



## LJMU Research Online

Li, Y, Yu, L, Wang, Q, Zhao, X, Li, X and Qi, B

**Analysis of the Promoter of Emb5 from Zea mays Identifies a Region of 523 bp Responsible for Its Embryo-Specific Activity**

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/13833/>

### Article

**Citation** (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

**Li, Y, Yu, L, Wang, Q, Zhao, X, Li, X and Qi, B (2020) Analysis of the Promoter of Emb5 from Zea mays Identifies a Region of 523 bp Responsible for Its Embryo-Specific Activity. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter. ISSN 0735-9640**

LJMU has developed **LJMU Research Online** for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.


The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact [researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk](mailto:researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk)

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>



# Analysis of the Promoter of *Emb5* from *Zea mays* Identifies a Region of 523 bp Responsible for Its Embryo-Specific Activity

Yang Li<sup>1,2</sup> · Liping Yu<sup>2,3</sup> · Qiushuang Wang<sup>2</sup> · Xiangyu Zhao<sup>2</sup> · Xinzheng Li<sup>2</sup> · Baoxiu Qi<sup>2,4</sup> 

Received: 18 May 2020 / Accepted: 28 September 2020  
© The Author(s) 2020

## Abstract

The maize *Emb5* is an abscisic acid–responsive gene which is specifically expressed in the late embryo during seed maturity. To further dissect and identify the elements specific for its embryo expression pattern, we investigated the activity of the – 1653 bp upstream of the “full-length” promoter region of this gene in transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants. We first confirmed that the “full-length” promoter could indeed drive the expression of  $\beta$ -glucuronidase reporter gene (*GUS*) in the transgenic *Arabidopsis* seed embryo. Subsequently, DNA fragments of ~ 500 bp in length were generated after a series of progressive deletions from positions – 1653 bp to – 1 bp relative to the transcriptional initiation site. These fragments were fused with *GUS* and introduced into *Arabidopsis*. Measurement of the *GUS* activity in the immature seeds isolated from the transgenic plants revealed that the region between positions – 523 bp and – 1 bp, namely *ProEm-D*, is absolutely required and sufficient for the temporal and embryo-specific expression of *GUS* with an activity comparable with the full-length *Emb5* promoter in *Arabidopsis*. Therefore, our results clearly demonstrated that the 523 bp *ProEm-D* can replace the – 1653 bp *Emb5* promoter to drive embryo-specific expression in *Arabidopsis* seed. Because of its small size and strong embryo-specific activity, it could become the promoter of choice in metabolic pathway engineering to transfer multiple genes for the production of valuable pharmaceutical products in seeds, such as polyunsaturated fatty acids found in fish oils, or pro-vitamin A where at least three transgenes are required to assemble the entire metabolic pathways.

**Keywords** *Emb5* · Maize · Embryo-specific expression · Promoter · *Arabidopsis* · *GUS*

## Introduction

Using corn seeds to produce recombinant proteins for human consumption has many advantages, including low probability

of contamination by human and animal endotoxins, relatively high protein yield, and low production cost. In addition, as a eukaryote, corn presents a clear advantage compared with the *E. coli* expression platform in order to express genes

---

**Key message** A 523 bp DNA fragment was identified from *Zea mays* that can drive strong embryo-specific expression in *Arabidopsis*. Due to its small size, this promoter provides great versatility in vector construction to assemble multiple transgenes for efficient expression in seeds, for example.

---

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11105-020-01251-w>) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

---

✉ Xinzheng Li  
lxz@sdau.edu.cn

✉ Baoxiu Qi  
b.qi@ljmu.ac.uk

<sup>1</sup> School of Agricultural Science and Technology, Shandong Agricultural and Engineering University, Jinan 250100, China

<sup>2</sup> College of Life Science, Shandong Agricultural University, Tai'an 271018, China

<sup>3</sup> ZMBP-Zentrum für Molekularbiologie der Pflanzen, Forschungsgruppe Pflanzenbiochemie, Eberhard-Karls-Universität Tübingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 32, 72076 Tübingen, Germany

<sup>4</sup> School of Pharmacy and Biomolecular Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Byrom Street, Liverpool L3 3AF, UK

originated from eukaryotic organisms due to codon compatibility as well as to express those proteins that require post-translational modification (Pan et al. 2015). To achieve this, embryo-specific promoters are required to drive the expression of exogenous genes and production of certain proteins in seeds of corn. However, only very few tissue-specific promoters, especially those embryo-specific, are available at present, hence limiting the utility of corn seeds in the biotechnology industry.

Strong constitutive promoters such as the Cauliflower Mosaic Virus 35S (CaMV 35S) promoter and maize ubiquitin promoter have been routinely and widely used to drive gene expression for plant research and biotechnology (Battraw and Hall 1990; Christensen et al. 1992; Saidi et al. 2009; Li et al. 2015). However, the expression of target gene(s) in the desired tissue or/and developmental stages cannot be controlled due to their constitutive nature of expression activities (Drakakaki et al. 2000). This could lead to the persistent and high-level expression of a foreign protein(s) in all tissues throughout the growth and development stages, which may have some negative effect on the host plants (Cheon et al. 2004; Lescto et al. 2002; Yamori 2013). In contrast, expression of a gene-of-interest controlled by tissue- or organ-specific promoters could often eliminate/reduce these adverse effects. Therefore, it is desirable to isolate such promoters for basic research as well as plant biotechnology to produce valuable proteins or to create specific traits in crop plants (Naoumkina et al. 2008; Naoumkina and Dixon 2011).

