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#### Abstract

A new class of $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ piecewise-cubic interpolatory polynomials is defined, by generalizing the definition of cubic X -splines given recently by Clenshaw and Negus (1978). It is shown that this new class contains a number of interpolatory functions which present practical advantages, when compared with the conventional cubic spline.


This paper is concerned with the problem of piecewise-cubic polynomial interpolation where, unlike the cubic spline, the interpolatory function does not necessarily possess a continuous second derivative. More specifically, given the points

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{x}_{0}<\mathrm{x}_{1}<\ldots<\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{b} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding set of values $y_{i}=y\left(x_{i}\right) ; i=0,1, \ldots, k$, we consider the problem of constructing a piecewise-cubic polynomial $\mathrm{s} \varepsilon \mathrm{C}^{1}[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$, with knots $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{i}=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{k}$, such that

$$
\mathrm{s}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{i}=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{k} .
$$

One class of such interpolatory functions is the class of X-splines considered recently by Clenshaw and Negus (1978), who show that There are certain practical advantages in allowing discontinuities in $S^{(2)}$ In particular, they derive an X-spline which reduces considerably the computational effort involved in constructing the interpolatory function, whilst retaining the same order of convergence as the conventional cubic spline.

In the present paper we show that the conditions used by Clenshaw and Negus (1978) for defining an X-spline are unnecessarily restrictive and we extend their definition to a much wider class of piecewise-cubic polynomials. We show that any X-spline s of this new class leads to $O\left(h^{4}\right)$ convergence uniformly on $[a, b]$, that the magnitude of the jump discontinuities of $\mathrm{s}^{(2)}$ and $\mathrm{s}^{(3)}$ at the interior knots are respectively $0\left(\mathrm{~h}^{2}\right)$ and $0(\mathrm{~h})$ and that the class contains several interpolatory functions which are better, in terms of accuracy, smoothness and ease of computation, than those considered by Clenshaw and Negus (1978).

## 2. Interpolatory Piecewise-Cubic Polynomials

Given the set of values $y_{i}=y\left(x_{i}\right), x=0,1, \ldots, k$, where $x_{i}$ are the points (1.1), let H be the piecewise - cubic Hermite polynomial which is such that

$$
\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{xi}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}} \text { and } \mathrm{H}_{(\mathrm{xi})}^{(1)}=\mathrm{y}^{(1)}{ }_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{i}=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{k} .
$$

Then, if $y \varepsilon \mathrm{C}^{4}[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$, the following optimal error bound holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{y}\| \leq \frac{\mathrm{h}^{4}}{384}\|\mathrm{y}(4)\| \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (2.1), $\|\bullet\|$ denotes the uniform norm on $[a, b]$ and $h=\max _{1 \leq i \leq k} h_{i}$, Where

$$
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}-1} ; \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{k}
$$

see e.g. Birkhoff and Priver (1967).
Definition 1. Let s be the piecewise-cubic polynomial obtained from $H$ by replacing the derivatives $y_{i}{ }^{(1)} . ; i=0,1, \ldots, k$, respectively by suitable approximations $m_{i} ; i=0,1, \ldots, k$. Then, $s$ will be called a piecewise-cubic polynomial (P.CF.) with derivatives $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{i}=0,1$, , k

It follows at once from the definition that

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\mathrm{s}\left[\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}-1}, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}-1}, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right]\left(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)^{2}\left(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right), \\
& \mathrm{x} \varepsilon\left[\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}-1}{ }^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{i} \mid{ }^{;} \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{k},\right. \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $s\left(x_{i}\right)=y_{i}, s^{(1)}{ }_{(x i)}=m_{i}$ and, with the usual notation for divided differences,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\quad s\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]=\left\{\left(y_{i}-y_{i-1}\right) / h_{i}-m_{i-1}\right\} / h_{i} \\
\text { and } \quad S\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i-1}, x_{i}, x_{i}\right]=\left\{m_{i-1}-2\left(y_{i}-y_{i-1}\right) / h_{i}+m_{i}\right\} / h_{i}^{2 ;} \\
 \tag{2.3}\\
\quad i=1,2, \ldots, k .
\end{array}
$$

The following theorem can be established easily by using (2.1),

Theorem 1 . Let s be a P.C.P. with derivatives $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{i}=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{k}$.
If $y \varepsilon C^{4}[a, b]$ then, for $x c\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right] ; i=1,2, \ldots, k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|s(x)-y(x)| \leq \frac{h}{4} \max \left\{\left|m_{i-1}-y_{i-1}^{(1)}\right|,\left|m_{i}-y_{i}^{(1)}\right|\right\}+\frac{h^{4}}{384}\left\|y^{(4)}\right\| \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The theorem shows that the best order of approximation that can be achieved by an interpolatory P.C.P.s is

$$
\|s-y\|=0\left(h^{4}\right)
$$

and that this order is obtained only if the derivatives $m_{i}$ are such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{mi}^{i-} y_{i}^{(1)}=o\left(h^{n}\right) ; i=0,1, \ldots, k, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathrm{n} \geq 3$.

