

TWMS J. App. Eng. Math. V.5, N.2, 2015, pp. 219-230.

SIMILAR RULED SURFACES WITH VARIABLE TRANSFORMATIONS IN MINKOWSKI 3-SPACE E_1^3

MEHMET ÖNDER¹ §

ABSTRACT. In this study, we consider the notion of similar ruled surface for timelike and spacelike ruled surfaces in Minkowski 3-space E_1^3 . We obtain some properties of these special surfaces in E_1^3 and show that developable ruled surfaces in E_1^3 form a family of similar ruled surfaces if and only if the striction curves of the surfaces are similar curves with variable transformation. Moreover, we obtain that cylindrical surfaces and conoids form two families of similar ruled surfaces in E_1^3 .

Keywords: Timelike and spacelike ruled surfaces; Frenet frame; similar curve.

AMS Subject Classification: 53A25, 53C50, 14J26.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the curve theory, special curve pairs for which at the corresponding points of the curves one of the Frenet vectors of a curve coincides with one of the Frenet vectors of other curve, are very interesting and an important problem of the differential geometry. Bertrand curves, Mannheim curves and involute-evolute curves are the well-known types of such curves and studied extensively [6,14,17]. Recently, a new definition of the special curves was given by El-Sabbagh and Ali [2]. They have called these new curves as similar curves with variable transformation and defined as follows: Let $\psi_\alpha(s_\alpha)$ and $\psi_\beta(s_\beta)$ be two regular curves in E^3 parameterized by arc lengths s_α and s_β with curvatures κ_α , κ_β and torsions τ_α , τ_β and Frenet frames $\{\vec{T}_\alpha, \vec{N}_\alpha, \vec{B}_\alpha\}$ and $\{\vec{T}_\beta, \vec{N}_\beta, \vec{B}_\beta\}$. $\psi_\alpha(s_\alpha)$ and $\psi_\beta(s_\beta)$ are called similar curves with variable transformation λ_β^α if there exists a variable transformation

$$s_\alpha = \int \lambda_\beta^\alpha(s_\beta) ds_\beta,$$

of the arc lengths such that the tangent vectors are the same for two curves i.e., $\vec{T}_\alpha = \vec{T}_\beta$ for all corresponding values of parameters under the transformation λ_β^α . They have called all curves satisfying this condition as a family of similar curves. Moreover, they have obtained some properties of the family of similar curves.

Furthermore, the surface pairs especially ruled surface pairs (called offset surfaces) have an important positions and applications in the study of design problems in spatial mechanisms and physics, kinematics and computer aided design (CAD) [11,12]. So, these surfaces are one of the most important topics of surface theory. In fact, ruled surface

¹ Manisa Celal Bayar University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Muradiye Campus, 45140 Muradiye, Manisa, Turkey.
e-mail: mehmet.onder@cbu.edu.tr;

§ Manuscript received: September 02, 2014.

TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Vol.5, No.2; © Işık University, Department of Mathematics, 2015; all rights reserved.

offsets are the generalizations of the notion of Bertrand curves, Mannheim curves and similar curves to the line geometry and these surface pairs are called Bertrand offsets, Mannheim offsets and similar ruled surfaces, respectively [5,8,9,13].

In this work, we introduce timelike and spacelike similar ruled surfaces in Minkowski 3-space E_1^3 . We give some theorems characterizing these special surfaces and we show that developable ruled surfaces in E_1^3 form a family of similar ruled surfaces if and only if the striction curves of the surfaces are similar curves with variable transformation.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let E_1^3 be a Minkowski 3-space with natural Lorentz Metric

$$\langle , \rangle = -dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2,$$

where (x_1, x_2, x_3) is a rectangular coordinate system of E_1^3 . According to this metric, in E_1^3 an arbitrary vector $\vec{v} = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ can have one of three Lorentzian causal characters; it can be spacelike if $\langle \vec{v}, \vec{v} \rangle > 0$ or $\vec{v} = 0$, timelike if $\langle \vec{v}, \vec{v} \rangle < 0$ and null (lightlike) if $\langle \vec{v}, \vec{v} \rangle = 0$ and $\vec{v} \neq 0$ [7]. Similarly, an arbitrary curve $\vec{\alpha} = \vec{\alpha}(s)$ can locally be spacelike, timelike or null (lightlike), if all of its velocity vectors $\vec{\alpha}'(s)$ are spacelike, timelike or null (lightlike), respectively. For the vectors $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and $\vec{y} = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$ in E_1^3 , the vector product of \vec{x} and \vec{y} is defined by

$$\vec{x} \wedge \vec{y} = (x_2y_3 - x_3y_2, x_1y_3 - x_3y_1, x_2y_1 - x_1y_2).$$

The Lorentzian sphere and hyperbolic sphere of radius r and center origin in E_1^3 are given by

$$S_1^2 = \{ \vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in E_1^3 : \langle \vec{x}, \vec{x} \rangle = r^2 \},$$

and

$$H_0^2 = \{ \vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in E_1^3 : \langle \vec{x}, \vec{x} \rangle = -r^2 \},$$

respectively [10,16].

Analogue to the curves, a surface can be timelike or spacelike in E_1^3 . A surface in the Minkowski 3-space E_1^3 is called a timelike surface if the induced metric on the surface is a Lorentz metric and is called a spacelike surface if the induced metric on the surface is a positive definite Riemannian metric. Note that the normal vector on spacelike (timelike) surface is a timelike (spacelike) vector [1].