To this end, research on the isolation and characterization of plant seed-specific expression promoters has attracted a great deal of attention; hence, much progress has been made in recent years. For example, by expressing the promoter region of the peanut *8A4R19G1* gene in the model plants *Arabidopsis* and tobacco, this groundnut seed promoter (GSP) showed seed-specific activity (Sunkara et al. 2014). Similarly, the Napin promoter of *Brassica napus* has been confirmed to drive seed-specific expression of *GUS*, and it has been widely used to express many genes in dicotyledonous plants (Sohrabi et al. 2015). A few seed-specific promoters from maize have also been isolated recently. One of them is the *globulin-1* promoter where the specific expression of foreign genes in the maize embryos was reported (Requesens et al. 2019). However, the amount of protein produced is very low despite the strong activity of this promoter because the embryo only accounts for 10% of the total seed weight. To increase the protein quantity in the seeds, an endosperm-specific promoter was used. This was proved to be a much better promoter of choice because the large proportion of the corn seed is made up of endosperm. However, corn endosperm contains mainly starch, while the embryo is rich in linolenic acid and other unsaturated fatty acids that can be manipulated for the production of specific corn germ oils, for example. In addition, seeds of many oilseed crops, such

as oilseed rape and other Brassica crops (close relatives of *Arabidopsis*), groundnuts, and beans are made up mainly by embryos (> 90%) because the growing embryo absorbs all the endosperm so at maturity no endosperm is evident. Therefore, expression of recombinant proteins in seeds driven by embryo-specific promoters in these oilseed crops presents clear advantages over endosperm-driven expression.

To determine the activity of the 635 bp bidirectional promoter *proZmBD1* of the two defense genes *Def1* and *Def2* in maize, *GUS* and *GFP* were fused to *proZmBD1*. Both transient and stable expressions were carried out, and it was demonstrated that *GUS* and *GFP* could be specifically expressed in the transgenic maize embryos (Liu et al. 2016). Pan et al. (2015) studied the functionality of the 1053 bp long promoter sequence of the millet *pF128* gene in transgenic *Arabidopsis*, millet, and maize plants. The specific expression of *GUS* was detected in the seeds of the transgenic lines of all these three plant species. Interestingly, they also noted that the activity of this millet seed-specific promoter was much higher than that of the routinely used constitutive CaMV35S promoter and the maize seed-specific promoter *p19Z*.

In this study, we carried out an in-depth and systematic analysis of the structural elements of a previously isolated embryo-specific promoter of the *Emb5* gene from maize (Kriz et al. 2006, US Patent No. US7,078,234 B2). The function of the cis-acting elements and the core functional regions associated with the embryo-specific activity of this promoter were identified by deletion experiments. As a result, we identified a much shorter sequence of 523 bp within the core region of this promoter that still retains the strong and embryo-specific activity. This newly isolated promoter sequence of maize may provide more potential in basic research as well as biotechnology in maize as well as other crop plants, particularly in the assembly of complex metabolic and/or signaling pathways where expression of multiple genes is required.

## Materials and Methods

### Cloning of the Full-Length Promoter of the *Emb5* Gene

The genomic DNA was extracted by the CTAB method from young leaves of seedlings of maize inbred line Qi319 (Aboul-Maaty and Oraby 2019). The full-length sequence of the *Emb5* promoter (Kriz et al. 2006) was amplified by gradient PCR with high-fidelity DNA polymerase (Takara) using the *Emb5* promoter specific primers *Emb5L* and *Emb5R* (Table 1S).

## Construction of *proEmb5*:GUS Plant Expression Vector and the Acquisition of Transgenic Arabidopsis Plants

The PCR product of *proEmb5* was ligated into the cloning vector pMD18-T Simple (Tanaka, Japan) and sequenced. To construct the plant expression vector, the *proEmb5* was released from pMD18-T by double-digestion with *EcoRI* and *PstI*, purified and ligated to the same sites of the plant expression vector pBI121, replacing the original CaMV35S (35S) promoter. This resulted in the production of pBI121-*proEmb5*:GUS. For negative control, the 54 bp of 35S minimal promoter (Sohrabi et al. 2015), which is not expressed in a plant, was used. pBI121, which has the 35S:GUS expression cassette, was used as the positive control in the subsequent Arabidopsis transformation studies.

To make the seven 5'-end deletion constructs containing *proEmbA-G* (Fig. 2a), PCR amplification was carried out with the individual corresponding primer pairs (Suppl Table 1S), using the pMD18-T Simple-*ProEmb5* generated as above as the template. After confirmation by colony PCR and sequencing, the individual DNA fragments were subsequently ligated to pBI121 to generate pBI121-*proEmbA-G*:GUS constructs. In addition, the individual conserved region of the *ProEmb5* was added by overlapping PCR to upstream of the 54 bp 35S minimal promoter (Sohrabi et al. 2015). These fragments were subsequently ligated to the pBI121 vector, replacing of the original 35S promoter to result in the production of a series of GUS expression constructs as indicated in Fig. 6.

