



**Manchester
Metropolitan
University**

Jordana, Anna and Turner, Martin and Ramis, Yago and Torregrossa, Miquel (2020) A systematic mapping review on the use of Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy (REBT) with athletes. *International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology*. ISSN 1750-984X (In Press)

Downloaded from: <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/626596/>

Version: Accepted Version

Publisher: Taylor & Francis (Routledge)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/1750984X.2020.1836673>

Usage rights: Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0

Please cite the published version

<https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk>

1 **A systematic mapping review on the use of Rational Emotive Behavior**

2 **Therapy (REBT) with athletes**

3 Anna Jordana^{1,2*}, Martin J. Turner³, Yago Ramis^{1,2}, & Miquel

4 Torregrossa^{1,2}

5 *Accepted 4th October 2020 in International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology*

6

7 *¹Departament de Psicologia Bàsica, Evolutiva i de l'Educació. Universitat Autònoma*
8 *de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra (Cerdanyola del Vallès), Spain*

9 *²Sport Research Institute UAB, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra*
10 *(Cerdanyola del Vallès), Spain*

11 *³Department of Psychology. Manchester Metropolitan University, United Kingdom*

12

13 Anna.Jordana.Casas@uab.cat *Corresponding author

14 ORCID Anna Jordana: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9446-2622>

15 Twitter Anna Jordana: @annajordanaa

16 This work was supported by the Generalitat de Catalunya under the grant FI-DGR 2018
17 (2018FI_B_00485).

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 **A systematic mapping review on the use of Rational Emotive Behavior**

2 **Therapy (REBT) with athletes**

3 The expansion of evidence-based practices in the sports context, shows a
4 promising growth in the use of REBT interventions with athletes. This evolution
5 places us at the moment of gathering the research to identify where evidence is
6 rich or wanting. We carried out a systematic mapping review to (a) classify the
7 type of literature, (b) categorize current evidence, (c) identify trends and
8 knowledge gaps in research, and (d) develop a critical appraisal of existing
9 literature. Using the PICO tool, a search strategy was undertaken on the
10 following databases: PsycINFO, Pubmed, Scopus, SPORTDiscus, Web of
11 Science, and The Cochrane Library. As a result, 39 studies up to July 2020 were
12 included for an in-depth analysis. The results indicated that the main corpus of
13 evidence is found within journal articles and book chapters from European
14 researchers, with adult male elite football (soccer) players as the target sample.
15 We discuss the level of confidence readers can have in the research by offering a
16 critical evaluation, and conclude by encouraging professionals to critically
17 reflect on existing advances and knowledge gaps, so that they consider the
18 evidence for their future work, as well as still unexplored populations, sport
19 contexts and methods.

20 Keywords: beliefs; rational; REBT intervention; mental health

21

22

23

1 Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy (REBT), developed by Albert Ellis in the 1950s
2 (Ellis, 1957), is widely regarded as the first of the cognitive behavioral therapies (CBTs;
3 David et al., 2005). Despite being developed in a clinical context, in recent years the
4 reported application of REBT in sport settings has grown (e.g., Mesagno et al., 2020;
5 Wood et al., 2019), in part because of its benefits in promoting, restoring, and
6 maintaining athlete mental health, wellbeing, and performance (Turner, 2016a). This
7 burgeoning corpus of research includes different types of work, such as interventions
8 (e.g., Davis & Turner, 2019; Turner, Kirkham et al., 2018), psychometric validations
9 (e.g., Turner & Allen, 2018) or applied tools (Turner, 2016b). The effects of REBT
10 have been studied widely in clinical and non-clinical populations (e.g., Eseadi et al.,
11 2017; Kim et al., 2018), in youths and adults (e.g., Matin et al., 2012; Saelid et al.,
12 2017), in educational (e.g., Igbokwe et al., 2019), military (e.g., Hyland et al., 2014) and
13 business contexts (e.g., Turner & Barker, 2015), but currently sport reflects an exciting
14 new path for the future of research in REBT (Turner, 2019). Many years after the first
15 studies showed that REBT could enhance the practice of sport (Bernard, 1985) and
16 exercise (Ellis, 1994). The use of REBT with athletes is supported across a variety of
17 sports at various levels for increasing performance and wellbeing (e.g., Wood et al.,
18 2019), but no study to date has compiled and reviewed the existing publications
19 reporting these interventions. Literature has yet to outline the trends emerging from
20 extant works, and identify areas that have not been explored, which is an important task
21 in order to accurately inform and set the agenda for future research concerning the
22 application of REBT with athletes.

23 Ellis' pioneering work and Beck's subsequent empirical research are considered
24 foundation to Cognitive Behavioral Therapies (CBTs; Matweychuk et al., 2019). CBTs
25 attribute varying levels of importance to different levels of cognition. For example, in

1 REBT the main focus is on irrational and rational beliefs, in contrast to methods such as
2 cognitive therapy (CT; Beck, 1964), in which the main focus is on the role of automatic
3 thinking and schema (Beck, 1995), but both therapies are centered on the notion of
4 cognitive restructuring (Matweychuk et al., 2019). Comparing REBT with more recent
5 therapies such as Hayes' Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT: Flaxman et al.,
6 2011; Hayes et al., 1999) it has been noted that, while REBT is based on a theoretical
7 cognitive model (CBT), ACT advocates emphasizing learning models without
8 depending on cognitive restructuring and any rational process. In this case, reactions to
9 thoughts, emotions and images are the primary mechanism of change (Matweychuk et
10 al., 2019). Furthermore, a particularity of REBT is its subscription to a Binary Theory
11 of Emotional Distress (BTED; Turner, Jones et al., 2018). In REBT, there are two
12 separate continuums of beliefs, emotions, and behaviors; one continuum is self-helping,
13 and one continuum is self-defeating (Matweychuk et al., 2019). In brief, irrational
14 beliefs co-occur with dysfunctional and maladaptive emotional and behavioural
15 consequences (unhealthy negative emotions; UNEs), while rational beliefs co-occur
16 with functional and adaptative emotional and behavioural consequences (healthy
17 negative emotions; HNEs).

18 In the present study, we adopt the definition that irrational and rational beliefs
19 are ways of evaluating particular representations of reality in terms of each individual's
20 personal meanings (David et al., 2010), that can be about oneself, others, or the world in
21 general (Froggatt, 2005). Ellis (1957) defined irrational beliefs as rigid, extreme,
22 inefficient and inconsistent with reality, and he classified them into one primary belief
23 (demandingness) and three secondary beliefs (awfulizing, self/other depreciation,
24 and frustration intolerance). In contrast, rational beliefs are flexible, non-extreme,
25 efficient, and consistent with reality, and are classified into one primary belief (i.e.,

1 preferences) and three secondary beliefs (i.e., anti-awfulizing, self/other acceptance,
2 and frustration tolerance).

3 At its core, REBT proposes a GABCDE framework (David et al., 2010) in
4 which irrational and rational beliefs (B) manifest in response to situations or events (A)
5 that block or impede personal goals (G), and trigger emotions and behaviors (C). Since
6 irrational beliefs beget UNEs, and rational beliefs beget HNEs, the focal point of an
7 REBT intervention is to help people challenge (or dispute; D) their irrational beliefs,
8 and to adopt new effective rational beliefs (E), with the goal of reducing UNEs (e.g.,
9 anxiety, depression) and associated maladaptive behaviours (e.g., avoidance, flight), and
10 increasing HNEs (e.g., worry, sadness) and associated adaptive behaviours (e.g.,
11 approximation, assertiveness).

12 REBT takes a humanistic perspective, which offers possibilities of coping with
13 the demands of the performance environment through changing irrational beliefs to
14 rational beliefs. Furthermore, REBT offers a preventative approach to dysfunctional
15 emotions and behaviours in which athletes are encouraged to take responsibility for their
16 mental health, thus helping athletes to achieve wellbeing and performance objectives in
17 the longer term (Turner, 2014a; Wood, Barker, Turner & Sheffield, 2018). Therefore,
18 REBT goes beyond simply providing a solution to irrational beliefs and poor mental
19 health; these interventions also pave the way for the development of rational beliefs in
20 the early stages of the athletic career, which help prevent mental health problems
21 stemming from irrational beliefs as the athlete progresses in their athletic career
22 (Turner, 2016a). Similarly, REBT may be a useful tool for those athletes who make a
23 dysfunctional evaluation of their sporting career, denying for instance its eventual
24 termination, and helping them changing their beliefs for more rational and healthy ones
25 that will lead them plan their sports retirement in advance (Lavalley et al., 2010).

1 According to Torregrossa et al. (2015), athletes should share their opinions and
2 experiences before and after the retirement from sport in order to be able to provide
3 more meaningful and valuable stories from this process, and not only taking a snapshot
4 of a past situation and reporting it retrospectively.

5 In sports contexts, the development and strengthening of psychological aspects
6 generally focuses on cognitive-behavioral approaches, a prominent example of which is
7 the canon of psychological skills (Andersen, 2009). The techniques that comprise the
8 canon (e.g., imagery, relaxation, concentration, goal setting, self-talk, pre-performance
9 routines) are considered to be effective in improving and maintaining sports
10 performance (Andersen, 2009). Within the context of the REBT framework, the canon
11 provides techniques that chiefly impact upon the perceived adversity (A) faced by the
12 athletes, or the emotional, behavioral, and cognitive consequences (C), of the adversity,
13 rather than attempting to address core beliefs and schema (B) at the route of emotional
14 reactivity, which is the main focus of REBT. In REBT, the main thrust of the work is to
15 help the athlete to alter their deeply held beliefs (B) about adversity, facilitating
16 adaptive consequences by encouraging cognitive change (Dryden & Neenan, 2015).
17 Consequently, although the canon of psychological skills offers effective and
18 indispensable techniques, some athletes require REBT-based work to achieve deeper
19 philosophic change especially in relation to ingrained and entrenched beliefs that are
20 rigid, extreme, and illogical. This deeper work provides a route to helping athletes
21 experience healthy emotions and behaviours that can aid performance and mental health
22 (Turner, 2016a). For this reason, compared to some cognitive behavioral techniques
23 (e.g., canon; Anderson, 2009), the focus on deeply held beliefs provides an “elegant
24 solution” that helps clients to reduce their proclivity to disturb themselves in the face of

1 aversity (Ellis, 1997, p. 334), helping them to approach and react to adversity with
2 functional and adaptive emotions and behaviours (Dryden & Neenan, 2015).

3 A recent meta-analysis (David et al., 2018), which included 82 empirical studies
4 dating back to the inception of REBT, supported the effectiveness and efficacy of REBT
5 across a variety of contexts (e.g., psychotherapy, education) and populations (e.g.,
6 clinical and non-clinical populations, youths and adults). According to David et al.
7 (2018) irrational beliefs are unfavourable due to their emotional, cognitive and
8 behavioural consequences, which impoverish mental health. However, David et al.'s
9 (2018) results did not include any data from studies undertaken in sport settings.

10 Because of the growing empirical research evidencing the effects of REBT in
11 athletic settings, there is a need to synthesize and examine this literature (a) to identify
12 patterns in research findings (Booth et al., 2016), (b) to generate an understanding of
13 current knowledge, and (c) to assess the quality of the underpinning evidence (Tod,
14 2019). Furthermore, a possible risk of the expansion of an unrevised state of evidence is
15 that future practitioners use an unsound base of knowledge to guide practice. Therefore,
16 it is important to analyze the quality and rigor of the existing literature to facilitate a
17 reliable transmission of scientific knowledge, and to guide research and practice
18 towards possible future improvements. For all this, it is worth offering a visual
19 overview that details what evidence is available, its nature and distribution, and the
20 research designs used to develop it. To this end, the consideration of how research is
21 being conducted can be beneficial to identify ways to improve this effort, and to inform
22 future researchers by helping them to make evidence-based decisions that can improve
23 the empirical examination of REBT in sport. Precisely, the concept of evidence-based
24 practice in psychology, refers to the integration of the best available research to
25 practical experience of the professionals adapted to the context, characteristics, culture

1 and preferences of the user (APA Presidential Task Force on Evidence-Based Practice,
2 2006). In short, the objective of this study was to carry out a systematic mapping review
3 in order to offer a new way of viewing the body of the existing literature on REBT
4 intervention with athletes, through (a) classifying the type of literature, (b) categorizing
5 the current evidence, (c) identifying trends in its use and knowledge gaps in research
6 literature, and (d) developing a critical appraisal of the methodological rigor, suitability,
7 and relevance, of existing literature.

8 **Methods**

9 This systematic mapping review is informed by the Preferred Reporting Items for
10 Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA; Moher et al., 2010), and the
11 Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (Higgins & Green, 2011)
12 to ensure the systematic process of the mapping. Moreover, we followed the
13 recommendations of Booth et al. (2016), Gough et al. (2017), and Gough and
14 Richardson (2018) to conduct this type of review.

15 ***Search strategy***

16 The search strategy followed the four steps suggested by Moher et al. (2010):
17 identification, screening, eligibility, and inclusion (Figure 1). Two inclusion criteria
18 were borne in mind for studies: (a) they entailed a REBT intervention, and (b) the
19 participants were athletes. As a critical evaluation, publications that did not clearly
20 indicate their purpose were excluded. To organize the keywords, the PICO tool (i.e.,
21 Participants, Interventions, Comparisons, Outcomes) recommended by Higgins and
22 Green (2011) was used in English to identify the abstracts of studies carried out in any
23 language (see Supplementary File). The databases consulted were PsycINFO, Pubmed,
24 Scopus, SPORTDiscus, Web of Science, and The Cochrane Library. In order to capture

1 *Coding and appraisal of the included studies*

2 The complete American Psychological Association reference was specified for each
3 included study (American Psychological Association, 2020), and it was given a
4 bibliographic code in order to facilitate identification (see Table 2 in the Supplementary
5 File). In addition, the location where the study was carried out and the type of document
6 (e.g., journal article, congress material) were detailed. The included studies were
7 organized chronologically and, within each year, in alphabetical order. For content
8 analysis, a spreadsheet was developed (see Figure A in the Supplementary File) with
9 categories and subcategories predefined by the authors (Saldaña, 2015). A training
10 session was held with two post-doctoral researchers and three PhD students, on the key
11 concepts of the systematic mapping review (e.g., REBT, beliefs, wellbeing, athletes)
12 and on the explicit instructions to fill in the spreadsheet throughout the coding process.
13 The first coding stage was carried out by the first author with the help of said post-
14 doctoral researchers and three PhD students, who acted as critical friends, in order to
15 provide quality and rigor by offering a dialogue and reflective recognition of multiple
16 truths, perspectives and results in this process of research (Smith & McGannon, 2018).
17 The second coding was conducted by the first, third and fourth authors. The 39 studies
18 were analysed in depth in both codifications to identify the following information: (a)
19 target variables, (b) the method used (i.e., design, characteristics of participants,
20 characteristics of interventions), and (c) the main conclusions about REBT
21 interventions. If the coders could not identify the information in the cells, they checked
22 the option "Information not available". If the information was different from the pre-
23 established subcategories, they marked the option "Other(s)". If they were unsure
24 whether the subcategory correctly reflected the study information, they marked it in red.
25 In addition, each coder could add comments in the "Remarks about coding" cell. At the

1 end of the coding, the coders met to compare and discuss the discrepancies using the
2 spreadsheets for each critical friend. Finally, the first author conducted a final review of
3 the entire coding process using the subcategories resulting from the evolution of the
4 process that best reflected the information from each study included in the systematic
5 mapping review (see Table 3, Table 4 and Table 5 in the Supplementary File).

