- 1 Birdsbesafe® collar cover reduces bird predation by domestic cats (Felis catus)
- 2 Catherine Pemberton and Graeme D Ruxton*
- 3 School of Biology, University of St Andrews, Dyer's Brae House, St Andrews, Fife KY16 9TH,
- 4 UK
- 5 *gr41@st-andrews.ac.uk
- 6 Key words: wild birds, pet cats, Felis catus, bird conservation, anti-predation device.
- 7 ABSTRACT
- 8 The domestic cat (Felis catus) is one of the world's most damaging invasive species,
- 9 especially threatening to local bird populations. This study examined the efficacy of the
- 10 Birdsbesafe® collar cover at reducing predation rates by household cats on avian
- populations. The vivid colours of the Birdsbesafe® collar cover are assumed to alert nearby
- birds to the cat's presence, allowing them to escape safely. Overall, 19 cats were trialled
- over 8 weeks and the Birdsbesafe® collar cover resulted in a reduction of 78% in the number
- of birds killed. Further research is required into owner attitudes to collars in order to
- 15 examine whether these collar-based devices could be widely adopted.

16 <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- 17 Domestic cats (Felis catus) are often considered one of the most damaging invasive species
- 18 globally (Lowe et al., 2000), having been transported widely by humans as companions and
- 19 to control pests (Fitzgerald and Turner, 2000). Cats have had extensive impacts on wildlife
- 20 populations all over the world (Doherty et al., 2016). Anti-predation devices are recent
- 21 inventions that have been designed to reduce impacts of domestic cats. Bells fixed to cats'

collars can be an effective device at reducing predation rates (e.g. Ruxton et al. 2002, Nelson 22 et al. 2005; Gordon et al. 2010; but see Barratt 1998 for a counter-example). 23 24 Other measures designed to reduce predation by cats include the CatBib™, a coloured 25 neoprene bib that attaches to a collar and falls over the front of the cat. It is designed to act as a barrier between the cat and bird, increasing the difficulty of catching prey (Cat Goods 26 27 LLC, 2014). Trials have shown decreases in predation rates from an 81% reduction for birds and 45% for mammals with or without a bell in addition to the CatBib[™] (Calver *et al.*, 2007). 28 Further anti-predation devices include the CatAlert[™], a sonic beeping device attached to 29 30 the collar. It aims to alert surrounding wildlife to the cats' presence, thus making it difficult for the cat to successfully surprise, catch and kill prey (Moggies, 2018). Studies have shown 31 this device to either have no significant effect on reducing mammalian kills but reduce 32 avian kills by nearly 50% (Clark, 1999), or have reduced mammalian kills by nearly 40% and 33 birds by over 50% (Nelson et al. 2005). 34 35 Although each of these devices have been designed to alert birds and have been shown to 36 reduce predation rates, each has accompanying issues such as the possibility that cats 37 adjust their behaviour to bells (Nelson, Evans and Bradbury, 2005) or cat welfare problems (Calver et al., 2007). Thus, the development of an effective and easy-to-apply anti-predation 38 measure has continued to be researched. The most recent device to be introduced is the 39 40 Birdsbesafe collar cover. It is a 50cm long, 5cm wide, piece of fabric with a reflective trim along the edges comprised of bright colours. It is designed to exploit birds' colour vision 41 42 while remaining flexible to allow movement for grooming and eating without constraint. It is recommended that a quick-release collar is used with the Birdsbesafe® collar cover for 43

