1 Muscle fiber typology is associated with the incidence of overreaching in response to

- 2 overload training
- 3 **Running title:** Muscle fiber typology and overload training
- 4 PHILLIP BELLINGER^{1,2}, BEN DESBROW³, WIM DERAVE⁴, ELINE LIEVENS⁴, CHRIS
- 5 IRWIN³, SURENDRAN SABAPATHY³, BEN KENNEDY⁵, JONATHAN CRAVEN³,
- 6 EVAN PENNELL⁶, HAL RICE⁵ and CLARE MINAHAN¹
- ¹Griffith Sports Physiology and Performance, Griffith University, Gold Coast, Queensland,
 Australia.
- 9 ²Sports Performance Innovation and Knowledge Excellence (SPIKE), Queensland Academy
- 10 of Sport, Brisbane, Australia
- ¹¹ ³School of Allied Health Sciences, Griffith University, Gold Coast, Queensland, Australia.
- ⁴Department of Movement and Sports Sciences, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium
- 13 ⁵Qscan Radiology Clinics, Australia.
- ⁶School of Medical Science, Griffith University, Gold Coast, Australia
- 15 Correspondence:
- 16 Phillip Bellinger
- 17 School of Allied Health Sciences, Griffith University, Queensland, Australia, 4222.
- 18 Phone: (617) 5552 9219 Fax: (617) 5552 8674 Email: p.bellinger@griffith.edu.au
- 19 Submission type: Original investigation
- 20 Word count: 7204 Number of figures: 4 Number of tables: 4
- 21

22 ABSTRACT

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

The aim of this study was to identify markers of training stress and characteristics of middledistance runners related to the incidence of overreaching following overload training. Twenty-four highly-trained middle-distance runners (n=16 male; VO_{2peak}=73.3(4.3) mL·kg·min⁻¹; n=8 female, VO_{2peak}=63.2(3.4) mL·kg·min⁻¹) completed 3 weeks of normal training (NormTr), 3 weeks of high-volume training (HVTr; a 10, 20 and 30% increase in training volume each successive week from NormTr), and a 1-week taper (TapTr; 55% exponential reduction in training volume from HVTr week 3). Before, and immediately after each training period, an incremental treadmill-running test was performed, while resting metabolic rate (RMR), subjective fatigue responses and various resting blood biomarkers were assessed. Muscle fiber typology of the gastrocnemius was estimated by quantification of muscle carnosine using proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy and expressed as a z-score relative to a non-athlete control group. Twelve runners were classified as functionally overreached (FOR) following HVTr (decreased running time to exhaustion; TTE), whereas the other twelve were classified as acutely fatigued (AF; no decrease in running TTE). The FOR group did not demonstrate systematic alterations in RMR, resting blood biomarkers or

41 HVTr (r=-0.55, p=0.005) and pre-HVTr to post-TapTr (r=-0.64, p=0.008). Muscle fiber
42 typology is related to the incidence of overreaching and performance super-compensation

43 following increased training volume and a taper.

44 Keywords: OVERTRAINING; TRAINING LOAD; MUSCLE FIBER TYPE 45 COMPOSITION; FATIGUE MARKERS; RECOVERY

submaximal exercise responses compared to the AF group. Gastrocnemius carnosine z-score

was significantly higher in FOR (-0.44 \pm 0.57) compared to AF (-1.25 \pm 0.49, p=0.004,

d=1.53) and was also negatively correlated with changes in running TTE from pre- to post-

46	New and noteworthy: Variability in the performance responses following an overload
47	training period and subsequent taper were associated with the variation in the muscle fiber
48	typology of the gastrocnemius. Runners with an estimated higher proportion of type I fibers
49	(i.e., lower carnosine z-score) were able to maintain performance in response to an overload
50	training period and subsequently achieve a superior performance super-compensation. These
51	findings show that muscle fiber typology contributes to the variability in performance
52	responses following training.
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
50	
57	
60	
61	
62	
63	
64	
65	
66	

67 **INTRODUCTION**

68 A short-term (i.e., days to weeks) decrement in exercise performance induced by overload 69 training has been termed functional overreaching (FOR), whereby performance restoration (2, 70 33), and sometimes super-compensation (24, 46), may occur after a recovery period ($\sim 1-3$ 71 wk) (41, 54). FOR is considered to be a necessary component of a training program to 72 improve performance in highly-trained athletes (32, 34, 53, 66). Despite this, recent research 73 suggests that FOR is associated with disturbed sleep (36, 39, 42, 53), higher incidence of 74 upper respiratory tract infection (URTI) (36, 75), impaired metabolism (44, 76, 77) and 75 blunted training and performance adaptations (2, 11, 18) compared to non-overreached 76 athletes who completed the same relative increase in training load. Thus, clarification of 77 markers of training stress that may be associated with the onset of FOR is essential to ensure 78 that the risk of maladaptation to overload training can be mitigated.

79 Common physiological parameters associated with exercise stress in response to overload 80 training include heart rate/rhythm derived indices (1, 43, 44, 46), subjective fatigue 81 perceptions (7, 8, 24, 25, 29, 33, 62, 72), circulating hormone concentrations (24, 31, 47, 59), 82 markers of inflammation (31), blood lactaemia (44, 73) and resting metabolic rate (RMR) 83 (76, 77). Unfortunately, few of these parameters have been shown to consistently delineate between FOR and non-overreached athletes (9), with the possibility that changes simply 84 85 reflect general responses to overload training rather than a state of overreaching. 86 Furthermore, the categorization of overreached subjects in some of these studies was 87 confounded (59, 72) and not in accordance with the consensus statement defining the 88 classification of overreaching (53). Recent studies by Woods et al (76, 77) suggest that 89 reductions in RMR (in both cyclists (77) and rowers (76)) may signal FOR in endurance 90 athletes in response to increased training load. However, changes in RMR may also be 91 reflective of a failure to increase energy availability (55) and/or maintain fat free mass (FFM) (63), rather than a state of overreaching, but this needs further clarification. Recent research (44, 46) suggests that submaximal (44) and peak heart rate (44) may be reduced, while heart rate recovery (HRR) may be faster (1, 43) in FOR endurance athletes. However, others have questioned the discernibility of these heart rate measures to differentiate between FOR and non-overreached athletes (14, 71). As such, changes in the idiosyncratic physiological variables associated with FOR require further investigation.

98 Undertaking a period of overload training (e.g., increases of 30-40% of training volume for 3-99 4 weeks) does not always result in FOR. Indeed, studies report 33-69% (1, 2, 11, 17, 18, 36, 100 45, 46, 51) of athletes develop FOR following increases in training volume of this magnitude. 101 FOR, in some cases (2, 11, 18), is associated with impaired training adaptations and 102 attenuated performance super-compensation following an overload training period. However, 103 it must be noted that a number of other studies (23, 24, 33) have also demonstrated a 104 substantial performance super-compensation following an overload and taper period in 105 athletes who were classified as being FOR. Why some athletes respond optimally to periods 106 of overload training, while others do not is currently unknown. One potential explanation 107 may be related to individual differences in skeletal muscle fiber type composition (i.e., ratio 108 of type I and type II fibers; muscle fiber typology). Muscle fibers can be identified as pure 109 (i.e., type I, IIa, IIx) or hybrid fibers that co-express two or more myosin heavy chain 110 isoforms (i.e., I/IIa, I/IIa/IIx, IIa/IIx, I/IIx) (16). Although type IIa fibers can possess equally 111 high or even higher mitochondrial volume as type I fibers in endurance trained athletes (19, 57), the cross-bridges (74) and sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca^{2+} pumps (58) of these fibers 112 113 consume more ATP than type I fibers. This would result in a mismatch between the rate of 114 energy supply and rate of energy use, likely resulting in more pronounced impairments in sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca²⁺ release and greater fatigability. In support of this premise, 115 116 sarcoplasmic reticulum function is markedly depressed with fatigue in both control subjects

117 and trained athletes, and is dependent on fiber type, but appears to be minimally affected by 118 chronic training status (either endurance or resistance training) (48). As such, trained 119 individuals with a higher proportion of type II fibers may have greater fatigability (48, 49, 67), take longer time to recover (27, 49) and may adapt optimally to low-volume, high-120 121 frequency contractions (40). Conceivably, variation in muscle fiber typology between 122 individuals may be related to the incidence of overreaching and performance super-123 compensation in response to increases in training volume, but this remains to be elucidated. 124 Recently, Baguet et al (3) developed a non-invasive method to estimate muscle fiber 125 typology, based on the proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (¹H-MRS) derived 126 measurement of muscle carnosine. This method provides a valid alternative to the invasive 127 muscle biopsy based on the significant positive correlation (P = 0.009 and r = 0.71) between 128 the percentage area occupied by type II fibers and muscle carnosine content (3) and the close 129 level of agreement with the performance characteristics of various athletes (3, 12). More 130 recent evidence from Lievens et al (49) showed that this non-invasive estimation of muscle 131 fiber typology strongly influenced the extent of fatigue and time to recover in the acute 132 period (5 h) following intermittent sprint exercise. However, it remains to be determined 133 whether the variation in muscle fiber typology between individuals is related to the individual 134 responses to a long-term period of overload training.

The aim of the present study was to investigate whether ¹H-MRS derived measurement of muscle fiber typology was associated with incidence of overreaching, training-induced fatigue and performance super-compensation following an overload training period and subsequent taper. In addition, we monitored various subjective and physiological variables to provide further clarification on whether these could differentiate between individuals who show no performance decrease despite high perceived fatigue (i.e., non-overreached) compared to those who are classified as FOR. We hypothesized that highly-trained middledistance runners who have higher muscle carnosine levels (i.e., higher estimated proportion
of type II fibers) may display more severe symptoms of overreaching in response to an
increase in training volume.

145 **METHODOLOGY**

146 Subjects

147 Twenty-four highly-trained middle-distance runners participated in this study; sixteen males 148 (age 21.0 \pm 3.6 yr, stature 181.3 \pm 5.1 cm, body mass (BM) 70.6 \pm 7.9 kg, VO_{2peak} 73.3 \pm 4.3 149 mL·kg·min⁻¹) and eight females (mean \pm SD: age 21.3 \pm 3.2 yr, stature 171.2 \pm 4.9 cm, BM 53.1 ± 6.0 kg, maximal oxygen uptake (VO_{2peak}) 63.2 ± 3.4 mL·kg·min⁻¹). Inclusion criteria 150 151 specified that subjects were trained specifically for middle-distance races (800 m & 1500 m), 152 had a consistent training history of at least 2 yr in these events, and were without major injury 153 interruption for the previous 3 months. Male runners had personal best times for the 800 m 154 and 1500 m of 119.4 \pm 7.8 s (range: 108.3 - 133.4 s) and 238.0 \pm 16.8 s (225.2 - 279.1 s), 155 respectively, while female runners had times of 135.0 ± 8.6 s (124.1 - 153.4 s) and $284.1 \pm$ 156 18.8 s (257.4 – 321.4 s), respectively. In the 3 weeks preceding the study, mean running training volume for male and female runners was 73.9 ± 19.2 km·week⁻¹ and 53.9 ± 16.0 157 km·week⁻¹, respectively. Five females were taking oral contraception, while the other three 158 159 reported regular menstrual cycles. All runners provided written informed consent prior to 160 participating. Ethics approval was granted by the University's Human Research Ethics 161 Committee (XXXXXX, removed for peer-review).

