

1 Does the treadmill support valid energetics estimates of field locomotion?

2 O. R. Bidder^{1,2*}, C. Goulding³, I. A. Toledo³, T. A. van Walsum³, U. Siebert¹, L. G. Halsey³

3 ¹Institute for Terrestrial and Aquatic Wildlife Research (ITAW), University of Veterinary
4 Medicine Hannover, Werftstr. 6, BÜsum, Germany 25761

5 ²Department of Environmental Science, Policy and Management, University of California,
6 Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720

7 ³Department of Life Sciences, University of Roehampton, London SW15 4JD

8 *corresponding author: o.r.bidder@gmail.com

9

10 Keywords: metabolic rate, energy expenditure, accelerometry, heart rate, walking, running,
11 treadmill, calibration, meta-analysis

12 Short title: treadmill proxy for field locomotion

13 **Abstract**

14 Quantifying animal energy expenditure during locomotion in the field is generally based
15 either on treadmill measurements or on estimates derived from a measured proxy. Two
16 common proxies are heart rate (fH) and dynamic body acceleration (accelerometry). Both fH
17 and accelerometry have been calibrated extensively under laboratory conditions, which
18 typically involves prompting the animal to locomote on a treadmill at different speeds whilst
19 simultaneously recording its rate of oxygen uptake ($\dot{V}O_2$) and the proxy. Field estimates of
20 $\dot{V}O_2$ during locomotion obtained directly from treadmill running or from treadmill-calibrated
21 proxies make assumptions about similarities between running in the field and in the
22 laboratory. The present study investigated these assumptions, focussing on humans as a
23 tractable species. First we investigated experimentally if and how the rate of energy
24 expenditure during treadmill locomotion differs to that during field locomotion at the same
25 speeds, with participants walking and running on a treadmill, on tarmac and on grass, while
26 wearing a mobile respirometry system. $\dot{V}O_2$ was substantially higher during locomotion in
27 both of the field conditions compared to on a level treadmill: 9.1% on tarmac and 17.7% on
28 grass. Second, we included these data in a meta-analysis of previous, related studies. The
29 results were influenced by the studies excluded due to particulars of the experiment design,
30 suggesting that participant age, the surface type and the degree of turning during field
31 locomotion may influence by how much treadmill and field locomotion $\dot{V}O_2$ differ. Third,

32 based on our experiments described earlier, we investigated the accuracy of treadmill-
33 calibrated accelerometry and f_H for estimating $\dot{V}O_2$ in the field. The mean algebraic estimate
34 errors varied between 10 and 35%, with the f_H associated errors being larger than those
35 derived from accelerometry. The mean algebraic errors were all underestimates of field $\dot{V}O_2$,
36 by around 10% for f_H and varying between 0 and 15% for accelerometry. Researchers should
37 question and consider how accurately a treadmill-derived proxy calibration of $\dot{V}O_2$ will
38 estimate $\dot{V}O_2$ during terrestrial locomotion in free-living animals.

39

40 **Introduction**

41 Many animals spend a lot of energy moving (Rezende et al., 2009, Williams et al., 2014,
42 Scantlebury et al., 2014, Halsey et al., 2015b, Gefen, 2011). Locomotion costs are an
43 important component of their finite energy budgets, from which they must also pay for all
44 other behaviours. A good insight into the energy that animals invest in locomotion is therefore
45 essential to understanding their ecology (Halsey, 2016). However, quantifying the energy
46 expenditure of wild animals is difficult. Researchers cannot usually measure energy
47 expenditure in the field directly but must instead estimate it. One option is to estimate a free-
48 ranging animal's energy expenditure during locomotion from time-energy budgets combined
49 with measurements of rate of energy expenditure during treadmill running (e.g. Hoyt and
50 Kenagy, 1988, Kenagy and Hoyt, 1989). This of course assumes that rate of energy
51 expenditure on the treadmill is equivalent to that in the field. An alternative is to estimate
52 energy expenditure from measurements of a correlated proxy that can be recorded in free-
53 ranging animals (Halsey et al., 2011b, Green, 2011). Two such proxies of energy expenditure
54 in free living animals are heart rate (f_H) and body acceleration (Green et al., 2009, Wilson et
55 al., 2006). In both cases, their measurement requires instrumentation of the subject animal
56 with an electronic device that measures and then records or transmits the data (Green et al.,
57 2009).

58 Both the accelerometry and heart rate techniques have been investigated extensively under
59 laboratory conditions, which are required for calibration of the proxy (e.g. Butler et al., 2004,
60 Wilson et al., 2006, Green et al., 2009, Halsey et al., 2011b). For terrestrial species,
61 calibration typically involves encouraging the animal to walk or run at various speeds on a
62 treadmill within a respirometry chamber (e.g. Halsey et al., 2009b). This is intended to induce

63 systematic variations both in rate of energy expenditure (measured as rate of oxygen
64 consumption; $\dot{V}O_2$) and in the proxy, to enable a calibration curve to be fitted (Halsey et al.,
65 2008, Nolet et al., 1992, Bevan et al., 1995, Hawkins et al., 2000, Froget et al., 2001, Green et
66 al., 2001, Brage et al., 2006). An implicit assumption of this process is that the calibration
67 relationship between rate of energy expenditure and the proxy holds in the field. However, for
68 a variety of reasons pedestrian locomotion on a treadmill may not be a suitable surrogate for
69 the same activity outside (Van Ingen Schenau, 1980), and thus the suitability of the treadmill
70 as a basis for estimating the locomotion costs of free-ranging animals is questionable. Yet to
71 date, differences in energy expenditure between locomotion on a treadmill and other terrains
72 has not been investigated in animals.

73 In the human literature, however, several published studies provide data on rate of energy
74 expenditure during walking or running both on a treadmill and on surfaces outside of the lab.
75 One outcome of this work is that, within the sports science discipline, it is common for
76 researchers and coaches to conduct treadmill training at a 1% gradient because this is reported
77 to most accurately emulate the energetic cost of field running in ideal conditions (Jones and
78 Doust, 1996). However, much of the human literature is conflicting, with some concluding
79 that locomotion on a treadmill is more energetically demanding (Parvataneni et al., 2009,
80 Berryman et al., 2012, Barnett et al., 2015) and others the contrary (Daniels et al., 1953,
81 Wyndham et al., 1971, Pearce et al., 1983). Other studies found no evidence for a difference
82 in energy expenditure between treadmill and field locomotion (Ralston, 1960, Jankowski et
83 al., 1972, Murray et al., 1985). The experimental procedures in each of these aforementioned
84 studies somewhat differ. For example, the surface type varies substantially outdoors, which
85 has been shown elsewhere to influence the cost of transport and the proxies of energy
86 expenditure in both humans and other animals (Bidder et al., 2012, Pandolf et al., 1976,
87 Knapik et al., 2004, Crête and Larivière, 2003, Fancy and White, 1987).

88 The issue of whether calibrated proxies of energy expenditure will accurately estimate energy
89 costs in the field could be more nuanced than simply whether walking/running on the
90 treadmill accurately simulates the energy costs of moving at the same speeds in the field. Not
91 only might the energetic costs to move at a given speed differ between the treadmill and the
92 field but the relationship between rate of energy expenditure and the proxy might differ
93 between the two conditions (Figure 1). If this is the case, then the energy costs of locomotion
94 at a given speed could be the same between the treadmill and the field yet the treadmill-
95 calibrated proxy return an inaccurate estimate of $\dot{V}O_2$ in the field. Conversely, if the

96 relationships between $\dot{V}O_2$ and the proxy are the same on the treadmill and in the field then
97 even if the energetic costs of locomotion at a given speed are different in these two
98 conditions, there will be a concomitant difference in the magnitude of the measured proxy and
99 thus the proxy will produce an accurate estimate of the cost of field locomotion.

100 In our study, first we investigated if and how the rate of energy expenditure to walk/run on a
101 treadmill differs to that to walk/run on tarmac or on cut grass, employing humans because
102 they are the most tractable species for such a study. We recorded $\dot{V}O_2$ as a measure of rate of
103 energy expenditure during aerobic activity using a mobile respiratory gas analyser. Second,
104 we then included these data in a meta-analysis of previous studies to understand the
105 magnitude of the difference in rate of energy expenditure between treadmill and field
106 locomotion in general. Third, we investigated the accuracy of treadmill-calibrated proxies
107 (body acceleration and f_H) for application to the field. To achieve this we compared the
108 measured values of $\dot{V}O_2$ for pedestrian locomotion in the field with the estimates of $\dot{V}O_2$
109 obtained from the calibrated proxies.

110

111 **Methods**

112 *Participants and experiments*

113 The experimental protocols of this study were approved by the Ethics Committee at the
114 University of Roehampton. Between December 2015 and March 2016, seven men and
115 thirteen women of mean age 24.8 ± 1 standard deviation (1SD) 8.1 years, encompassing a
116 range of statures and medium to high fitness levels, participated. Most participants undertook
117 all experimental conditions on the same day. Before the start of the experiments, the
118 participants' weights (mean \pm 1SD: 66.7 ± 9.3 kg) and heights (170.1 ± 10.5 cm) were
119 recorded. Participants did not have any cardiac or metabolic disorders and were not currently
120 taking any medication for chronic ailments. Informed consent was obtained from all
121 participants and the physical activity readiness questionnaire (PAR-Q) completed before the
122 experiments began. The PAR-Q was used to assess whether the individual should seek
123 medical advice prior to participation in the study. Six of the participants self-identified as
124 regular users of treadmills, six stated they used treadmills irregularly, and eight stated they
125 had no previous experience with treadmills. All participants were given a period at the
126 beginning of the experiment to familiarise themselves with walking and running on the
127 treadmill before measurements were taken.