3. TIMELIKE AND SPACELIKE RULED SURFACES IN MINKOWSKI 3-SPACE

Let I be an open interval in the real line IR . Let $\vec{k} = \vec{k}(u)$ be a curve in E_1^3 defined on I and $\vec{q} = \vec{q}(u)$ be a unit direction vector of an oriented line in E_1^3 . Then we have the following parametrization for a ruled surface N ,

$$\vec{\varphi}(u, v) = \vec{k}(u) + v\vec{q}(u). \quad (1)$$

The parametric u -curve of this surface is a straight line of the surface which is called ruling. For $v = 0$, the parametric v -curve of this surface is $\vec{k} = \vec{k}(u)$ which is called base curve or generating curve of the surface. In particular, if the direction of \vec{q} is constant, the ruled surface is said to be cylindrical, and non-cylindrical otherwise.

The distribution parameter (or drall) of the ruled surface in (1) is given as

$$\delta_\varphi = \frac{|d\vec{k}, \vec{q}, d\vec{q}|}{\langle d\vec{q}, d\vec{q} \rangle} \quad (2)$$

([4]). Then the normal vectors are collinear at all points of same ruling and at nonsingular points of the surface N , the tangent planes are identical. We then say that tangent

plane contacts the surface along a ruling. Such a ruling is called a torsal ruling. If $\left| d\vec{k}, \vec{q}, d\vec{q} \right| \neq 0$, then the tangent planes of the surface N are distinct at all points of same ruling which is called nontorsal [10,16].

For the unit normal vector \vec{m} of the ruled surface N , we have $\vec{m} = \frac{\vec{\varphi}_u \times \vec{\varphi}_v}{\|\vec{\varphi}_u \times \vec{\varphi}_v\|}$. So, at the points of a nontorsal ruling $u = u_1$ we have

$$\vec{a} = \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} \vec{m}(u_1, v) = \frac{d\vec{q} \times \vec{q}}{\|d\vec{q}\|}.$$

The point at which the unit normal of N is perpendicular to \vec{a} is called the striction point (or central point) C and the set of striction points of all rulings is called striction curve of the surface. The parametrization of the striction curve $\vec{c} = \vec{c}(u)$ on a ruled surface is given by

$$\vec{c}(u) = \vec{k}(u) - \frac{\langle d\vec{q}, d\vec{k} \rangle}{\langle d\vec{q}, d\vec{q} \rangle} \vec{q}, \tag{3}$$

[10,15,16]. So that, the base curve of the ruled surface is its striction curve if and only if $\langle d\vec{q}, d\vec{k} \rangle = 0$.

The vector \vec{h} defined by $\vec{h} = \pm \vec{a} \times \vec{q}$ is called central normal which is the surface normal along the striction curve. Then the orthonormal system $\{C; \vec{q}, \vec{h}, \vec{a}\}$ is called Frenet frame of the ruled surfaces N where C is the central point of ruling of N and $\vec{q}, \vec{h} = \pm \vec{a} \times \vec{q}, \vec{a}$ are unit vectors of ruling, central normal and central tangent, respectively.

Now, let us consider the ruled surface N . According to the Lorentzian casual characters of ruling and central normal, we can give the following classifications of the ruled surface N ;

i) If the central normal vector \vec{h} is spacelike and \vec{q} is timelike, then the ruled surface N is said to be of type N_- .

ii) If the central normal vector \vec{h} and the ruling \vec{q} are both spacelike, then the ruled surface N is said to be of type N_+ .

iii) If the central normal vector \vec{h} is timelike, then the ruled surface N is said to be of type N_\times [10,16].

The ruled surfaces of type N_+ and N_- are clearly timelike and the ruled surface of type N_\times is spacelike. (For a more general classifications of ruled surfaces see [3]). By using these classifications and taking the striction curve as the base curve, the parametrization of the ruled surface N can be given as follows,

$$\varphi(s, v) = \vec{c}(s) + v \vec{q}(s), \tag{4}$$

where $\langle \vec{q}, \vec{q} \rangle = \varepsilon (= \pm 1)$, $\langle \vec{h}, \vec{h} \rangle = \pm 1$ and s is the arc length of the striction curve.

For the derivatives of the vectors of Frenet frame $\{C; \vec{q}, \vec{h}, \vec{a}\}$ of ruled surface N with respect to the arc length s of striction curve we have the followings

i) If the ruled surface N is a timelike ruled surface then we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} d\vec{q}/ds \\ d\vec{h}/ds \\ d\vec{a}/ds \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & k_1 & 0 \\ -\varepsilon k_1 & 0 & k_2 \\ 0 & \varepsilon k_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{q} \\ \vec{h} \\ \vec{a} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{5}$$

and

$$\vec{q} \times \vec{h} = \varepsilon \vec{a}, \quad \vec{h} \times \vec{a} = -\varepsilon \vec{q}, \quad \vec{a} \times \vec{q} = -\vec{h}, \tag{6}$$

[See 10].

ii) If the ruled surface N is spacelike ruled surface then we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} d\vec{q}/ds \\ d\vec{h}/ds \\ d\vec{a}/ds \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & k_1 & 0 \\ k_1 & 0 & k_2 \\ 0 & k_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{q} \\ \vec{h} \\ \vec{a} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

and

$$\vec{q} \times \vec{h} = -\vec{a}, \quad \vec{h} \times \vec{a} = -\vec{q}, \quad \vec{a} \times \vec{q} = \vec{h}, \quad (8)$$

[See 16].