162 General design

163 The study period lasted 7 weeks, which was divided into three distinct training phases; (1): 3

164 weeks of normal training (NormTr) prescribed by the runners' coach, (2): 3 weeks of high-

165 volume training (HVTr; weekly stepwise increase in training volume by 10, 20 and 30%

during each successive week from NormTr), and (3): a 1-week taper (TapTr; 55% 166 167 exponential reduction in training volume from HVTr week 3 (4, 15, 56)). Before, and 168 immediately after each training phase, runners performed a maximal incremental running test 169 to determine the gas exchange threshold (GET), respiratory compensation threshold (RCT), 170 time to exhaustion (TTE), peak heart rate (HR_{peak}) and VO_{2peak}. A venous blood sample was 171 collected and body composition, RMR and energy intake were assessed at each time point. Subjects were scanned by ¹H-MRS according to Baguet et al (3) to estimate muscle fiber type 172 173 composition of the right gastrocnemius medialis muscle. Subjects were classified as FOR 174 when their performance in the maximal incremental running test decreased following HVTr 175 by an amount greater than the smallest meaningful change (SMC) in performance determined 176 from before and after the NormTr period.

177 Testing procedures and standardization

178 Subjects attended the laboratory on five separate occasions; twice before (familiarization and 179 baseline visit) and once after NormTr, and again after HVTr and TapTr. Subjects were provided with a standardized dinner (~55 kJ·kg BM⁻¹, 2.0 g carbohydrate·kg BM⁻¹, 0.3 g 180 fat kg BM⁻¹, 0.6 g protein kg BM⁻¹) to consume each evening prior to attending the 181 laboratory. Subjects presented to the laboratory between 5:00 - 7:30 am after an overnight 182 183 fast and refraining from strenuous exercise for at least 40 h. Laboratory conditions were 184 controlled (22 - 23°C and 45-50% humidity) throughout all tests. During each testing session, 185 subjects underwent an assessment of RMR and body composition by dual-energy x-ray 186 absorptiometry (DXA). A fasted venous blood sample was taken from the antecubital vein following DXA. Subjects were then provided with a standardized breakfast (~40 kJ·kg BM⁻¹, 187 1.8 g carbohydrate kg BM⁻¹, 0.2 g fat kg BM⁻¹, 0.1 g protein kg BM⁻¹) and rested quietly for 1 188 189 h before undertaking submaximal and maximal incremental running tests.

191 Indirect measurement of RMR was conducted after 10 min of rest to allow time for 192 familiarisation and complete relaxation. Subjects were advised to breathe normally and stay 193 as rested as possible without falling asleep for the duration of the test. Pulmonary gas-194 exchange variables (ventilation, VO₂, and VCO₂) were measured breath-by-breath via an 195 open-circuit metabolic system (Ultima CardiO₂; Medical Graphics Corporation, St. Paul, 196 MN). To classify steady state, we adopted criteria from Schlein et al (21). In brief, steady-197 state conditions were established as 30 s mean VO₂ and VCO₂ coefficient of variation (CV) values of $\leq 10\%$ for five consecutive minutes. RMR was reported in absolute (kcal·day⁻¹) and 198 relative (kcal·kg of fat free mass (FFM)·day⁻¹) terms. Quality control and calibration 199 200 procedures were undertaken prior to each test.

201 Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA)

DXA was used to determine whole body bone mineral content, fat and FFM (Medix DR, Medilink, France). The DXA was calibrated with phantoms in accordance with manufacturer guidelines each day prior to measurement. All DXA scans were performed and analyzed by one trained technician, with emphasis on consistency of positioning subjects on the scanning bed. Scans were analysed automatically by the software, but regions of interest were subsequently confirmed by the technician. Short-term DXA measurement precision in our lab is 0.9%, 2.3% and 0.8% for whole body bone mineral content, fat and FFM, respectively.

209 Submaximal running test

Following a warm-up (5 min at $8 - 10 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$), subjects completed two, 4-min submaximal incremental stages on a motorised treadmill (HP cosmos Saturn, Traunstein, Germany), which was set at a speed equivalent to 100% of the GET which was determined in the familiarisation testing session. The treadmill belt was set at 1% gradient to reflect the 214 energetic cost of running overground at these speeds (38). Each of the two stages were 215 interrupted by a 60-s rest period to allow earlobe blood sampling for determination of blood 216 lactate concentration ([La]b) with a Lactate Pro 2 device (Arkray inc. Japan). HRR was 217 assessed during the recovery period following each 4 min stage and reported as the absolute 218 difference between HR at cessation of the submaximal stage and HR recorded after 60 s of 219 recovery standing on the treadmill. Pulmonary gas exchange was measured on a breath-by-220 breath basis throughout each stage using a calibrated metabolic system (Cosmed Quark b^2 , 221 Rome, Italy) and rating of perceived exertion (RPE) was measured in the last 30-s period of 222 each stage.

223 Maximal incremental running test

224 Following 5 min of rest after the submaximal running test, each subject performed an incremental treadmill run to volitional exhaustion; starting at 10 km h⁻¹ and 1% gradient. 225 with speed increased by 1 km \cdot h⁻¹ each minute until a speed of 21 km \cdot h⁻¹. After 1 min at 21 226 $\text{km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$, the gradient was increased by 1% each minute until volitional exhaustion. RPE was 227 228 measured at the end of each stage and gas exchange variables (VO₂, VCO₂ and expired 229 ventilation (V_E)) were measured (as described for the submaximal exercise test) and 230 subsequently averaged into 30 s bins. GET was determined using the V-slope method and 231 RCT was determined using the $V_{\rm E}$ -versus-VCO₂ relationship described by Beaver et al. (6). 232 Two investigators performed threshold determinations independently, and a third investigator 233 was consulted if any disagreement occurred. HR was recorded each second (H10, Polar 234 Electro, Oy, Finland) to determine values corresponding to GET, RCT and HR_{peak}. HRR was 235 determined from the 60 s of recovery standing on the treadmill directly following the test. VO_{2peak} was determined as the average of the two highest consecutive 30 s VO₂ values, while 236 237 TTE was used as a measure of running capacity. [La]b was measured from the earlobe at 1, 3, 5, and 7 min after completion of the test, with the highest [La]b value obtained at the end of
exercise considered [La]b_{max}.

240 Energy intake

241 To quantify changes in energy intake subjects were asked to keep diet records for three days 242 prior to each laboratory visit. Specifically, the three days of recording included the two days 243 immediately prior to the laboratory visit and either a weekend day within that week (to ensure 244 1 weekend day was included) or the third day prior to the lab visit (if at least one of the three 245 days fell on a weekend day). The principal investigator met each athlete to provide detailed 246 instructions on how to accurately record all food/fluid. Subjects were asked to record the time 247 of intake for all meals, the type of food/fluid (including brand names) and amounts 248 consumed. To improve validity, food records where $EI < 1.39 \times RMR$ were excluded from 249 the analysis [63]. One member of the research team (JC) analyzed all diet reports using a 250 dietary analysis software package (FoodWorks 7; Xyris, Queensland, Australia). To assess 251 inter-rater reliability, an experienced dietitian (CI) also analysed 10% of the diet reports (n =252 36). The CV was 4.6% for energy intake, and 5.1% for carbohydrate, 7.1% for protein and 253 9.3% for fat intakes.

¹*H-MRS estimation of muscle fiber typology*

Muscle carnosine content was measured by ¹H-MRS in the soleus and gastrocnemius medialis muscle of each participant's right limb in order to estimate muscle fiber typology. We chose to estimate the muscle fiber typology of the gastrocnemius medialis and soleus because; i) we can measure carnosine reliably in both of these muscles, ii) carnosine content in the gastrocnemius medialis muscle has been positively correlated with the percentage area occupied by type II muscle fibers [49], and; iii) the gastrocnemius medialis and soleus are very active muscles during running. Indeed, relative to a maximal voluntary contraction, the 262 gastrocnemius medialis has the highest mean and maximal electromyographic activity, while 263 the soleus has the second and third highest, respectively, compared to other prominent lower 264 limb muscles (70). This suggests that the fiber composition of these muscles may be meaningful in the context of training induced fatigue and adaptations to running training. ¹H-265 266 MRS measurements were performed on a 3-T whole body magnetic resonance imaging 267 (MRI) scanner (Philips Medical Systems, Best, The Netherlands). Subjects were lying in a 268 supine position, while their lower leg was fixed in a spherical knee-coil. All the spectra were 269 acquired using single voxel point-resolved spectroscopy (PRESS) with the following 270 parameters; repetition time (TR) of 2000 ms, echo time (TE) of ~40 ms, number of 271 excitations was 128 (carnosine) and 16 (water), spectral bandwidth was 2048 Hz, and an 272 acquisition time of 4 min 16 s (carnosine) and 32 s (water). The voxel size was 40 mm x 15 273 mm x 20 mm. The voxel location was standardized in the center of the medial portion of both 274 muscles. The same well-trained and experienced MRI technician (BK) was responsible for 275 placing the voxel on all scans. The scan for each subject was completed within two weeks 276 following the post-TapTr testing session. Each subject was scanned in the morning and had 277 not completed any exercise prior to the scan. Spectral data analysis was carried out using 278 jMRUI (version 6.0) with carnosine peaks fitted and expressed relative to the internal water 279 signal

280 Carnosine content (mM) was calculated using following formula:

281

$$Cm = \frac{(C_s)}{(H_2O_s)} \cdot \frac{(H_2O_{T_1r})}{(CT_{1r})} \cdot \frac{(H_2O_{T_2r})}{(CT_{2r})} \cdot H_2O_{muscle} \cdot H_2O_{protons}$$
[1]

where Cm is the carnosine concentration, C_S is the carnosine signal, H_2O_S is the water signal, C_{T1r} , C_{T2r} , H_2O_{T1r} , H_2O_{T2r} are the relaxation correction factors for carnosine (earlier described by Baguet et al (3)) and water (earlier described by MacMillan et al (52)), H_2O_{muscle} is the concentration of water in muscle, which was deducted from the molar concentration of water (55,000 mM) and the approximate water content of skeletal muscle tissue (0.7 L/kg wet weight of tissue) and H_2O_{proton} is the number of protons in water. The CV for test-retest interday carnosine measurements in our laboratory was 3.5% (soleus) and 4.3% (gastrocnemius; n = 15 subjects). The carnosine concentration was converted to a muscle- and sex-specific zscore relative to an age-matched control population of active, healthy non-athletes (males: n = 38; females: n = 30).

292 Training monitoring

293 To monitor training volume and intensity, each subject wore either an M430 GPS running 294 watch (n=14; Polar, Kempele, Finland) or a Garmin Forerunner 235 (n = 8; Garmin, Canton 295 of Schaffhausen, Switzerland) during every running session. Training intensity distribution 296 was quantified from running speed using the total time-in-zone approach quantified by 297 training analysis software (TrainingPeaks WEEKO+, Boulder, CO, USA). The percentage of 298 training time spent with a running speed in each of the three training zones was quantified for 299 each individual training session. The relative training time in each zone for all sessions was 300 then determined. The three training zones according to the reference running speed values 301 that corresponded to physiological thresholds obtained during the maximal running 302 assessment were used: zone I (<GET), zone II (between GET and RCT) and zone III (>RCT). 303 Subjects were also provided with a training diary instructing them to rate the global intensity 304 (RPE; CR-10), volume and duration of all training sessions and races. The 10-point scale was 305 divided into three training zones based on fixed RPE values with training zone 1 = RPE of 1-306 4, training zone 2 = RPE of 5–6; and training zone 3 = RPE of 7-10 (61). The total training 307 time spent with an RPE within each one of these RPE derived training zones was determined. 308 In addition, session RPE (sRPE) training load was determined by multiplying the intensity of ach training session by the duration of the session (28). Total weekly load was calculated foreach week by summation of the daily loads.