128 To compare the cost of locomotion on a treadmill and in the field, three experimental surface
129 conditions were used: a speed-calibrated laboratory treadmill (Woodway Ergo ELG 70), flat
130 hard tarmac and flat soft cut grass. The experiments consisted of walking at two speeds and
131 jogging at one speed, on each surface. During the experiments, $\dot{V}O_2$ (ml min^{-1}) and f_H (beats
132 min^{-1}) were recorded along with the acceleration (g) of an accelerometer data logger
133 instrumented to the participant. On the treadmill, all three locomotion speeds were undertaken
134 both on the flat and at 1° incline. Both in the laboratory and over tarmac and grass, the speeds
135 were undertaken in a randomised order, however the first speed was always a walk. Around
136 half the participants undertook the treadmill conditions first. Soil penetration resistance along
137 the grass verge was also recorded before each experimental session (mean \pm 1SD: 0.945 ± 0.36
138 kg cm^{-3}). Participants wore sports clothing, and were free to add or remove appareil as the
139 experiments progressed. Ambient temperature outside ranged from 5 to 14°C (determined
140 retrospectively using public archive data at data.gov.uk) with no wind chill effect (wind speed
141 was negligible during all experiments outside), and 18 to 20°C in the laboratory. None of the
142 participants reported shivering or being cold during the experiments, indicating that
143 temperature induced metabolic penalties were avoided through exercise induced heating
144 (Jacobs et al., 1985, McArdle et al., 1976)).

145 The participants undertook each speed/surface combination for a minimum of four minutes
146 and rested for five minutes after each jogging period. Participants were asked to walk at 3 km h^{-1}
147 and 5 km h^{-1} , and to jog at 8 km h^{-1} (0.83 , 1.39 and 2.22 ms^{-1} , respectively). To ensure a
148 constant speed over grass and tarmac, participants were required to remain alongside an
149 experimenter who set the pace with the queue from accurately-spaced cones along the
150 walking route in conjunction with an auditory metronome (see Wilson et al., 2013b). The
151 respiratory exchange quotient remained below 1.1 in all participants at all times indicating
152 that they were always exercising within the bounds of their levels of aerobic fitness

153 *Physiological measurements*

154 Participants' $\dot{V}O_2$, rate of carbon dioxide output ($\dot{V}CO_2$), and respiratory exchange ratio (RER)
155 were measured using a portable gas analyser (Oxycon Mobile, Jaeger), incorporating an
156 oxygen paramagnetic analyser and a carbon dioxide infrared analyser. Values were initially
157 measured at 'barometric pressure and temperature, saturated' (BPTS) and converted to
158 'standard temperature and pressure, dried' (STPD) using the Haldane transformation. This
159 system involved continuous monitoring of breath-by-breath measurements utilising a

160 lightweight and low-resistance facemask from which samples of the expired air were drawn
161 through tubing at a constant rate. This breath-by-breath data provided confirmation that the
162 participant reached steady state during each condition and RER did not reach 1 (indicating
163 that anaerobic metabolism was negligible). Heart rate (f_H) was measured using a monitor
164 (Polar CS100 wearlink and transmitter, Polar Electro) recording at 0.2 Hz. The f_H monitor was
165 attached to the chest of the participant just above the sternum with a strap. Acceleration was
166 measured using a Model X6-2 tri-axial data logger (Gulf Coast Data Concepts) recording 0 to
167 $\pm 6 g$ at 12 Hz and 12-bit resolution. This logger was attached to the centre of the lumbar
168 region of the participant's back, using a Silastic® harness (Dow Corning Corporation,
169 Midland, MI). The acceleration logger did not noticeably move relative to the body during
170 exercise, ensuring that the logger recorded only acceleration attributable to body movement.

171 After the experiments, means of $\dot{V}O_2$ were calculated from the final minute or 30 s of each
172 condition based on visualisation of the breath by breath $\dot{V}O_2$ data indicating when
173 physiological steady state had been reached (Meijer et al., 1989, Terrier et al., 2001, Achten et
174 al., 2002). This is usually after around 2 to 3 min, but can be less in reasonably fit individuals
175 (Chilibeck et al., 1996, Whipp and Wasserman, 1972, ACSM, 2013), particularly when rest
176 between conditions is relatively short. Subsequently, means of heart rate and acceleration data
177 were calculated for the same periods.

178

179 *Analysis of laboratory data*

180 Movement of body parts results in movement of the body's centre of mass, and the latter has
181 been shown to correlate with $\dot{V}O_2$ (Halsey et al., 2009a). Raw acceleration data from an
182 instrumented acceleration data logger encapsulate two gravitational components: static
183 acceleration due to gravity and dynamic acceleration due to body movement (Gleiss et al.,
184 2011). Thus the acceleration of the body's centre of mass due to the movement of its body
185 parts can be determined by recording acceleration experienced by the data logger attached to a
186 fixed point on the body, such as the torso, and then from those data extracting an
187 approximation of absolute g ($1 g = 9.81 m s^{-2}$) due only to dynamic acceleration of the body
188 in each of the three dimensions (Gleiss et al., 2011, Halsey et al., 2011a). This extraction was
189 achieved in the present study by removing an approximation of the static acceleration
190 calculated via a running mean, which spanned 30 data points, i.e. $\sim 3 s$; a suitable smoothing
191 duration for many exercise scenarios (Halsey et al., 2009a, Green et al., 2009, Shepard et al.,

2008). The resulting absolute dynamic values were then combined to produce two derivations of ‘dynamic body acceleration’. The absolute summation of the three axes of dynamic body acceleration is referred to as overall dynamic body acceleration (ODBA; (see Wilson et al., 2006, for more details), while the vectorial summation of the absolute dynamic values is termed vectorial dynamic body acceleration (VeDBA; (Qasem et al., 2012).

197 *Statistical analyses*

198 To provide a broad comparison between $\dot{V}O_2$ values observed in each experimental condition, 199 means for each condition were calculated across speeds and participants. The percentage 200 difference was then calculated as the absolute difference between each of the means and that 201 observed on the treadmill at 0°. To investigate the differences in $\dot{V}O_2$ during pedestrian 202 locomotion for each surface type (treadmill at 0°, treadmill at 1°, level grass, level tarmac) at 203 each speed, mean $\dot{V}O_2$ values for each participant were submitted to a repeated measures 204 general linear model (GLM) using the R package *nlme* (Pinheiro et al., 2012), with both 205 surface type and speed included as factors: $\dot{V}O_2 \sim \text{surface_type} + \text{speed} + \text{surface_type}:\text{speed}$ 206 $+ \text{individual}[\text{random}]$. The same procedure was used to compare *fH*, ODBA and VeDBA 207 between surface types. A technical difficulty produced erroneous *fH* data for a single 208 participant on grass and tarmac, so this participant’s *fH* data were removed from analysis.

209 To investigate the relationships between $\dot{V}O_2$ and each proxy and surface type, separate 210 models were produced for each proxy (*fH*, ODBA and VeDBA): $\dot{V}O_2 \sim \text{surface_type} + \text{proxy}$ 211 $+ \text{surface_type}:\text{proxy} + \text{individual}[\text{random}]$. Model fit was compared between each of the 212 models using Akaike information criterion (AIC) calculated in R. Following this, the models 213 were rerun with the interaction term removed to investigate how this affected the model fit.

214 The values of mean *fH*, ODBA and VeDBA measured on the treadmill at 0° incline were 215 independently regressed against measured $\dot{V}O_2$ for each individual separately to generate 216 individual-specific calibrations. These calibrations were then used to estimate $\dot{V}O_2$ from 217 values recorded for each proxy during locomotion on tarmac and on grass. The absolute 218 difference between the estimated value and the measured value recorded with the portable 219 respirometer was calculated, and these values were compared to investigate whether the 220 extent of the difference was influenced by surface type and speed. To make this comparison,

221 we calculated the mean algebraic error, i.e., the mean of all positive and negative errors, to
222 show the estimate error average across all participants, and the mean absolute error, which
223 better reflects the error on an individual participant basis. This procedure was repeated for
224 calibrations based on the 1° incline treadmill data.

225 *Meta-analysis*

226 To investigate the general trend in the published literature in terms of $\dot{V}O_2$ during locomotion
227 on a treadmill compared to locomotion in the field, a meta-analysis following the principles
228 set out in Cumming (2012) was undertaken using the accompanying ESCI meta-analysis
229 software.