To study the regulatory regions within the fragments En (-1653 to -1143, positive regulatory region 1) and Dn (-523 to -331, positive regulatory region 2), PCR was carried out with primer pairs targeting these specific regions (Suppl Table 1S). The resulting products were cloned into pMD18-T simple vector and again sequenced. Inserts with correct sequences were double digested with *EcoRI* and *PstI* and ligated to the same sites of the linearized pMD18-T simple-35S minimal promoter to obtain pMD-En-35Smp and pMD-Dn-35Smp.

To make the *ProEn-Dn-35Smp*:GUS and *ProDn-Dn-35Smp*:GUS constructs, En and Dn were first released by digesting pMD-En-35Smp and pMD-Dn-35Smp with *HindIII* and *EcoRI*. These were ligated to the upstream of Dn in the pMD-Dn-35Smp, generating pMD-En-Dn-35Smp:GUS and pMD-Dn-Dn-35Smp:GUS, respectively. After sequencing confirmation of the identity of the En-Dn and Dn-Dn, they were excised with *HindIII* and *BamHI* and ligated to the same sites of pBI121, again replacing the original 35S promoter to result in the production of *proEn-Dn*:GUS and *proDn-Dn*:GUS constructs for plant transformation.

## Plant Transformation

Wild-type Arabidopsis plants of ecotype Col-0 were transformed by the floral dipping method (Clough and Bent 1998). Seeds were collected, and transgenic plants were screened on ½ MS medium containing 40 µg/ml kanamycin. After 10 days, surviving seedlings were transferred to soil and grown in an environmentally controlled growth room (16 h light/8 h darkness at 21 °C) until maturity. PCR was carried out to confirm the presence of individual DNA fragments (data not shown). Transgenic plants containing a single copy (observed by 1:3 segregation rate) of the transgenes were grown for 3 generations in order to obtain homozygous plants for subsequent GUS expression analysis as detailed below.

## GUS Histochemical Staining

Arabidopsis plant tissues from 4 to 6 independent transgenic homozygous lines were isolated and placed in cold 90% acetone for 10 min. They were then rinsed with 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) before adding the staining solution (1 mM X-Gluc, 50 mM sodium phosphate, 0.5 mM K<sub>3</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>, 0.5 mM K<sub>4</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>) and incubated at 37 °C in the dark for 16–24 h. All positively stained tissues were incubated in the solution for 16 h, while tissues that did not show significant staining were incubated for up to 24 h (Jefferson 1987). To stop the reaction, 70% ethanol was added. After 12–48 h, the 70% ethanol was replaced with 95% ethanol, and the seedlings were stored at room temperature, observed, and photographed.

## Quantitative Fluorometric GUS Assays

The same tissues used for the GUS staining assays above were ground in the GUS extraction buffer (50 mmol Na<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>(pH 7.0), 10 mmol EDTA, 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.1% Sarcosyl, 10 mmol/L β-mercaptoethanol), and the supernatants were subjected to assays for GUS enzyme activity using 2 mM 4-methylumbelliferyl glucuronide (MUG) as substrate. The intensity of the fluorescence was measured at OD455, using 4-methylumbelliferone (MU) as an internal control. Protein concentration was determined according to Bradford (1976).

## Results

### Prediction of Embryo-Specific Elements in the *Emb5* Promoter

We first cloned the full-length *proEmb5* from genomic DNA isolated from the maize inbred line Qi319. Sequencing results showed that the homology between our sequence and the reported sequence (Kriz et al. 2006, patent No. US 7,078,234

B2) was 99.52%, where eight different bases were found at positions 240, 257, 286, 287, 388, 978, 998, and 1617 as indicated in Suppl Fig. 1S (boxed). This discrepancy could be due to the different maize plant inbred lines used between these two studies.

The promoter sequence was analyzed by the PLACE (Higo et al. 1999) and PlantCARE (Lescto et al. 2002) software, and the result is shown in Suppl Table 2S. It was found that the CAAT box and TATA box were present at 226 bp and 119 bp upstream of the transcription start codon. Many cis-regulatory elements that are predicted to be embryo-specific expression elements were also found. These include the Skn-1-motif (–1460 bp, –1632 bp), numerous G-boxes, E-boxes (–132 bp, –508 bp, –704 bp, –859 bp, –907 bp, –1117 bp, and –1623 bp), C-box (–993 bp), pyrimidine box (–730 bp), –300 element (–372 bp), TGTTG-motif (–1347 bp), ATTTTC-motif (–1432 bp), and TGAATG-motif (–1545 bp). Interestingly, we also noted seven conserved elements of 5'-CG/T/C/T/A/CGTGT-3' at positions of –925 bp, –899 bp, –674 bp, –634 bp, –284 bp, –120 bp, and –65 bp (Suppl Table 2S; Suppl Fig. 2S).