311 Wellness and URTI questionnaires

312 Subjects rated their physical and mental well-being by means of a visual analogue scale 313 (VAS) as 1–10, with 1 representing the most negative outcome. The questionnaire contained 314 seven items and was completed at the end of each week throughout the duration of the study. 315 Items included sleep quality, general mental well-being, general physical well-being, 316 readiness to train, muscle soreness, fatigue and non-training stress. Subjects also completed 317 the Wisconsin Upper Respiratory Symptom Survey (WURSS) to assess URTI severity and 318 symptomatology (5) at the end of each week and were asked to provide their response 319 relative to that week. The questionnaire included one global question, ten symptom-based 320 questions and nine functional impairment questions. An overall URTI symptom score was 321 calculated by summing the URTI severity score (0 = not sick, 1 = very mild URTI to 7 =322 severe) from the symptom-based and functional impairment questions (theoretical maximum 323 score being 133) as proposed by Barrett et al (5). A single incidence of an URTI was defined 324 as a period during which the weekly total symptom score was ≥ 21 and separated by 1 wk 325 from another week with a total symptom score ≥ 21 .

326 Blood sampling and analysis

Venous blood samples were collected during each of the laboratory visits (pre- and pre-HVTr, post-HVTr and post-TapTr) for determination of various blood biomarkers. Two 10 mL samples were collected into vacutainers containing either ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) or no anticoagulant (for serum). The EDTA tube was centrifuged immediately for 10 min at 1350 x g, while the serum tube was left to clot for 30 min before being centrifuged. The resultant samples were stored at -80°C for subsequent analysis. Serum ferritin, iron, total 333 iron binding capacity, direct and total bilirubin, total protein, urea, uric acid, lactate 334 dehydrogenase (LDH), creatinine and C-reactive protein (CRP) were assessed using an 335 automated clinical chemistry analyser (Au480, Beckman Coulter, Australia) according to the 336 manufacturer's instructions for use (IFU). Total vitamin D (25-OH Vitamin D), total and free 337 T4, total and free T3, thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH), thyroid uptake (i.e. the measure of 338 the unbound thyroxine binding globulins in the blood), cortisol, testosterone, 339 dehydroepiandrosterone sulphate (DHEA-S), human growth hormone (hGH) and interleukin 340 6 (IL-6) were assessed using an automated immunoassay analyser (Access 2, Beckman 341 Coulter, Australia) according to the manufacturer's IFU. All parameters were calibrated and 342 reported acceptable QC values prior to analysis. Testosterone:cortisol ratio and transferrin 343 saturation were calculated following analytical quantification. A commercially available 344 ELISA was performed to determine GDF-15.

345 Assessment of overreaching

In line with previous research (2, 46), the SMC was used as an overreaching threshold which was calculated as $0.5 \times CV$ of TTE from the incremental running tests performed before and after NormTr. To be classified as FOR following HVTr, subjects had to report an elevated subjective fatigue rating following HVTr and show an individual performance decrement larger than the SMC. The remaining subjects who maintained or increased their performance, but also showed an elevated subjective fatigue rating following HVTr, were considered to be acutely fatigued (AF).

353 Statistical analysis

Results are expressed as mean \pm SD unless stated otherwise. A two-way (group and training phase) analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to identify differences in performance and physiological variables between FOR and AF groups. A three-way (group, training phase and 357 training zone) ANOVA was used to analyse the training intensity zone distribution data. If a 358 significant main effect was found, pairwise comparisons were conducted using Tukey post-359 hoc analysis. Blood biomarker responses were also analysed using an analysis of co-variance 360 (ANCOVA). The pre-NormTr values were entered into the model as a covariate in order to 361 account for between-subject variations in blood biomarker levels that may arise from sex-362 based differences (65) as well as possible differences between naturally menstruating females 363 and those using oral contraception (13). Regardless of group (FOR and AF), a two-way 364 repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey post-hoc comparisons was 365 used to compare blood biomarker responses between male and female subjects. The effect 366 size (d) statistic was also calculated to assess the magnitude of difference between groups. 367 The magnitude of difference was classified as small 0.2 to 0.59, moderate 0.6 to 1.19, large 368 1.2 to 1.99, very large 2.0 to 3.99, and extremely large >4.0 (37). All statistical analyses were 369 performed using SPSS 25.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA), with statistical significance 370 accepted as p < 0.05. Test-retest reliability of running TTE, VO_{2peak} and RMR values were 371 analysed using the CV.

372 **RESULTS**

373 Incidence of overreaching

The CV for initial incremental TTE tests was 6.3%, hence the SMC was considered 3.15%. Using this criteria, twelve subjects were classified as FOR following HVTr (decreased running TTE from pre- to post-HVTr), whereas the other twelve subjects were classified as AF (no decrease in running TTE).

378 Submaximal and maximal incremental running test

379 There were no between-group differences in the pre- to post-HVTr change in submaximal

380 (running speed equivalent to 100% of GET) HR (AF: 2 ± 5 vs. FOR -1 ± 6 beats min⁻¹),

381 [La]b (AF: -0.14 \pm 0.58 vs. FOR -0.27 \pm 0.61 mmol·L⁻¹), RPE (AF 0.0 \pm 0.6 vs. FOR 0.1 \pm

382 0.9 AU; p = 0.70), or HRR (AF -1 ± 5 vs. FOR 2 ± 6 beats min⁻¹; all p > 0.05) when 383 measured at a running speed equivalent to 100% of GET.

384 There was a significant between-group difference for changes in HRR following exhaustive running (AF = -1 ± 5 vs. FOR 5 ± 5 beats min⁻¹; p = 0.01; figure 1), as well as the change in 385 RPE at RCT (AF -0.1 \pm 1.4 vs. FOR 1.2 \pm 1.6 AU; p = 0.02). Furthermore, HR_{peak} (-4 \pm 3 386 beats \min^{-1} ; p = 0.02) and [La]b_{max} (-4.30 ± 1.80 mmol·L⁻¹; p = 0.002) were both reduced 387 388 from pre-HVTr to post-HVTr in the FOR group compared to the AF group, with both 389 parameters returning to pre-NormTr values following TapTr (figure 1). Running TTE and VO_{2peak} did not change across the HVTr period in the AF group (+16 ± 17 s, +1.64 ± 1.80 390 391 mL·kg·min⁻¹), while there was a significant decrease in the FOR group (-49 \pm 14 s, -2.33 \pm 2.20 mL·kg·min⁻¹, p < 0.001). Compared to the FOR group, the AF group had a significantly 392 393 larger improvement in TTE from pre-HVTr to post-TapTr (absolute difference score: $+37 \pm$ 394 31 s; p = 0.04), while improvement in VO_{2peak} was similar between groups (AF: +3.52 ± 1.40) mL·kg·min⁻¹; FOR: ± 1.80 mL·kg·min⁻¹; p = 0.45). There was no change in the GET 395 396 or RCT at any time point for either group.

397 *Muscle fiber typology*

The highly-trained middle-distance runners in the present study predominantly had negative carnosine z-score values (20/24 runners), suggesting a higher proportion of type I fibers, but the range was large in both soleus (z-score range: -2.51 to 1.00) and gastrocnemius (-2.02 to 0.46). Gastrocnemius carnosine z-score was significantly higher in FOR (-0.44 ± 0.57; range, -1.32 - 0.46) compared to AF (-1.25 ± 0.49; -2.02 - -0.47, p = 0.004, d = 1.53; figure 2), but not soleus carnosine z-score (FOR: -1.03 ± 0.92; -2.51 - 0.44, AF: -1.55 ± 0.93; -2.46 - 1.00, p = 0.10, d = 0.56). Gastrocnemius carnosine z-score showed a significant negative 405 correlation with the change in running TTE from pre-HVTr to post-HVTr (r = -0.55, $r^2 = -$ 406 0.31, p = 0.005; figure 3) and pre-HVTr to post-TapTr (r = -0.64, $r^2 = -0.41$, p = 0.008; figure 407 3). Soleus carnosine z-score was not associated with the change in running TTE from pre-408 HVTr to post-HVTr (r = -0.21, $r^2 = -0.04$, p = 0.33), but was negatively correlated with the 409 change in running TTE from pre-HVTr to post-TapTr (r = -0.45, $r^2 = -0.20$, p = 0.013).

410 RMR, body composition and macronutrient intake

The CV for absolute (MJ·day⁻¹) and relative RMR (kJ·kg·FFM·day⁻¹) was 5.1% and 4.8%, 411 412 respectively. No significant time or time \times group effect was evident for either absolute or 413 relative RMR (table 1). Similarly, there was no significant change in BM, body fat 414 percentage, or FFM in either group throughout the study period (table 1). There was a 415 significant time effect for relative energy intake for the FOR group, whereby energy intake during the HVTr period $(175 \pm 71 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{kg} \cdot \text{BM} \cdot \text{dav}^{-1})$ was greater than both pre-NormTr (148) 416 $\pm 41 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{kg}\cdot\text{BM}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$; p = 0.04) and NormTr (140 $\pm 36 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{kg}\cdot\text{BM}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$; p = 0.004) periods. 417 418 In the AF group, there was a non-significant $7 \pm 18\%$ increase in energy intake during the HVTr period (160 \pm 39 kJ·kg·BM·day⁻¹) compared to the NormTr period (150 \pm 17 419 kJ·kg·BM·day⁻¹; p = 0.62). There were no between group differences at any time point. 420

421 Blood parameters

There was no significant time or time x group effect for serum ferritin, iron, total iron binding capacity, direct and total bilirubin, total protein, urea, uric acid, LDH, creatinine, CRP, total vitamin D, total and free T4, total and free T3, TSH, thyroid uptake, cortisol, testosterone, DHEA-S, hGH, GDF-15, IL-6 or the testosterone:cortisol ratio (all p > 0.05; table 2). Regardless of group (FOR or AF), females had lower levels of ferritin, DHEA-S, LDH, testosterone and the testosterone:cortisol ratio at each time point compared to males (all p < 0.05).

430 There were no between group differences in training volume at any time point. Training 431 volume increased from NormTr (3 week mean; FOR 66.2 ± 21.2 km; AF 68.3 ± 19.5 km) 432 throughout HVTr week 1 (FOR 77.3 \pm 24.3 km; AF 75.9 \pm 19.6 km), week 2 (FOR 85.9 \pm 433 28.0 km; AF 84.5 \pm 19.5 km), and week 3 (FOR 92.9 \pm 30.1 km; AF 90.7 \pm 19.9 km; all p <434 0.001), and was reduced during TapTr (FOR 43.2 \pm 13.5 km; AF 42.1 \pm 9.9 km; p < 0.001; 435 table 3). There were no between group changes, nor was there a time effect on the running 436 speed derived training intensity distribution (table 5). In contrast, the RPE derived training 437 intensity distribution was altered during the third week of HVTr, whereby the FOR group 438 spent a significantly greater time in zone 3 and reduced time in zone 1 (zone 1: $28.2 \pm 4.8\%$, 439 zone 2: 33.1 \pm 6.2%, zone 3: 38.7 \pm 3.8%) compared to each week of NormTr (all *p* < 0.05), 440 the first week of HVTr (zone 1: $35.7 \pm 6.5\%$; p = 0.01, zone 2: $35.9 \pm 7.5\%$, zone 3: $28.4 \pm$ 441 9.5%; p = 0.004) and TapTr (zone 1: 36.2 ± 8.1%; p = 0.006, zone 2: 34.7 ± 5.9%, zone 3: 442 $29.1 \pm 9.2\%$; p = 0.009). Conversely, there was no change in the RPE derived training 443 intensity distribution in the AF group (p > 0.05).