230 A review of the literature uncovered 12 published articles, dating from 1953 – 2015, that
231 compared the metabolic costs for human locomotion on variable speed treadmills and field
232 running. Studies were found using search terms such as ‘outdoor versus treadmill running’,
233 ‘energy expenditure on treadmill’ and variations thereof. Some studies were uncovered as
234 they referenced earlier studies. For inclusion in the meta-analysis, studies were required to
235 compare treadmill locomotion to comparable conditions on a firm surface, provide values for
236 $\dot{V}O_2$ via respirometry and report travel speeds to ensure this factor was broadly comparable
237 between studies. Despite some differences in experimental protocol, 8 suitable studies were
238 included in the meta-analysis (Table 1). Many of these studies tested different speeds and so
239 values for $\dot{V}O_2$ obtained at speeds closest to 1.5 m s⁻¹ (a fast walk) were used. The mean
240 locomotion speed associated with the values used in the meta-analysis was 1.54 ±0.16 (1SD)
241 m s⁻¹, and was usually the median speed tested. The mean $\dot{V}O_2$ and SD for the 0° treadmill and
242 tarmac experiments calculated for all participants at 1.4 ms⁻¹ (5 km hr⁻¹) during the present
243 study were also included in the meta-analysis.

244 The meta-analysis was conducted using the mean mass-specific $\dot{V}O_2$ and SDs for treadmill and
245 field locomotion in each study. A random effects model was used to somewhat account for
246 differences in the experimental procedures between studies (as opposed to a fixed effects
247 model, which assumes that all studies have exactly the same aim). This model estimated the
248 mean effect size (the difference in mean mass-specific $\dot{V}O_2$ between the two conditions) and
249 95% confidence interval across the studies included. For a detailed account of this model, see
250 Chapter 8 of Cumming (2012).

251

252 **Results**

253 In the current study, as would be expected there was a statistically significant increase in $\dot{V}O_2$
254 at higher speeds ($F = 1844,0$, $df = 2$, $p < 0.001$). Surface type (treadmill, tarmac or grass) also
255 affected $\dot{V}O_2$ ($F = 26.4$, $df = 3$, $p < 0.001$). Mean $\dot{V}O_2$ was higher when participants locomoted
256 on either of the outdoor surfaces than on the treadmill (Figure 2). Mean absolute $\dot{V}O_2$ across
257 all speeds was 9.1% higher on tarmac and 17.7% higher on grass compared to a treadmill at
258 0° incline. fH , ODBA and VeDBA also increased with increasing speed of locomotion, again
259 as expected. However, while fH and ODBA varied statistically significantly with surface
260 type, surprisingly VeDBA did not (Table 2). This indicates that the proxies fH and ODBA
261 were more sensitive to the surface underfoot than was VeDBA.

262 *Accuracy of the treadmill derived calibrations*

263 Analysis of the $\dot{V}O_2$ -proxy relationships uncovered a differing effect of surface type. Where
264 fH was the proxy there was no significant interaction with surface type, whereas both the
265 ODBA and VeDBA models included a significant interaction effect (Table 3). This can be
266 clearly seen upon inspection of the best fit regression lines between $\dot{V}O_2$ and each of the
267 proxies for each surface type (Figure 4). For fH (Figure 4, panel a) the slopes are parallel,
268 with the two outdoor surfaces consistently returning slightly higher $\dot{V}O_2$ values compared to
269 the 0° or 1° treadmill. In contrast, the slopes for the surface conditions in the ODBA and
270 VeDBA models differ (Figure 4, panels b and c); again, $\dot{V}O_2$ is higher at any given
271 accelerometry value on the two outdoor surfaces but this difference becomes greater as $\dot{V}O_2$
272 increases. Comparison between the models indicated that ODBA provided marginally the best
273 model given the data (AIC scores: 3065, 3093, 3211 for ODBA, VeDBA and fH respectively).

274 The relationships between $\dot{V}O_2$ and each proxy caused statistically significant differences in
275 the magnitude of the algebraic estimate errors between conditions within each of the three
276 proxies (Table 4; Figures 5 and 6). The aforementioned differences in how the relationships
277 between $\dot{V}O_2$ and each proxy diverged across surface types explains the magnitudes of the
278 algebraic errors in the estimates of $\dot{V}O_2$ in the field conditions based on the treadmill proxy

279 calibrations. When the fH data were calibrated against $\dot{V}O_2$ data on the treadmill at 0° , for
280 the tarmac and grass conditions the mean algebraic error for $\dot{V}O_2$ was underestimated by a
281 fairly consistent amount of between 7.5 and 11.9%, depending upon surface type and speed
282 (Figure 5). For both ODBA and VeDBA, $\dot{V}O_2$ treadmill calibrations at 0° produced relatively
283 small (ODBA: between 2.1 and 8.1% mean algebraic error; VeDBA: between 1.5 and 7.8%
284 mean algebraic error) underestimations in $\dot{V}O_2$ during slow locomotion (0.83 ms^{-1}) on both
285 outdoor surfaces, and relatively high underestimations at the fastest speeds (ODBA: between
286 9.6 and 11% mean algebraic error; VeDBA: between 11.2 and 13.1% mean algebraic error at
287 2.2 ms^{-1} , Figure 5). ODBA was the proxy for which the mean algebraic error was lowest
288 across all speeds and surfaces, followed by VeDBA and fH ($5.7 \pm 13.8\%$, $6.5 \pm 13.9\%$ and 8.8
289 $\pm 24.4\%$, respectively).

290 fH data calibrated against $\dot{V}O_2$ data on the treadmill at 1° produced relatively large
291 underestimations for locomotion on tarmac and grass (Figure 6). Mean algebraic errors for
292 $\dot{V}O_2$ estimates on grass and tarmac were between 13.3 and 18.9% for all participants. 1°
293 treadmill calibrations for ODBA and VeDBA also underestimated measured $\dot{V}O_2$ during
294 locomotion on tarmac and grass, and again these underestimations increased with locomotion
295 speed for both ODBA and VeDBA (ODBA: between 2.3 and 12.3% mean algebraic error;
296 VeDBA: between 0.3 and 6.8% mean algebraic error at 0.83 ms^{-1} ; ODBA: between 4.8 and
297 13.9% mean algebraic error; VeDBA: between 13.3 and 15.3% mean algebraic error at 2.22
298 ms^{-1}). Again, ODBA was the proxy that produced the lowest mean algebraic error across all
299 speeds and surfaces in $\dot{V}O_2$ estimation when calibrated with the 1° treadmill data, followed by
300 VeDBA and fH ($6.2 \pm 10.9\%$, $6.7 \pm 11.1\%$ and $12.1 \pm 26.3\%$, respectively).

301 Comparing 0° and 1° treadmill calibrations shows that using the 0° calibration in general
302 produces less error when estimating $\dot{V}O_2$ from all three proxies for locomotion on tarmac and
303 on grass.

304 Figure 7 illustrates the mean absolute error for calibrations produced on 0° and 1° treadmills.
305 Estimates for $\dot{V}O_2$ from fH incurred consistently higher error than accelerometry and scaled
306 negatively with speed, with the largest mean absolute error observed on tarmac at 3 km h^{-1}
307 when calibrating from a treadmill at 1° (36.2% at 2.2 ms^{-1}). Mean absolute errors for

308 accelerometry were lower (ODBA: between 11.3 and 14.9%; VeDBA: between 11.2 and
309 15.5% from 0° treadmill calibrations and ODBA: between 8.5 and 14.2%; VeDBA: between
310 8.2 and 15.2% from 1° treadmill calibrations).

311

312 *Meta-analysis*

313 The meta-analysis included 8 prior studies and the results of the present experiment. The
314 standardised mean difference, calculated as the mean field $\dot{V}O_2$ minus the mean treadmill $\dot{V}O_2$,
315 was $\mu = -0.662 \text{ ml min}^{-1} \text{ kg}^{-1}$ ($df = 9$). The standard deviation of the population for the studies
316 included in the meta-analysis was $\tau = 1.52 \text{ ml min}^{-1} \text{ kg}^{-1}$. In a meta-analysis, heterogeneity is
317 the term given to the variability in outcomes between studies, for example due to differences
318 in experimental protocol (Cumming, 2012). The weighted sum of squares between studies in
319 the meta-analysis, a measure of heterogeneity, was $Q = 114.86$. The proportion of the total
320 variance, which reflects the variation in the true effect size, was $I^2 = 93.03\%$, indicating that
321 there is considerable heterogeneity between studies included in the meta-analysis. These
322 figures reflect both the varied protocols adopted by the studies and/or the high variation in
323 $\dot{V}O_2$ between individual participants. While the literature suggests that over 100 individuals
324 are required to account for between-individual variability in metabolic rate (Hox, 2002), our
325 meta-analysis contains data obtained for 191 individuals.

326

327 **Discussion**

328 In our study, $\dot{V}O_2$ measured by a mobile respiratory gas analyser during pedestrian locomotion
329 showed a statistically significant difference between the surfaces investigated, with both
330 tarmac and grass incurring a greater $\dot{V}O_2$ than the treadmill. However, somewhat in contrast,
331 our meta-analysis highlighted that, at least at a fast walking speed, treadmill locomotion is
332 energetically more expensive, although the effect size is small and influenced by the details of
333 the experimental design. Our analysis of the accuracy of treadmill-calibrated proxies of $\dot{V}O_2$ (
334 fH and accelerometry) to estimate $\dot{V}O_2$ on tarmac and grass indicates that under many
335 situations a considerable measurement error is generated. The mean absolute error for all
336 proxies was typically at least 10%, with errors from fH -based estimates often 20-40%. Mean

337 algebraic errors were smaller; consistently around 10% for fH and positively related to speed
338 for accelerometry, ranging between around 0 and 15%.

