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#### Abstract

In this article, we get the existence and uniqueness of tripled fixed points without assuming the operator to be compact or continuous, which extends the existing corresponding results. As applications, we utilize the results obtained in this paper to study the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for a fractional differential equation boundary value problem.
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## 1. Introduction

In recent years, boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations with a variety of boundary conditions have been investigated by many researchers. Fractional differential equations appear naturally in various fields of science and engineering and constitute an important field of research. It should be noted that most papers dealing with the existence of solutions of nonlinear initial value problems of fractional differential equations mainly use the techniques of nonlinear analysis such as fixed point results, the Leary-Schauder theorem, stability, etc. (see for example [3]-[4]).

In 2012, V. Berinde and M. Borcut in [1] introduced the concept of tripled fixed point for nonlinear mappings in partially ordered complete metric spaces and obtained its existence. Recently, Y. Sang [6, 7] proved some results on a class of mixed monotone operators with perturbations. Following the paper of Sang, we will study tripled fixed point theorems for a class of mixed monotone operators with perturbations on ordered Banach spaces, and then we will get the existence and uniqueness of tripled fixed points without assuming the operator to be compact or continuous.
Suppose $(E,\|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space which is partially ordered by a cone $P \subseteq E$, that is, $x \leq y$ if and only if $y-x \in P$. If $x \neq y$, then we denote $x<y$ or $x>y$. We denote the zero element of $E$ by $\theta$. Recall that a non-empty closed convex set $P \subset E$ is a cone if it satisfies (i) $x \in P, \lambda \geq 0 \Longrightarrow \lambda x \in P$; (ii) $x \in P,-x \in P \Longrightarrow x=\theta$. A cone $P$ is called normal if there exists a constant $N>0$ such that $\theta \leq x \leq y$ implies $\|x\| \leq N\|y\|$.

[^0]Also we define the order interval $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]=\left\{x \in E \mid x_{1} \leq x \leq x_{2}\right\}$ for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in E$. We say that and operator $A: E \rightarrow E$ is increasing whenever $x \leq y$ implies $A x \leq A y$. For all $x, y \in E$, the notation $x \sim y$ means that there exist $\lambda>0$ and $\mu>0$, such that $\lambda x \leq y \leq \mu x$. Clearly, $\sim$ is an equivalent relation. Given $h>\theta$, we denote by $P_{h}$ the set $P_{h}=\{x \in E \mid x \sim h\}$. It is easy to see that $P_{h} \subset P$ is convex and $\lambda P_{h}=P_{h}$ for all $\lambda>0$. If $P \neq \phi$ and $h \in P$, it is clear that $P_{h}=P$. Also recall that an operator $A: E \rightarrow E$ is sub-linear, if for any $x, y \in E, A(x+y) \leq A(x)+A(y)$.

Definition 1.1. [1] Let $(X, \leq)$ be a partially ordered set and $F: X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$. We say $F$ has the mixed monotone property if for any $x, y, z \in X$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}, x_{2} \in X, x_{1} \leq x_{2} \text { implies } F\left(x_{1}, y, z\right) \leq F\left(x_{2}, y, z\right),  \tag{1}\\
& y_{1}, y_{2} \in X, y_{1} \leq y_{2} \operatorname{implies} F\left(x, y_{1}, z\right) \geq F\left(x, y_{2}, z\right),  \tag{2}\\
& \text { and } z_{1}, z_{2} \in X, z_{1} \leq z_{2} \text { implies } F\left(x, y, z_{1}\right) \leq F\left(x, y, z_{2}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 1.2. [1] An element $(x, y, z) \in X \times X \times X$ is called a tripled fixed point of a mapping $F: X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$ if $F(x, y, z)=x, F(y, x, y)=y$ and $F(z, y, x)=z$.

Theorem 1.1. [6] Let $P$ be a normal cone in $E$, and $A: P \times P \rightarrow P$ a mixed monotone operator. Let $B: E \rightarrow E$ be sublinear. Assume that for all $a<t<b$, there exist two positive-valued functions $\tau(t), \varphi(t, x, y)$ on interval $(a, b)$ such that
$\left(H_{1}\right) \tau:(a, b) \rightarrow(0,1)$ is surjection;
$\left(H_{2}\right) \varphi(t, x, y)>\tau(t)$ for all $t \in(a, b), x, y \in P$;
( $H_{3}$ ) $A\left(\tau(t) x, \frac{1}{\tau(t)} y\right) \geq \varphi(t, x, y) A(x, y)$ for all $t \in(a, b), x, y \in P ; \operatorname{item}\left[\left(H_{4}\right)\right]$
$(I-B)^{-1}: E \rightarrow E$ exists and is an increasing operator.
Furthermore, for any $t \in(a, b), \phi(t, x, y)$ is non-increasing in $x$ for fixed $y$, and nondecreasing in $y$ for fixed $x$. In addition, suppose that there exist $h \in P-\{\theta\}$ and $t_{0} \in(a, b)$ such that

$$
\tau\left(t_{0}\right) h \leq(I-B)^{-1} A(h, h) \leq \frac{\varphi\left(t_{0}, \frac{h}{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)}, \tau\left(t_{0}\right) h\right)}{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)} h .
$$