444 Wellness questionnaires

445 There were no significant group \times time interactions between AF and FOR for any items of 446 the wellness questionnaire. Regardless of group, participants reported reductions in physical 447 well-being, readiness to train and mood, as well as increased muscle soreness and fatigue 448 following week two and three of HVTr (table 4). There was a significant effect of time for 449 reductions in perceived sleep quality that were only evident in the FOR group after the 450 second (5.6 \pm 2.0 AU; p = 0.009) and third week of HVTr (5.4 \pm 1.5 AU; p = 0.004), which 451 returned to NormTr levels (7.7 \pm 1.0 AU) after TapTr (7.8 \pm 1.2 AU). During HVTr, seven 452 subjects reported at least one episode of URTI, with five of these occurring from subjects in

the FOR group. There was a significant time effect in the FOR group for URTI symptom score following the third week of HVTr (27.5 ± 36.8 , p = 0.002).

455 **DISCUSSION**

456 In the present study, we have shown that ¹H-MRS derived measurement of muscle fiber 457 typology is associated with incidence of FOR following a period of overload training and 458 performance super-compensation following a taper. That is, trained middle-distance runners 459 who became FOR had a higher gastrocnemius carnosine z-score (estimated to have a higher 460 proportion of type II fibers) compared to those that were non-overreached following a period 461 of overload training, while FOR also had a reduced performance super-compensation 462 following a subsequent taper period. We also show that FOR is not associated with 463 systematic alterations in absolute or relative RMR, resting blood biomarkers, subjective 464 fatigue questionnaire ratings or submaximal exercise responses.

465 The incidence of FOR following HVTr observed in the present study (12/24 runners), is in 466 agreement with rates reported in other studies employing similar overload training periods 467 (i.e., increases of 30-40% of training volume for 3-4 weeks) (2, 36, 46, 51). The magnitude of 468 change in running capacity following HVTr (mean change: -16 ± 43 s, range: -120 to 65 s) 469 and TapTr (mean change: $+38 \pm 35$ s, range: -20 to 120 s) was highly variable. Variation in 470 the individual muscle carnosine z-score values in the gastrocnemius did explain a significant 471 magnitude of the variability in the performance responses following HVTr and TapTr, 472 relative to pre-HVTr. Runners with an estimated higher proportion of type I fibers (i.e., lower 473 carnosine z-score) were able to maintain performance in response to overload training and 474 obtained a superior performance super-compensation following the taper. These findings 475 suggest that runners with an estimated higher proportion of type-I fibers are able to better 476 cope with increases in training volume and achieve superior performance adaptations. While

477 type II fibers can possess equally high or even higher mitochondrial volume as type I fibers in 478 endurance trained athletes (19, 57), differences in cross-bridge (74) and sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca²⁺ pump ATP consumption (58) may result in greater fatigability (48, 49, 67), 479 480 delayed recovery (27, 49) in type II fibers. Conversely, type I fibers are fatigue resistant (35), 481 but may adapt optimally to low frequency, higher-volume contractions (60). Conceivably, 482 individuals with a high proportion of type I fibers may therefore adapt more favourably to 483 increases in training volume. On the other hand, individuals with a high proportion of type II 484 fibers may develop greater residual fatigue from increased training volume and suboptimal 485 adaptations in these fibers, resulting in impaired performance and a higher incidence of FOR. 486 Indeed, short-term overload training can reduce type II fiber size (27), while improvements in 487 maximal shortening velocity appears to be resigned to type I fibers, (27, 68). More recent work from Lievens et al (49) reported that the ¹H-MRS derived measurement of muscle fiber 488 489 typology of the gastrocnemius was associated with the magnitude of fatigue within an intermittent sprint exercise session, as well as the recovery timeline in a well-controlled 5-h 490 491 recovery period. Individuals classified as having fast-twitch typology had still not fully 492 recovered maximal voluntary torque production of the knee extensors after 5 h of recovery, 493 while those with slow-twitch typology had fully recovered after 20 min (49). Collectively, 494 these findings (27, 49, 68) lead to the hypothesis that both acute and longer-term periods of 495 overload training may result in residual fatigue possibly due to impairments in the contractile 496 properties of type II fibers leading to impaired exercise performance, which may provide 497 mechanistic evidence supporting the findings of the present study. Given that the runners in 498 the present study classified as FOR had higher gastrocnemius carnosine z-score values (and 499 presumably a higher proportion of type II fibers) compared to the AF group, it may be that 500 the functional characteristics of these fibers were impaired by the HVTr period, leading to 501 impaired running performance following the overload period. While soleus carnosine z-score

502 was significantly negatively correlated with the change in running TTE from pre-HVTr to 503 post-TapTr, the associations between gastrocnemius carnosine z-score and the change in 504 running TTE across both the HVTr and TapTr period were stronger compared to the soleus. 505 While both the soleus and gastrocnemius have very high levels of relative muscle activation 506 during running compared to other major lower limb muscles (70), it may be that the absolute 507 contribution of the gastrocnemius muscle to ground reaction force during running is more 508 influential than the soleus. Thus, the fiber type composition of the gastrocnemius may be 509 more meaningful in the context of training induced fatigue and adaptations to running 510 training compared to the soleus.

511 In the present study, each week of HVTr was completed with the same weekly distribution, 512 type, and content of running training sessions as the corresponding week in NormTr but with 513 the prescribed increased volume (i.e., +10-30%). While there were no between group 514 differences in the total training volume (duration or distance covered), or the running speed 515 derived training intensity distribution, subjects' perceptions of the training differed. During 516 the third week of HVTr, the FOR group perceived more of the training sessions to have an 517 RPE >6; thus, accumulating more time in training zone 3 using the RPE derived training 518 intensity distribution. This also resulted in a significantly larger sRPE training load during the 519 third week of HVTr compared to the AF group. While previous research indicates that the 520 method of training-intensity quantification substantially affects computation of training 521 intensity distribution (10), this is the first study to show clear delineation in training intensity 522 distribution computed from two different measures of training intensity (external work rate 523 and perceived intensity) in response to alterations in training volume. This is also supported 524 by observations of significantly greater RPE at running speeds equivalent to the RCT in the 525 FOR compared to AF group following HVTr. As such, runners in the FOR group perceived 526 the intensity of running at speeds approximating the RCT to be substantially higher and 527 training sessions that incorporated similar running speeds were perceived to be more intense, 528 particularly during the third week of HVTr. While the running speed derived training 529 intensity distribution suggests that training intensity was not hampered during HVTr (i.e., 530 similar time in zone 3 throughout the study), one limitation of the 3-zone training model is 531 that the third training zone includes all the training time accumulated with a running speed 532 greater than the speed equivalent to RCT. Given this spans a range of physiological and 533 mechanical characteristics (i.e., RCT to maximal sprinting speed), it may reduce the 534 sensitivity of detecting small decrements in running speed during training, where repetitions 535 may be completed at a running speed >RCT.

536 With the exception of a higher RPE at a running speed equivalent to RCT, other 537 physiological responses to submaximal exercise were unable to differentiate between FOR and non-overreached participants in the present study. In contrast, reductions in VO_{2peak}, 538 539 HR_{peak}, [La]b_{max} and faster HRR during exhaustive running were greater in the FOR group 540 compared to the AF group. These findings are in agreement with previous literature reporting 541 reductions in VO_{2peak}, HR_{peak}, and [La]b_{max} (14, 44) and faster HRR (1, 43) in FOR athletes. 542 However, these studies have also typically observed altered physiological responses during 543 submaximal exercise, but it should be noted that this is not a universal finding. Indeed, 544 Bellenger et al (8) suggests that HRR is only sensitive to changes in training status when 545 assessed after maximal exercise. Nonetheless, a key sentiment based on findings from the 546 present study and previous work (1, 8, 14, 43, 44), is that multiple physiological variables 547 should be measured to monitor fatigue associated with training; and changes in these 548 variables should be interpreted in the context of the specific training phase.

549 In the present study, both groups reported adverse effects based on changes to the majority of 550 subjective weekly wellness responses. However, only the FOR group reported impairments in 551 sleep quality (i.e., during the second and third week of HVTr) as well as higher URTI 552 symptom scores and URTI incidence in week 3 of HVTr. In addition, the FOR group had 553 moderately higher effect size differences (despite not being significant) in subjective fatigue 554 ratings after the first and second week of HVTr. These findings are consistent with previous 555 literature reporting impaired sleep and increased susceptibility to infection in overreached 556 endurance athletes (36) and exacerbated subjective fatigue ratings in athletes who become 557 FOR (1, 2, 36, 45). More recently, Ten Haaf (72) demonstrated that the combination of 558 changes in subjective fatigue and readiness to train after only 3 days of a cycling tour 559 correctly predicted 78% of the participants as either FOR or not using simple visual analogue 560 scales. However, despite not being significantly different, there was still a large reduction in 561 incremental cycling test peak power output in the FOR group approximately one month 562 following the cycling event which may indicate that at least some of these participants were 563 NFOR and not FOR (72). Nonetheless, while there is some evidence (1, 2, 36, 45) that 564 subjective questionnaires can differentiate between athletes who are FOR and not following 565 an overload training period, more research is needed to determine if these responses manifest 566 prior to a decrement in exercise performance.

567 Changes to a number of blood biomarkers have been associated with overload training 568 responses (31), but few have consistently been shown to differentiate between FOR and non-569 overreached athletes. The present study involved quantifying the change to a range of blood biomarkers, reflecting inflammation (IL-6 and CRP), metabolism (GDF15, thyroid 570 571 hormones), catabolic and anabolic biomarkers (DHEA-S, urea, total protein, testosterone, 572 cortisol and GH), muscle damage (lactate dehydrogenase), kidney function (creatinine) and 573 iron regulation (iron, ferritin and UIBC) relative to overload training responses. We failed to 574 observe any parameter (measured at rest) that was able to differentiate between FOR and 575 non-overreached athletes. These results are in agreement with Lehmann et al (47) who found 576 no significant changes in thyroid hormones in middle- and long-distance runners following a 577 two-fold increase in training volume. Previous research in cyclists and triathletes who were 578 classified as FOR also indicated no changes in various hormone levels (testosterone, cortisol 579 and growth hormone) (73). Likewise, changes in urea and markers of iron regulation do not 580 appear to differentiate FOR and non-overreached athletes (22). Taken collectively, no resting 581 blood biomarkers have been established as a sensitive predictor of FOR in endurance athletes. 582 Recently, GDF15 has been identified as a potential blood biomarker of overreaching (59). 583 GDF15 is thought to be a stress-responsive biomarker related to the regulation of 584 inflammatory processes (26), as well as appetite regulation (69) and bone metabolism (59). 585 Poffe et al (59) substantially increased the training load of male subjects for 3 weeks and 586 observed increased systemic levels of GDF15 in subjects who consumed a placebo drink $(\sim 292 \pm 19 \text{ pg} \cdot \text{ml}^{-1} \text{ to } 435 \pm 29 \text{ pg} \cdot \text{ml}^{-1})$. Results of the present study differ from those of 587 588 Poffe et al (59), whereby we found no significant differences in systemic levels of GDF15 589 post-HVTr in both the FOR and AF groups. Several explanations for the contrasting findings 590 may exist. For instance, the training status of subjects (healthy, non-specifically trained males 591 vs highly-trained middle-distance runners), the nature of the overload training period (3-fold 592 increase vs 10-30% increase) and the absolute levels of GDF15 (pre-training baseline: ~280 pg·mL⁻¹ vs 541 pg·mL⁻¹), whereby the post-overload training GDF15 values reported in the 593 male subjects of Poffe et al (59) (placebo group: $435 \pm 29 \text{ pg} \cdot \text{ml}^{-1}$) were still lower than the 594 595 pre-NormTr levels of the highly-trained runners in the present study. It is possible that GDF15 concentrations may provide a general marker of training-induced physiological stress 596 associated with the high training volume $(67.1 \pm 20.4 \text{ km} \cdot \text{wk}^{-1})$ and frequency (6-8 running 597 sessions wk⁻¹) employed by the athletes in the present study. This suggests GDF15 may not 598 599 be a sensitive marker to diagnose development of overreaching in trained athletes.

