



The impact of diet, body composition, and physical activity on child bone mineral density at five years of age—findings from the ROLO Kids Study

Marco K. McVey¹ · Aisling A. Geraghty¹ · Eileen C. O'Brien¹ · Malachi J. McKenna^{1,2,3} · Mark T. Kilbane⁴ · Rachel K. Crowley^{2,3} · Patrick J. Twomey^{3,4} · Fionnuala M. McAuliffe¹

Received: 16 June 2019 / Revised: 5 September 2019 / Accepted: 6 September 2019 / Published online: 1 November 2019
© The Author(s) 2019

Abstract

Bone health is extremely important in early childhood because children with low bone mineral density (BMD) are at a greater risk of bone fractures. While physical activity and intake of both calcium and vitamin D benefit BMD in older children, there is limited research on the determinants of good bone health in early childhood. The aim of this cross-sectional study was to investigate the impact of diet, physical activity, and body composition on BMD at five years of age. Dietary intakes and physical activity levels were measured through questionnaires. Whole body BMD was measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry in 102 children. Child weight, height, circumferences, skinfolds and serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25OHD) concentrations were assessed. There was no association between BMD and dietary calcium, dietary vitamin D, 25OHD, physical activity, or sedentary behaviour. Several measures of body composition were significantly positively associated with BMD; however, neither fat mass nor lean body mass was associated with BMD.

Conclusion: Although we found no association between self-reported dietary and lifestyle factors and bone health in early years, increased body size was linked with higher BMD. These findings are important as identifying modifiable factors that can improve bone health at a young age is of utmost importance.

What is Known:

- Bone health is extremely important in early childhood, as children with low bone mineral density (BMD) are at greater risk of bone fractures.
- Physical activity has been found to be beneficial for bone health in adolescents, and body composition has also been associated with BMD in teenage years.
- Limited research on the determinants of good bone health in early childhood.

What is New:

- No association between self-reported lifestyle and dietary factors with bone health in early childhood.
- Increased body size was associated with higher BMD at five years of age.

Communicated by Peter de Winter

✉ Fionnuala M. McAuliffe
Fionnuala.mcauliffe@ucd.ie

Marco K. McVey
marco.mc-vey@ucdconnect.ie

Aisling A. Geraghty
aisling.geraghty@ucd.ie

Eileen C. O'Brien
eileen.obrien@ucdconnect.ie

Malachi J. McKenna
malachimckenna@gmail.com

Mark T. Kilbane
m.kilbane@svhg.ie

Rachel K. Crowley
R.Crowley@st-vincent.ie

Patrick J. Twomey
P.Twomey@st-vincent.ie

¹ UCD Perinatal Research Centre, School of Medicine, University College Dublin, National Maternity Hospital, Dublin, Ireland

² Department of Endocrinology, St. Vincent's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland

³ School of Medicine, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

⁴ Department of Clinical Chemistry, St. Vincent's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland

Keywords Child bone health · Vitamin D · Calcium · Activity · Screen time · Child BMI

Abbreviations

| | |
|-------|--|
| 25OHD | 25-hydroxyvitamin D |
| BMC | Bone mineral content |
| BMD | Bone mineral density |
| BMI | Body mass index |
| CLASS | Children's Leisure and Activities Study Survey |
| DXA | Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry |
| FFQ | Food frequency questionnaire |
| IQR | Interquartile range |
| MUA | Mid-upper arm |
| RDA | Recommended daily allowance |
| SD | Standard deviation |

Introduction

During normal childhood and adolescence, the skeleton undergoes tremendous change. Unlike the adult skeleton where bone remodelling is the dominant activity, the younger skeleton is both growing and remodelling. It is estimated that more than half of peak bone mass is acquired during the teenage years [1]. Thus, factors that influence bone health during early life are extremely important. Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) is the best measure of bone health by estimating areal bone mineral density (BMD) and areal bone mineral content (BMC) [28]. Children with low BMD are at higher risk of fractures throughout childhood [7]. Individuals with low BMD in childhood who have concomitant multiple bone fractures are also at a higher risk of being diagnosed with osteoporosis in later life [21]. In order to delay the onset of osteoporosis, it is vital to ensure that BMD is at an optimum level throughout childhood and adolescence.

Heredity is the principal determinant of BMD [10, 22, 36], but identifying modifiable factors has the potential for benefit. The relationship between bone health and diet is well established, particularly focusing on calcium and vitamin D [23, 30, 41–43]. Since effective sunlight exposure in Ireland is curtailed to 7 months yearly, oral intake of vitamin D from all sources (natural foodstuffs, fortified foods and supplements) is essential to maintain serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25OHD) levels year round [6]. Calcium intake in Ireland tends to be at the high end of the international spectrum in adults in view of the ready availability of dairy products but intake can vary widely [3]. Physical activity has a positive association with BMD in children and adolescents [5, 16, 44]. An intervention study demonstrated that a school-based jumping programme significantly increased BMD in prepubertal boys and girls [31, 32].

The association between body composition and bone health is conflicting. In adults, BMD had a stronger relationship with lean body mass than with fat mass [19, 39]. It has

been suggested that adipose tissue is not beneficial to bone structure in healthy mature adolescents and young adults [18, 34]. However, in prepubertal children, it is proposed that adipose tissue may stimulate bone growth [8], and it has been reported that fat mass was positively associated with bone mass in children [24, 38].

The aim of this research project is to provide insight into modifiable factors that may be related to bone health in preschool-aged Irish children. Based on secondary analysis of data from the ROLO Kids Study, we aimed to investigate the associations between diet, physical activity and body composition with child bone mineral density in 5-year-old children.

Methods

Study design and population

The ROLO Study was a randomised controlled trial of a low glycaemic index diet during pregnancy, with the aim of reducing foetal macrosomia. In the study, 800 mothers were recruited that had previously given birth to a macrosomic child (birth weight > 4 kg) and had no adverse health conditions. Mothers were randomised into an intervention or control group, where the intervention group received low glycaemic index dietary advice to follow during pregnancy, and the control arm had routine antenatal care. Findings from the ROLO Study and follow-up studies have previously been published [14, 29, 40], but in brief, there was no significant difference in birth weight between the control and intervention groups. Women in the intervention group did, however, reduce their gestational weight gain and improve their glycaemic control. The mothers and infants from this study were then followed up as part of the longitudinal ROLO Kids Study. Mothers were contacted and invited to take part in this analysis when their child turned 5 years of age. Written maternal consent was obtained at the follow-up visit and this study received ethical approval by the Ethics Committee in Our Lady's Children Hospital, Dublin. Participants were eligible for this analysis if they had taken part in the ROLO Kids Study and had a DXA scan as part of the follow-up. A total of 102 mother and child dyads were included in this secondary analysis.