Then
(i) there are $u_{0}, v_{0} \in P_{h}$ and $r \in(0,1)$ such that $r v_{0} \leq u_{0} \leq v_{0}, u_{0} \leq(I-B)^{-1} A\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) \leq$ $(I-B)^{-1} A\left(v_{0}, u_{0}\right) \leq v_{0}$;
(ii) equation (4) has a unique solution $x^{*}$ in $\left[u_{0}, v_{0}\right]$;
(iii) for any initial $x_{0}, y_{0} \in P_{h}$, constructing successively the sequences

$$
x_{n}=(I-B)^{-1} A\left(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}\right), y_{n}=(I-B)^{-1} A\left(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}\right), n=1,2, \ldots,
$$

we have $\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

## 2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. Let $P$ be a normal cone in $E$, and $A: P \times P \times P \rightarrow P$ a mixed monotone operator. Let $B: E \rightarrow E$ be sublinear. Assume that for all $a<t<b$, there exist two positive-valued functions $\tau(t), \varphi(t, x, y, z)$ on an interval $(a, b)$ such that
(H1) $\tau:(a, b) \longrightarrow(0,1)$ is a surjective;
(H2) $\varphi(t, x, y, z)>\tau(t)$ for all $t \in(a, b), x, y, z \in P$;
(H3) $A\left(\tau(t) x, \frac{1}{\tau(t)} y, \tau(t) z\right) \geq \varphi(t, x, y, z) A(x, y, z)$ for all $t \in(a, b), x, y, z \in P$;
(H4) $(I-B)^{-1}: E \rightarrow E$ exists and is an increasing operator.
For any $t \in(a, b), \varphi(t, x, y, z)$ is nondecreasing in $x, z$ for fixed $y$ and non-increasing in $y$
for fixed $x, z$. In addition, suppose that there exist $h \in P \backslash\{\theta\}$ and $t_{0} \in(a, b)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)}{\varphi\left(t_{0}, h, h, h\right)} h \leq(I-B)^{-1} A(h, h, h) \leq \frac{1}{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)} h \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then
(i) there are $u_{0}, v_{0}, \xi_{0} \in P_{h}$ and $r \in(0,1)$ such that $r v_{0} \leq u_{0} \leq v_{0}, r v_{0} \leq \xi_{0} \leq v_{0}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{0} \leq(I-B)^{-1} A\left(u_{0}, v_{0}, u_{0}\right) \leq(I-B)^{-1} A\left(v_{0}, u_{0}, v_{0}\right) \leq v_{0} \\
& \xi_{0} \leq(I-B)^{-1} A\left(\xi_{0}, v_{0}, \xi_{0}\right) \leq(I-B)^{-1} A\left(v_{0}, \xi_{0}, v_{0}\right) \leq v_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) equation $A(x, x, x)+B(x)=x, x \in E$ has a unique solution $x^{*}$ in $\left[\overline{u_{0}}, v_{0}\right]$ that $\overline{u_{0}}=$ $\max \left\{u_{0}, \xi_{0}\right\}$;
(iii) for any initial $x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0} \in P_{h}$, constructing successively the sequences

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{n}=(I-B)^{-1} A\left(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, z_{n-1}\right), \quad y_{n}=(I-B)^{-1} A\left(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}\right) \\
z_{n}=(I-B)^{-1} A\left(z_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}\right), \quad n=1,2, \ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

