites 6 ^[1,29], a detailed mechanistic studies and examination on CFA-derived sodalites doped with silver 7 nanoparticles (Ag NPs) for retention of Hg²⁺ from aqueous phase is inadequetly presented, if at all, 8 in the related literature. 9

10 The present study is a continuation of our research on fly ash-derived adsorbents for the removal of Hg2+. In our previous work[31] analcime (zeolite) nanocomposite was synthesized while in the 11 present work sodalite, which is generally considered a non-zeolitic anhydrous tectosilicate. The 12 materials are markedly different as is evidenced by the different XRD patterns, BET surface areas, 13 zeta potential profiles and Ag content. Herein, we explore the utilization of Ag NPs containing 14 sodalitic nanocomposites for Hg²⁺ removal. Considering a relatively low-cost CFA resource, it 15 could be effectively applied to produce Ag NPs containing composites that may address two issues: 16 effective utilization of waste CFA, and production of a useful adsorption for the treatment of 17 wastewater contaminated with Hg²⁺. In particular, in this work, CFA derived synthetic sodalites and 18 novel Ag NPs doped porous sodalitic nanocomposites are synthesized and examined for Hg2+ 19 removal in batch mode. The obtained sodalite and Ag NPs doped sodalitic nanocomposite are 20 compared with the CFA in terms of microstructure, mineralogy, morphology and removal 21 capacities. Furthermore, four types of Hg²⁺ salts, namely with acetate, sulfate, nitrate and chloride, 22 were used to study the effect of the co-existing anions on the removal of Hg²⁺. Moreover, a of the 23 removal efficiencies of the materials are discussed in relation to their to physical and chemical 24 properties. Advanced characterization methods were used for the elucidation of possible removal 25 mechanism of mercury. 26

2 Materials and methods

3 *Materials and synthesis procedure*

4 The CFA samples used in this work were collected from the electrostatic fly ash precipitators of East Kazakhstan power station and labelled as M-CFA (Oskemen, 252 MW). The chemicals used 5 for synthesis were of analytical grade. Sodalites were synthesized via a traditional alkaline 6 hydrothermal treatment of fly ash at 110 °C, in a 1-L chemical resistant heavy wall reactor using 7 8 aqueous solution of 3 M sodium hydroxide (NaOH) (Sigma-Aldrich). The reaction time was set at 9 48 hours to produce synthetic sodalite (SOD) with substantial yield. The synthesis of sodalite 10 underwent a similar protocol as the synthesis of CFA-derived zeolite produced in our previous work [2,30,31] 11

The SOD was subsequently enriched with silver nanoparticles (Ag NPs) to produce the 12 nanocomposite used for the removal of mercury. The doping of Ag NPs into microstructure of 13 sodalite was carried out via ion exchange followed by reduction. In the beginning, sodalite samples 14 15 were left to dry at 300 °C for 3 hours to evaporate water entrapped in the microstructure of material. Then, an adapted silver ion-exchange method ^[32,33] was carried out by adding 10 mL of 10 mM 16 aqueous solution of silver nitrate (AgNO₃, Sigma-Aldrich) per 1 g of sodalite and left to cure for 12 17 hours. The reaction reservoir was covered with aluminium foil and stored in dark to avoid oxidation 18 of silver ions. Finally, the produced sodalite slurry underwent a drying procedure at 130 °C for 19 consecutive 3 hours. After that, the soaking method by adding 10 mL of 10 mM aqueous solution of 20 AgNO₃ was duplicated to obtain intermediate product of silver ion-exchanged sodalites. The latter 21 was subsequently calcined for 3 hours at 500 °C and continued with a 4-hour reduction reaction of 22 23 silver ions by means of sodium borohydride (NaBH₄, Fischer-Scientific) as a reducer, which vielded a silver-doped synthetic sodalitic nanocomposite (Ag-SOD). The same method was 24 conducted without adding AgNO₃ solution (ultrapure water was added in the same volume of 10 25

1 mL) to sodalite to examine the reduction effect (R-SOD) on sodalite microstructure and compare
2 the removal capacity of produced and parent materials.

3

4 Characterization of materials

5 The elemental analysis of parent M-CFA, SOD and Ag-SOD was conducted on X-Ray fluorescence 6 (XRF, PANalytical). The crystalline phases present in raw and produced materials were studied on 7 X-Ray diffraction (XRD) using a Bruker D8-Focus diffractometer with Ni-filtered CuK α radiation 8 ($\lambda = 1.5406$ Å), at 40 kV and 40 mA.