BMD and body composition measurements

BMD of the mother and child was measured by DXA using the Lunar iDXA™ scanner (GE Healthcare, Madison WI) with enCORE™ v.14.1 software. Measurements obtained by DXA included BMD (g/cm²), fat mass (kg) and lean mass

(kg). Fat mass as a percentage of whole-body tissue was derived. In order to minimise the effect of operator variability factor, one or other of two qualified observers analysed all scans at 5 years follow-up. In terms of clinically relevant findings, based on a sample size calculation, to detect 1 standard deviation (SD) difference in BMD in our cohort, 17 participants are required per group (e.g. 17 males, 17 females) for 80% power at 0.05 level of significance.

Dietary measurements

The children's mothers completed a food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) on behalf of their child. This measured their child's habitual dietary intakes over the previous year. The FFQ was divided into different categories—breads/pastas, cakes/confectionary, dairy, sugar sweetened beverages, fruit/vegetables, spreads and meat/fish—and asked mothers to report how frequently their child consumed each food product in the last year. The FFQ was based on the Growing up in Ireland 5-Year Primary Caregiver Main Questionnaire [12] and was a validated method of assessing dietary intakes in children. Using McCance and Widdowson's *The Composition of Foods Seventh Summary Edition* [35], habitual dietary intakes of calcium and vitamin D were calculated in milligram per day (mg/day) and microgram per day ($\mu\text{g/day}$), respectively.

Physical activity and sedentary behaviour

Mothers also completed the Children's Leisure and Activity Study Survey (CLASS) questionnaire on behalf of their child. The CLASS questionnaire is a baseline proxy report of typical week physical activity and sedentary behaviour questionnaire. This is a validated questionnaire estimating habitual physical activity and sedentary activity levels in children [17]. The CLASS questionnaire also estimated the amount of screen time the child had each week, which was used as a proxy for sedentary behaviour.

Anthropometric measurements

The height and weight of the child was measured using a SECA stadiometer (to the nearest 0.1 cm) and a SECA scales (to the nearest 0.1 kg), respectively, and body mass index (BMI) was calculated as kilogram per square metre (kg/m^2), along with standardised scores and centiles, which was scored using the definitions set by the Centre for Disease Control and Prevention [4]. These measurements and calculations were all carried out by the trained research team. Circumferences of the head, neck, mid-upper arm (MUA), chest, abdomen, hip and thigh were measured using a SECA ergonomic circumference measuring tape (to the nearest 0.1 cm). Skinfold measurements of the biceps, triceps, subscapular and thigh were collected (to the nearest 0.2 mm) using a Holtain skinfold

caliper, and subscapular to triceps ratio, sum of skinfolds and subscapular and triceps skinfold thicknesses as markers of adiposity were calculated.

25OHD measurement

Blood samples ($n = 32$) were collected at the follow-up visit by a trained phlebotomist. 25OHD concentrations were quantified using the Elecsys Vitamin D Total (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) automated competitive binding protein assay.

Statistical analysis

The distribution and normality of each variable was determined by visual assessment of histograms. Mean and SD were reported for normally distributed data with median and interquartile range (IQR) being reported for non-normal data. To measure unadjusted correlations between each variable and the child's BMD, Pearson's correlation was used for normally distributed data and Spearman's correlation for non-normal data to explore the relationship. A significance level of $p < 0.05$ was used to set a cut-off for further analysis with each variable. Multiple regression analysis was then used to identify the extent of the relationship between child BMD and each variable with a significant correlation, while controlling the following confounders: child sex, maternal BMD, maternal education level (as a marker of socioeconomic status) and whether the child was breastfed or not. Each variable was run individually with its own model, with 12 models being created in total. The population was dichotomized based on child's adherence to recommended nutrient intakes (> 800 mg/day calcium, > 5 $\mu\text{g/day}$ vitamin D, optimal serum 25OHD status (> 50 nmol/L), physical activity (> 420 min/week), screen time (< 1 h/day) and BMI normal weight status (< 85 th percentile). Differences in BMD between these groups were assessed using independent sample t tests for normally distributed data and Mann-Whitney U tests for non-normal data. All analysis was carried out using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 24 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA).

Results

Descriptive statistics

The mean age of participants in this cohort was 5.09 years (51% female) and they were all Caucasian, with one being of Asian descent, with highly educated mothers, over 60% having completed third-level education (Table 1). There was no significant difference in any potential confounding factors between the sexes; however, there was a significant difference

Table 1 Descriptive statistics of the participants in the ROLO Kids Study

| | Total | | | Male | | | Female | | | <i>p</i> |
|---|----------|-----------|------|----------|-----------|------|----------|-----------|------|----------|
| | <i>n</i> | | | <i>n</i> | | | <i>n</i> | | | |
| Age at follow-up (mean, SD, years) | 102 | 5.09 | 0.13 | 47 | 5.07 | 0.13 | 55 | 5.1 | 0.13 | 0.167 |
| Ethnicity (Caucasian (%)) | 102 | 101 (99) | | 47 | 46 (97.9) | | 55 | 55 (100) | | 0.277 |
| RCT group (intervention, <i>n</i> (%)) | 102 | 49 (48) | | 47 | 23 (48.9) | | 55 | 26 (47.3) | | 0.867 |
| Maternal education (achieved 3rd level (%)) | 94 | 60 (63.8) | | 44 | 29 (65.9) | | 50 | 31 (62) | | 0.694 |
| Breastfed status (yes (%)) | 99 | 70 (70.7) | | 47 | 32 (68.1) | | 52 | 38 (73.1) | | 0.586 |
| BMD (mean, SD, g/cm ²) | 102 | 0.676 | 0.05 | 47 | 0.689 | 0.04 | 55 | 0.665 | 0.05 | 0.006* |

SD, standard deviation; RCT, randomised control trial; BMD, bone mineral density

*Correlation is significant at 0.05 level (2-tailed)

in BMD with males having a significantly higher BMD than females ($p < 0.01$).

Comparing between males and females, there was no difference in calcium or vitamin D intake, 25OHD concentration, physical/sedentary activity or body mass index (BMI)(Table 2). However, there were differences in body composition; male children had significantly higher head, neck and chest circumferences than females, while females had higher thigh circumferences and central adiposity measurements ($p < 0.01$).

The mean calcium intake across the population was 824 mg with 866 mg and 787 mg being the mean intake for male and female participants, respectively. The median vitamin D intake was 1.83 µg with similar intakes found between males and females ($p = 0.931$) Reported physical activity and screen time levels were 500 min/week and 600 min/week, respectively.