we have $\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\left\|z_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. For convenience, we denote $C=(I-B)^{-1} A$. By the fact that operator $B$ is sub-linear, we have $B \theta \geq \theta$, which together with $(H 4)$ imply, $\theta \leq(I-B)^{-1} \theta \leq(I-$ $B)^{-1} x, \quad x \in P$. Consequently, $(I-B)^{-1}$ is a positive operator. Hence we have that $C: P \times P \times P \longrightarrow P$. According to $(H 4)$, we have that $C$ is mixed monotone.
Since $B$ is sub-linear, we know that for any $x \in P$ and $\beta \in(0,1)$, we obtain $(I-B)(\beta x) \leq$ $\beta(I-B) x$. Thus $(I-B)\left(\beta(I-B)^{-1} x\right) \leq \beta(I-B)(I-B)^{-1} x=\beta x ;$ i.e.,$(I-B)(\beta(I-$ $\left.B)^{-1} x\right) \leq \beta x$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(I-B)^{-1} x \leq(I-B)^{-1}(\beta x) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $t \in(a, b)$, it follows from $(H 1)-(H 4)$ and (5) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
C\left(\tau(t) x, \frac{1}{\tau(t)} y, \tau(t) z\right)=(I-B)^{-1} A\left(\tau(t) x, \frac{1}{\tau(t)} y, \tau(t) z\right)  \tag{6}\\
\geq(I-B)^{-1} \varphi(t, x, y, z) A(x, y, z) \\
\geq \varphi(t, x, y, z)(I-B)^{-1} A(x, y, z)=\varphi(t, x, y, z) C(x, y, z)
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $\tau\left(t_{0}\right)<\varphi\left(t_{0}, h, h, h\right)$, we can take a positive integer $k$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\varphi\left(t_{0}, h, h, h\right)}{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)}\right)^{k} \geq \frac{1}{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\xi_{0}=u_{0}=\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k} h, v_{0}=\frac{1}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k}} h$, and construct successively the sequences $u_{n}=$ $C\left(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}, \xi_{n-1}\right), v_{n}=C\left(v_{n-1}, u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}\right), \xi_{n}=C\left(\xi_{n-1}, v_{n-1}, u_{n-1}\right), n=1,2, \ldots$. It is clear that $u_{0}, v_{0}, \xi_{0} \in P$ and $u_{0}<v_{0}, \xi_{0}<v_{0}, u_{1}=C\left(u_{0}, v_{0}, \xi_{0}\right) \leq C\left(v_{0}, u_{0}, v_{0}\right)=v_{1}$ and $\xi_{1}=C\left(\xi_{0}, v_{0}, u_{0}\right) \leq C\left(v_{0}, u_{0}, v_{0}\right)=v_{1}$. In general, we obtain $u_{n} \leq v_{n}, \xi_{n} \leq v_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$ . Note that $\varphi(t, x, y, z)>\tau(t)$ for all $t \in(a, b), x, y, z \in P$. Combining (4) with (11), we
have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}= C\left(u_{0}, v_{0}, \xi_{0}\right)=C\left(\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k} h, \frac{1}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k}} h,\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k} h\right) \\
&= C\left(\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1} h, \frac{1}{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)} \frac{1}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1}} h, \tau\left(t_{0}\right)\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1} h\right) \\
& \geq \varphi\left(t_{0},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1} h, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1}},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1} h\right) C\left(\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1} h, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1}},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1} h\right) \\
&= \varphi\left(t_{0},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1} h, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1}},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1} h\right) C\left(\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-2} h, \frac{1}{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)} \frac{1}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-2}} h,\right. \\
&\left.\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-2} h\right) \\
& \geq \varphi\left(t_{0},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1} h, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1}},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1} h\right) \varphi\left(t_{0},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-2} h, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-2}},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-2} h\right) \\
& \times C\left(\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-2} h, \frac{1}{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)} \frac{1}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-2}} h, \tau\left(t_{0}\right)\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-2} h\right) \\
& \geq \ldots \geq \varphi\left(t_{0},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1} h, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1}},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1} h\right) \varphi\left(t_{0},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-2} h, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-2}},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-2} h\right) \\
& \times \ldots \varphi\left(t_{0}, h, h, h\right) C(h, h, h) \\
& \geq {\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1} \varphi\left(t_{0}, h, h, h\right) C(h, h, h) \geq\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k} h=u_{0} }
\end{aligned}
$$