The surface morphology of materials was studied by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) using a 9 10 JEOL 6380LV Scanning Electron Microscope, operating in LV mode, at 20 kV, equipped with a backscattered electron detector. Spot and area analyses were conducted with a Si (Li) Energy-11 Dispersive X-ray spectrometer (INCA X-sight, Oxford Instruments), connected to SEM. The 12 nanoscale investigation was achieved with a high resolution JEOL JEM-2100 LaB₆ transmission 13 electron microscope (HRTEM), operating at 200 kV. Prior to analysis, the suspension of samples 14 (ca. 0.2 g) were prepared in alcohol solution and cured with ultrasound to disaggregate any 15 agglomerated particles. A drop from the suspension was then placed on a 300-mesh carbon coated 16 copper grid and air-dried overnight. The grain microstructure was also studied using a bright field 17 detector in scanning (STEM) mode. Elemental analysis was performed using an Oxford X-Max 100 18 Silicon Drift Energy Dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDS) in connection with TEM, with a probe 19 size ranging from 2 to 5 nm in STEM mode. 20

The materials porosity was measured on Autosorb-1 nitrogen porosimeter (Quantochrome, UK). The size of pores and total volume of samples were calculated from the results by means of in-built computing method, wherein the specific surface area (SSA) was quantified using the BET model. The particle size distribution (PSD) was analyzed using Particle Size Analyzer (PSA, Malvern Mastersizer 3000) in wet dispersion mode with ultrapure water being used as dispersant. The zetapotential of materials under various pH values (from pH 2.0 to 12.0) was studied using a Zetasizer
 (Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS, UK).

3

4 **Batch adsorption kinetics**

Mercury (II) solution (Hg²⁺) with a volume of 100 ml and concentration of 10 ppm with an adjusted 5 initial pH value of 2.0 was mixed with 0.75 g of solid samples into a glass container under static 6 conditions and ambient temperature. The pH of solutions was adjusted using concentrated 7 hydrochloric acid (HCl). The selected concentration of Hg²⁺ used in this work is based on literature 8 values ^[1,29,34,35]. Analytical grade Hg²⁺chloride and ultrapure water were used for the preparation of 9 solutions . A volume of 0.1-0.2 mL aliquots were collected after selected period of time and 10 analysed for Hg^{2+} . The overall volume of aliquots was up to 2.0 % of the initial solution volume. 11 The residual concentration of mercury was quantified using the mercury analyser RA-915 M 12 (Lumex) with a pyrolysis attachment that can measure total mercury with a detection limit of 1 ppb 13 for a sampe volume of 10-200 µL. Two control solutions were prepared. The first without solid 14 sample to assess the potential adsorption of Hg²⁺ on the container walls and the second with 15 ultrapure water at the same pH to study the leaching of silver ions from the nanocomposites. 16 According to results, the loss of mercury due to adsorption on container walls and/or evaporation 17 are limited to an average of 5.5 %, while the leaching of silver ions from the nanocomposites was 18 less than 0.1 ppm. The adsorption experiments were duplicated with an average standard deviation 19 of 1.3 %. 20

21

22 Effect of the co-existing anions

The co-existing anions effect on the removal of Hg^{2+} from solution using Ag-SOD was examined. Four soluble Hg^{2+} salts were selected, namely chloride ($HgCl_2$); nitrate ($Hg(NO_3)_2$); sulfate ($HgSO_4$) and acetate ($HgAc_2$). The same experimental conditions were applied for all Hg^{2+} salts by adding 0.15 g of nanocomposite (Ag-SOD) into 50 mL Hg^{2+} solutions with initial concentration of 10 mg/L. The pH value of all Hg²⁺ solutions were adjusted to pH 2.0 using concentrated HCl. The samples were analyzed for residual concentration of Hg²⁺ ions on mercury analyzer RA-915 M using 0.05-0.1 mL of aliquots from adsorption containers after set period of duration: 15, 24 and 48 hours. The experiments with all mercury (II) salts were carried out in duplication and static conditions at ambient temperature.

6

7 **Results and discussion**

8 Synthesis and Characterization

The elemental composition of M-CFA, synthetic SOD and Ag-SOD are presented in Table 2. M-9 CFA is primarily comprised of alumina and silica (ca. 66 wt.%) with Si/Al ratio of ~1.06. These 10 findings in connection with a small amount of CaO (ca. 4.53 wt.%), MgO (ca. 1.14 wt.%) and SO₃ 11 (ca. 0.69 wt.%) indicate that the coal source used during this CFA production was of bituminous 12 origin, which in turn corresponds to Type F CFA. Fe₂O₃ content of M-CFA is in a relatively high 13 conecntration, reaching 23.1wt.%. On the other hand, both SOD and Ag-SOD contain 6- to 7-fold 14 higher amount of Na₂O compared to parent M-CFA, which is mostly due to the alkaline 15 hydrothermal treatment with NaOH, while the starting K₂O content in M-CFA (~1.86 wt.%) has 16 been reduced, being replaced by sodium ions, reaching on average 0.25 wt.%. The Ag NPs content 17 in Ag-SOD is approximately 2.09 wt.%, which is calculated from the oxide form of silver (Ag₂O) 18 based on XRF results and is close to the theoretical value calculated from material balance 19 (2.15wt.%). 20