6 *Critical appraisal of existing literature*

7 Once the nature of the existing evidence was revealed, the authors developed a critical
8 appraisal to assess its quality and pertinency. Critical appraisal is “the process of
9 carefully and systematically examining research to judge its trustworthiness, and its
10 value and relevance in a particular context” (Burls, 2009, p. 1). The development of a
11 critical appraisal allows the determination of the solidity of the evidence-based practice
12 (Amonette et al., 2016) and the level of trust that practitioners can have in a body of
13 work to guide decision-making (Tod, 2019). Following the guide of Liabo et al. (2017),
14 we have based the critical appraisal on: (a) the methodological rigor, (b) the suitability,
15 and (c) the relevance of the studies included in this systematic mapping review (see
16 Figure B in the Supplementary File).

17 Firstly, to analyze methodological rigor we focused on the robustness of the
18 method and internal validity. We evaluated each study according to the description of
19 the participants and whether they were recruited in an acceptable way. In addition, we
20 focused on precision in exposure to minimize bias, on the precision of the procedure
21 description, on whether the data was collected in a way that addressed the research
22 problem, and on whether the data analysis was sufficiently rigorous. Second, to
23 determine suitability we evaluated the match between the study method and the research
24 question. Finally, we considered the relevance of each included study. We analyzed the
25 declaration of findings, the intervention effects, the generalization of the results to the

1 population and the context to determine relevance, in addition to verifying that the
2 results of the study were discussed with the consideration of other available evidence.

3 **Results**

4 The main findings are presented on Figures 2, 3, and 4. To complement this
5 information, the reader can refer to the Supplementary File for a detailed analysis on
6 each study. Below we provide a synthesis of the publication trend, the location of the
7 evidence, and the integrated analysis of the studies' characteristics.

8 *Publication trend*

9 Figure 2 shows the trend of publication format per 5-year periods. The figure illustrates
10 a gradual increase in the number of publications that implement REBT in the context of
11 sports. Since 1985, the year in which the first publication is found (i.e., Bernard, 1985),
12 until April 2020, only the 1996-2000 period does not show any publication of REBT
13 interventions with athletes. In the 1985-1990, 1991-1995, 2001-2005, and 2006-2010
14 periods, only one publication is reported per period. However, in 2011-2015 an increase
15 in the number of publications can be seen, reaching four. The most recent period, from
16 2016 to 2020, has the most studies with a total of 29. As outlined in Figure 2, book
17 chapters and journal articles are the most common publication formats for REBT
18 interventions. It should be noted that doctoral theses focused on REBT interventions
19 with athletes do not appear until the 2006-2010 period. However, we must assume that
20 different phases of the same study can be published in different formats at different
21 times during the study process. That is why we cannot affirm that there are few
22 congress materials, since the different journal articles or book chapters added in this
23 mapping review, may have been presented previously in the form of congress materials.

1 In order to not duplicate information from the same study, this review prioritized the
2 inclusion of peer-reviewed journal articles, before any other type of publication format.

3 [Figure 2 near here]

4 ***Location of evidence***

5 Figure 3 shows an overview of where the evidence on REBT interventions with athletes
6 is located. The 39 included studies have developed in 13 countries across four different
7 continents. Although REBT interventions with athletes are beginning to be carried out
8 worldwide, the figure illustrates the centralization of studies in the United Kingdom
9 (61.55% of the studies; 14 journal articles, 9 book chapters, 1 doctoral dissertation).

10 [Figure 3 near here]

11 In terms of language, of the 39 studies, 37 were written in English, one study was in
12 Japanese (Yamauchi & Murakoshi, 2001) and one in Korean (Ha & Chang, 2017). The
13 22 research articles are spread across 13 journals, all with an impact factor index in the
14 Journal Citation Reports, with the exception of the *Japanese Journal of Sport*
15 *Psychology* and the *Journal of Digital Convergence*. The journals with the most
16 publications concerning REBT interventions with athletes are *The Sport Psychologist* (n
17 = 6) and *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology* ($n = 4$). The 13 studies published as book
18 chapters can be found in 5 different books, with *Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy in*
19 *Sport and Exercise* (Turner & Bennett, 2017) presenting the majority of the chapters (n
20 = 8). The three doctoral theses were defended at the National College of Ireland
21 (Ireland), the Victoria University of Technology (Australia), and the Staffordshire
22 University (United Kingdom), and the symposium contribution was developed at the
23 European Federation of Sport Psychology congress (see Table 2 in Supplementary File).

24 ***Integrated synthesis of the study characteristics***

1 The content analysis carried out in this systematic mapping review has made possible
2 the identification of relevant information from the main categories that should be
3 considered while developing a REBT intervention with athletes: (a) target variables, (b)
4 design, (c) measures, (d) participants, and (e) interventions. Figure 4 shows an
5 integrative synthesis of these five dominant categories with the intention of offering an
6 overview of the variations used in this type of intervention, helping researchers and
7 practitioners to acknowledge the trends through this systematic mapping review and use
8 the information in order to combine different techniques or orientations. Since different
9 phases of the same study can be published in different formats, in order not to duplicate
10 information from the same study (e.g., target variable, number of participants, sport
11 practiced, number of sessions), from this moment on the Wood's doctoral dissertation
12 (2017) is analyzed through the journal articles and book chapters resulting from it
13 (Wood et al., 2017a; Wood et al., 2017b; Wood, Barker, Turner, & Sheffield, 2018;
14 Wood, Barker, Turner, & Thomson, 2018; Wood et al., 2019).

15 Focusing on the target variables, Figure 4 shows that 22 of the included studies
16 had the purpose of studying the effect on irrational beliefs, as was expected given these
17 are the core components of REBT. The next most common objectives were to study the
18 effects of the intervention on performance, anxiety, rational beliefs and self-determined
19 motivation.

20 The methodological contributions of these studies are one of the most analyzed
21 parts in this systematic mapping review, since it can inform new resources and a
22 systematic way to carry out this type of research. The most common design was the
23 single-case design. Figure 4 reveals that with a total of 21 studies, a clear preference for
24 this type of design is shown when implementing REBT interventions with athletes.
25 Complementary to the single-case designs, three of these studies establish a multiple

1 baseline for participant design, and when the number of participants was higher than
2 one, four studies set a multiple baseline staggered between the different participants in
3 the study. However, only three studies compared the results obtained in an experimental
4 (REBT) group with those found in a control group. Another factor to consider is the
5 instruments used in each intervention to measure the variables being studied. We note
6 that to measure beliefs, the Shortened General Attitudes and Belief Scale (SGABS;
7 Lindner et al., 1999) was the most widely used, which is not a sport-specific measure of
8 irrational beliefs. However, the Irrational Performance Beliefs Inventory (iPBI; Turner,
9 Slater et al., 2018), which is sport-specific measure of irrational beliefs, has already
10 been used in seven studies, a remarkable number considering its first appearance was in
11 2018. Furthermore, regardless of the variables being studied, 21 of the studies
12 conducted a social validation interview/questionnaire.

13 With regard to participants, Figure 4 shows five subcategories to consider: (a)
14 the number of participants, (b) the age of the participants, (c) their sex, (d) the sport
15 practiced, and (e) the level of competition. Despite observing a trend in developing this
16 kind of study with a single participant, it should be noted that, of the 15 studies with a
17 single participant, 10 are published in books. In contrast, in terms of the ages of the
18 participants, the clear trend is to develop interventions with adults. Of the included
19 studies, 16 developed the interventions with males, eight with men and females, and
20 only five were developed with a sample exclusively composed of females. The
21 integrated synthesis reveals that REBT has been implemented in a wide variety of
22 sports, both individual (e.g., triathlon, fencing, mixed martial arts, archery) and team
23 sports (e.g., American football, basketball, cricket, rugby), with football (soccer)
24 receiving the most attention. Only four studies showed the application of REBT with
25 athletes with functional diversity, although all have been developed from 2017 onwards.

1 In terms of athletic level, studies have included a variety of competitive categories, with
2 amateur and elite being the most represented levels, and former elite athletes the least
3 studied population.

4 Focusing on the development of the interventions, Figure 4 shows how the
5 researchers developed REBT interventions with differing numbers and type of sessions,
6 using different procedures. Overall, we found that these sessions tended to focus on
7 teaching the ABC model (i.e., event, belief, consequence) and on helping athletes to
8 cognitively restructure primary and secondary irrational beliefs by recognizing and
9 disputing them (i.e., on logical, pragmatic, and empirical grounds). We found 30 studies
10 in which the REBT interventions comprised between two and 11 sessions, and two
11 studies with 12 or more sessions. Only two studies carried out a single REBT workshop.
12 Of these interventions, 26 were developed one-to-one (i.e., individual sessions), and 13
13 through group sessions. A clear trend in this type of intervention is to provide
14 homework between sessions, as well as to carry out follow-up data collection once the
15 intervention sessions have ended. Regardless of the specific content of the session, the
16 tendency is to use a session duration of more than 30 minutes in length.

17 Figure 4 distinguishes between the 10 studies that, regardless the intervention
18 sessions period, develop a short period for data collection (i.e., 12 weeks or less). In
19 contrast, 14 studies have a data collection period of more than 12 weeks. However,
20 although there is no clear trend for how many weeks the intervention should last, the
21 vast majority of studies develop face-to-face interventions with online and/or
22 audio/phone support. Only the study by Cunningham and Turner (2016) shows a
23 completely online intervention using Skype (video-calling) sessions.

24 In relation to the measures used to determine the effectiveness of the
25 interventions, Figure 4 shows that there is a variety in how to analyze effectiveness

1 (e.g., ANOVA, critical friend, observation, performance results). However, the most
2 widely used measure is visual analysis and Cohen's d (Cohen, 1988). Social validation
3 is frequently used to complement the data and to understand the effectiveness of the
4 intervention in a deeper way (i.e., with a total of 21 studies). Therefore, as a result of
5 this systematic mapping review, we can observe that there is a clear tendency in REBT
6 interventions to use questionnaires and social validation interviews during and/or after
7 the intervention, in order to determine the perceptions and feelings of the participants
8 about the effects of the intervention.

9 [Figure 4 near here]

10 ***Methodological rigor, suitability and relevance of existing research***

11 Once the nature of the existing evidence was revealed, the authors developed a critical
12 appraisal to assess its quality and pertinency, based on: (a) the methodological rigor, (b)
13 the suitability, and (c) the relevance, of the studies.

14 The critical appraisal demonstrates the difference between peer-reviewed journal
15 articles, with other types of publication format (i.e., book chapters, grey literature). In
16 general, journal articles usually meet the previously established criteria, showing
17 validity of evidence. The peer-reviewed studies show a less robust methodological rigor
18 than the other format publications, which focus on the description of participants, the
19 procedure, and the description of how data was collected and analyzed, but not on the
20 explanation of minimization of possible biases. A more detailed description of the
21 analysis process often appears in journal articles to support study findings. Regarding
22 suitability, the evidence comes mainly from single-case designs, with 58.33% published
23 in peer-reviewed journals and 41.66% in book chapters. The journal articles included in
24 the review, tend to be more consistent in describing a specific research question, and in
25 explicitly justifying its relationship with the developed design. The other publication

1 formats, especially book chapters, tend to focus more than journal articles on
2 contextualizing the case and the background of each participant, in order to show the
3 rationale and importance of the REBT intervention. Although the book chapters are
4 explicit regarding design and procedures, the peer-reviewed articles present a level of
5 demand that ends up being a screening point for quality in this type of publication
6 format. Regarding relevance, the findings are explicit in any type of publication format
7 and are discussed in relation to the original objective. However, in the journal articles
8 the discussion of the credibility of the findings and the adequate comparison with
9 existing evidence predominates, in addition to the proposal of future research with
10 similar participants.

11 **Discussion**

12 The purpose of this study was to conduct a systematic mapping review to provide an
13 overview of current evidence concerning the application of REBT with athletes, by
14 categorizing the existing literature and identifying trends and gaps in the knowledge in
15 this field. In this way, we provide practical considerations to reflect upon and aid the
16 design of future REBT applications in sport. In total, 39 studies fulfilled the inclusion
17 criteria. The analysis of the evidence shows an increase in the development of this type
18 of study. Until 2005, only three studies are reported, published as a book chapter ($n = 1$)
19 and journal articles ($n = 2$). It is not until the 2006-2010 period that the first doctoral
20 thesis appears in this field of research. In the 2011-2015 period, only journal articles are
21 reported. It is only in the period from 2016 to the present, that we observe an increase in
22 the report application of REBT with athletes, with 15 journal articles, 11 book chapters,
23 two doctoral theses, and a congress symposium. Demonstrably, this topic of study is
24 gaining interest. Although the studies have been carried out in different continents, the

1 United Kingdom is the country that has reported the most REBT interventions with
2 athletes.

3 This systematic mapping review examines the development trends of REBT
4 interventions with athletes, providing information on the five key categories that have
5 been considered for the development of the interventions throughout the different
6 periods of time: (a) target variables, (b) design, (c) measures, (d) participants, and (e)
7 interventions. Overall, the aim of reporting these detailed categories is to improve the
8 clarity, completeness, and transparency of research reports. Based on the integrative
9 analysis performed in this systematic mapping review, we extract various trends to help
10 researchers and practitioners guide future interventions. Furthermore, the same trends
11 allow us to point out gaps in this type of studies in order to conduct valuable further
12 research.