339

340 *Differences in cost of transport between field and treadmill locomotion*

341 The results of the present experiments indicate that, at the three speeds tested, $\dot{V}O_2$ for
342 locomotion ‘in the field’, whether on hard (tarmac) or soft (grass), is markedly higher than
343 that for treadmill locomotion, even when the latter is on a 1° incline (which is often
344 considered to account for the slight increases in resistance inherent in locomotion outdoors in
345 ideal conditions; Jones and Doust, 1996). It would be reasonable to expect the energy
346 expenditure of animals moving over grass to be greater than that for locomotion on a
347 treadmill; a soft surface is deformed as an animal moves over it, demanding additional
348 mechanical work (Coward and Halsey, 2014) and such a surface may also incur increased
349 muscle-tendon work (while walking) or decreased muscle-tendon efficiency (while running
350 ,Coward and Halsey, 2014, Lejeune et al., 1998). Indeed, for humans, measurements have
351 shown that travel over less firm substrates incurs greater energy costs (Pinnington and
352 Dawson, 2001) and reindeer must expend greater energy to travel over less firm tundra as
353 opposed to densely packed substrate (White and Yousef, 1978). Harder surfaces such as
354 tarmac allow more efficient locomotion by supporting more energy rebound than is typically
355 experienced from a deforming surface (Kerdok et al., 2002, Hardin et al., 2004).

356 Van Ingen Schenau (1980) suggests that decreased air resistance during treadmill locomotion
357 may explain the difference in $\dot{V}O_2$ between locomotion on a treadmill and on tarmac, although
358 the contribution of air resistance in calm air at typical walking and jogging speeds is thought
359 to be negligible (Pugh, 1970, Pugh, 1971, Davies, 1980). Another possibility is that there may
360 be more energy return experienced during treadmill locomotion than any typical outdoor
361 surfaces since the tread moves around a plank of wood. Otherwise, the energy savings
362 experienced during treadmill locomotion in the present study might be due to differences in
363 locomotion gait or kinematics between treadmill and ‘free’ walking and running. Pearce et al.,
364 (1983) suggest that less mechanical lift work is performed during treadmill locomotion due to
365 kinematic changes in running gait, e.g. longer stance phases, shorter strides and higher
366 cadence (Stolze et al., 1997, Alton et al., 1998, Warabi et al., 2005, Watt et al., 2010, Wearing
367 et al., 2013) or possibly that part of this mechanical lift work is compensated for by the

368 treadmill motor (Ralston, 1960). The effects on $\dot{V}O_2$ of the differences in locomotion kinetics
369 between the treadmill and field running could be investigated using a treadmill with variable
370 bed compliance set to match field conditions, such as the treadmill used in Hardin et al.,
371 (2004).

372 However, somewhat contrary to the empirical results of the present study, the meta-analysis
373 for fast walking $\dot{V}O_2$ based on multiple previous studies along with the present data produced a
374 standardised mean difference of $0.66 \text{ ml min}^{-1} \text{ kg}^{-1}$ (4.3% of the mean $\dot{V}O_2$ recorded across all
375 studies). This indicates that, at least for the walking gait at around 1.5 ms^{-1} , treadmill
376 locomotion requires a marginally greater $\dot{V}O_2$ than does locomotion on tarmac or an athletics
377 track (Figure 3). This increase is estimated to be around 50 ml min^{-1} for an average-sized
378 adult, which equates to about 1 kJ min^{-1} or $0.2 \text{ kcal min}^{-1}$; for most contexts this can be
379 considered negligible.

380 Massaad et al. (Massaad et al., 2007) offer some support to the conclusion of the meta-
381 analysis. They found the kinematic differences observed on the treadmill (i.e. higher cadence
382 and shorter stride lengths when running on the treadmill, Stolze et al., 1997, Alton et al.,
383 1998, Warabi et al., 2005, Watt et al., 2010, Wearing et al., 2013) resulted in decreased
384 vertical mass displacement (i.e. a flatter trajectory), which in fact results in increased energy
385 expenditure by requiring greater mechanical work be performed at the hip, knee and ankle
386 joints (Gordon et al., 2009). Nonetheless, the fact that kinematic differences were implicated
387 in both decreased (Pearce et al., 1983) and increased energy expenditure on the treadmill
388 (Massaad et al., 2007) suggests that other factors may be involved to produce the disparity in
389 the studies on this topic (including in our present experimental results).

390 The most likely explanation for why the overall conclusion of the meta-analysis (similar
391 energy costs to walk on the treadmill or on a firm surface ‘in the field’) somewhat contradicts
392 the results of the experiment performed in the present study, is provided by considering the
393 differing protocols under which field locomotion was tested between studies. Some of the
394 studies required participants to walk around an athletics track (Barnett et al., 2015), and in
395 each case $\dot{V}O_2$ was higher on the treadmill, which ultimately led to overestimation of both
396 speed and $\dot{V}O_2$ over-ground. Unfortunately, many of the studies provided little information on
397 the exact type of substrate of these tracks (e.g. Berryman et al., 2012, Yngve et al., 2003).

398 However, the rubber surfaces commonly found on athletics tracks may provide energy return
399 that improves energy economies of locomotion (Kerdok et al., 2002). If studies that did or
400 may have used a rubberised athletics track (i.e. reported route distances that might well have
401 been traversed on an athletics track: 105 m, 140 m and 400 m; Parvataneni et al., 2009,
402 Berryman et al., 2012, Barnett et al., 2015) are excluded from the meta-analysis, the
403 standardised mean difference between treadmill and field locomotion decreases to just 0.03
404 $\text{ml min}^{-1} \text{kg}^{-1}$ (0.21% of mean $\dot{V}\text{O}_2$ across all studies) with an I^2 of 75.03% (Figure 3).

405 Studies that explicitly compare the rate of energy expenditure on treadmill and athletic track
406 surfaces are scarce. Wee et al., (2016) found no significant difference in $\dot{V}\text{O}_2$ between
407 locomotion on a Mondo athletics track and a treadmill, although athletes reported a higher
408 rate of perceived exertion on the motorized treadmill. However, that study involved
409 participants travelling at speeds far higher (3.3 to 4.4 ms^{-1}) than that tested in the meta-
410 analysis.

411 During field trials in the present study, participants were required to travel along a straight-
412 line course approximately 60 m long. Once participants reached the end of the course, they
413 made a 180° turn during which they were required to maintain pace. It is likely that the
414 increased energy expenditure to perform these turns (Wilson et al., 2013b) increased the
415 measured $\dot{V}\text{O}_2$ for the field conditions. Pearce et al. (1983) used a similar linear outdoor course
416 (see Table 1) and their conclusions concur with those obtained in the present study. The
417 studies that found treadmill locomotion to be more energetically expensive incorporated
418 elliptical or large circular tracks with less abrupt turns (e.g. Murray et al., 1985, Barnett et al.,
419 2015); gentler turns require less energy (Wilson et al., 2013b). Thus, the difference in the
420 frequency and extent of turns in the protocols between the studies may offer some explanation
421 for the varied conclusions found in the literature and the heterogeneity observed in the meta-
422 analysis. If studies that incorporated abrupt turns are excluded from the meta-analysis (the
423 results of the present experiment and Pearce et al., 1983), the standardised mean difference
424 changes to 1.2 $\text{ml min}^{-1} \text{kg}^{-1}$ more during treadmill locomotion (7.6% of mean $\dot{V}\text{O}_2$ measured
425 across all studies) with an I^2 of 90.75% (Figure 3). The direction of effect is the same as that
426 reported by the original meta-analysis but with a greater magnitude, thus offering some
427 supporting evidence that locomotion on a treadmill incurs a slightly higher $\dot{V}\text{O}_2$ than does
428 locomotion on outdoor surfaces.

429 Two of the studies that concluded locomotion on a treadmill incurs higher metabolic costs
430 utilised participants over the age of 70 years (Parvataneni et al., 2009, Berryman et al., 2012).
431 Older individuals tend to exhibit gait disorders (Waters and Mulroy, 1999), and recruit a
432 greater proportion of their motor units at a given walking speed, utilising a higher percentage
433 of fast twitch fibres (Martin et al., 1992). They may also have reduced gait stability and
434 balance (Hausdorff et al., 1997, Woledge et al., 2005, Mian et al., 2006). During locomotion
435 on a treadmill, the environment is static (Lavcanska et al., 2005) but proprioceptive
436 information is received from moving muscles whilst optic flow is constant (Dal et al., 2010).
437 Such a mismatch between sensory inputs may affect walking speed and motor output
438 (Mulavara et al., 2005). Indeed, Dal et al. (2010) observed such an effect, as they found that
439 the preferred walking speed determined on a treadmill was significantly lower to that
440 observed during field locomotion. These studies suggest that treadmill locomotion may
441 necessitate greater balance and coordination. Given that both balance and coordination tend
442 to deteriorate with age, older participants may incur greater energetic penalties on a
443 treadmill by adopting a less efficient gait. This may explain the observation of increased
444 energy costs of treadmill locomotion in studies that involved participants above 70 years of
445 age (Parvataneni et al., 2009, Berryman et al., 2012).