The Emb5 promoter also contains several possible transcriptional enhancing elements, such as AT-rich motif (–1611 bp, –1577 bp, –1556 bp, –1516 bp, –1470 bp, –1419 bp, –1358 bp, –1210 bp, –769 bp, –400 bp, –145 bp), AGCCCA-motif (–1409 bp), GAAAAA-motif (–1299 bp), CAAT-box (–1645 bp, –1535 bp, –1510 bp, and –1476 bp), CAAT-like box (–430 bp), CACT-motif (–1340 bp, –1322 bp, –1235 bp, –469 bp), and GCAA-motif (–1558 bp, –1566 bp, –1558 bp, –1536 bp). In addition, many biotic stress and hormone response elements, such as the ABA response element ABRE (–495 bp) and SA response element TCA (–1365 bp) were also found.

Therefore, our data revealed that the Emb5 promoter contains a number of embryo-specific expression-related elements.

### The Emb5 Promoter Had High Embryo-Specific Expression Activity in Arabidopsis

We first performed GUS histochemical staining assays on the transgenic Arabidopsis plants harboring the proEmb5:GUS (Emb5) and pro35S:GUS (35S) constructs. The results show that only the siliques of the proEmb5:GUS transgenic plants were stained blue, while other tissues such as the root, stem, leaves, and inflorescence were not stained (Fig. 1a; Fig. 1b). Therefore, the full-length promoter could not initiate the expression of the *GUS* gene in tissues other than the silique, confirming the previous finding of the embryo-specific expression pattern of this promoter.

The GUS activity in the transgenic plants was also quantified from four plants of each line after MUG staining. Figure 1c shows that while GUS activity was hardly detected in tissues

of the WT plants, it was detected in the transgenic plants of both 35S:GUS and Emb5:GUS. Interestingly, the highest expression levels were found in the seeds where the GUS activity of Emb5:GUS transgenic seeds exhibited 1.33 times higher than that of the 35S:GUS seeds.

The combined results clearly demonstrated that Emb5 could drive gene expression specifically in seeds of Arabidopsis.

Next, we determined the temporal expression activity of the Emb5 promoter during seed development by both histochemical staining and fluorescence quantification analysis of GUS activity in the seeds of Emb5:GUS transgenic plants at 5–9 days, 11 days, 12 days, and 14 days after flowering (DAF). Histochemical staining showed that GUS began to express in the seeds at around 9 DAF and the expression level reached the highest at 12 DAF (Fig. 2a). Soon after that, it started to decrease, and at 18 DAF, hardly any signal was detected in the transgenic seeds (Fig. 2b, c).


### Analysis of the Core Functional Regions of Emb5 Promoter Associated with Its Embryo-Specific Activity

In order to determine the core functional region(s) of the embryo-specific activity, we subsequently constructed the series of 5' deletion constructs in the plant expression vector pBI121, which has the GUS reporter gene sequence located downstream of the 35S constitutive promoter. By replacing the original 35S promoter with these fragments, we constructed *proEmb5A* (–1143 bp ~ –1 bp), *proEmb5B* (–956 bp ~ –1 bp), *proEmb5C* (–732 bp ~ –1 bp), *proEmb5D* (–523 bp ~ –1 bp), *proEmb5E* (–331 bp ~ –1 bp), *proEmb5F* (–251 bp ~ –1 bp), and *proEmb5G* (–127 bp ~ –1 bp), respectively (Fig. 3). Transgenic lines containing these constructs were generated, and GUS activity was subsequently monitored in the seeds of the transgenic Arabidopsis plants.

The histochemical staining assays showed that all the promoter fragments, except *proEmb5-G*, could drive GUS expression. Interestingly, the 523 bp *proEmb5D* between –523 bp and ~–1 bp showed the darkest blue stain, which was comparable with the full-length *proEmb5*. However, the shortest fragment *proEmb5-G* between –127 bp and ~–1 bp completely lost the promoter activity as the embryo did not stain at all. Quantitative data showed a similar trend where 85, 95, 100, 205, 80, 82, and 25 pmol/m $\mu$ /mg protein/min were detected in the seeds of *proEmb5A*-, *B*-, *C*-, *D*-, *E*-, *F*-, and *G*-GUS expression lines, respectively, where the highest value of 205 pmol/m $\mu$ /mg protein/min for *proEmb5D* expressing seeds was obtained (Fig. 4a–c). Therefore, the combined data indicate that the core functional element of *proEmb5* lies between –523 bp and ~–331 bp (between *proEmb5D*, –523 bp ~ –1 bp, and *proEmb5E*, –331 bp ~ –1 bp).

Because very young seedlings after germination were the continuous tissues of embryos, the strong GUS stain






**Fig. 1** Detection of GUS expression and activity in different tissues of transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants. **a** GUS staining on roots, stems, leaves, inflorescences, and siliques of the transgenic plants expressing *proEmb5:GUS*. Siliques of the wild type (WT) and transgenics expressing the empty pBI121 vector (*35S:GUS*) were used as negative and

positive controls, respectively. **b** GUS staining of seeds from WT, transgenic plants expressing the empty pBI121 vector (*35S:GUS*), and *proEmb5:GUS*, respectively. **c** Fluorescence measurements of GUS activity in WT, pBI121, and *proEmb5:GUS* transformed plants. Error bars represent means  $\pm$  standard deviation of 3 replicates

should indicate embryo specificity of the full-length and shorter promoters; we further analyzed the 1–10 days old seedlings of the above transgenic lines for the temporal expression of GUS. As shown Fig. 5 that apart from the shortest fragment pG, which lost promoter activity altogether, all other shorter promoter fragments maintained the embryo specificity because only seeds and very young seedlings before 3 DAF were stained. Importantly, this followed the same trend as the full-length promoter pEm-GUS where its embryo specificity had already confirmed previously and in this study (Fig. 1). However, the GUS stain became lighter after 3 days

when they were transited to vegetative tissues until disappeared completely from 8 day-old pA,B,E,F-GUS seedlings and 10 day-old pEm-, pC-, and pD-GUS seedlings, while seedlings from p35S-GUS were stained blue throughout this period and beyond.