- safety, so the cat can be released when caught by its collar on any obstacles (Birdsbesafe LLC, 2019).
- 46 To date only two studies, in New York and Australia, have been conducted assessing the effects of the Birdsbesafe® collar cover., Willson et al. (20015) compared six weeks with the 47 48 birdsbesafe collar cover to a similar period of no collar and found that there was a reduction 49 of around 95% in the number of birds killed in the spring and 70% in the autumn. The Australian study, comparing three weeks with and three weeks without the Birdsbesafe® 50 collar cover applied showed a decrease of 55% in the first year of herpetofauna and birds 51 52 returned to the owner's home, and a decrease of 25% in the second year (Hall et al., 2015). 53 The present study assessed the efficacy of this collar cover under northern European conditions; where cat ownership is high, and a recent extensive survey has suggested that 54 their impact on garden birds can be considerable (Pavisse et al. 2019). Unlike bells for 55 example, the Birdsbesafe collar cover works as a visual signal, so might be expected to be 56 sensitive to detail of the background it is seen against and the ambient light levels. Both of 57 58 these in turn will be influenced by the nature of the environment and prevailing weather 59 conditions. Of particular relevance here we expect housing density (and thus garden size and home-ranges of cats) to be different in our study to previous ones – affecting visual 60 aspects of the vegetative structures against which the signal is commonly seen. We also 61 expect differences in weather, day length, and canopy closure to influence ambient light 62

METHODS

levels.

63

64

65

66

The study ran over the autumn/winter period between August-October 2018 in the Isle of Man (UK) and August-December 2018 in Scotland. Participants were recruited through advertisements on

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

social media, at vets, wildlife parks and through staff at the University of St Andrews. They were only accepted if they considered that their cat brought home, on average, at least one bird per fortnight. Trials lasted for a total of 8 continuous weeks during which participants were randomly assigned to a treatment group. No previous studies have assessed if a normal collar impacts predation rates so it was decided that it could be a control condition for some cats as an alternative to no collar (whichever was normal for that cat). Group 1 wore the birdsbesafe collar for four weeks followed by control conditions for four weeks; this order was reversed in Group 2. All participants were asked to use a quick release collar with the Birdsbesafe® collar cover and to remove bells for the entire study period. If the Birdsbesafe® collar cover was lost during the four weeks, it was replaced as soon as possible and owners were asked to note down any prey that had been caught in the period when the Birdsbesafe® collar cover was not worn. Birds that were eaten completely or not returned home were not accounted for in this study. Owners were asked to report the number of birds that were returned home only and not to attempt to identify them to species. Participants had an optional survey they could fill in, assessing their attitudes towards the Birdsbesafe® collar cover. This included if they would continue to use a Birdsbesafe® collar cover after the study and any behavioural changes observed to their cat(s). The age and sex of the cats were not recorded as multiple households had more than one cat and not all owners could attribute kills to a specific cat. Cat colouration was also excluded from our investigation as it appears to have no impact on predation success of domestic cats (Brown, 2018). All cats in a household were randomised to the same treatment order. In households with multiple cats participating, some owners could not confidently report which cat had caught the prey. Analysis of that data was therefore conducted per household, not per cat. Owners that felt confident they could assign kills to a specific cat in their household had each cat represented separately in analyses. Any fledglings caught were excluded from the analysis as they were less able to escape an impending attack than adult birds. The distribution of predation rates could not be well described by a normal

(or any other commonly used) statistical distribution, so a non-parametric Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to compare predation rates between attachment of the Birdsbesafe® collar cover and the control.

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

92

93

94

RESULTS

Overall, there were 18 cats from seven households in Fife and 11 cats from six different households in the Isle of Man in our study. However, two households contributing 3 cats in Fife and three households contributing 4 cats from the Isle of Man were removed from the study as they recorded no prey caught. From the Isle of Man households, one participant had one cat that refused to wear the collar out of a total of two. The other cat remained in the study as the owner was certain of their cats' kills. Another participant with 2 cats was removed as they stopped communicating several weeks into the study. This gave final sample sizes of 15 cats from five households in Fife and 4 cats from two households in the Isle of Man leading to a total of 19 cats for Great Britain. Given the small sample size, and that the trial was not motivated by study of fine-scale geographic variation, we analyse this as a combined data-set. The Birdsbesafe® collar cover had a significant effect on the number of birds caught (Wilcoxon signed rank test: V=45, p-value = 0.008). There were 8 birds caught whilst wearing the Birdsbesafe® collar cover, compared to 36 birds when it was not applied. This led to an average capture rate for each cat over the four weeks of 0.44 birds whilst wearing the Birdsbesafe® collar cover, and 1.89 birds when it was not worn. This equated to a decrease of 77.8% over the four weeks. Responses were returned from 7 of the 12 owners who completed the study. Around 64% of cats adjusted to the collar cover in less than a day, with only one cat taking several weeks and another refusing to wear the collar cover. Overall, 86% (6 owners) of participants stated that they would continue to use the Birdsbesafe® collar cover in the future. However, one participant stated that