446 The results of Greig et al (1993) support this hypothesis. During a test comparing the energy
447 costs of treadmill locomotion with that during locomotion down a corridor that involved
448 groups of elderly participants (71-80 years) and young, healthy volunteers (21-37 years), only
449 the elderly group showed increased heart rate and step rate on the treadmill. This suggests that
450 the pattern in the literature towards the conclusion that treadmill locomotion incurs greater
451 metabolic costs is at least partly influenced by the synergistic effect of age. If the studies that
452 used older participants are removed from the meta-analysis, the standardised mean difference
453 is $-0.36 \text{ ml min}^{-1} \text{ kg}^{-1}$ more energy used on the treadmill (6.4% of mean $\dot{V}O_2$ measured across
454 all studies).

455 In conclusion, the difference in $\dot{V}O_2$ between the treadmill and firm surfaces 'in the field' is
456 typically small; 4.3% of mean $\dot{V}O_2$ across all the studies analysed (Figure 3). Our meta-
457 analyses suggest that key details of the protocols underlying measurements of pedestrian
458 locomotion on the treadmill and firm surfaces in the field can influence which, if either, of
459 these conditions is the marginally more energetically expensive.

460

461 *How accurate are treadmill-calibrated proxies of energy expenditure in the field?*

462 As shown in the present study and previous studies, $\dot{V}O_2$ during locomotion in the field may be
463 different to that on a treadmill. This raises the question as to whether the proxies fH , ODBA
464 and VeDBA, having been calibrated with $\dot{V}O_2$ on the treadmill, are able to provide accurate
465 estimates for $\dot{V}O_2$ in the field despite differences in the substrate underfoot (and perhaps other
466 differences such as gait kinematics). Researchers have investigated whether calibrations of fH
467 with $\dot{V}O_2$ are accurate across different environments in various animal species, but
468 predominantly where the $\dot{V}O_2$ - fH relationships are moderated by stress levels rather than
469 surface type (Bisson et al., 2009, Cyr et al., 2009, Groscolas et al., 2010). Barnett et al.,
470 (2015) found that treadmill calibrations of $\dot{V}O_2$ against Actigraph counts (derived from
471 measures of acceleration over a specified epoch) produced appreciable over-estimations when
472 applied to participants travelling outdoors at a range of speeds (see Table 1).

473 The models describing the variability in $\dot{V}O_2$ according to both the proxies and the surface
474 conditions showed that in all cases the relationship between $\dot{V}O_2$ and the proxy was very
475 similar for the treadmill at 0° and 1° incline, and very similar for the two outdoor surfaces (cut
476 grass and tarmac), but these two couplets of relationships differed. How they differed
477 contrasted for accelerometry and for fH . Specifically, there was an interaction effect between
478 ODBA or VeDBA (converging lines of best fit for each surface type; Figure 4, panels b and c)
479 and surface condition, while in contrast no interaction effect was present for fH (parallel lines
480 of best fit; Figure 4, panel a). For fH , this manifests as a divergence in the relationship
481 between $\dot{V}O_2$ and accelerometry as the values of accelerometry, and hence locomotion speed,
482 increase, with a steeper slope gradient for the two outdoor surfaces. For fH there are, in
483 absolute terms, consistently higher values of $\dot{V}O_2$ for any given accelerometry value (and
484 hence any given locomotion speed) for the outdoor surfaces.

485 Consequently, across all the proxies tested, the treadmill calibrations of $\dot{V}O_2$, at both 0° and 1°
486 incline, on average produce underestimations of $\dot{V}O_2$ during field locomotion (Figures 5 and
487 6). Figure 5 shows that the $\dot{V}O_2$ estimated from ODBA and VeDBA calibrated with a treadmill

488 at 0° is on average accurate for slow walking (3 km hr⁻¹) on tarmac but underestimates $\dot{V}O_2$ by
489 ~5 and 13% for fast walking (5 km h⁻¹) and slow jogging (8 km h⁻¹), respectively.
490 Underestimates of $\dot{V}O_2$ are marginally greater on grass, and on this surface type even for
491 walking, these calibrations underestimate $\dot{V}O_2$ by more than 5% on average.

492 On average fH typically underestimated $\dot{V}O_2$ more than did ODBA or VeDBA, at all speeds
493 and surface conditions tested, but generally this difference was greatest at slower speeds. The
494 underestimates are over 10% in nearly all conditions, and nearly 20% for slow walking on
495 tarmac. The fact that ODBA and VeDBA usually produced more accurate estimates of $\dot{V}O_2$
496 than did fH might be surprising because the accuracy of accelerometry data is potentially
497 affected by movement of the logger relative to the animal's body (i.e. over and above
498 movement due to the animal's body) (Preston et al., 2012), which could differ between
499 surfaces. However, a similar finding to the present study was reported for chickens;
500 accelerometry outperformed fH as a proxy for energy expenditure when the animals were
501 active (Green et al., 2009).

502 In terms of absolute error, fH was again typically less accurate than accelerometry (Figure 7).
503 This suggests that on an individual person basis, on average fH returned less accurate
504 estimates of $\dot{V}O_2$ than did accelerometry. The mean absolute errors associated with fH were
505 always greater than 10% and often greater than 20%. In contrast, the mean absolute errors for
506 accelerometry were sometimes less than 10% and never greater than 20%. A further
507 difference between the proxies is that the magnitude of the absolute error markedly decreased
508 with speed for fH for every surface type, but tended to mildly increase with speed for
509 accelerometry. This can be explained from inspection of Figure 4. The scatter around the lines
510 of best fit between $\dot{V}O_2$ and accelerometry is greater at the higher values of ODBA or VeDBA,
511 i.e. at the high locomotion speeds, resulting in greater mean absolute errors. For fH , the
512 scatter is fairly consistent across the range of fH values and thus at the higher locomotion
513 speeds when fH is therefore also higher, the mean absolute error as a percentage of the true
514 value diminishes.

515 That treadmill-based calibrations can include such errors when applied to estimating $\dot{V}O_2$ in
516 the field may be problematic for studies focussing on estimating $\dot{V}O_2$ during intense activity,

517 such as during prey capture (e.g. Wilson et al., 2013a, Viviant et al., 2010, Williams et al.,
518 2014). However, the majority of animals move through their environments at relatively low
519 speeds most of the time, in order to conserve energy or remain hidden (Moen, 1976, Kenagy
520 and Hoyt, 1989, Wickler et al., 2000), and the present findings indicate that in this context
521 estimate errors may be small, particularly when accelerometry is the proxy. Our study
522 suggests that in instances where animals are expected to employ a range of higher speeds or
523 activity types, gait-specific and activity-specific calibrations for $\dot{V}O_2$ should be used to
524 minimise error (Jeanniard-du-Dot et al., 2016, Volpov et al., 2015), and might be particularly
525 valuable when subject animals are encountering complex, heterogeneous environments
526 (Kareiva, 1990, Wiens et al., 1993, Morales and Ellner, 2002).

527 Finally, we must flag up the surprising result that one of the derivations of accelerometry data
528 – VeDBA – did not differ statistically significantly between surface types, in contrast to
529 ODBA, and also fH . P values should be interpreted with great caution (Halsey et al., 2015a),
530 however, and VeDBA estimated similar values of $\dot{V}O_2$ to those estimated by ODBA (Figures
531 5 and 6). Nonetheless, this statistical result suggests some evidence that in the scenario of the
532 present study at least, VeDBA is less sensitive to changes in substrate type than are the other
533 proxies. Using VeDBA as an uncalibrated proxy of gait kinematics, underfoot substrate or
534 (qualified) locomotion energetics may be less effective than employing ODBA or fH .

535

536 *Final thoughts*

537 The results of the present study should make researchers question and consider how
538 accurately a laboratory-derived proxy calibration of $\dot{V}O_2$ will estimate $\dot{V}O_2$ during terrestrial
539 locomotion of a human or other animal in the field, including when field conditions appear
540 comensurate with the treadmill; flat firm ground in a wind-free environment. Our data suggest
541 that at relatively low speeds the errors may tend to be smaller than at relatively higher speeds,
542 and thus treadmill calibrations may perform better for animals that mostly locomote at their
543 lower speeds. On the other hand, where the substrate underfoot is more different to the
544 treadmill (such as snow or sand; Crête and Larivière, 2003, Pandolf et al., 1976, Lejeune et
545 al., 1998, Pinnington and Dawson, 2001), or is not relatively flat (Halsey et al., 2008, Halsey
546 and White, 2017), it is possible that estimate errors of $\dot{V}O_2$ will be greater. We stress, however,

547 that this is far from certain because it depends on how changes in $\dot{V}O_2$ due to the substrate are
548 recognised by changes in the measured proxy.

549 Numerous studies have derived laboratory-based energetics calibrations for aquatic and volant
550 animals using shallow dive tanks and wind tunnels (Green, 2011, Ward et al., 2001, Halsey et
551 al., 2007). Similarly to the limitations in accuracy of using lab-based terrestrial locomotion
552 protocols to estimate field-based terrestrial locomotion, the same is likely for other forms of
553 locomotion, and indeed may be greater given the particular difficulties in simulating free-
554 ranging swimming, diving and flying in the laboratory (Elliot et al., 2013, Hansen and
555 Ricklefs, 2004).