Taken together, these results demonstrated that except for pG, which has very little if any promoter activity, the full length of Emb5 and all other remaining 5' deletion fragments have embryonic specificity, although they have different transcriptional activities. Therefore, this further validated the results obtained from GUS assays performed on the immature embryos of these shown in Fig. 4a–c.



**Fig. 2** proEmb5 can drive embryo-specific expression of GUS. **a** Histochemical staining of the immature embryos during the late embryogenesis at 9, 11, 12, and 14 days after flowering (DAF) in the seeds of transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants expressing proEmb5:*GUS*. **b** Quantitative


measurement of GUS activities during seed development. Error bars represents means  $\pm$  standard deviation of 3 replicates. **c** Fold change of GUS activity in transgenic embryos at different stages of their development using 1 DAF as the reference

### Identification of the Positive Regulatory Elements for Embryo-Specific Activity Within the En and Dn Regions

Bioinformatics analysis and GUS staining assays showed that the regions between  $-1653$  bp  $\sim -1143$  bp (510 bp, named hereafter En) and  $-523$  bp  $\sim -331$  bp (192 bp, named hereafter Dn) may contain the embryo-specific enhancer elements (Table 2S; Fig. 2S; Fig. 4a–c). In order to further verify this and to identify the possible positive regulatory elements within these two fragments, they were sub-cloned in the pMD-18-T-simple vector. The En fragment was again divided into two nearly equal sized fragments of En-1 ( $-1653$  bp  $\sim -1385$ , 268 bp) and En-2 ( $-1385$  bp  $\sim -1143$  bp, 242 bp). All these

four fragments were fused to the 35S minimal promoter and cloned in pBI121 to result in the construction of pBI121-En-35Smini:*GUS*, pBI121-Dn-35Smini:*GUS*, pBI121-En-1-35Smini:*GUS*, and pBI121-En-2-35Smini:*GUS* plant expression vectors, respectively (Fig. 6).

Following the transformation of *Arabidopsis*, the developing seeds from all transgenic lines containing the above constructs were again assessed for GUS activity by histochemical staining as well as fluorescent quantification on the 12th day after flowering. As shown in Fig. 7a, the En-35Smp-*GUS* and Dn-35Smp-*GUS* transgenic seeds were stained light blue while the negative control (35Smini-*GUS*) was not stained at all. Measurements of the fluorescence activity showed that at 70 pmol/mμg protein/min, the intensity of the



**Fig. 3** Schematic diagram of the Emb5 promoter structure and the seven 5'-flanking deletion fragments. The full lengths of proEmb5, proA, proB, proC, proD, proE, proF, and proG are 1.6, 1.14, 0.95, 0.73, 0.52, 0.33,

0.25, and 0.12 kb, respectively. The positions and numbers of the G-boxes (orange), CAAT-box (green), and E-box (pink) in each fragment are indicated

fluorescence generated by En-35Smp-GUS seeds was 0.95 times higher than that of Dn-35Smp-GUS. Transgenic seeds containing the two smaller fragments of En, En-1-35Smp, and En-2-35Smini did not show much staining. Consistent with this, the fluorescent intensity was much lower than En-35Smp-GUS seeds, and there was no significant difference found between them (both at  $\sim 45$  pmol/m $\mu$ /mg protein/min) (Fig. 7b).

To see if the promoter fragments pD, Dn, and En of Emb5 have additive effects, we constructed vectors of En-Dn-35Smini:GUS, Dn-Dn-35Smini:GUS, and En-pD:GUS by overlapping PCR where En + Dn, Dn + Dn and En + pD were fused together. The full-length proEmb5-GUS was used as the positive control, and the 35Smini as the negative control. For the En-pD:GUS vector, the WT was used as the negative control. The results showed that plants containing the En-pD stained the deepest in dark blue, and this was in line with the highest fluorescence quantitative activity measurement, amounting 231 pmol/m $\mu$ /mg protein/min (Fig. 7a, b). This was followed by the plants harboring the full-length Emb5 promoter and pD where the fluorescence quantitative activity was similar at about 203 pmol/m $\mu$ /mg protein/min, although the former appeared to be slightly darker in the GUS staining assay (Fig. 7a, b). At 105 pmol/m $\mu$ /mg protein/min, the Dn-Dn-35Smp and En-Dn-35Smp transgenic plants were only


about half of those containing the full-length Emb5 and pD promoters, and it appeared no significant difference between them (Fig. 7a, b).