although they wished to use the Birdsbesafe® collar cover, they would not continue to replace it due to its cost and it repeatedly becoming lost. This occurred because of the quick-release collars underneath releasing, which caused the collar to become lost and the Birdsbesafe® collar cover inevitably as well. Three owners stated that they liked the visibility that the collar cover offered to drivers of motor vehicles. The owner who did not wish to use the Birdsbesafe® collar cover after the study cited the main reason to be the recurrent loss of the collar. This was unsurprising given that during the trial, 11 of the 13 original participants all experienced losses of the collar. There were no reports of any discomfort requiring veterinary attention during the study period.

DISCUSSION

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

Our study suggests that the Birdsbesafe® collar cover could be effective at reducing predation rates on birds by owned domestic cats under North European conditions. Our estimate that this measure reduced predation by 78% is not dissimilar to the 50% and 83% reported in previous studies of this collar cover (Hall et al., 2015; Willson et al., 2015). t is important to note, however, that the decrease observed in this study applies only to birds that the cat has brought home. All prey caught will not be returned, as some may be eaten at the point of capture or be too big to carry home. However, given that there were no large changes in behaviour observed by any of the owners, there appears no evidence that wearing the Birdsbesafe® collar cover would influence their cats' decision to bring their prey home. Future studies (perhaps using on-board cameras) could usefully test this directly. There is no doubt that studies like ours that use prey returned home to estimate predation rates by owned domestic cats will provide conservative estimates, but how conservative these are remains unclear. The short duration over which the studies run may influence results; For example, seasonality is known to impact predation rates. Our estimates can be considered conservative in that we disregarded fledgling birds from our study, which occurred in autumn/winter. Seasonal variation is presence of migrant birds may also be relevant. Although our small sample size and short trial duration were sufficient to suggest a strong reduction in predation due to the application of a

collar cover, a larger-scale study would allow more confident estimation of the strength of this effect. Further, a longer-duration study would allow evaluation of whether the effectiveness of the collar cover declines as a result of cats changing their foraging behaviours to mitigate their effects. Larger scale studies might also explore effects of sex, neutering and age possible co-variates. Although our results add to the evidence that the Birdsbesafe collar cover can be effective, there are challenges to achieving widespread uptake. At present the retail price of this product (£7.99 in the UK) is considerably more expensive than a bell (Birdsbesafe LLC, 2019). Both bells and the Birdsbesafe® collar cover require mounting on a collar, and there is insufficient information on the opinions of cat owners towards collars and the prevalence of their use (Harrod et al. 2015). It is likely that some owners may consider that microchipping removes the need for a collar-based identity tag. Further, owners may consider discomfort to the cat, recurrent losses of breakaway collars, or injury or snagging if the collar fails to release as reasons not to use a collar. , in international comparisons, UK residents were least likely to view cats killing wildlife as a serious problem, and least willing to support legislation to reduce cat-impacts on wildlife (Hall et al. 2016). This suggests that—in addition to the other factors mentioned already—owner attitudes in the UK may represent a significant impediment to the widespread uptake of Birdsbesafe collar covers in that country. As such, studies assessing attitudes towards collars and changing perceptions would be welcomed to allow understanding of whether collar-based devices would be applicable in the future.