In the equations (5) and (7), $k_1 = \frac{ds_1}{ds}$, $k_2 = \frac{ds_3}{ds}$ and s_1, s_3 are the arc lengths of the spherical curves circumscribed by the unit vectors \vec{q} and \vec{a} , respectively. Moreover, timelike and spacelike ruled surfaces satisfying $k_1 \neq 0, k_2 = 0$ are called timelike and spacelike conoids in E_1^3 , respectively [10,16].

Now, we can represent and prove the following theorems which are necessary for the following sections.

Theorem 3.1. *Let the striction curve $\vec{c} = \vec{c}(s)$ of ruled surface N be a unit speed curve with same Lorentzian casual character with the ruling and let $\vec{c}(s)$ also be the base curve of the surface. Then N is developable if and only if the unit tangent of the striction curve is the same with the ruling along the curve.*

Proof. Let N be a timelike ruled surface and let s be arc length parameter of the striction curve. Then the unit tangent of the striction curve is given by

$$\vec{T}(s) = \frac{d\vec{c}}{ds} = (\cosh \theta)\vec{q}(s) + (\sinh \theta)\vec{a}(s),$$

where $\theta = \theta(s)$ is the angle between unit vectors $\vec{T}(s)$ and $\vec{q}(s)$ [10]. Since the striction curve is taken as base curve, from (2) and (5) the distribution parameter of the surface N is obtained as

$$d = -\frac{\sinh \theta}{k_1}.$$

Thus we have that timelike ruled surface N is developable if and only if $\vec{T}(s) = \vec{q}(s)$ satisfies.

If N is a spacelike ruled surface then the unit tangent of the striction curve is given by

$$\vec{T}(s) = \frac{d\vec{c}}{ds} = (\cos \theta)\vec{q}(s) + (\sin \theta)\vec{a}(s),$$

(See [16]). Then from (2) and (5) the distribution parameter of the surface N is obtained as

$$d = \frac{\sin \theta}{k_1}.$$

Thus we have that spacelike ruled surface N is developable if and only if $\vec{T}(s) = \vec{q}(s)$ satisfies. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let the striction curve $\vec{c} = \vec{c}(s)$ of ruled surface N be unit speed i.e., s is arc length parameter of $\vec{c}(s)$. Suppose that $\vec{c} = \vec{c}(\varphi)$ is another parametrization of the striction curve by the parameter $\varphi(s) = \int k_1(s)ds$. Then the ruling \vec{q} satisfies a vector differential equation of third order given by*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{d\varphi} \left(\frac{1}{f(\varphi)} \frac{d^2\vec{q}}{d\varphi^2} \right) + \varepsilon \left(\frac{1-f^2(\varphi)}{f(\varphi)} \right) \frac{d\vec{q}}{d\varphi} - \varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{f^2(\varphi)} \frac{df(\varphi)}{d\varphi} \right) \vec{q} = 0; & \text{if } N \text{ is timelike,} \\ \frac{d}{d\varphi} \left(\frac{1}{f(\varphi)} \frac{d^2\vec{q}}{d\varphi^2} \right) - \left(\frac{1+f^2(\varphi)}{f(\varphi)} \right) \frac{d\vec{q}}{d\varphi} + \left(\frac{1}{f^2(\varphi)} \frac{df(\varphi)}{d\varphi} \right) \vec{q} = 0; & \text{if } N \text{ is spacelike,} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where $f(\varphi) = \frac{k_2(\varphi)}{k_1(\varphi)}$.

Proof. Let N be a timelike ruled surface. If we write derivatives given in (5) according to φ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\vec{q}}{d\varphi} &= \vec{h}, \\ \frac{d\vec{h}}{d\varphi} &= -\varepsilon\vec{q} + f(\varphi)\vec{a}, \\ \frac{d\vec{a}}{d\varphi} &= \varepsilon f(\varphi)\vec{h}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively, where $f(\varphi) = \frac{k_2(\varphi)}{k_1(\varphi)}$. Then corresponding matrix form of (5) can be given

$$\begin{bmatrix} d\vec{q}/d\varphi \\ d\vec{h}/d\varphi \\ d\vec{a}/d\varphi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\varepsilon & 0 & f(\varphi) \\ 0 & \varepsilon f(\varphi) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{q} \\ \vec{h} \\ \vec{a} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{10}$$

From the first and second equations of new Frenet derivatives (10) we have

$$\vec{a} = \frac{1}{f(\varphi)} \left(\frac{d^2\vec{q}}{d\varphi^2} + \varepsilon\vec{q} \right). \tag{11}$$

Substituting the above equation in the last equation of (10) we have the first equation of (9).

If N is a spacelike ruled surface, then considering Frenet formulae (7) and following the same procedure we have the second equation of (9) immediately. □

4. TIMELIKE SIMILAR RULED SURFACES IN MINKOWSKI 3-SPACE E_1^3

In this section we introduce the definition and characterizations of timelike similar ruled surfaces with variable transformation in E_1^3 . First, we give the following definition.

