

# A call to focus on farmer intuition for improved management decision making

Von Diest, Saskia ; Wright, Julia ; Samways, Michael J ; Kieft, Henk.

Author post-print (accepted) deposited by Coventry University's Repository

Original citation & hyperlink:

Von Diest, S, Wright, J, Samways, MJ & Kieft, H 2020, 'A call to focus on farmer intuition for improved management decision making', Outlook on Agriculture, vol. (In-Press), 956665, pp. (In-Press). https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0030727020956665

DOI 10.1177/0030727020956665 ISSN 0030-7270

Publisher: Sage

Copyright © and Moral Rights are retained by the author(s) and/ or other copyright owners. A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge. This item cannot be reproduced or quoted extensively from without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). The content must not be changed in any way or sold commercially in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

This document is the author's post-print version, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer-review process. Some differences between the published version and this version may remain and you are advised to consult the published version if you wish to cite from it.

A call to focus on	farmer intuition	n for improve	d management	decision	making

#### Abstract

Mainstream agricultural research takes a rational approach to generate, empirical, tangible knowledge for increased yields and sustainability. This approach has led to the development of technological tools to support farmers in their management decision making, which, while helpful, are not able to factor in the complex, dynamic variables that motivate farmer decision making. More importantly, farmers often do not adopt these tools as expected.

Could a solution lie in considering other sources and types of agricultural knowledge? Some farmers report relying largely on intuition (knowing from within) to inform their practical management decisions, resulting in both qualitative and quantitative benefits. Intuition allows access to valuable tacit (informal, intangible) knowledge, which can be used to explore and apply more resilient agricultural practices. It is an immediate and valuable part of decision making, and deserves more attention from both farmers and researchers.

This paper discusses potential advantages, challenges to, and methods of mainstreaming farmer intuition, and presents appropriate methodologies for its development, emphasizing the need to expand the underlying ontology and epistemology of the mainstream scientific community.

Keywords: farmer decision making; farm management; tacit knowledge; holistic decisions; resilient farming systems

## **1. Introduction**

# 

Farmers are increasingly pressured to make management decisions that are both efficient and ecologically robust. However, the reasoning and values behind these decisions are more individualistic than has been appreciated. A review of 55 studies spanning 25 years of literature in the United States was inconclusive as to which factors consistently determined farmers' reasons for adopting best management practices (Prokopy et al., 2008). Furthermore, a synthesis of 31 empirical analyses on farmer adoption of conservation agriculture found few variables that universally explained farmers' motivation to adopt certain practices (Knowler and Bradshaw, 2007). So how do farmers make management decisions, and especially those that consider longer-term ecological and social consequences?

Applied ecological knowledge arises not only from formal scientific study, but also through farmers' experiential learning from interactions with their agroecosystems, leading to contextspecific knowledge that draws on local resources rather than more generalised and widely applicable solutions (Altieri, 1995). So, perhaps the key lies in widening the recognition of, access to, and application of different types and forms of knowledge (Curry and Kirwan, 2014; Code, 2018).

- **2. Different sources of knowledge in agriculture**

# 2.1 Examining the mainstream sources of knowledge in agriculture

49 The dominant ontology (belief about the nature of reality, or how the world is) and 50 epistemology (belief about the grounds for human knowledge, or how the world can be known) 51 of the mainstream agricultural paradigm is largely positivist, in that all matter and processes 52 can be reduced to concrete matter. It looks to modern (Newtonian-Cartesian) 'Western' science

#### **Outlook on Agriculture**

to provide knowledge, accumulated through observable data gathered in controlled and repeatable experiments (van Eijk, 1998). This results in explicit knowledge, i.e. formal knowledge that can be articulated, documented, codified, and easily transferred in a systematic and tangible form using words, numbers and formulae, and disseminated through, for example, instruction manuals (Boateng, 2006; Nonaka and van Krogh, 2009; Vangala et al., 2014).

The paradigm shift called for in the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) Trade and Environment Review (2013) report invites examination of how knowledge that is thought by modern science to be appropriate for sustainable agriculture is generated and used. Going further, van Eijk (1998) and Code (2018) identify the need to question the ontology and epistemology of mainstream agricultural research, and to recognise and include the role of interior knowledge sources.

This has been addressed, to some extent, when agricultural research began to acknowledge the constructivist paradigm (constructed nature of reality) in the 1980s (van Eijk, 1998), including through the exploration of indigenous research methods (Apusigah, 2011; Chilisa, 2012). However, tacit knowledge still has not yet been significantly addressed (van Eijk, 1998; Boateng, 2006; Curry and Kirwan, 2014; Vangala et al., 2014). Tacit knowledge is intangible, personal, often experiential and informal in nature, involving conscious and unconscious awareness of perspective, personal beliefs, values and innate knowing. It is found in traditions, customs and savoire-faire (adaptive ability to determine appropriate action). It can refer to the decision rules stored in the mind, but is implicit in nature and cannot always be articulated, codified, or transferred. Yet it can be accessed through intuitive processes (Boateng, 2006; Nonaka and van Krogh, 2009). 

## 78 2.2. Value of using intuition in decision making

Dane and Pratt (2007) define intuition as 'affectively-charged judgements that arise through rapid, non-conscious, and holistic associations', or 'the provision of a conclusion reached without formal analysis'. Perhaps a simpler and more apt definition of intuition is 'knowing without knowing how you know', or 'knowing from within' (Hodgkinson et al., 2008). To date, most evidence on the value of intuition comes from the fields of psychology and business management, and is recognised as critical in hyper-competitive business environments (Harvey et al., 2002) and clinical judgement in medicine (Chin-Yee and Fuller, 2018).

In the field of economics, Kahneman (2003) observes that decision makers are aware of limited information, and most judgements and decisions are made intuitively. He presents a map of 'cognition architecture', in which the characteristics of intuitive and reasoning (rational/logical) systems are summarised. Here, intuition resembles perception, and both are fast, automatic, associative, and reference-dependent, or stimulus-bound. Reasoning, by contrast, is slow, controlled, and neutral, and both intuition and reason are informed by experience. He emphasises that intuition can be powerful and accurate, but applying it effectively requires prolonged practice. Given our perspective on the constructivist, subjective nature of reality, we would contend Kahneman's (2003) identification of reasoning as being neutral.

Overall, intuition appears to be an involuntary, immediate and inevitable part of all decisions, which can complement logical cognition, and can be highly useful when there is a time constraint on gathering (potentially unreliable) information (Khatri and Ng, 2000). It can boost accuracy, confidence, and speed in the decision-making process (Lufityanto et al., 2016). This suggests that applying intuition, and thus accessing tacit forms of knowledge, holds potential for improved farm management decision making

#### **Outlook on Agriculture**

#### 105 2.3 Learning from traditional and indigenous ecological knowledge systems

Many traditional and indigenous cultures worldwide have evolved a highly integrated, holistic, intuitive understanding of the complex natural systems in which they live, and maintaining a dialogue with these systems is crucial for managing food production landscapes (Parry, 2005; Apusigah, 2011). Small-scale and subsistence farmers use their tacit understanding to adapt to increasingly unpredictable climatic conditions, such as drought, thereby increasing the resilience of their agroecosystems (Kieft, 2006, 2015; IAASTD 2009; Makondo and Thomas, 2018). Resilience (the ability to remain functional under stress) is an important criterion of health and adaptability in agricultural systems (Döring et al., 2013), and is crucial for farmers to consider in their decision making, especially in regions with rapidly-changing climatic conditions.

This 'situational knowledge' (Haraway 1988) is generated through experience, language, culture and tradition *in situ*, and through more than the five physical senses. These stocks of intuition, or cultural capital (Hogarth, 2010), are the product of tacit learning, and expand on, and contribute to, a more holistic, pragmatic knowledge base than the (explicit) knowledge gained through modern science's overemphasis on the sense of vision and observation.

42 122

Several global organisations have called for the protection and utilization of these knowledge systems, arising from their value in evolving adaptive agricultural solutions and addressing global food security. For example, in 2002, the Food and Agriculture Organization initiated the Globally Important Agricultural Heritage Systems (GIAHS) programme, to safeguard and support indigenous and traditional knowledge systems at risk of disappearing through the spread of industrialised agriculture. GIAHS policy suggestions are already being applied, as in the case of the inter-university initiative Capacity and Theory Building of Universities and Research Centres 

on Endogenous Development (CAPTURED), which has formulated curricula to include ancientwisdom and intuitive knowing into higher education (Haverkort, 2010).

- 133 2.4 Potential risk of externalising tacit knowledge

Organization science places both explicit and tacit knowledge along a continuum, and considers that the less extreme forms of tacit knowledge may be externalized or converted (Nonaka and van Krogh, 2009), to allow for the expansion of knowledge beyond what exists in one individual or community. Through participatory research approaches, some tacit knowledge embedded in traditional and indigenous ecological knowledge systems has been externalised for improving and developing sustainable agricultural practices (Eastwood et al., 2012; Curry and Kirwan, 2014). Steps such as the GIAHS programme contribute greatly to understanding and using the various knowledge bases of farmers worldwide. However, apart from the innate difficulty in expressing tacit knowledge, building mutual trust for an effective 'dialogue of wisdom' with those holding

tacit knowledge is not easy. Knowledge holders may be reluctant to share with western scientists,
expressing a lack of confidence in its appropriate use outside of their own cultural and spiritual
context. For example, knowledge about local plants shared with researchers of international seed
businesses has often been exploited for profit (Henk Kieft, personal observation).

44 148

> Additionally, because such embedded knowledge is situational, practices developed from them are appropriate to local cultures and regional conditions, and not well suited to adapting to, or scaling up within, other cultures and regions (Chilisa, 2012). And because of the internal, experiential nature of both intuition and tacit knowledge, an externalisation process could alter or dilute the value of such knowledge (Hodgkinson et al., 2008).