Achieving recommended intakes

Almost half of the participants met the Irish recommended daily allowance for calcium intake for children of 800 mg/day [3], whereas only 6% had an adequate vitamin D intake of 5 µg/day(Table 3) [13]. Over 68% of the children were reported to exercise for more than 420 min/week [11] but just over a quarter had the recommended less than 1 hour of screen time/day [9]. Over 80% of the study population were within the ranges for a healthy BMI centile ($< 85^{\text{th}}$ centile) with almost 20% being in the overweight (85th–95th) or obese category ($> 95^{\text{th}}$ BMI centile).

Associations with BMD

Neither meeting calcium recommendations having adequate 25OHD concentrations, nor meeting physical activity recommendations was significantly associated with BMD at 5 years of age ($p > 0.05$, Table 4). BMD was significantly lower in children who were in the normal weight category ($< 85^{\text{th}}$ BMI

centile) than those who were in the overweight category ($> 85^{\text{th}}$ BMI centile) ($p < 0.01$). When the participants were split into male and female categories, this relationship with BMD and BMI centile was only seen in female participants ($p < 0.01$).

Unadjusted correlations

All dietary factors, physical and sedentary activity and anthropometric measurements were then correlated with BMD, for the total group and according to each sex (Table 5). In the total group, no dietary factors were significantly correlated with BMD. Physical activity was not significantly correlated with BMD; however, body composition was significantly correlated with BMD at five years of age. Weight ($p < 0.01$), length ($p < 0.05$), BMI, weight centile and BMI centile (all $p < 0.01$), and all circumferences were positively correlated with BMD. Although triceps skinfold ($p < 0.01 = 0.000$), subscapular skinfold ($p < 0.01$), sum of skinfolds ($p < 0.01$) and triceps and subscapular skinfold ($p < 0.01$) were positively correlated with BMD in females, no skinfold or adiposity measurement was significantly associated with BMD in the total population.

Multiple regression analysis

In total, 12 separate multiple regression models were created to estimate BMD using measures of body composition, all of which are summarised in Table 6. Apart from abdominal circumference, lean body mass and fat mass, all body composition measurements were significant at $p < 0.05$ level, when all confounding factors were controlled.

Discussion

In this study, we aimed to investigate the associations of diet, physical activity and body composition with child BMD at 5 years of age. For dietary intakes, there was no evidence for

Table 2 Descriptive statistics for dietary intakes, physical activity and body composition

| | Total | | | Male | | | Female | | | <i>p</i> |
|--|----------|----------|--------|----------|----------|---------|----------|---------|--------|----------|
| | <i>n</i> | | | <i>n</i> | | | <i>n</i> | | | |
| Diet and 25OHD status | | | | | | | | | | |
| Energy (mean, SD, kcal) | 97 | 1,248.77 | 445.20 | 46 | 1,338.13 | 30.98 | 51 | 1168.18 | 418.98 | 0.276 |
| Protein (median, IQR, g) | 97 | 51.87 | 28.30 | 46 | 55.36 | 30.98 | 51 | 50.85 | 29.98 | 0.094 |
| Fat (mean, SD, g) | 97 | 41.76 | 15.88 | 46 | 44.01 | 14.61 | 51 | 39.73 | 16.84 | 0.186 |
| Carbohydrate (median, IQR, g) | 97 | 158.14 | 62.09 | 46 | 166.68 | 47.11 | 51 | 140.6 | 82.57 | 0.048* |
| Calcium (mean, SD, mg) | 97 | 824.67 | 356.38 | 46 | 866.33 | 346.32 | 51 | 787.07 | 364.52 | 0.276 |
| Vitamin D (median, IQR, µg) | 97 | 1.83 | 1.64 | 46 | 1.8 | 1.93 | 51 | 1.9 | 1.34 | 0.931 |
| Fibre (mean, SD, g) | 97 | 11.97 | 5.68 | 46 | 12.57 | 6.2 | 51 | 11.43 | 5.18 | 0.323 |
| Serum 25OHD (median, IQR, nmol/L) | 32 | 52.25 | 24.73 | 20 | 52.9 | 34.95 | 12 | 52.25 | 18.43 | 0.863 |
| Physical activity | | | | | | | | | | |
| Moderate PA (median, IQR, min/week) | 73 | 300 | 250 | 36 | 300 | 257.5 | 37 | 300 | 242 | 0.873 |
| Moderate PA (median, IQR, METs/week) | 73 | 1380 | 1136 | 36 | 1379 | 1228.25 | 37 | 1380 | 1121 | 0.762 |
| Vigorous PA (median, IQR, min/week) | 73 | 175 | 195 | 36 | 210 | 178.75 | 37 | 150 | 212.5 | 0.216 |
| Vigorous PA (median, IQR, METs ⁹ /week) | 73 | 2343 | 8778 | 36 | 3372.5 | 7611.5 | 37 | 1604 | 11,593 | 0.284 |
| Total PA (median, IQR, min/week) | 73 | 500 | 380 | 36 | 523.5 | 371.25 | 37 | 495 | 328 | 0.456 |
| Screen time (median, IQR, min/week) | 73 | 600 | 540 | 35 | 720 | 600 | 37 | 600 | 535 | 0.054 |
| Body composition | | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight (mean, SD, kg) | 102 | 19.99 | 2.41 | 47 | 20.2 | 2.05 | 55 | 19.82 | 2.7 | 0.436 |
| Length (mean, SD, cm) | 102 | 111.12 | 4.26 | 47 | 111.51 | 3.89 | 55 | 110.79 | 4.56 | 0.395 |
| BMI (mean, SD, kg/m ²) | 102 | 16.15 | 1.25 | 47 | 16.22 | 1.06 | 55 | 16.09 | 1.4 | 0.621 |
| Weight centile (median, IQR) | 102 | 61.5 | 45 | 47 | 66 | 40 | 55 | 56 | 46 | 0.314 |
| Length centile (median, IQR) | 102 | 60 | 53 | 47 | 60 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 57 | 0.689 |
| BMI centile (median, IQR) | 102 | 66.5 | 42 | 47 | 72 | 44 | 55 | 61 | 41 | 0.290 |
| Head circ. (mean, SD, cm) | 102 | 51.64 | 1.44 | 47 | 51.98 | 1.44 | 55 | 51.35 | 1.39 | 0.026* |
| Neck circ. (mean, SD, cm) | 102 | 25.29 | 1.36 | 47 | 25.81 | 1.29 | 55 | 24.85 | 1.26 | <0.010* |
| MUA circ. (mean, SD, cm) | 102 | 17.52 | 1.27 | 47 | 17.45 | 1.11 | 55 | 17.59 | 1.4 | 0.591 |
| Chest circ. (mean, SD, cm) | 101 | 56.22 | 2.63 | 47 | 56.92 | 2.04 | 54 | 55.61 | 2.93 | 0.012* |
| Abdomen circ. (mean, SD, cm) | 102 | 54.53 | 4.97 | 47 | 54.42 | 5.64 | 55 | 54.62 | 4.37 | 0.844 |
| Hip circ. (mean, SD, cm) | 102 | 59.6 | 4.08 | 47 | 59.72 | 3.59 | 55 | 59.49 | 4.48 | 0.772 |
| Thigh circ. (mean, SD, cm) | 102 | 32.54 | 3.05 | 47 | 31.85 | 2.87 | 55 | 33.13 | 3.1 | 0.034* |
| Triceps skinfold (mean, SD, cm) | 100 | 9.84 | 2.51 | 47 | 9.36 | 2.56 | 53 | 10.27 | 2.4 | 0.071 |
| Biceps skinfold (mean, SD, cm) | 101 | 5.36 | 1.56 | 47 | 5.21 | 1.54 | 54 | 5.50 | 1.57 | 0.344 |
| Subscapular skinfold (mean, SD, cm) | 99 | 5.94 | 1.93 | 47 | 5.6 | 1.22 | 52 | 6.26 | 2.36 | 0.089 |
| Thigh skinfold (mean, SD, cm) | 100 | 15.02 | 4.99 | 47 | 14.23 | 5.18 | 53 | 15.72 | 4.77 | 0.139 |
| General adiposity (mean, SD, cm) | 99 | 36.17 | 9.35 | 47 | 34.4 | 9.18 | 52 | 37.77 | 9.30 | 0.073 |
| Central adiposity (mean, SD, cm) | 99 | 15.79 | 3.96 | 47 | 14.96 | 3.56 | 52 | 16.54 | 4.18 | 0.047* |
| Subscap to triceps ratio (median, IQR,) | 99 | 0.6 | 0.17 | 47 | 0.61 | 0.13 | 52 | 0.59 | 0.20 | 0.473 |
| Lean body mass (mean, SD, kg) | 102 | 14.45 | 1.55 | 47 | 14.48 | 1.36 | 55 | 14.42 | 1.71 | 0.825 |
| Fat mass (mean, SD, kg) | 102 | 4.81 | 1.28 | 47 | 4.54 | 1.31 | 55 | 5.03 | 1.21 | 0.019* |
| Lean body mass percentage (mean, SD) | 102 | 72.5 | 4.6 | 47 | 73.82 | 5.1 | 55 | 71.37 | 3.76 | <0.010* |
| Fat mass percentage (mean, SD) | 102 | 23.81 | 4.35 | 47 | 22.83 | 4.69 | 55 | 24.65 | 3.88 | 0.022* |