Therefore, our data clearly demonstrated that the activity of combined En and pD promoter regions of the Emb5 promoter presented the highest activity towards embryo-specific expression of GUS, which was followed by pD in Arabidopsis. The activities of Dn-Dn and En-Dn were significantly higher than Dn and En alone. This indicates that the regions within En and Dn contain the positive regulatory elements, which can act additively to enhance the embryo-specific transcriptional activity of the Emb5 promoter. Therefore, with much shorter at 523 bp for pD and 1033 bp for pEn-pD than the full-length Emb5 promoter (1653 bp), these two fragments could be the choice of embryo-specific promoters to use in research and application in plant science and biotechnology.

## Discussion

In this study, we further characterized the tissue specificity and expression activity of the embryo-specific promoter of the maize embryo-specific *Emb5* gene in Arabidopsis, leading to the identification of a much shorter region of 523 bp that contains all the required cis-elements to drive embryo-specific





**Fig. 4** GUS activity of different deletion fragments of the proEmb5 in transgenic Arabidopsis plants. **a** GUS staining of transgenic embryos at the late embryogenesis. **b** GUS activities quantified from embryos in **a**.

Error bars represents means  $\pm$  standard deviation of 3 replicates. **c** Fold changes of GUS activity calculated from data in **B** using WT as the reference


expression of this promoter. This maize-derived short yet strong promoter activity that is comparable with the full-length promoter (1653 bp) could be used for seed-specific expression of genes of interest in monocots as well as in dicots. In addition, due to its short length, this newly identified sequence could become the promoter of choice in *in vitro* manipulation for pathway engineering and signaling pathways where multiple transgenes are required.

To determine the embryo-specific activity of the *Emb5* promoter, we utilized the model plant Arabidopsis as a host to express the full-length as well as its 5' deleted shorter DNA fragments, which were individually fused with the *GUS* reporter gene. This strategy has been used previously by many other researchers to dissect the functions of unknown promoters from various plant species. For example, Chung et al. (2008) noted that the promoter of *PfOle19* gene from the monocotyledonous plant *Perilla* contains 7 embryo-specific cis-regulatory elements, such as ACAA and five E-boxes (CANNTG). GUS expression driven by this promoter in Arabidopsis leads to embryo-specific staining, confirming its

expression activity towards embryo. Zavallo et al. (2010) pointed out that the embryo specificity of the *HaFAD2-1* promoter of dicotyledonous sunflower was determined by four embryo-specific elements in its sequence, including one Sh1-box (TGAATG) and three E-boxes (CANNTG). Therefore, the dicotyledonous plant Arabidopsis can be used as the host to express and analyze the core functional regions of a promoter and its cis-regulatory elements of genes originated from both monocots and dicots.

We first carried out bioinformatics analysis and found that the maize *Emb5* promoter also contains the embryo-specific elements, such as one Sh1-box (at position  $-1538$  bp) and seven E-boxes (at positions of  $-132$  bp,  $-508$  bp,  $-704$  bp,  $-859$  bp,  $-907$  bp,  $-1117$  bp, and  $-1623$  bp) (Fig. 2S). This suggests that this promoter may also have the ability to drive gene expression in embryos. Indeed, our GUS assays showed that the *Emb5* promoter had the highest activity in the Arabidopsis embryos compared with other tissues (Fig. 1). This demonstrated that the *Emb5* promoter could drive embryo-specific expression in Arabidopsis. The expression


**Fig. 5** GUS staining of transgenic Arabidopsis seedlings expressing the pEmb5:GUS and the seven 5'-deletion constructs. Seedlings at 1, 3, 5 (proE:GUS), 6, 8, and 10 days after germination were stained and observed. Transgenic seedlings expressing the empty pBI121 vector (p35S::GUS) were used as the positive control



activity of the *GUS* gene driven by the *Emb5* promoter in Arabidopsis was the highest during the mid- to late developmental stages of the embryos (Fig. 2). This was consistent with the expression pattern of the *Emb5* gene in developing maize seeds (Williams and Tsang 2005). Therefore, the embryo-specific expression pattern of *Emb5* in Arabidopsis was similar to that in maize, a monocotyledonous plant. These combined results, again, provided clear evidence that the dicotyledonous model plant Arabidopsis could be employed to study the core functional regions and cis-regulatory elements related to embryo-specific expression activity of this monocotyledonous maize *Emb5* promoter.

Having confirmed the embryo-specific expression pattern of the full-length *Emb5* promoter in Arabidopsis, we next studied the specific regions and cis-elements that are responsible for this activity. The first experiment we carried out was to construct a series of 5'-deletion fragments with each

fragment of ~500 bp in length (Fig. 3). This revealed that the proD between -523 bp and -1 bp had an activity comparable with that of the full-length *Emb5* promoter of 1653 bp, while all the other fragments had lower activity than the full-length *Emb5* promoter (Fig. 4). Deletion of the 192 bp between -523 bp and -331 bp (Dn) within proD significantly reduced the activity, suggesting that this region may contain the cis-acting elements with enhanced promoter activity. On the contrary, the activity of *GUS* driven by proA, proB, and proC fragment was significantly lower than that of proD, indicating that there may be negative regulatory elements present between -1143 bp ~ -523 bp and -732 bp ~ -523 bp. Furthermore, the fact that the promoter activity of the full-length *Emb5* fragment was significantly higher than that of the pA fragment (-1143 bp ~ -1 bp) indicates that there could be positive regulatory elements between -1653 bp and -1143 bp that can enhance transcriptional activity of the promoter.