21

Figure 1 shows the corresponding XRD patterns of M-CFA, R-SOD, SOD and Ag-SOD. According to spectrums, a considerable quantity of amorphous material was observed in the range of 10°-15°, a characteristic diffused wide band of a glassy phase. The results concludingly indicate that during the hydrothermal treatment of M-CFA that inherently contains the aluminosilicate phases as mullite and quartz, sodalitic phase developed gradually and is observed as the major phase in samples of

SOD and Ag-SOD. Consecutively, the amount of mullite and quartz in produced SOD and Ag-SOD 1 were considerably diminished; primarily because of fractional dissolution and the subsequent 2 sodalite phase progression. The obtained spectrum of the Ag-SOD clearly shows the presence of 3 metallic Ag NPs in the sodalitic matrix, identified by the characteristic peaks at 38.18°, and 44.33°. 4 The SEM analysis of parent M-CFA and produced Ag-SOD also confirms the formation and 5 growth of sodalite crystals on M-CFA particles. The micrographs of M-CFA in Figure 2 shows that 6 7 it predominantly possess a granular and spherical shape with the size of particles ranging between 5 8 and 30 µm, while the produced nanocomposite demonstrated a considerably porous "sponge-like" 9 structure.

10

According to our hypothesis, the inherently containing aluminosilicate and silicate compounds 11 (mullite, amorphous glass and quartz) in M-CFA acted as the substrate for the growth of sodalite 12 crystals and transformed into sodium aluminates and silicates. They facilitated the necessary 13 nucleation sites for sodalite evolution and led to the partial development of porous synthetic sodalite 14 on and inside the M-CFA spheres, with an approximate length of 1-2 µm. The high concentration of 15 Na⁺ appears to stabilize the sub-micron building blocks of the forming sodalite crystalline structure. 16 The detection of finer configurations could be related to the presence of insignificant amount of 17 18 amorphous sodalite formations, during transformation with lower Al content. On the other hand, the presence of Ag NPs in the SOD matrix did not significantly affect their structure. The presence of 19 metallic silver nanoparticles detected by XRD analysis was also confirmed by TEM imaging 20 (Figure 3). Almost no metallic silver agglomeration was observed, indicating a uniform matrix with 21 well dispersed Ag NPs throughout the Ag-SOD. The metallic Ag NPs is observed to be well 22 dispersed in the nanocomposite matrix, with low degree of agglomeration, ranging from 10 to 50 23 nm. In most cases the particles are well defined and spherical with an average size of 20 nm. 24

The specific surface area (SSA) results, as shown in Table 3, reveal that the BET surface area of 1 parent M-CFA is the lowest. It is commonly known that synthetic sodalities and zeolites derived 2 from CFAs typically possess SSA that ranges between 8 and 75 m²/g ^[9,36,37], that is predominantly 3 4 mesoporous matrix. The published results are in agreement with data of this work, as the produced SOD has a SSA of 67 m²/g. The R-SOD has about ~30% lower SSA than SOD, because of the high 5 temperature calcination process during synthesis, which possibly resulted in partial damage of 6 matrix. The Ag-SOD shows a SSA of 51 m^2/g that is similar to R-SOD, but the average pore size 7 and pore volume is significantly lower, indicting partial blockage of the micropores due to Ag NPs 8 doping, as has also been suggested by by Wdowin et al. [38]. 9

10

Table 4 shows the particle size distribution (PSD) results of parent M-CFA and synthesised materials. The Ag-SOD revealed a relatively smaller particles size than parent M-CFA and SOD. The 90 vol% of the particles of SOD have size lower than 157 μm and 50 vol% of it below 28.5 μm. The corresponding values in Ag-SOD are 57.8 μm and 20.3 μm. The obtained results might be due to the agglomeration and de-agglomeration phenomena of synthetic sodalites fine particles during and after the high temperature calcination. This in turn might have an effect on adsorption kinetics, as the adsorption rate is function of particles size.

18

19 Adsorption kinetics

During the kinetics studies pH increased from 2.0 to 3.3 for M-CFA and up to pH 7.0 for SOD and Ag-SOD, whereas the value of conductance decreased from 2680 μ S/cm to 980 μ S/cm for M-CFA and reached nearly 760 μ S/cm for SOD and Ag-SOD. It should be noted that the conductivity and pH evolution was nearly the same for the sodalite control reactors, i.e. SOD in water. Therefore, a decreasing conductance values could be related to the ion exchange between H⁺ from the solution and Na⁺ from the surface of solids, which also resulted in pH increase.