1		
2 3	155	This location-specificity is at odds with the positivist paradigm of mainstream agriculture. Could
4 5 6	156	support for farmers to individually access and apply tacit knowledge circumvent this? Certainly,
7 8	157	farmers would be imbued with more agency and autonomy than is currently the case.
9 10	158	
11 12 13	159	3. Reviewing the role of intuition in farmer decision making
13 14 15	160	
16 17	161	To assess how existing agricultural research addresses intuition in farmer decision making, we
18 19 20	162	performed a search on the scientific databases Scopus and Web of Science, cross-referencing
20 21 22	163	the keywords 'intuition' with 'agriculture' and 'farming'. Filtering 60 search results for
23 24	164	relevance to management decision making yielded a total of seven papers, all published in the
25 26	165	16 years up until 2019. We included a further two articles from conference proceedings.
27 28 29	166	
30 31	167	3.1 The need to reconsider the analytic approach to supporting farmer decision making
32 33	168	
34 35 36	169	Five of the seven articles from our initial search were associated with the development and use
37 38	170	of analytical decision support systems in industrialised countries. Using a rational/logical
39 40	171	approach based on cognitive task analysis, formal tools using information communication
41 42 42	172	technologies have been developed to bridge the knowledge extension gap between agricultural
45 44 45	173	science and farming practice to streamline management decisions. Despite the slow uptake of
46 47	174	such support systems in many countries, two studies found that many systems have been
48 49	175	successfully adopted (Bramley, 2009; Eastwood et al., 2012).
50 51 52	176	
53 54	177	Several authors agree that formal tools are rarely designed with a detailed understanding of the
55 56	178	relationship between farmers' specific knowledge, the decisions they make and the actions they
57 58 59	179	take, and farmers are often not consulted in the design process until release of the final product
60	180	(Lynch et al., 2000; Öhlmér, 2007; Robert et al., 2016). As a result, early use of new

181 information management systems is often stressful for farmers accustomed to using an 182 intuitive, experience-based management style, and these systems are subsequently not 183 prioritised (Eastwood et al., 2006). Five studies found that farmers often do not adopt 184 formalised tools as expected, and largely prefer an intuitive approach to an analytic system 185 (Lunneryd, 2003; Öhlmér, 2007; McCown et al., 2012; Kieft, 2015; Nuthall and Old, 2018).

In an example from Sweden, the adoption rate of a computer-based tool aimed at analytic thinking to support farmers' decision making, developed in a research programme spanning three decades, was considerably lower than expected (Öhlmér, 2007). Similarly, in Sweden, the process of gathering information on the strategic decision making by farmers to convert from conventional to organic milk production in Sweden had not been adapted to their specific needs (Lunneryd, 2003). Both Lunneryd (2003) and Öhlmér (2007) found that farmers mostly rely on intuition for decision making.

McCown et al. (2012) found that Australian farmers were initially enthusiastic about adopting analytic decision support system for measuring soil water and managing climatic variability. However, in practice, they used the system to hone their intuitive ability, to which they returned and relied upon heuristically, only using the analytic system in exceptional cases. Similarly, in New Zealand, the most successful (efficient and/or profitable) stock-cattle farmers relied less on formal technological tools designed to aid their practical decision making, and instead developed a personalised expert system, with intuition being the primary driver (Nuthall, 2012). This expert system was a technology-based encapsulation of decision rules used by farmer experts, through a question and answer system based on explicit knowledge. While studying farmers' expert systems was valuable, there was an element of impracticality when basing development of technological tools for grazing management, since farmers preferred to rely on intuition.

1 2 3	207	
4 5	208	Farmers' knowledge is not static, nor are their decisions likely to be made in the same way over
6 7 8	209	time as their experience grows, their knowledge base evolves, and as external environments
9 10	210	become more challenging (Eastwood et al., 2012). This means that formal decision support tools
11 12	211	would need to be constantly re-evaluated and adapted to efficiently support farmers (Douthwaite
13 14 15	212	et al., 2001; Eastwood et al., 2012).
16 17	213	
18 19	214	We have seen that farmer decision making is a complex process involving values, goals,
20 21 22	215	observation, intuition and intention, yet management programmes that do not consider these
23 24	216	factors are less likely to be effective (van Eijk, 1998; OECD, 2012). Hochman and Carberry
25 26	217	(2011) argue that support systems should allow users to experiment with options that satisfy their
27 28 29	218	needs, and develop intuition instead of replacing it with optimised recommendations.
30 31	219	
32 33	220	3.2 The call to focus research attention on the development of farmer intuition
34 35 36	221	
37 38	222	Nuthall and Old (2018) found that successful farm managers made most of their decisions using
39 40	223	their well-developed intuitive ability, i.e. they could confidently apply their intuition to make
41 42 43	224	a successful decision. They present an original model to explain intuition, using data from 818
43 44 45	225	farms in New Zealand and based on influencing variables, including experience, feedback and
46		
47	226	repetition, training and mentoring, reflection and self-critique, intelligence and personality,
47 48 49	226 227	repetition, training and mentoring, reflection and self-critique, intelligence and personality, objectives and risk attitude, observation and anticipation skills.
47 48 49 50 51 52	226 227 228	repetition, training and mentoring, reflection and self-critique, intelligence and personality, objectives and risk attitude, observation and anticipation skills.
47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54	226 227 228 229	repetition, training and mentoring, reflection and self-critique, intelligence and personality, objectives and risk attitude, observation and anticipation skills.
47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57	<ul> <li>226</li> <li>227</li> <li>228</li> <li>229</li> <li>230</li> </ul>	repetition, training and mentoring, reflection and self-critique, intelligence and personality, objectives and risk attitude, observation and anticipation skills. Farmers often describe intuition as crucial for farm health management. In a study of farm health among 79 organic farmers in Austria, Germany, and the UK, health was seen as an
47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59	<ul> <li>226</li> <li>227</li> <li>228</li> <li>229</li> <li>230</li> <li>231</li> </ul>	repetition, training and mentoring, reflection and self-critique, intelligence and personality, objectives and risk attitude, observation and anticipation skills. Farmers often describe intuition as crucial for farm health management. In a study of farm health among 79 organic farmers in Austria, Germany, and the UK, health was seen as an interconnected system based on close observation and decision-making processes (Paxton et
47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	<ul> <li>226</li> <li>227</li> <li>228</li> <li>229</li> <li>230</li> <li>231</li> <li>232</li> </ul>	repetition, training and mentoring, reflection and self-critique, intelligence and personality, objectives and risk attitude, observation and anticipation skills. Farmers often describe intuition as crucial for farm health management. In a study of farm health among 79 organic farmers in Austria, Germany, and the UK, health was seen as an interconnected system based on close observation and decision-making processes (Paxton et al., 2017). One of ten key factors identified for healthy farming systems was the development

of intuition and the associated ability for self-observation. As one farmer explained: "We're always talking about things that are not actually tangible... this is something older, something that we have lost... intuition should be the first point concerning the importance for health". (Paxton et al, 2017: 83). Other farmers considered that intuition allowed for customised practical decisions (Paxton et al., 2017). Since resilience and health are interdependent (Döring et al., 2013), this suggests that farmers may use intuition to build resilience.

Research has showed that farmers in the Netherlands, Brazil, Peru and Sri Lanka secured considerable benefits by relying largely on intuition (Kieft, 2006, 2015). Surveyed farmers claimed that, while proficiency and experience in practical farming skills were important, their success stemmed mainly from using their intuition to inform and accelerate decisions. They reported earlier disease detection and improved disease resilience, enabling a reduction in chemical inputs and water use, resulting in improved yields and product quality (specifically nutritional value and shelf-life), and higher input efficiency, in both plant and animal production. In dairy farming, benefits such as quieter animals, lower antibiotic use and veterinary costs, higher calf survival rates, improved immune response, and more efficient feed conversion rates were reported. Many of these farmers also benefitted from an improved work-life balance and a deeper sense of satisfaction, as well as minimising environmental impact and working in closer harmony with nature. All the surveyed farmers operated intuitively, and the study concluded that farmer intuition should be accepted, respected, and actively enhanced.

For too long, agricultural research has seen intuition as non-scientific and problematic (van Eijk, 1998). The growing recognition that it deserves more focused attention from researchers and farmers does not imply that farmers should use their analytical skills less, or that research into the analytic decision processes of farmers should discontinue. However, there is a gap in understanding how to support farmers to confidently and consciously use their intuition. Such

 support would be especially important for small-scale and subsistence farmers who may nothave access to external tools (Boateng, 2006).

Because farmers generally prefer quick and simple vs. detailed and elaborate analysis, and lean towards incremental implementation (Öhlmér et al., 1998), cognitive analysis is favourable when tasks are analytically simple, yet, as analytical complexity increases, intuition becomes more advantageous, being quick and effortless (Hogarth, 2010). This is recognised by some industry advisors, such as the whole farm/ranch planning framework developed by Holistic Management International. Of their seven tests that a holistic management decision should pass, the last and most important is the "gut check", which asks "not what you think, but how you feel about an action or decision" (HMI, 2013).

*3.3 Potential challenges of relying on intuition* 

That intuition is not easily verbalized presents a potential problem for farms with large management structures and teams, as the whole team needs to be aware that this ability is being consciously used (Öhlmér, 2007). Composition of the management team in terms of levels of expertise would impact how intuitive insights are shared in the team, and those with greater managerial responsibility may require a better developed intuitive ability, which needs to reflect in clear roles and responsibilities within the team (Salas et al. 2009).

Khatri and Ng (2000) point out that an intuitive decision-maker may be accused of being overly
influenced by emotions. While intuitive decisions are not emotional per se, they can be affected
by the subtle priming of emotions (Hogarth, 2010). According to Bolte et al. (2003), a positive
mood improves intuitive coherence judgments, whereas the performance level of intuition,

while in a negative mood, can be equal to chance. Kahneman (2003) highlights the importance of managing one's emotional triggers and bias, as also pointed out by (Nuthall and Old, 2018).

Hogarth (2010) suggests that reliance on intuition may be dysfunctional if the environment in which it is used is significantly different to the one in which the intuitive ability was trained, and that people's intuition cannot be trained to handle situations with which they not are familiar. Yet it plays a role in creative decision making in new, dynamic or complex situations, such as is typically experienced in agroecological systems, and novices have strong intuitions that could be fostered (Salas et al., 2009). So, honing intuition in any environment might be a helpful tool for farmers with little or no prior experience, such as young or entrant farmers. Intuition may be fallible, and the true success rate of intuition is unknown (Salas et al., 2009; Hogarth, 2010). However, when used frequently over time and integrating reflective processes, farmers become more adept at trusting their intuition, increasing in confidence and reliability (Sadler-Smith and Shefy, 2007; Lufityanto et al., 2016).

299 3.4 Developing intuition: the role of personal development and nature connectedness

Based on extensive research, the handbook The Intuitive Farmer: Inspiring Management Success (Nuthall, 2016) offers principles and practices for improving intuition for farm management, and is presented in an accessible narrative format. Here, high managerial ability requires excellent technical knowledge in the first instance, but knowing how to apply decision methods that lead to success is critical. 'Informed intuition' requires experiencing appropriate lessons repeatedly, together with reviewing efficient decisions by both oneself and others. Developing confident and informed intuition depends on gaining practical experience, developing observation and anticipation skills, practicing structured reflection and self-

309 critique, as well as consulting with professionals, friends and family for both personal and310 professional feedback.