Clearly a P.C.P.s is continuous and possesses a continuous first derivative. In general however $\mathrm{s}^{(2)}$ has a jump disontinuity at each interior knot- Using (2.2) and (2.3) it can be shown easily that the jump discontinuities of $\mathrm{s}^{(2)}$ and $\mathrm{s}^{(3)}$ at the interior knots are respectively,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}^{(2)}= & \mathrm{s}^{(2)}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}+\right)-\mathrm{s}^{(2)}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\right) \\
& =\frac{2}{h_{i} \beta_{i}}\left\{-\beta_{i} m_{i-1}-2 m_{i}-\gamma_{i} m_{i+1}+3 \beta_{i} Y_{i}+3 \gamma_{i} Y_{i+1}\right\} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{k}-1, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{d}^{(3)} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{s}^{(3)}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}+\right)-\mathrm{s}^{(3)}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\right) \\
& \frac{6}{\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} \beta_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}}\left\{-\beta_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{i}-1}+\left(1-2 \beta_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}+\gamma_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{i}+1}+2 \beta_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}-2 \gamma_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}+1}\right\} ; \\
& \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{k}-1, \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}+1} /\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}+1}\right), \gamma=1-\beta_{\mathrm{i}} \text { and } \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}-\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right) / \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2. Let s be a P.C.P. with derivatives $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{i}=0,1, . ., \mathrm{k}$, and denote the jump discontinuities of $\mathrm{s}^{(2)}$ and $\mathrm{s}^{(3)}$, at an interior knot $x_{i}$, respectively by $d^{(2)}{ }_{I}$ and $d^{(3)}{ }_{i}$. If y e $C^{5}[a, b]$ then, for some $\xi_{\mathrm{i}} \varepsilon\left[\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right]$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
d_{i}^{(2)}=\frac{2}{h_{i} \beta_{i}}\left\{-\beta_{i}\left(m_{i-1}-y_{i-1}^{(1)}-2\left(m_{i}-y_{i}^{(1)}-\gamma_{i}\left(m_{i+1}-y_{i+1}^{(1)}\right\}\right.\right.\right. \\
+\frac{1}{12}\left(h_{i}^{2}-h_{i+1}^{2}\right) y_{i}^{(4)}-\frac{1}{30}\left(h_{i}^{3}+h_{i+1}^{3}\right) y^{(5)}\left(\xi_{i}\right) ; \\
i=1,2, . ., k-1 \tag{2.9}
\end{array}
$$

and, for some. $\eta_{i} \in\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}\right]$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
d_{i}^{(3)}=\frac{6}{h_{i} \beta_{i}}\left\{-\beta_{i}\left(m_{i-1}-y_{i}(1)\right.\right. & +1-2 \beta_{i}\left(m_{i}-y_{i}^{(1)}+\gamma_{i}^{2}\left(m_{i+1}-y_{i}(1)\right.\right.
\end{array}\right\}, \begin{aligned}
& 1 / 2\left(h_{i}+h_{i+1}\right) y{ }^{(4)}\left(\eta_{i}\right) ; \\
& i=1,2, \ldots, k-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Equation(2.9)follows from (2.6), by using the result

$$
\begin{array}{r}
3 \beta_{i y} Y_{i}+3 \gamma_{i} Y_{i+1}-\beta_{i} y_{i-1}^{(1)}-2 y_{i}^{(1)}-\gamma_{i} y_{i+1}^{(1)} \\
=\frac{1}{24} h_{i} h_{i+1}\left(h_{i}-h_{i+1}\right) y_{i}^{(4)}-\frac{1}{60} h_{i} h_{i+1}\left(h_{i}^{2}+h_{i+1}^{2}-h_{i} h_{i+1}\right) y^{(5)}\left(\xi_{i}\right) ; \\
 \tag{2.11}\\
i=1,2, \ldots, k-1
\end{array}
$$

This result is due to Kershaw (1972: 193) and is established by using Peano's method for finding remainders.

Similarly, equaiton (2.10) follows from (2.7), by using the result

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \beta_{i}^{2} Y_{i}-2 \gamma_{i}^{2} Y_{i+1}-\beta_{i}^{2} y_{i-1}^{(1)}+\left(1-2 \beta_{i}\right) y_{i}^{(1)}+\gamma_{i}^{2} y_{i=1}^{(1)} & \left.=\frac{1}{12} h_{i}^{2} h_{i+1}\right) y^{(4)}\left(\eta_{i}\right) ; \\
i & =1,2, \ldots, k-1,
\end{aligned}
$$

which is also established by the use of Peano's method.
Using (2.9) and (2.10)we obtain at once the following bounds
on the magnitudes of $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(2)}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(3)}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|d_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \frac{6\left(h_{i}+h_{i+1}\right)}{h_{i} h_{i+1}} m_{i}{\underset{i x}{ }}\left|m_{i}-y_{i}^{(1)}\right|+\frac{1}{12}\left|h_{i}^{2}-h_{i+1}^{2}\right|\left\|y^{(4)}\right\| \\
&+\frac{1}{30}\left(h_{1}^{3}+h_{i+1}^{3}\left\|y^{(5)}\right\|\right. \\
& i=1,2, \ldots \ldots, k-1 \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

$\begin{aligned}\left|d_{i}^{(3)}\right| \leq 12 \max \left\{\frac{1}{h_{i}^{2}}, \frac{1}{h_{i+1}^{2}}\right\} & \max _{i}\left|m_{i}-y_{i}^{(1)}\right| \\ & +\frac{1}{2}\left(h_{i}+h_{i+1}\right)\left\|y^{(4)}\right\| ;\end{aligned}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{k}-1 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2 shows that the magnitudes of $\mathrm{d}^{(2)}{ }_{i}$ and $\mathrm{d}^{(3)}{ }_{i}$, like the order of convergence of $s$, depend only on the quality of the approximations $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}$. More specifically if the conditions (2.5) which lead to $0\left(h^{4}\right)$ convergence uniformly on $[a, b]$ hold then, in general,

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(2)}=0\left(\mathrm{~h}^{2}\right) \text { and } \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(3)}=0(\mathrm{~h}) .
$$

Furthermore, if the knots are equally spaced with $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{h}$ and in (2.5) $\mathrm{n} \geq 4$ then $\mathrm{d}^{(2)} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}=0\left(\mathrm{~h}^{3}\right)$.