Definition 4.1. Let N_α and N_β be two timelike ruled surfaces of the same type in E_1^3 given by the parametrizations

$$\begin{cases} \vec{r}_\alpha(s_\alpha, v) = \vec{\alpha}(s_\alpha) + v\vec{q}_\alpha(s_\alpha), & \|\vec{q}_\alpha\| = 1 \\ \vec{r}_\beta(s_\beta, v) = \vec{\beta}(s_\beta) + v\vec{q}_\beta(s_\beta), & \|\vec{q}_\beta\| = 1 \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

respectively, where $\vec{\alpha}(s_\alpha)$ and $\vec{\beta}(s_\beta)$ are striction curves of N_α and N_β and s_α, s_β are arc length parameters of $\vec{\alpha}(s_\alpha)$ and $\vec{\beta}(s_\beta)$, respectively. Let the Frenet frames and invariants of N_α and N_β be $\{\vec{q}_\alpha, \vec{h}_\alpha, \vec{a}_\alpha\}$, k_1^α, k_2^α and $\{\vec{q}_\beta, \vec{h}_\beta, \vec{a}_\beta\}$, k_1^β, k_2^β , respectively. N_α and N_β are called timelike similar ruled surfaces with variable transformation λ_β^α if there exists a variable transformation

$$s_\alpha = \int \lambda_\beta^\alpha(s_\beta) ds_\beta, \tag{13}$$

of the arc lengths such that the rulings are the same for two ruled surfaces i.e.,

$$\vec{q}_\alpha(s_\alpha) = \vec{q}_\beta(s_\beta), \tag{14}$$

for all corresponding values of parameters under the transformation λ_β^α . All timelike ruled surfaces satisfying equation (14) are called a family of timelike similar ruled surfaces with variable transformation.

Then we can give the following theorems characterizing timelike similar ruled surfaces. Whenever we talk about N_α and N_β , we mean that the surfaces regular and have parametrizations given in (12).

Theorem 4.1. *Let N_α and N_β be two timelike ruled surfaces in E_1^3 . Then N_α and N_β are timelike similar ruled surfaces with variable transformation if and only if the central normal vectors of the surfaces are the same, i.e.,*

$$\vec{h}_\alpha(s_\alpha) = \vec{h}_\beta(s_\beta), \quad (15)$$

under the particular variable transformation

$$\lambda_\beta^\alpha = \frac{ds_\alpha}{ds_\beta} = \frac{k_1^\beta}{k_1^\alpha}, \quad (16)$$

of the arc lengths.

Proof. Let N_α and N_β be two timelike similar ruled surfaces in E_1^3 with variable transformation. Then differentiating (14) with respect to s_β it follows

$$k_1^\alpha \lambda_\beta^\alpha \vec{h}_\alpha = k_1^\beta \vec{h}_\beta. \quad (17)$$

From (17) we obtain (15) and (16) immediately.

Conversely, let N_α and N_β be two regular timelike ruled surfaces in E_1^3 satisfying (15) and (16). By multiplying (15) with k_1^β and differentiating the result with respect to s_β , we have

$$\int k_1^\beta(s_\beta) \vec{h}_\beta(s_\beta) ds_\beta = \int k_1^\beta(s_\beta) \vec{h}_\beta(s_\beta) \frac{ds_\beta}{ds_\alpha} ds_\alpha. \quad (18)$$

From (15) and (16) we obtain

$$\vec{q}_\beta(s_\beta) = \int k_1^\beta(s_\beta) \vec{h}_\beta(s_\beta) ds_\beta = \int k_1^\alpha(s_\alpha) \vec{h}_\alpha(s_\alpha) ds_\alpha = \vec{q}_\alpha(s_\alpha), \quad (19)$$

which means that N_α and N_β are timelike similar ruled surfaces with variable transformation. □

Theorem 4.2. *Let N_α and N_β be two timelike ruled surfaces in E_1^3 . Then N_α and N_β are timelike similar ruled surfaces with variable transformation if and only if the asymptotic normal vectors of the surfaces satisfy the following equality*

$$\vec{a}_\alpha(s_\alpha) = \varepsilon_\alpha \varepsilon_\beta \vec{a}_\beta(s_\beta), \quad (20)$$

under the particular variable transformation

$$\lambda_\beta^\alpha = \frac{ds_\alpha}{ds_\beta} = \frac{k_2^\beta}{k_2^\alpha}, \quad (21)$$

of the arc lengths, where $\varepsilon_\alpha = \langle \vec{q}_\alpha, \vec{q}_\alpha \rangle = \pm 1$, $\varepsilon_\beta = \langle \vec{q}_\beta, \vec{q}_\beta \rangle = \pm 1$.

Proof. Let N_α and N_β be two timelike similar ruled surfaces in E_1^3 with variable transformation. Then from Definition 4.1 and Theorem 4.1 there exists a variable transformation of the arc lengths such that the rulings and central normal vectors are the same. Then from (14) and (15) we have

$$\vec{a}_\alpha(s_\alpha) = \varepsilon_\alpha \left(\vec{q}_\alpha(s_\alpha) \times \vec{h}_\alpha(s_\alpha) \right) = \varepsilon_\alpha \left(\vec{q}_\beta(s_\beta) \times \vec{h}_\beta(s_\beta) \right) = \varepsilon_\alpha \varepsilon_\beta \vec{a}_\beta(s_\beta). \quad (22)$$

Conversely, let N_α and N_β be two timelike ruled surfaces in E_1^3 satisfying (20) and (21). By differentiating (20) with respect to s_β , it follows

$$\varepsilon_\alpha k_2^\alpha(s_\alpha) \vec{h}_\alpha(s_\alpha) \frac{ds_\alpha}{ds_\beta} = \varepsilon_\alpha \varepsilon_\beta \left(\varepsilon_\beta k_2^\beta(s_\beta) \vec{h}_\beta(s_\beta) \right), \tag{23}$$

which gives us

$$\lambda_\beta^\alpha = \frac{k_2^\beta}{k_2^\alpha}, \quad \vec{h}_\alpha(s_\alpha) = \vec{h}_\beta(s_\beta). \tag{24}$$