People vary in their intuitive abilities, due to genetics, upbringing and bias, but most humans have the ability to engage in reflexive processes, which are crucial to developing informed intuition (Nuthall and Old, 2018). The importance of personal transformation in developing intuition, which includes learning to manage emotions and bias which might influence intuition, has been emphasized by various authors. The most effective techniques for personal transformation include journaling, meditation (particularly Transcendental Meditation), practicing mindfulness, and developing somatic awareness through tactile experiences and movement skills and routines (van Eijk 1998; Sadler-Smith and Shefy, 2007; Nonaka and van Krogh, 2009; Kieft 2015). The Somatics Toolkit offers a movement-based methodology designed to incorporate, and learn from, the body as a research tool (see http://somaticstoolkit.coventry.ac.uk). 

35 323

Intriguingly, some biodynamic farmers are more comfortable with speaking about their feelings and the concept of intuition than are other organic farmers (Anja Vieweger, personal observation). Steiner (1967, 1995), founder of biodynamic agriculture, considered intuition the highest stage of non-physical perception, and pivotal to the examining of one's own thoughts in the quest for self-awareness. In agreement with Steiner, prominent western philosophers since the 17<sup>th</sup> century, including Henri Bergson (Bergson, 1911), Karl Popper (Jarvie et al., 2006) and Baruch de Spinoza (van Eijk, 2019) have described intuition as a method to attain deeper or higher knowledge.

<sup>55</sup> 332

333 While biodynamic certification for farms only regulates physical practice requirements, the
 334 theory behind biodynamics provides systematic guidelines for self-observation and for

developing intuition (von Diest, 2019). Steiner's (1995) '*hineinversetzung*' - placing one's
awareness as if through the eyes of other beings and observing what happens inside oneself is similar to using the entire human constitution to 'sense subtle energies' within the agroecological landscape (Kieft, 2006, 2015, 2019).

Interestingly, farmers say they feel better and/or healthier when practising intuitive farming,
and feel more connected with their community and nature (Kieft, 2006; Nuthall and Old, 2018).
Sadler-Smith and Shefy (2007) suggest that 'the feeling' that an environment induces is
important in training intuition, and note other positive outcomes, such as improved selfconfidence, inter- and intra-personal sensitivity and metacognition.

Nature connectedness is promising for improvements to farmer health and resilience, and the interrelated health and resilience of agroecosystems of which they are a part (Simaika and Samways, 2018). As individuals have regular experiences of oneness with nature, a gradual and long-lasting shift in attitude towards nature and a more ecological worldview is facilitated. enabling a paradigm shift from a more positivist one in which the farmer/human is a steward of nature, to perhaps a more mystical one in which farmers/humans feel unified with the rest of nature (van Eijk, 1998). This bears in mind that connectedness with nature is a holistic process that goes beyond only obtaining information about nature, and provides motivation and a reliable predictor for environmentally responsible behaviour (Zylstra, 2014). Nature connectedness may thus enable farmers to be aware of, and manage, their emotional triggers, as well as think more creatively, which in turn, would benefit both analytic and intuitive thinking. 

#### 359 4. Appropriate methodologies for future research

Page 15 of 44

#### **Outlook on Agriculture**

Assuming farmers require and/or want research support in developing intuition, research methodologies that embrace farmer intuition would need to be both respectful and inclusive of different ways of knowing, and centralise the need for endogenous knowledge development in a given culture or region (van Eijk, 1998; ETC-COMPAS, 2007; COMPAS/UDS, 2008), such as approaches applied in the integrative scientific discipline and movement of agroecology (Pimbert, 2015). Here, researchers are co-inquirers in a reciprocal relationship with study participants (rather than subjects) (Chilisa, 2012; Curry and Kirwan, 2014; Madjidi, 2014). Of course, intuition on the part of the researcher would provide a latent resource to make key decisions in developing the research process (van Eijk, 1998; Madjidi, 2014; Rosenberg, 2017). 

If nature connectedness is involved in, or helps with, refining an intuitive connection for development of regenerative farm practices, there may be benefits in borrowing from fields of study like ecological psychology (informed by deep ecology) (Roszak et al., 1995), multi-species ethnography (Kirksey and Helmreich, 2010), animism (Harding, 2015) and ecofluency (von Diest, 2019). Studies like those of Madjidi (2014), Zylstra (2014) and van Eijk (1998), which use such approaches, provide theories and methodologies for facilitation and support, for both individual and group processes towards personal and collective transformation and evolution.

## **5.** Summary and conclusions

381 Research shows that challenges to farm management are more complex and site-specific than can 382 be accurately represented by standardised scientific models favoured by mainstream agriculture, 383 and management decisions by analytical methods. Management decisions often require quick and 384 accurate forecasts for complex situations that are seldom formally available. As cognitive analysis 385 takes longer and cannot fully calculate realistic risk, farmers must often rely on intuition. Intuition allows access to tacit knowledge, which, although not externalised, offers insight into holistic,

 Although not new to farmers, intuition is a relatively new concept in agricultural research. The few existing studies on this topic agree that many farmers have well-developed intuition, resulting in significant benefits, and all agree on the need to focus research on supporting farmers to develop their intuition. This is not to replace, but rather to complement farmers' analytical processes. Importance of managing emotions and personal development are emphasized in the intuition development process, as well as the potential for improved connectedness with nature.

What is needed is not more knowledge, but better knowing. If more farmers were to consciously and confidently leverage the latent, free resource of their intuition, they may be empowered to more easily make ecologically cohesive management decisions tailored to any given situation. This could help re-embed farmers centrally within the agroecosystem, as the necessary step beyond them simply being perceived as recipients of external knowledge and acting as objective managers of farm systems. Focusing research on the emergent field of intuitive farming, offers stimulus for the paradigm shift called for to reinvigorate resilient agriculture.

44 404

## **References**

- 406 Altieri MA (1995) Agroecology: the science of sustainable agriculture. Boulder, CO:
  407 Westview Press.
- 408 Apusigah AA (2011) Indigenous Knowledge, Cultural Values and Sustainable Development
   409 in Africa. Paper presented at the 2<sup>nd</sup> Annual Ibadan Sustainable Development Summit,
   410 Nigeria, August 2011.
- <sup>60</sup> 411 Bergson H (1911) Creative Evolution, Mitchell A (tr.). New York, NY: Henry Holt and

1		
2 3	412	Company.
4 5 6	413	Boateng W (2006) Knowledge management working tool for agricultural extension: the case
8 7 8	414	of Ghana. Knowledge Management for Development Journal 2: 19-29.
9 10	415	Bolte A, Goschke T and Kuhl J (2003) Emotion and intuition: Effects of positive and negative
11 12	416	mood on implicit judgments of semantic coherence. Psychological Science 14: 416-421.
13 14 15	417	Bramley RGV (2009) Lessons from nearly 20 years of Precision Agriculture research,
16 17	418	development, and adoption as a guide to its appropriate application. Crop Pasture Science
18 19	419	60: 197-217.
20 21 22	420	Chilisa B (2012) Indigenous Research Methodologies. Los Angeles, CA: Sage Publications.
23 24	421	Chin-Yee B and Fuller J (2018) Clinical judgement: Multidisciplinary perspectives. Journal of
25 26	422	Evaluation in Clinical Practice 24: 635-637.
27 28 20	423	Code J (2018) Alternative agriculture: Innovations for growing and cultivating diverse ways of
29 30 31	424	knowing. In Zeunert J and Waterman T (eds) Routledge Handbook of Landscape and
32 33	425	Food. London: Routledge, pp. 125-137
34 35	426	COMPAS/UDS (2008) Endogenous development in Africa: Towards a systematisation of
36 37 38	427	experiences, Millar D, Apusigah AA and Boonzaijer C (eds). Leusden: COMPAS/UDS.
39 40	428	Curry N and Kirwan J (2014) The role of tacit knowledge in developing networks for
41 42	429	sustainable agriculture. Sociologia Ruralis 54: 341-361.
43 44 45	430	Dane E and Pratt M (2007) Exploring intuition and its role in managerial decision making.
43 46 47	431	Academy of Management Review 32: 35–54.
48 49	432	Döring TF, Vieweger A, Pautasso M, Vaarst M, Finckh MR and Wolfe MS (2013) Resilience
50 51	433	as a universal criterion of health. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 95: 455-
52 53 54	434	465.
55 56	435	Douthwaite B, Keatinge JHD and Park JR (2001) Why promising technologies fail: the
57 58	436	neglected role of user innovation during adoption. Research Policy 30: 819-836.
59 60	437	Eastwood CR, Chapman DF and Paine MS (2006) From intuition to information - Management

2 3	43
4 5 6	43
6 7 8	44
9 10	44
11 12	44
13 14 15	44
16 17	44
18 19 20	44
20 21 22	44
23 24	44
25 26 27	44
27 28 29	44
30 31	45
32 33	45
34 35 36	45
37 38	45
39 40	45
41 42 43	45
44 45	45
46 47	45
48 49 50	45
50 51 52	45
53 54	46
55 56 57	46
57 58 59	46
60	

8 information systems in Australian dairy farming. In: Computers in Agriculture and 9 Natural Resources: Proceedings of the 4th World Congress, Orlando, Florida, USA, July 0 2006. Eastwood CR, Chapman DF and Paine MS (2012) Networks of practice for co-construction of -1 -2 agricultural decision support systems: Case studies of precision dairy farms in Australia. -3 Agricultural Systems 108: 10-18. 4 ETC-COMPAS (2007) Endogenous development in practice: towards well-being of people -5 and ecosystems, Van t' Hooft K (ed). Leusden: ETC-COMPAS. -6 Roszak T, Gomes ME and Kanner AD (eds.) (1995) Ecopsychology: Restoring the Earth .7 Healing the Mind. San Francisco, CA: Sierra Club Books. Haraway D (1988) Situated knowledges: The science question in feminism and the privilege -8 .9 of partial perspective. Feminist Studies 14: 575-599. 0 Harding SP (2015) Towards an Animistic Science of the Earth. In Handbook of Contemporary 1 Animism, Harvey G (ed). London: Routledge. 2 Harvey MG and Novicevic MN (2002) The hypercompetitive global marketplace: the 3 importance of intuition and creativity in expatriate managers. Journal of World Business 4 37: 127-138. 5 Haverkort B (2010) The inter-university initiative CAPTURED: Bridging worldviews, ways 6 of learning and ways of knowing. Journal of Ayurveda and Integrative Medicine 1: 56-62. 7 HMI (Holistic Management International) (2013) Holistic Management Whole Farm/Ranch 8 Planning System. https://holisticmanagement.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/02/HM-

9 System-Highlights.a.pdf. Accessed 15 November 2018.

50 Hochman Z and Carberry PS (2011) Emerging consensus on desirable characteristics of tools 51 to support farmers' management of climate risk in Australia. Agricultural Systems 104: 52 441-450.