and, similarly is proved that $\xi_{1} \geq \xi_{0}$.
From (11), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(\frac{x}{\tau(t)}, \tau(t) y, \frac{z}{\tau(t))}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\varphi\left(t, \frac{x}{\tau(t)}, \tau(t) y, \frac{z}{\tau(t))}\right)} C(x, y, z), \forall t \in(a, b), x, y, z \in P \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\varphi(t, x, y, z)$ is non-decreasing in $x, z$ and non-increasing in $y$, it follows from (4), (12) and (2.1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{1} & =C\left(v_{0}, u_{0}, v_{0}\right)=C\left(\frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k}},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k} h, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k}}\right) \\
& =C\left(\frac{1}{\tau(t)_{0}} \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1}}, \tau\left(t_{0}\right)\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1} h, \frac{1}{\tau(t)_{0}} \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\varphi\left(t_{0}, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k}},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k} h, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k}}\right)} C\left(\frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1}},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1} h, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\varphi\left(t_{0}, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k}},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k} h, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k}}\right)} C\left(\frac{1}{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)} \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-2}}, \tau\left(t_{0}\right)\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-2} h, \frac{1}{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)} \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-2}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\varphi\left(t_{0}, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k}},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k} h, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k}}\right)} \frac{h}{\varphi\left(t_{0}, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1}},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1} h, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1}}\right)} \\
& \times C\left(\frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-2}},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-2} h, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-2}}\right) \\
& \leq \ldots \leq \frac{1}{\varphi\left(t_{0}, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k}},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k} h, \frac{h}{\left.\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k}\right)} \frac{\varphi\left(t_{0}, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1}},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1} h, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1}}\right)}{}\right.} \begin{array}{l} 
\\
\end{array} \quad . \times \frac{1}{\varphi\left(t_{0}, \frac{h}{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)}, \tau\left(t_{0}\right) h, \frac{h}{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)}\right)} C(h, h, h)<\frac{h}{\left[\varphi\left(t_{0}, h, h, h\right)\right]^{k}} \frac{h}{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k}} h=v_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0} \leq u_{1} \leq v_{1} \leq v_{0}, \quad \xi_{0} \leq \xi_{1} \leq v_{1} \leq v_{0} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By induction, it is easy to obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{0} \leq u_{1} \leq \ldots \leq u_{n} \leq \ldots \leq v_{n} \leq \ldots \leq v_{1} \leq v_{0} \\
& \xi_{0} \leq \xi_{1} \leq \ldots \leq \xi_{n} \leq \ldots \leq v_{n} \leq \ldots \leq v_{1} \leq v_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Take any $r \in\left(0,\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{2 k}\right)$, then $r \in(0,1)$ and $u_{0} \geq r v_{0}, \xi_{0} \geq r v_{0}$. So we can know that $u_{n} \geq u_{0} \geq r v_{0} \geq r v_{n}, \xi_{n} \geq \xi_{0} \geq r v_{0} \geq r v_{n}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots$. Let $r_{n}=\sup \left\{r>0 \mid u_{n} \geq\right.$ $\left.r v_{n}, \quad \xi_{n} \geq r v_{n}\right\}$.
Thus, we have $u_{n} \geq r_{n} v_{n}, \xi_{n} \geq r_{n} v_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$, and then
$u_{n+1} \geq u_{n} \geq r_{n} v_{n} \geq r_{n} v_{n+1}, n=1,2, \ldots, \xi_{n+1} \geq \xi_{n} \geq r_{n} v_{n} \geq r_{n} v_{n+1}, n=1,2, \ldots$ Therefore, $r_{n+1} \geq r_{n}$; i.e., $0<r_{0} \leq r_{1} \leq \ldots \leq r_{n} \leq \ldots \leq 1$.
Set $r^{*}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}$, we will show that $r^{*}=1$. In fact, if $0<r^{*}<1$, by $(H 1)$, there exists $t_{1} \in(a, b)$ such that $\tau\left(t_{1}\right)=r^{*}$. Consider the following two cases:
Case i: There exists an integer $N$ such that $r_{N}=r^{*}$. In this case, we have $r_{n}=r^{*}$ and $u_{n} \geq r^{*} v_{n}$ for all $n \geq N$ hold. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{n+1} & =C\left(u_{n}, v_{n}, \xi_{n}\right) \geq C\left(r^{*} v_{n}, \frac{1}{r^{*}} u_{n}, r^{*} v_{n}\right) \\
& =C\left(\tau\left(t_{1}\right) v_{n}, \frac{1}{\tau\left(t_{1}\right)} u_{n}, \tau\left(t_{1}\right) v_{n}\right) \\
& \geq \varphi\left(t_{1}, v_{n}, u_{n}, v_{n}\right) C\left(v_{n}, u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \\
& \geq \varphi\left(t_{1}, v_{0}, u_{0}, v_{0}\right) C\left(v_{0}, u_{0}, v_{0}\right)=\varphi\left(t_{1}, v_{0}, u_{0}, v_{0}\right) v_{n+1}, \quad n \geq N
\end{aligned}
$$

By the definition of $r_{n}$, we have, $r_{n+1}=r^{*} \geq \varphi\left(t_{1}, v_{0}, u_{0}, v_{0}\right)>\tau\left(t_{1}\right)=r^{*}, n \geq N$, which is a contradiction.
Case ii: For all integers $n, r_{n}<r^{*}$. Then we obtain $0<\frac{r_{n}}{r^{*}}<1$. By $(H 1)$, there exists $z_{n} \in(a, b)$ such that $\tau\left(z_{n}\right)=\frac{r_{n}}{r^{*}}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{n+1} & =C\left(u_{n}, v_{n}, \xi_{n}\right) \geq C\left(r_{n} v_{n}, \frac{1}{r_{n}} u_{n}, r_{n} v_{n}\right) \\
& =C\left(\frac{r_{n}}{r^{*}} r^{*} v_{n}, \frac{1}{\frac{r_{n}}{r^{*}} r^{*}} u_{n}, \frac{r_{n}}{r^{*}} r^{*} v_{n}\right)=C\left(\tau\left(z_{n}\right) r^{*} v_{n}, \frac{1}{\tau\left(z_{n}\right) r^{*}} u_{n}, \tau\left(z_{n}\right) r^{*} v_{n}\right) \\
& \geq \varphi\left(z_{n}, r^{*} v_{n}, \frac{1}{r^{*}} u_{n}, r^{*} v_{n}\right) C\left(r^{*} v_{n}, \frac{1}{r^{*}} u_{n}, r^{*} v_{n}\right) \\
& \geq \varphi\left(z_{n}, r^{*} v_{0}, \frac{1}{r^{*}} u_{0}, r^{*} v_{0}\right) C\left(\tau\left(t_{1}\right) v_{n}, \frac{1}{\tau\left(t_{1}\right)} u_{n}, \tau\left(t_{1}\right) v_{n}\right) \\
& \geq \varphi\left(z_{n}, r^{*} v_{0}, \frac{1}{r^{*}} u_{0}, r^{*} v_{0}\right) \varphi\left(t_{1}, v_{n}, u_{n}, v_{n}\right) C\left(v_{n}, u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \\
& \geq \varphi\left(z_{n}, r^{*} v_{0}, \frac{1}{r^{*}} u_{0}, r^{*} v_{0}\right) \varphi\left(t_{1}, u_{0}, v_{0}, u_{0}\right) v_{n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the definition of $r_{n}$, we have,
$r_{n+1} \geq \varphi\left(z_{n}, r^{*} u_{0}, \frac{1}{r^{*}} v_{0}, r^{*} u_{0}\right) \varphi\left(t_{1}, u_{0}, v_{0}, u_{0}\right)>\tau\left(z_{n}\right) \varphi\left(t_{1}, u_{0}, v_{0}, u_{0}\right)=\frac{r_{n}}{r^{*}} \varphi\left(t_{1}, u_{0}, v_{0}, u_{0}\right)$. Let $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have, $r^{*} \geq \varphi\left(t_{1}, u_{0}, v_{0}, u_{0}\right)>\tau\left(t_{1}\right)=r^{*}$, which is also a contradiction. Thus, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}=1$.
Furthermore, as in the proof of [[2], Theorem 2.1], there exists $x^{*} \in\left[\bar{u}_{0}, v_{0}\right]$ such that $\bar{u}_{0}=\max \left\{u_{0}, \xi_{0}\right\}$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{u}_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{n}=x^{*}$, and $x^{*}$ is the fixed point of operator $C$. Let