The adsorption kinetics results reveal that the Ag-SOD has reached an equilibrium removal of approximately 99% in 24 hours, while it took 12 days and 14 days for SOD and R-SOD to reach

82% and 66%, respectively (Figure 4). The divergence in mercury uptake performance indicates a 1 complex removal mechanism in nanocomposite in comparison with SOD and R-SOD materials, 2 since it adsorbs Hg²⁺ in a significantly faster rate. The M-CFA demonstrated a poor adsorption 3 behavior of Hg²⁺ ions, with only 30% removal after 14 days, from water as expected, considering 4 5 its low BET surface area and less amount of ion-exchangable cations. In summary, both the removal rate and adsorption capacity of Hg^{2+} ions follow theorder: Ag-SOD > SOD > R-SOD >>6 M-CFA. The order is in agreement with the SSA results of the parent and produced solids, which in 7 8 turn confirms that the adsorption is the principal mechanism of retention in parent and reference materials (SOD, R-SOD and M-CFA), while the retention of Hg²⁺ ions in nanocomposite (Ag-9 10 SOD) considerably differs. The results suggest that the removal mechansism on Ag-SOD is predominantly an amalgamation reaction between Hg and Ag, in parallel to adsorption and surface 11 precipitation. 12

As it is shown in particle size distribution analysis in Table 4, the average size of Ag-SOD is slightly smaller than of the parent SOD, which correspondingly positively affected the adsorption rate. However, despite having the lowest particle size of all samples, the kinetics of R-SOD is much slower in comparison with SOD and Ag-SOD. This proves that the adsorption behavior in these materials is not only governed by the size of particles but other factors contribute too.

18 In addition to BET surface area and size of particles, the oxidation and reduction (redox) potentials of Hg and Ag play a vital role in determining the adsorption behavior. This is particularly important 19 in the case of Ag-SOD where the redox reactions between Ag $^{\circ}/Ag^{+}$ (+0.80 V) and Hg $^{2+}/Hg^{\circ}$ (+0.85 20 V), can take place. The hypothesis herein is that there are three co-existing mechanisms in Ag-SOD 21 retention of Hg²⁺ ions, with amalgamation being the predominant one as demonstrated in adsorption 22 kinetics profile and post-adsorption characterizations on TEM. Firstly, the nanocomposite 23 undergoes an adsorption, then mercury cations (Hg²⁺) are reduced to form Hg°, which in turn 24 precipitates on the surface of nanocomposite and react with the Ag NPs on the surface of the 25 nanocomposite to form an amalgam (Ag_xHg_y). The Hg²⁺ reduction and formation of an amalgam 26

were also observed by others, who suggested the following possible reaction mechanism between
 Hg²⁺ and Ag NPs ^[39,40]:

4

$$Ag_m + (m/2) Hg^{2+} \rightarrow {}_mAg^+ + (m/2) Hg$$
$$Ag_n + Hg^{2+} \rightarrow Ag_{(n-2)}Hg + 2Ag^+$$

The examination of post-adsorption Ag-SOD samples with adsorbed Hg²⁺ on TEM (Figure 6) 5 confirmed the formation of a new phase Ag/Hg, probably amalgam. It is interesting to note that on 6 7 mercury-adsorbed Ag-SOD both the amount and size of Ag NPs were significantly reduced to 10-8 15 nm. Similar results were obtained in our previous work, where CFA-derived synthetic zeolite showed an amalgamation with a very fast and strong adsorption ^[31]. Another indication that 9 10 mercury underwent primarily an amalgamation reaction in Ag-SOD matrix is the co-existence of metallic mercury and Ag on the surface as evidenced by EDS-TEM (Figure 6). This confirms the 11 formation of fluids, not dispersed but mainly agglomerated, developing dark long fibrils or non-12 transmitted large spots on TEM analysis. 13

14

15 It is well known that the surface charge of the solid material could facilitate the adsorption of the oppositely charged species. This could be quantified and examined by determining the zero point 16 charge (pH_{ZPC}) under various pH values, which regulates the electrophoretic mobility where the net 17 charge of the particle is zero. According to the results, the pH_{ZPC} of SOD and Ag-SOD is measured 18 to be around 5.0 (Figure 7). These values show that under strong and medium acidic conditions 19 (until it reaches pH_{ZPC}), both SOD and Ag-SOD have a positive surface charge that should 20 theoretically repeal the positively charged Hg²⁺ ions. However, according to the performance of Ag-21 SOD the majority of Hg²⁺ ions are removed from solution before reaching pH_{ZPC}, which further 22 supports the proposed hypothesis of amalgam formation in Ag-SOD. 23

There is an important overlooked aspect in the related literature concerning the speciation of ions in solution, especially in the presence of complexing agents such as Cl^{-} ions. The speciation of the system was studied by usie of Medusa software and is presented in Figure 8. Evidently, at the pH < 5.0 the predominant species is soluble HgCl₂ and therefore, the charge of the nanocomposite surface
 is not expected to disturb the removal of mercury species in these conditions.