SD, standard deviation; *IQR*, interquartile range; *PA*, physical activity; *METs*, metabolic equivalents; *BMI*, body mass index; *MUA*, mid-upper arm IQR reported as a single value (calculated as the 75th percentile–25th percentile)

**p* is significant at *p* < 0.05.

Table 3 Proportion of population meeting recommendations for diet, physical and sedentary behaviour and body composition

| | Total | | Male | | Female | |
|--|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| | <i>n</i> | | <i>n</i> | | <i>n</i> | |
| Diet and 25OHD status | | | | | | |
| > 800 mg calcium (<i>n (%)</i>) ¹ | 97 | 46 (47.4) | 46 | 23 (50) | 51 | 23 (45.1) |
| > 5 µg vitamin D (<i>n (%)</i>) ¹ | 97 | 6 (6.2) | 46 | 3 (6.5) | 51 | 3 (5.9) |
| > 50 nmol/L 25OHD concentration (<i>n (%)</i>) ² | 32 | 20 (62.5) | 20 | 11 (55) | 12 | 9 (75) |
| Physical and sedentary behaviour | | | | | | |
| > 420 min total PA/week (<i>n (%)</i>) ³ | 73 | 50 (68.5) | 36 | 26 (72.2) | 37 | 24 (64.9) |
| < 1 h screen time/day (<i>n (%)</i>) ⁴ | 72 | 19 (26.4) | 35 | 7 (20) | 37 | 12 (32.4) |
| Body composition | | | | | | |
| Normal (BMI < 85th centile) (<i>n (%)</i>) ⁵ | 102 | 82 (80.4) | 47 | 37 (78.7) | 52 | 45 (81.8) |
| Overweight (85th < BMI < 95th centile) (<i>n (%)</i>) ⁵ | 102 | 12 (11.8) | 47 | 7 (14.9) | 52 | 5 (9.1) |
| Obese (BMI > 95th centile) (<i>n (%)</i>) ⁵ | 102 | 8 (7.8) | 47 | 3 (6.4) | 52 | 5 (9.1) |

25OHD, serum vitamin D; PA, physical activity; BMI, body mass index

¹ Scientific guidelines for healthy eating in Ireland (2011)

² IOM (2011)

³ The national guidelines on physical activity for Ireland (2009)

⁴ Media use in school-aged children and adolescents: AAP (2016)

⁵ Centres for Disease Control and Protection, Defining childhood obesity (2016)

an association with BMD at 5 years of age. Similarly, no evidence was found for an association between parental-reported physical activity levels or sedentary behaviour on child BMD. However, we found strong evidence for an association between body composition at 5 years of age and child BMD, particularly with weight, child BMI and body circumferences.