$$
c_{1}=\sup \left\{0<c \leq 1 \left\lvert\, c x_{*} \leq x^{*} \leq \frac{1}{c} x_{*}\right.\right\}
$$

Clearly, $0<c_{1} \leq 1$ and $c_{1} x_{*} \leq x^{*} \leq \frac{1}{c_{1}} x_{*}$. If $0<c_{1}<1$, according to (H1), there exists $t_{2} \in(a, b)$ such that $\tau\left(t_{2}\right)=c_{1}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{*} & =C\left(x^{*}, x^{*}, x^{*}\right) \geq C\left(c_{1} x_{*}, \frac{1}{c_{1}} x_{*}, c_{1} x_{*}\right) \\
& =C\left(\tau\left(t_{2}\right) x_{*}, \frac{1}{\tau\left(t_{2}\right)} x_{*}, \tau\left(t_{2}\right) x_{*}\right) \\
& \geq \varphi\left(t_{2}, x_{*}, x_{*}, x_{*}\right) C\left(x_{*}, x_{*}, x_{*}\right)=\varphi\left(t_{2}, x_{*}, x_{*}, x_{*}\right) x_{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{*} & =C\left(x^{*}, x^{*}, x^{*}\right) \leq C\left(\frac{1}{c_{1}} x_{*}, c_{1} x_{*}, \frac{1}{c_{1}} x_{*}\right) \\
& =C\left(\frac{1}{\tau\left(t_{2}\right)} x_{*}, \tau\left(t_{2}\right) x_{*}, \frac{1}{\tau\left(t_{2}\right)} x_{*}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\varphi\left(t_{2}, \frac{x_{*}}{\tau\left(t_{2}\right)}, \tau\left(t_{2}\right) x_{*}, \frac{x_{*}}{\tau\left(t_{2}\right)}\right)} C\left(x_{*}, x_{*}, x_{*}\right)=\frac{1}{\varphi\left(t_{2}, \frac{x_{*}}{\tau\left(t_{2}\right)}, \tau\left(t_{2}\right) x_{*}, \frac{x_{*}}{\tau\left(t_{2}\right)}\right)} x_{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\varphi\left(t_{2}, x_{*}, x_{*}, x_{*}\right) \leq \varphi\left(t_{2}, \frac{x_{*}}{\tau\left(t_{2}\right)}, \tau\left(t_{2}\right) x_{*}, \frac{x_{*}}{\tau\left(t_{2}\right)}\right)
$$

we have

$$
\varphi\left(t_{2}, x_{*}, x_{*}, x_{*}\right) x_{*} \leq x^{*} \leq \frac{1}{\varphi\left(t_{2}, x_{*}, x_{*}, x_{*}\right)} x_{*}
$$

Hence, $c_{1} \geq \varphi\left(t_{2}, x_{*}, x_{*}, x_{*}\right)>\tau\left(t_{2}\right)=c_{1}$, which is a contradiction. Thus we have $c_{1}=1$; i.e., $x^{*}=x_{*}$. Therefore, $C$ has a unique fixed point $x^{*}$ in $P_{h}$. Note that $\left[\bar{u}_{0}, v_{0}\right] \subset P_{h}$, so we know that $x^{*}$ is the unique fixed point of $C$ in $\left[\bar{u}_{0}, v_{0}\right]$. For any initial $x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0} \in P_{h}$, we can choose a small number $\bar{e} \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\bar{e} h \leq x_{0} \leq \frac{1}{\bar{e}} h, \quad \bar{e} h \leq y_{0} \leq \frac{1}{\bar{e}} h, \quad \bar{e} h \leq z_{0} \leq \frac{1}{\bar{e}} h .
$$

From $(H 1)$, there is $t_{3} \in(a, b)$ such that $\tau\left(t_{3}\right)=\bar{e}$, thus

$$
\tau\left(t_{3}\right) h \leq x_{0} \leq \frac{1}{\tau\left(t_{3}\right)} h, \quad \tau\left(t_{3}\right) h \leq y_{0} \leq \frac{1}{\tau\left(t_{3}\right)} h, \quad \tau\left(t_{3}\right) h \leq z_{0} \leq \frac{1}{\tau\left(t_{3}\right)} h
$$