The release of the Ag⁺ ions from the nanocomposite Ag-SOD in reference reactors with the same 3 4 initial pH was negligible (0.8-0.15 ppm). This in turn confirms the virtually complete reduction of initially ion-exchanged Ag⁺ into Ag^o on Ag-SOD matrix due to an excess amount of reduction 5 agent (NaBH₄) used during the synthesis. Therefore, it can be safetly that all the available Ag^o 6 within the matrix of Ag-SOD is reacted with Hg^{2+} to form the amalgam and sample is stable during 7 8 the whole adsorption experiment. The results allow to conclude that under the studied experimental conditions the mechanism of Ag-SOD is complex and is comprised of primarily amalgamation, 9 10 physical adsorption and precipitation; whereas the M-CFA, SOD and R-SOD undergo primarily physical adsorption. This, in turn, explains the comparatively fast and efficient removal (up to 99% 11 in 24 hours) of Ag-SOD as compared with SOD and R-SOD. 12

13

14 Effect of the anions on adsorption of Hg^{2+}

With the aim to understand the effect of the anions on adsorption of Hg²⁺ on Ag-SOD, four anions, 15 namely CH₃COO⁻ (Ac⁻), SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻ and Cl⁻, were examined (Figure 9). According to the results, 16 Ag-SOD removed up to 99% of Hg^{2+} from the solution in the presence of Cl⁻, whereas in the 17 presence of SO_4^{2-} and Ac⁻ anions the removal was lower at 83.3% and 80.1%, respectively. The 18 lowest removal efficiency was observed for NO₃⁻ that demonstrated only 70.9% after 48 hours of 19 adsorption. The removal efficiency follows the order: $Cl^- >> SO_4^{2-} > Ac^- > NO_3^-$. These results 20 show a clear effect of the anions, particularly at the initial steps of adsorption, which could be 21 related to the changes in the speciation of Hg²⁺ and formation of complexes difficult to diffuse into 22 the pore structure of sodalite, affinity Ag-SOD surface and the anion and the size and charge of the 23 anions. 24

25

26 Conclusions

The Hg²⁺ uptake from aqueous solutions, by parent M-CFA, synthetic zeolite SOD and the nanocomposite Ag-SOD has been thoroughly studied. The SOD was found to be the dominant type of porous structure produced from M-CFA. The chemical composition and BET values of the

parent M-CFA and the derived SOD and Ag-SOD are similar to the reported data in the related 1 literature. According to TEM analysis the Ag NPs are spherical with size in the range of 10 and 50 2 nm. The adsorption kinetics of Hg²⁺ using Ag-SOD demonstrates a very efficient and fast 3 adsorption compared with SOD and M-CFA emphasizing the advantage of doping of parent 4 sodalite with Ag NPs. The analysis of the data indicate that the dominating mechanisms of mercury 5 uptake in SOD and Ag-SOD are different. According to the proposed mechanism, a redox reaction 6 takes place on the surface of the Ag-SOD followed by amalgamation reaction between Hg^o and 7 Agº. In addition, the anions effect studies showed that the removal of Hg²⁺ is affected by the 8 presence of different anions and the removal efficiency follows the order $Cl^2 >> SO_4^{2-} > Ac^2 > NO_3^2$. 9 10 The results indicate that CFA-derived synthetic Ag-SOD nanocomposite could effectively be applied for removal of Hg²⁺ ions from water, however further studies are required to study the 11 mechanism of removal and the application of these materials in processes such as fixed beds. 12

13

14 Acknowledgments

This research work was carried out with financial support of Nazarbayev University Research Council under the framework of project entitled "Hyperstoichiometry Activity in Metal Nanoparticle Interaction - HyperACTIV" (Project SOE2015009). We also thank East Kazakhstan power station for providing with fly ash samples to conduct the study.

19

20 **References**

21 [1] Czarna, D.; Baran, P.; Kunecki, P.; Panek, R.; Żmuda, R.; Wdowin, M. Synthetic zeolites as

22 potential sorbents of mercury from wastewater occurring during wet FGD processes of flue gas. J.

23 Clean. Prod. **2018**, *172*, 2636–2645.

[2] Tauanov, Z.; Shah, D.; Itskos, G.; Inglezakis, V. Optimized Production of Coal Fly Ash Derived
Synthetic Zeolites for Mercury Removal from Wastewater. IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng. 2017,

26 *230*(1).