Clenshaw and Negus (1978) determine the derivatives $m_{i}$ of a P.C.P. s by imposing the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i}^{(2)}=\frac{c_{i} h_{i+1}}{3} d_{i}^{(3)} ; \quad i=1,2, \ldots, K-1, \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the c . are given numbers. These conditions lead to the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i} m_{i-1}+B_{i} m_{i}+C_{i} m_{i+1}=D_{i} Y_{i}+E_{i} Y_{i+1} ; \quad i=1,2, \ldots, k-1, \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
A_{i}=\beta_{i}\left(1-\beta_{i}-c_{i} \beta_{i}\right), B_{i}=\left\{2+c_{i}-2 \beta_{i}\left(1+c_{i}\right), C_{i}=\gamma_{i}^{2}\left(1+c_{i}\right)\right.  \tag{2.16}\\
D_{i}=\beta_{i}\left(3-3 \beta_{i}-2 \beta_{i} c_{i}\right), E_{i}=\gamma_{i}^{2}\left(3+2 c_{i}\right),
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and $\beta_{i}, \gamma_{i}, Y_{i}$ are given by (2.8). The equations (2.15) together with the two end conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{mo}=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{o}}^{(1)} \text { and } \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{k}}^{(1)}, \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

are then used to determine the derivatives m . of a P.C.P. s which Clenshaw and Negus call an " $\mathrm{X}-$ spline with parameters $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ". A sufficient condition for the unique existence of an X -spline s is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq 1+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}} \leq \beta_{\mathrm{i}}^{-1} ; \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \quad \mathrm{k}-1 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (2.18) holds then, it can be shown that,

$$
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(1)}=0\left(\mathrm{~h}^{3}\right) .
$$

The X-splines of particular interest are those which correspond respectively to the following three choices of the parameters $c_{i}$ :

$$
\text { i) } \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}=0 ; \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{k}-1 \text {. }
$$

This is the only choice of the $c_{i}$ for which an $x$-spline $s$ is twice continuously differentiable in $[a, b]$. Thus, in this case, $s$ coincides with the conventional cubic spline and the equations (2.15) become the well-known consistency relations for cubic spline interpolation

$$
\text { ii) } \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}-\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}+1\right) / 2 \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{i}+1} ; \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots ., \mathrm{k}-1
$$

This is the only choice of the $c$. for which the derivatives $m_{i}$ of the x -spline s are such that

$$
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(1)}=0\left(\mathrm{~h}^{4}\right) ; \quad \mathrm{i}=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{k} .
$$

For this reason s is called by Clenshaw and Negus the "optimal interpolating X -spline". Clearly, when the knots are all equally spaced the optimal X-spline coincides with the conventional cubic spline.

$$
\text { (iii) } \underline{c}_{i}=-1, \mathrm{i}-1,2, \ldots \mathrm{k}-1 \text {. }
$$

In this case the equations (2.15) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{i}-1}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}=\beta_{\mathrm{i}}\left(3-\beta_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}+\gamma_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}+1} ; \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{k}-1 . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the derivatives of the X -spline s are determined by solving a lower triangular linear system and, for this reason, $s$ is called the "x-spline which minimizes computational labour".

Clenshaw and Negus (1978: chap.2.) claim that the conditions (2.14), with suitable $c_{i} \neq 0$, can restrain the magnitude of $d_{i}{ }^{(3)}$ and thus produce X -splines with smaller third derivative jump discontinuities than those of the conventional spline. However, this claim is not justified by Theorem 2 of the present paper which shows that, for the conventional spline and for any other X-spline, $d_{i}{ }^{(3)}$, is always $0(h)$. In fact, the results of the present section indicate that the conditions (2.14) are unnecessarily restrictive and suggest the generalization of the x -spline definition given in the following section.

## 3. A new definition for x -splines

Let $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}$ denote the cubic polynomial interpolating the function $y$ at the points $x_{i}, x_{i+1}, x_{1+2}$ and $x_{i+3}$ and define the quadratic polynomials $q_{i} ; i=0,1, \ldots, k-2$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(1)} ; \mathrm{i}=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{k}-3 \text {, and } \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}-2}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}-3}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}-3}^{(1)} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The motivation for the new definition of x -splines emerges from the observation that the jump discontinuities (2.6) and (2.7) can be written respectively as


This implies that the equations (2.15) can be written as

$$
A_{i} m_{i-1}+B_{i} m_{i}+C_{i} m_{i+1}=A_{i} q_{i+1}\left(x_{i-1}\right)+B_{i} q_{i-1}\left(x_{i}\right)+\left(x_{i}\right)+C_{i} q_{i-1}\left(x_{i+1}\right) ;
$$

$$
\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~K}-1,
$$

and suggests the following definition which extends the class of x-splines considered by Clenshaw and Negus (1978) to a wider class of P.C.P.

Definiton 2. Let $a_{i}, b_{i} ; i=1,2, \ldots, k-1$ be $2 k-2$ real numbers. Then, a P.C.P. s whose derivatives in. ; $\mathrm{i}=0,1, \ldots, k$, satisfy the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{o}=y_{o}^{(1)}, \\
& a_{i} m_{i-1}+m_{i}+b_{i} m_{i+1}=a_{i} q_{i-1}\left(x_{i-1}\right)+q_{i-1}\left(x_{i}\right)+b_{i} q_{i-1}\left(x_{i+1}\right) ;  \tag{3.3}\\
& \\
& m_{k}=y_{k}^{(1)},
\end{align*}
$$

where the polynomials $q_{i}$ are defined by (3.1), will be called an $x$-spline with parameters $a_{i}, b_{i} ; i=1,2, \ldots, k-1$.

