

**ICoMST
2019**

65th International
Congress of Meat Science
and Technology

04–09 August 2019
Potsdam, Germany



**Meat for Diversifying
Markets**

**Book of
Abstracts**

www.icomst2019.com

P-04-11**Fatty acid profile of lamb meat from two portuguese autochthonous breeds (#268)**

Mirian Pateiro¹, Ursula Gonzales-Barron², Noemí Echegaray¹, Laura Purriños¹, Francisco A.L. Carvalho³, Daniel Franco¹, Paulo E.S. Munekata¹, Vasco Cadavez², José Manuel Lorenzo¹

¹ Centro Tecnológico de la Carne de Galicia, Ourense, Spain; ² Instituto Politécnico de Bragança (Escola Superior Agrária), Centro de Investigação de Montanha (CIMO), Bragança, Portugal; ³ University of São Paulo, Department of Food Engineering (College of Animal Science and Food Engineering), São Paulo, Brazil

Introduction

In Portugal, sheep and goat meat production accounts for 2.8% of the total meat production in the country; and it is capable of supplying approximately 82% of the domestic demand (INE, 2015). Churra-Galega-Bragançana (CGB) and Bordaleira-entre-Douro-e-Minho (BEDM) are two native breeds of the north of Portugal, classified as endangered lamb breeds. These breeds are exploited for meat production, the former in the Atlantic bio-region and the latter in the Mediterranean area. Production factors, such as breed, have a great influence on nutritional aspects related with the content and quality of fat and fatty acid profile (Frank et al., 2016), which are considered important quality indicators that have a great influence on consumer acceptability (Andersen et al., 2005), especially nowadays as consumers have become increasingly concerned about health and the relationship between meat consumption and saturated fatty acids (Leroy and De Smet, 2019). These autochthonous breeds constitute an important genetic heritage that must be preserved, so assessing their fatty acid profile will allow a correct characterization of these breeds and their products.

Methods

The study was carried out using fifteen lambs of each breed, BEDM and CGB, reared in a semi-intensive production system, and slaughtered at four months of age. The muscle *longissimus thoracis* was extracted from the left half of each carcass, between the sixth and the thirteenth rib. The fat extraction for the determination of fatty acid composition was performed following the method proposed by Bligh and Dyer (1959). The transesterification, identification and quantification of fatty acid methyl esters were performed using gas chromatography techniques according to the chromatographic conditions described by Domínguez et al. (2015). Results were expressed as percentage of total fatty acid methyl esters (FAMES). The effect of breed on fatty acids profile was determined using a one-way ANOVA with the IBM SPSS Statistics 21.0 software (IBM Corporation, Somers, NY, USA).

Results

The fatty acids identified from meat of CGB and BEDM breeds are shown in Table 1. The content of specific fatty acids is important to evaluate the meat nutritional quality from these breeds (Polidori et al., 2011). In this study, significant differences ($P < 0.05$) were observed in the fatty acid profiles be-