We can choose a sufficiently large positive integer $q$ such that, $\left(\frac{\varphi\left(t_{3}, h, h, h\right)}{\tau\left(t_{3}\right)}\right)^{q} \geq \frac{1}{\tau\left(t_{3}\right)}$. Take $\hat{\xi}_{0}=\hat{u}_{0}=\left[\tau\left(t_{3}\right)\right]^{q} h, \hat{v}_{0}=\frac{1}{\left[\tau\left(t_{3}\right)\right]^{q}} h$. We can find that

$$
\hat{u}_{0} \leq x_{0} \leq \hat{v}_{0}, \quad \hat{u}_{0} \leq y_{0} \leq \hat{v}_{0}, \quad \hat{u}_{0} \leq z_{0} \leq \hat{v}_{0}
$$

Constructing successively the sequences

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{n}=C\left(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, z_{n-1}\right), \quad y_{n}=C\left(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}\right), \quad z_{n}=C\left(z_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}\right), \\
\\
n=1,2, \ldots \\
\hat{u}_{n}=C\left(\hat{u}_{n-1}, \hat{v}_{n-1}, \hat{\xi}_{n-1}\right), \quad \hat{v}_{n}=C\left(\hat{v}_{n-1}, \hat{u}_{n-1}, \hat{v}_{n-1}\right), \quad \hat{\xi}_{n}=C\left(\hat{\xi}_{n-1}, \hat{v}_{n-1}, \hat{u}_{n-1}\right), \\
n=1,2, \ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

By using the mixed monotone properties of operator $C, \hat{\eta}_{n} \leq x_{n} \leq \hat{v}_{n}, \hat{\eta}_{n} \leq y_{n} \leq \hat{v}_{n}, \hat{\eta}_{n} \leq$ $z_{n} \leq \hat{v}_{n}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots$ which $\hat{\eta_{n}}=\max \left\{\hat{u}_{n}, \hat{\xi}_{n}\right\}$.
Similarly to the above proof, we can know that there exists $y^{*} \in P_{h}$ such that, $C\left(y^{*}, y^{*}, y^{*}\right)=$ $y^{*}, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\eta_{n}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{v}_{n}=y^{*}$. By the uniqueness of fixed points of operator $C$ in $P_{h}$, we have $y^{*}=x^{*}$. Taking into account that $P$ is normal, we deduce that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=$ $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{n}=x^{*}$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.2. Let $P$ be a normal cone in $E$, and $A: P \times P \times P \rightarrow P$ a mixed monotone operator. Let $B: E \rightarrow E$ be sublinear. Assume that for all $a<t<b$, there exist two positive-valued functions $\tau(t), \varphi(t, x, y, z)$ on interval $(a, b)$ such that the properties $(H 1)-(H 4)$ in Theorem (2.1) are satisfied. Furthermore, for any $t \in(a, b), \varphi(t, x, y, z)$ is non-increasing in $x$ and $z$ for fixed $y$, and non-decreasing in $y$ for fixed $x$ or $z$. In addition, suppose that there exist $h \in P \backslash\{\theta\}$ and $t_{0} \in(a, b)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(t_{0}\right) h \leq(I-B)^{-1} A(h, h, h) \leq \frac{\varphi\left(t_{0}, \frac{h}{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)}, \tau\left(t_{0}\right) h, \frac{h}{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)}\right)}{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)} h . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the conclusions (i), (ii), (iii) in Theorem (2.1) hold.
Proof. As in the proof of Theorem (2.1), it suffices to verify that (2.3) holds. For any $t \in(a, b)$, note that $\varphi(t, x, y, z)$ is non-increasing in $x, z$ and non-decreasing in $y$, it follows from (14), (11) and (12) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{1} & =C\left(u_{0}, v_{0}, \xi_{0}\right)=C\left(\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k} h, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k}},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k} h\right) \\
& \geq \varphi\left(t_{0},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1} h, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1}},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1} h\right) \varphi\left(t_{0},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-2} h, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-2}},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-2} h\right) \ldots \\
& \varphi\left(t_{0}, h, h, h\right) C(h, h, h) \geq\left[\varphi\left(t_{0}, h, h, h\right)\right]^{k} \tau\left(t_{0}\right) h \geq\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k} h=u_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, is proved that $\xi_{1} \geq \xi_{0}$.
Note that $\varphi(t, x, y, z)>\tau(t)$ for all $t \in(a, b), x, y, z \in P$. Combining (14) with (2.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{1} & =C\left(v_{0}, u_{0}, v_{0}\right)=C\left(\frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k}},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k}, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\varphi\left(t_{0}, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k}},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k}, \frac{h}{\left.\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k}\right)} \frac{1}{\varphi\left(t_{0}, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1}},\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1}, \frac{h}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1}}\right)} \cdots\right.} \\
& \times \frac{1}{\varphi\left(t_{0}, \frac{h}{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)}, \tau\left(t_{0}\right), \frac{h}{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)}\right)} C(h, h, h)<\frac{1}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k-1}} \frac{1}{\varphi\left(t_{0}, \frac{h}{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)}, \tau\left(t_{0}\right), \frac{h}{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)}\right)} C(h, h, h) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\left[\tau\left(t_{0}\right)\right]^{k}} h=v_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we know that (2.3) holds. The rest proof is similar to that of Theorem (2.1).
2.1. Application. We study the existence and uniqueness of a positive solution for the fractional differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D^{\alpha}}{D t} u(t)=f(t, u(t)) t \in[0,1], 3<\alpha \leq 4 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subject to conditions;