600 In the present study, we did not observe alterations in RMR in response to HVTr or TapTr. 601 This finding contrasts that of two recent studies reporting a reduction in RMR with increased 602 training load in well-trained endurance athletes (76, 77). The mechanism behind the reduced 603 RMR in the previous studies (76, 77) is unclear. It is possible that the increased energetic 604 demands of training, coupled with insufficient energy intake, are contributing factors. Indeed, 605 despite a 21% increase in training load, participants in the study by Woods et al (76) did not 606 increase their total energy or macronutrient intake, while trained cyclists in the study by 607 Woods et al (77) had a significant reduction in FFM. Given that FFM (63) and energy 608 availability (55) are major determinants of RMR, failure to increase energy intake and/or 609 preserve FFM in response to increases in training load may be responsible for the reductions 610 in RMR evident in these studies (76, 77). In the present study, the FOR group increased energy intake during the HVTr period $(175 \pm 71 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{kg} \cdot \text{BM} \cdot \text{dav}^{-1})$ compared to pre-NormTr 611 $(148 \pm 41 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{kg} \cdot \text{BM} \cdot \text{dav}^{-1})$ and NormTr $(140 \pm 36 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{kg} \cdot \text{BM} \cdot \text{dav}^{-1})$. The AF group had a 612 613 non-significant increase in energy intake during the HVTr period $(160 \pm 39 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{kg} \cdot \text{BM} \cdot \text{day}^{-1})$ compared to pre-NormTr (149 \pm 17 kJ·kg·BM·day⁻¹) and NormTr (150 \pm 17 614 $kJ\cdot kg\cdot BM\cdot day^{-1}$), while BM and FFM were preserved in both groups. As such, a reduction in 615 616 RMR is not likely to be indicative of a given fatigue-induced training state per se (i.e., FOR), 617 rather a reflection of an individual's inability to compensate for increases in training load by 618 increasing energy intake.

A strength of the present study is the comprehensive attainment of data on energy availability before and after each training phase (i.e., energy intake, RMR and body composition) as well as pre-testing dietary standardization (evening meal and breakfast). Estimates of EI rely on the notoriously difficult task of gaining valid and reliable information about an athlete's habitual dietary intake by self-reporting which is prone to errors of underreporting (20). As such, we were only able to consider diet reports for 16 of the 24 subjects as eight subjects 625 (FOR = 4; AF = 4) were identified as having implausible food records (30). The taper 626 characteristics in the present study are in line with recommendations based on a meta-627 analysis (15), modelling (4) and experimental studies (56) suggesting that a \sim 50% reduction 628 in training volume in an exponential decay fashion over a period of 1-2 week can elicit peak 629 performance improvements in endurance athletes. Despite this, it would have also been 630 intriguing to extend our taper period beyond 1 wk, given the longer recovery time course of 631 type II fibers (27, 50). It is likely that an optimal taper period should be individualised for 632 each athlete (64), and it has been suggested that longer taper periods may be required 633 following an overload training period due to greater stress and fatigue (66). Future research 634 should investigate whether tapering strategies could be optimised by considering the muscle 635 fiber typology of endurance athletes. Finally, the direct measurement of muscle fiber 636 typology derived from a muscle biopsy may have provided further insight into the 637 relationships between pure and hybrid fibers and the performance and physiological 638 responses to alterations in training volume.

639 The main findings of the present study were that highly-trained middle-distance runners who 640 became FOR following a period of overload training had a substantially higher gastrocnemius 641 carnosine z-score (higher estimated proportion of type II fibers) and a reduced performance 642 super-compensation following a subsequent taper period, compared to runners with a lower 643 gastrocnemius carnosine z-score. We also showed that FOR was associated with altered 644 perceptual responses to training but there were no systematic changes in RMR, resting blood 645 biomarkers or submaximal exercise responses compared to runners who did not demonstrate 646 impaired performance. These findings may have important applications in the development of 647 individualized training advice and the monitoring of training load for endurance athletes 648 undertaking overload training periods. More specifically, athletes with lower gastrocnemius 649 carnosine Z-score values may have more favourable responses to periods of overload training

650	and a subsequent taper. This non-invasive estimation of muscle fiber typology could be used
651	a tool to a priori identify which athletes may be more likely to respond favourably to a
652	training volume overload period.
653	Acknowledgments
654	Funding was received for the present study from the Queensland Academy of Sport applied
655	research funding scheme. The results of the present study are presented clearly, honestly, and
656	without fabrication.
657	
658	
659	
660	REFERENCES
661	1. Aubry A, Hausswirth C, Louis J, Coutts AJ, Buchheit M, and Le Meur Y. The
662	development of functional overreaching is associated with a faster heart rate recovery in
663	endurance athletes. PLoS One 10: e0139754, 2015.
664	2. Aubry A, Hausswirth C, Louis J, Coutts AJ, and Le Meur Y. Functional
665	overreaching: the key to peak performance during the taper? Med Sci Sport Exerc 46: 1769-
666	1777, 2014.
667	3. Baguet A, Everaert I, Hespel P, Petrovic M, Achten E, and Derave W. A new
668	method for non-invasive estimation of human muscle fiber type composition. PLoS One 6:
669	e21956, 2011.
670	4. Banister EW, Carter JB, and Zarkadas PC. Training theory and taper: validation
671	in triathlon athletes. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol 79: 182-191, 1999.

- 673 **Bahrainian M**. Validation of a short form Wisconsin Upper Respiratory Symptom Survey
- 674 (WURSS-21). Health Qual Life Outcomes 7: 76, 2009.
- 6. Beaver WL, Wasserman K, and Whipp BJ. A new method for detecting anaerobic
 676 threshold by gas exchange. *J Appl Physiol* 60: 2020-2027, 1986.
- 677 7. Bellenger CR, Karavirta L, Thomson RL, Robertson EY, Davison K, and
- 678 Buckley JD. Contextualizing parasympathetic hyperactivity in functionally overreached
- athletes with perceptions of training tolerance. Int J Sports Physiol Perf 11: 685-692, 2016.

680 8. Bellenger CR, Thomson RL, Robertson EY, Davison K, Nelson MJ, Karavirta L,

- 681 and Buckley JD. The effect of functional overreaching on parameters of autonomic heart
- rate regulation. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 117: 541-550, 2017.
- 683 9. Bellinger P. Functional overreaching in endurance athletes: a necessity or cause for
 684 concern? *Sports Med* 50: 1059–1073, 2020.
- Bellinger P, Arnold B, and Minahan C. Quantifying the training-intensity
 distribution in middle-distance runners: the Influence of different methods of trainingintensity quantification. *Int J Sports Physiol Perf* 15: 319–323, 2019.
- Bellinger P, Sabapathy S, Craven J, Arnold B, and Minahan C. Overreaching
 attenuates training-induced improvements in muscle oxidative capacity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 52: 77 85, 2019.
- Bex T, Baguet A, Achten E, Aerts P, Clercq DD, and Derave W. Cyclic movement
 frequency is associated with muscle typology in athletes. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 27: 223229, 2017.
- Boisseau N, Enea C, Diaz V, Dugué B, Corcuff J-B, and Duclos M. Oral
 contraception but not menstrual cycle phase is associated with increased free cortisol levels

696 and low hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal axis reactivity. J Endocrinol Invest 36: 955-964, 697 2013.

- 698 14. Bosquet L, Merkari S, Arvisais D, and Aubert AE. Is heart rate a convenient tool 699 to monitor over-reaching? A systematic review of the literature. Br J Sports Med 42: 709-700 714, 2008.
- 701 15. Bosquet L, Montpetit J, Arvisais D, and Mujika I. Effects of tapering on 702 performance: a meta-analysis. Med Sci Sport Exerc 39: 1358-1365, 2007.
- 703 16. Bottinelli R, Canepari M, Pellegrino MA, and Reggiani C. Force-velocity 704 properties of human skeletal muscle fibres: myosin heavy chain isoform and temperature 705 dependence. J Physiol 495: 573-586, 1996.
- 706 17. Bourdillon N, Nilchian M, and Millet GP. Photoplethysmography detection of 707 overreaching. Med Sci Sports Exerc 51: 701-707, 2019.
- 708 18. Bourdillon N, Yazdani S, Nilchian M, Mariano A, Vesin J-M, and Millet GP.
- 709 Overload blunts baroreflex only in overreached athletes. J Sci Med Sport 21: 941-949, 2018.
- 710 19. Boushel R, Ara I, Gnaiger E, Helge JW, González-Alonso J, Munck-Andersen T,
- 711 Sondergaard H, Damsgaard R, van Hall G, Saltin B, and Calbet JAL. Low-intensity
- 712 training increases peak arm VO_2 by enhancing both convective and diffusive O_2 delivery.
- 713 Acta Physiol 211: 122-134, 2014.

21.

- 714 Capling L, Beck KL, Gifford JA, Slater G, Flood VM, and O'Connor H. Validity 20. 715 of dietary assessment in athletes: A systematic review. Nutrients 9: 1313, 2017.
- 716

Compher C, Frankenfield D, Keim N, and Roth-Yousey L. Best practice methods

- 717 to apply to measurement of resting metabolic rate in adults: A systematic review. J Am Diet 718 Assoc 106: 881-903, 2006.
- 719 22. Coutts AJ, Reaburn P, Piva TJ, and Rowsell GJ. Monitoring for overreaching in 720 rugby league players. Eur J Appl Physiol 99: 313-324, 2007.

- 723 24. Coutts AJ, Wallace LK, and Slattery KM. Monitoring changes in performance,
 724 physiology, biochemistry, and psychology during overreaching and recovery in triathletes. *Int*725 *J Sports Med* 28: 125-134, 2007.
- 726 25. Dupuy O, Lussier M, Fraser S, Bherer L, Audiffren M, and Bosquet L. Effect of
- 727 overreaching on cognitive performance and related cardiac autonomic control. *Scand J Med*728 *Sci Sports* 24: 234-242, 2014.
- 729 26. Fairlie WD, Moore AG, Bauskin AR, Russell PK, Zhang H-P, and Breit SN.
- MIC-1 is a novel TGF-β superfamily cytokine associated with macrophage activation. J *Leukoc Biol* 65: 2-5, 1999.
- 732 27. Fitts RH, Costill DL, and Gardetto PR. Effect of swim exercise training on human
 733 muscle fiber function. *J Appl Physiol* 66: 465-475, 1989.
- 734 28. Foster C. Monitoring training in athletes with reference to overtraining syndrome.
 735 *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 30: 1164-1168, 1998.
- Fuller JT, Bellenger CR, Thewlis D, Arnold J, Thomson RL, Tsiros MD,
 Robertson EY, and Buckley JD. Tracking performance changes with running-stride
 variability when athletes are functionally overreached. *Int J Sports Physiol Perf* 12: 357–363,
 2017.
- 30. Goldberg GR, Black AE, Jebb SA, Cole TJ, Murgatroyd PR, Coward WA, and
 Prentice AM. Critical evaluation of energy intake data using fundamental principles of
 energy physiology: 1. Derivation of cut-off limits to identify under-recording. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 45: 569-581, 1991.
- 744 31. Greenham G, Buckley JD, Garrett J, Eston R, and Norton K. Biomarkers of 745 physiological responses to periods of intensified, non-resistance-based exercise training in

- well-trained male athletes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Med* 48: 25172548, 2018.
- 748 32. Halson SL. Monitoring training load to understand fatigue in athletes. *Sports Med* 44:
 749 139-147, 2014.
- 33. Halson SL, Bridge MW, Meeusen R, Busschaert B, Gleeson M, Jones DA, and
 Jeukendrup AE. Time course of performance changes and fatigue markers during
 intensified training in trained cyclists. *J Appl Physiol* 93: 947-956, 2002.
- 753 34. Halson SL, and Jeukendrup AE. Does overtraining exist? *Sports Med* 34: 967-981,
 754 2004.
- 35. Hamada T, Sale DG, MacDougall JD, and Tarnopolsky MA. Interaction of fibre
 type, potentiation and fatigue in human knee extensor muscles. *Acta Physiol Scand* 178: 165173, 2003.
- 36. Hausswirth C, Louis J, Aubry A, Bonnet G, Duffield R, and Le Muer Y.
 Evidence of disturbed sleep patterns and increased illness in functionally overreached
 endurance athletes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 46: 1036–1045, 2014.
- 37. Hopkins W, Marshall S, Batterham A, and Hanin J. Progressive statistics for
 studies in sports medicine and exercise science. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 41: 3-12, 2009.
- 38. Jones AM, and Doust JH. A 1% treadmill grade most accurately reflects the
 energetic cost of outdoor running. *J Sports Sci* 14: 321-327, 1996.
- 39. Killer SC, Svendsen IS, Jeukendrup AE, and Gleeson M. Evidence of disturbed
 sleep and mood state in well-trained athletes during short-term intensified training with and
 without a high carbohydrate nutritional intervention. *J Sports Sci* 35: 1402-1410, 2017.
 40. Kohn T, Essén-Gustavsson B, and Myburgh K. Specific muscle adaptations in type
- 769 II fibers after high-intensity interval training of well-trained runners. Scand J Med Sci Sports
- 770 21: 765-772, 2011.