According to a recent American study, the proportion of 4–8 years old children meeting the 800 mg/day recommendation of calcium is 80% in boys and 67% in girls [2]. Our findings suggest that Irish children are behind their American counterparts for calcium intake. However, after splitting the population into those who met and did not meet the recommended 800 mg (47% vs 53%), we found no significant difference in

Table 4 Meeting current recommendations and differences in bone mineral density in the study population

| | Total | | | Male | | | Female | | |
|--------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|----------|--------------------------|----------|----------|--------------------------|----------|
| | <i>n</i> | BMD (g/cm ²) | <i>p</i> | <i>n</i> | BMD (g/cm ²) | <i>p</i> | <i>n</i> | BMD (g/cm ²) | <i>p</i> |
| Diet | | | | | | | | | |
| > 800 mg calcium | 46 | 0.674 | 0.942 | 23 | 0.683 | 0.402 | 23 | 0.664 | 0.737 |
| < 800 mg calcium | 51 | 0.674 | | 23 | 0.693 | | 28 | 0.659 | |
| > 5 µg vitamin D | 6 | 0.631 | 0.014* | 3 | 0.672 | 0.443 | 3 | 0.589 | < 0.010* |
| < 5 µg vitamin D | 91 | 0.677 | | 43 | 0.689 | | 3 | 0.666 | |
| > 50 nmol/L 25OHD | 20 | 0.675 | 0.400 | 11 | 0.689 | 0.297 | 9 | 0.659 | 0.600 |
| < 50 nmol/L 25OHD | 12 | 0.690 | | 9 | 0.706 | | 3 | 0.641 | |
| Physical activity | | | | | | | | | |
| > 420 min PA/week | 50 | 0.678 | 0.795 | 26 | 0.693 | 0.557 | 24 | 0.661 | 0.746 |
| < 420 min PA/week | 23 | 0.675 | | 10 | 0.686 | | 13 | 0.667 | |
| < 1 h screen time | 19 | 0.663 | 0.173 | 7 | 0.694 | 0.842 | 12 | 0.645 | 0.186 |
| > 1 h screen time | 53 | 0.679 | | 28 | 0.691 | | 25 | 0.666 | |
| Body composition | | | | | | | | | |
| BMI < 85th centile | 81 | 0.668 | < 0.010* | 36 | 0.687 | 0.381 | 45 | 0.654 | < 0.010* |
| BMI > 85th centile | 21 | 0.705 | | 11 | 0.698 | | 10 | 0.712 | |

25OHD, serum vitamin D concentration; PA, physical activity; BMI, body mass index

**p* is significant at *p* < 0.05, performed using Mann-Whitney *U* tests

Table 5 Unadjusted correlations of factors with bone mineral density in 5 years old children

| | Total | | Male | | Female | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | <i>r</i> | <i>p</i> | <i>r</i> | <i>p</i> | <i>r</i> | <i>p</i> |
| Diet | | | | | | |
| Calcium (mg) | 0.055 | 0.595 | -0.163 | 0.281 | 0.144 | 0.314 |
| Vitamin D (µg) | -0.173 | 0.090 | -0.330 | 0.025* | -0.099 | 0.486 |
| Energy (kcal) | -0.084 | 0.413 | -0.357 | 0.015* | 0.003 | 0.985 |
| Protein (g) | 0.004 | 0.966 | -0.15 | 0.319 | 0.055 | 0.701 |
| Carbohydrate (g) | -0.014 | 0.170 | -0.424 | 0.003** | -0.067 | 0.638 |
| Fat (g) | 0.042 | 0.686 | -0.164 | 0.275 | 0.101 | 0.482 |
| Fibre (g) | -0.114 | 0.263 | -0.345 | 0.019* | <0.001 | 0.997 |
| Serum 25OHD (nmol/L) | 0.161 | 0.396 | -0.286 | 0.222 | <0.001 | 1.000 |
| Physical activity | | | | | | |
| Moderate PA (min/week) | 0.017 | 0.888 | 0.201 | 2.240 | -0.156 | .358 |
| Moderate PA (METs/week) | 0.001 | 0.991 | 0.210 | 0.219 | -0.186 | 0.269 |
| Vigorous PA (min/week) | -0.017 | 0.889 | -0.154 | 0.369 | -0.037 | -0.084 |
| Vigorous PA (METs/week) | 0.005 | 0.970 | 0.081 | 0.640 | -0.084 | 0.622 |
| Screen time (min/week) | 0.111 | 0.354 | 0.016 | 0.926 | 0.057 | 0.736 |
| Body composition | | | | | | |
| Weight (kg) | 0.409 | 0.000** | 0.03 | 0.842 | 0.591 | 0.000** |
| Length (cm) | 0.248 | 0.012* | -0.11 | 0.460 | 0.429 | 0.000** |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 0.385 | 0.000** | 0.151 | 0.310 | 0.505 | 0.000** |
| Weight centile | 0.365 | 0.000** | -0.035 | 0.816 | 0.579 | 0.000** |
| Length centile | 0.190 | 0.056 | -0.157 | 0.293 | 0.390 | 0.003** |
| BMI centile | 0.384 | 0.000** | 0.128 | 0.391 | 0.499 | 0.000** |
| Head circ. (cm) | 0.392 | 0.000** | 0.306 | 0.037* | 0.393 | 0.003** |
| Neck circ. (cm) | 0.437 | 0.000** | 0.215 | 0.146 | 0.495 | 0.000** |
| MUA circ. (cm) | 0.347 | 0.000** | 0.039 | 0.792 | 0.552 | 0.000** |
| Chest circ. (cm) | 0.294 | 0.003** | -0.040 | 0.791 | 0.373 | 0.005** |
| Abdomen circ. (cm) | 0.199 | 0.044* | 0.055 | 0.711 | 0.360 | 0.007** |
| Hip circ. (cm) | 0.248 | 0.012* | -0.077 | 0.607 | 0.423 | 0.001** |
| Thigh circ. (cm) | 0.195 | 0.050* | -0.047 | 0.753 | 0.462 | 0.000** |
| Triceps skinfold (cm) | 0.174 | 0.083 | -0.084 | 0.575 | 0.477 | 0.000** |
| Biceps skinfold (cm) | 0.058 | 0.562 | -0.142 | 0.341 | 0.238 | 0.083 |
| Subscapular skinfold (cm) | 0.148 | 0.145 | 0.019 | 0.897 | 0.28 | 0.044* |
| Thigh skinfold (cm) | 0.082 | 0.419 | 0.079 | 0.597 | 0.163 | 0.245 |
| Sum of skinfolds (cm) | 0.126 | 0.213 | 0.000 | 1.000 | .0320 | 0.021* |
| Subscapular and triceps skinfold (cm) | 0.184 | 0.069 | -0.054 | 0.720 | 0.443 | 0.001** |
| Subscap to triceps ratio | 0.004 | 0.965 | 0.088 | 0.555 | -0.088 | 0.534 |
| Lean body mass (kg) | -0.075 | 0.453 | 0.035 | 0.818 | -0.145 | 0.290 |
| Fat mass (kg) | -0.16 | 0.108 | 0.095 | 0.524 | -0.266 | 0.050* |
| Lean body mass percentage | 0.156 | 0.117 | -0.098 | 0.511 | -0.266 | 0.050* |
| Fat mass percentage | -0.113 | 0.260 | 0.116 | 0.439 | -0.2 | 0.143 |

PA, physical activity; METs, metabolic equivalents; BMI; body mass index; circ.: circumference; MUA, mid-upper arm

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)