13 *Target variables*

14 In recent years the different types of target variables studied has increased. Up to 2016,
15 only interventions with the goal of determining their effects on beliefs, anxiety and
16 sport performance were reported. After 2017, even though the tendency is to maintain
17 the focus on irrational beliefs, a higher variety in the target variables studied is reported
18 (e.g., emotional wellbeing, physiological variables, self-determined motivation).
19 Although the number of studies that adopt a humanistic approach, to restore, promote,
20 and maintain athletes' mental health beyond other variables (e.g., performance,
21 resilience) is increasing, it is important to continue considering risk and protective
22 factors for the athlete's mental health. As recent research on REBT interventions in the
23 sports context indicates, REBT is presented as a potentially effective approach to
24 promoting athlete psychological wellbeing (e.g., David & Turner, 2019; Vişlă et al.,
25 2016; Wood et al., 2019). The demanding environment that characterizes competitive

1 sport (Reardon & Factor, 2010), and the growing concern for the psychological
2 wellbeing of athletes (e.g., MacIntyre et al., 2016; Turner, Carrington, et al., 2018),
3 means that effective interventions that can promote athlete psychological wellbeing is a
4 major concern in the applied research literature (Turner, 2019). As argued by
5 Stambulova et al. (2020) in their study, the concept of sports career excellence is
6 defined by the athlete's ability to maintain a healthy, successful and long-lasting career.
7 Because athletes lead intense lives and experience overlapping transitions between
8 different contexts for several months or years (e.g., sports, academics, work, family),
9 the demands, resources and coping strategies must be distributed accordingly. Indeed,
10 research has indicated that irrational beliefs are related to increased burnout (Turner &
11 Moore, 2016), choking under pressure (Mesagno et al., 2020), psychological distress
12 (Turner, Aspin et al., 2019; Višlă, et al., 2016), and anger (Turner, Carrington et al.,
13 2019). But to date, studies have focused on studying the effects of REBT interventions
14 with athletes in situations on-the-field (e.g., anger, performance, resilience), and less
15 focus has been towards mental health effects (see Davis & Turner, 2019, for an
16 exception). In order to promote the holistic development and mental health of athletes, it
17 would be interesting to explore situations beyond on-the-field, and select off-the-field
18 target variables (e.g., sports career decision-making, prevention in light of future
19 transitions). We encourage researchers and practitioners to organize their future work to
20 include mental health markers of intervention effectiveness, and to specifically study
21 more holistic markers that more completely reflect the athlete experience.

22 ***Design and measures***

23 In the present review, study design represents the most variability between studies,
24 although the tendency is to use both quantitative and qualitative data. The results of this
25 systematic mapping review allow us to observe the great variety of quantitative

1 measures that are being used when assessing the effects of REBT, unlike the few
2 qualitative data collection resources used (e.g., observation, interviews, specific sport
3 exercises). There are different design characteristics that tend to predominate in this
4 type of intervention. This is the case of the single-case designs, the multiple baseline
5 (staggered when the number of participants allows), and the measurement of the target
6 variable before and after the intervention, regardless of the design used (i.e., pretest-
7 posttest). In line with Normand (2016), single-case designs allow studying fewer
8 participants, taking repeated measures, and generalizing effects inductively and
9 systematically among the participants of different studies. By emphasizing this type of
10 design, psychology produces more efficient results, learning more by studying fewer
11 participants. Furthermore, the multiple baseline design among participants reflects good
12 practice within single-case research (Kazdin, 2011). Three recent studies included in
13 this systematic mapping review (i.e., Chrysidis et al., 2020; David & Turner, 2019;
14 Turner, Ewen et al., 2018) adopt an idiographic single-case design to facilitate a deep
15 and multimodal form of data collection. In line with Normand (2016), this type of
16 design helps to understand the result of the intervention for each participant in a
17 personalized way, and learn more by studying fewer participants.

18 In addition to the single-case design, social validation is one of the most
19 prominent assessment tools in REBT interventions with athletes. These findings are
20 consistent with Page and Thelwell's (2013) recommendation that single-case designs
21 should include a social validation at the end of the procedure to complement the
22 statistical data that determines the efficacy of the interventions. As argued by Deen et al.
23 (2017), in an effort to avoid bias, the practitioner who develops the intervention should
24 not perform the social validation, as it could have a biasing effect on the responses of
25 the participants. Athletes might be more honest if they offer their opinion to a third

1 party. This instrument can be used to collect information during and/or after the
2 intervention by different agents related to athletes, such as parents (see Sille et al.,
3 2020), and coaches (see Si & Lee, 2008), in order to complement and enrich the
4 information, and to help practitioners understand the results. For example, this type of
5 tool would provide information beyond the objective variables studied, as in the case of
6 Deen et al. (2017) and Turner, Ewen et al. (2018). In these cases, interventions are
7 favorably rated given that, in addition to leading to positive results in the target
8 variables, they also tend to highlight positive effects in variables that are not part of the
9 main objectives of the study (e.g., rational language resulting from the REBT
10 intervention fostered interpersonal relations within the team).

11 Given that the research on the use of REBT with athletes is a growing field,
12 future studies should promote the use of rigorous and innovative qualitative research
13 methods to overcome this lack of evidence, in order to expand the qualitative research
14 within this field (Normand, 2016; Smith & McGannon, 2018). However, in line with
15 what was declared by Barker et al. (2013), the authors do not propose that single-case
16 designs or qualitative measures should replace controlled group designs. These types of
17 design and measurement are valuable when embarking on new research areas, or when
18 the intervention has a unique population. Single-case research in sport psychology could
19 detect positive effects for individuals who would otherwise have their success masked
20 in a non-significant group design. Nevertheless, there are many questions that are best
21 answered using group designs with stringent controls in place such as a comparison
22 group, or an attention placebo control group.

23 *Participants*

24 The results of this review highlight that REBT interventions have been applied in a
25 wide variety of sports, and competitive levels, although some of them are

1 underrepresented (e.g., artistic swimming, basketball, former elite athletes). However,
2 the results of this systematic mapping review show that there is a trend in conducting
3 REBT interventions with adult male elite football (soccer) players. In relation to age,
4 the younger the athletes are (i.e., 13 years or younger), the more sessions should be held
5 and the longer each one should last in order to ensure that the athlete fully understands
6 the GABCDE framework. The younger athletes are, the education phase tends to
7 lengthen, requiring more than three 45-minute sessions, as opposed to the three 20-
8 minute sessions necessary from the age of 14 onward (Turner & Barker, 2014). For this
9 reason, according to Turner and Barker (2014), REBT interventions may not be the
10 most effective approach for younger athletes (i.e., 13 years or younger) in relation to
11 time-effectiveness, since a prolonged education phase attenuates the general
12 effectiveness of sports psychology practitioners, especially when they have been hired
13 to work for a limited period of time (e.g., 10 weeks). Furthermore, in line with the
14 results found by Wood et al. (2017b), and Turner, Ewen et al. (2018), it is important to
15 take into consideration the progress of each participant and to conduct the intervention
16 in ecologically valid environments. In relation to gender, there are only five studies with
17 a totally female sample, while 16 have a fully male sample, and eight with samples of
18 both genders. Therefore, we observe a trend in conducting studies with male athletes. In
19 the future, there may be more attention devoted to populations that are underrepresented
20 in the literature, such as female athletes, former elite athletes, LGBTBI population,
21 migrants during the process of a cultural transition, refugee athletes, or at-risk youth,
22 among others. From this review, we encourage future researchers and practitioners to
23 critically reflect on unexplored populations and sport contexts, and to adopt these
24 innovations in their work.

25 ***Interventions***

1 In relation to the organization of the REBT intervention, there are different aspects that
2 should be contemplated: (a) content of the sessions, (b) session length, (c) data
3 collection length, (d) participants organization, (e) procedures, and (f) effectiveness
4 measures.

5 REBT interventions should be focused on promoting a long-term change in
6 beliefs (i.e., by identifying and disputing irrational beliefs, and replacing them with
7 rational beliefs), regardless of the target variable chosen (e.g., anxiety, performance,
8 self-determined motivation). It is important to foster a profound shift in athletes' beliefs
9 with the purpose of promoting adaptive and constructive consequences when dealing
10 with situations in their daily lives (Wood et al., 2017a). These sessions should be
11 divided into five phases: (a) the educational phase ABCDE framework, (b) the
12 recognition and disputation phase (i.e., logic, pragmatic, evidence-based) of primary
13 and secondary irrational beliefs, (c) the phase of developing effective rational beliefs,
14 (d) the phase of disputing rational belief to make sure they are rational, and (e) the
15 reinforcing and using REBT independently phase (see Turner, Aspin et al., 2020). The
16 studies included in this review do not always explicitly refer to the G of the GABCDE
17 framework. We must consider that goals play an important role in the sport context,
18 creating different types of A's, B's, and C's. Then, understanding the athletes' goals
19 when investigating irrational beliefs is important (Turner & Davis, 2018). Therefore,
20 practitioners should consider these goals throughout the ABCDE process, as Ellis
21 (1994) specified with the GABCDE framework, and argued by Chadha et al. (2019) and
22 Turner et al. (2020) in their works.

23 Seven studies complemented REBT interventions with additional techniques.
24 These particular cases merit closer examination. In the studies by Sille et al. (2020), and
25 Wood and Woodcock's (2017), the effects of the REBT intervention cannot be separated

1 from the effects of the other strategies implemented (e.g., distraction control plan
2 intervention). However, the study developed by Wood and Woodcock's (2017) specify
3 in more detail the technique of work used to modify beliefs, a fact that allows us to
4 consider that only REBT could have reduced irrational beliefs in the way it is reported.
5 In the remaining studies (i.e., Chrysidis et al., 2020; Deen et al., 2017; Turner & Davis,
6 2018; Vertopoulos & Turner, 2017; Wood et al., 2019), in addition to the REBT
7 intervention, Self-Talk, Personal Disclosure Mutual-Sharing (PDMS), Rational Emotive
8 Personal Disclosure Mutual-Sharing (REPDMS), or Athlete Rational Resilience Credo
9 (ARRC) were added, following REBT principles, as forms of rational reinforcement.
10 Indeed, little is written about specific tools used by practitioners to support REBT with
11 athletes, however Turner (2016b) developed an athlete-specific applied tool, the ARRC,
12 that was tested for the first time by Deen et al. (2017). A Credo can be defined as 'a set
13 of beliefs, which expresses a particular opinion and influences the way you live'
14 (Dryden, 2007, p. 219). The ARRC promotes rational beliefs in athletes, which are
15 important for resiliently responding to adverse events (Turner, 2016b). Another possible
16 tool is holding PDMS sessions, which increases the likelihood that group interventions
17 are effective by fostering an understanding of the values and needs of the different team
18 members, beyond understanding oneself (Dunn & Holt, 2004). The REPDMS version
19 includes the application of the REBT framework principles (i.e., ABCDE) within
20 PDMS by having athletes share their experiences in using the ABCDE framework
21 within a group setting, using a real issue, including precisely what their irrational and
22 rational beliefs were, and how the disputation phase was operationalized.

23 Furthermore, the setting and subsequent reviewing of homework tasks between
24 sessions is important to reinforce the understanding of the GABCDE framework and the
25 athletes' ability to use REBT autonomously (Turner, 2016a). These homework tasks

1 should be specific for the sport and the participants' level, and they should be geared
2 towards working on comprehension of the ABC model; reflecting on thoughts,
3 emotions and behaviors throughout the entire intervention; and fostering disputation on
4 irrational beliefs in favor of other more rational ones. For example, the Smarter
5 Thinking App 2 (Turner & Wood, 2018) has been developed to help athletes practice
6 the REBT framework digitally in their own time and keep a record of their progress. To
7 finish the intervention, it is important to conduct at least one follow-up session to track
8 the progress of the participants and to ensure the athlete can apply REBT independently
9 (Turner, Aspin et al., 2020).

10 In order to ensure the sustainability of intervention effects, the tendency is to
11 carry out more than one REBT session (e.g., between 3 and 11) with a duration of
12 between 30 and 45 minutes per session. Regardless of the moment of the data collection
13 beyond the REBT sessions, the overall duration of the intervention will depend on the
14 number of sessions that will be carried out and on whether there will be a combination
15 of one-to-one and group sessions. In general, brief interventions refers to 11 sessions or
16 less, and the use of REBT has been shown to be an example of a good work philosophy
17 for short interventions (Dryden, 2019). However, as discussed in the study by Turner
18 and Barker (2014), the use of REBT in group contexts with a single educational
19 objective (i.e., without stressing the phases of recognition and dispute of irrational
20 beliefs, and the replacement for more effective rational beliefs) has a short-term effect
21 on irrational beliefs, and shortly after the intervention phase ends, data returns to pre-
22 REBT levels. Researchers can choose to hold educational workshops with groups, but
23 REBT tends to be more effective using one-to-one modes of working (Turner & Barker,
24 2014).

25 *The interventions effectiveness*

1 In relation to the effectiveness of the interventions, research uses irrational belief
2 measures (e.g., iPBI, SGABS), which help to ensure that REBT can modify the central
3 mechanism at the heart of REBT; irrational beliefs. The evidence included shows that
4 procedural reliability, multiple baseline across participants (staggered), and pretest and
5 posttest intervention data collection are often used. Focusing on the design of the
6 intervention, the most used in the existing evidence in REBT interventions is the single-
7 case design. In line with Barker et al. (2013), in sport psychology researchers are
8 encouraged to develop single-case studies to expand knowledge about the effectiveness
9 of interventions and evidence-based practice. Single-case designs have the strength of
10 being able to carry out experimental investigations with one or a few cases and the
11 ability to rigorously assess individual nuances and the effects of interventions between
12 the reference and post-intervention phases (Kazdin, 2011). Furthermore, according to
13 Normand (2016) although between-subjects experiments certainly have their place, the
14 field of psychology would benefit if more researchers study fewer participants, took
15 repeated measures of the subjects of their study, and established generality inductively
16 and systematically through individual subjects. According to Kazdin, these designs
17 should not be considered a replacement for more traditional controlled group designs
18 (e.g., randomized controlled trials), but are a complementary or alternative approach
19 when developing new intervention protocols or working with small or unique
20 populations (Kazdin, 2011). In these types of designs, what determines the quality of
21 the study in is that the intervention is applied as planned and consistently among the
22 participants, therefore, the quality is governed by the reliability of the procedure (Barker
23 et al., 2013). Nevertheless, despite the advantages of this type of design in relation to
24 internal validity, the results of single-case designs are limited in terms of external

1 validity, since it is difficult to determine confidence in the generalization of the results
2 (Normand, 2016).

3 In addition, qualitative data is generally included to complement information on
4 the effectiveness of the intervention. For example, in the social validation data reported
5 by Wood, Barker, Turner, and Thomson (2018), the participants had to consider three
6 key areas: (a) the social significance of the results, (b) the social appropriateness of the
7 procedures, and (c) the social importance of the effects. The other most widely used
8 measure, visual analysis of the data, included four steps to determine the effectiveness
9 of the intervention; (a) immediacy of the effect, (b) replicated effects among
10 participants, (c) overlapping data points between baseline and follow-up, (d) magnitude
11 of percentage change from baseline to follow-up phases (Hrycaiko & Martin, 1996). In
12 line with the discussion in Davis and Turner (2019), future research should more
13 meaningfully assess participants' opinions when receiving REBT to form valuable
14 information on the effect, efficacy, and veracity of the intervention. Therefore, we
15 consider social validation an important supplement of effectiveness data about the target
16 variables, as it helps to explore a broader level of emotional and behavioural changes,
17 and to probe and understand why these changes happened or did not happen.

