## Meal Deals, Combos and Bundling: the impact on the nutrition composition of children's meals in restaurants.

3

## 4 Abstract

5 Increased consumption of food outside the home means that the nutritional content of meals served 6 in restaurants now makes a significant contribution to overall diet. Children's menus in restaurants, 7 usually aimed at those aged 10 years and younger, are frequently high in energy, fat, salt, sugar and 8 lack variety. The food and drink on children's menus are often bundled together as a combo or meal 9 deal that may be convenient to order and sometimes, but not always, cheaper. Bundling has the 10 potential to add additional foods that may not have been selected individually thus increasing the amount ordered and consumed. Substituting some meal deal items for healthier options has the 11 12 potential to make it easier to eat well when eating outside the home and improve dietary intakes. 13 However the impact of such measures on child health have yet to be fully explored.

14

15

16 In the UK it has been reported that 20% of children and 27% of adults eat out at least once every 17 week<sup>(1)</sup>. In America it is stated that 36% of children aged 2-19 years eat at a fast-food restaurant on a 18 typical day<sup>(2)</sup>. Eating in restaurants has now become a regular occurrence rather than an occasional 19 treat<sup>(3,4)</sup>. Given that the incidence of overweight and obesity are still rising<sup>(5,6)</sup> and levels of obesity 20 disproportionally affect children living in deprived areas<sup>(6,7)</sup> we need to consider the way unhealthy 21 foods are promoted and how we can facilitate parents to make healthy choices for their children 22 including when eating out of the home.

23

In the linked paper, Dunn and colleagues<sup>(8)</sup> consider the Nutrition Composition of Children's Meals in 24 25 26 Large U.S. Chain Restaurants. The authors highlight that not only do restaurants frequently sell 26 unhealthy individual menu items but they are often bundled into combinations such as a meal deal 27 that include a main dish, a side order or a dessert and a beverage at a discounted price. Even when healthier sides and beverages are available they are rarely included as the default meal deal. This 28 29 paper investigated and found that when the default meals advertised were compared with the 30 minimum and maximum versions that can be chosen, nutrient values differed significantly. For 31 example, they found default meals on average contained 584 kcal whereas the minimum option was 32 400 kcal and the maximum was 792 kcal; similar patterns were seen for fat, sodium and sugar. Some 33 items in particular were found to have a salient effect; substituting a beverage for a low calorie version

34 or water could reduce energy intakes by on average 100 kcal and sugar by 20 grams, and substituting 35 side orders such as French fries resulted in the greatest reductions in fat and salt. They proposed that 36 restaurants could repackage their meal deals by simply substituting healthier items that in most cases 37 the restaurants already offered, a simple strategy requiring neither reformulation nor investment. 38 Thus they showed that realistic modifications can significantly alter a meals nutrient composition, and 39 that relatively simple changes to beverages and side dishes have the potential to reduce energy, fat, 40 salt and sugar, paving the way for healthier options to be the default options. This confirms the findings from one of our own studies<sup>(9)</sup> that highlighted the extent to which additional courses and 41 42 drinks in default meal deals offered on children's menus in restaurants substantially contribute to the 43 energy content of a meal in the UK and Ireland. In particular, by choosing the meal deal option, often 44 seen as more convenient and sometimes, but not always cheaper, parents are perhaps unknowingly 45 ordering meals that have higher levels of energy, fat, salt and sugar.