3	46
4 5 6	46
7 8	46
9 10	46
11 12	46
13 14 15	46
16 17	46
18 19 20	47
20 21 22	47
23 24	47
25 26	47
27 28 29	47
30 31	47
32 33	47
34 35 26	47
30 37 38	47
39 40	47
41 42	48
43 44 45	48
46 47	48
48 49	48
50 51 52	48
53 54	48
55 56	48
57 58	48
29	

463 Hodgkinson GP, Langan-Fox J, and Sadler-Smith E (2008) Intuition: A fundamental bridging
464 construct in the behavioural sciences. *British Journal of Psychology* 99: 1–27.

465 IAASTD (International Assessment of Agricultural Knowledge, Science and Technology for

466 Development). (2009) Agriculture at a crossroads: A synthesis of the global and sub-

- 467 global IAASTD reports, McIntyre BD, Herren HR, Wakhungu J and Watson RT (eds).
- 468 Washington, DC: Island Press.
- 469 Jarvie I, Milford K, Miller D (eds.) (2006) Karl Popper: A Centenary Assessment Vol III:
   470 Science. Aldershot: Ashgate Publishing.
- 471 Kahneman D (2003) Maps of bounded rationality: Psychology for behavioral economics.
   472 American Economic Review 93: 1449-1475.
- 473 Khatri N and Ng. HA (2000) The role of intuition in strategic decision making. *Human*474 *Relations* 53: 57-86.
- 475 Kieft H (2006) Quantum Agriculture: bridging frontline physics and intuitive knowledge of
   476 nature? In: *Moving Worldviews, reshaping sciences, policies and practices for endogenous* 477 *sustainable development*, Haverkort B and Reijntjes C (eds). Leusden: Compas Leusden.
   478 pp. 209-218.
- 479 Kieft H (2015) Intuitive farming: Towards a new vision on nature. Proceedings of the XI
   480 International People-Plant Symposium on Diversity: Towards a New Vision on Nature.
   481 Acta Horticulturae 1093: 179-194.
- 482 Kieft H (2019) *Quantum Leaps in Agriculture*. LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing.
- 483 Kirksey E and Helmreich S (2010) "The Emergence of Multispecies Ethnography." From the
   484 Editorial Office, Cultural Anthropology website, June 14, 2010.
   485 https://culanth.org/fieldsights/277-the-emergence-of-multispecies-ethnography. Accessed
   486 24 September 2017.
- 487 Knowler D and Bradshaw B (2007) Farmers' adoption of conservation agriculture: A review
  488 and synthesis of recent research. *Food Policy* 32: 25–48.

2 3	489	Lufityanto G, Donkin C and Pearson J (2016) Measuring intuition: Nonconscious emotional
4 5 6	490	information boosts decision accuracy and confidence. <i>Psychological Science</i> 27: 622–634.
7 8	491	Lunneryd D (2003) Unique decision making with focus on information use. The case of
9 10	492	converting to organic milk production. Acta Universitatis Agriculturae Suecia; Agraria
11 12 13	493	405, SLU, Uppsala, Sweden. ( <u>http://epsilon.slu.se/index.html</u> ).
14 15	494	Lynch T, Gregor S and Midmore D (2000) Intelligent support systems in agriculture: how can
16 17	495	we do better? Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture 40: 609-620.
18 19 20	496	Makondo CC and Thomas DSG (2018) Linking indigenous knowledge with western science
20 21 22	497	for effective adaptation. Environmental Science and Policy 88: 83-91.
23 24	498	McCown RL, Carberry PS, Dalgliesh NP, Foale MA and Hochman Z (2012) Farmers use
25 26 27	499	intuition to reinvent analytic decision support for managing seasonal climatic variability.
27 28 29	500	Agricultural Systems 106: 33–45.
30 31	501	Nonaka I and van Krogh G (2009) Tacit knowledge and knowledge conversion: Controversy
32 33	502	and advancement in organizational knowledge creation theory. Organization Science 20:
34 35 36	503	635-652.
37 38	504	Nuthall PL (2012) The intuitive world of farmers – The case of grazing management systems
39 40	505	and experts. Agricultural Systems 107: 65–73.
41 42 43	506	Nuthall PL (2013) The Intuitive Farmer: Inspiring Management Success. Portland, OR: 5M
44 45	507	Publishing.
46 47	508	Nuthall PL and Old KM (2018) Intuition, the farmers' primary decision process. A review and
48 49 50	509	analysis. Journal of Rural Studies 58: 28-38.
50 51 52	510	OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) (2012) Farmer
53 54	511	Behaviour, Agricultural Management and Climate Change. Paris: OECD Publishing.
55 56 57	512	Öhlmér B (2007) The Need and Design of Computerized Farm Management Tools – Lessons
57 58 59	513	Learned from a Swedish Case. Working Paper Series 2007:5. Department of Economics,
60	514	SLU, Uppsala. (https://pub.epsilon.slu.se/3027/).

1		
2 3	515	Öhlmér B, Olson K and Brehmer B (1998) Understanding farmers' decision making processes
4 5 6	516	and improving managerial assistance. Agricultural Economics 18: 273-290.
7 8	517	Parry GA (2005) Native wisdom in a quantum world. Shift 9: 29-33.
9 10	518	Paxton R, Klimek M, Vieweger A, Döring T, Bloch R, Bachinger J and Woodward L (2017)
11 12 12	519	The role of intuition in managing organic farm system health. In: Innovative Research for
13 14 15	520	Organic 3.0 - Volume 1: Proceedings of the Scientific Track at the Organic World
16 17	521	Congress 2017, Rahmann et al. G (eds) November, 2017, Delhi, India. Thünen Report,
18 19	522	No. 54,1.
20 21 22	523	Pimbert M (2015) Agroecology as an alternative vision to conventional development and
23 24	524	climate-smart agriculture. Development 58: 286–298.
25 26	525	Prokopy LS, Floress K, Klotthor-Weinkauf D and Baumgart-Getz A (2008) Determinants of
27 28 29	526	agricultural best management practice adoption: Evidence from the literature. Journal of
30 31	527	Soil and Water Conservation September 63: 300-311.
32 33	528	Robert M, Dury J, Thomas A, Therond O, Sekhar M, Badiger A, Ruiz L and Bergez J-E (2016)
34 35 36	529	CMFDM: A methodology to guide the design of a conceptual model of farmers' decision-
37 38	530	making processes. Agricultural Systems 148: 86–94.
39 40	531	Rosenberg LL (2017) Turi kumwe (we are together): A transdisciplinary exploration of the
41 42	532	Burundian specialty coffee sector and its sustainability challenges. PhD Dissertation.
45 44 45	533	Stellenbosch University, South Africa.
46 47	534	Sadler-Smith E and Shefy E (2007) Developing intuitive awareness in management education.
48 49	535	Academy of Management Learning & Education 6: 186-205.
50 51 52	536	Salas E, Rosen MA and DiazGranados D (2009) Expertise-based intuition and decision making
52 53 54	537	in organizations. Journal of Management 36: 941-973.
55 56	538	Simaika JP and M Samways M (2018) Insect conservation psychology. Journal of Insect
57 58 50	539	Conservation 22: 635-642.
60	540	Steiner R (1967) The Stages of Higher Knowledge. Hudson: Anthroposophic Press.

- 541 Steiner R (1995) *Intuitive Thinking as a Spiritual Path; A Philosophy of Freedom*. Centennial
  542 Edition. Hudson: Anthroposophic Press.
  543 UNCTAD (United Nations Conference on Trade and Development) (2013) Trade
  544 and Environment Review. Wake up before it is too late: Make agriculture truly sustainable
- now for food security in a changing climate. United Nations Publication.
   4 546 (unctad.org/en/PublicationsLibrary/ditcted2012d3 en.pdf).
- 547 Van Eijk T (1998) *Farming Systems Research and Spirituality*. PhD dissertation. Wageningen
   548 University, the Netherlands.
- 1 549 Van Eijk T (2019) Spinoza in the light of spiritual development. Lulu.com
- 550 Vangala RNK, Hiremath BN and Banerjee A (2014) A theoretical framework for knowledge
   551 management in Indian agricultural organizations. Article 6, In: *Proceedings of the 2014* 552 *International Conference on Information and Communication Technology for Competitive* 553 *Strategies*, November 2014, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India.
- 554 Von Diest SG (2019) Could biodynamics help bridge the gap in developing farmer intuition?
- 5 555 *Open Agriculture* 4: 391-399.
- 556 Zylstra MJ, Knight AT, Esler KJ and Lesley LLL (2014) Connectedness as a core conservation
   557 concern: An interdisciplinary review of theory and a call for practice. *Springer Science* 558 *Review* 2: 119–143.

## A call to focus on farmer intuition for improved management decision making

#### 4 Abstract

Mainstream agricultural research takes a rational approach to generate, empirical, tangible knowledge for increased yields and sustainability. This approach has led to the development of technological tools to support farmers in their management decision making, which, while helpful, are not able to factor in the complex, dynamic variables that motivate farmer decision making. More importantly, farmers often do not adopt these tools as expected.

11 Could a solution lie in considering other sources and types of agricultural knowledge? Some 12 farmers report relying largely on intuition (knowing from within) to inform their practical 13 management decisions, resulting in both qualitative and quantitative benefits. Intuition allows 14 access to valuable tacit (informal, intangible) knowledge, which can be used to explore and 15 apply more resilient agricultural practices. It is an immediate and valuable part of decision 16 making, and deserves more attention from both farmers and researchers.

17 This paper discusses potential advantages, challenges to, and methods of mainstreaming farmer 18 intuition, and presents appropriate methodologies for its development, emphasizing the need 19 to expand the underlying ontology and epistemology of the mainstream scientific community.

21 Keywords: farmer decision making; farm management; tacit knowledge; holistic decisions;
22 resilient farming systems

## **1. Introduction**

# 

Farmers are increasingly pressured to make management decisions that are both efficient and ecologically robust. However, the reasoning and values behind these decisions are more individualistic than has been appreciated. A review of 55 studies spanning 25 years of literature in the United States was inconclusive as to which factors consistently determined farmers' reasons for adopting best management practices (Prokopy et al., 2008). Furthermore, a synthesis of 31 empirical analyses on farmer adoption of conservation agriculture found few variables that universally explained farmers' motivation to adopt certain practices (Knowler and Bradshaw, 2007). So how do farmers make management decisions, and especially those that consider longer-term ecological and social consequences?

Applied ecological knowledge arises not only from formal scientific study, but also through farmers' experiential learning from interactions with their agroecosystems, leading to contextspecific knowledge that draws on local resources rather than more generalised and widely applicable solutions (Altieri, 1995). So, perhaps the key lies in widening the recognition of, access to, and application of different types and forms of knowledge (Curry and Kirwan, 2014; Code, 2018).