Then from (20) and (24) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{q}_\alpha(s_\alpha) &= -\varepsilon_\alpha \vec{h}_\alpha(s_\alpha) \times \vec{a}_\alpha(s_\alpha) = -\varepsilon_\alpha \left(\varepsilon_\alpha \varepsilon_\beta \vec{h}_\beta(s_\beta) \times \vec{a}_\beta(s_\beta) \right) = -\varepsilon_\beta \vec{h}_\beta(s_\beta) \times \vec{a}_\beta(s_\beta) \\ &= \vec{q}_\beta(s_\beta) \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

which completes the proof. □

Theorem 4.3. *Let N_α and N_β be two timelike ruled surfaces in E_1^3 . Then N_α and N_β are timelike similar ruled surfaces with variable transformation if and only if the ratio of curvatures are the same i.e.,*

$$\frac{k_2^\beta(s_\beta)}{k_1^\beta(s_\beta)} = \frac{k_2^\alpha(s_\alpha)}{k_1^\alpha(s_\alpha)}, \tag{26}$$

under the particular variable transformation keeping equal total curvatures, i.e.,

$$\varphi_\beta(s_\beta) = \int k_1^\beta(s_\beta) ds_\beta = \int k_1^\alpha(s_\alpha) ds_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha(s_\alpha) \tag{27}$$

of the arc lengths.

Proof. Let N_α and N_β be two timelike similar ruled surfaces in E_1^3 with variable transformation. Then from (21) and (24) we have (26) under the variable transformation (27), and this transformation also leads from (21) by integration.

Conversely, let N_α and N_β be two timelike ruled surfaces in E_1^3 satisfying (26) and (27). From Theorem 3.2, the rulings \vec{q}_α and \vec{q}_β of the surfaces N_α and N_β satisfy the following vector differential equations of third order

$$\frac{d}{d\varphi_\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{f_\alpha(\varphi_\alpha)} \frac{d^2 \vec{q}_\alpha}{d\varphi_\alpha^2} \right) + \varepsilon_\alpha \left(\frac{1 - f_\alpha^2(\varphi_\alpha)}{f_\alpha(\varphi_\alpha)} \right) \frac{d\vec{q}_\alpha}{d\varphi_\alpha} - \varepsilon_\alpha \left(\frac{1}{f_\alpha^2(\varphi_\alpha)} \frac{df_\alpha(\varphi_\alpha)}{d\varphi_\alpha} \right) \vec{q}_\alpha = 0, \tag{28}$$

$$\frac{d}{d\varphi_\beta} \left(\frac{1}{f_\beta(\varphi_\beta)} \frac{d^2 \vec{q}_\beta}{d\varphi_\beta^2} \right) + \varepsilon_\beta \left(\frac{1 - f_\beta^2(\varphi_\beta)}{f_\beta(\varphi_\beta)} \right) \frac{d\vec{q}_\beta}{d\varphi_\beta} - \varepsilon_\beta \left(\frac{1}{f_\beta^2(\varphi_\beta)} \frac{df_\beta(\varphi_\beta)}{d\varphi_\beta} \right) \vec{q}_\beta = 0, \tag{29}$$

respectively, where

$$f_\alpha(\varphi_\alpha) = \frac{k_2^\alpha(\varphi_\alpha)}{k_1^\alpha(\varphi_\alpha)}, f_\beta(\varphi_\beta) = \frac{k_2^\beta(\varphi_\beta)}{k_1^\beta(\varphi_\beta)}, \varphi_\alpha(s_\alpha) = \int k_1^\alpha(s_\alpha) ds_\alpha, \varphi_\beta(s_\beta) = \int k_1^\beta(s_\beta) ds_\beta.$$

From (26) we have $f_\alpha(\varphi_\alpha) = f_\beta(\varphi_\beta)$ under the variable transformation $\varphi_\alpha = \varphi_\beta$. Thus under the equation (26) and transformation (27), the equations (28) and (29) are the same, i.e., they have the same solutions. It means that the rulings \vec{q}_α and \vec{q}_β are the same. Then N_α and N_β are two timelike similar ruled surfaces in E_1^3 with variable transformation. □

Theorem 4.4. *Let timelike ruled surfaces N_α and N_β be developable surfaces and let the striction lines have the same Lorentzian characters with the rulings. Then N_α and N_β are timelike similar ruled surfaces with variable transformation if and only if the striction curves of surfaces are similar curves with variable transformation.*

Proof. Let developable timelike ruled surfaces N_α and N_β be two timelike similar ruled surfaces in E_1^3 with variable transformation. Since the surfaces are developable, from Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\frac{d\vec{\alpha}}{ds_\alpha} = \vec{T}_\alpha(s_\alpha) = \vec{q}_\alpha(s_\alpha), \quad \frac{d\vec{\beta}}{ds_\beta} = \vec{T}_\beta(s_\beta) = \vec{q}_\beta(s_\beta). \quad (30)$$

where $\vec{T}_\alpha(s_\alpha)$ and $\vec{T}_\beta(s_\beta)$ are unit tangents of the striction curves $\vec{\alpha}(s_\alpha)$ and $\vec{\beta}(s_\beta)$, respectively. From (14) and (30) we have

$$\frac{d\vec{\alpha}}{ds_\alpha} = \vec{q}_\alpha(s_\alpha) = \vec{q}_\beta(s_\beta) = \frac{d\vec{\beta}}{ds_\beta}, \quad (31)$$

which shows that striction curves $\vec{\alpha}(s_\alpha)$ and $\vec{\beta}(s_\beta)$ are similar curves in E_1^3 .