18 The critical appraisal shows that the contributions of the existing literature are
19 qualitatively different depending on the format in which they are published. This fact
20 that could condition practitioners when making decisions about which literature to draw
21 upon to inform their practice. However, we observe that although peer review is a
22 screening point to mark the quality of the studies, what bestows quality is the study
23 design and the procedure reliability, rather than the publication format. In consequence
24 and considering the amount of REBT interventions published as book chapters, it would

1 be beneficial for the quality of the evidence to be ensured via peer-review before
2 publication.

3 Therefore, we encourage researchers to follow the steps of the existing evidence,
4 taking care in the study design and ensuring the use of robust and stringent resources to
5 provide confidence in the use of REBT, such as irrational beliefs questionnaires,
6 procedure reliability, and multiple baselines. Furthermore, we encourage the use of
7 social validation to complement the data on the REBT intervention beyond the target
8 variable. Having this type of information collected, opens the ways to a deeper analysis
9 (e.g., meta-synthesis) to examine whether the trends observed in the results of this
10 systematic mapping review are, in fact, the most effective strategies for the application
11 of REBT with athletes. Furthermore, future studies may be aimed at finding ways to
12 develop more traditional experiments in the sport context, such as randomized
13 controlled trials, to provide stronger generalizable evidence.

14 *The development of REBT interventions in the sport field*

15 The studies included in this systematic mapping review capture the realistic, pragmatic
16 and non-dogmatic philosophy of REBT, attempt to make the GABCDE framework
17 understandable for participating athletes, and endorse the notion that negative emotions
18 can be functional. Indeed, REBT is primarily concerned with encouraging adaptive
19 negative emotional consequences (HNEs), rather than solely fostering positive
20 emotional consequences (Turner, 2016a). As discussed in the study by Turner and
21 Davis (2018), REBT interventions can improve athletes' autonomy regarding the
22 control of their emotions and behaviors by facilitating emotional responsibility through
23 the management of their beliefs. Furthermore, in relation to the results found by Ramis
24 et al. (2017), we suggest that REBT may be beneficial for training coaches with the
25 purpose of encouraging them to promote an autonomy-supportive climate, which can

1 foster the athlete mental health, acting as a protective factor against competitive anxiety.
2 Indeed, within the REBT literature there are examples of coaches applying the language
3 of irrational beliefs to foster adaptive approaches to performance (Evans et al., 2018),
4 and some research has reported a positive relationship between irrational beliefs and
5 maladaptive cognitive appraisal of stress (threat) in coaches (Dixon et al., 2016). In
6 short, future research and applied work should focus on working with and through
7 coaches.

8 It is important for practitioners who carry out REBT interventions to convey to
9 the athletes and coaches that REBT is not exclusively used in clinical populations
10 (Turner & Barker, 2014), although it is essential for them to understand that irrational
11 beliefs are a risk factor for mental health (e.g., Turner, Carrington et al., 2019; Vîslă et
12 al., 2016) whilst rational beliefs act as a protective factor (Turner, 2016a). However, the
13 use of the word ‘irrational’ can lead to negative connotations in the context of sports,
14 since irrationality in common lexicon can be considered to be a sign of low intelligence
15 or a lack of maturity. Importantly, the irrationality presented within REBT is not a
16 proxy for intellect, rather, irrationality is defined specifically as rigid, extreme,
17 inefficient and inconsistent with reality. Due to negative connotations associated with
18 the term ‘irrational’, and the clinical connotations associated with the term ‘therapy’,
19 Turner (2014a) suggested that in the context of sport, the term Smarter Thinking can be
20 used instead of REBT. Furthermore, since REBT in the context of sport is not
21 considered to be ‘therapy’, a more accurate term might be Rational Emotive
22 Behavioural Coaching (REBC; Turner, 2019). Similarly, the study by Jordana et al.
23 (2019) refers to irrational beliefs as ‘dysfunctional’ and to rational beliefs as
24 ‘functional’, in an attempt to support the fact that beliefs can help or hinder attainment
25 of a given goal. Within the specific context of sports, the consequences stemming from

1 irrational beliefs can hinder athletes from achieving their objectives and attaining sound
2 sports performance in both the short and long term, and they can affect athletes’
3 psychological wellbeing (Turner, 2016a). For example, the research developed by
4 Mesagno et al. (2020) showed a strong negative correlation between irrational beliefs
5 and the performance for Australian football players who ‘choked’ under pressure;
6 performance tended to increase with increasing irrational beliefs under low pressure and
7 decrease with increasing irrational beliefs under high pressure.

8 To ensure procedural reliability, practitioners who carry out REBT interventions
9 must have a theoretical and practical understanding of REBT, and ideally be trained in
10 REBT, or at least be under supervision of trained and experienced REBT practitioners.
11 Furthermore, the practitioners should adopt an educational approach initially, and then
12 gradually transition to a more collaborative approach (Dryden, 2019; Wood et al.,
13 2017a), since the communication style and the working-alliance of the practitioner with
14 the athlete are a key to the effectiveness of this type of intervention (Bernard & Dryden,
15 2019; Wood et al., 2020). If the athlete possesses indicators that imply that a brief
16 intervention is suitable (i.e., comprehension of the ABC model, willingness to perform
17 the homework tasks between sessions), benefits will come in both the short- and long-
18 term, and the experience will be efficient and effective for both the athletes and
19 practitioners (e.g., Dryden, 2016).

20 In addition to disputing irrational beliefs, it is important for practitioners to take
21 a preventive approach in order to strengthen the rational beliefs and mental health of
22 athletes, with the goal of fostering protective factors in the development of their athletic
23 careers. As discussed in the study by Torregrossa et al. (2004), reflecting on the
24 transition to retirement from sports with a prospective approach (i.e., when the athlete is
25 still active) helps them to understand better how they should deal with this transition,

1 promoting a decrease in uncertainty surrounding the future and preventing possible
2 negative consequences. In contrast, if this reflection takes place during or after
3 retirement, the majority of athletes may have already developed irrational beliefs about
4 the transition process, as observed in the study conducted by Jordana et al. (2019),
5 included in this systematic mapping review. Therefore, rather than REBT being seen as
6 a remedial approach to irrationality and human misery, it can be more accurately
7 considered to be a positive approach to helping people to apply rationality to life.
8 Indeed, it is recognised that REBT and the rationality it presents can help people live
9 pleasurable and fulfilled lives, and can be aligned with positive psychology (Bernard et
10 al., 2010; Oltean et al., 2019).

11 Even though the application of REBT in sport is a growing field, as an emerging
12 approach, much research is still to be done. Given that the purpose of the current
13 systematic mapping review was to identify trends with the application of REBT with
14 athletes in order to classify where is evidence plentiful and where is evidence lacking,
15 future researchers may seek to develop a meta-analysis, based on the knowledge
16 generated in this systematic mapping review, to explore the effectiveness of these
17 REBT interventions. This new study could combine the results from the individual
18 studies and analyze whether there are different results for different variables (e.g., types
19 of sport, level of competition, gender). This is important since, added to the results of
20 this research, identifying the effects of the interventions depending on the type of
21 variable target, design and measures, population or intervention strategy, could
22 contribute to more individualized interventions for each case, and help to maximize the
23 efforts of the researchers and practitioners.

24 **Limitations**

1 One limitation of the current study is related to the codification process of the included
2 studies. Although the present work has followed a systematic process, the generation of
3 categories and subcategories could have been developed in a different way in another
4 research group. Another limitation is related to traceability, since the fact that different
5 phases of the same study can be published in different formats has meant that, in order
6 not to duplicate information from the same study, when a study has been published as a
7 journal article, in addition to another format, we have prioritized the inclusion of peer-
8 reviewed journal article, to any other type of publication format (e.g., book chapters,
9 grey literature). This may have resulted in losing of relevant information from some
10 phases of some studies. Finally, an additional limitation was that the descriptive
11 characterization and interpretation of the synthesis offered by the systematic mapping
12 review could be hindered by information not provided in the included studies.

13 **Conclusions**

14 This systematic mapping review aimed to shed light on the application of REBT with
15 athletes, critically synthesizing this growing research area for the first time. The study
16 contributes to the burgeoning research in this field by offering a new way of viewing the
17 work by the classification and the categorization of existing evidence to show the study
18 trends to date, as well as highlighting gaps in evidence that remain to be explored. We
19 discuss the level of confidence readers can have in the research by offering a critical
20 evaluation of the studies that develop REBT interventions with athletes (i.e., rigor,
21 suitability, relevance), as well as those that still remain to be explored. The evidence
22 tends to be found in Europe and with an increase in peer-reviewed journal articles in the
23 last 10 years. The most typical intervention studies usually adopt single-case designs,
24 where quantitative resources abound, and where social validation is often a data
25 complement to help examine the effectiveness of the intervention. These studies have

1 often been aimed at adult male elite football (soccer) players with the purpose of
2 improving their anxiety management or performance, and functionalizing their irrational
3 beliefs. Future research may seek to diversify the population, design and/or measures
4 (e.g., randomized controlled trials), to add the most innovative advances in this field to
5 its interventions, or even to analyze the studies already included in this review from
6 another approach to test the claims made in this review (e.g., meta-analysis). Finally,
7 from this systematic mapping review we urge readers to focus their work on promoting
8 athletes' overall mental health and helping them maintain a healthy, successful and
9 long-lasting career, besides promoting the rational beliefs. We hope this review
10 document inspire readers to reflect on the areas that remain yet to be explored and
11 guides the design and procedures of future REBT interventions with athletes. In this
12 way, we encourage researchers and practitioners to include the contributions of this
13 review to their work and, together, we can enhance the quality of evidence within the
14 REBT field at large.

15 **Acknowledgements**

16 Thank you to Joan Pons, Saül Alcaraz, Marta Borrueco, José Mejías, and Luana Prato of
17 the Grup d'Estudis en Psicologia de l'Esport (Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona) for
18 their help with this project.

19 **Disclosure statement**

20 No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

21

22

23

24

1 **References**

- 2 American Psychological Association. (2020). *Publication manual of the American*
3 *psychological association* (7th ed.). [https://doi.org/ 10.1037/0000165-000](https://doi.org/10.1037/0000165-000)
- 4 Amonette, W. E., English, K. L., & Kraemer, W. J. (2016). *Evidence-based practice in*
5 *exercise science: The six-step approach*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- 6 Andersen, M. B. (2009). The "canon" of psychological skills training for enhancing
7 performance. In K. F. Hays (Eds.), *Performance psychology in action: A*
8 *casebook for working with athletes, performing artists, business leaders, and*
9 *professionals in high-risk occupations* (pp. 11–34). American Psychological
10 Association.
- 11 APA Presidential Task Force on Evidence-Based Practice. (2006). Evidence-Based
12 Practice in Psychology. *American Psychologist*, 61, 271–285.
13 <https://doi.org/10.1037/0735-7028.39.6.658>
- 14 Barker, J. B., Mellalieu, S. D., McCarthy, P. J., Jones, M. V., & Moran, A. (2013). A
15 review of single case research in sport psychology 1997-2012: Research trends
16 and future directions. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 25 (1), 4-32.
17 <http://doi.org/10.1080/10413200.2012.709579>
- 18 Beck, A. T. (1964). Thinking and depression: II. Theory and therapy. *Archives of*
19 *General Psychiatry*, 10, 561–571.
20 <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.1964.01720240015003>
- 21 Beck, J. (1995). *Cognitive therapy: basics and beyond*. Guilford Press.
- 22 Bernard, M. E. (1985). A rational-emotive mental training program for professional
23 athletes. In A. Ellis & M. E. Bernard (Eds.), *Clinical applications of rational-*
24 *emotive therapy* (pp. 277-309). Springer.

- 1 Bernard, M.E., & Dryden, W. (2019). *Advances in REBT: Theory, practice, research,*
2 *measurement, prevention and promotion.* Springer International Publishing.
- 3 Bernard, M. E., Froh, J. J., DiGiuseppe, R., Joyce, M. R., & Dryden, W. (2010). Albert
4 Ellis: Unsung hero of positive psychology. *The Journal of Positive*
5 *Psychology*, 5(4), 302-310. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2010.498622>
- 6 Booth, A., Sutton, A., & Papaioannou, D. (2016). *Systematic approaches to a successful*
7 *literature review.* Sage.
- 8 Breitmeyer, A. M., & Turner, M. J. (2018) Sport Coaching. In M. Bernard & O. David
9 (Eds.) *Coaching for Rational Living.* Springer.
- 10 Burls, A. (2009). *What is critical appraisal?* (2nd ed.). Haywood Medical
11 Communications.
- 12 Chadha, N. J., Turner, M. J., & Slater, M. J. (2019). Investigating irrational beliefs,
13 cognitive appraisals, challenge and threat, and affective states in golfers
14 approaching competitive situations. *Frontiers in psychology*, 10, 2295.
15 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02295>
- 16 Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioural sciences* (2nd ed.).
17 Lawrence Earlbaum Associates.
- 18 Chrysidis, S., Turner, M. J., & Wood, A. G. (2020). The effects of REBT on irrational
19 beliefs, self-determined motivation, and self-efficacy in American
20 Football. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 1-10
- 21 Cunningham, R., & Turner, M. J. (2016). Using Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy
22 (REBT) with Mixed Martial Arts (MMA) Athletes to Reduce Irrational Beliefs
23 and Increase Unconditional Self-Acceptance. *Journal of Rational-Emotive &*
24 *Cognitive-Behavior Therapy*, 34(4), 289–309. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10942-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10942-016-0240-4)
25 [016-0240-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10942-016-0240-4)