- **2. Different sources of knowledge in agriculture**

2.1 Examining the mainstream sources of knowledge in agriculture

> 49 The dominant ontology (belief about the nature of reality, or how the world is) and 50 epistemology (belief about the grounds for human knowledge, or how the world can be known) 51 of the mainstream agricultural paradigm is largely positivist, in that all matter and processes 52 can be reduced to concrete matter. It looks to modern (Newtonian-Cartesian) 'Western' science

#### **Outlook on Agriculture**

to provide knowledge, accumulated through observable data gathered in controlled and repeatable experiments (van Eijk, 1998). This results in explicit knowledge, i.e. formal knowledge that can be articulated, documented, codified, and easily transferred in a systematic and tangible form using words, numbers and formulae, and disseminated through, for example, instruction manuals (Boateng, 2006; Nonaka and van Krogh, 2009; Vangala et al., 2014).

The paradigm shift called for in the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) Trade and Environment Review (2013) report invites examination of how knowledge that is thought by modern science to be appropriate for sustainable agriculture is generated and used. Going further, van Eijk (1998) and Code (2018) identify the need to question the ontology and epistemology of mainstream agricultural research, and to recognise and include the role of interior knowledge sources.

This has been addressed, to some extent, when agricultural research began to acknowledge the constructivist paradigm (constructed nature of reality) in the 1980s (van Eijk, 1998), including through the exploration of indigenous research methods (Apusigah, 2011; Chilisa, 2012). However, tacit knowledge still has not yet been significantly addressed (van Eijk, 1998; Boateng, 2006; Curry and Kirwan, 2014; Vangala et al., 2014). Tacit knowledge is intangible, personal, often experiential and informal in nature, involving conscious and unconscious awareness of perspective, personal beliefs, values and innate knowing. It is found in traditions, customs and savoire-faire (adaptive ability to determine appropriate action). It can refer to the decision rules stored in the mind, but is implicit in nature and cannot always be articulated, codified, or transferred. Yet it can be accessed through intuitive processes (Boateng, 2006; Nonaka and van Krogh, 2009). 

## 78 2.2. Value of using intuition in decision making

Dane and Pratt (2007) define intuition as 'affectively-charged judgements that arise through rapid, non-conscious, and holistic associations', or 'the provision of a conclusion reached without formal analysis'. Perhaps a simpler and more apt definition of intuition is 'knowing without knowing how you know', or 'knowing from within' (Hodgkinson et al., 2008). To date, most evidence on the value of intuition comes from the fields of psychology and business management, and is recognised as critical in hyper-competitive business environments (Harvey et al., 2002) and clinical judgement in medicine (Chin-Yee and Fuller, 2018).

In the field of economics, Kahneman (2003) observes that decision makers are aware of limited information, and most judgements and decisions are made intuitively. He presents a map of 'cognition architecture', in which the characteristics of intuitive and reasoning (rational/logical) systems are summarised. Here, intuition resembles perception, and both are fast, automatic, associative, and reference-dependent, or stimulus-bound. Reasoning, by contrast, is slow, controlled, and neutral, and both intuition and reason are informed by experience. He emphasises that intuition can be powerful and accurate, but applying it effectively requires prolonged practice. Given our perspective on the constructivist, subjective nature of reality, we would contend Kahneman's (2003) identification of reasoning as being neutral.

Overall, intuition appears to be an involuntary, immediate and inevitable part of all decisions, which can complement logical cognition, and can be highly useful when there is a time constraint on gathering (potentially unreliable) information (Khatri and Ng, 2000). It can boost accuracy, confidence, and speed in the decision-making process (Lufityanto et al., 2016). This suggests that applying intuition, and thus accessing tacit forms of knowledge, holds potential for improved farm management decision making

#### **Outlook on Agriculture**

#### 105 2.3 Learning from traditional and indigenous ecological knowledge systems

Many traditional and indigenous cultures worldwide have evolved a highly integrated, holistic, intuitive understanding of the complex natural systems in which they live, and maintaining a dialogue with these systems is crucial for managing food production landscapes (Parry, 2005; Apusigah, 2011). Small-scale and subsistence farmers use their tacit understanding to adapt to increasingly unpredictable climatic conditions, such as drought, thereby increasing the resilience of their agroecosystems (Kieft, 2006, 2015; IAASTD 2009; Makondo and Thomas, 2018). Resilience (the ability to remain functional under stress) is an important criterion of health and adaptability in agricultural systems (Döring et al., 2013), and is crucial for farmers to consider in their decision making, especially in regions with rapidly-changing climatic conditions.

This 'situational knowledge' (Haraway 1988) is generated through experience, language, culture and tradition *in situ*, and through more than the five physical senses. These stocks of intuition, or cultural capital (Hogarth, 2010), are the product of tacit learning, and expand on, and contribute to, a more holistic, pragmatic knowledge base than the (explicit) knowledge gained through modern science's overemphasis on the sense of vision and observation.

42 122

Several global organisations have called for the protection and utilization of these knowledge systems, arising from their value in evolving adaptive agricultural solutions and addressing global food security. For example, in 2002, the Food and Agriculture Organization initiated the Globally Important Agricultural Heritage Systems (GIAHS) programme, to safeguard and support indigenous and traditional knowledge systems at risk of disappearing through the spread of industrialised agriculture. GIAHS policy suggestions are already being applied, as in the case of the inter-university initiative Capacity and Theory Building of Universities and Research Centres 

130 on Endogenous Development (CAPTURED), which has formulated curricula to include ancient

- 131 wisdom and intuitive knowing into higher education (Haverkort, 2010).

- 133 2.4 Potential risk of externalising tacit knowledge

Organization science places both explicit and tacit knowledge along a continuum, and considers that the less extreme forms of tacit knowledge may be externalized or converted (Nonaka and van Krogh, 2009), to allow for the expansion of knowledge beyond what exists in one individual or community. Through participatory research approaches, some tacit knowledge embedded in traditional and indigenous ecological knowledge systems has been externalised for improving and developing sustainable agricultural practices (Eastwood et al., 2012; Curry and Kirwan, 2014). Steps such as the GIAHS programme contribute greatly to understanding and using the various knowledge bases of farmers worldwide. However, apart from the innate difficulty in expressing

tacit knowledge, building mutual trust for an effective 'dialogue of wisdom' with those holding
tacit knowledge is not easy. Knowledge holders may be reluctant to share with western scientists,
expressing a lack of confidence in its appropriate use outside of their own cultural and spiritual
context. For example, knowledge about local plants shared with researchers of international seed
businesses has often been exploited for profit (Henk Kieft, personal observation).

44 148

> Additionally, because such embedded knowledge is situational, practices developed from them are appropriate to local cultures and regional conditions, and not well suited to adapting to, or scaling up within, other cultures and regions (Chilisa, 2012). And because of the internal, experiential nature of both intuition and tacit knowledge, an externalisation process could alter or dilute the value of such knowledge (Hodgkinson et al., 2008).

1		
2 3	155	This location-specificity is at odds with the positivist paradigm of mainstream agriculture. Could
4 5 6	156	support for farmers to individually access and apply tacit knowledge circumvent this? Certainly,
7 8	157	farmers would be imbued with more agency and autonomy than is currently the case.
9 10	158	
11 12	159	3. Reviewing the role of intuition in farmer decision making
13 14 15	160	
16 17	161	To assess how existing agricultural research addresses intuition in farmer decision making, we
18 19	162	performed a search on the scientific databases Scopus and Web of Science, cross-referencing
20 21	163	the keywords 'intuition' with 'agriculture' and 'farming'. Filtering 60 search results for
22 23 24	164	relevance to management decision making yielded a total of seven papers, all published in the
25 26	165	16 years up until 2019. We included a further two articles from conference proceedings.
27 28	166	
29 30 31	167	3.1 The need to reconsider the analytic approach to supporting farmer decision making
32 33	168	
34 35	169	Five of the seven articles from our initial search were associated with the development and use
36 37 20	170	of analytical decision support systems in industrialised countries. Using a rational/logical
30 39 40	171	approach based on cognitive task analysis, formal tools using information communication
41 42	172	technologies have been developed to bridge the knowledge extension gap between agricultural
43 44	173	science and farming practice to streamline management decisions. Despite the slow uptake of
45 46 47	174	such support systems in many countries, two studies found that many systems have been
48 49	175	successfully adopted (Bramley, 2009; Eastwood et al., 2012).
50 51	176	
52 53	177	Several authors agree that formal tools are rarely designed with a detailed understanding of the
54 55 56	178	relationship between farmers' specific knowledge, the decisions they make and the actions they
57 58	179	take, and farmers are often not consulted in the design process until release of the final product
59 60	180	(Lynch et al., 2000; Öhlmér, 2007; Robert et al., 2016). As a result, early use of new

181 information management systems is often stressful for farmers accustomed to using an 182 intuitive, experience-based management style, and these systems are subsequently not 183 prioritised (Eastwood et al., 2006). Five studies found that farmers often do not adopt 184 formalised tools as expected, and largely prefer an intuitive approach to an analytic system 185 (Lunneryd, 2003; Öhlmér, 2007; McCown et al., 2012; Kieft, 2015; Nuthall and Old, 2018).

In an example from Sweden, the adoption rate of a computer-based tool aimed at analytic thinking to support farmers' decision making, developed in a research programme spanning three decades, was considerably lower than expected (Öhlmér, 2007). Similarly, in Sweden, the process of gathering information on the strategic decision making by farmers to convert from conventional to organic milk production in Sweden had not been adapted to their specific needs (Lunneryd, 2003). Both Lunneryd (2003) and Öhlmér (2007) found that farmers mostly rely on intuition for decision making.

McCown et al. (2012) found that Australian farmers were initially enthusiastic about adopting analytic decision support system for measuring soil water and managing climatic variability. However, in practice, they used the system to hone their intuitive ability, to which they returned and relied upon heuristically, only using the analytic system in exceptional cases. Similarly, in New Zealand, the most successful (efficient and/or profitable) stock-cattle farmers relied less on formal technological tools designed to aid their practical decision making, and instead developed a personalised expert system, with intuition being the primary driver (Nuthall, 2012). This expert system was a technology-based encapsulation of decision rules used by farmer experts, through a question and answer system based on explicit knowledge. While studying farmers' expert systems was valuable, there was an element of impracticality when basing development of technological tools for grazing management, since farmers preferred to rely on intuition.