- 1 [3] Jha, B.; Singh, D.N. A three step process for purification of fly ash zeolites by hydrothermal
- 2 treatment. Appl. Clay Sci. 2014, 90, 122–129.
- [4] Visa, M.; Chelaru, A.M. Hydrothermally modified fly ash for heavy metals and dyes removal in
 advanced wastewater treatment. Appl. Surf. Sci. 2014, *303*, 14–22.
- 5 [5] Liu, Y.; Yan, C.; Zhao, J.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, H.; Zhou, S.; Wu, L. Synthesis of zeolite P1 from
- 6 fly ash under solvent-free conditions for ammonium removal from water. J. Clean. Prod. 2018, 202,
- 7 11–22.
- 8 [6] Zhang, F.-S.; Nriagu, J.O.; Itoh, H. Mercury removal from water using activated carbons
- 9 derived from organic sewage sludge. Water Res. 2005, 391. Zhang(2), 389–395.
- 10 [7] Chareonpanich, M.; Jullaphan, O.; Tang, C. Bench-scale synthesis of zeolite A from
- subbituminous coal ashes with high crystalline silica content. J. Clean. Prod. **2011**, *19*(1), 58–63.
- 12 [8] Luo, J.; Zhang, H.; Yang, J. Hydrothermal Synthesis of Sodalite on Alkali-Activated Coal Fly
- 13 Ash for Removal of Lead Ions. Procedia Environ. Sci. 2016, 31, 605–614.
- [9] Manique, M.C.; Lacerda, L.V.; Alves, A.K.; Bergmann, C.P. Biodiesel production using coal fly
 ash-derived sodalite as a heterogeneous catalyst. Fuel **2017**, *190*, 268–273.
- 16 [10] Franus, W.; Wdowin, M.; Franus, M. Synthesis and characterization of zeolites prepared from
- 17 industrial fly ash. Environ. Monit. Assess. **2014**, *186*(9), 5721–5729.
- 18 [11] Borhade, A. V.; Kshirsagar, T.A.; Dholi, A.G. Eco-Friendly Synthesis of Aluminosilicate
- 19 Bromo Sodalite from Waste Coal Fly Ash for the Removal of Copper and Methylene Blue Dye.
- 20 Arab. J. Sci. Eng. 2017, 42(10), 4479–4491.
- 21 [12] Maia, A.Á.B.; Neves, R.F.; Angélica, R.S.; Pöllmann, H. Synthesis of sodalite from Brazilian
- 22 kaolin wastes. Clay Miner. 2015, 50, 663–675.
- 23 [13] Passos, F.A.C.M.; Castro, D.C.; Ferreira, K.K.; Simões, K.M.A.; Bertolino, L.C.; Barbato,
- 24 C.N.; Garrido, F.M.S.; Felix, A.A.S.; Silva, F.A.N.G. In Synthesis and Characterization of Sodalite
- and Cancrinite from Kaolin BT Characterization of Minerals, Metals, and Materials 2017,
- 26 Springer International Publishing: Cham, 2017.
- 27 [14] Liu, F.; Liu, L.-L.; Xue, D.; Li, F.-X. Crystal transformation synthesis, hydrogenation activity
- and sulfur-tolerant performance of Pt particles encapsulated in sodalite. Ranliao Huaxue
- 29 Xuebao/Journal Fuel Chem. Technol. **2016**, *44*(4), 477–482.
- 30 [15] Kaiheriman, M.; Sidike, A.; Maimaitinasier, A.; Reheman, A.; Rouzi, B. Photoluminescence

- 1 properties of Tb3+-doped sodalite under VUV-UV light excitation. J. Lumin. 2015, 157, 411–415.
- 2 [16] Zuo, S.; Liu, W.; Yao, C.; Li, X.; Luo, S.; Wu, F.; Kong, Y.; Liu, X. One-pot template-free
- 3 fabrication of hollow mesoporous sodalite nanospheres for drug release. Appl. Clay Sci. **2016**, *119*,
- 4 170–174.
- 5 [17] Feng, W.; Wan, Z.; Daniels, J.; Li, Z.; Xiao, G.; Yu, J.; Xu, D.; Guo, H.; Zhang, D.; May, E.F.;
- 6 Li, G. (Kevin). Synthesis of high quality zeolites from coal fly ash: Mobility of hazardous elements
- 7 and environmental applications. J. Clean. Prod. **2018**, *202*, 390–400.
- 8 [18] Pimraksa, K.; Chindaprasirt, P.; Huanjit, T.; Tang, C.; Sato, T. Cement mortars hybridized
- 9 with zeolite and zeolite-like materials made of lignite bottom ash for heavy metal encapsulation. J.
- 10 Clean. Prod. **2013**, *41*, 31–41.
- 11 [19] Heiden, F.; Nielsen, U.G.; Warner, T.E. Synthesis and thermal stability of the sodalite
- Na6Zn2[Al6Si6O24](SO4)2 and its reaction with hydrogen. Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 2012,
 161, 91–97.
- 14 [20] Fonseca, A.M.; Neves, I.C. Study of silver species stabilized in different microporous zeolites.
- 15 Microporous Mesoporous Mater. **2013**, *181*, 83–87.
- 16 [21] Eiden-Assmann, S. New heavy metal-hydro-sodalites containing Cd2+, Ag+ or Pb2+:
- synthesis by ion-exchange and characterisation. Mater. Res. Bull. 2002, 37(5), 875–889.
- 18 [22] Kozliak, E.I.; Sternberg, S.R.K.; Jacobson, M.L.; Kuether, K.W.; Mann, M.D. Mercury
- removal from air by a Fiber-Based Bioreactor. Bioremediat. J. **1999**, *3*(4), 291–298.
- 20 [23] Huang, Z.; Wei, Z.; Xiao, X.; Tang, M.; Li, B.; Zhang, X. Nitrification/denitrification shaped
- the mercury-oxidizing microbial community for simultaneous Hg0 and NO removal. Bioresour.
- 22 Technol. 2019, 274(September 2018), 18–24.
- 23 [24] Yang, B.; Li, Z.; Huang, Q.; Chen, M.; Xu, L.; Shen, Y.; Zhu, S. Synergetic removal of
- elemental mercury and NO over TiCe0.25Sn0.25Ox catalysts from flue gas: Performance and
- 25 mechanism study. Chem. Eng. J. **2018**, *360*(219), 990–1002.
- 26 [25] Sotiropoulou, R.E.P.; Serafidou, M.; Skodras, G. Thermal mercury removal from coals: Effect
- of pyrolysis conditions and kinetic analysis. Fuel **2019**, *238*(June 2018), 44–50.
- 28 [26] Duta, A.; Visa, M. Simultaneous removal of two industrial dyes by adsorption and
- 29 photocatalysis on a fly-ash-TiO2 composite. J. Photochem. Photobiol. A Chem. 2015, 306, 21–30.
- 30 [27] Kaiheriman, M.; Sidike, A.; Maimaitinasier, A.; Reheman, A.; Rouzi, B. Photoluminescence