Conversely, if the striction curves $\vec{\alpha}(s_\alpha)$ and $\vec{\beta}(s_\beta)$ are similar curves, then there exists a variable transformation between arc lengths such that

$$\frac{d\vec{\alpha}}{ds_\alpha} = \vec{T}_\alpha(s_\alpha) = \vec{T}_\beta(s_\beta) = \frac{d\vec{\beta}}{ds_\beta}. \quad (32)$$

Since the ruled surfaces are developable, from Theorem 3.1 we have $\vec{T}_\alpha(s_\alpha) = \vec{q}_\alpha(s_\alpha)$ and $\vec{T}_\beta(s_\beta) = \vec{q}_\beta(s_\beta)$. Then from (32) we have that $\vec{q}_\alpha(s_\alpha) = \vec{q}_\beta(s_\beta)$, i.e., N_α and N_β are timelike similar ruled surfaces with variable transformation. \square

Now, let us consider some special cases. From (16) and (24) we have

$$k_1^\beta = \lambda_\beta^\alpha k_1^\alpha, \quad k_2^\beta = \lambda_\beta^\alpha k_2^\alpha, \quad (33)$$

respectively. From (33) it is clear that if N_α is a timelike cylindrical surface i.e., $k_1^\alpha = 0$, then under the variable transformation the curvature does not change. So we have the following corollaries.

Corollary 4.1. *The family of timelike cylindrical surfaces forms a family of timelike similar ruled surfaces with variable transformation.*

If N_α is a timelike conoid surface i.e., $k_2^\alpha = 0$, then under the variable transformation the curvature does not change. So we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2. *The family of timelike conoid surfaces forms a family of timelike similar ruled surfaces with variable transformation.*

5. SPACELIKE SIMILAR RULED SURFACES IN MINKOWSKI 3-SPACE E_1^3

In this section we introduce the definition and characterizations of spacelike similar ruled surfaces with variable transformation in E_1^3 . First, we give the following definition.

Definition 5.1. Let N_α and N_β be two spacelike ruled surfaces in E_1^3 given by the parametrizations

$$\begin{cases} \vec{r}_\alpha(s_\alpha, v) = \vec{\alpha}(s_\alpha) + v \vec{q}_\alpha(s_\alpha), & \|\vec{q}_\alpha\| = 1 \\ \vec{r}_\beta(s_\beta, v) = \vec{\beta}(s_\beta) + v \vec{q}_\beta(s_\beta), & \|\vec{q}_\beta\| = 1 \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

respectively, where $\vec{\alpha}(s_\alpha)$ and $\vec{\beta}(s_\beta)$ are striction curves of N_α and N_β and s_α, s_β are arc length parameters of $\vec{\alpha}(s_\alpha)$ and $\vec{\beta}(s_\beta)$, respectively. Let the Frenet frames and invariants of N_α and N_β be $\{\vec{q}_\alpha, \vec{h}_\alpha, \vec{a}_\alpha\}$, k_1^α, k_2^α and $\{\vec{q}_\beta, \vec{h}_\beta, \vec{a}_\beta\}$, k_1^β, k_2^β , respectively. N_α and N_β are called spacelike similar ruled surfaces with variable transformation λ_β^α if there exists a variable transformation

$$s_\alpha = \int \lambda_\beta^\alpha(s_\beta) ds_\beta, \quad (35)$$

of the arc lengths such that the rulings are the same for two ruled surfaces i.e.,

$$\vec{q}_\alpha(s_\alpha) = \vec{q}_\beta(s_\beta), \quad (36)$$

for all corresponding values of parameters under the transformation λ_β^α . All spacelike ruled surfaces satisfying equation (36) are called a family of spacelike similar ruled surfaces with variable transformation.

Then we can give the following theorems characterizing spacelike similar ruled surfaces. Whenever we talk about N_α and N_β we mean that the surfaces are regular and have the parametrizations as given in (34).

Theorem 5.1. Let N_α and N_β be two spacelike ruled surfaces in E_1^3 . Then N_α and N_β are spacelike similar ruled surfaces with variable transformation if and only if the central normal vectors of the surfaces are the same, i.e.,

$$\vec{h}_\alpha(s_\alpha) = \vec{h}_\beta(s_\beta), \quad (37)$$

under the particular variable transformation

$$\lambda_\beta^\alpha = \frac{ds_\alpha}{ds_\beta} = \frac{k_1^\beta}{k_1^\alpha}, \quad (38)$$

of the arc lengths.

Proof. Let N_α and N_β be two spacelike similar ruled surfaces in E_1^3 with variable transformation. Then differentiating (36) with respect to s_β it follows

$$k_1^\alpha \lambda_\beta^\alpha \vec{h}_\alpha = k_1^\beta \vec{h}_\beta. \quad (39)$$

From (39) we obtain (37) and (38) immediately.