- 41. Kreider R, Fry A, and O'Toole M. Overtraining in sport: terms, definitions, and
- 772 prevalence. In R. Kreider, A. C. Fry, & M. O'Toole (Eds.), Overtraining in Sport (vii-ix).
- 773 Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- 42. Lastella M, Vincent GE, Duffield R, Roach GD, Halson SL, Heales LJ, and
 Sargent C. Can sleep be used as an indicator of overreaching and overtraining in athletes? *Front Physiol* 9: 2018.
- 43. Le Meur Y, Buchheit M, Aubry A, Coutts AJ, and Hausswirth C. Assessing
 overreaching with heart-rate recovery: what is the minimal exercise intensity required? *Int J Sports Physiol Perf* 12: 569–573, 2017.
- 44. Le Meur Y, Hausswirth C, Natta F, Couturier A, Bignet F, and Vidal PP. A
 multidisciplinary approach to overreaching detection in endurance trained athletes. *J Appl Physiol* 114: 411-420, 2013.
- 783 45. Le Meur Y, Louis J, Aubry A, Guéneron J, Pichon A, Schaal K, Corcuff J-B,
- Hatem SN, Isnard R, and Hausswirth C. Maximal exercise limitation in functionally
 overreached triathletes: role of cardiac adrenergic stimulation. *J Appl Physiol* 117: 214-222,
 2014.
- 787 46. Le Meur Y, Pichon A, Schaal K, Schmitt L, Louis J, Gueneron J, Vidal PP, and
- Hausswirth C. Evidence of parasympathetic hyperactivity in functionally overreached
 athletes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 45: 2061-2071, 2013.
- 47. Lehmann M, Baumgartl P, Wiesenack C, Seidel A, Baumann H, Fischer S, Spöri
 U, Gendrisch G, Kaminski R, and Keul J. Training-overtraining: influence of a defined
 increase in training volume vs training intensity on performance, catecholamines and some
 metabolic parameters in experienced middle- and long-distance runners. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol* 64: 169-177, 1992.

- 795 48. Li JL, Wang XN, Fraser SF, Carey MF, Wrigley TV, and McKenna MJ. Effects
- of fatigue and training on sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca^{2+} regulation in human skeletal muscle. J
- 797 Appl Physiol 92: 912-922, 2002.
- 49. Lievens E, Klass M, Bex T, and Derave W. Muscle fiber typology substantially
 influences time to recover from high-intensity exercise. *J Appl Physiol*. Article in Press, DOI:
- 800 10.1152/japplphysiol.00636.2019.
- 801 50. Luden N, Hayes E, Galpin A, Minchev K, Jemiolo B, Raue U, Trappe TA,

Harber MP, Bowers T, and Trappe S. Myocellular basis for tapering in competitive
distance runners. *J Appl Physiol* 108: 1501-1509, 2010.

- 804 51. Mackinnon LT, Hooper SL, Jones S, Gordon RD, and Bachmann AW.
 805 Hormonal, immunological, and hematological responses to intensified training in elite
 806 swimmers. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 29: 1637-1645, 1997.
- MacMillan EL, Bolliger CS, Boesch C, and Kreis R. Influence of muscle fiber
 orientation on water and metabolite relaxation times, magnetization transfer, and visibility in
 human skeletal muscle. *Magn Reson Med* 75: 1764-1770, 2016.
- 810 53. Meeusen R, Duclos M, Foster C, Fry A, Gleeson M, Nieman D, Raglin J, Rietjens
- 811 G, Steinacker J, Urhausen A, European College of Sport S, and American College of
- 812 Sports M. Prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of the overtraining syndrome: joint consensus
- statement of the European College of Sport Science and the American College of Sports
 Medicine. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 45: 186-205, 2013.
- 815 54. Meeusen R, Duclos M, Gleeson M, Rietjens G, Steinacker J, and Urhausen A.
- Prevention, diagnosis and treatment of the overtraining syndrome. *Eur J Sport Sci* 6: 1-14,
 2006.

- 818 55. Melin A, Tornberg ÅB, Skouby S, Møller SS, Sundgot-Borgen J, Faber J,
- 819 Sidelmann JJ, Aziz M, and Sjödin A. Energy availability and the female athlete triad in
- 820 elite endurance athletes. Scand J Med Sci Sports 25: 610-622, 2015.
- 821 56. Neary JP, Bhambhani YN, and McKenzie DC. Effects of different stepwise
 822 reduction taper protocols on cycling performance. *Can J Appl Physiol* 28: 576-587, 2003.
- 823 57. Ørtenblad N, Nielsen J, Boushel R, Söderlund K, Saltin B, and Holmberg H-C.
- 824 The muscle fiber profiles, mitochondrial content, and enzyme activities of the exceptionally
- well-trained arm and leg muscles of elite cross-country skiers. *Front Physiol* 9: 2018.
- 826 58. Periasamy M, and Kalyanasundaram A. SERCA pump isoforms: Their role in
 827 calcium transport and disease. *Muscle & Nerve* 35: 430-442, 2007.
- 828 59. Poffé C, Ramaekers M, Van Thienen R, and Hespel P. Ketone ester
 829 supplementation blunts overreaching symptoms during endurance training overload. J
 830 Physiol 597: 3009-3027, 2019.
- 831 60. Schluter JM, and Fitts RH. Shortening velocity and ATPase activity of rat skeletal
 832 muscle fibers: effects of endurance exercise training. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 266: C1699833 C1713, 1994.
- 834 61. Seiler KS, and Kjerland GØ. Quantifying training intensity distribution in elite
 835 endurance athletes: is there evidence for an "optimal" distribution? *Scand J Med Sci Sports*836 16: 49-56, 2006.
- 837 62. Siegl A, M. Kösel E, Tam N, Koschnick S, Langerak NG, Skorski S, Meyer T,
- and Lamberts RP. Submaximal markers of fatigue and overreaching; implications for
 monitoring athletes. *Int J Sports Med* 38: 675-682, 2017.
- 840 63. Speakman JR, and Selman C. Physical activity and resting metabolic rate. *Proc*841 *Nutr Soc* 62: 621-634, 2003.

- 842 64. Spilsbury KL, Fudge BW, Ingham SA, Faulkner SH, and Nimmo MA. Tapering
- strategies in elite British endurance runners. Eur J Sport Sci 15: 367-373, 2015.
- 844 65. Tegelman R, Johansson C, Hemmingsson P, Eklöf R, Carlström K, and Pousette
- Å. Endogenous anabolic and catabolic steroid hormones in male and female athletes during
 off season. *Int J Sports Med* 11: 103-106, 1990.
- 847 66. Thomas L, and Busso T. A theoretical study of taper characteristics to optimize
- 848 performance. Med Sci Sports Exerc 37: 1615-1621, 2005.
- 849 67. Thorstensson A, and Karlsson J. Fatiguability and fibre composition of human
- skeletal muscle. Acta Physiol Scand 98: 318-322, 1976.
- 851 68. Trappe S, Harber M, Creer A, Gallagher P, Slivka D, Minchev K, and Whitsett
- **D.** Single muscle fiber adaptations with marathon training. *J Appl Physiol* 101: 721-727,
 2006.
- 854 69. Tsai VWW, Lin S, Brown DA, Salis A, and Breit SN. Anorexia-cachexia and
- obesity treatment may be two sides of the same coin: role of the TGF-b superfamily cytokine
 MIC-1/GDF15. *Int J Obes* 40: 193, 2015.
- 857 70. Tsuji K, Ishida H, Oba K, Ueki T, and Fujihashi Y. Activity of lower limb muscles
 858 during treadmill running at different velocities. *J Phys Ther Sci* 27: 353-356, 2015.
- 859 71. Twan Ten H, Foster C, Meeusen R, Roelands B, Piacentini MF, van Staveren S,
- Koenderman L, and de Koning JJ. Submaximal heart rate seems inadequate to prescribe
 and monitor intensified training. *Eur J Sport Sci* 19: 1082-1091, 2019.
- 862 72. Twan Ten H, Selma van S, Oudenhoven E, Piacentini MF, Meeusen R, Roelands
- 863 B, Koenderman L, Daanen HAM, Foster C, and de Koning JJ. Prediction of functional
- 864 overreaching from subjective fatigue and readiness to train after only 3 days of cycling. Int J
- 865 Sports Physiol Perf 12: S287-S294, 2017.

Wrhausen A, Gabriel HH, and Kindermann W. Impaired pituitary hormonal
response to exhaustive exercise in overtrained endurance athletes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 30:
407-414, 1998.

- Westerblad H, Bruton JD, and Katz A. Skeletal muscle: energy metabolism, fiber
 types, fatigue and adaptability. *Exp Cell Res* 316: 3093-3099, 2010.
- 871 75. Witard OC, Turner JE, Jackman SR, Kies AK, Jeukendrup AE, Bosch JA, and
- 872 Tipton KD. High dietary protein restores overreaching induced impairments in leukocyte
- trafficking and reduces the incidence of upper respiratory tract infection in elite cyclists.
- 874 Brain Behav Immun 39: 211-219, 2014.
- 875 76. Woods AL, Garvican-Lewis LA, Lundy B, Rice AJ, and Thompson KG. New
- approaches to determine fatigue in elite athletes during intensified training: resting metabolic
- rate and pacing profile. *PLoS ONE* 12: e0173807, 2017.
- 878 77. Woods AL, Rice AJ, Garvican-Lewis LA, Wallett AM, Lundy B, Rogers MA,
- 879 Welvaert M, Halson S, McKune A, and Thompson KG. The effects of intensified training
- 880 on resting metabolic rate (RMR), body composition and performance in trained cyclists.
- 881 *PLoS ONE* 13: e0191644, 2018.
- 882

883 **FIGURES**:

- Figure 1 Mean (95% confidence intervals) of time to exhaustion (A), VO_{2peak} (B) peak
 blood lactate concentration (C), peak heart rate (D) and heart rate recovery (E) measured
 before NormTr, before and after HVTr and after TapTr for the FOR group and AF group (n =
 12 per group). A two-way (group and training phase) ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc analysis
 was used.
- ^aSignificantly different compared to pre-NormTr, pre-HVTr and post-TapTr
- ^bSignificant difference between FOR and AF
- 891 ^cSignificantly different compared to pre-NormTr and pre-HVTr
- 892

Figure 2 – Mean (95% confidence intervals) of the training intensity distribution, quantified as the percentage of total time spent in each of the three training zones based on running speed for the AF group (A) and FOR group (B) and based on rating of perceived exertion with AF group (C) and FOR group (D) during NormTr, HVTr (week 1, 2 and 3) and TapTr (n = 12 per group). A three-way (group, training phase and training zone) ANOVA with Tukey

- 898 post-hoc analysis was used.
- ^aSignificantly different compared to NormTr, HVTr week 1 and TapTr
- 900 ^bSignificantly different compared to NormTr, HVTr week 1 and TapTr
- 901
- Figure 3 Mean (95% confidence interval) of the gastrocnemius carnosine z-score of the AF
 and FOR group (n = 12 per group). A one-way ANOVA was used.
- 904 ^aSignificant difference between FOR and AF
- 905

906 **Figure 4** – Association between ¹H-MRS estimation of muscle fiber typology (gastrocnemius 907 carnosine z-score) and the relative change in time to exhaustion from pre- to post-HVTr (A) 908 and pre-HVTr to post-TapTr (B). Shaded area represents the smallest meaningful change 909 (half the CV%). Linear regression was used and all subjects were included in the analysis 910 regardless of group (i.e., FOR and AF; n = 24 in total).