46

Marketing practices, that often include a toy<sup>(10)</sup>, can persuade increased consumption by using meal 47 bundling and default meals to override individual decisions that encourage customers to buy items 48 together that they may not have chosen if each item was listed separately<sup>(11)</sup>. Bundling may also give 49 the perceived impression of better value for money<sup>(12,13)</sup>. Furthermore in some restaurants the sheer 50 number of options to choose from can make selecting the healthier choice more complicated than it 51 should be<sup>(12)</sup>. Bundling and meal deals also provide a perception norm<sup>(14)</sup> and gives the notion that 52 the constituent items complement each other<sup>(13)</sup>. This includes desserts and drinks that when included 53 in a meal deal are more likely to be ordered and consumed<sup>(15)</sup>. Thus bundles increase the purchase of 54 calories which naturally leads to greater consumption<sup>(16)</sup>. 55

56

Given that customers often revert to the default menus<sup>(15)</sup>, simple substitutions have the potential to 57 58 improve the nutrient composition of meals on children's menus in restaurants. There are other studies that have measured the effectiveness of substituting items in different meal deals<sup>(17,18)</sup>. 59 Similarly to the Dunn et al.<sup>(8)</sup> findings, an Australian study found that choosing water rather than a 60 sugar sweetened beverage could significantly reduce the amount of energy consumed. An American 61 62 cross-sectional study of 483 restaurant receipts showed that 60% of beverages purchased were sugar 63 sweetened and uncoupling sugary beverages from a meal deal could reduce calorie and sugar intakes, since in this study, meals with non-sugar sweetened beverage had on average 179 kcal less than meals 64 with sugar sweetened beverage<sup>(17)</sup>. Thus it would appear that eliminating a sugar sweetened beverage 65 offers the easiest way to reduce calories and sugar from a fast food meal. This highlights that a simple 66 move, such as restaurants offering tap water as default, could have a significant effect<sup>(7,19)</sup>. Simple 67

68 modifications or optimal defaults can nudge choices in a positive direction<sup>(15)</sup>. Bundled meals have 69 been reported as being popular with parents as long as they could choose the individual items that 70 were included<sup>(12 20)</sup>. One qualitative study revealed that parents thought healthier defaults could 71 facilitate ordering and in their view "help other parents"<sup>(12)</sup>. Modifications to children's menus to 72 make them healthier e.g. increasing fruit and vegetable dishes, providing water, and the removal of 73 automatic defaults for fries and sugar sweetened beverages, can result in healthier offerings without 74 removing choice or reducing revenue, so restaurants can remain competitive<sup>(21)</sup>.

75

76 In addition to bundling, children's menus are often reported as generally lacking in variety<sup>(9)</sup>, and 77 Hay<sup>(22)</sup> raised the question why do kid's menus in the UK always have chicken nuggets? A study in Germany also noted that the range of dishes on offer for children is severely restricted and in need of 78 improvement<sup>(23)</sup>. Of course children are not limited to only choosing from food from the children's 79 80 menus and can choose from the main restaurant menu; some restaurants may not even have a 81 children's menu. However an American study showed that 63% of parents do choose from the children's menu and this was higher in children under the age of ten years<sup>(20)</sup>. Clearly, restaurants 82 83 could consider designing their children's menus to include some variety and make the healthy choice the easiest choice<sup>(12)</sup> however the full impact of such measures on child health have yet to be explored. 84

85

As reported in the linked paper by Dunn and colleagues<sup>(8)</sup>, in America, some states have taken 86 legislative action to ensure restaurants provide healthy beverages with children meals as default, 87 88 however less emphasis has been given to other parts of children's menus. In the UK, the 2016 sugar 89 levy was a positive step to help improve the quality of beverages on offer, however it is evident that there is still more work to be done on the menus in many chain restaurants<sup>(9,24,25)</sup>. The second chapter 90 of the UK Childhood Obesity: a plan for action<sup>(26)</sup>, included proposals for several food environment 91 92 interventions such as restrictions on unhealthy food advertising and greater support for using planning 93 regulations to improve local food environments. A calorie reduction programme also challenged all 94 food and drink companies including restaurants and takeaways to reduce energy by 20% in a range of 95 everyday foods consumed by children by 2024 with additional recommendations to introduce 96 legislation for consistent calorie labelling in the out of home sector. More recently the Chief Medical Officers independent report on child obesity<sup>(7)</sup> stated a number of recommendations including fiscal 97 98 measures to favour healthier options such as calorie caps on food and drink sold outside the home, nutritional labelling, and free drinking water to be available for all customers in on-site premises. 99 100 Emphasising that there is a clear need to consider the role of the food environment and the nutritional 101 value of food and beverages consumed outside the home in relation to childhood obesity.