Conversely, let N_α and N_β be two spacelike ruled surfaces in E_1^3 satisfying (37) and (38). By multiplying (37) with k_1^β and differentiating obtained equality with respect to s_β we have

$$\int k_1^\beta(s_\beta) \vec{h}_\beta(s_\beta) ds_\beta = \int k_1^\beta(s_\beta) \vec{h}_\beta(s_\beta) \frac{ds_\beta}{ds_\alpha} ds_\alpha. \quad (40)$$

From (37) and (38) we obtain

$$\vec{q}_\beta(s_\beta) = \int k_1^\beta(s_\beta) \vec{h}_\beta(s_\beta) ds_\beta = \int k_1^\alpha(s_\alpha) \vec{h}_\alpha(s_\alpha) ds_\alpha = \vec{q}_\alpha(s_\alpha), \quad (41)$$

which means that N_α and N_β are spacelike similar ruled surfaces with variable transformation. □

Theorem 5.2. *Let N_α and N_β be two spacelike ruled surfaces in E_1^3 . Then N_α and N_β are spacelike similar ruled surfaces with variable transformation if and only if the asymptotic normal vectors of the surfaces are the same i.e.,*

$$\vec{a}_\alpha(s_\alpha) = \vec{a}_\beta(s_\beta), \quad (42)$$

under the particular variable transformation

$$\lambda_\beta^\alpha = \frac{ds_\alpha}{ds_\beta} = \frac{k_2^\beta}{k_2^\alpha}, \quad (43)$$

of the arc lengths.

Proof. Let N_α and N_β be two spacelike similar ruled surfaces in E_1^3 with variable transformation. Then from Definition 5.1 and Theorem 5.1 there exists a variable transformation of the arc lengths such that the rulings and central normal vectors are the same. Then from (36) and (37) we have

$$\vec{a}_\alpha(s_\alpha) = -\vec{q}_\alpha(s_\alpha) \times \vec{h}_\alpha(s_\alpha) = -\vec{q}_\beta(s_\beta) \times \vec{h}_\beta(s_\beta) = \vec{a}_\beta(s_\beta). \quad (44)$$

Conversely, let N_α and N_β be two spacelike ruled surfaces in E_1^3 satisfying (42) and (43). By differentiating (42) with respect to s_β we obtain

$$k_2^\alpha(s_\alpha) \vec{h}_\alpha(s_\alpha) \frac{ds_\alpha}{ds_\beta} = k_2^\beta(s_\beta) \vec{h}_\beta(s_\beta), \quad (45)$$

which gives us

$$\lambda_\beta^\alpha = \frac{k_2^\beta}{k_2^\alpha}, \quad \vec{h}_\alpha(s_\alpha) = \vec{h}_\beta(s_\beta). \quad (46)$$

Then from (42) and (46) we have

$$\vec{q}_\alpha(s_\alpha) = -\vec{h}_\alpha(s_\alpha) \times \vec{a}_\alpha(s_\alpha) = -\vec{h}_\beta(s_\beta) \times \vec{a}_\beta(s_\beta) = \vec{q}_\beta(s_\beta), \quad (47)$$

which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 5.3. *Let N_α and N_β be two spacelike ruled surfaces in E_1^3 . Then N_α and N_β are spacelike similar ruled surfaces with variable transformation if and only if the ratio of curvatures are the same i.e.,*

$$\frac{k_2^\beta(s_\beta)}{k_1^\beta(s_\beta)} = \frac{k_2^\alpha(s_\alpha)}{k_1^\alpha(s_\alpha)}, \quad (48)$$

under the particular variable transformation keeping equal total curvatures, i.e.,

$$\varphi_\beta(s_\beta) = \int k_1^\beta(s_\beta) ds_\beta = \int k_1^\alpha(s_\alpha) ds_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha(s_\alpha) \quad (49)$$

of the arc lengths.

Proof. Let N_α and N_β be two spacelike similar ruled surfaces in E_1^3 with variable transformation. Then from (43) and (46) we have (48) under the variable transformation (49), and this transformation also leads from (43) by integration. Moreover, making similar calculations given in the proof of Theorem 4.3, we have (49). \square

Theorem 5.4. *Let spacelike ruled surfaces N_α and N_β be developable surfaces. Then N_α and N_β are spacelike similar ruled surfaces with variable transformation if and only if the striction curves of the surfaces are similar curves with variable transformation.*

Proof. Let developable spacelike ruled surfaces N_α and N_β be two spacelike similar ruled surfaces in E_1^3 with variable transformation. Since the surfaces are developable, from Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\frac{d\vec{\alpha}}{ds_\alpha} = \vec{T}_\alpha(s_\alpha) = \vec{q}_\alpha(s_\alpha), \quad \frac{d\vec{\beta}}{ds_\beta} = \vec{T}_\beta(s_\beta) = \vec{q}_\beta(s_\beta), \tag{50}$$

where $\vec{T}_\alpha(s_\alpha)$ and $\vec{T}_\beta(s_\beta)$ are unit tangents of the striction curves $\vec{\alpha}(s_\alpha)$ and $\vec{\beta}(s_\beta)$, respectively. From (36) and (50) we have

$$\frac{d\vec{\alpha}}{ds_\alpha} = \vec{q}_\alpha(s_\alpha) = \vec{q}_\beta(s_\beta) = \frac{d\vec{\beta}}{ds_\beta} \tag{51}$$

which shows that striction curves $\vec{\alpha}(s_\alpha)$ and $\vec{\beta}(s_\beta)$ are similar curves in E_1^3 .