556 Where more accurate estimates of field energy expenditure are desired, we suggest that
557 researchers consider combining proxies to record more data types related to metabolic rate,
558 most obviously fH and accelerometry (Elliot, 2016). In a study of sockeye salmon, fH and
559 accelerometry in combination proved a considerably better proxy for energy expenditure than
560 did fH or accelerometry alone (Clark et al., 2010). This finding was mirrored in an early
561 treadmill calibration study comparing accelerometry with fH (Halsey et al., 2008). Data
562 logger designs can now incorporate both fH and accelerometry, and although intermittent
563 sampling may be required to preserve battery life, the combined data sets have proved
564 insightful (Bishop et al., 2015). Furthermore, doubly-labelled water may be used as a potential
565 calibrator, using time-specific activity budgets to calculate the energy expenditure of shorter-
566 lived behaviours (Elliot et al., 2013).

567

568 **Acknowledgements**

569 Tom Reeve provided technical support during data collection. Useful chats with Craig White
570 helped to conceive the project idea. ORB was funded by a Post-Doctoral Fellowship from the
571 Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. This paper is dedicated to Taren and Emrys.

572

- 574 ACHTEN, J., GLEESON, M. & JEUKENDRUP, A. 2002. Determination of the exercise
575 intensity that elicits maximal fat oxidation. *Medicine and Science in Sports and*
576 *Exercise*, 34, 92-97.
- 577 ACSM 2013. *American College of Sports Medicine's guidelines for exercise testing and*
578 *prescription*, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- 579 ALTON, F., BALDEY, L., CAPLAN, S. & MORRISSEY, M. C. 1998. A kinematic
580 comparison of overground and treadmill walking. *Clinical Biomechanics*, 13, 434-440.
- 581 BARNETT, A., CERIN, E., VANDELANOTTE, C., MATSUMOTO, A. & JENKINS, D.
582 2015. Validity of treadmill- and track-based individual calibration methods for
583 estimating free-living walking speed and VO₂ using the Actigraph accelerometer.
584 *BMC Sports Science, Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 7, 29.
- 585 BERRYMAN, N., GAYDA, M., NIGAM, A., JUNEAU, M., BHERER, L. & BOSQUET, L.
586 2012. Comparison of the metabolic energy cost of overground and treadmill walking
587 in older adults. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 112, 1613-1620.
- 588 BEVAN, R. M., WOAKES, A. J., BUTLER, P. J. & CROXALL, J. P. 1995. Heart rate and
589 oxygen consumption of exercising gentoo penguins. *Physiological Zoology*, 68, 855-
590 877.
- 591 BIDDER, O. R., QASEM, L. A. & WILSON, R. P. 2012. On Higher Ground: How Well Can
592 Dynamic Body Acceleration Determine Speed in Variable Terrain? *PLoS One*, DOI:
593 10.1371/journal.pone.0050556.
- 594 BISHOP, C. M., SPIVEY, R. J., HAWKES, L. A., BATBAYAR, N., CHUA, B.,
595 FRAPPELL, P. B., MILSOM, W. K., NATSAGDORJ, T., NEWMAN, S. H., SCOTT,
596 G. R., TAKEKAWA, J. Y., WIKELSKI, M. & BUTLER, P. J. 2015. The roller
597 coaster flight strategy of bar-headed geese conserves energy during Himalayan
598 migrations. *Science*, 347, 250-254.
- 599 BISSON, I., BUTLER, L. K., HAYDEN, T. J., ROMERO, L. M. & WIKELSKI, M. 2009.
600 No energetic costs of anthropogenic disturbance in a songbird. *Proceeding of The*
601 *Royal Society: Biological Sciences*, 276, 961-969.
- 602 BRAGE, S., BRAGE, N., EKELUND, U., LUAN, J. A., FRANKS, P. W., FROBERG, K. &
603 WAREHAM, N. J. 2006. Effect of combined movement and heart rate monitor
604 placement on physical activity estimates during treadmill locomotion and free-living.
605 *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 96, 517-524.
- 606 BUTLER, P. J., GREENWOOD, P. J., BOYD, I. L. & SPEAKMAN, R. 2004. Measuring
607 metabolic rate in the field: the pros and cons of the doubly labelled water and heart
608 rate methods. *Functional Ecology*, 18, 168-183.
- 609 CHILIBECK, P. D., PATERSON, D. H., PETRELLA, R. J. & CUNNINGHAM, D. A. 1996.
610 The Influence of Age and Cardiorespiratory Fitness on Kinetics of Oxygen Uptake.
611 *Canadian Journal of Applied Physiology*, 21, 185-196.
- 612 CLARK, T. D., SANDBLOM, E., HINCH, S. G., PATTERSON, B. R., FRAPPELL, P. B. &
613 FARRELL, A. P. 2010. Simultaneous biollogging of heart rate and acceleration, and
614 their relationship with energy expenditure in free-swimming sockeye salmon
615 (*Oncorhynchus nerka*). *Journal of Comparative Physiology B*, 180, 673-684.
- 616 COWARD, S. R. L. & HALSEY, L. G. 2014. Energy expended during horizontal jumping:
617 investigating the effects of surface compliance. *Biology Open*, 3, 815-820.
- 618 CRÊTE, M. & LARIVIÈRE, S. 2003. Estimating the costs of locomotion in snow for coyotes.
619 *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 81, 1808-1814.
- 620 CUMMING, G. 2012. *Understanding the New Statistics: Effect Sizes, Confidence Intervals,*
621 *and Meta-Analysis*, New York, Routledge.

- 622 CYR, N. E., DICKENS, M. J. & ROMERO, L. M. 2009. Heart rate and heart-rate variability
623 responses to acute and chronic stress in a wild-caught passerine bird. *Physiological*
624 *and Biochemical Zoology*, 82, 332-344.
- 625 DAL, U., ERDOGAN, T., RESITOGLU, B. & BEYDAGI, H. 2010. Determination of
626 preferred walking speed on treadmill may lead to high oxygen cost on treadmill
627 walking. *Gait and Posture*, 31, 366-369.
- 628 DANIELS, F., VANDERBIE, J. H. & WINSMAN, F. R. 1953. Energy cost of treadmill
629 walking compared to road walking. Report No. 220 of Environmental Protection
630 Division, Q. M., Research and Development Laboratory, Natick, MA.
- 631 DAVIES, C. T. M. 1980. Effects of wind assistance and resistance on the forward motion of a
632 runner. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 48, 702-709.
- 633 ELLIOT, K. H. 2016. Measurement of flying and diving metabolic rate in wild animals:
634 Review and recommendations. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A:*
635 *Molecular & Integrative Physiology*, 202, 63-77.
- 636 ELLIOT, K. H., RICKELEFS, R. E., GASTON, A. J., HATCH, S. A., SPEAKMAN, J. R. &
637 DAVOREN, G. K. 2013. High flight costs, but low dive costs, in auks support the
638 biomechanical hypothesis for flightlessness in penguins. *Proceedings of the National*
639 *Academy of Sciences*, 110, 9380-9384.
- 640 FANCY, S. G. & WHITE, R. G. 1987. Energy expenditures for locomotion by barren-ground
641 caribou. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 65, 122-128.
- 642 FROGET, G., BUTLER, P. J., HANDRICH, Y. & WOAKES, A. J. 2001. Heart rate as an
643 indicator of oxygen consumption: influence of body condition in the king penguin.
644 *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 204, 2133-2144.
- 645 GEFEN, E. 2011. The relative importance of respiratory water loss in scorpions is correlated
646 with species habitat type and activity pattern. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*,
647 84, 68-76.
- 648 GLEISS, A. C., WILSON, R. P. & SHEPARD, E. L. C. 2011. Making overall dynamic body
649 acceleration work: on the theory of acceleration as a proxy for energy expenditure.
650 *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 2, 23-33.
- 651 GORDON, K. E., FERRIS, D. P. & KUO, A. D. 2009. Metabolic and mechanical energy
652 costs of reducing vertical center of mass movement during gait. *Arch Phys Med*
653 *Rehabil*, 90.
- 654 GREEN, J. A. 2011. The heart rate method for estimating metabolic rate: Review and
655 recommendations. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular &*
656 *Integrative Physiology*, 158, 287-304.
- 657 GREEN, J. A., BUTLER, P. J., WOAKES, A. J., BOYD, I. L. & HOLDER, R. L. 2001. Heart
658 rate and rate of oxygen consumption of exercising macaroni penguins. *Journal of*
659 *Experimental Biology*, 204, 673-684.
- 660 GREEN, J. A., HALSEY, L. G., WILSON, R. P. & FRAPPELL, P. B. 2009. Estimating
661 energy expenditure of animals using the accelerometry technique: activity, inactivity
662 and comparison with the heart-rate technique. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 212,
663 471-482.
- 664 GREIG, C., BUTLER, F., SKELTON, D., MAHMUD, S. & YOUNG, A. 1993. Treadmill
665 walking in old age may not reproduce the real life situation. *Journal of the American*
666 *Geriatrics Society*, 41, 15-18.
- 667 GROSCOLAS, R., VIERA, V., GUERIN, N., HANDRICH, Y. & COTE, S. D. 2010. Heart
668 rate as a predictor of energy expenditure in undisturbed fasting and incubating
669 penguins. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 213, 153-160.
- 670 HALSEY, L. G. 2016. Terrestrial movement energetics: current knowledge and its application
671 to the optimising animal. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 219, 1424-1431.