- 911
- 912 **TABLES:**

Table 1 - Mean (SD) values for body composition, resting metabolic rate and macronutrient
and energy intake measured before and after NormTr, and after the HVTr and TapTr period
for the FOR group and AF group.

916 NormTr: normal training period, HVTr: high-volume training period, TapTr: taper training
917 period, RMR: resting metabolic rate, FFM: fat-free mass, FOR: functional overreaching, AF:
918 acutely fatigued

- 919 ^aSignificantly different compared to pre-NormTr, pre-HVTr and post-TapTr
- 920

Table 2 - Mean (SD) values for subjective wellness questionnaire responses and upper
 respiratory tract infection symptom score and occurrences measured during each training
 phase for the FOR group and AF group.

- 924 NormTr: normal training period, HVTr: high-volume training period, TapTr: taper training
 925 period, URTI: upper respiratory tract infection, FOR: functional overreaching, AF: acutely
 926 fatigued
- ^aSignificantly different compared to each week of NormTr and TapTr. ^bSignificant difference
 between groups
- 929
- **Table 3 -** Mean (SD) values for weekly training duration, volume, load and distribution of
 training intensity during each training phase for the FOR group and AF group.

- 932 NormTr: normal training period, HVTr: high-volume training period, TapTr: taper training
- 933 period, RPE: rating of perceived exertion, sRPE: session RPE training load, TID: training
- 934 intensity distribution, FOR: functional overreaching, AF: acutely fatigued
- ^asignificantly different compared to each week of NormTr and TapTr
- 936 ^bsignificantly different compared to each week of NormTr, HVTr week 1 and TapTr
- 937 ^csignificantly different compared to each week of NormTr, HVTr week 1 and 2 and TapTr
- 938 ^dsignificantly different compared to each week of NormTr and HVTr
- ^drelative training time in zone 3 significantly different compared to each week of NormTr,
 HVTr week 1 and TapTr
- ^drelative training time in zone 3 significantly different compared to each week of NormTr,
 HVTr week 1 and TapTr
- 943
- 944 Table 4 Mean (SD) values for the blood biomarkers measured before and after NormTr, and
 945 after the HVTr and TapTr period for the FOR group and AF group.
- NormTr: normal training period, HVTr: high-volume training period, TapTr: taper training
 period, TIBC: total iron-binding capacity, UIBC: Unsaturated iron-binding capacity, VitdA:
 vitamin D (total 25(OH)D), GDF15: growth differentiation factor-15, FT3: free
 triiodothyronine, FT4: free thyroxine, TotT3: total triiodothyronine, TotT4: total thyroxine,
 TSH: thyroid stimulating hormone, TU: thyroid uptake, DHEA-S: dehydroepiandrosterone
 sulphate, hGH: human growth hormone, CRP: C-reactive protein, IL-6: interleukin-6, LDH:
 lactate dehydrogenase







n journals.physiology.org/journal/jappl at Univ Ziekenhuir OR 193.005.039) on A



Gastrocnemius carposinelzescorerg/journal/jappl at Univ Ziekenhuis (157 Bastrocnemius carposine z-score

Variable	Group	Pre- NormTr	Pre-HVTr	Post-HVTr	Post-TapTr
Dody maga (Iza)	AF	67.5 ± 10.4	68.0 ± 10.9	67.4 ± 11.1	67.6 ± 10.7
Body mass (kg)	FOR	62.9 ± 9.2	62.8 ± 9.3	62.7 ± 9.4	62.5 ± 9.7
Loop hody maga (lyg)	AF	54.5 ± 9.44	54.9 ± 9.78	54.5 ± 9.96	54.5 ± 9.48
Lean body mass (kg)	FOR	50.7 ± 8.14	50.6 ± 8.16	50.6 ± 8.10	50.5 ± 8.41
Pono minoral contant (kg)	AF	3.25 ± 0.42	3.29 ± 0.44	3.35 ± 0.45	3.30 ± 0.45
Bone mineral content (kg)	FOR	3.19 ± 0.43	3.22 ± 0.43	3.23 ± 0.44	3.22 ± 0.44
Fat mass (lag)	AF	9.75 ± 1.94	9.88 ± 1.96	9.51 ± 1.98	9.71 ± 1.97
Fat mass (kg)	FOR	9.10 ± 0.96	8.97 ± 1.22	8.84 ± 1.24	8.72 ± 1.23
Dody for parameters $(0/)$	AF	14.6 ± 1.61	14.7 ± 2.81	14.2 ± 2.83	14.6 ± 2.79
Body fat parentage (%)	FOR	14.6 ± 1.54	14.4 ± 1.70	14.2 ± 1.52	14.0 ± 1.45
Absolute \mathbf{DMD} (ML dev ⁻¹)	AF	6.86 ± 1.23	6.57 ± 1.07	6.81 ± 1.28	6.69 ± 1.32
Absolute RMR (MJ*day)	FOR	7.01 ± 0.91	7.15 ± 1.33	6.85 ± 1.08	6.58 ± 1.18
Relative RMR (kJ·kg	AF	127.7 ± 11.6	121.9 ± 10.3	125.9 ± 14.4	123.4 ± 10.5
$FFM \cdot day^{-1})$	FOR	122.9 ± 15.8	124.2 ± 13.7	119.5 ± 9.3	115.0 ± 13.9
	AF	148.6 ± 17.4	149.6 ± 16.7	160.0 ± 39.5	144.7 ± 20.7
Energy intake (KJ·Kg·day)	FOR	148.5 ± 41.3	140.5 ± 36.4	174.6 ± 71.2^{a}	156.5 ± 58.2
Carbohydrate intake (g·kg	AF	3.96 ± 0.49	3.86 ± 0.53	4.33 ± 1.10	3.41 ± 1.17
$BM \cdot day^{-1}$)	FOR	3.89 ± 1.37	3.77 ± 1.25	4.47 ± 2.47	4.16 ± 1.64
Protein intake (g·kg	AF	1.54 ± 0.27	1.62 ± 0.39	1.73 ± 0.44	1.44 ± 0.23
$BM \cdot day^{-1})$	FOR	1.41 ± 0.47	1.49 ± 0.26	1.92 ± 0.61^{a}	1.52 ± 0.50
Estimate (a la DM des ⁻¹)	AF	1.40 ± 0.23	1.45 ± 0.28	1.44 ± 0.47	1.40 ± 0.37
rai intake (g·kg Bivi·day)	FOR	1.51 ± 0.41	1.32 ± 0.37	1.63 ± 0.57	1.51 ± 0.65

Table 1 - Mean (SD) values for body composition, resting metabolic rate and macronutrient and energy intake measured before and after NormTr, and after the HVTr and TapTr period for the FOR group and AF group.

NormTr: normal training period, HVTr: high-volume training period, TapTr: taper training period, RMR: resting metabolic rate, FFM: fat-free mass, FOR: functional overreaching, AF: acutely fatigued

^aSignificantly different compared to pre-NormTr, pre-HVTr and post-TapTr

			NameTa			IIVT.		ТанТи
			INORMIT			HVIr		TapTr
		1	2	3	1	2	3	1
Sleep quality	FOR	7.7 ± 1.0	7.6 ± 1.2	7.8 ± 1.1	6.2 ± 1.8	$5.6\pm2.0^{\rm a}$	$5.4 \pm 1.5^{\mathrm{a}}$	7.8 ± 1.2
Sleep quanty	AF	7.0 ± 2.0	6.6 ± 1.8	6.8 ± 1.4	6.8 ± 1.6	6.1 ± 2.3	6.1 ± 2.1	6.8 ± 1.3
Physical well-	FOR	7.4 ± 1.9	7.2 ± 1.8	7.3 ± 1.8	6.0 ± 1.4	$5.8\pm1.5^{\rm a}$	$5.3\pm2.1^{\rm a}$	7.9 ± 1.2
being	AF	7.3 ± 1.4	7.2 ± 1.1	7.3 ± 1.4	5.9 ± 1.6	5.7 ± 1.9^{a}	6.1 ± 1.3	7.5 ± 0.6
Readiness to	FOR	7.9 ± 1.2	7.4 ± 1.7	7.3 ± 1.8	5.9 ± 2.1^{a}	5.8 ± 1.9^{a}	$3.7\pm1.8^{\rm a}$	8.3 ± 1.2
train	AF	7.6 ± 1.4	7.6 ± 1.5	7.8 ± 1.4	$5.8\pm2.7^{\rm a}$	$5.9\pm2.4^{\rm a}$	$5.0\pm2.3^{\rm a}$	7.8 ± 1.0
Muscle	FOR	2.5 ± 1.5	3.0 ± 2.1	3.1 ± 2.0	$5.4\pm1.7^{\rm a}$	$5.4\pm1.6^{\rm a}$	$6.2\pm2.0^{\rm a}$	3.7 ± 2.4
soreness	AF	3.0 ± 1.5	2.8 ± 1.8	2.7 ± 1.3	$4.9\pm1.1^{\rm a}$	$5.2\pm1.6^{\rm a}$	$5.7\pm1.4^{\rm a}$	4.0 ± 1.5
Fatime	FOR	3.2 ± 1.3	3.6 ± 1.9	4.2 ± 1.3	$5.6\pm1.4^{\rm a}$	$6.4\pm1.3^{\rm a}$	$6.8 \pm 1.5^{\mathrm{a}}$	4.7 ± 2.3
Faugue	AF	3.7 ± 1.4	4.4 ± 1.6	4.3 ± 1.5	5.3 ± 2.3	$5.8\pm2.6^{\rm a}$	$5.7\pm2.7^{\rm a}$	3.9 ± 1.4
Non-training	FOR	2.8 ± 2.4	2.9 ± 2.5	2.8 ± 1.3	3.0 ± 1.8	2.7 ± 2.1	2.7 ± 1.5	2.4 ± 0.9
stress	AF	2.4 ± 1.9	2.7 ± 1.2	3.7 ± 1.9	3.8 ± 2.7	2.8 ± 1.8	2.7 ± 2.1	2.8 ± 1.8
Mood	FOR	7.9 ± 0.9	7.6 ± 1.1	7.3 ± 1.5	$5.6\pm1.3^{\rm a}$	$5.0\pm1.6^{\rm a}$	$4.4\pm1.1^{\rm a}$	7.3 ± 1.4
WIOOd	AF	7.7 ± 1.7	7.7 ± 1.6	6.9 ± 1.9	$5.4\pm1.5^{\text{b}}$	$5.0\pm2.1^{\rm a}$	$5.2\pm2.2^{\rm a}$	7.0 ± 0.9
URTI symptom	FOR	2.9 ± 3.8	2.8 ± 4.9	4.3 ± 9.5	2.3 ± 2.9	17.1 ± 24.4	27.5 ± 36.8^{ab}	3.8 ± 4.7
score	AF	7.0 ± 13.4	3.0 ± 3.2	3.0 ± 3.2	7.7 ± 8.0	7.5 ± 11.2	8.5 ± 17.4	1.8 ± 3.7
URTI	FOR	0	0	0	0	2	3	0
occurrence	AF	1	0	0	1	1	0	0

Table 2 - Mean (SD) values for subjective wellness questionnaire responses and upper respiratory tract infection symptom score and occurrences measured during each training phase for the FOR group and AF group.