1 2	207	
3 4	207	
5 6	208	Farmers' knowledge is not static, nor are their decisions likely to be made in the same way over
7 8	209	time as their experience grows, their knowledge base evolves, and as external environments
9 10	210	become more challenging (Eastwood et al., 2012). This means that formal decision support tools
11 12 13	211	would need to be constantly re-evaluated and adapted to efficiently support farmers (Douthwaite
14 15	212	et al., 2001; Eastwood et al., 2012).
16 17	213	
18 19	214	We have seen that farmer decision making is a complex process involving values, goals,
20 21 22	215	observation, intuition and intention, yet management programmes that do not consider these
23 24	216	factors are less likely to be effective (van Eijk, 1998; OECD, 2012). Hochman and Carberry
25 26	217	(2011) argue that support systems should allow users to experiment with options that satisfy their
27 28 20	218	needs, and develop intuition instead of replacing it with optimised recommendations.
30	<b>0</b> 10	
31	219	
31 32 33	219 220	3.2 The call to focus research attention on the development of farmer intuition
31 32 33 34 35 26	219 220 221	3.2 The call to focus research attention on the development of farmer intuition
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	<ul><li>219</li><li>220</li><li>221</li><li>222</li></ul>	3.2 The call to focus research attention on the development of farmer intuition Nuthall and Old (2018) found that successful farm managers made most of their decisions using
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	<ul> <li>219</li> <li>220</li> <li>221</li> <li>222</li> <li>223</li> </ul>	<ul><li>3.2 The call to focus research attention on the development of farmer intuition</li><li>Nuthall and Old (2018) found that successful farm managers made most of their decisions using their well-developed intuitive ability, i.e. they could confidently apply their intuition to make</li></ul>
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	<ul> <li>219</li> <li>220</li> <li>221</li> <li>222</li> <li>223</li> <li>224</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3.2 The call to focus research attention on the development of farmer intuition</li> <li>Nuthall and Old (2018) found that successful farm managers made most of their decisions using their well-developed intuitive ability, i.e. they could confidently apply their intuition to make a successful decision. They present an original model to explain intuition, using data from 818</li> </ul>
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	<ul> <li>219</li> <li>220</li> <li>221</li> <li>222</li> <li>223</li> <li>224</li> <li>225</li> </ul>	3.2 The call to focus research attention on the development of farmer intuition Nuthall and Old (2018) found that successful farm managers made most of their decisions using their well-developed intuitive ability, i.e. they could confidently apply their intuition to make a successful decision. They present an original model to explain intuition, using data from 818 farms in New Zealand and based on influencing variables, including experience, feedback and
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	<ul> <li>219</li> <li>220</li> <li>221</li> <li>222</li> <li>223</li> <li>224</li> <li>225</li> <li>226</li> </ul>	3.2 The call to focus research attention on the development of farmer intuition Nuthall and Old (2018) found that successful farm managers made most of their decisions using their well-developed intuitive ability, i.e. they could confidently apply their intuition to make a successful decision. They present an original model to explain intuition, using data from 818 farms in New Zealand and based on influencing variables, including experience, feedback and repetition, training and mentoring, reflection and self-critique, intelligence and personality,
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49	<ul> <li>219</li> <li>220</li> <li>221</li> <li>222</li> <li>223</li> <li>224</li> <li>225</li> <li>226</li> <li>227</li> </ul>	3.2 The call to focus research attention on the development of farmer intuition Nuthall and Old (2018) found that successful farm managers made most of their decisions using their well-developed intuitive ability, i.e. they could confidently apply their intuition to make a successful decision. They present an original model to explain intuition, using data from 818 farms in New Zealand and based on influencing variables, including experience, feedback and repetition, training and mentoring, reflection and self-critique, intelligence and personality, objectives and risk attitude, observation and anticipation skills.
30         31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38         39         40         41         42         43         44         45         46         47         48         950         51         52	<ul> <li>219</li> <li>220</li> <li>221</li> <li>222</li> <li>223</li> <li>224</li> <li>225</li> <li>226</li> <li>227</li> <li>228</li> </ul>	3.2 The call to focus research attention on the development of farmer intuition Nuthall and Old (2018) found that successful farm managers made most of their decisions using their well-developed intuitive ability, i.e. they could confidently apply their intuition to make a successful decision. They present an original model to explain intuition, using data from 818 farms in New Zealand and based on influencing variables, including experience, feedback and repetition, training and mentoring, reflection and self-critique, intelligence and personality, objectives and risk attitude, observation and anticipation skills.
30         31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38         39         40         41         42         43         44         45         46         47         48         49         50         51         52         53         54	<ul> <li>219</li> <li>220</li> <li>221</li> <li>222</li> <li>223</li> <li>224</li> <li>225</li> <li>226</li> <li>227</li> <li>228</li> <li>229</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3.2 The call to focus research attention on the development of farmer intuition</li> <li>Nuthall and Old (2018) found that successful farm managers made most of their decisions using their well-developed intuitive ability, i.e. they could confidently apply their intuition to make a successful decision. They present an original model to explain intuition, using data from 818 farms in New Zealand and based on influencing variables, including experience, feedback and repetition, training and mentoring, reflection and self-critique, intelligence and personality, objectives and risk attitude, observation and anticipation skills.</li> <li>Farmers often describe intuition as crucial for farm health management. In a study of farm</li> </ul>
30         31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38         39         40         41         42         43         44         45         46         47         48         49         50         51         52         53         54         55	<ul> <li>219</li> <li>220</li> <li>221</li> <li>222</li> <li>223</li> <li>224</li> <li>225</li> <li>226</li> <li>227</li> <li>228</li> <li>229</li> <li>230</li> </ul>	3.2 The call to focus research attention on the development of farmer intuition Nuthall and Old (2018) found that successful farm managers made most of their decisions using their well-developed intuitive ability, i.e. they could confidently apply their intuition to make a successful decision. They present an original model to explain intuition, using data from 818 farms in New Zealand and based on influencing variables, including experience, feedback and repetition, training and mentoring, reflection and self-critique, intelligence and personality, objectives and risk attitude, observation and anticipation skills. Farmers often describe intuition as crucial for farm health management. In a study of farm health among 79 organic farmers in Austria, Germany, and the UK, health was seen as an
30         31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38         39         40         41         42         43         45         46         47         48         50         51         52         53         54         55         56         57         58	<ul> <li>219</li> <li>220</li> <li>221</li> <li>222</li> <li>223</li> <li>224</li> <li>225</li> <li>226</li> <li>227</li> <li>228</li> <li>229</li> <li>230</li> <li>231</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3.2 The call to focus research attention on the development of farmer intuition</li> <li>Nuthall and Old (2018) found that successful farm managers made most of their decisions using their well-developed intuitive ability, i.e. they could confidently apply their intuition to make a successful decision. They present an original model to explain intuition, using data from 818 farms in New Zealand and based on influencing variables, including experience, feedback and repetition, training and mentoring, reflection and self-critique, intelligence and personality, objectives and risk attitude, observation and anticipation skills.</li> <li>Farmers often describe intuition as crucial for farm health management. In a study of farm health among 79 organic farmers in Austria, Germany, and the UK, health was seen as an interconnected system based on close observation and decision-making processes (Paxton et al. 2011).</li> </ul>

of intuition and the associated ability for self-observation. As one farmer explained: "We're always talking about things that are not actually tangible... this is something older, something that we have lost... intuition should be the first point concerning the importance for health". (Paxton et al, 2017: 83). Other farmers considered that intuition allowed for customised practical decisions (Paxton et al., 2017). Since resilience and health are interdependent (Döring et al., 2013), this suggests that farmers may use intuition to build resilience.

Research has showed that farmers in the Netherlands, Brazil, Peru and Sri Lanka secured considerable benefits by relying largely on intuition (Kieft, 2006, 2015). Surveyed farmers claimed that, while proficiency and experience in practical farming skills were important, their success stemmed mainly from using their intuition to inform and accelerate decisions. They reported earlier disease detection and improved disease resilience, enabling a reduction in chemical inputs and water use, resulting in improved yields and product quality (specifically nutritional value and shelf-life), and higher input efficiency, in both plant and animal production. In dairy farming, benefits such as quieter animals, lower antibiotic use and veterinary costs, higher calf survival rates, improved immune response, and more efficient feed conversion rates were reported. Many of these farmers also benefitted from an improved work-life balance and a deeper sense of satisfaction, as well as minimising environmental impact and working in closer harmony with nature. All the surveyed farmers operated intuitively, and the study concluded that farmer intuition should be accepted, respected, and actively enhanced.

For too long, agricultural research has seen intuition as non-scientific and problematic (van Eijk, 1998). The growing recognition that it deserves more focused attention from researchers and farmers does not imply that farmers should use their analytical skills less, or that research into the analytic decision processes of farmers should discontinue. However, there is a gap in understanding how to support farmers to confidently and consciously use their intuition. Such

#### **Outlook on Agriculture**

support would be especially important for small-scale and subsistence farmers who may nothave access to external tools (Boateng, 2006).

Because farmers generally prefer quick and simple vs. detailed and elaborate analysis, and lean towards incremental implementation (Öhlmér et al., 1998), cognitive analysis is favourable when tasks are analytically simple, yet, as analytical complexity increases, intuition becomes more advantageous, being quick and effortless (Hogarth, 2010). This is recognised by some industry advisors, such as the whole farm/ranch planning framework developed by Holistic Management International. Of their seven tests that a holistic management decision should pass, the last and most important is the "gut check", which asks "not what you think, but how you feel about an action or decision" (HMI, 2013).

*3.3 Potential challenges of relying on intuition* 

That intuition is not easily verbalized presents a potential problem for farms with large management structures and teams, as the whole team needs to be aware that this ability is being consciously used (Öhlmér, 2007). Composition of the management team in terms of levels of expertise would impact how intuitive insights are shared in the team, and those with greater managerial responsibility may require a better developed intuitive ability, which needs to reflect in clear roles and responsibilities within the team (Salas et al. 2009).

Khatri and Ng (2000) point out that an intuitive decision-maker may be accused of being overly
influenced by emotions. While intuitive decisions are not emotional per se, they can be affected
by the subtle priming of emotions (Hogarth, 2010). According to Bolte et al. (2003), a positive
mood improves intuitive coherence judgments, whereas the performance level of intuition,

while in a negative mood, can be equal to chance. Kahneman (2003) highlights the importance of managing one's emotional triggers and bias, as also pointed out by (Nuthall and Old, 2018).

Hogarth (2010) suggests that reliance on intuition may be dysfunctional if the environment in which it is used is significantly different to the one in which the intuitive ability was trained, and that people's intuition cannot be trained to handle situations with which they not are familiar. Yet it plays a role in creative decision making in new, dynamic or complex situations, such as is typically experienced in agroecological systems, and novices have strong intuitions that could be fostered (Salas et al., 2009). So, honing intuition in any environment might be a helpful tool for farmers with little or no prior experience, such as young or entrant farmers. Intuition may be fallible, and the true success rate of intuition is unknown (Salas et al., 2009; Hogarth, 2010). However, when used frequently over time and integrating reflective processes, farmers become more adept at trusting their intuition, increasing in confidence and reliability (Sadler-Smith and Shefy, 2007; Lufityanto et al., 2016).