- 1 David, D., Cotet, C., Matu, S., Mogoase, C., & Stefan, S. (2018). 50 years of rational-
2 emotive and cognitive-behavioral therapy: A systematic review and meta-
3 analysis. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 74(3), 304–318.
4 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.22514>
- 5 David, D., Lynn, S. J., & Ellis, A. (2010). *Rational and irrational beliefs research,*
6 *theory, and clinical practice*. Oxford University Press.
- 7 David, D., Szentagotai, A., Eva, K., & Macavei, B. (2005). A synopsis of Rational
8 Emotive Behavior Therapy (REBT); Fundamental and applied research. *Journal*
9 *of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-Behavior Therapy*, 23(3), 175–221.
10 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10942-005-0011-0>
- 11 Davis, H., & Turner, M. J. (2019). The use of rational emotive behavior therapy
12 (REBT) to increase the self-determined motivation and psychological well-being
13 of triathletes. *Sport, Exercise, and Performance Psychology*.
14 <https://doi.org/10.1037/spy0000191>
- 15 Deen, S., Turner, M. J., & Wong, R. S. K. (2017). The Effects of REBT, and the Use of
16 Credos, on Irrational Beliefs and Resilience Qualities in Athletes. *The Sport*
17 *Psychologist*, 31(3), 249–263. <https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.2016-0057>
- 18 Dixon, M., Turner, M. J., & Gillman, J. (2017). Examining the relationships between
19 challenge and threat cognitive appraisals and coaching behaviours in football
20 coaches. *Journal of sports sciences*, 35(24), 2446-2452.
21 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2016.1273538>
- 22 Dryden, W. (2019). Brief interventions in rational emotive behavior therapy. In M. E.
23 Bernard & W. Dryden (Eds.), *Advances in REBT: Theory, practice, research,*
24 *measurement prevention and promotion* (pp. 211-230). Springer.
- 25 Dryden, W. (2007). Resilience and rationality. *Journal of Rational-Emotive &*

- 1 *Cognitive-Behavior Therapy*, 25(3), 213–226. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10942->
2 006-0050-1
- 3 Dryden, W. (2016). *When Time Is at a Premium: Cognitive-Behavioural Approaches to*
4 *Single-Session Therapy and Very Brief Coaching*. AESOP Publications.
- 5 Dryden, W., & Neenan, M. (2015). *Rational emotive behaviour therapy: 100 key points*
6 *and techniques*. Routledge.
- 7 Dunn, J. G. H., & Holt, N. L. (2004). A Qualitative Investigation of a Personal-
8 Disclosure Mutual- Sharing Team Building Activity. *The Sport Psychologist*,
9 18(4), 363–380. <https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.18.4.363>
- 10 Eseadi, C., Onwuka, G. T., Otu, M. S., Umoke, P. C., Onyechi, K. C., Okere, A. U., &
11 Edeh, N. C. (2017). Effects of rational emotive cognitive behavioral coaching on
12 depression among type 2 diabetic inpatients. *Journal of Rational-Emotive &*
13 *Cognitive-Behavior Therapy*, 35(4), 363-382. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10942->
14 017-0266-2
- 15 Ellis, A. (1997). Extending the goals of behavior therapy and of cognitive behavior
16 therapy. *Behavior Therapy*, 28(3), 333-339
- 17 Ellis, A. (1957). Rational psychotherapy and individual psychology. *Journal of*
18 *Individual Psychology*, 13(1), 38–44. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00007611->
19 191406000-00006
- 20 Ellis, A. (1994). *Reason and emotion in psychotherapy: Revised and updated*. Secaucus.
21 Evans, A. L., Turner, M. J., Pickerin, R., & Powditch, R. (2018). The effects of rational
22 and irrational coach team talks on the cognitive appraisal and achievement goal
23 orientation of varsity football athletes. *International Journal of Sports Science &*
24 *Coaching*, 13(3). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1747954118771183>.

- 1 Flaxman, P. E., Blackledge, J. T., & Bond, F. W. (2011). *Acceptance and commitment*
2 *therapy: The CBT distinctive features series*. Routledge.
- 3 Froggatt, W. (Eds.) (2005). *A brief introduction to rational emotive behaviour therapy*.
4 Lodge.
- 5 Gough, D., Oliver, S., & Thomas, J. (Eds.). (2017). *An introduction to systematic*
6 *reviews*. Sage.
- 7 Gough, D., & Richardson, M. (2018). Systematic reviews. In P. Brough (Eds.)
8 *Advanced Research Methods for Applied Psychology* (pp. 75-87). Routledge.
- 9 Ha, J., & Chang, D. (2017). Effects of REBT Program Application for the Changes in
10 Maladaptive Thoughts among Female Junior Tennis Players. *Journal of Digital*
11 *Convergence*, 15(12), 591-604. <https://doi.org/10.14400/JDC.2017.15.12.591>
- 12 Hayes, S.C., Strosahl, K. D., Wilson, K. G. (1999). *Acceptance and commitment*
13 *therapy: An experiential approach to behavior change*. Guilford Press.
- 14 Higgins, J. P., & Green, S. (Eds.) (2011). *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of*
15 *interventions* (Vol. 4). John Wiley & Sons.
- 16 Hrycaiko, D., & Martin, G. L. (1996). Applied research studies with single-subject
17 designs: Why so few? *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 8, 183-199.
18 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10413209608406476>
- 19 Hyland, P., Shevlin, M., Adamson, G., & Boduszek, D. (2014). The moderating role of
20 rational beliefs in the relationship between irrational beliefs and posttraumatic
21 stress symptomology. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 42(3), 312-
22 326. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1352465813000064>
- 23 Igbokwe, U. L., Onyechi, K. C., Ogbonna, C. S., Eseadi, C., Onwuegbuchulam, A. C.,
24 Nwajiuba, C. A., Ugodulunwa, C. C., Eze, A., Omaeze, K., Patrick, C., P.,
25 Ekechukwu, L. E., Egbe, C. I., Nnachi, R., A., & Anyaegbunam, E. N. (2019).

- 1 Rational emotive intervention for stress management among English education
2 undergraduates: Implications for school curriculum innovation. *Medicine*,
3 98(40). <https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000017452>
- 4 Jordana, A., Ramis, Y., Vega, J., Regüela, S., & Torregrossa, M. (2019, July). Helping
5 former elite athlete changing irrational beliefs about healthy physical activity. In
6 K. De Brandt (Chair), *A holistic perspective on coaching athletes through*
7 *transitional challenges* [Symposium]. European Federation of Sport Psychology,
8 Münster, Germany.
- 9 Kazdin, A. E. (2011). *Single-case research designs: Methods for clinical and applied*
10 *settings* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.
- 11 Kim, H. L., & Yoon, S. H. (2018). Effects of group rational emotive behavior therapy
12 on the nurses' job stress, burnout, job satisfaction, organizational commitment
13 and turnover intention. *Journal of Korean Academy of Nursing*, 48(4), 432-442.
14 <https://doi.org/10.4040/jkan.2018.48.4.432>
- 15 Lavallee, D., Park, S., & Tod, D. (2010). Career termination. In S. Hanrahan & M. B.
16 Andersen (Eds.), *Handbook of applied sport psychology* (pp. 242-249). Oxford:
17 Routledge.
- 18 Liabo, K., Gough, D., & Harden, A. (2017). Developing justifiable evidence claims. In
19 D. Gough, S. Oliver, & J. Thomas (Eds.), *An introduction to systematic reviews*
20 (2nd ed., pp. 251–277). Sage.
- 21 Lindner, H., Kirkby, R., Wertheim, E., & Birch, P. (1999). A Brief Assessment of
22 Irrational Thinking: The Shortened General Attitude and Belief Scale. *Cognitive*
23 *Therapy and Research*, 23(6), 651–663.
24 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1018741009293>

- 1 MacIntyre, T. E., Van Raalte, J., Brewer, B. W., Jones, M., O'Shea, D., & McCarthy, P.
2 J. (2016). Mental health challenges in elite sport: balancing risk with reward.
3 *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, 1892. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01892>
- 4 Matin, A., Looyeh, M. Y., Afrooz, G. A., & Dezhkam, M. (2012). The effect of group
5 rational emotive behavior restructuring on mental health of parents of
6 exceptional children. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 46, 1872-1875.
7 <https://doi:10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.05.394>
- 8 Matweychuk, W., DiGiuseppe, R., & Gulyayeva, O. (2019). A Comparison of REBT
9 with Other Cognitive Behavior Therapies. In E. Bernard & W. Dryden (Eds.),
10 *Advances in REBT* (pp. 47-77). Springer.
- 11 Mesagno, C., Tibbert, S. J., Buchanan, E., Harvey, J. T., & Turner, M. J. (2020).
12 Irrational Beliefs and Choking under Pressure: A Preliminary
13 Investigation. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 1-38.
14 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10413200.2020.1737273>
- 15 Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., & Altman, D. G. (2010). Preferred reporting items
16 for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *International*
17 *Journal of Surgery*, 8(5), 336-341.
- 18 Normand, M. P. (2016). Less is more: Psychologists can learn more by studying fewer
19 people. *Frontiers in psychology*, 7, 934.
20 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00934>
- 21 Oltean, H.-R., Hyland, P., Vallières, F., & David, D.O. (2019), Rational beliefs,
22 happiness and optimism: An empirical assessment of REBT's model of
23 psychological health. *International Journal of Psychology*, 54. 495-500.
24 [doi:10.1002/ijop.12492](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijop.12492)
- 25 Page, J., & Thelwell, R. (2013). The value of social validation in single-case methods in

- 1 sport and exercise psychology. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 25(1), 61–
2 71. doi:10.1080/10413200.2012.663859
- 3 Ramis, Y., Torregrossa, M., Viladrich, C., & Cruz, J. (2017). The effect of coaches’
4 controlling style on the competitive anxiety of young athletes. *Frontiers in*
5 *Psychology*, 8, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00572>
- 6 Reardon, C. L., & Factor, R. M. (2010). Sport psychiatry: A systematic review of
7 diagnosis and medical treatment of mental illness in athletes. *Sports Medicine*,
8 40, 961–980.
- 9 Sælid, G. A., & Nordahl, H. M. (2017). Rational emotive behaviour therapy in high
10 schools to educate in mental health and empower youth health. A randomized
11 controlled study of a brief intervention. *Cognitive behaviour therapy*, 46(3), 196-
12 210. <https://doi.org/10.1080/16506073.2016.1233453>
- 13 Saldaña, J. (2015). *The coding manual for qualitative researchers*. Sage.
- 14 Si, G., & Lee, H. C. (2008). Is it so hard to change? The case of a Hong Kong Olympic
15 silver medallist. *International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 6(3),
16 319–330. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1612197X.2008.9671876>
- 17 Si, G., & Zhang, C. Q. (2017). A Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy (REBT)
18 intervention to improve low frustration tolerance in an elite table tennis player.
19 In M. J. Turner & R. Bennet (Eds.), *Rational emotive behavior therapy in sport*
20 *and exercise* (pp. 153-170). Routledge.
- 21 Sille, R. A., Turner, M. J., & Eubank, M. R. (2020). “Don’t be stupid, Stupid!”:
22 Cognitive-behavioral techniques to reduce irrational beliefs and enhance focus in
23 a youth tennis player. *Case Studies in Sport and Exercise Psychology*.
- 24 Smith, B., & McGannon, K. R. (2018). Developing rigor in qualitative research:
25 Problems and opportunities within sport and exercise psychology. *International*

- 1 *review of sport and exercise psychology*, 11(1), 101-121.
2 <https://doi.org/10.1080/1750984X.2017.1317357>
- 3 Stambulova, N. B., Ryba, T. V., & Henriksen, K. (2020). Career development and
4 transitions of athletes: The international society of sport psychology position
5 stand revisited. *International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 1-27.
6 <https://doi.org/10.1080/1612197X.2020.1737836>
- 7 Tod, D. (2019). *Conducting Systematic Reviews in Sport, Exercise, and Physical*
8 *Activity*. Springer Nature
- 9 Torregrossa, M., Boixadós, M., Valiente, L., & Cruz, J. (2004). Elite athletes' image of
10 retirement: The way to relocation in sport. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*,
11 5(1), 35–43. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1469-0292\(02\)00052-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1469-0292(02)00052-3)
- 12 Torregrossa, M., Ramis, Y., Pallarés, S., Azócar, F., & Selva, C. (2015). Olympic
13 athletes back to retirement: A qualitative longitudinal study. *Psychology of sport*
14 *and exercise*, 21, 50-56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2015.03.003>
- 15 Turner, M. J. (2016a). Rational emotive behavior therapy (REBT), irrational and
16 rational beliefs, and the mental health of athletes. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7(9),
17 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01423>
- 18 Turner, M. J. (2016b). Proposing a rational resilience credo for use with athletes.
19 *Journal of Sport Psychology in Action*, 7(3), 170-181.
20 <https://doi.org/10.1080/21520704.2016.1236051>
- 21 Turner, M. J. (2014a, August). Smarter thinking in sport. *The Psychologist*, 27(8), 596–
22 599.
- 23 Turner, M. J. (2014b, September 16). The Smarter Thinking Project... Welcome! Sci-
24 Ed. [https://thesmarterthinkingproject.com/welcome-to-the-smarter-thinking-](https://thesmarterthinkingproject.com/welcome-to-the-smarter-thinking-project/)
25 project/

- 1 Turner, M. J. (2019). REBT in Sport. In E. Bernard & W. Dryden (Eds.), *Advancing*
2 *REBT Theory, Research and Practice*. Springer.
- 3 Turner, M. J., & Allen, M. S. (2018). Confirmatory factor analysis of the irrational
4 Performance Beliefs Inventory (iPBI) in a sample of amateur and semi-
5 professional athletes. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, *35*, 126–130.
6 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2017.11.017>
- 7 Turner, M. J., Aspin, G., & Gillman, J. (2019). Maladaptive schemas as a potential
8 mechanism through which irrational beliefs relate to psychological distress in
9 athletes. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, *44*, 9-16.
10 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2019.04.015>
- 11 Turner, M. J., Aspin, G., Didymus, F. F., Mack, R., Olusoga, P., Wood, A. G., &
12 Bennett, R. (2020). One Case, Four Approaches: The Application of
13 Psychotherapeutic Approaches in Sport Psychology. *The sport*
14 *psychologist*, *34*(1), 71-83. <https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.2019-0079>
- 15 Turner, M. J., & Barker, J. B. (2013). Examining the efficacy of Rational Emotive
16 Behavior Therapy (REBT) on irrational beliefs and anxiety in elite youth
17 cricketers. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, *25*(1), 131–147.
18 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10413200.2011.574311>
- 19 Turner, M. J., & Barker, J. B. (2014). Using Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy with
20 athletes. *The Sport Psychologist*, *28*(1), 75–90. [https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.2013-](https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.2013-0012)
21 [0012](https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.2013-0012)
- 22 Turner, M. J., & Barker, J. B. (2015). Examining the effects of rational emotive
23 behavior therapy (REBT) on the irrational beliefs of blue-chip
24 professionals. *Journal of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-Behavior*
25 *Therapy*, *33*(1), 17-36. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10942-014-0200-9>