NormTr: normal training period, HVTr: high-volume training period, TapTr: taper training period, URTI: upper respiratory tract infection

^aSignificantly different compared to each week of NormTr and TapTr

^bSignificant difference between groups

Table 3 - Mean (SD) values for weekly training duration, volume, load and distribution of training intensity during each training phase for the FOR group and AF group.

			NormTr			HVTr		TapTr
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Weekly running training	FOR	359 ± 38	362 ± 40	367 ± 40	396 ± 43^a	442 ± 56^{b}	481 ± 62^{c}	226 ± 42^{d}
volume (min)	AF	355 ± 60	365 ± 65	362 ± 69	389 ± 72^a	$437\pm70b$	473 ± 64^{c}	225 ± 2^{d}
Weekly running training	FOR	68.3 ± 18.9	68.6 ± 21.1	67.8 ± 20.3	75.9 ± 19.6^a	84.5 ± 19.4^{b}	90.7 ± 19.9^{c}	42.1 ± 9.9^{d}
volume (km)	AF	70.3 ± 23.3	71.3 ± 21.8	71.6 ± 23.2	77.3 ± 24.3^a	85.9 ± 28.0^{b}	$92.9\pm30.1^{\text{c}}$	$43.2\pm13.5^{\text{d}}$
	FOR	1779 ± 258	1804 ± 258	1800 ± 51	1967 ± 276^a	2299 ± 295^{b}	2613 ± 393^{c}	1130 ± 274^{d}
SKPE (AU)	AF	1731 ± 334	1816 ± 333	1784 ± 381	1917 ± 386^a	2160 ± 383^{b}	2337 ± 341^{c}	$1103 \pm 122^{\text{d}}$
Running speed derived TID	FOR	80/5/15	81/6/13	80/6/14	79/7/14	80/6/14	80/7/13	80/5/15
(% training time in zone 1/2/3)	AF	82/5/13	80/6/14	81/5/14	81/6/13	82/5/13	80/6/14	83/6/11
RPE derived TID (%	FOR	36/36/28	35/37/28	36/37/27	36/36/28	33/35/32	28/33/39 ^{e,f}	36/35/29
training time in zone $1/2/3$)	AF	39/32/29	36/35/29	36/35/29	37/35/28	36/36/28	36/36/28	38/34/26

NormTr: normal training period, HVTr: high-volume training period, TapTr: taper training period, RPE: rating of perceived exertion, sRPE: session RPE training load, TID: training intensity distribution

^asignificantly different compared to each week of NormTr and TapTr

^bsignificantly different compared to each week of NormTr, HVTr week 1 and TapTr

^csignificantly different compared to each week of NormTr, HVTr week 1 and 2 and TapTr

^dsignificantly different compared to each week of NormTr and HVTr

^drelative training time in zone 3 significantly different compared to each week of NormTr, HVTr week 1 and TapTr

^drelative training time in zone 3 significantly different compared to each week of NormTr, HVTr week 1 and TapTr

Variable	Group	Pre- NormTr	Pre-HVTr	Post-HVTr	Post-TapTr
	FOR	55.9 ± 32.9	54.7 ± 26.3	49.4 ± 31.2	46.7 ± 26.3
Ferritin (ug/L)	AF	62.3 ± 37.4	65.7 ± 39.0	60.3 ± 35.4	60.2 ± 29.3
T (1/T)	FOR	20.5 ± 7.8	16.6 ± 6.1	19.7 ± 10.2	16.0 ± 6.8
Iron (umol/L)	AF	18.8 ± 5.6	17.9 ± 5.6	18.3 ± 7.5	22.6 ± 12.6
$TIDC(\dots,1/L)$	FOR	56.0 ± 8.9	57.1 ± 10.9	59.6 ± 9.6	56.8 ± 5.9
TIBC (umol/L)	AF	56.4 ± 8.0	60.4 ± 7.3	60.3 ± 6.8	64.9 ± 12.3
Transferrin saturation	FOR	36.6 ± 14.0	29.7 ± 10.5	32.7 ± 13.1	27.9 ± 9.7
(%)	AF	33.6 ± 9.6	36.1 ± 21.6	30.5 ± 12.1	35.1 ± 20.6
Total mustain (a/I)	FOR	68.2 ± 5.5	68.5 ± 5.3	69.8 ± 7.1	67.3 ± 4.97
Total protein (g/L)	AF	64.8 ± 9.7	68.9 ± 4.33	68.0 ± 6.0	73.3 ± 12.7
UIDC (um a 1/L)	FOR	1.20 ± 0.28	1.18 ± 0.28	1.13 ± 0.22	1.09 ± 0.30
OIDC (unioi/L)	AF	1.33 ± 0.30	1.36 ± 0.46	1.34 ± 0.21	1.33 ± 0.31
U_{max} ($u_{max} 1/I$)	FOR	9.08 ± 2.07	9.08 ± 2.08	8.93 ± 1.87	9.97 ± 1.69
Orea (unior/L)	AF	9.8 ± 1.79	10.5 ± 2.82	10.0 ± 1.43	10.0 ± 1.70
Uric Acid (umol/L)	FOR	2.62 ± 1.25	2.37 ± 0.88	2.17 ± 0.90	2.19 ± 0.85
	AF	1.92 ± 0.78	2.02 ± 1.57	1.97 ± 1.19	1.75 ± 0.97
VitdA (ng/mI)	FOR	42.2 ± 14.4	45.7 ± 16.2	48.6 ± 16.1	52.7 ± 20.3
v huA (lig/lilL)	AF	48.6 ± 27.7	52.5 ± 21.4	52.6 ± 20.5	55.1 ± 26.4
GDF 15 (ng/mI)	FOR	571 ± 262	514 ± 266	457 ± 243	466 ± 220
ODI-15 (pg/mL)	AF	510 ± 230	474 ± 255	490 ± 207	482 ± 212
FT3 (ng/dI)	FOR	3.62 ± 0.79	3.57 ± 0.79	3.45 ± 0.62	3.57 ± 0.61
r i 5 (pg/dL)	AF	4.49 ± 1.78	4.57 ± 1.91	4.13 ± 0.85	4.25 ± 1.11
FTA(ng/mI)	FOR	0.92 ± 0.32	0.94 ± 0.31	0.89 ± 0.27	1.04 ± 0.48
r r (iig/iiiL)	AF	1.46 ± 1.05	1.22 ± 0.80	1.00 ± 0.39	1.16 ± 0.46
TotT3 (ng/dI)	FOR	40.2 ± 3.21	40.4 ± 3.51	39.9 ± 4.24	40.9 ± 5.19
Totto (lig/uL)	AF	42.0 ± 5.06	41.1 ± 4.42	41.3 ± 4.70	44.6 ± 6.66
TotT4 (ug/dI)	FOR	35.5 ± 9.73	40.4 ± 11.8	39.9 ± 9.55	40.9 ± 6.25
1011+ (ug/uL)	AF	37.6 ± 8.29	42.6 ± 7.41	42.0 ± 9.52	42.3 ± 16.2
TSH (ulU/mL)	FOR	5.50 ± 1.08	5.75 ± 0.88	6.20 ± 1.48	5.44 ± 0.97
ion (uro/mil)	AF	4.81 ± 0.96	5.78 ± 1.45	5.46 ± 0.78	5.77 ± 1.29
TU (%)	FOR	314 ± 55	331 ± 61	359 ± 79	308 ± 73
	AF	307 ± 74	317 ± 44	327 ± 62	349 ± 86
Cortisol (ug/dL)	FOR	13.4 ± 3.71	13.7 ± 2.52	11.2 ± 2.56	13.1 ± 4.01
(<i>ag</i> (<i>a</i>)	AF	15.0 ± 4.80	13.0 ± 4.64	14.4 ± 5.2	13.3 ± 4.2
Testosterone (ng/dL)	FOR	5.98 ± 3.88	5.96 ± 3.94	4.95 ± 2.61	5.31 ± 2.97
	AF	5.00 ± 3.76	5.30 ± 3.89	5.11 ± 3.62	5.07 ± 3.75
Testosterone:cortisol	FOR	0.48 ± 0.35	0.46 ± 0.33	0.47 ± 0.26	0.45 ± 0.26
ratio (ng/dL)	AF	0.39 ± 0.30	0.45 ± 0.37	0.42 ± 0.32	0.44 ± 0.33
DHEA-S (ug/dL)	FOR	210 ± 59.3	221 ± 76.0	208 ± 57.6	207 ± 63.5
	AF	251 ± 86.4	275 ± 163	267 ± 109	261 ± 103.9

Table 4 - Mean (SD) values for the blood biomarkers measured before and after NormTr, and after the HVTr and TapTr period for the FOR group and AF group.

hCII (na/dI)	FOR	2.87 ± 3.31	79 ± 1.04	3.18 ± 3.60	1.41 ± 2.19
IIGH (IIg/dL)	AF	0.72 ± 1.44	2.09 ± 5.33	2.45 ± 6.44	2.45 ± 6.59
Creatining (umal/I)	FOR	84.6 ± 9.01	86.1 ± 7.09	87.5 ± 8.40	83.8 ± 8.86
Creatinine (union/L)	AF	76.3 ± 14.0	83.6 ± 12.0	83.6 ± 13.4	87.8 ± 13.9
CDD(ma/I)	FOR	0.98 ± 0.02	0.98 ± 0.01	0.98 ± 0.01	0.91 ± 0.25
CKF(lllg/L)	AF	0.95 ± 0.10	0.92 ± 0.17	0.94 ± 0.12	0.93 ± 0.16
$II_{6}(na/dI)$	FOR	1.08 ± 0.45	0.93 ± 0.28	1.01 ± 0.30	0.88 ± 0.35
IL-0 (pg/dL)	AF	1.20 ± 0.82	1.39 ± 1.96	1.16 ± 1.06	1.02 ± 0.66
	FOR	179 ± 36.3	181.9 ± 38.0	171.6 ± 18.7	159.7 ± 12.2
LDH(U/L)	AF	171 ± 41.6	175 ± 24.9	179 ± 27.8	194 ± 33

NormTr: normal training period, HVTr: high-volume training period, TapTr: taper training period, TIBC: total iron-binding capacity, UIBC: Unsaturated iron-binding capacity, VitdA: vitamin D (total 25(OH)D), GDF15: growth differentiation factor-15, FT3: free triiodothyronine, FT4: free thyroxine, TotT3: total triiodothyronine, TotT4: total thyroxine, TSH: thyroid stimulating hormone, TU: thyroid uptake, DHEA-S: dehydroepiandrosterone sulphate, hGH: human growth hormone, CRP: C-reactive protein, IL-6: interleukin-6, LDH: lactate dehydrogenase