Clearly, this new definition contains the x -splines considered by Clenshaw and Negus (1978) as the special case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{i}-1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{k}-1, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the A., B. are given by (2.16).
By Definition 2, the derivatives $m_{i} ; i=1,2, \ldots, k-1$ of an X -spline s are determined by solving the $(\mathrm{k}-1) \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{k}-1)$ tri-diagonal linear system defined by (3.3).The matrix of coefficients A of this linear system is strictly diagonally dominant, and therefore non-singular, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}\right|+\left|\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}\right|<1 ; \mathrm{i}=1,2 . ., \mathrm{k}-1 . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, a sufficient condition for the unique existence of $s$ is that its parameters satisfy (3.5.). If this condition holds then, using a result of Lucas $(1974=576)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid \mathrm{A}^{-1} \|_{\infty}<\mathrm{V} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{v} \geq 1$ is such that

$$
\left|a_{i}\right|+\left|b_{i}\right|+1 / V<1 ; i-1,2 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . .
$$

We consider now the effect that the parameters $a_{i}, b_{i}$ have on the quality of the X -spline approximation. For this, we let

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left.\left.\delta_{i}=a_{i}\right) q_{-1}\left(x_{i}-1\right)-y_{i}^{(1)+1}\right\}+\left\{q_{-1}\left(x_{i}\right)-y_{i}^{(1)}\right)+b_{i}\left\{q_{-1}\left(x_{i}+1\right)-y_{i}^{(1)}\right\} ; \\
i=1,2, \ldots, k-1, \tag{3.7}
\end{array}
$$

and assume that the parameters of the X-spline satisfy (3.5). Then, from (3.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(1)}\right| \leq \operatorname{vax}_{\mathrm{i}}\left|\delta_{\mathrm{i}}\right| ; i=1,2, \ldots ., \mathrm{k}-1 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, by Taylor series expansion about the point xi, we find that, if $y \varepsilon C^{6}[a, b]$ then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta i=\frac{1}{4!} \mathrm{Fi}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(4)}+\frac{1}{5!} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(5)}+0\left(\mathrm{~h}^{5}\right) ; \quad \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{k}-1 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\underset{i}{F}=\underset{11}{a h}\left(h_{1}+h_{1+1}+h_{1+2}\right)-{\underset{1}{1}}_{h_{1+1}}^{\left(h_{1+1}\right.}+{\underset{1}{1+2}}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{bh}_{1+1} h_{1+2}\left(h_{1}+h_{1+1}\right) \text {, } \\
& \left.\left.\mathrm{G}_{1}=\mathrm{a}_{1}\left\{\underset{1}{\mathrm{~h}_{1}} \underset{1}{\mathrm{~h}}+\mathrm{h}_{1+1}\right)\left(\left(2 \mathrm{~h}_{1+1}+\mathrm{h}_{1+2}\right)\left(\mathrm{h}_{1+1}+\mathrm{h}_{1+2}\right)-\underset{1}{\mathrm{~h}_{1}} \underset{1}{\left(2 h_{1}\right.}+\mathrm{h}_{1+2}\right)\right)\right\} \\
& -\mathrm{hh}_{11+1}\left\{\mathrm{hh}_{1+1}+\left(\mathrm{h}_{1+1}+\mathrm{h}_{1+2}-\mathrm{h}_{1}\right)\left(2 \mathrm{~h}_{1+1}+\mathrm{h}_{1+2}\right)\right\}  \tag{3.10}\\
& +\mathrm{b}_{1}\left\{\mathrm{~h}_{1}+1 \mathrm{~h}_{1+2}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{1}+\mathrm{h}_{1+1}\right)\left(3 \mathrm{~h}_{1+1}+\mathrm{h}_{1+2}-\mathrm{h}_{1}\right)\right\} ; \\
& \mathrm{i}=1,2 . ., \mathrm{k}-1,
\end{align*}
$$

and, in (3.10),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}+1}=-\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}-2}+\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}-1}+\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the knots are equally spaced with $h_{i}=h ; i=1,2, \ldots, k-1$, then (3.9) simplifies considerably and, if y $\varepsilon C^{7}[a, b]$, it gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{i}=\frac{1}{12}\left(3 \tilde{a}_{i}-1+\tilde{b}_{i}\right\} h^{3} y_{i}^{(4)}+\frac{1}{60}\left\{3 \tilde{a}_{i}-2+3 \tilde{b}_{i}\right\} h^{4} y_{i}^{(5)} \\
&+\frac{1}{720}\left\{23 \tilde{a}_{i}-2+17 \tilde{b}_{i}\right\} h^{5} y_{i}^{(6)}+o\left(h^{6}\right) ; \\
& i=1,2, \ldots, k-1, \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$



The results (3.8) and (3.9)-(3.10) show that any $x$-spline $s$, whose parameters satisfy (3.5), is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(1)}=0\left(\mathrm{~h}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) ; \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{k}-1 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, in general, $\mathrm{n}=3$. However, if the parameters of s are such that $F_{i}=0 ; i=1,2, \ldots, k-1$ then $n=4$, and if $F_{i}=G_{i}=0 ; i=1,2, \ldots, k-1$, then $\mathrm{n}=5$.

The remainder of this paper is concerned with examining the quality of six particular $x$-splines. These are the conventional cubic spline, the two $x$-splines of Clenshaw and Negus (1978) which we discussed briefly in Chapter 2, and three new x-splines of special interest that emerge from Definition 2. In particular, for each of these X -splines, we consider the case of equally spaced knots and, by using (3.12), (3.8), (2.4), (2.12) and (2,13), we derive bounds on $E=\|s-y\|, \quad\left|d_{i}^{(2)}\right|$ and $\left|d_{i}^{(3)}\right|$.