3

103 These studies show that there is a trend for families and young children to eat increasingly frequently 104 outside of the home. This is not to say that families should not enjoy an occasional treat, however we 105 do need to recognise that if opting to eat out on a more regular basis then the nutritional content of 106 the food served in restaurants can make significant contributions to the overall diet. Changing the occasional restaurant meal to a healthier one may not have a great impact on obesity risk<sup>(27)</sup>, however 107 it should be easier to eat out healthily and be possible, even sustainable, to eat in restaurants as part 108 of an overall healthy diet<sup>(19, 28)</sup>. Furthermore it is recognised that children's menus in restaurants are 109 110 just one component of the complex problem that is obesity, and thus only an innovative and multifaceted approach<sup>(29, 30)</sup> that includes policy changes<sup>(31)</sup>, transformation of the food supply<sup>(32,33)</sup> as 111 112 well as changes to the environment, will solve.

113

102

114

## 115 References

116	1.	Public Health England. Health matters: obesity and the food environment; 2017.
117		https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/health-matters-obesity-and-the-food-
118		environment/health-matters-obesity-and-the-food-environment2#improving-everyones-
119		access-to-healthier-food-choices (accessed December 2019).
120	2.	Percentage of Youths Aged 2–19 Years Consuming Any Fast Food on a Given Day, by Race and
121		Hispanic Origin — National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2013–2016. MMWR
122		Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2018;67:1134. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6740a8

123

icon.

- Seguin RA, Aggarwal A, Vermeylen F *et al.* (2016) Consumption frequency of foods away from
   home linked with higher body mass index and lower fruit a vegetable intake among adults: a
   cross sectional survey, J Envrion Public Health 2016: 3074241 doi: 10.1155/2016/3074241.
- 127
- Paddock J, Warde A, Whillans J (2017) The changing meaning of eating out in three English
   cities 1995-2015. *Appetite* 119, 5-13.

4

130	5.	Non-Communicable Disease Risk Factor Collaboration (2017) Worldwide trends in body mass
131		index, underweight, overweight, and obesity from 1975 to 2016: a pooled analysis of 2416
132		population-based measurement studies in 128.9 million children, adolescents, and adults.
133		Lancet 390, 2627–2642.
134	6.	Public Health England. National Child Measurement Programme - England 2016-17; 2017.
135		http://digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB30113 (accessed March, 2018).
136	7.	Chief Medical Officer (2019) Time to Solve Childhood Obesity. An independent report
137		https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_
138		data/file/837907/cmo-special-report-childhood-obesity-october-2019.pdf (accessed
139		December 2019).
140	8.	Dunn C G, Vercammen KA, Frelier J M, et al. (2019) Nutrition Composition of Children's meals
141		in 26 large U.S. chain restaurants, Pub Health Nutr, in press.
142	9.	Young M, Coppinger T & Reeves S (2019) The nutritional value of children's menus in chain
143		restaurants in the United Kingdom and Ireland. J Nutr Educ Behav 51, 817-825.
144	10.	Webley K (2010) A brief history of the happy meal. Time, 30 April 2010.
145	11.	Cohen DA & Lesser LI (2016) Obesity prevention at the point of purchase, Ob Rev 17, 389-396.
146	12.	Henry HKM & Borzekowski (2015) Well, that's what came with it. A qualitative study of U.S.
147		mothers' perceptions of healthier default options for children's meals at fast-food
148		restaurants. Appetite 87, 108-115.
149	13.	Sharpe KM & Staelin R (2010) Consumption effects of bundling: consumer perceptions, firm
150		actions and public policy implications, J Pub Policy Market 29, 170-188.
151	14.	Harris J & Thomas VL (2016) The influence of bundling and caloric knowledge on calories
152		ordered and purchase intent. J Consum Aff 51, 113-132.
153	15.	Loeb KL, Radnitz C, Keller K et al. (2017) The application of defaults to optimise parents'

154 health-based choices for children. *Appetite* 113, 368-375.