- 1 Turner, M., & Bennett, R. (Eds.). (2017). *Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy in Sport*
2 *and Exercise*. Routledge.
- 3 Turner, M. J., Carrington, S., & Miller, A. (2019). Psychological distress across sport
4 participation groups: The mediating effects of secondary irrational beliefs on the
5 relationship between primary irrational beliefs and symptoms of anxiety, anger,
6 and depression. *Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology*, 1–38.
7 <https://doi.org/10.1123/jcsp.2017-0014>
- 8 Turner, M. J., & Davis, H. S. (2018). Exploring the Effects of Rational Emotive
9 Behavior Therapy on the irrational beliefs and self-determined motivation of
10 triathletes. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 0, 1–20.
11 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10413200.2018.1446472>
- 12 Turner, M. J., Ewen, D., & Barker, J. B. (2018). An Idiographic Single-Case Study
13 Examining the Use of Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy (REBT) with Three
14 Amateur Golfers to Alleviate Social Anxiety. *Journal of Applied Sport*
15 *Psychology*, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10413200.2018.1496186>
- 16 Turner, M. J., Jones, J., & Wood, A. G. (2018). Applying the REBT cognitive
17 disputation technique to the binary theory of emotional distress. *Effective*
18 *Scientist-Practitioner*, 1(1), 46-64.
- 19 Turner, M. J., Kirkham, L., & Wood, A. G. (2018). Teeing up for success: The effects
20 of rational and irrational self-talk on the putting performance of amateur golfers.
21 *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 38(3), 148–153.
22 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2018.06.012>
- 23 Turner, M. J., & Moore, M. (2016). Irrational beliefs predict increased emotional and
24 physical exhaustion in Gaelic football athletes. *International Journal of Sport*
25 *Psychology*, 47(2), 187–201. <https://doi.org/10.7352/IJSP2016.47.187>

- 1 Turner, M. J., Slater, M. J., Dixon, J., & Miller, A. (2018). Test–retest reliability of the
2 irrational performance beliefs inventory. *European Journal of Sport Science*,
3 (18), 123–129. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2017.1411527](https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2017.1411527)
- 4 Turner, M. J., & Wood, A. G. (2018). *Smarter Thinking 2* (Version 1.0) [Mobile app].
5 App Store. <https://itunes.apple.com/gb/store>
- 6 Vertopoulos, E., & Turner, M. J. (2017). Examining the effectiveness of a rational
7 emotive personal-disclosure mutual-sharing (REPDMS) intervention on the
8 irrational beliefs and rational beliefs of Greek adolescent athletes. *The Sport*
9 *Psychologist*, 31(3), 264-274. <https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.2016-0071>
- 10 Višlā, A., Flückiger, C., Holtforth, M.G., & David, D. (2016). Irrational beliefs and 17
11 psychological distress: A meta-analysis. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 85,
12 8-15. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000441231>
- 13 Wood, A. G. (2017). *Demanding Success: Examining the Effects of Rational Emotive*
14 *Behaviour Therapy on Performance-Related Outcomes* [Doctoral dissertation,
15 Staffordshire University]. Staffordshire Online Repository.
16 <http://eprints.staffs.ac.uk/id/eprint/4267>
- 17 Wood, A. G., Barker, J. B., & Turner, M. J. (2017a). Developing performance using
18 Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy (REBT): A case study with an elite archer.
19 *The Sport Psychologist*, 31(1), 78–87. <https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.2015-0083>
- 20 Wood, A. G., Barker, J. B., & Turner, M. J. (2017b). Rational emotive behaviour
21 therapy to help young athletes build resilience and deal with adversity. In C. J.,
22 Knight, C. Harwood & D. Gould (Eds.). *Sport Psychology for Young Athletes*,
23 (pp. 265-276). Routledge.
- 24 Wood, A. G., Barker, J. B., Turner, M. J., & Sheffield, D. (2018). Examining the effects
25 of Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy on performance outcomes in elite

- 1 paralympic athletes. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports*,
2 28(1), 329–339. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.12926>
- 3 Wood, A. G., Barker, J. B., Turner, M. J., & Thomson, P. (2018). Exploring the Effects
4 of a Single Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy Workshop in Elite Blind Soccer
5 Players. *The Sport Psychologist*, (00), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.2017->
6 0122
- 7 Wood, A., Mack, R., & Turner, M. (2020). Developing Self-Determined Motivation and
8 Performance with an Elite Athlete: Integrating Motivational Interviewing with
9 Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy Introduction. *Journal of Rational-Emotive*
10 *and Cognitive-Behavior Therapy*.
- 11 Wood, A. G., Turner, M. J., & Barker, J. B. (2019). Bolstering psychological health
12 using rational emotive behaviour therapy. In G. Breslin & G. Leavey (Eds.),
13 *Mental health and well-being interventions in sport: Research, theory and*
14 *practice*, (pp. 45-62). <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315147703>
- 15 Wood, A. G., & Woodcock, C. (2017). “Is it really that bad?”: A case study applying
16 Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy (REBT) with an elite youth tennis player. In
17 M. J. Turner & R. Bennet (Eds.), *Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy in Sport*
18 *and Exercise* (pp. 206-219). Routledge
- 19 Yamauchi, R., & Murakoshi, S. (2001). The effect of rational emotive behavior therapy
20 on female soft-tennis players experiencing cognitive anxiety. *Japanese Journal*
21 *of Sport Psychology*, 28, 67–75.




Figure 1. Stages and results of the search strategy using the PRISMA flowchart




Figure 2. Number of publications organized by format, per 5-year period




Figure 3. Geographic location of studies included




Figure 4. Integrative synthesis of the studies characteristics

Note: See the complete titles of the measures in Supplementary File (Table 3, Table 4 and Table 5 notes)

Index Supplementary File

Table 1. Keywords organized using the PICO tool	2
Table 2. Descriptive information about included studies in the mapping review	2
Figure A. Spreadsheet used to code and evaluate included studies	9
Table 3. Relevant information from journal articles resulting from the coding process	10
Table 4. Relevant information from book chapters resulting from the coding process.....	20
Table 5. Relevant information about studies of different origin resulting from the coding process	25
Figure B. Tool used for critical appraisal of included studies	28

Table 1. Keywords organized using the PICO tool

Population	Athletes: ‘sport*’ OR ‘athlete*’ OR ‘competition*’ OR ‘performance’ OR ‘sport psycholog*’ AND
Intervention	Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy: ‘Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy’ OR ‘REBT’ AND Method: ‘qualitative’ OR ‘quantitative’ OR ‘mixed’ OR ‘case*’ OR ‘intervention*’ OR
Comparison	Others: ‘RET’ OR ‘ARRC’ OR ‘PDMS’ OR ‘REPDMS’ OR ‘Smart Think*’ AND
Outcome	Beliefs and emotions: ‘belief*’ OR ‘rational’ OR ‘irrational’ OR ‘emotion*’ OR ‘demand*’ OR ‘awful*’ OR ‘frustration’ OR ‘depreciation’ OR ‘anxiety’ OR ‘wellbeing’ OR ‘sadness’ OR ‘happiness’ OR ‘anger’ OR ‘contempt’ OR ‘surprise’ OR ‘disgust’ OR ‘fear’

Table 2. Descriptive information about included studies in the mapping review

	Reference	Bibliographical code	Type	Country
1.	Chrysidis, S., Turner, M. J., & Wood, A. G. (2020). The effects of REBT on irrational beliefs, self-determined motivation, and self-efficacy in American Football. <i>Journal of Sports Sciences</i> , 1-10	Chrysidis et al. (2020)	Journal article	Germany
2.	Sille, R. A., Turner, M. J., & Eubank, M. R. (2020). “Don’t be stupid, Stupid!”: Cognitive-behavioral techniques to reduce irrational beliefs and enhance focus in a youth tennis player. <i>Case Studies in Sport and Exercise Psychology</i> , 4(1), 40-51	Sille et al. (2020)	Journal article	United Kingdom

Table 2. Descriptive information about included studies in the mapping review

3.	Wood, A., Mack, R., & Turner, M. (2020). Developing Self-Determined Motivation and Performance with an Elite Athlete: Integrating Motivational Interviewing with Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy Introduction. <i>Journal of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-Behavior Therapy</i>	Wood et al. (2020)	Journal article	United Kingdom
4.	Davis, H., & Turner, M. J. (2019). The use of rational emotive behavior therapy (REBT) to increase the self-determined motivation and psychological well-being of triathletes. <i>Sport, Exercise, and Performance Psychology</i> .	Davis and Turner (2019)	Journal article	United Kingdom
5.	Jordana, A., Ramis, Y., Vega, J., Regüela, S., & Torregrossa, M. (2019, July). Helping former elite athlete changing irrational beliefs about healthy physical activity. In K. De Brandt (Chair), <i>A holistic perspective on coaching athletes through transitional challenges</i> [Symposium]. European Federation of Sport Psychology, Münster, Germany.	Jordana et al. (2019)	Symposium contribution	Spain
6.	Turner, M. J., & Davis, H. S. (2019). Exploring the effects of rational emotive behavior therapy on the irrational beliefs and self-determined motivation of triathletes. <i>Journal of Applied Sport Psychology</i> , 31(3), 253-272.	Turner and Davis (2019)	Journal article	United Kingdom
7.	Wood, A. G., Turner, M. J., & Barker, J. B. (2019). Bolstering psychological health using rational emotive behaviour therapy. In G. Breslin & G. Leavey (Eds.), <i>Mental health and well-being interventions in sport: Research, theory and practice</i> , (pp. 45-62).	Wood et al. (2019)	Book chapter	United Kingdom
8.	Rafat, M. S., Sanatkaran, A., & Mohammadkhani, S. (2018). The effect of Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy (REBT) on negative perfectionism and burnout in athletes. <i>International Journal of Ecosystems and Ecology Science (IJEES)</i> , 8(2), 309-318.	Rafat et al. (2018)	Journal article	Iran

Table 2. Descriptive information about included studies in the mapping review

9.	Turner, M. J., Ewen, D., & Barker, J. B. (2018). An idiographic single-case study examining the use of rational emotive behavior therapy (REBT) with three amateur golfers to alleviate social anxiety. <i>Journal of Applied Sport Psychology</i> , 1-19.	Turner, Ewen et al. (2018)	Journal article	United Kingdom
10.	Turner, M. J., Kirkham, L., & Wood, A. G. (2018). Teeing up for success: The effects of rational and irrational self-talk on the putting performance of amateur golfers. <i>Psychology of Sport and Exercise</i> , 38, 148-153.	Turner, Kirkham et al. (2018)	Journal article	United Kingdom
11.	Wood, A. G., Barker, J. B., Turner, M. J., & Sheffield, D. (2018). Examining the effects of rational emotive behavior therapy on performance outcomes in elite Paralympic athletes. <i>Scandinavian journal of medicine & science in sports</i> , 28(1), 329-339.	Wood, Barker, Turner, and Sheffield (2018)	Journal article	United Kingdom
12.	Wood, A. G., Barker, J. B., Turner, M., & Thomson, P. (2018). Exploring the effects of a single rational emotive behavior therapy workshop in elite blind soccer players. <i>The Sport Psychologist</i> , 32(4), 321-332.	Wood, Barker, Turner, and Thomson (2018)	Journal article	United Kingdom
13.	Artiran, M. (2017). Rebounding from injury and increasing performance using rational emotive behavior therapy (REBT). In M. J. Turner & R. Bennet (Eds.), <i>Rational emotive behavior therapy in sport and exercise</i> (pp. 150-168). Routledge.	Artiran (2017)	Book chapter	Turkey
14.	Barker, J. (2017). "It will be the end of the world if we don't win this game": Exploring the use of Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy (REBT) interventions in Paralympic soccer. In M. J. Turner & R. Bennet (Eds.), <i>Rational emotive behavior therapy in sport and exercise</i> (pp. 53-67). Routledge.	Barker (2017)	Book chapter	United Kingdom
15.	Breitmeyer, A., & David, O. (2017). Managing injury and loss: The use of rational emotive behavior therapy (REBT) with a collegiate football player. In M. J. Turner & R. Bennet (Eds.), <i>Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy in Sport and Exercise</i> (pp. 68-82). Routledge.	Breitmeyer and David (2017)	Book chapter	United States of America

Table 2. Descriptive information about included studies in the mapping review

16. Churchman, C. (2017). Using Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy (REBT) to combat performance-debilitating unhealthy anxiety in an international level karateka. In M. J. Turner & R. Bennet (Eds.), <i>Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy in Sport and Exercise</i> (pp. 231-248). Routledge.	Churchman (2017)	Book chapter	United Kingdom
17. Deen, S., Turner, M. J., & Wong, R. S. (2017). The effects of REBT, and the use of credos, on irrational beliefs and resilience qualities in athletes. <i>The Sport Psychologist</i> , 31(3), 249-263.	Deen et al. (2017)	Journal article	Malaysia
18. Ha, J., & Chang, D. (2017). Effects of REBT Program Application for the Changes in Maladaptive Thoughts among Female Junior Tennis Players. <i>Journal of Digital Convergence</i> , 15(12), 591-604	Ha and Chang (2017)	Journal article	South Korea
19. Huggins, M. (2017). A short-term rational emotive behavior therapy (REBT) intervention for competition anxiety with a trampoline gymnast. In M. J. Turner & R. Bennet (Eds.), <i>Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy in Sport and Exercise</i> (pp. 20-37). Routledge.	Huggins (2017)	Book chapter	United Kingdom
20. Murphy, D. (2017). <i>A quasi-experimental investigation into the efficacy of rational emotive behaviour therapy (REBT) in the enhancement of soccer performance</i> [Doctoral dissertation, National College of Ireland]. National College of Ireland Archive. http://trap.ncirl.ie/2771/1/davidmurphy.pdf	Murphy (2017)	Doctoral dissertation	Ireland
21. Morris, R., Tod, D., & Eubank, M. (2017). "It's the end of the world as we know it (and I feel fine)": The use of Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy (REBT) to increase function and reduce irrational beliefs of an injured athlete. In M. J. Turner & R. Bennet (Eds.), <i>Rational emotive behavior therapy in sport and exercise</i> (pp. 220-230). Routledge.	Morris et al. (2017)	Book chapter	United Kingdom
22. Phelps-Naqvi, A., & Katz, J. (2017). Delivering Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy (REBT) education in youth rugby union. In M. J. Turner & R. Bennet (Eds.), <i>Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy in Sport and Exercise</i> (pp. 187-205). Routledge.	Phelps-Naqvi and Katz (2017)	Book chapter	United Kingdom