159

160

161

162

163

164

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

Acknowledgement

We thank two referees for very valuable comments

<u>References</u>

Barratt, DG 1998 Predation by house cats, Felis catus (L.), in Canberra, Australia. II. Factors affecting the amount of prey caught and estimates of the impact on wildlife. Wildlife Research 25, 475-487

165 Birdsbesafe® LLC. (2019) 'Birdsbesafe®'. Available from: https://www.birdsbesafe.com/ [cited 10th 166 March 2019]. 167 Brown, F. (2018) Conspicuous cats do not catch fewer prey: coat colour and hunting success in free-168 ranging domestic cats (Felis catus). Bangor University. 169 Calver, M., Thomas, S., Bradley, S. and McCutcheon, H. (2007) 'Reducing the rate of predation on 170 wildlife by pet cats: The efficacy and practicability of collar-mounted pounce protectors', Biological Conservation, 137(3), pp. 341–348. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2007.02.015. 171 172 Cat Goods, LLC. (2014) 'The CatBib- stops cats from killing birds'. Available from: 173 https://catgoods.com// [Accessed 10th March 2019] 174 Clark, N. A. (1999) Progress Report on the Effectiveness of the Mark II CatAlertTM Collar at Reducing 175 Predation by Domestic Cats, British Trust for Ornithology. https://www.bto.org/sites/default/files/shared documents/publications/research-176 reports/1999/rr235.pdf 177 178 Doherty, T. S., Glen, A. S., Nimmo, D. G., Ritchie, E. G. and Dickman, C. R. (2016) 'Invasive predators and global biodiversity loss', Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113(40), pp. 11261-179 180 11265. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1602480113. Fitzgerald, B.M., Turner D.C. Hunting behaviour of domestic cats and their impact on prey 181 182 populations. in Turner D.C., Bateson, P., (2nd eds). The Domestic Cat: The biology of its behaviour. 183 Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2000. 151-177. 184 Gordon, J. K., Matthaei, C. and Van Heezik, Y. (2010) 'Belled collars reduce catch of domestic cats in New Zealand by half', Wildlife Research, 37(5), pp. 372–378. doi: 10.1071/WR09127. 185 186 Hall, C. M., Fontaine, J. B., Bryant, K. A. and Calver, M. C. (2015) 'Assessing the effectiveness of the 187 Birdsbesafe® anti-predation collar cover in reducing predation on wildlife by pet cats in Western 188 Australia', Applied Animal Behaviour Science. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2015.01.004.

189 Hall, C.M., Bryant, K.A., Fontaine, J.B. and Calver, M.C., (2016) Do collar-mounted predation 190 deterrents restrict wandering in pet domestic cats?. Applied Animal Behaviour Science, 176, pp.96-191 104. 192 Harrod, M., Keown, A. J. and Farnworth, M. J. (2015) 'Use and perception of collars for companion 193 cats in New Zealand', New Zealand Veterinary Journal, 64(2), pp. 121–124. doi: 10.1080/00480169.2015.1110064. 194 195 Lowe, S., Browne, M., Boudjelas, S. and De Poorter, M. (2000) '100 of the World's Worst Invasive 196 Alien Species: A selection from the Global Invasive Species Database.', The Invasive Species Specialist Group (ISSG) a specialist group of the Species Survival Commission (SSC) of the World Conservation 197 198 Union (IUCN), p. 12. 199 Moggies (2018) 'Cat Products'. Available from: 200 http://www.moggies.co.uk/html/newprod.html#catalert 201 Nelson, S. H., Evans, A. D. and Bradbury, R. B. (2005) 'The efficacy of collar-mounted devices in 202 reducing the rate of predation of wildlife by domestic cats', Applied Animal Behaviour Science, 94, 203 pp. 273-285. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2005.04.003. 204 Pavisse, R., Vangeluwe, D. and Clergeau, P. (2019) Domestic Cat predation on garden birds: an 205 analysis from European ringing programmes. Ardea, 107(1), pp.103-109. 206 Ruxton, G. D., Thomas, S. and Wright, J. W. (2002) 'Bells reduce predation of wildlife by domestic 207 cats (Felis catus)', Journal of Zoology, 256(1), pp. 81–83. doi: 10.1017/S0952836902000109. 208 Willson, S. K., Okunlola, I. A. and Novak, J. A. (2015) 'Birds be safe: Can a novel cat collar reduce 209 avian mortality by domestic cats (Felis catus)?', Global Ecology and Conservation, 3, pp. 359–366. 210 doi: 10.1016/j.gecco.2015.01.004.