BMD between these two groups. Other studies have, however, reported an association between calcium supplementation and BMD [23, 25]. Similar results were seen in relation to vitamin

D intake. The frequency of adequate dietary intake of vitamin D was much lower than calcium intake, with only 6% of the study cohort meeting recommendations of a daily intake of

Table 6 Multiple regression analysis models for bone mineral density in 5 years old children

| | <i>b</i> | <i>p</i> | 95% CI Lower | 95% CI Upper | Adjusted <i>r</i> ² | <i>F</i> | <i>p</i> |
|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Model 1 | | | | | | | |
| BMI (kg/m ²) ^a | 0.013 | < 0.001* | 0.007 | 0.019 | 0.292 | 7.183 | < 0.001 |
| Model 2 | | | | | | | |
| Weight (kg) ^a | 0.007 | < 0.001* | 0.004 | 0.01 | 0.294 | 7.24 | < 0.001 |
| Model 3 | | | | | | | |
| Length (cm) ^a | 0.002 | 0.042* | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.18 | 4.292 | 0.001 |
| Model 4 | | | | | | | |
| Head circ. (cm) ^a | 0.01 | 0.004* | 0.004 | 0.015 | 0.247 | 5.928 | < 0.001 |
| Model 5 | | | | | | | |
| Neck circ. (cm) ^a | 0.012 | < 0.001* | 0.005 | 0.018 | 0.259 | 6.25 | < 0.001 |
| Model 6 | | | | | | | |
| MUA circ. (cm) ^a | 0.012 | < 0.001* | 0.006 | 0.019 | 0.267 | 6.475 | < 0.001 |
| Model 7 | | | | | | | |
| Chest circ. (cm) ^a | 0.004 | 0.023* | 0.001 | 0.007 | 0.19 | 4.489 | 0.001 |
| Model 8 | | | | | | | |
| Thigh circ. (cm) ^a | 0.005 | 0.002* | 0.002 | 0.007 | 0.231 | 5.499 | < 0.001 |
| Model 9 | | | | | | | |
| Hip circ. (cm) ^a | 0.003 | 0.011* | 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.202 | 4.808 | < 0.001 |
| Model 10 | | | | | | | |
| Abdominal circ. (cm) ^a | 0.001 | 0.144 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.16 | 3.862 | 0.002 |
| Model 11 | | | | | | | |
| Lean body mass (kg) ^a | − 0.002 | 0.391 | − 0.008 | 0.003 | 0.146 | 3.566 | 0.003 |
| Model 12 | | | | | | | |
| Fat mass (kg) ^a | − 0.003 | 0.332 | − 0.01 | 0.004 | 0.148 | 3.61 | 0.003 |

BMI; body mass index; *circ.*: circumference; *MUA*; mid-upper arm

^a Model adjusted for child sex, maternal BMD, maternal education level, membership of intervention/control group, breastfeeding status

*Regression is significant at $p < 0.05$

5 µg. This is not uncommon in young children; European reports estimate that up to 40% of children have inadequate D status [6]. Although vitamin D supplementation has shown no effect on BMD in children with adequate vitamin D intakes [41, 42], an on-going study in vitamin D-deficient children should provide an interesting outlook on vitamin D's effect on child bone health [43]. Taking this into account, further research into habitual dietary calcium and vitamin D intakes and bone health in young children is required.

While we found no association between physical activity levels and child BMD, intervention studies which implemented an exercise activity or studies which compared children who engage in a competitive sport with untrained controls showed significant associations between physical activity and higher BMD [37, 44]. As our population had a high level of physical activity, this may make it difficult to identify associations. Other research indicates how important physical activity can be when looking to optimise bone accrual and the importance of regular exercise during childhood cannot be dismissed. We also found that parental-reported sedentary

behaviour had no association with BMD, which is comparable with current research. Recent studies suggested that only objectively measured sedentary time, using methods like accelerometers, was negatively associated with lower extremity bone outcomes in schoolchildren and that subjectively measured sedentary time (use of questionnaires) had no association with bone outcomes [20]. Although our results are in line with current research, it's important to note that this relates to screen time as a measure of sedentary behaviour. Other characteristics of sedentary behaviour such as being in a sitting, reclining or lying posture may also have a significant effect on BMD. Even though sedentary behaviour was not strongly associated with a decrease in bone health, and our findings suggest no association between physical activity and BMD, interventions in other studies have shown how influential physical activity can be to bone health [26, 27]. Therefore, replacing sedentary activities with increased physical activity during childhood and adolescence could prove to have a prolific effect on bone health and aid the optimisation of bone accrual amidst this crucial growth phase.

We found that child body composition at 5 years of age was significantly associated with BMD. Eighty percent of our cohort was classified as having a healthy BMI. These findings are parallel with recent Irish figures, which estimate that 1 in 4 children is overweight or has obesity in Ireland [33], and US figures, stating that 16% of 2–5-year-old children are overweight or have obesity [15]. Results from our study support the theory that increased body mass leads to increased bone strength, since weight, BMI, weight centile and BMI centile were all significantly positively correlated with BMD (all $p < 0.001$) and remained statistically significant when all confounders were controlled. Although overall weight and circumferences had a significant association with child BMD, neither lean body mass nor fat mass was associated. Current research investigating the impact of fat mass on BMD is conflicting, while lean body mass has been shown to have a positive relationship with bone health [19, 39]. Previous studies have concluded that adipose tissue was not beneficial to bone structure [18, 34], while another study suggested that fat mass is on the causal pathway, having a positive relationship with bone mass in children [38].

This study had many strengths in that we used DXA to measure the children's bone density as this is the gold standard of measuring bone density. We also used validated questionnaires to measure physical activity and screen time levels [17]. Another major strength of this study was the intentional recruitment of children within a very narrow age range (4.83–5.5 years old) which allowed us to investigate bone health at this time point without too much variation. One potential limitation is the sample size of 102 participants in this study. While it would have been interesting to investigate the impact of ethnicity on bone health, 99% of participants in this analysis were Caucasian, and further research is required in other ethnic groups. Another limitation is the use of a food frequency questionnaire to estimate micronutrient status, because a more detailed measure, such as a food diary, would be more appropriate and this should be considered for future research investigating associations with vitamin D and calcium. A final potential limitation to this study is that the information on the season that the blood samples were drawn was not noted and therefore any association made between 25OHD levels and BMD may be compromised.

Future research should be carried out in children of similar age, as the current volume of research on modifiable factors impacting bone health at this age is quite limited. Although there are a multitude of such studies conducted in preadolescents and older children, there is a dearth in studies in children in early childhood which is a vital time of growth and development.