tween breeds. This outcome is in accordance with those found by Costa et al. (2015). In this way, meat from CGB showed the prevalence of MUFA (44%) followed by SFA (41%), while in BEDM samples the predominant fatty acids were SFA (42%) followed by MUFA (31%). As expected, the contents of PUFA in lamb meat were the lowest for both breeds, confirming previous results obtained by Díaz et al. (2005) for other lambs produced in Europe. The profile found in BEDM samples was similar to those reported by other authors in Fabrianese lambs slaughtered at 5 months (Polidori et al., 2017) and lower than those obtained by Quiñones et al. (2018) in Araucano creole lambs. Breed did not have any effect ($P > 0.05$) on the total SFA content. However, differences ($P < 0.05$) were found in individual fatty acids, especially noticeable in the predominant SFA (palmitic and stearic acids). Therefore, palmitic acid showed the highest levels in lamb meat from CGB breed (23.24 vs. 18.36% for CGB and BEDM breeds, respectively), while lamb meat from BEDM breed contained the highest amounts of stearic acid (11.63 vs. 15.18% for CGB and BEDM breed, respectively). Regarding MUFA, significant differences ($P < 0.001$) were observed between breeds. This result was due to the highest MUFA values found in CGB samples. The most notable differences were observed in C18:1n-9 (37.01 vs. 27.3%, for CGB and BEDM breeds, respectively). Moreover, this fatty acid was the predominant one, representing 86.4% of total MUFA. As occurred in MUFA, PUFA contents were also influenced by breed ($P < 0.001$). In this case, the meat samples from BEDM breed presented higher values of PUFA than those from CGB animals (27.54 vs. 14.90%, for BEDM and CGB breeds, respectively). These differences were especially notable due to the higher contents of n-3 PUFA (7.05 vs. 1.87%, for BEDM and CGB breeds, respectively). Finally, lower ratios n-6/n-3 were observed in lamb meat from BEDM breed (2.76 vs. 6.71, for BEDM and CGB breeds, respectively), with values that are within the recommendations for human diet (n-6/n-3 < 4; FAO, 2010). The ratio P/S showed higher values in lamb meat from BEDM breed (0.68); however, the ratio was lower than the recommended values (P/S=0.85; FAO, 2010).

Conclusion

The results of fatty acid profile covered an aspect of the nutritional quality of the intramuscular fat of lamb meat from BEDM and CGB breeds, especially from a human nutrition perspective. The values obtained for BEDM breed lamb meat were very close to the recommended values for human

Notes

diet. This study was useful for the research community and final consumers who are increasingly demanding healthy and high-quality food products.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by European Research Area on Sustainable Animal Production Systems (SusAn, ERA-NETSUSAN 2016/48 (PCIN-2017-053), EcoLamb project). Acknowledgements to Consellería de Cultura, Educación e Ordenación Universitaria (Xunta de Galicia) for granting Noemi Echeagaray with a pre-doctoral scholarship (grant number IN606A-2018/002), and to Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (MINECO, Spain) for awarding Paulo E.S. Munekata with a postdoctoral fellowship support “Juan de la Cierva” program (FJCI-2016-29486).

References

- Andersen, H.A., Oksbjerg, N., Yung, J.F. & Therkildsen, M. (2005). Feeding and meat quality - A future approach. *Meat Science* 70: 543-554.
- Bligh, E.G. & Dyer, W.J. (1959). A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification. *Canadian Journal of Biochemistry and Physiology* 37: 911-917.
- Costa, R.G., Santos, N.M.D., Queiroga, R.D.C.R.D., Sousa, W.H.D., Madruga, M.S. & Cartaxo, F.Q. (2015). Physicochemical characteristics and fatty acid profile of meat from lambs with different genotypes and diets. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia* 44(7): 248-254.
- Díaz, M.T., Alvarez, I., De la Fuente, J., Sañudo, C., Campo, M.M., Oliver, M.A., Fonti i Furnols, M., Montossi, F., San Julián, R., Nute, G.R. & Cañeque, V. (2005). Fatty acid composition of meat from typical lamb production systems of Spain, United Kingdom, Germany and Uruguay. *Meat Science*, 71(2), 256-263.
- Dominguez, R., Borrojo, P. & Lorenzo, J.M. (2015). The effect of cooking methods on nutritional value of foal meat. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis* 43: 61-67.
- FAO (2010). Fat and fatty acid requirements for adults. In *Fats and fatty acids in human nutrition* (pp 55-62). Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Frank, D., Joo, S. T. & Warner, R. (2016). Consumer acceptability of intramuscular fat. *Korean journal for food science of animal resources* 36(6): 699-708.
- INE, I. P. 2015. *Estatísticas Agrícolas 2015, Edição de 2016*, Lisboa-Portugal.
- Leroy, F. & De Smet, S. (2019). Meat in the Human Diet: A Biosocial Perspective. In J.M. Lorenzo, P.E.S. Munekata, F. J. Barba & F. Toklirá (Eds.) *More than Beef, Pork and Chicken—The Production, Processing, and Quality Traits of Other Sources of Meat for Human Diet* (pp. 1-19). Springer, Switzerland.
- Polidori, P., Orlenzi, A., Vincenzetti, S. & Beghelli, D. (2011). Dietary properties of lamb meat and human health. *Mediterranean Journal of Nutrition and Metabolism* 4(1): 53-56.
- Polidori, P., Pucciarelli, S., Cammertoni, N., Polzonetti, V., & Vincenzetti, S. (2017). The effects of slaughter age on carcass and meat quality of Fabrihanese lambs. *Small ruminant research* 155: 12-15.
- Quiñones, J., Maggiolino, A., Bravo, S., Muñoz, E., Lorenzo, J. M., Cancino, D., Diaz, R., Saenz, C., Spúlveda, N. & De Palo, P. (2019). Effect of canola oil on meat quality and fatty acid profile of Araucano creole lambs during fattening period. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 248: 20-26.