Conversely, if the striction curves $\vec{\alpha}(s_\alpha)$ and $\vec{\beta}(s_\beta)$ are similar curves, then there exists a variable transformation between arc lengths such that

$$\frac{d\vec{\alpha}}{ds_\alpha} = \vec{T}_\alpha(s_\alpha) = \vec{T}_\beta(s_\beta) = \frac{d\vec{\beta}}{ds_\beta}. \tag{52}$$

Since the ruled surfaces are developable, from Theorem 3.1 we have $\vec{T}_\alpha(s_\alpha) = \vec{q}_\alpha(s_\alpha)$ and $\vec{T}_\beta(s_\beta) = \vec{q}_\beta(s_\beta)$. From (52) we have that $\vec{q}_\alpha(s_\alpha) = \vec{q}_\beta(s_\beta)$, i.e., N_α and N_β are spacelike similar ruled surfaces with variable transformation. □

From (38) and (46) we have

$$k_1^\beta = \lambda_\beta^\alpha k_1^\alpha, \quad k_2^\beta = \lambda_\beta^\alpha k_2^\alpha, \tag{53}$$

respectively. From (53) it is clear that if N_α is a cylindrical surface i.e., $k_1^\alpha = 0$, then under the variable transformation the curvature does not change. So we have the following corollaries.

Corollary 5.1. *The family of spacelike cylindrical surfaces forms a family of spacelike similar ruled surfaces with variable transformation.*

If N_α is a spacelike conoid surface i.e., $k_2^\alpha = 0$, then under the variable transformation the curvature does not change. So we have the following corollary.

Corollary 5.2. *The family of spacelike conoid surfaces forms a family of spacelike similar ruled surfaces with variable transformation.*

6. CONCLUSIONS

In Minkowski 3-space E_1^3 , some special families of timelike and spacelike ruled surfaces are defined and called similar ruled surfaces. Some properties of these special surfaces are obtained and it is showed that developable ruled surfaces form a family of similar ruled surfaces in E_1^3 if and only if the striction curves of the surfaces are similar curves with variable transformation in E_1^3 . Of course, in Minkowski 3-space another type of the ruled surfaces is ruled surface with lightlike ruling. By considering this present paper, one can consider similar ruled surfaces with lightlike ruling and can obtain new characterizations for these surfaces.

REFERENCES

- [1] Beem, J.K. and Ehrlich, P.E., (1981), *Global Lorentzian Geometry*, Marcel Dekker, New York.
- [2] El-Sabbagh, M.F. and Ali, A.T., (2013), Similar Curves with Variable Transformations, *Konuralp J. Math.*, 1(2), pp. 80-90.
- [3] Kim, Y.H. and Yoon, W.D., (2000), Ruled surfaces with pointwise 1-type Gauss map, *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, 34, pp. 191-205.
- [4] Küçük, A., (2004), On the developable timelike trajectory ruled surfaces in Lorentz 3-space IR_1^3 , *App. Math. and Comp.*, 157, pp. 483-489.
- [5] Küçük, A. and Gürsoy, O., (2004), On the invariants of Bertrand trajectory surface offsets, *App. Math. and Comp.*, 151, pp. 763-773.
- [6] Liu, H. and Wang, F., (2008), Mannheim partner curves in 3-space, *Journal of Geometry*, 88(1-2) pp. 120-126.
- [7] O'Neill, B., (1983), *Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity*, Academic Press, London.
- [8] Orbay, K., Kasap, E. and Aydemir, İ., (2009), Mannheim Offsets of Ruled Surfaces, *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, Article ID 160917.
- [9] Önder, M., (2014), Similar Ruled Surfaces with Variable Transformations in Euclidean 3-space, *Konuralp J. Math.* 2(2), pp. 127-136.
- [10] Önder, M. and Uğurlu, H.H., (2013), Frenet Frames and Invariants of Timelike Ruled Surfaces, *Ain Shams Eng J.* 4, pp. 507-513.
- [11] Papaioannou, S.G. and Kiritsis, D., (1985), An application of Bertrand curves and surfaces to CAD/CAM, *Computer Aided Design*, 17(8), pp. 348-352.
- [12] Pottmann, H., L, W. and Ravani, B., (1996), Rational ruled surfaces and their offsets, *Graphical Models and Image Processing*, 58(6), pp. 544-552.
- [13] Ravani, B. and Ku, T.S., (1991), Bertrand Offsets of ruled and developable surfaces, *Comp. Aided Geom. Design*, 23(2), pp. 145-152.
- [14] Struik, D.J., (1988), *Lectures on Classical Differential Geometry*, 2nd ed. Addison Wesley, Dover.
- [15] Turgut, A. and Hacısalihoğlu, H.H., (1997), Timelike ruled surfaces in the Minkowski 3-space, *Far East J. Math. Sci.* 5(1), pp. 83-90.
- [16] Uğurlu, H.H. and Önder, M., (2009), On Frenet Frames and Frenet Invariants of Skew Spacelike Ruled Surfaces in Minkowski 3-space, VII. *Geometry Symposium*, Kızehir, Turkey.
- [17] Wang, F. and Liu, H., (2007), Mannheim partner curves in 3-Euclidean space, *Mathematics in Practice and Theory*, 37(1), pp. 141-143.



Mehmet Önder graduated and received his M.Sc. Degree from Celal Bayar University in 2002 and 2004, respectively. He obtained his PhD in 2012 from the same university. He is a Faculty of Arts and Sciences Member at Celal Bayar University since 2004. His research interests comprise: Differential geometry, line geometry, dual and dual Lorentzian geometry.