- 1 properties of Tb3+-doped sodalite under VUV-UV light excitation. J. Lumin. 2015, 157, 411–415.
- 2 [28] Wang, X.; He, Z.; Luo, H.; Zhang, M.; Zhang, D.; Pan, X.; Gadd, G.M. Multiple-pathway
- 3 remediation of mercury contamination by a versatile selenite-reducing bacterium. Sci. Total
- 4 Environ. **2018**, *615*, 615–623.
- [29] Attari, M.; Bukhari, S.S.; Kazemian, H.; Rohani, S. A low-cost adsorbent from coal fly ash for
 mercury removal from industrial wastewater. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 2017, 5(1), 391–399.
- 7 [30] Tauanov, Z.; Shah, D.; Inglezakis, V.; Jamwal, P.K. Hydrothermal synthesis of zeolite
- 8 production from coal fly ash: A heuristic approach and its optimization for system identification of
- 9 conversion. J. Clean. Prod. **2018**, *182*, 616–623.
- 10 [31] Tauanov, Z.; Tsakiridis, P.E.; Mikhalovsky, S.V.; Inglezakis, V.J. Synthetic coal fly ash-
- 11 derived zeolites doped with silver nanoparticles for mercury (II) removal from water. J. Environ.
- 12 Manage. 2018, 224(July), 164–171.
- 13 [32] Golubeva, O.Y.; Ternovaya, N.Y.; Mal'tseva, N. V.; Meyerstein, D. Catalytic hydrogen
- oxidation using zeolite RHO modified by silver nanoparticles. Glas. Phys. Chem. 2012, 38(5), 455–
 459.
- 16 [33] Golubeva, O.Y.; Ul'yanova, N.Y.; Kurilenko, L.N. Synthesis and study of catalytic activity of
- 17 zeolite Rho with varying content of silver nanoparticles. Glas. Phys. Chem. **2013**, *39*(6), 649–653.
- [34] Sumesh, E.; Bootharaju, M.S.; Anshup; Pradeep, T. A practical silver nanoparticle-based
 adsorbent for the removal of Hg2+ from water. J. Hazard. Mater. 2011, *189*(1–2), 450–457.
- 20 [35] Abbas, K.; Znad, H.; Awual, M.R. A ligand anchored conjugate adsorbent for effective
- mercury(II) detection and removal from aqueous media. Chem. Eng. J. 2018, *334*(October 2017),
 432–443.
- [36] Zeng, S.; Wang, R.; Zhang, Z.; Qiu, S. Solventless green synthesis of sodalite zeolite using
 diatomite as silica source by a microwave heating technique. Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2016, 70,
 168–171.
- 26 [37] Li, J.; Zeng, X.; Yang, X.; Wang, C.; Luo, X. Synthesis of pure sodalite with wool ball
- 27 morphology from alkali fusion kaolin. Mater. Lett. **2015**, *161*, 157–159.
- 28 [38] Wdowin, M.; Wiatros-Motyka, M.M.; Panek, R.; Stevens, L.A.; Franus, W.; Snape, C.E.
- Experimental study of mercury removal from exhaust gases. Fuel 2014, 128, 451–457.
- 30 [39] Henglein, A.; Brancewicz, C. Absorption spectra and reactions of colloidal bimetallic

1	nanoparticles	containing mercury.	Chem. Mater.	1997,	4756(9),	2164-2167.
---	---------------	---------------------	--------------	-------	----------	------------

- 2 [40] Henglein, A. Colloidal silver nanoparticles: photochemical preparation and interaction with
- 3 O2, CCl4, and some metal ions. Chem. Mater. **1998**, *2*(10), 444–450.
- 4 [41] Goel, J.; Kadirvelu, K.; Rajagopal, C. Mercury (II) removal from water by coconut shell based
- 5 activated carbon: Batch and column studies. Environ. Technol. (United Kingdom) **2004**, *25*(2),
- 6 141–153.
- 7 [42] Mora Alvarez, N.M.; Pastrana, J.M.; Lagos, Y.; Lozada, J.J. Evaluation of mercury (Hg2+)
- 8 adsorption capacity using exhausted coffee waste. Sustain. Chem. Pharm. 2018, 10(June), 60–70.
- 9 [43] Imla Syafiqah, M.S.; Yussof, H.W. Kinetics, isotherms, and thermodynamic studies on the
- 10 adsorption of mercury (ii) ion from aqueous solution using modified palm oil fuel ash. Mater.
- 11 Today Proc. **2018**, *5*(10), 21690–21697.
- 12 [44] Şahan, T.; Erol, F.; Yılmaz, Ş. Mercury(II) adsorption by a novel adsorbent mercapto-modified
- bentonite using ICP-OES and use of response surface methodology for optimization. Microchem. J.
 2018, *138*, 360–368.
- 15
- 16