- 672 HALSEY, L. G., CURRAN-EVERETT, D., VOWLER, S. L. & DRUMMOND, G. B. 2015a.
673 The fickle P value generates irreproducible results. *Nature Methods*, 12, 179-185.
- 674 HALSEY, L. G., FAHLMAN, A., HANDRICH, Y., SCHMIDT, A., WOAKES, A. J. &
675 BUTLER, P. J. 2007. How accurately can we estimate energetic costs in a marine top
676 predator, the king penguin? *Zoology*, 110, 81-92.
- 677 HALSEY, L. G., GREEN, A. J., WILSON, R. & FRAPPELL, P. B. 2009a. Accelerometry to
678 estimate energy expenditure during activity: best practice with data loggers.
679 *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, 82, 396-404.
- 680 HALSEY, L. G., MATTHEWS, P. G. D., REZENDE, E. L., CHAUVAUD, L. & ROBSON,
681 A. A. 2015b. The interactions between temperature and activity levels in driving
682 metabolic rate: theory, with empirical validation from contrasting ectotherms.
683 *Oecologia*, 177, 1117-1129.
- 684 HALSEY, L. G., SHEPARD, E. L. C., HULSTON, C. J., VENABLES, M. C., WHITE, C. R.,
685 JEUKENDRUP, A. E. & WILSON, R. P. 2008. Acceleration versus heart rate for
686 estimating energy expenditure and speed during locomotion in animals: Tests with an
687 easy model species, *Homo sapiens*. *Zoology*, 111, 231-241.
- 688 HALSEY, L. G., SHEPARD, E. L. C., QUINTANA, F., LAICH, A. G., GREEN, J. A. &
689 WILSON, R. P. 2009b. The relationship between oxygen consumption and body
690 acceleration in a range of species. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology a-*
691 *Molecular & Integrative Physiology*, 152, 197-202.
- 692 HALSEY, L. G., SHEPARD, E. L. C. & WILSON, R. P. 2011a. Assessing the development
693 and application of the accelerometry technique for estimating energy expenditure.
694 *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology. Part A, Molecular & Integrative*
695 *Physiology*, 158, 305-314.
- 696 HALSEY, L. G. & WHITE, C. R. 2017. A different angle: comparative analyses of whole-
697 animal transport costs running uphill. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 220, 161-166.
- 698 HALSEY, L. G., WHITE, C. R., ENSTIPP, M. R., WILSON, R. P., BUTLER, P. J.,
699 MARTIN, G. R., GRÉMILLET, D. & JONES, D. R. 2011b. Assessing the validity of
700 the Accelerometry Technique for Estimating the Energy Expenditure of Diving
701 Double-Crested Cormorants *Phalacrocorax auritus*. *Physiological and Biochemical*
702 *Zoology*, 84, 230-237.
- 703 HANSEN, E. S. & RICKLEFS, R. E. 2004. Foraging by deep-diving birds is not constrained
704 by an aerobic diving limit: a model of avian depth-dependent diving metabolic rate.
705 *The American Naturalist*, 163, 358-374.
- 706 HARDIN, E. C., VAN DEN BOGERT, A. J. & HAMILL, J. 2004. Kinematic adaptations
707 during running: effects of footwear, surface, and duration. *Medicine & Science in*
708 *Sports & Exercise*, 36, 838-844.
- 709 HAUSDORFF, J. M., EDELBERG, H. K., MITCHELL, S. L., GOLDBERGER, A. L. &
710 WEI, J. Y. 1997. Increased gait unsteadiness in community-dwelling elderly fallers.
711 *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 78, 278-283.
- 712 HAWKINS, P. A., BUTLER, P. J., WOAKES, A. J. & SPEAKMAN, J. R. 2000. Estimation
713 of the rate of oxygen consumption of the common eider duck (*Somateria mollissima*),
714 with some measurements of heart rate during voluntary dives. *Journal of Experimental*
715 *Biology*, 203, 2819-2832.
- 716 HOX, J. 2002. *Multilevel analysis: techniques and applications*, Mahwah, NJ, Lawrence
717 Erlbaum Associates.
- 718 HOYT, D. F. & KENAGY, G. J. 1988. Energy Costs of Walking and Running Gaits and
719 Their Aerobic Limits in Golden-Mantled Ground Squirrels. *Physiological Zoology*,
720 61, 34-40.

721 JACOBS, I., ROMET, T. T. & KERRIGAN-BROWN, D. E. 1985. Muscle glycogen
722 depletion during exercise at 9C and 21C. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 54,
723 35-39.

724 JANKOWSKI, L. W., FERGUSON, R. J., LANGELIER, M., CHANIOLIS, L. N. &
725 CHOQUETTE, G. 1972. Accuracy of methods of estimating oxygen cost of walking
726 in coronary patients. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 33, 672-673.

727 JEANNIARD-DU-DOT, T., GUINET, C., ARNOULD, J. P. Y., SPEAKMAN, J. R. &
728 TRITES, A. W. 2016. Accelerometers can measure total and activity-specific energy
729 expenditures in free-ranging marine mammals only if linked to time-activity budgets.
730 *Functional Ecology*, doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12729.

731 JONES, A. M. & DOUST, J. H. 1996. A 1% treadmill grade most accurately reflects the
732 energetic cost of outdoor running. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 14, 321-327.

733 KAREIVA, P. M. 1990. Population dynamics in spatially complex environments: theory and
734 data. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, Biological Sciences*, 330, 175-
735 190.

736 KENAGY, G. J. & HOYT, D. F. 1989. Speed and time-energy budget for locomotion in
737 golden-mantled ground squirrels. *Ecology*, 70, 1834-1839.

738 KERDOK, A. E., BIEWENER, A. A., MCMAHON, T. A., WEYAND, P. G. & HERR, H.
739 M. 2002. Energetics and mechanics of human running on surfaces of different
740 stiffnesses. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 92, 469-478.

741 KNAPIK, J. J., REYNOLDS, K. L. & HARMAN, E. 2004. Soldier load carriage: historical,
742 physiological, biomechanical and medical aspects. *Military medicine*, 169, 45-56.

743 LAVCANSKA, V., TAYLOR, N. F. & SCHACHE, A. G. 2005. Familiarization to treadmill
744 running in young unimpaired adults. *Human Movement Science*, 24, 544-557.

745 LEJEUNE, T. M., WILLEMS, P. A. & HEGLUND, N. C. 1998. Mechanics and energetics of
746 human locomotion on sand. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 201, 2071-80.

747 MARTIN, P. E., ROTHSTEIN, D. E. & LARISH, D. D. 1992. Effects of age and physical
748 activity status on the speed-aerobic demand relationship of walking. *Journal of*
749 *Applied Physiology*, 73, 200-206.

750 MASSAAD, F., LEJEUNE, T. M. & DETREMBLEUR, C. 2007. The up and down bobbing
751 of human walking: a compromise between muscle work and efficiency. *J Physiol*, 582.

752 MCARDLE, W. D., MAGEL, J. R., LESMES, G. R. & PECHAR, G. S. 1976. Metabolic and
753 cardiovascular adjustment to work in air and water at 18, 25 and 33 °C. *Journal of*
754 *Applied Physiology*, 40, 85-90.

755 MEIJER, G. A., WESTERTERP, K. R., KOPER, H. & TEN HOOR, F. 1989. Assessment of
756 energy expenditure by recording heart rate and body acceleration. *Medicine & Science*
757 *in Sports & Exercise*, 21, 343.

758 MIAN, O. S., THOM, J. M., ARDIGO, L. P., NARICI, M. V. & MINETTI, A. E. 2006.
759 Metabolic cost, mechanical work, and efficiency during walking in young and older
760 men. *Acta Physiologica*, 186, 127-139.

761 MOEN, A. N. 1976. Energy conservation by white-tailed deet in the winter. *Ecology*, 57, 192-
762 198.

763 MORALES, J. M. & ELLNER, S. P. 2002. Scaling up animal movements in heterogeneous
764 landscapes: the importance of behavior. *Ecology*, 83, 2240-2247.

765 MULAVARA, A. P., RICHARDS, J. T., RUTTLEY, T., MARSHBURN, A., NOMURA, Y.
766 & BLOOMBERG, J. J. 2005. Exposure to a rotating virtual environment during
767 treadmill locomotion causes adaptation in heading direction. *Experimental Brain*
768 *Research*, 166, 210-219.

769 MURRAY, M. P., SPURR, G. B., SEPIC, S. B., GARDNER, G. M. & MOLLINGER, L. A.
770 1985. Treadmill vs. floor walking: kinematics, electromyogram, and heart rate.
771 *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 59, 87-91.

772 NICHOLS, J. F., MORGAN, C. G., CHABOT, L. E., SALLIS, J. F. & CALFAS, K. J. 2000.
773 Assessment of Physical Activity with the Computer Science and Applications, Inc.,
774 Accelerometer: Laboratory versus Field Validation. *Research Quarterly for Exercise*
775 *and Sport*, 71, 36-43.

776 NOLET, B. A., BUTLER, P. J., MASMAN, D. & WOAKES, A. J. 1992. Estimation of Daily
777 Energy Expenditure from Heart Rate and Doubly Labeled Water in Exercising Geese.
778 *Physiological Zoology*, 65, 1188-1216.