Acknowledgements

Notes

Table 1. Fatty acid profile of lamb meat from two Portuguese autochthonous breeds: Churra-Galega-Bragançana (CGB) and Bordaleira-entre-Douro-e-Minho (BEDM) (g/100 g of total fatty acids).

	Breed		SEM	Sig.
	CGB	BEDM		
C14:0	3.49	2.42	0.20	**
C15:0	0.34	0.44	0.02	**
C16:0	23.24	18.36	0.64	***
C16:1 <i>n</i> -7	1.88	0.97	0.10	***
C17:0	0.86	2.58	0.17	***
C17:1 <i>n</i> -7	0.56	0.59	0.01	ns
C18:0	11.63	15.18	0.50	***
<i>g</i> -C18:1	1.07	0.34	0.08	***
<i>l</i> <i>l</i> -C18:1	1.89	0.95	0.11	***
C18:1 <i>n</i> -9	37.01	27.25	1.00	***
C18:1 <i>n</i> -7	1.12	0.32	0.08	***
C18:2 <i>n</i> -6	8.40	11.55	0.49	***
C18:3 <i>n</i> -3	0.65	2.11	0.14	***
CLA	0.85	0.55	0.04	***
C22:0	0.24	1.02	0.09	***
C20:3 <i>n</i> -6	0.29	0.55	0.04	***
C20:4 <i>n</i> -6	3.73	7.22	0.48	***
C20:5 <i>n</i> -3	0.28	1.88	0.17	***
C22:5 <i>n</i> -3	0.70	2.32	0.18	***
C22:6 <i>n</i> -3	0.24	0.74	0.06	***
SFA	41.17	41.68	0.59	ns
MUFA	43.93	30.78	1.31	***
PUFA	14.90	27.54	1.50	***
<i>n</i> -6	12.42	19.33	0.95	***
<i>n</i> -3	1.87	7.05	0.54	***
<i>n</i> -6/ <i>n</i> -3	6.71	2.76	0.40	***
P/S	0.37	0.68	0.04	***

SEM: Standard error of the mean; Sig. Significance; ns: not significant; **: P<0.01; ***: P<0.001; SFA, Saturated fatty acids (C14:0+C15:0+C16:0+C17:0+C18:0+C22:0); MUFA, Monounsaturated fatty acids (C16:1*n*-7+C17:1*n*-7+*g*-C18:1+*l**l*-C18:1+C18:1*n*-9+C18:1*n*-7); PUFA, Polyunsaturated fatty acids (C18:2*n*-6+C18:3*n*-3+CLA+C20:3*n*-6+C20:4*n*-6+C20:5*n*-3+C22:5*n*-3+C22:6*n*-3).

Table 1

Notes