**Fig. 6** Maps of the seven GUS reporter expression vectors containing the two positive regulatory regions of the *Emb5* promoter. The positions of the regions in relation to the full-length *proEmb5* are indicated. En: –


1653 bp ~ – 1143 bp, Dn: – 523 bp ~ – 331 bp), NA (– 127 bp ~ – 1 bp, no GUS activity), 35S: CaMV 35S minimal promoter

It is interesting to note that the region within *proD* contains the CAAT-like box (at – 430) and an AT-rich element (at – 400), which are known to have enhanced transcriptional activity (Zhang et al. 2002). As the *proA*, *proB*, and *proC* fragments all showed a significant decrease in promoter activity compared with the *proD* fragment, we reasoned that the formers may contain negative regulatory elements that inhibit promoter activity. Further analysis revealed that there are three reverse complement motifs of 5'-ACACNNG-3' present within these regions. These are CGAGTGT (– 674 bp ~ – 667 bp), CGTGTGT (– 898 bp ~ – 891 bp), and CGTGTGT (– 924 bp ~ – 917 bp), respectively. Finkelstein and Lynch (2000) demonstrated that the 5'-ACACNNG-3' element is a novel bZIP-like transcription factor core-binding sequence that promotes specific expression of the *ABI5* gene in embryos, and the absence of this binding region inhibits transcriptional activity. Therefore, these three reverse complement sequences (5'-C<sub>2</sub>NNGTGT-3') within the *Emb5* promoter region could

potentially impose a strong inhibitory effect on *Emb5* expression in the embryo of maize. This may explain why the GUS activity was reduced under the control of the *proA-C* promoter regions in *Arabidopsis* (Fig. 4).

Similarly, high GUS activity was found with plants expressing the *proEn*:GUS because this region (between – 1653 bp and – 1143 bp) contains the transcriptional enhancing elements, such as the AT-rich motifs, AGCCCA-motif, GAAAAA-motif, CAAT-box, CACT-motif, and GCAA-motif (Fig. 2S). Therefore, *proEn* functions in a similar fashion as *proDn* to positively drive the high embryo-specific expression in *Arabidopsis*.

To see if En and Dn have an additive effect, we fused Dn and En and Dn with Dn to produce *proDn-En*:GUS and *pro2xDn*:GUS and introduced them in *Arabidopsis* plants. As shown in Fig. 7a, the GUS activity was much enhanced in the transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants expressing these constructs compared with that in the control plants harboring only



**Fig. 7** GUS activities of transgenic seeds harboring the different constructs containing the positive regulatory elements. **a** GUS staining of the developing seeds of WT and transgenic plants at 12 days after

flowering. **b** GUS activity measured by the fluorescence in seeds corresponding to plant lines in **a** Error bars represent means  $\pm$  standard deviation of 3 replicates

one of the fragments. Using a similar strategy, the minimal active promoter region of the rice endosperm-specific promoter of *rsu3* was mapped within the 700 bp fragment upstream of its translation initiation codon (Rasmussen and Donaldson 2006). In a separate study, Petersen et al. (1996) found that while a 22 bp W1 element in the promoter of the rice chitinase gene can hardly activate its expression, the multiple tandem copies of it can drive tissue-specific expression in plants.

It was disappointing that none of the shorter individual or the synthetic promoter fragments exhibited the same or stronger embryo-specific activity than the full-length *Emb5* promoter. The reason for this could be because the spacing between the binding site(s) of corresponding transcription factor(s) and these fragments was altered in the new constructs. This could have some negative effect on the binding to transcription factors, thus affecting the transcription efficiency of GUS. This is in agreement with the study of the 1.8-kb



promotor fragment of nitrate reductase gene *NIA1* where the authors found that the enhancer activity was localized to a 180-bp fragment. Three cis-elements corresponding to predicted binding motifs for homeodomain/E-box, Myb, and Alfin1 transcription factors were identified within this 180-bp fragment. However, in order to obtain a fully active synthetic promoter built from these three elements, the spacing between the homeodomain/E-box and Myb-Alfin1 sites has to be maintained to that of the native promoter (Rongchen Wang et al. 2010). Therefore, it seems that the spacing between the regulating elements in the promoters and the downstream genes is very important for the promoter activities (Blackwood and Kadonaga 1998).

In summary, through sequence analysis and expression studies of the GUS fusion constructs in *Arabidopsis*, we further verified the embryo-specific expression pattern of the maize *Emb5* promoter. Importantly, we identified the regions that are responsible for this activity and confirmed that the 523-bp proD can replace the 1653-bp full-length promoter of *Emb5* to drive the high-level and embryo-specific expression in *Arabidopsis* seed. Because it has comparable expression activity with the full-length promoter while it is much shorter, it could be utilized for genetic improvement of yield and quality traits in crop plants, such as cereal crops, as well as other pathway engineering studies in the future.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