## 4. $x$-splines of special interest

(I) x -spline $\mathrm{s}_{1}$ with parameters

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}=\beta_{\mathrm{i}} / 2, \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{i}}=\gamma_{\mathrm{i}} / 2 ; \quad \mathrm{i}=1,2 \ldots, \mathrm{k}-1, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, as before, $\beta \mathrm{i}-=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}+1} /\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}+1}\right)$ and $\gamma_{\mathrm{i}}=1-\beta_{\mathrm{i}}$.
The values (4.1) are the only choice of parameters for which $d_{i}^{(2)}=0 ; i=1,2, \ldots, k-1$. Thus, $s_{I}$ is the well-known conventional cubic spline.

When the knots are unequally spaced then, in general, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}} \neq 0$ and the derivatives $m_{i}$ of $s_{I}$ satisfy (3.14) with $n=3$. However, if the knots are equally spaced then

$$
a_{i}=b_{i}=1 / 4 ; i=1,2, \ldots, k-1
$$

and, by using in this case (2.11) instead of (3.12),

$$
\delta i_{i}=\frac{-h^{4}}{120} y^{(5)}(\xi \xi) ; \quad i=1,2, \ldots, k-1
$$

Thus, since $v=2$,

$$
\left|m_{i}-y_{i}^{(1)}\right| \leq \frac{h^{4}}{60}\left\|y^{(5)}\right\| ; \quad i=1,2, \ldots, k-1
$$

and hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \leq \frac{h^{4}}{384}\left\|y^{(4)}\right\|+\frac{h^{5}}{240} y^{(5)} \| \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

(II) x -spline $\mathrm{s}_{\text {II }}$ with parameters

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ai}=\stackrel{2}{\beta_{\mathrm{i}}}, \quad \mathrm{bi}=\stackrel{2}{\gamma_{\mathrm{i}}} ; \quad \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{k}-1 . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The jump discontinuities of $\mathrm{S}_{11}{ }^{(2)}$ and ${ }_{\mathrm{S}_{11}}^{(3)}$ satisfy (2.14) with $c_{i}=\left(h_{i}-h_{i+1}\right) / 2 h_{i+1}$, and (4.4) is the only choice of parameters of the form (3.4) for which $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}=0 ; 1=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{k}-1$. It follows that $\mathrm{s}_{11}$ is the only P.C.P., in the class considered by Clenshaw and Negus (1978), whose derivatives $m$. satisfy (3.14) with $n>3$.

When the knots are equally spaced then $\mathrm{s}_{\text {II }}$ coincides with the conventional cubic spline $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{I}}$.
(III) x -spline $\mathrm{s}_{\text {III }}$ with parameters

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}=\beta_{i}, b_{i}=0 ; i-1,2, \ldots, k-1 . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The jump discontinuities of ${ }_{\mathrm{S}_{11}}^{(2)}$ and $_{\mathrm{S}_{11}}^{(3)}$ satisfy (2.14) with $c_{i}=-1$, and the values (4.5) reduce the three-term recurrence relation in (3.3) to the two-term recurrence relation (2.19). For this reason,
of all the x -splines contained in the definition of Clenshaw and Negus (1978), the construction of $\mathrm{s}_{\text {III }}$ involves the least computational effort.

When the knots are equally spaced then,

$$
a_{i}=1 / 2, b_{i}=0 ; i=1,2, \ldots, k-1
$$

and, since $v=2$,

$$
\left|\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(1)}\right| \leq \frac{\mathrm{h}^{3}}{12}\left\|\mathrm{y}^{(4)}\right\|+\frac{\mathrm{h}^{4}}{60}\left\|\mathrm{y}^{(5)}\right\|+0\left(\mathrm{~h}^{5}\right) .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E} \leq \frac{9 \mathrm{~h}^{4}}{384}\left\|\mathrm{y}^{(4)}\right\|+\frac{\mathrm{h}^{5}}{240} \mathrm{y}^{(5)} \|+0\left(\mathrm{~h}^{6}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{r}
\left|d_{i}^{(3)}\right| \leq \frac{2 h^{2}}{3}\left\|y^{(4)}\right\|+\frac{h^{3}}{15}\left\|y^{(5)}\right\|+0\left(\mathrm{~h}^{4}\right), \\
\left|d_{i}^{(3)}\right| \leq 2 h\left\|y^{(4)}\right\|+\frac{h^{2}}{5}\left\|y^{(5)}\right\|+0\left(\mathrm{~h}^{3}\right) ;  \tag{4.7}\\
i=1,2, \ldots, k-1,
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where, in this case, $(4,7)$ is obtained by using (2.13) for the bound on $\left|d_{i}^{(2)}\right|$ and the relation $d_{i}^{(20}=-$ hd $_{i}^{(3)} / 3$ instead of (2.12), for the bound on $\left|\mathrm{di}^{(2)}\right|$.
(IV) x -spline $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{IV}}$ with parameters

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}=b_{i}=0 ; i-1,2, \ldots, k-1 . \tag{4,8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The derivatives of $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{IV}}$ are given explicitly by

$$
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}-1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) ; \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{k}-1
$$

Thus, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{IV}}$ is the x -spline of least computational effort.