We found that increased body size was associated with higher BMD. As this relationship was identified predominantly in the female cohort and was weaker when analysing males only, further research is warranted in this area in order to identify the potential differential effect of sex on BMD during childhood. We identified no association between self-reported lifestyle and dietary factors and bone health in early childhood

as measured by BMD analysis using DXA. This suggests that in early childhood body weight may be an important indicator of child bone health. Thus, child body size could potentially be identified as a modifiable factor in interventions aiming to improve bone health, and monitoring body size throughout childhood may also be beneficial in terms of bone health. This is important for bone health in later life as optimising BMD during childhood can reduce the risk of fractures in adolescence and adult life. Identifying modifiable factors that can improve bone health at a young age is of utmost importance. Further research is required in this area to elucidate the exact mechanisms whereby body weight can influence bone health and to identify methods of ensuring children reach peak bone mass for optimal bone health later in life.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank parents and children who participated in the research. Recruitment of the ROLO Kids study participants and data collection was carried out by Dr. Aisling Geraghty and Dr. Eileen O'Brien. Laboratory analysis was carried out by St. Vincent's University Hospital.

Funding information This study was supported by the Health Research Board Ireland, the Health Research Centre for Health and Diet Research, and the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013), project Early Nutrition under grant agreement no. 289346. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval The ROLO and ROLO Kids studies were carried out in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975 as revised in 1983 with institutional ethical approval from the National Maternity Hospital in November 2006 for the original ROLO study and for the ROLO Kids 6 month and 2 year follow-up in May 2009. The Current Controlled Trials registration number for the ROLO study was ISRCTN54392969. The ROLO Kids 5-year follow-up and DXA scanning AddOn were approved by the Ethics (Medical Research) Committee in Our Lady's Children's Hospital, Dublin, REC reference: GEN/279/12.

Informed consent Informed written maternal consent was obtained during pregnancy and at each subsequent follow-up.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

1. Bachrach LK (2001) Acquisition of optimal bone mass in childhood and adolescence. *Trends Endocrinol Metab* 12:22–28. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1043-2760\(00\)00336-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1043-2760(00)00336-2)

2. Bailey RL, Dodd KW, Goldman JA, Gahche JJ, Dwyer JT, Moshfegh AJ, Semplos CT, Picciano MF (2010) Estimation of total usual calcium and vitamin D intakes in the United States. *J Nutr* 140:817–822. <https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.109.118539>
3. Balk EM, Adam GP, Langberg VN, Earley A, Clark P, Ebeling PR, Mithal A, Rizzoli R, Zerbinì CAF, Pierroz DD, Dawson-Hughes B (2017) Global dietary calcium intake among adults: a systematic review. *Osteoporos Int*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-017-4230-x>
4. Barlow SE, the Expert Committee (2007) Expert Committee recommendations regarding the prevention, assessment, and treatment of child and adolescent overweight and obesity: summary report. *Pediatrics* 120(4):S 164–S 192. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2007-2329C>
5. Bradney M, Pearce G, Naughton G, Sullivan C, Bass S, Beck T, Carlson J, Seeman E (1998) Moderate exercise during growth in prepubertal boys: changes in bone mass, size, volumetric density, and bone strength: a controlled prospective study. *J Bone Miner Res* 13:1814–1821. <https://doi.org/10.1359/jbmr.1998.13.12.1814>
6. Cashman KD, Dowling KG, Škrabáková Z, Gonzalez-Gross M, Valtueña J, De Henauw S, Moreno L, Damsgaard CT, Michaelsen KF, Mølgaard C, Jorde R, Grimnes G, Moschonis G, Mavrogianni C, Manios Y, Thamm M, Mensink GBM, Rabenberg M, Busch MA, Cox L, Meadows S, Goldberg G, Prentice A, Dekker JM, Nijpels G, Pilz S, Swart KM, Van Schoor NM, Lips P, Eiriksdottir G, Gudnason V, Cotch MF, Koskinen S, Lambert-Allardt C, Durazo-Arvizu RA, Semplos CT, Kiely M (2016) Vitamin D deficiency in Europe: pandemic? *Am J Clin Nutr* 103:1033–1044. <https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.115.120873>
7. Clark EM, Ness AR, Bishop NJ, Tobias JH (2006) Association between bone mass and fractures in children: a prospective cohort study. *J Bone Miner Res* 21:1489–1495. <https://doi.org/10.1359/jbmr.060601>
8. Clark EM, Ness AR, Tobias JH (2006) Adipose tissue stimulates bone growth in prepubertal children. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. <https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2006-0332>
9. Council on Communications and Media (2016) Media use in school-aged children and adolescents. *Pediatrics* 138:e20162592. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2016-2592>
10. Deng FY, Lei SF, Li MX, Jiang C, Dvornyk V, Deng HW (2006) Genetic determination and correlation of body mass index and bone mineral density at the spine and hip in Chinese Han ethnicity. *Osteoporos Int* 17:119–124. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-005-1930-4>
11. Department of Health and Children (2009) Health Service Executive, The national guidelines on physical activity for Ireland. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jappphysiol.00137.2005>
12. Dohc, Growing up in Ireland (2009) 58–59. http://www.growingup.ie/fileadmin/user_upload/documents/1st_Report/Barcode_Growing_Up_in_Ireland_-_The_Lives_of_9-Year-Olds_Main_Report.pdf
13. Food Safety Authority of Ireland (2011) Scientific recommendations for healthy eating guidelines in Ireland
14. Geraghty AA, Alberdi G, O'Sullivan EJ, O'Brien EC, Crosbie B, Twomey PJ, McAuliffe FM (2016) Maternal blood lipid profile during pregnancy and associations with child adiposity: findings from the ROLO study. *PLoS One* 11. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0161206>
15. Hales CM, Fryar CD, Carroll MD, Freedman DS, Ogden CL (2018) Trends in obesity and severe obesity prevalence in US youth and adults by sex and age, 2007–2008 to 2015–2016. *JAMA*. 4–6. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2018.3060>
16. Hind K, Burrows M (2007) Weight-bearing exercise and bone mineral accrual in children and adolescents: a review of controlled trials. *Bone*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2006.07.006>
17. Huang YJ, Wong SHS, Salmon J (2009) Reliability and validity of the modified Chinese version of the Children's Leisure Activities Study Survey (CLASS) questionnaire in assessing physical activity among Hong Kong children. *Pediatr Exerc Sci* 21:339–353. <https://doi.org/10.1123/pes.21.3.339>
18. Janicka A, Wren TAL, Sanchez MM, Dorey F, Kim PS, Mittelman SD, Gilsanz V (2007) Fat mass is not beneficial to bone in adolescents and young adults. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. <https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2006-0794>
19. Kerr DA, Papalia S, Morton A, Dick I, Dhaliwal S, Prince RL (2007) Bone mass in young women is dependent on lean body mass. *J Clin Densitom* 10:319–326. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocd.2007.05.001>
20. Koedijk JB, van Rijswijk J, Oranje WA, van den Bergh JP, Bours SP, Savelberg HH, Schaper NC (2017) Sedentary behaviour and bone health in children, adolescents and young adults: a systematic review. *Osteoporos Int* 28:2507–2519. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-017-4076-2>
21. Laine CM, Laine T (2013) Diagnosis of osteoporosis in children and adolescents. *Eur Endocrinol* 9:141–144
22. Lazcano-Ponce E, Tamayo J, Díaz R, Burguete AI, Salmerón J (2009) Correlation trends for bone mineral density in Mexican women: evidence of familial predisposition. *Salud Publica Mex*. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0036-36342009000700012>
23. Lee JCWT, Leung SS, Wang SH, Zeng WP, Lau J, Oppenheimer SJ (1994) Double-blind, controlled calcium supplementation and bone mineral accretion in children accustomed to a low-calcium diet. *Am J Clin Nutr* 60:1994
24. Leonard MB, Shults J, Wilson BA, Tershakovec AM, Zemel BS (2004) Obesity during childhood and adolescence augments bone mass and bone dimensions. *Am J Clin Nutr* 80:514–523 <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-4143073991&partnerID=40&md5=12e67ea74f3efe9a354295b52261dad6>
25. Ma XM, Huang ZW, Yang XG, Su YX (2014) Calcium supplementation and bone mineral accretion in Chinese adolescents aged 12–14 years: a 12-month, dose-response, randomised intervention trial. *Br J Nutr* 112:1510–1520. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114514002384>
26. Mackelvie KJ, McKay HA, Petit MA, Moran O, Khan KM (2002) Bone mineral response to a 7-month randomized controlled, school-based jumping intervention in 121 prepubertal boys: associations with ethnicity and body mass index. *J Bone Miner Res* 17:834–844. <https://doi.org/10.1359/jbmr.2002.17.5.834>
27. MacKelvie KJ, Khan KM, Petit MA, Janssen PA, McKay HA (2003) A school-based exercise intervention elicits substantial bone health benefits: a 2-year randomized controlled trial in girls. *Pediatrics*. 112:e447–e452. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.112.6.e447>
28. Mazess RB, Barden HS, Bisek JP, Hanson J (1990) Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry for total-body and regional bone-mineral and soft-tissue composition. *Am J Clin Nutr* 51:1106–1112
29. McVey MK, Geraghty AA, O'Brien EC, Kilbane MT, Crowley RK, Twomey PJ, McKenna MJ, McAuliffe FM (2019) An exploratory analysis of associations of diet, sun exposure, and body composition with 25OHD at five years of age: findings from the ROLO Kids Study. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2018.12.014>
30. Murphy S, Khaw K-T, May H, Compston JE (1994) PAPERS Milk consumption and bone mineral density in middle aged and elderly women. *BMJ*. 308:939–941
31. Nickols-Richardson SM, O'Connor PJ, Shapses SA, Lewis RD (1999) Longitudinal bone mineral density changes in female child artistic gymnasts. *J Bone Miner Res*. <https://doi.org/10.1359/jbmr.1999.14.6.994>
32. Nickols-Richardson SM, Modlesky CM, O'connor PJ, Lewis RD (2000) Premenarcheal gymnasts possess higher bone mineral density than controls. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 32:63–69