3.4 Developing intuition: the role of personal development and nature connectedness 

Based on extensive research, the handbook The Intuitive Farmer: Inspiring Management Success (Nuthall, 2016) offers principles and practices for improving intuition for farm management, and is presented in an accessible narrative format. Here, high managerial ability requires excellent technical knowledge in the first instance, but knowing how to apply decision methods that lead to success is critical. 'Informed intuition' requires experiencing appropriate lessons repeatedly, together with reviewing efficient decisions by both oneself and others. Developing confident and informed intuition depends on gaining practical experience, developing observation and anticipation skills, practicing structured reflection and self-

309 critique, as well as consulting with professionals, friends and family for both personal and310 professional feedback.

People vary in their intuitive abilities, due to genetics, upbringing and bias, but most humans have the ability to engage in reflexive processes, which are crucial to developing informed intuition (Nuthall and Old, 2018). The importance of personal transformation in developing intuition, which includes learning to manage emotions and bias which might influence intuition, has been emphasized by various authors. The most effective techniques for personal transformation include journaling, meditation (particularly Transcendental Meditation), practicing mindfulness, and developing somatic awareness through tactile experiences and movement skills and routines (van Eijk 1998; Sadler-Smith and Shefy, 2007; Nonaka and van Krogh, 2009; Kieft 2015). The Somatics Toolkit offers a movement-based methodology designed to incorporate, and learn from, the body as a research tool (see http://somaticstoolkit.coventry.ac.uk). 

35 323

Intriguingly, some biodynamic farmers are more comfortable with speaking about their feelings and the concept of intuition than are other organic farmers (Anja Vieweger, personal observation). Steiner (1967, 1995), founder of biodynamic agriculture, considered intuition the highest stage of non-physical perception, and pivotal to the examining of one's own thoughts in the quest for self-awareness. In agreement with Steiner, prominent western philosophers since the 17th century, including Henri Bergson (Bergson, 1911), Karl Popper (Jarvie et al., 2006) and Baruch de Spinoza (van Eijk, 2019) have described intuition as a method to attain deeper or higher knowledge.

<sup>55</sup> 332

333 While biodynamic certification for farms only regulates physical practice requirements, the 334 theory behind biodynamics provides systematic guidelines for self-observation and for developing intuition (von Diest, 2019). Steiner's (1995) '*hineinversetzung*' - placing one's
awareness as if through the eyes of other beings and observing what happens inside oneself is similar to using the entire human constitution to 'sense subtle energies' within the agroecological landscape (Kieft, 2006, 2015, 2019).

Interestingly, farmers say they feel better and/or healthier when practising intuitive farming,
and feel more connected with their community and nature (Kieft, 2006; Nuthall and Old, 2018).
Sadler-Smith and Shefy (2007) suggest that 'the feeling' that an environment induces is
important in training intuition, and note other positive outcomes, such as improved selfconfidence, inter- and intra-personal sensitivity and metacognition.

Nature connectedness is promising for improvements to farmer health and resilience, and the interrelated health and resilience of agroecosystems of which they are a part (Simaika and Samways, 2018). As individuals have regular experiences of oneness with nature, a gradual and long-lasting shift in attitude towards nature and a more ecological worldview is facilitated, enabling a paradigm shift from a more positivist one in which the farmer/human is a steward of nature, to perhaps a more mystical one in which farmers/humans feel unified with the rest of nature (van Eijk, 1998). This bears in mind that connectedness with nature is a holistic process that goes beyond only obtaining information about nature, and provides motivation and a reliable predictor for environmentally responsible behaviour (Zylstra, 2014). Nature connectedness may thus enable farmers to be aware of, and manage, their emotional triggers, as well as think more creatively, which in turn, would benefit both analytic and intuitive thinking. 

#### 359 4. Appropriate methodologies for future research

Page 37 of 44

#### **Outlook on Agriculture**

Assuming farmers require and/or want research support in developing intuition, research methodologies that embrace farmer intuition would need to be both respectful and inclusive of different ways of knowing, and centralise the need for endogenous knowledge development in a given culture or region (van Eijk, 1998; ETC-COMPAS, 2007; COMPAS/UDS, 2008), such as approaches applied in the integrative scientific discipline and movement of agroecology (Pimbert, 2015). Here, researchers are co-inquirers in a reciprocal relationship with study participants (rather than subjects) (Chilisa, 2012; Curry and Kirwan, 2014; Madjidi, 2014). Of course, intuition on the part of the researcher would provide a latent resource to make key decisions in developing the research process (van Eijk, 1998; Madjidi, 2014; Rosenberg, 2017). 

If nature connectedness is involved in, or helps with, refining an intuitive connection for development of regenerative farm practices, there may be benefits in borrowing from fields of study like ecological psychology (informed by deep ecology) (Roszak et al., 1995), multi-species ethnography (Kirksey and Helmreich, 2010), animism (Harding, 2015) and ecofluency (von Diest, 2019). Studies like those of Madjidi (2014), Zylstra (2014) and van Eijk (1998), which use such approaches, provide theories and methodologies for facilitation and support, for both individual and group processes towards personal and collective transformation and evolution.

## **5. Summary and conclusions**

381 Research shows that challenges to farm management are more complex and site-specific than can 382 be accurately represented by standardised scientific models favoured by mainstream agriculture, 383 and management decisions by analytical methods. Management decisions often require quick and 384 accurate forecasts for complex situations that are seldom formally available. As cognitive analysis 385 takes longer and cannot fully calculate realistic risk, farmers must often rely on intuition. Intuition allows access to tacit knowledge, which, although not externalised, offers insight into holistic,

 Although not new to farmers, intuition is a relatively new concept in agricultural research. The few existing studies on this topic agree that many farmers have well-developed intuition, resulting in significant benefits, and all agree on the need to focus research on supporting farmers to develop their intuition. This is not to replace, but rather to complement farmers' analytical processes. Importance of managing emotions and personal development are emphasized in the intuition development process, as well as the potential for improved connectedness with nature.

What is needed is not more knowledge, but better knowing. If more farmers were to consciously and confidently leverage the latent, free resource of their intuition, they may be empowered to more easily make ecologically cohesive management decisions tailored to any given situation. This could help re-embed farmers centrally within the agroecosystem, as the necessary step beyond them simply being perceived as recipients of external knowledge and acting as objective managers of farm systems. Focusing research on the emergent field of intuitive farming, offers stimulus for the paradigm shift called for to reinvigorate resilient agriculture.

44 404

## **References**

- 406 Altieri MA (1995) Agroecology: the science of sustainable agriculture. Boulder, CO:
  407 Westview Press.
- 408 Apusigah AA (2011) Indigenous Knowledge, Cultural Values and Sustainable Development
   409 in Africa. Paper presented at the 2<sup>nd</sup> Annual Ibadan Sustainable Development Summit,
   410 Nigeria, August 2011.
- <sup>60</sup> 411 Bergson H (1911) Creative Evolution, Mitchell A (tr.). New York, NY: Henry Holt and

1		
2 3	412	Company.
4 5 6	413	Boateng W (2006) Knowledge management working tool for agricultural extension: the case
7 8	414	of Ghana. Knowledge Management for Development Journal 2: 19-29.
9 10	415	Bolte A, Goschke T and Kuhl J (2003) Emotion and intuition: Effects of positive and negative
11 12	416	mood on implicit judgments of semantic coherence. Psychological Science 14: 416-421.
13 14 15	417	Bramley RGV (2009) Lessons from nearly 20 years of Precision Agriculture research,
16 17	418	development, and adoption as a guide to its appropriate application. Crop Pasture Science
18 19	419	60: 197-217.
20 21 22	420	Chilisa B (2012) Indigenous Research Methodologies. Los Angeles, CA: Sage Publications.
22 23 24	421	Chin-Yee B and Fuller J (2018) Clinical judgement: Multidisciplinary perspectives. Journal of
25 26	422	Evaluation in Clinical Practice 24: 635-637.
27 28	423	Code J (2018) Alternative agriculture: Innovations for growing and cultivating diverse ways of
29 30 31	424	knowing. In Zeunert J and Waterman T (eds) Routledge Handbook of Landscape and
32 33	425	Food. London: Routledge, pp. 125-137
34 35	426	COMPAS/UDS (2008) Endogenous development in Africa: Towards a systematisation of
36 37 20	427	experiences, Millar D, Apusigah AA and Boonzaijer C (eds). Leusden: COMPAS/UDS.
39 40	428	Curry N and Kirwan J (2014) The role of tacit knowledge in developing networks for
41 42	429	sustainable agriculture. Sociologia Ruralis 54: 341-361.
43 44	430	Dane E and Pratt M (2007) Exploring intuition and its role in managerial decision making.
45 46 47	431	Academy of Management Review 32: 35–54.
48 49	432	Döring TF, Vieweger A, Pautasso M, Vaarst M, Finckh MR and Wolfe MS (2013) Resilience
50 51	433	as a universal criterion of health. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 95: 455-
52 53 54	434	465.
55 56	435	Douthwaite B, Keatinge JHD and Park JR (2001) Why promising technologies fail: the
57 58	436	neglected role of user innovation during adoption. Research Policy 30: 819-836.
59 60	437	Eastwood CR, Chapman DF and Paine MS (2006) From intuition to information - Management

2 3	438
4	/30
6	439
7 8	440
9 10	441
11 12	442
13 14	443
15 16	444
17 18	115
19 20	443
21 22	446
23 24	447
25 26	448
27 28 20	449
29 30 31	450
32 33	451
34 35	452
36 37	453
38 39	151
40 41	434
42 43	455
44 45	456
46 47	457
48 49	458
50 51	459
52 53	460
54 55	461
56 57	101
58 59	462
60	

information systems in Australian dairy farming. In: Computers in Agriculture and

- Natural Resources: Proceedings of the 4th World Congress, Orlando, Florida, USA, July
- 2006.