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=u^{\prime}(0)=u(1)=u^{\prime}(1)=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D^{\alpha}$ is the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order $\alpha$., consider the Ba nach space of continuous functions on $[0,1]$ with sup norm and set $P=\{y \in C[0,1]$ : $\left.\min _{t \in[0,1]} y(t) \geq 0\right\}$. Then $P$ is normal cone. From [5], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Given $y \in C[0,1]$ and $3<\alpha \leq 4$, the unique solution of the fractional differential equation

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{D^{\alpha}}{D t} u(t)=f(t, u(t)) t \in[0,1], 3<\alpha \leq 4  \tag{13}\\
u(0)=u^{\prime}(0)=u(1)=u^{\prime}(1)=0
\end{array}
$$

is given by
$u(t)=\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f(s, y(s)) d s$ where

$$
G(t, s)= \begin{cases}\frac{(t-1)^{\alpha-1}+(1-s)^{\alpha-2} t^{\alpha-2}[(s-t)+(\alpha-2)(1-t) s]}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1 \\ \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-2} t^{\alpha-2}[(s-t)+(\alpha-2)(1-t) s]}{\Gamma(\alpha)} & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

If $f(t, u(t))=1$ the unique solution of (2.1)is given by

$$
u_{0}(t)=\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) d s=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} t^{\alpha-2}(1-t)^{2}
$$

Lemma 2.2. [5] The Green's function $G(t, s)$ has the following properties:
(1) $G(t, s)>0$ and $G(t, s)$ is continuous for $t, s \in[0,1]$;
(2) $\frac{(\alpha-2) h(t) k(s)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \leq G(t, s) \leq \frac{M_{0} k(s)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$, where
$M_{0}=\max \left\{\alpha-1,(\alpha-2)^{2}\right\}, h(t)=t^{\alpha-2}(1-t)^{2}, k(s)=s^{2}(1-s)^{\alpha-2}$.
Theorem 2.3. Let $f(t, u(t), v(t), w(t)) \in C([0,1] \times[0, \infty) \times[0, \infty))$ is increasing in $u, w$ and decreasing in $v$. Assume that for all $a<t<b$, there exist two positive-valued functions $\tau(t), \varphi(t, u, v, w)$ on an interval $(a, b)$ such that
$\left(H_{1}\right) \tau:(a, b) \rightarrow(0,1)$ is surjection;
$\left(H_{2}\right) \varphi(t, u, v, w)>\tau(t)$ for all $t \in(a, b), u, v, w \in P$;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$
$\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f\left(s, \tau(t) u(s), \frac{1}{\tau(t)} v(s), \tau(t) w(s)\right) \geq \varphi(t, u, v, w) \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f(s, u(s), v(s), w(s)) d s$
Furthermore, for any $t \in(a, b), \varphi(t, u, v, w)$ is non-increasing in $u$ for fixed $v, w$, nondecreasing in $v$ for fixed $u, w$ and non-increasing in $w$ for fixed $u, v$. In addition, suppose that there exist $h \in P \backslash\{\theta\}$ and $t_{0} \in(a, b)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(t_{0}\right) h \leq \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f(s, h(s), h(s), h(s)) d s \leq \frac{\varphi\left(t_{0}, \frac{h}{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)}, \tau\left(t_{0}\right) h, \frac{h}{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)}\right)}{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)} h \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then
(i) there are $u_{0}, v_{0}, \xi_{0} \in P_{h}$ and $r \in(0,1)$ such that $r v_{0} \leq u_{0} \leq v_{0}, r v_{0} \leq \xi_{0} \leq v_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{0} & \leq \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f\left(s, u_{0}(s), v_{0}(s), u_{0}(s)\right) d s \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f\left(s, v_{0}(s), u_{0}(s), v_{0}(s)\right) d s \leq v_{0} \\
\xi_{0} & \leq \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f\left(s, \xi_{0}(s), v_{0}(s), \xi_{0}(s)\right) d s \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f\left(s, v_{0}(s), \xi_{0}(s), v_{0}(s)\right) d s \leq v_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) equation (4) has a unique solution $x^{*}$ in $\left[\overline{u_{0}}, v_{0}\right]$ that $\overline{u_{0}}=\max \left\{u_{0}, \xi_{0}\right\}$;
(iii) for any initial $u_{0}, v_{0}, \xi_{0} \in P_{h}$, constructing successively the sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{n} & =\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f\left(s, u_{n-1}(s), v_{n-1}(s), \xi_{n-1}(s)\right) d s \\
v_{n} & =\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f\left(s, v_{n-1}(s), u_{n-1}(s), v_{n-1}(s)\right) d s \\
\xi_{n} & =\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f\left(s, \xi_{n-1}(s), v_{n-1}(s), u_{n-1}(s)\right) d s, \quad n=1,2, \ldots,
\end{aligned}
$$