 17

 18

 19

 20

 21

 22

 23

 24

 25

 26

 27
- 28

	-	1

1	
2	
3	FIGURE CAPTIONS
4	
5	Figure. 1. XRD spectra of SOD, R-SOD and Ag-SOD
6	Figure. 2. SEM images of the Ag-SOD and M-CFA
7	Figure. 3. TEM images of Ag-SOD
8	Figure. 4. Adsorption kinetics of Hg^{2+} in simulated water
9	Figure. 5. TEM images of Ag-SOD sample loaded with Hg ²⁺
10	Figure. 6. TEM-EDS analysis of Ag-SOD sample loaded with Hg^{2+}
11	Figure. 7. Zeta potential values (mV) at different pH: SOD (A) and Ag-SOD (B)
12	Figure. 8. Speciation of 10 ppm Hg ²⁺ solution (0.05 mmol Hg ²⁺ , 0.1 mmol Cl ⁻) [Diagram created
13	on Medusa software]
14	Figure. 9. Effect of the anions nature on removal of Hg^{2+} from water
15	
16	
17	
18	











Ag-SOD

- 9 Fig. 2



50 nm



Fig. 3

3

4









- 2 Fig. 5

- Spectrum 20 Spectrum 19

















TABLES

- **Table 1.** Adsorbents for the removal of Hg^{2+} from water
- **Table 2.** The elemental composition raw and produced materials (wt.%)
- **Table 3.** Porosimetric analysis of parent and produced materials
- **Table 4.** Size distribution results of materials

Table 1.

Adsorbent type	Adsorption capacity [mg/g]	Surface area [m²/g]	References
Coconut shell based activated carbon	15.2	1000	[41]
CFA derived Ag NPs doped nanocomposite	6.0	105.0	[31]
Exhausted coffee waste	31.7	11.5	[42]
Modified palm oil fuel ash	1.2	28.5	[43]
Mercapto-modified bentonite	19.3	92.0	[44]
Ag-X CFA derived zeolite	5.0	203	[1]
Linde Type A CFA derived zeolite	0.31	-	[29]

Table 2.	
-	

Compound	mpound Ag-SOD		M-CFA
NaoO	1 796	5 858	0 763
MgO	4.790	5.858 1.145	1 423
AlaOa	25 882	2/ 859	21 750
SiO2	31 099	27.857	21.750 AA A25
SO ₂	0.696	0.874	2 2/8
K ₂ O	0.090	0.260	1 857
$C_{2}O$	0.234	0.200	6 775
TiO	4.555	4.090	1 160
Cr_2O_2	3 460	3 387	0.024
MnO	0.574	0.608	0.024
FeaO2	23 105	23 578	18 138
$C_{2}O_{3}$	0.088	23.378	0
NiO	0.038	0.070	0 023
CuO	0.475	0.477	0.025
ZuO ZnO	0.040	0.034	0.033
SrO	0.027	0.030	0.024
V ₂ O ₂	0.280	0.309	0.342
$1_{2}O_{3}$	0.010	0.009	0.008
$\Delta m \Omega$	0.092	0.031	0
Ag2O BaO	2.232	0 272	0.260
	0.248	0.273	0.309
	0.012	0.151	0.13/
$\Gamma_2 \cup_5$	0	0 023	0.0280
CI	U	0.025	0.021

Table 3.

Material type	Material type BET surfa m ² /		verage pore size, nm	Total pore volume, cm ³ /g
M-CFA SOD R-SOD Ag-SOD	20 ± 7.0 67 ± 12 47 ± 3.0 51 ± 1.0		$15 \pm 4.0 \\ 17 \pm 3.0 \\ 16 \pm 4.0 \\ 10 \pm 0.1$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.07 \pm 0.05 \\ 0.26 \pm 0.08 \\ 0.27 \pm 0.12 \\ 0.13 \pm 0.05 \end{array}$
Table 4.				
PSD	M-CFA	SOD	R-SOD	Ag-SOD
Dv(10), μm Dv(50), μm Dv(90), μm	9.7 ± 0.60 67.2 ± 6.40 428 ± 80.0	8.7 ± 0.42 28.5 ± 0.06 157 ± 24	2.55 ± 0.24 14.14 ± 0.9 48.36 ± 0.5	$\begin{array}{ccc} 4 & 4.4 \pm 0.15 \\ 6 & 20.2 \pm 0.70 \\ 7 & 57.8 \pm 0.07 \end{array}$