- Eastwood CR, Chapman DF and Paine MS (2012) Networks of practice for co-construction of
- agricultural decision support systems: Case studies of precision dairy farms in Australia.
- Agricultural Systems 108: 10-18.
- ETC-COMPAS (2007) Endogenous development in practice: towards well-being of people and ecosystems, Van t' Hooft K (ed). Leusden: ETC-COMPAS.
- Roszak T, Gomes ME and Kanner AD (eds.) (1995) Ecopsychology: Restoring the Earth Healing the Mind. San Francisco, CA: Sierra Club Books.
- Haraway D (1988) Situated knowledges: The science question in feminism and the privilege of partial perspective. Feminist Studies 14: 575-599.
- Harding SP (2015) Towards an Animistic Science of the Earth. In Handbook of Contemporary Animism, Harvey G (ed). London: Routledge.
- Harvey MG and Novicevic MN (2002) The hypercompetitive global marketplace: the importance of intuition and creativity in expatriate managers. Journal of World Business 37: 127-138.
- Haverkort B (2010) The inter-university initiative CAPTURED: Bridging worldviews, ways of learning and ways of knowing. Journal of Ayurveda and Integrative Medicine 1: 56-62.
- HMI (Holistic Management International) (2013) Holistic Management Whole Farm/Ranch
- Planning System. https://holisticmanagement.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/02/HM-
- System-Highlights.a.pdf. Accessed 15 November 2018.
- Hochman Z and Carberry PS (2011) Emerging consensus on desirable characteristics of tools to support farmers' management of climate risk in Australia. Agricultural Systems 104: 441-450.

3	46
4 5 6	46
7 8	46
9 10	46
11 12	46
13 14 15	46
16 17	46
18 19	47
20 21 22	47
22 23 24	47
25 26	47
27 28	47
29 30 31	47
32 33	47
34 35	47
36 37	47
38 39 40	47
40 41 42	48
43 44	48
45 46	48
47 48 49	48
50 51	48
52 53	48
54 55	48
56 57 58	48
58 59	тС

463 Hodgkinson GP, Langan-Fox J, and Sadler-Smith E (2008) Intuition: A fundamental bridging
464 construct in the behavioural sciences. *British Journal of Psychology* 99: 1–27.

- 465 IAASTD (International Assessment of Agricultural Knowledge, Science and Technology for
- 466 Development). (2009) Agriculture at a crossroads: A synthesis of the global and sub-
- 467 global IAASTD reports, McIntyre BD, Herren HR, Wakhungu J and Watson RT (eds).
- 468 Washington, DC: Island Press.
- 469 Jarvie I, Milford K, Miller D (eds.) (2006) Karl Popper: A Centenary Assessment Vol III:
   470 Science. Aldershot: Ashgate Publishing.
- 471 Kahneman D (2003) Maps of bounded rationality: Psychology for behavioral economics.
   472 American Economic Review 93: 1449-1475.
- 473 Khatri N and Ng. HA (2000) The role of intuition in strategic decision making. *Human* 474 *Relations* 53: 57-86.
- 475 Kieft H (2006) Quantum Agriculture: bridging frontline physics and intuitive knowledge of
   476 nature? In: *Moving Worldviews, reshaping sciences, policies and practices for endogenous* 477 *sustainable development*, Haverkort B and Reijntjes C (eds). Leusden: Compas Leusden.
   478 pp. 209-218.
- 479 Kieft H (2015) Intuitive farming: Towards a new vision on nature. Proceedings of the XI
   480 International People-Plant Symposium on Diversity: Towards a New Vision on Nature.
   481 Acta Horticulturae 1093: 179-194.
- 482 Kieft H (2019) *Quantum Leaps in Agriculture*. LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing.
- 483 Kirksey E and Helmreich S (2010) "The Emergence of Multispecies Ethnography." From the
  484 Editorial Office, Cultural Anthropology website, June 14, 2010.
  485 https://culanth.org/fieldsights/277-the-emergence-of-multispecies-ethnography. Accessed
  486 24 September 2017.
- 487 Knowler D and Bradshaw B (2007) Farmers' adoption of conservation agriculture: A review
  60 488 and synthesis of recent research. *Food Policy* 32: 25–48.

489	Lufityanto G, Donkin C and Pearson J (2016) Measuring intuition: Nonconscious emotional
490	information boosts decision accuracy and confidence. <i>Psychological Science</i> 27: 622–634.
491	Lunneryd D (2003) Unique decision making with focus on information use. The case of
492	converting to organic milk production. Acta Universitatis Agriculturae Suecia; Agraria
493	405, SLU, Uppsala, Sweden. (http://epsilon.slu.se/index.html).
494	Lynch T, Gregor S and Midmore D (2000) Intelligent support systems in agriculture: how can
495	we do better? Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture 40: 609-620.
496	Makondo CC and Thomas DSG (2018) Linking indigenous knowledge with western science
497	for effective adaptation. Environmental Science and Policy 88: 83-91.
498	McCown RL, Carberry PS, Dalgliesh NP, Foale MA and Hochman Z (2012) Farmers use
499	intuition to reinvent analytic decision support for managing seasonal climatic variability.
500	Agricultural Systems 106: 33–45.
501	Nonaka I and van Krogh G (2009) Tacit knowledge and knowledge conversion: Controversy
502	and advancement in organizational knowledge creation theory. Organization Science 20:
503	635-652.
504	Nuthall PL (2012) The intuitive world of farmers – The case of grazing management systems
505	and experts. Agricultural Systems 107: 65–73.
506	Nuthall PL (2013) The Intuitive Farmer: Inspiring Management Success. Portland, OR: 5M
507	Publishing.
508	Nuthall PL and Old KM (2018) Intuition, the farmers' primary decision process. A review and
509	analysis. Journal of Rural Studies 58: 28-38.
510	OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) (2012) Farmer
511	Behaviour, Agricultural Management and Climate Change. Paris: OECD Publishing.
512	Öhlmér B (2007) The Need and Design of Computerized Farm Management Tools – Lessons
513	Learned from a Swedish Case. Working Paper Series 2007:5. Department of Economics,
514	SLU, Uppsala. ( <u>https://pub.epsilon.slu.se/3027/</u> ).
	<ul> <li>489</li> <li>490</li> <li>491</li> <li>492</li> <li>493</li> <li>494</li> <li>495</li> <li>496</li> <li>497</li> <li>498</li> <li>499</li> <li>500</li> <li>501</li> <li>502</li> <li>503</li> <li>504</li> <li>505</li> <li>506</li> <li>507</li> <li>508</li> <li>509</li> <li>510</li> <li>511</li> <li>512</li> <li>513</li> <li>514</li> </ul>

1		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 112 13 14 15 16 7 8 9 10 112 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 112 13 14 15 16 7 8 9 20 21 22 32 4 5 6 7 8 9 30 112 34 5 6 7 8 9 10 112 34 5 6 7 8 9 10 112 34 5 6 7 8 9 10 112 34 5 6 7 8 9 10 112 34 5 6 7 8 9 10 112 34 5 6 7 8 9 10 112 34 5 6 7 8 9 10 112 34 5 6 7 8 9 10 112 34 5 6 7 8 9 10 112 34 5 6 7 8 9 10 112 34 5 6 7 8 9 10 112 34 5 6 7 8 9 10 112 34 5 6 7 8 9 10 112 34 5 6 7 8 9 31 22 34 5 6 7 8 9 31 32 33 34 35 36 7 8 9 0 112 34 5 6 7 8 9 0 112 34 5 8 9 0 112 34 5 6 7 8 9 0 112 34 5 8 9 0 112 3 3 10 3 12 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 112 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 112 3 3 4 5 8 9 0 112 3 3 4 5 8 9 0 12 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	515	Öhlmér B, Olson K and Brehmer B (1998) Understanding farmers' decision making processes
	516	and improving managerial assistance. Agricultural Economics 18: 273-290.
	517	Parry GA (2005) Native wisdom in a quantum world. Shift 9: 29-33.
	518	Paxton R, Klimek M, Vieweger A, Döring T, Bloch R, Bachinger J and Woodward L (2017)
	519	The role of intuition in managing organic farm system health. In: Innovative Research for
	520	Organic 3.0 - Volume 1: Proceedings of the Scientific Track at the Organic World
	521	Congress 2017, Rahmann et al. G (eds) November, 2017, Delhi, India. Thünen Report,
	522	No. 54,1.
	523	Pimbert M (2015) Agroecology as an alternative vision to conventional development and
	524	climate-smart agriculture. Development 58: 286–298.
	525	Prokopy LS, Floress K, Klotthor-Weinkauf D and Baumgart-Getz A (2008) Determinants of
	526	agricultural best management practice adoption: Evidence from the literature. Journal of
	527	Soil and Water Conservation September 63: 300-311.
	528	Robert M, Dury J, Thomas A, Therond O, Sekhar M, Badiger A, Ruiz L and Bergez J-E (2016)
	529	CMFDM: A methodology to guide the design of a conceptual model of farmers' decision-
	530	making processes. Agricultural Systems 148: 86-94.
	531	Rosenberg LL (2017) Turi kumwe (we are together): A transdisciplinary exploration of the
	532	Burundian specialty coffee sector and its sustainability challenges. PhD Dissertation.
	533	Stellenbosch University, South Africa.
46 47	534	Sadler-Smith E and Shefy E (2007) Developing intuitive awareness in management education.
48 49	535	Academy of Management Learning & Education 6: 186-205.
50 51 52	536	Salas E, Rosen MA and DiazGranados D (2009) Expertise-based intuition and decision making
53 54	537	in organizations. Journal of Management 36: 941-973.
55 56	538	Simaika JP and M Samways M (2018) Insect conservation psychology. Journal of Insect
57 58	539	Conservation 22: 635-642.
59 60	540	Steiner R (1967) The Stages of Higher Knowledge. Hudson: Anthroposophic Press.

- Steiner R (1995) Intuitive Thinking as a Spiritual Path; A Philosophy of Freedom. Centennial Edition. Hudson: Anthroposophic Press. UNCTAD (United Nations Conference on Trade and Development) (2013) Trade and Environment Review. Wake up before it is too late: Make agriculture truly sustainable for food security in a changing climate. United Nations Publication. now (unctad.org/en/PublicationsLibrary/ditcted2012d3 en.pdf). Van Eijk T (1998) Farming Systems Research and Spirituality. PhD dissertation. Wageningen University, the Netherlands. Van Eijk T (2019) Spinoza in the light of spiritual development. Lulu.com Vangala RNK, Hiremath BN and Banerjee A (2014) A theoretical framework for knowledge management in Indian agricultural organizations. Article 6, In: Proceedings of the 2014 International Conference on Information and Communication Technology for Competitive
  - *Strategies*, November 2014, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India.
  - Von Diest SG (2019) Could biodynamics help bridge the gap in developing farmer intuition?
  - 5 555 *Open Agriculture* 4: 391-399.
  - 556 Zylstra MJ, Knight AT, Esler KJ and Lesley LLL (2014) Connectedness as a core conservation
     557 concern: An interdisciplinary review of theory and a call for practice. *Springer Science* 558 *Review* 2: 119–143.

https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/oag