- 155 16. Fisher JO, Roll B, Birch LL (2003) Children bite size and intake of an entrée are greater with
  156 large portions than the age-appropriate or self-selected portions. *Am J Clin Nutr* 77, 1164157 1170.
- 158 17. Cantor J, Breck A, Elbel B (2016) Correlates of sugar-sweetened beverages purchased for
   children at fast food restaurants. *Am J Pub Health* 106, 2038-2041.
- 160 18. Anzman-Frasca S, Dawes F, Silwa S *et al.*, (2014) Healthier side dishes at restaurants: an
   analysis of children's perspectives, menu content and energy impacts, *Int J Behav Nutr Phys* 162 Act 11, 18, https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-11-81.
- 163 19. Brindal E, Mohr P, Wilson C *et al.* (2008) Obesity and the effects of choice at a fast food
   164 restaurant. *Obes Res Clin Prac* 2, 111-117.
- Anzman-Frasca S, Folta SC, Glenn ME *et al.* (2017). Healthier children's meals in restaurants:
   An exploratory study to inform approaches that are acceptable across stakeholders. *J Nutr*

167 *Educ Behav* 49, 285-295.

- 168 21. Anzman-Frasa S, Mueller MP, Silwa S *et al.* (2015) Changes in children's meal orders following
   169 healthy menu modifications at a regional US restaurant chain. *Ped Obes* 23, 1055-1062.
- 170 22. Hay B (2017) Why do kids' menus always have chicken nuggets?: Childrens observations on

171 the provision of food in hotels on family holidays. *Hospit & Soc* 8, 69-96.

172 23. Schneider S, Hilger-Kolb J & Rusing Lisa (2019) Obesity a la carte? Children's meal options in

173 German full-service restaurants, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980019002398

- 174 24. Reeves S, Wake Y, Zick A (2011) Nutrition Labelling and portion size Information on
- children's menus in fast-food and table-service chain restaurants in London, UK. *J Nutr Educ Behav* 43, 543-547
- 177 25. Goffe L, Rushton S, White M *et al.* (2017) Relationship between mean daily energy intake
  178 and frequency of consumption of out-of-home meals in the UK National Diet and Nutrition
  179 Survey. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 14, DOI 10.1186/s12966-017-0589-5.

6

- 180 26. Department of Health and Social Care (2016) Childhood Obesity: a plan for action, Chapter 2.
- 181 HM Government:
- 182 https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_
- 183 data/file/718903/childhood-obesity-a-plan-for-action-chapter-2.pdf (accessed December
- 184 2019).
- 185 27. Mazidi M, Speakman JR (2017) Higher densities of fast-food and full-service restaurants are
   186 not associated with obesity prevalence. *Am J Clin Nutr*, 106, 603-613.
- 187 28. Gorski MT & Roberto CA (2015) Public health policies to encourage healthy eating habits:
   188 recent perspectives. *J Healthcare Leader* 7, 81-90.
- 189 29. Kaur A, Briggs ADM (2019) Calorie labelling to reduce obesity. Brit Med J 367, 16119
- 190 30. Economos C (2019) Designing effective and sustainable multifaceted interventions for

191 obesity prevention and healthy communities, *Obesity* 25, 1155-1156.

- 192 31. Frieden TR, Dietz W & Collins J (2010) Reducing childhood obesity through policy change:
- acting now to prevent obesity. Health Affairs. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2010.0039.
- 194 32. Jebb SA (2011) Calorie labelling on the high street. *Brit Med J* 343, d4502
- 33. Branca F, Laertey A, Oenema S, *et al.* (2019) Transforming the food system to fight noncommunicable disease. *Brit Med J* 364, 1296.
- 197
- 198
- 199
- 199
- 200