## References

- Battraw MJ, Hall TC (1990) Histochemical analysis of CaMV 35S promoter-beta-glucuronidase gene expression in transgenic rice plants. *Plant Mol Biol* 15:527–538
- Blackwood EM, Kadonaga JT (1998) Going the distance: a current view of enhancer action. *Science* 281:61–63
- Bradford MM (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantification of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal Biochem* 72:248–254
- Cheon BY, Kim HJ, Oh KH, Bahn SC, Ahn JH, Choi JW, Ok SH, Bae JM, Shin JS (2004) Overexpression of human erythropoietin (EPO) affects plant morphologies: retarded vegetative growth in tobacco and male sterility in tobacco and *Arabidopsis*. *Transgenic Res* 13: 541–549
- Christensen AH, Sharrock RA, Quail PH (1992) Maize polyubiquitin genes: structure, thermal perturbation of expression and transcript splicing, and promoter activity following transfer to protoplasts by electroporation. *Plant Mol Biol* 18:675–689
- Chung KJ, Hwang SK, Hahn BS, Kim KH, Kim JB, Kim YH, Yang JS, HaKim SH (2008) Authentic seed-specific activity of the *Perilla oleosin 19* gene promoter in transgenic *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell Rep* 27:29–37
- Clough SJ, Bent AF (1998) Floral dip: a simplified method for *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J* 16:735–743
- Drakakaki G, Christou P, Stöger E (2000) Constitutive expression of soybean ferritin cDNA in transgenic wheat and rice results in increased iron levels in vegetative tissues but not in seeds. *Transgenic Res* 9:445–452
- Finkelstein RR, Lynch TJ (2000) The *Arabidopsis* abscisic acid response gene *ABI5* encodes a basic leucine zipper transcription factor. *Plant Cell* 12:599–609
- Higo K, Ugawa Y, Iwamoto M, Korenaga T (1999) Plant cis-acting regulatory DNA elements (PLACE) database *Nucleic Acids Res* 27:297–300
- Jefferson R (1987) GUS: histochemical staining with X-Gluc. *Plant Mol Biol Report* 5:387–405
- Kriz AL, Ferry GCT, Voyles DA, Pawcatuck CT (2006) Maize embryo-specific promoter, composition and methods for use thereof. Patent No.: US 7,078,234 B2, Date of Patent: Jul.18, 2006
- Li T, Sun J, Li JT (2015) Research progress on plant promoter. *Biotechnol Bull* 31:18–25
- Liu X, Yang W, Li Y, Li S, Zhou X, Zhao Q, Fan Y (2016) The intergenic region of the maize defensin-like protein genes *Def1* and *Def2* functions as an embryo-specific asymmetric bidirectional promoter. *J Exp Bot* 67:4403–4413
- Naoumkina M, Dixon RA (2011) Characterization of the mannan synthase promoter from guar (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba*). *Plant Cell Rep* 30:997–1006
- Naoumkina M, Vaghchhipawala S, Tang Y, Ben Y, Powell RJ, Dixon RA (2008) Metabolic and genetic perturbations accompany the modification of galactomannan in seeds of *Medicago truncatula* expressing mannan synthase from guar (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.). *Plant Biotechnol J* 6:619–631
- Pan Y, Ma X, Liang H, Zhao Q, Zhu D, Yu J (2015) Spatial and temporal activity of the foxtail millet (*Setaria italica*) seed-specific promoter pF128. *Planta* 241:57–67
- Rasmussen TB, Donaldson IA (2006) Investigation of the endosperm-specific sucrose synthase promoter from rice using transient expression of reporter genes in guar seed tissue. *Plant Cell Rep* 25:1035–1042
- Requesens DV, Romero MEG, Devaiah SP, Chang YK, Flory A, Streatfield S, Ring R, Phillips C, Hood NC, Marbanian CD, Howard JA, Hood EE (2019) The maize  $\alpha$ -zein promoter can be utilized as a strong inducer of cellulase enzyme expression in maize kernels. *Transgenic Res* 28:537–547
- Rongchen Wang R, Guan P, Chen M, Xing X, Zhang Y, Crawford NM (2010) Multiple regulatory elements in the *Arabidopsis* *NIA1* promoter act synergistically to form a nitrate enhancer. *Plant Physiol* 154:423–432
- Saidi Y, Schaefer DG, Goloubinoff P, Zryd JP, Finka A (2009) The CaMV 35S promoter has a weak expression activity in dark grown tissues of moss *Physcomitrella patens*. *Plant Signal Behav* 4:457–459
- Sohrabi M, Zebarjadi A, Najafy A, Kahrizi D (2015) Isolation and sequence analysis of napin seed specific promoter from Iranian rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). *Gene* 563:160–164
- Sunkara S, Bhatnagarmathur P, Sharma KK (2014) Isolation and functional characterization of a novel seed specific promoter region from peanut. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol* 172:325–339



- Williams BA, Tsang A (2005) Analysis of multiple classes of abscisic acid-responsive genes during embryogenesis in *Zea mays*. *Genesis* 15:415–424
- Yamori W (2013) Improving photosynthesis to increase food and fuel production by biotechnological strategies in crops. *Plant Biochem Physiol* 1:1–3
- Zavallo D, Bilbao ML, Hopp HE, Heinz R (2010) Isolation and functional characterization of two novel seed-specific promoters from sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Plant Cell Rep* 29:239–248
- Zhang J, Li L, Song Y (2002) Identification of seed-specific promoter nap300 and its comparison with 7S promoter. *Prog Nat Sci* 12:737–741

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.