Table 2. Descriptive information about included studies in the mapping review

23. Vertopoulos, E. (2017). The use of Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy (REBT) with an anxious sub-elite fencer. In M. J. Turner & R. Bennet (Eds.), <i>Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy in Sport and Exercise</i> (pp. 121-133). Routledge.	Vertopoulos (2017)	Book chapter	Greece
24. Vertopoulos, E., & Turner, M. J. (2017). Examining the effectiveness of a rational emotive personal-disclosure mutual-sharing (REPDMS) intervention on the irrational beliefs and rational beliefs of Greek adolescent athletes. <i>The Sport Psychologist</i> , 31(3), 264-274.	Vertopoulos and Turner (2017)	Journal article	Greece
25. Wood, A. G. (2017). <i>Demanding Success: Examining the Effects of Rational Emotive Behaviour Therapy on Performance-Related Outcomes</i> [Doctoral dissertation, Staffordshire University]. Staffordshire Online Repository. http://eprints.staffs.ac.uk/id/eprint/4267	Wood (2017)	Doctoral dissertation	United Kingdom
26. Wood, A. G., Barker, J. B., & Turner, M. J. (2017). Developing performance using rational emotive behavior therapy (REBT): A case study with an elite archer. <i>The Sport Psychologist</i> , 31(1), 78-87.	Wood et al. (2017a)	Journal article	United Kingdom
27. Wood, A. G., Barker, J. B., & Turner, M. J. (2017). Rational emotive behaviour therapy to help young athletes build resilience and deal with adversity. In C. J., Knight, C. Harwood & D. Gould (Eds.). <i>Sport Psychology for Young Athletes</i> , (pp. 265-276). Routledge.	Wood et al. (2017b)	Book chapter	United Kingdom
28. Wood, A., & Woodcock, C. (2017). "Is it really that bad?": A case study applying Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy (REBT) with an elite youth tennis player. In M. J. Turner & R. Bennet (Eds.), <i>Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy in Sport and Exercise</i> (pp. 206-219). Routledge.	Wood and Woodcock (2017)	Book chapter	United Kingdom
29. Cunningham, R., & Turner, M. J. (2016). Using Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy (REBT) with Mixed Martial Arts (MMA) athletes to reduce irrational beliefs and increase unconditional self-acceptance. <i>Journal of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-Behavior Therapy</i> , 34(4), 289-309.	Cunningham and Turner (2016)	Journal article	United Kingdom

Table 2. Descriptive information about included studies in the mapping review

30. Turner, M. J., & Barker, J. B. (2014). Using rational emotive behavior therapy with athletes. <i>The Sport Psychologist</i> , 28(1), 75-90.	Turner and Barker (2014)	Journal article	United Kingdom
31. Turner, M. J., Slater, M. J., & Barker, J. B. (2014). The season-long effects of Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy on the irrational beliefs of professional academy soccer athletes. <i>International Journal of Sport Psychology</i> , 45(5), 429–451. https://doi.org/10.7352/IJSP.2014.45.429	Turner et al. (2014a)	Journal article	United Kingdom
32. Turner, M. J., Slater, M. J., & Barker, J. B. (2014). Not the end of the world: The effects of rational-emotive behavior therapy (REBT) on irrational beliefs in elite soccer academy athletes. <i>Journal of Applied Sport Psychology</i> , 26(2), 144–156. https://doi.org/10.1080/10413200.2013.812159	Turner et al. (2014b)	Journal article	United Kingdom
33. Turner, M. J., & Barker, J. B. (2013). Examining the efficacy of Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy (REBT) on irrational beliefs and anxiety in elite youth cricketers. <i>Journal of Applied Sport Psychology</i> , 25(1), 131–147. https://doi.org/10.1080/10413200.2011.574311	Turner and Barker (2013)	Journal article	United Kingdom
34. Marlow, C. (2009). Creating positive performance beliefs: The case of a tenpin bowler. In B. Hemmings & T. Holder (Eds.). <i>Applied sport psychology: A case based approach</i> (pp. 65- 87). John Wiley & Sons Ltd.	Marlow (2009)	Book chapter	United Kingdom
35. Larnar, C. (2008). <i>On making warriors out of worriers: The management of trait anxiety in competitive sports</i> [Doctoral dissertation, Victoria University of Technology]. Victoria University of Technology Archive. http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.666.6536&rep=rep1&type=pdf	Larnar (2008)	Doctoral dissertation	Australia
36. Si, G., & Lee, H. C. (2008). Is it so hard to change? The case of a Hong Kong Olympic silver medallist. <i>International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology</i> , 6(3), 319–330.	Si and Lee (2008)	Journal article	China

Table 2. Descriptive information about included studies in the mapping review

37. Yamauchi, R., & Murakoshi, S. (2001). The effect of rational emotive behavior therapy on female soft-tennis players experiencing cognitive anxiety. <i>Japanese Journal of Sport Psychology</i> , 28, 67–75.	Yamauchi and Murakoshi (2001)	Journal article	Japan
38. Elko, P. K., & Ostrow, A. C. (1991). Effects of a rational-emotive education program on heightened anxiety levels of female collegiate gymnasts. <i>The Sport Psychologist</i> , 5(3), 235–255. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1123/tsp.5.3.235	Elko and Ostrow (1991)	Journal article	United States of America
39. Bernard, M. E. (1985). A rational-emotive mental training program for professional athletes. In A. Ellis & M. E. Bernard (Eds.), <i>Clinical applications of rational-emotive therapy</i> (pp. 277-309). Springer.	Bernard (1985)	Book chapter	Australia

Figure A. Spreadsheet used to code and evaluate included studies

Bibliographical code	Target variables	Design	Participants				Measures	Intervention					Effectiveness measures	Remarks about coding
			Size	Age & Sex	Sport	Level		Sessions	Session length	Intervention length	Participants organization	Procedures		
3. Davis and Turner (2019)	Irrational beliefs	Explanatory idiographic case-study	Small group 2 ≤ n < 10	Adults M ≥ 18	Triathlon	Amateur	iPBI	2 ≤ REBT < 6	Long SL > 30 minutes	Long IL > 12 weeks	Individual session	Face-to-face	Social validation	Check wellbeing, include measures (e.g., SMS-II, SVS)
	Self-determined motivation	Single-case		Both			Social validation interview/questionnaire	Homework			Audio/online/telephone	Visual analysis		
	Wellbeing	Baseline					Other(s)	Follow-up						
4. Rafat et al. (2018)	Other(s)	Control group	Large group n ≥ 10	Information not available	Other(s)	Information not available	Other(s)	Information not available	Information not available	Information not available	Information not available	Information not available	Information not available	Much information not available
		Pretest-posttest		Information not available										
5. Turner and Davis (2018)	Anxiety	Explanatory idiographic case-study	Large group n ≥ 10	Adults M ≥ 18	Triathlon	Amateur	iPBI	2 ≤ REBT < 6	Long SL > 30 minutes	Long IL > 12 weeks	Group session	Face-to-face	Visual analysis of (1) comparison of mean values, (b) immediacy of effect, and (c) magnitude of change	Measures: SMS
							Social validation interview/questionnaire	Follow-up		Audio/online/telephone				
							Other(s)	PDMS				Cohen's d		
								REPDMS						
							Homework							
	Anxiety	Explanatory idiographic case-study	Small group 2 ≤ n < 10	Adults M ≥ 18	Golf	Amateur	iPBI	REBT ≥ 6	Long SL > 30 minutes	Long IL > 12 weeks	Individual session	Face-to-face	Visual analysis	

Table 3. Relevant information from journal articles resulting from the coding process

Reference	Target variables	Method					Effectiveness measures			
		Design	Participants			Measures		Intervention		
			Sample	Age	Sex & Sport & level		Sessions & content	Approximate length	Procedures	
1. Chrysidis et al. (2020)	Irrational beliefs, self-determined motivation, self-efficacy	Idiographic single-case, staggered multiple-baseline across participants	n = 3	21, 22 and 23	Male American football player	- SMS-28 - iPBI-2 - Ad-hoc self-efficacy scale - Social validation semi-structured interview	- 5 REBT - Self-talk tasks - Homework - 2-weeks Follow-up	- 30 min/ session - 22-weeks	- Face-to-face - Individual sessions	- Social validation - Visual analysis
2. Sille et al. (2020)	Performance	Single-case	n = 1	12	Male tennis player	- Semi-structured interviews - Specific sport exercise	- 4 REBT - 1 ARRC - Control plan - Homework tasks - 4-weeks follow-up	- 20 min/ session - 7-weeks	- Face-to-face - Individual sessions - Sessions with father	- Social validation

Table 3. Relevant information from journal articles resulting from the coding process

3. Wood et al. (2020)	Irrational beliefs, self-determined motivation, performance	Single-case	$n = 1$	22	Male elite archer	- Interview - iPBI - SIMS	- 8 REBT - Homework tasks - 24-weeks follow-up	- 50-75 min - > 24-weeks	- Face-to-face - Individual sessions	- Critical friend
4. Davis and Turner (2019)	Irrational beliefs, self-determined motivation, and psychological wellbeing (i.e., vitality, sleep quality)	Explanatory idiographic single-case design with baseline data	$n = 4$	$M = 41,75$ ($SD = 8,77$)	Male and female amateur triathletes	- iPBI - SMS-II - SVS - PSQI - Social validation semi-structured online interview	- 5 REBT - Homework tasks - 4-weeks follow-up	- $M = 43,07$ min ($SD = 5,30$ min) /session - 20 weeks	- Face-to-face - Individual sessions - Voice recording App	- Social validation - Visual analysis
5. Rafat et al. (2018)	Negative perfectionism and burnout	Experimental and control group with pretest-posttest	$n = 30$	Information not available	Youth wrestlers	- Burnout and negative perfectionism Questionnaires	Information not available	Information not available	Information not available	Information not available

Table 3. Relevant information from journal articles resulting from the coding process

6. Turner and Davis (2018)	Self-determined motivation	ABC single-case between-groups (group REBT+ PDMS and REBT+REPD MS)	$n = 24$	$M = 40,83$ ($SD = 11,13$)	Male and female triathletes (e.g., amateurs, professionals)	- iPBI - SMS - Social validation questionnaire - 4-week follow-up - Homework tasks	- 5 REBT - 4-weeks follow-up PDMS/ REPDMS sessions - 4-week follow-up	- 45 min /session - 22 weeks	- Face-to-face - Group session - Video and PowerPoint	- Visual analysis of (1) comparison of mean values, (b) immediacy of effect, and (c) magnitude of change - Cohen's <i>d</i>
7. Turner, Ewen et al. (2018)	Social anxiety	Explanatory idiographic case-study	$n = 3$	$M = 57,66$ ($SD = 6,11$)	Male amateur golfers	- iPBI - GSAQ - LSAS-SR - SPA - Interview - Social validation questionnaire	- 6 REBT - Homework tasks - 4-weeks follow-up	- 60 min /session - 16 weeks	- Face-to-face and telephone call - Individual sessions	- Visual analysis - Social validation

Table 3. Relevant information from journal articles resulting from the coding process

8. Turner, Kirkham et al. (2018)	Performance	Within-subjects cross-over	$n = 57$	$M = 30,63$ ($SD = 11,85$)	Male and female amateur golfers	- Demographic questionnaire - Pressured golf-putting task - Likert-scale of self-talk performance facilitation	- 1 golf exercise - 2 exercise + rational/irrational self-talk + exercise	- 10 minutes of rational/irrational self-talk between golf exercises - 3 weeks	- Face-to-face - Individual sessions	- Cohen's d - ANCOVA - t-tests (one-samples, paired-samples)
9. Wood, Barker, Turner, and Sheffield (2018)	Irrational beliefs, anxiety, performance and physiological variables (i.e., heart rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressure)	Single-case, staggered multiple-baseline across-participants	$n = 8$	$M = 40,12$ ($SD = 12,99$)	Male and female Paralympic athletes	- SGABS - STPI - AGQ - Social validation semi-structured interview	- 5 REBT - Homework tasks - 36-weeks follow-up	- 30 min /session - 13 weeks	- Face-to-face - Individual sessions	- Visual analysis - Cohen's d - Homework tasks

Table 3. Relevant information from journal articles resulting from the coding process

10. Wood, Barker, Turner, and Thomson (2018)	Psychological variables (e.g., irrational beliefs, pre-competitive anxiety), physiological variables (i.e., systolic and diastolic blood pressure) and performance	Pretest-posttest cross-over	$n = 10$	$M = 28,36$ ($SD = 5,54$)	Male elite blind soccer players	- SGABS - STAI Form Y - Penalty kick - Finometer PRO - Social validation semi-structured interview	- Experimental group ($n = 5$): 1 REBT + 1 psychoeducational workshop - Placebo group ($n = 5$): 1 psychoeducational workshop + 1 REBT	- 60 min /session - 16 weeks	- Face-to-face - Audio file - Individual sessions	- Social validation - Cohen's d
11. Deen et al. (2017)	Irrational beliefs and resilience	Single-case, staggered multiple-baseline across-participants	$n = 5$	$M = 19,7$ ($SD = 3,14$)	Male and female lite squash athletes	- iPBI - CD-RISC 10 - Social validation semi-structured interview	- 5 REBT - ARRC - Homework tasks - 4-weeks follow-up	- 60 min /session - 9 weeks	- Face-to-face - Individual session	- Visual analysis - Social validation - Cohen's d -Auto-correlational analysis - ANOVA - t-test

Table 3. Relevant information from journal articles resulting from the coding process

12. Ha and Chang (2017)	Maladaptive thinking, performance, holistic development	Experimental pre- and post-	$n = 8$	$M = 15,65$ ($SD = 0,37$)	Female tennis players	- Interview - MTSY - SPKQ - Korean version performance strategy test sheet	- 12 REBT - Homework tasks - Follow-up	Information not available	- Face-to-face - Group session	t-test
13. Vertopoulos and Turner (2017)	Rational and irrational beliefs	Single-case AB and ABC between-groups	$n = 20$	$M = 16,35$ ($SD = 1,00$)	Male athletes of football, tennis players sailor and fencing	SGABS	- 4 REBT - 1 REPDMS - Homework tasks - 3-weeks follow-up	- 40 min /session - 12 weeks	- Face-to-face - Group session	- Cohen's <i>d</i> - Friedman test - Mann-Whitney U test
14. Wood et al. (2017a)	Competitive anxiety, emotional well-being and performance	Single-case	$n = 1$	44	Elite archer	- SGABS - Social validation semi-structured interview	- 7 REBT - 12 and 24-weeks follow-up	- 60 min /session - 12 weeks	- Face-to-face - Individual sessions	- Visual analysis - Social validation

Table 3. Relevant information from journal articles resulting from the coding process