In this case,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
m_{i}-y_{i}^{(1)}=q_{i-1}(x i)-y_{i}^{(1)}=-\frac{1}{24} h_{i} h_{i+1}\left(h_{i+1}+h_{i+2}\right) y^{(4)}\left(\xi_{i}\right) ; \\
1=1,2, \ldots, k-1,
\end{array}
$$

where $\left.\xi_{i \in} \in \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}-1}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}+2}\right] ; \mathrm{i}=1,2, . ., \mathrm{k}-2, \xi_{\mathrm{k}-1} \in\left[\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}-3}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}\right]$ and $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}+1}$ is given by (3.11). Hence, if the knots are equally spaced,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E} \leq \frac{9 \mathrm{~h}^{4}}{384} \| \mathrm{y}^{(4)} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left|\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(2)}\right| \leq \mathrm{h}^{2}\left\|\mathrm{y}^{(4)}\right\|+\frac{\mathrm{h}^{3}}{15}\left\|\mathrm{y}^{(5)}\right\|
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|d_{i}^{(3)}\right| \leq 2 h\left\|y^{(4)}\right\| ; \quad i=1,2, \ldots, k-1\right\} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(V) X-spline $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{v}}$ with parameters,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
a_{i}=\frac{h_{i+1}\left(h_{i+1}+h_{i+2}\right)}{\left(h_{i}+h_{i+1}\right)\left(h_{i}+h_{i+1}+h_{i+2}\right)}, \quad b_{i}=0 ; \quad i=1,2, \ldots, k-2,  \tag{4.11}\\
a_{k-1}=0, b_{k-1}=\frac{h_{k-1}\left(h_{k-1}+h_{k-2}\right)}{\left(h_{k-1}+h_{k}\right)\left(h_{k-2}+h_{k-1}+h_{k}\right)} .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The construction of $s_{v}$ involves the same computational effort as that of $s_{\text {III }}$. However, since the parameters (4.11) are such that $F_{i}=0 ; 1=1,2, \ldots, k-1$, the derivatives $m_{i}$ of $s_{v}$ satisfy (3.14) with $\mathrm{n}=4$.

When the knots are equally spaced then

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{ai}=1 / 3, \quad b_{i}=0 ; \quad i=1,2, \ldots, k-2, \\
a_{k-1}=0, \quad b_{k-1}=1 / 3,
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and, since $v=3 / 2$

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{mi}-y_{i}^{(1)} \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{h^{4}}{40}\left\|y^{(5)}\right\|+\frac{17}{1440} h^{5}\left\|y^{(6)}\right\|+0\left(h^{6}\right)\right. \\
i=1,2, \ldots, k-1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \leq \frac{h^{4}}{384}\|y(4)\|+\frac{h^{5}}{160}\left\|y^{(5)}\right\|+0\left(h^{6}\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left|d_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \frac{11}{30} h^{3}\left\|y^{(5)}\right\|+\frac{17}{120} h^{4}\left\|y^{(6)}\right\|+0\left(h^{5}\right),
$$

and

$$
\left|d_{i}^{(3)}\right| \leq h\left\|y^{(4)}\right\|+\frac{3}{10} h^{2}\left\|y^{(5)}\right\|+0\left(h^{3}\right) ; ~\left\{\begin{array}{c}
i, 2, \ldots, k-1 \tag{4.13}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

(VI) X-spline $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ with parameters

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.a i=\frac{h_{i+1}^{2}\left(h_{i+1}+h_{i+2}\right)}{\left(h_{i}+h_{i+1}+h_{i+2}\right)\left(h_{i}+h_{i+1}\right)^{2}}, \quad b i=\frac{h_{i}^{2}(h i+1+h i+2)}{h_{i+2}\left(h_{i}+h_{i+1}\right)^{2}} ;\right\} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{k+1}$ is given by(3.11).
This is the x -spline of highest accuracy, in the sense that (4.14) is the only choice of parameters for which $F_{i}=G_{i}=0 ; i=1,2, . ., k-1$. This implies that the derivatives $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}$ of $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ satisfy (3.14) with $\mathrm{n}=5$. It should be observed that, in this case, the conditions (3.5) which ensure the unique existence of $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ are satisfied only if

$$
\left(h_{i+} h_{i+1}\right)\left(h_{i}-h_{i+2}\right)<2 h_{i+2}\left(h_{i+1}+h_{i+2}\right) ; ~(i=1,2, \ldots, k-1 .
$$

When the knots are equally spaced then,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
a_{i}=1 / 6, \quad b_{i}=1 / 2 ; \quad i=1,2, \ldots, k-2, \\
a_{k-1}=1 / 2, \quad b_{k-1}=1 / 6,
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and, since $v=3$,

$$
\left|\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(1)}\right| \leq \frac{31}{370} \mathrm{~h}^{5}\left\|\mathrm{y}^{(6)}\right\|+0\left(\mathrm{~h}^{6}\right) .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\quad \mathrm{E} \leq \frac{\mathrm{h}^{4}}{384}\left\|\mathrm{y}^{(4)}\right\|+\frac{31}{2880} \mathrm{~h}^{6}\left\|\mathrm{y}^{(6)}\right\|+0\left(\mathrm{~h}^{7}\right), \\
\quad\left|\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(2)}\right| \leq \frac{\mathrm{h}^{3}}{15}\left\|\mathrm{y}^{(5)}\right\|+\frac{31}{60} \mathrm{~h}^{4}\left\|\mathrm{y}^{(6)}\right\| 0\left(\mathrm{~h}^{5}\right), \\
\text { an } \left.\begin{array}{r}
\left|\mathrm{S}^{(3)}\right| \leq \mathrm{h}\left\|\mathrm{y}^{(4)}\right\|+\frac{31}{60} \mathrm{~h}^{3}\left\|\mathrm{y}^{(6)}\right\|+0\left(\mathrm{~h}^{4}\right) ; \\
\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{k}-1 .
\end{array}\right\}, ~
\end{array}
$$