779 PANDOLF, K. B., HAISMAN, M. F. & GOLDMAN, R. F. 1976. Metabolic Energy
780 Expenditure and Terrain Coefficients for Walking on Snow. *Ergonomics*, 19, 683-690.

781 PARVATANENI, K., PLOEG, L., OLNEY, S. J. & BROUWER, B. 2009. Kinematic, kinetic
782 and metabolic parameters of treadmill versus overground walking in healthy older
783 adults. *Clinical Biomechanics*, 24, 95-100.

784 PEARCE, M. E., CUNNINGHAM, D. A., DONNER, A. P., RECHNITZER, P. A.,
785 FULLERTON, G. M. & HOWARD, J. H. 1983. Energy Cost of Treadmill and Floor
786 Walking at Self-selected Paces. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 52, 115-
787 119.

788 PINHEIRO, J., BATES, D., DEBROY, S., SARKER, D. & TEAM, R. C. 2012. nlme: Linear
789 and Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models. R package version 3.1-103. Available at:
790 <http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/nlme/nlme.pdf>.

791 PINNINGTON, H. C. & DAWSON, B. 2001. The energy cost of running on grass compared
792 to soft dry beach sand. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 4, 416-430.

793 PRESTON, T., BALTZER, W. & TROST, S. 2012. Accelerometer validity and placement for
794 detection of changes in physical activity in dogs under controlled conditions on a
795 treadmill. *Research in Veterinary Science*, 93, 412-416.

796 PUGH, L. G. C. E. 1970. Oxygen intake in track and treadmill running with observations on
797 the effect of air resistance. *The Journal of Physiology*, 207, 823-835.

798 PUGH, L. G. C. E. 1971. The influence of wind resistance in running and walking and the
799 mechanical efficiency of work against horizontal and vertical forces. *The Journal of*
800 *Physiology*, 213, 255-276.

801 QASEM, L., CARDEW, A., WILSON, A., GRIFFITHS, I., HALSEY, L. G., SHEPARD, E.
802 L. C., GLEISS, A. C. & WILSON, R. 2012. Tri-Axial Dynamic Acceleration as a
803 Proxy for Animal Energy Expenditure; Should We Be Summing Values or Calculating
804 the Vector? *PLoS ONE*, 7, e31187.

805 RALSTON, H. J. 1960. Comparison of energy expenditure during treadmill walking and floor
806 walking. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 15, 1156.

807 REZENDE, E. L., GOMES, F., CHAPPELL, M. A. & GARLAND JR., T. 2009. Running
808 Behavior and Its Energy Cost in Mice Selectively Bred for High Voluntary Locomotor
809 Activity. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, 82, 662-679.

810 SCANTLEBURY, D. M., MILLS, M. G. L., WILSON, R. P., WILSON, J. W., MILLS, M. E.
811 J., DURANT, S. M., BENNETT, N. C., BRADFORD, P., MARKS, N. J. &
812 SPEAKMAN, J. R. 2014. Flexible energetics of cheetah hunting strategies provide
813 resistance against kleptoparasitism. *Science*, 346, 79-81.

814 SHEPARD, E. L. C., WILSON, R. P., HALSEY, L. G., QUINTANA, F., GOMEZ LAICH,
815 A., GLEISS, A. C., LIEBSCH, N., MYERS, A. & NORMAN, B. 2008. Derivation of
816 body motion via appropriate smoothing of acceleration data. *Aquatic Biology*, 4, 235-
817 241.

818 STOLZE, H., KUHTZ-BUSCHBECH, J. P., MONDWURF, C., BOCZEK FUNCKE, A.,
819 JOHNN, K., DEUSCHL, G. & ILLERT, M. 1997. Gait analysis during treadmill and
820 overground locomotion in children and adults. *Electroencephalography and Clinical*
821 *Neurophysiology*, 105, 490-497.

- 822 TERRIER, P., AMINIAN, K. & SCHUTZ, Y. 2001. Can accelerometry accurately predict the
823 energy cost of uphill/downhill walking? *Ergonomics*, 44, 48-62.
- 824 VAN INGEN SCHENAU, G. J. 1980. Some fundamental aspects of the biomechanics of
825 overground versus treadmill locomotion. *Medicine and Science in Sports and*
826 *Exercise*, 12, 257-261.
- 827 VIVIANI, M., TRITES, A. W., ROSEN, D. A. S., MONESTIEZ, P. & GUINET, C. 2010.
828 Prey capture attempts can be detected in Steller sea lions and other marine predators
829 using accelerometers. *Polar Biology*, 33, 713-719.
- 830 VOLPOV, B. L., ROSEN, D. A. S., TRITES, A. W. & ARNOULD, J. P. Y. 2015. Validating
831 the relationship between 3-dimensional body acceleration and oxygen consumption in
832 trained Steller sea lions. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B*, 185, 695-708.
- 833 WARABI, T., KATO, M., KIRIYAMA, K., YOSHIDA, T. & KOBAYASHI, N. 2005.
834 Treadmill walking and overground walking of human subjects compared by recording
835 sole-floor reaction force. *Neuroscience Research*, 53, 343-348.
- 836 WARD, S., MÖLLER, U., RAYNER, J. M. V., JACKSON, D. M., BILO, D.,
837 NACHTIGALL, W. & SPEAKMAN, J. R. 2001. Metabolic power, mechanical power
838 and efficiency during wind tunnel flight by the European starling *Sturnus vulgaris*.
839 *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 204, 3311-3322.
- 840 WATERS, R. L. & MULROY, S. 1999. The energy expenditure of normal and pathologic
841 gait. *Gait and Posture*, 9, 207-231.
- 842 WATT, J. R., FRANZ, J. R., JACKSON, K., DICHARRY, J., RILEY, P. O. & KERRIGAN,
843 D. C. 2010. A three-dimensional kinematic and kinetic comparison of overground and
844 treadmill walking in healthy elderly subjects. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)*, 25.
- 845 WEARING, S. C., REED, L. F. & URRY, S. R. 2013. Agreement between temporal and
846 spatial gait parameters from an instrumented walkway and treadmill system at
847 matched walking speed. *Gait Posture*, 38.
- 848 WEE, V. M., VON HEIMBURG, E. & VAN DEN TILLAAR, R. 2016. Comparison of
849 perceptual and physiological variables of running on a track, motorized treadmill, and
850 non-motorized curved treadmill at increasing velocity. *Acta Kinesiologicae*
851 *Universitatis Tartuensis*, 22, 20-35.
- 852 WHIPP, B. & WASSERMAN, W. 1972. Oxygen uptake kinetics for various intensities of
853 constant-load work. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 33, 351-356.
- 854 WHITE, R. G. & YOUSEF, M. K. 1978. Energy expenditure in reindeer walking on roads
855 and on tundra. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 56, 215-223.
- 856 WICKLER, S. J., HOYT, D. F., COGGER, E. A. & HIRSCHBEIN, M. H. 2000. Preferred
857 speed and cost of transport: the effect of incline. *Journal of Experimental Biology*,
858 203, 2195.
- 859 WIENS, J. A., STENSETH, N. C., VAN HORNE, B. & IMS, R. A. 1993. Ecological
860 mechanisms and landscape ecology. *Oikos*, 66, 369-380.
- 861 WILLIAMS, T. M., WOLFE, L., DAVIS, T., KENDALL, T., RICHTER, B., WANG, Y.,
862 BRYCE, C., ELKAIM, G. H. & WILMERS, C. C. 2014. Instantaneous energetics of
863 puma kills reveal advantage of felid sneak attacks. *Science*, 346, 81-85.
- 864 WILSON, A. M., LOWE, J. C., ROSKILLY, K., HUDSON, P. E., GOLABEK, K. A. &
865 MCNUTT, J. W. 2013a. Locomotion dynamics of hunting in wild cheetahs. *Nature*,
866 498, 185-189.
- 867 WILSON, R. P., GRIFFITHS, I. W., LEGG, P. A., FRISWELL, M. I., BIDDER, O. R.,
868 HALSEY, L. G., LAMBERTUCCI, S. A. & SHEPARD, E. L. C. 2013b. Turn costs
869 change the value of animal search paths. *Ecology Letters*, 16, 1145-1150.
- 870 WILSON, R. P., WHITE, C. R., QUINTANA, F., HALSEY, L. G., LIEBSCH, N., MARTIN,
871 G. R. & BUTLER, P. J. 2006. Moving towards acceleration for estimates of activity-

- 872 specific metabolic rate in free-living animals: the case of the cormorant. *Journal of*
873 *Animal Ecology*, 75, 1081-1090.
- 874 WOLEDGE, R. C., BIRTLES, D. B. & NEWHAM, D. J. 2005. The variable component of
875 lateral body sway during walking in young and older humans. *The Journals of*
876 *Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*, 60, 1463-1468.
- 877 WYNDHAM, C. H., VAN DER WALT, W. H., VAN RENSBURG, A. J., ROGERS, G. G.
878 & STRYDOM, N. B. 1971. The influence of body weight on energy expenditure
879 during walking on a road and on a treadmill. *Internationale Zeitschrift fur Angewandte*
880 *Physiologie*, 29, 285-292.
- 881 YNGVE, A., NILSSON, A., SJÖNSTRÖM, M. & EKELUND, U. 2003. Effect of monitor
882 placement and of activity setting on the MTI accelerometer output. *Med Sci Sports*
883 *Exerc*, 35.
- 884