33. Perry I, Millar S, Balanda K, Dee A, Bergin D, Carter L, Doherty E, Fahy L, Hamilton D, Jaccard A, Knuchel-Takano A, McCarthy L, McCune A, O' Malley G, Pimpin L, Queally M, Webbe L (2017) What are the estimated costs of childhood overweight and obesity on the island of Ireland?
34. Pollock NK, Laing EM, Baile CA, Hamrick MW, Hall DB, Lewis RD (2007) Is adiposity advantageous for bone strength? A peripheral quantitative computed tomography study in late adolescent females 1–3. *Am J Clin Nutr* 86:1530–1538
35. Public Health England (2015) Composition of foods integrated dataset (CoFID)-GOV.UK
36. Runyan SM, Stadler DD, Bainbridge CN, Miller SC, Moyer-Mileur LJ (2003) Familial resemblance of bone mineralization, calcium intake, and physical activity in early-adolescent daughters, their mothers, and maternal grandmothers. *J Am Diet Assoc*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-8223\(03\)01075-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-8223(03)01075-7)
37. Specker B, Thiex NW, Sudhagani RG (2015) Does exercise influence pediatric bone? A systematic review. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11999-015-4467-7>
38. Timpson NJ, Sayers A, Davey-Smith G, Tobias JH (2009) How does body fat influence bone mass in childhood? A mendelian randomization approach. *J Bone Miner Res* 24:522–533. <https://doi.org/10.1359/jbmr.081109>
39. Travison TG, Araujo AB, Esche GR, Beck TJ, McKinlay JB (2007) Lean mass and not fat mass is associated with male proximal femur strength. *J Bone Miner Res* 23:189–198. <https://doi.org/10.1359/jbmr.071016>
40. Walsh JM, McGowan CA, Mahony R, Foley ME, McAuliffe FM (2012) Low glycaemic index diet in pregnancy to prevent macrosomia (ROLO study): randomised control trial. *BMJ*. 345: e5605–e5605. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.e5605>
41. Winzenberg TM, Powell S, Shaw KA, Jones G (2010) Vitamin D supplementation for improving bone mineral density in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD006944.pub2>
42. Winzenberg T, Powell S, Shaw KA, Jones G (2011) Effects of vitamin D supplementation on bone density in healthy children: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 342:c7254. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c7254>
43. Winzenberg T, Lamberg-Allardt C, El-Hajj Fuleihan G, Mølgaard C, Zhu K, Wu F, Riley RD (2018) Does vitamin D supplementation improve bone density in vitamin D-deficient children? Protocol for an individual patient data meta-analysis. *BMJ Open* 8:e019584. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019584>
44. Zanker CL, Gannon L, Cooke CB, Gee KL, Oldroyd B, Truscott JG (2003) Differences in bone density, body composition, physical activity, and diet between child gymnasts and untrained children 7–8 years of age. *J Bone Miner Res* 18:1043–1050. <https://doi.org/10.1359/jbmr.2003.18.6.1043>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.