## 5. Numerical results and discussion

In Tables 1-3 we present numerical results obtained by taking $y(x)=\exp (x)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{i} / 20 ; \mathrm{I}-0,1, \ldots, 20, \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and constructing each of the six x -splines considered in Section 4. The results listed are values of the absolute error $|\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{x})|$, computed at various points between the knots, and values of the jump discontinuities $d_{i}^{(2)}$ and $d_{i}^{(3)}$, computed at a selection of interior
knots. The results of Tables 4-6 are obtained, in a similar manner, by using the same $y$ and the unequally spaced knots

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=-\mathrm{i}^{2} / 8^{2} ; 1=0,1, \ldots, 8, \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In each table, the results corresponding to the x -spline $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{I}}$ are listed in column (I), those corresponding to $\mathrm{S}_{\text {II }}$ in column (II), etc.

The use of any of the X -splines $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{s}_{\text {III' }} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{IV}}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{V}}$ or $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{VI}}$. in preference to the conventional cubic spline $S_{I}$, can be justified only if it leads to increased accuracy or to a reduction of the computational labour. The numerical results of this section indicate that no significant improvement in accuracy is achieved by the so called optimal x-spline $S_{\text {II }}$ of Clenshaw and Negus (1973), or indeed
by the more 'accurate' $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{VI}}$. It follows that the only x -splines of real practical importance, for the interpolation of smooth functions, are those whose construction involves less computational effort than the construction of $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{I}}$. In particular the two new x -splines $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{Iv}}$ and $S_{V}$ are of special interest. The $x$-spline $S_{\text {IV }}$ involves the least possible computational effort, and it is of practical interest because of its simplicity. The x -spline $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{V}}$ has small discontinuities in the second derivative and produces, with less computational effort, results of comparable accuracy to those obtained by the conventional spline.

By Definition 2, the construction of an $x$-spline requires knowledge of $\mathrm{y}^{(1)}$ at the two endpoints $\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and, in an interpolation problem, this information is not usually available. However, by using techniques similar to those of Behforooz and Papamichael (1979 a,b), the end conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{o}}=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{o}}^{(1)}, \quad \mathrm{mk}=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{k}}^{(1)}, \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be replaced by conditions which use only the available function values of $y$ at the knots whilst retaining the order of the $x$-spline approximation. For example, instead of (5-3) , the following end conditions can be used respectively for the construction of $\mathrm{S}_{\text {IV }}$ and Sv:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{o}}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{o}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{o}}\right), \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}-2}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}\right), \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
m_{0}+\alpha_{0} m_{1}=q_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)+\alpha_{0} q_{0}(x)_{n}  \tag{5.5}\\
\alpha_{k} m_{k-1}+m_{k}=\alpha_{k} q_{k-2}\left(x_{k-1}\right)+q_{k-2}\left(x_{k}\right),
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where, in (5.5),

$$
\alpha_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{j}} /\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{j}}-1\right)+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}} /\left\{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}-1-\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)^{2}\right\} ; \mathrm{j}=0, \mathrm{k}
$$

with

$$
\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{o}}=\left(\mathrm{h}_{1}+\mathrm{h}_{2}\right) / \mathrm{h}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}}=\left(\mathrm{h}_{1}+\mathrm{h}_{2}+\mathrm{h}_{3}\right) / \mathrm{h}_{1}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}=\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}-1}+\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) / \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}}, \quad \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}=\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}-2}+\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}-1}+\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) / \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}} ;
$$

see Behforooz and Papamichael (1979 b).

Table 1

Values of $|\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{x})| . \quad$ (Knots as in 5.1)

| X | (I) | (III) | (IV) | $(\mathrm{V})$ | (VI) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 0.01 | $.674 \times 10^{-8}$ | $.155 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.111 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.664 \times 10^{-8}$ | $.682 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 0.02 | $.151 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.414 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.383 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.148 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.154 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 0.09 | $.705 \times 10^{8}$ | $.177 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.501 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.688 \times 10^{-8}$ | $.721 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 0.22 | $.189 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.117 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.467 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.190 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.188 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 0.36 | $.990 \times 10^{-8}$ | $.139 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.808 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.102 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.967 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 0.62 | $.281 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.143 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.697 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.283 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.280 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 0.93 | $.374 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.617 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.353 \times 10^{-6}$ | $.369 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.378 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 0.96 | $.184 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.402 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.151 \times 10^{-6}$ | $.193 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.177 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 0.99 | $.179 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.327 \times 10^{-8}$ | $.245 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.182 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.177 \times 10^{-7}$ |

## Table 2

Values of $d_{(\mathrm{i})}^{(2)}$. (Knots as in 5.1)

|  | (I) | (III) | (IV) | (V) | (VI) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | - | $-.996 \times 10^{\prime \prime}$ | $.225 \times 10^{-2}$ | $.121 \times 10^{-4}$ | $-.889 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{4}$ | - | $-.102 \times 10^{-2}$ | $.311 \times 10^{-2}$ | $.132 \times 10^{-4}$ | $-.103 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\mathrm{X}_{7}$ | - | $-.120 \times 10^{-2}$ | $.361 \times 10^{-2}$ | $.154 \times 10^{-4}$ | $-.120 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\mathrm{X}_{10}$ | - | $-.140 \times 10^{-2}$ | $.419 \times 10^{-2}$ | $.178 \times 10^{-4}$ | $-.139 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\mathrm{X}_{13}$ | - | $-.162 \times 10^{-2}$ | $.487 \times 10^{-2}$ | $.207 \times 10^{-4}$ | $-.162 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{16}$ | - | $-.189 \times 10 \sim^{2}$ | $.566 \times 10^{-2}$ | $.241 \times 10^{-4}$ | $-.189 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\mathrm{X}_{19}$ | - | $-.181 \times 10^{-2}$ | $-.320 \times 10^{-2}$ | $.290 \times 10^{-4}$ | $-.210 \times 10^{-4}$ |