15.	Self-depreciation (irrational belief) and unconditional self-acceptance (rational belief)	Single-case, multiple-baseline across participants	$n = 3$	$M = 23,67$ (SD = 2,52)	Male semi-professional mixed martial arts athletes	- USAQ - SGABS - Social validation - semi-structured interview - arts athletes	- 4 REBT - Homework tasks - 2 and 24-weeks follow-up	Information not available	- Skype - Individual sessions	- Social validation - Cohen's <i>d</i> - Reliable Change Index
16. Turner and Barker (2014)	Irrational beliefs	Single-case, multiple-baseline across participants	$n = 3$	Information not available	Football and cricket athletes	- SGABS - Social validation - questionnaire	- Option 1: 11 REBT - Option 2: 7 REBT - Both groups: homework tasks and 4 or 8-weeks follow-up	- Opción 1: 20-30 min /session - Opción 2: 45 min/session	- Face-to-face - Individual session	- Social validation
17. Turner et al. (2014a)	Irrational beliefs	Quasi-experimental single-case A-	$n = 17$	$M = 16,71$ (SD = 0,61)	Male elite football athletes	- SGABS - Social validation - semi-structured interview	-Experimental group (n = 9): 3 REBT	- Experi-mental group: 40 min /session	- Face-to-face - Group sessions	- Social validation - Visual analysis

Table 3. Relevant information from journal articles resulting from the coding process

		B design, and control group					- Control group (n = 8): 3 emotion control program - 4 and 8-weeks follow-up	- Control group: 40 min /session - 10 weeks		
18. Turner et al. (2014b)	Irrational beliefs	Pretest and posttest	n = 15	M = 15,13 (SD = 0,74)	Male elite football athletes	- SGABS - Social validation semi-structured interview	- 1 REBT - 6-weeks follow-up	60 min	- Face-to-face - Group session	- MANOVA - Social validation
19. Turner and Barker (2013)	Irrational beliefs and cognitive anxiety	Single-case staggered multiple- baseline across- participants	n = 4	M = 15,5 (SD = 1,00)	Male elite cricketers	- SGABS - SAS-2 - Social validation semi-structured interview	- 3 REBT - Homework tasks	- 20 min /session - 3 weeks	- Face-to-face - Group sessions	- Visual analysis - Cohen's <i>d</i> - t-test

Table 3. Relevant information from journal articles resulting from the coding process

20. Si and Lee (2008)	Low frustration tolerance (irrational belief) during competitions and performance	Triangulation method	<i>n</i> = 1	Information not available	Table tennis Olympic athlete	- GAS - Observation - Verbal review of progress - Videotape interview	Average of 1,5 sessions per week	- 60 min /session - 44 weeks	- Face-to-face - 75% individual sessions, 25% group sessions	- Visual analysis
21. Yamauchi and Murakoshi (2001)	Cognitive anxiety	Information not available	<i>n</i> = 11	Information not available	Tennis	- SCAT	5 REBT	Information not available	- Conferen-ces - Group sessions	Information not available
22. Elko and Ostrow (1991)	Anxiety in a competitive environment	Single-subject (ABA) multiple-treatment	<i>n</i> = 6	M = 19,25	Female gymnasts from a division I team	- CSAI-2 - SCAT - Interview	- 6 RET - Homework tasks	- 60 min /session - 3 weeks	- Face-to-face - Individual sessions	- Split-middle technique - Binomial test

Note: Table 3 abbreviations

General: ARRC = Athlete Rational Resilience Credos; SD = Standard deviation; M = Mean; PDMS = Personal Disclosure Mutual-Sharing;

REBT = Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy; REPDMS = Rational Emotive Personal Disclosure Mutual-Sharing

Measures: AGQ = The Achievement Goal Questionnaire; CD-RISC 10 = Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale; CSAI-2 = Competitive State Anxiety Inventory 2; GAS = Goal Attainment Scale; GSAQ = Golf-specific anxiety questionnaire; iPBI = irrational Performance Beliefs Inventory; LSAS-SR = Liebowitz social anxiety scale; MRF-3 = Mental Readiness Form Version 3; MTSY = Misadaptative Thinking Scale for Youth; SIMS = The Situational Motivational Scale; SAS-2 = Sport Anxiety Scale-2; SCAT = Sport Competition Anxiety Test; SGABS = Shortened General Attitudes and Beliefs Scale; SMS = The Sport Motivation Scale; SPA = Subjective performance anxiety; SPKQ = Sports Psychological Skills Questionnaire; STAI (Form Y) = State-Trait Anxiety Inventory Form Y; STPI = State Trait Personality Inventory; USAQ = Unconditional Self-Acceptance Questionnaire.

Table 4. Relevant information from book chapters resulting from the coding process

Reference	Target variables	Method								Effectiveness measures		
		Design		Participants			Measures		Intervention			
			<i>n</i>	<i>Size</i>	<i>Age</i>	<i>Sex & Sport & level</i>			<i>Sessions & content</i>		<i>Approximate length</i>	<i>Procedures</i>
1. Wood et al. (2019b)	Performance and irrational beliefs	Single-case	<i>n</i> = 1	42	Female Paralympic athlete	- SGABS - Social validation semi-structured interview	- 5 REBT - Homework tasks - ARRC	- 45 min/ session - 18 weeks	- Face-to-face - Individual sessions	- Social validation		
2. Artiran (2017)	Anxiety, confidence, irrational beliefs	Single-case	<i>n</i> = 1	19	Female professional basketball athlete	- ABS-II - CSAI-2 - State Sport-Confidence Inventory	- 10 REBT - Homework tasks	- 16 weeks	- Face-to-face - Individual sessions	- Visual analysis		

Table 4. Relevant information from book chapters resulting from the coding process

3. Barker (2017)	Rational and irrational beliefs	Triangula- tion with pretest and posttest data collection	<i>n</i> = 16	From 18 to 29	Male amateur Paralympic football	- SGABS - Social validation	- 6 REBT - Homework tasks - 1 Workshop	- 45-60 min /session - 90 min /workshop	- Face-to-face - Individual and group sessions - Skype	- Visual analysis - Social validation
4. Breitmeyer and Davis (2017)	Anger, unconditional self- acceptance (i.e., rational belief)	Single-case	<i>n</i> = 1	18	Male football athlete	Behavioral observation	- 10 REBT - Homework tasks	- 10 weeks	Face-to-face and telephone call - Individual sessions	- Social validation - Behavioral observations
5. Churchman (2017)	Anxiety, irrational beliefs, performance	Single-case	<i>n</i> = 1	Informa- tion not available	Elite karateka	- REBT competency scale	- 10 REBT	- 45 min /session	- Face-to-face - Individual sessions	- Social validation - Performance results

Table 4. Relevant information from book chapters resulting from the coding process

6. Huggins (2017)	Performance	Single-case	<i>n</i> = 1	26	Female elite trampoliner gymnast	- SAS-2 - Semi structured interview - MCS-SP - Three competition routines	- 1 assessment session - 6 REBT - 8-weeks follow-up	- 90 min assessment session - 50 min /REBT session 8 weeks	- Face-to-face - Individual sessions - E-mail	- Performance results - Visual analysis
7. Morris et al. (2017)	Rational beliefs	Single-case	<i>n</i> = 1	Early 20s	Male professional rugby player	Information not available	Information not available	Information not available	- Face-to-face - Individual sessions	Social validation
8. Phelps-Naqvi and Katz (2017)	Irrational beliefs	Triangulation with pretest and posttest data collection	Information not available	Youth players	Rugby players	- Interviews - Observation - SGABS - Social validation questionnaire	- 7 REBT - Homework tasks - Role-playing	- 45 min /session	- Face-to-face - Group sessions	- Social validation - Behavioral observation - Visual analysis

Table 4. Relevant information from book chapters resulting from the coding process

9.	Performance	Single-case	<i>n</i> = 1	Informa- tion not available	Male fencing athlete	- Semi- structured interview - SGABS	- 1 > REBT sessions - Homework tasks	- 32 weeks	- Face-to-face - Individual sessions	- Social validation - Performance results - Visual analysis
10. Wood et al. (2017b)	Performance, irrational beliefs	Single-case	<i>n</i> = 1	15	Cricketer	- SGABS	- 6 REBT - Homework task - Role-playing - Follow-up session	- 45 min /session	- Face-to-face - Individual sessions	- Social validation
11. Wood and Woodcock (2017)	Irrational beliefs, performance	Single-case	<i>n</i> = 1	17	Nationally ranked tennis athlete	iPBI	- 9 REBT - Credo - Homework tasks	- 60 min /session - 24 weeks	- Face-to-face - Individual sessions	- Social validation

Table 4. Relevant information from book chapters resulting from the coding process

12. Marlow (2009)	Performance	Single-case	<i>n</i> = 1	Junior	Elite tenpin bowler	- Semi- structured interview - Performance profile process - Social validation	- 6 REBT - Feedback between sessions - Follow-up	Information not available - 90 min / Semi- structured interview	- Face-to-face - Individual sessions - Group sessions - Email contact	- Social validation
13. Bernard (1985)	Performance	Informa- tion not available	Infor- mation not availa- ble	Informa- tion not avai-lable	Professio- nal football players	Information not available	- 1 > educational REBT workshops - Concentration training - goal setting	Information not available	- Group sessions	Information not available

Note: Table 4 abbreviations

Measures: ABS-II = Attitudes and Beliefs Scale-II; ED-Q = Exercise dependence questionnaire; MCS-SP = Multilevel Classification System for Sport Psychology; see the complete titles of the measures in Table 3 notes.

Table 5. Relevant information about studies of different origin resulting from the coding process

Reference	Type	Target variables	Method							Effectiveness measures	
			Design	Participants			Measures	Intervention			
			<i>Size</i>	<i>Age</i>	<i>Sex & Sport & level</i>	<i>Sessions & content</i>	<i>Approximate length</i>	<i>Procedures</i>			
1. Jordana et al. (2019)	Symposium	Exercise, motivation, irrational beliefs	Multiple baseline single-case	<i>n</i> = 8	M = 41 (SD = 4.66)	Male and female former elite athletes (i.e., athletics, artistic swimming, gymnastics, judo)	- Semi-structured interview - SGABS - BREQ-3 - Satisfaction questionnaire	- 3 REBT - Homework tasks - 5-weeks Follow-up	- 45 min /session - 14 weeks	- Face-to-face - Skype - Individual sessions	- Social validation - Visual analysis

Table 5. Relevant information about studies of different origin resulting from the coding process

2. Murphy (2017)	Doctoral disserta- tion	Anxiety, irrational beliefs, performance	Quantitative quasi- experimenta l design	<i>n</i> = 16	<i>M</i> = 20.94 (<i>SD</i> = 3.09)	Male and female amateur football players	- SRBS - VAS-A - Penalty kick	- 1 REBT - 1 motor imagery	- 8 min /REBT session - 8 min/motor imagery session	- Face-to-face - Group sessions	- t-test
3. Larner (2008)	Doctoral disserta- tion	Anxiety	Experiment al design with control group	<i>n</i> = 60	<i>M</i> = 18 (<i>SD</i> = 1.59)	Male and female freestyle skiing, athletics, and ten-pin bowling	- CTAI-2 - Demogra- phical questionnaire - Game scores - MC SDS - MRF-3 - SGABS	- Experi- mental group 1: 6 REBT - Experi- mental group 2: 6 relaxation and mental imagery - Control group: 6 befriending therapy	- 90 min /session - 10 weeks	Face-to-face - Group sessions	- Cronbach's α - Cohen's <i>d</i> - t-test

Note: Table 5 abbreviations

BREQ-3 = Cuestionario de Regulación de la Conducta en el Ejercicio; CTAI-2-D = Competitive Trait Anxiety Inventory - Mark 2; MC SDS = Marlowe-Crowne Social Desirability Scale; SRBS = Sports related beliefs scale; VAS-A = Analoge Scale-Anxiety; see the complete titles of the measures in Table 3 and Table 4 notes.

Figure B. Tool used for critical appraisal of included studies

STEP 1 CRITICAL APPRAISAL: METHODOLOGICAL RIGOR							ANSWER OPTIONS	
Bibliographical code xxxx							Yes	
							No	
Methodological rigor	Were the cases recruited in an acceptable way?							Can't Tell
	Are the cases defined precisely?	Were the cases representative of a defined population (geographically and/or temporally)?	Was there an established reliable system for selecting the cases?	Is the time frame of the study relevant to intervention?	Was there a sufficient number of cases selected?	Could other factors affect the results (e.g., sex, age, social class)?	There are any discussions around recruitment (e.g. why some people choose not to take part)?	
	Was the exposure accurately measured to minimise bias?							
	Was the intervention clearly defined and accurately measured?	Did the authors use measurements correctly?	Do the measures truly reflect what they are supposed to measure (have they been validated)?	Were the measurement methods similar in the cases and/or controls?	There are control measures depending on the design (e.g., multiple base-line, control group)?	<input type="text" value=""/> Yes No Can't tell		
	Procedure reliability							
	Detailed population?	Detailed intervention?	Detailed procedure development?	The given comparator?	The outcomes considered	Transparent and replicable?		
	Was the data collected in a way that addressed the research issue?							
	The setting for the data collection was justified?	Is it clear how data were collected (e.g. questionnaires, focus group, semi-structured interview)?	The researchers have justified the methods chosen?	The form of data is clear (e.g. tape recordings, video material, notes etc.)?	The researchers have discussed saturation of data?	Informed consent obtained?		
	Was the data analysis sufficiently rigorous?							
	Is there a detailed description of the analysis process?	The researchers explains how the data presented were selected from the original sample to demonstrate the analysis process?	Is sufficient data presented to support the findings?	The researchers critically examined their own role, potential bias and influence during analysis and selection of data for presentation?				
Rigor	Suitability	Relevance	Data	+				

Figure B. Tool used for critical appraisal of included studies

STEP 3 CRITICAL APPRAISAL: RELEVANCE					ANSWER OPTIONS
					Yes
Bibliographical code xxxx					No
Relevance	Is there a clear statement of findings?				Can't Tell
	Are findings explicit?	Is there adequate discussion of the evidence both for and against the researcher's arguments?	The researchers have discussed the credibility of their findings (e.g. triangulation, respondent validation, more than one analyst)?	Are the findings discussed in relation to the original research question?	
	How large was the intervention effect?				
	Are the measured outcomes detailed?	Is the primary outcome clearly specified?	It describes what results were found for each outcome?	Are confidence limits presented?	
	Can the results be applied to the local population, or in your context				
	Could the participants covered in the study be different enough from their population to cause concern?	Can the results be generalized?		<input type="button" value="Yes"/> <input type="button" value="No"/> <input type="button" value="Can't tell"/>	
	Do the results of this study fit with other available evidence?				
	Are results discussed with previous scientific literature?	Is the available evidence from the Systematic Reviews, Cohort Studies and RCT Control Case Studies presented to give consistency?			
	How valuable is the research?				
	Is the topic relevant?	Are there ethical problems?			

Rigor Suitability **Relevance** Data +