we have $\left\|u_{n}-u^{*}\right\| \rightarrow 0,\left\|v_{n}-v^{*}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\left\|\xi_{n}-\xi^{*}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. By using Lemma (12), the problem is equivalent to the integral equation $u(t)=$ $\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f(s, y(s)) d s$ where

$$
G(t, s)= \begin{cases}\frac{(t-1)^{\alpha-1}+(1-s)^{\alpha-2} t^{\alpha-2}[(s-t)+(\alpha-2)(1-t) s]}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1 \\ \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-2} t^{\alpha-2}[(s-t)+(\alpha-2)(1-t) s]}{\Gamma(\alpha)} & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

Define the operator $A: P \times P \times P \rightarrow E$ by the following,

$$
A(u(t), v(t), \xi(t))=\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f(s, u(s), v(s), \xi(s)) d s
$$

Then $u$ is solution for the problem if and only if $u=A(u, u, u)$. It is easy to see to check that the operator $A$ is increasing in $u, \xi$ and decreasing in $v$ on $P$. By assumptions of theorem we have;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A\left(\tau(t) u, \frac{1}{\tau(t)} v, \tau(t) \xi\right) \geq \varphi(t, u, v, \xi) A(u, v, \xi) \forall t \in(a, b), u, v, \xi \in P \\
& \tau\left(t_{0}\right) h \leq A(h, h, h) \leq \frac{\varphi\left(t_{0}, \frac{h}{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)}, \tau\left(t_{0}\right) h, \frac{h}{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)}\right)}{\tau\left(t_{0}\right)} h
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $A$ satisfies conditions of Theorem (5), now, by using Theorem (5), the operator $A$ has a unique positive solution $\left(u^{*}, u^{*}, u^{*}\right)$ such that $A\left(u^{*}, u^{*}, u^{*}\right)=u^{*}, u^{*} \in\left[\overline{u_{0}}, v_{0}\right]$ that $\overline{u_{0}}=\max \left\{u_{0}, \xi_{0}\right\}$. This completes the proof.

Example 2.1. Consider the periodic boundary value problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D^{\frac{7}{2}} u(t)=f(t, u(t), v(t), w(t))=\frac{1}{4}\left(1+u(t)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{u(t)}}+\sqrt{u(t)}\right), \quad t \in[0,1] \\
& u(0)=u^{\prime}(0)=u(1)=u^{\prime}(1)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where, $u(t)=\frac{1}{4}(1+u(t))$ and $v(t)=\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{u(t)}}, w(t)=\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{u(t)}$.
For every $\lambda \in(0,1), u, v, w \in P$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s)\left[1+\lambda u(s)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{\lambda} u(s)}}+\sqrt{\lambda u(s)}\right] d s \\
& =\frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s)\left[\frac{1}{\lambda}+u(s)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda u(s)}}+\sqrt{\frac{u(s)}{\lambda}}\right] d s \\
& \geq \lambda \frac{\frac{1}{\lambda}+u(s)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda u(s)}}+\sqrt{\frac{u(s)}{\lambda}}}{1+u(s)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{u(s)}}+\sqrt{u(s)}} \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s)\left[\frac{1}{4}+\frac{u(s)}{4}+\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{u(s)}}+\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{u(s)}\right] d s
\end{aligned}
$$

we note that, $\lambda<\varphi(\lambda, u, v, w)=\lambda \frac{\frac{1}{\lambda}+u(s)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda u(s)}}+\sqrt{\frac{u(s)}{\lambda}}}{1+u(s)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{u(s)}}+\sqrt{u(s)}}<1$. For any $\lambda \in(0,1)$, by means of some calculations, we can obtain that $\varphi$ is nonincreasing in $u$ for fixed $v, w$, nonincreasing in $w$ for fixed $u, v$ and nondecreasing in $v$ for fixed $u, w$.
In the following, it suffices to verify that the condition (14) of Theorem (2.3) is satisfied. Put $u=v=w=h=1, \tau\left(t_{0}\right)=t_{0}=\lambda=10^{-4}$. From $M_{1}=\min _{t \in[0,1]} \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) d s=$ 0.00001 and $M_{2}=\max _{t \in[0,1]} \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) d s=0.004$ we can easily get
$10^{-4} \leq 0.00001 \leq \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s)\left[\frac{1}{4}+\frac{u(s)}{4}+\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{u(s)}}+\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{u(s)}\right] d s$
$\leq 0.004 \leq \frac{10^{4}+10^{4}+10^{4}+10^{4}}{1+10^{4}+10^{2}+10^{2}}=\varphi\left(10^{-4}, 10^{4}, 10^{-4}, 10^{4}\right), s \in[0,1]$.
This implies that (14) of Theorem (2.3) holds. The proof is complete.
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