## Table 3

Values of $d_{(i)}^{(3)} \cdot$ (Knots as in 5.1)

|  | (I) | (III) | (IV) | (V) | (VI) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $.525 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.597 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.244 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.524 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.526 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | $.611 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.613 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.580 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.611 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.611 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ | $.710 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.723 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.673 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.709 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.710 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{7}$ | $.824 \times 10-$ | $.838 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.782 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.824 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.824 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{10}$ | $.958 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.974 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.909 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.958 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.958 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{13}$ | .111 | .113 | .106 | .111 | .111 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{16}$ | .130 | .109 | .130 | .129 |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{19}$ | .130 |  |  |  |  |

Table 4

Values of $|\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{x})|$. (Knots as in (5.2)

| X | $(\mathrm{I})$ | $(\mathrm{II})$ | $(\mathrm{III})$ | $(\mathrm{IV})$ | $(\mathrm{V})$ | $(\mathrm{VI})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.01 | $.512 \times 10^{-9}$ | $.131 \times 10^{-9}$ | $.125 \times 10^{-8}$ | $.908 \times 10^{-8}$ | $.104 \times 10^{-9}$ | $.125 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| 0.05 | $.287 \times 100^{8}$ | $.763 \times 10^{-8}$ | $.558 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.196 \times 10^{-6}$ | $.611 \times 10^{-8}$ | $.826 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 0.1 | $.804 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.104 \times 10^{-6}$ | $.290 \times 10^{-6}$ | $.586 \times 10^{-6}$ | $.956 \times 10^{-7}$ | $.105 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 0.17 | $.297 \times 10^{-6}$ | $.277 \times 10^{-6}$ | $.269 \times 10^{-6}$ | $.391 \times 10^{-6}$ | $.272 \times 10^{-6}$ | $.283 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 0.35 | $.589 \times 10^{-6}$ | $.860 \times 10^{-6}$ | $.373 \times 10^{-5}$ | $.921 \times 10^{-5}$ | $.702 \times 100^{6}$ | $.931 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 0.5 | $.272 \times 10^{-5}$ | $.301 \times 10^{-5}$ | $.933 \times 10^{-5}$ | $.192 \times 10^{-4}$ | $.248 \times 10^{-5}$ | $.325 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 0.6 | $.325 \times 100^{5}$ | $.308 \times 10^{-5}$ | $.163 \times 10^{-5}$ | $.422 \times 10^{-4}$ | $.354 \times 10^{-5}$ | $.298 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 0.8 | $.721 \times 10^{-5}$ | $.566 \times 10^{-5}$ | $.118 \times 10^{-4}$ | $.328 \times 10^{-4}$ | $.654 \times 10^{-5}$ | $.480 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 0.9 | $.207 \times 10^{-4}$ | $.192 \times 10^{-4}$ | $.218 \times 10^{-5}$ | $.184 \times 10^{-4}$ | $.201 \times 10^{-4}$ | $.184 \times 10^{-4}$ |

## Table 5

Values of $\mathrm{d}_{(\mathrm{i})}^{(3)}$. (Knots as in 5.2)

|  | (I) | (II) | (III) | (IV) | (V) | (VI) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | - | $-.166 \times 10^{-3}$ | $-.646 \times 10^{-3}$ | $.255 \times 10^{-2}$ | $-.152 \times 10^{-3}$ | $-.169 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | - | $-.348 \times 10^{-3}$ | $-.209 \times 10^{-2}$ | $.693 \times 10^{-2}$ | $-.288 \times 10^{-3}$ | $-.369 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ | - | $-.565 \times 10^{-3}$ | $-.457 \times 10^{-2}$ | $.148 \times 10^{-1}$ | $-.394 \times 10^{-3}$ | $-.630 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{4}$ | - | $-.839 \times 10^{-3}$ | $-.862 \times 10^{-2}$ | $.275 \times 10^{-1}$ | $-.442 \times 10^{-3}$ | $-.103 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{5}$ | - | $-.121 \times 10_{-2}$ | $-.151 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.480 \times 10^{-1}$ | $-.373 \times 10^{-3}$ | $-.160 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{6}$ | - | $-.171 \times 10^{-2}$ | $-.254 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.427 \times 10^{-1}$ | $-.121 \times 10^{-3}$ | $-.260 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{7}$ | - | $-.249 \times 10^{-2}$ | $-.315 \times 10^{-1}$ | $-.470 \times 10^{-1}$ | $-.941 \times 10^{-3}$ | $-.387 \times 10^{-2}$ |

Table 6

Values of $d_{(\mathrm{i})}^{(3)} \cdot($ Knots as in 5.2)

|  | (I) | (II) | (III) | (IV) | (V) | (VI) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | $.335 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.319 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.413 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.325 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.315 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.322 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | $.663 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.668 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.801 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.271 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.662 \times 10^{-1}$ | $.670 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ | .108 | .109 | .125 | $.541 \times 10^{-1}$ | .107 | .109 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{4}$ | .160 | .161 | .184 | $.871 \times 10^{-1}$ | .160 | .162 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{5}$ | .233 | .233 | .263 | .128 | .229 | .234 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{6}$ | .324 | .329 | .376 | .745 | .327 | .332 |
| $\mathrm{X}_{7}$ | .484 | .479 | .403 | .601 | .484 | .476 |
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