1 TITLE PAGE

2 TITLE

- 3 Adherence to dietary and physical activity guidelines among shift workers: associations with
- 4 individual and work-related factors.

5 AUTHORS

- 6 Ciara Kelly, MB BCh BAO, MRCPI, MPH^{1,2} (corresponding author)
- 7 Fiona M. Nea, BSc³
- 8 L. Kirsty Pourshahidi, BSc, PhD⁴
- 9 John M. Kearney, BSc, PhD³
- 10 Victoria O'Brien, BSc^{1,5,6}
- 11 M. Barbara E. Livingstone, BEd, MSc, PhD⁴
- 12 Clare A. Corish, BSc, PhD^{1,5}

13 **AFFILIATIONS**

- ¹School of Public Health, Physiotherapy and Sports Science, University College Dublin, Belfield,
- 15 Dublin 4, Republic of Ireland.
- ²Department of Public Health, Merlin Park Regional Hospital, Old Dublin Road, Galway, Republic of
 Ireland.
- ³School of Biological Sciences, Technological University of Dublin, Dublin 8, Republic of Ireland.
- ⁴Nutrition Innovation Centre for Food and Health (NICHE), Centre for Molecular Biosciences, Ulster
 University, Coleraine, BT52 1SA, United Kingdom.
- ⁵Institute of Food and Health, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Republic of Ireland.
- ⁶School of Agriculture and Food Science, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Republic of
 Ireland.

24 CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

- 25 Dr. Ciara Kelly,
- 26 Department of Public Health,
- 27 Merlin Park Regional Hospital,
- 28 Old Dublin Road,
- 29 Galway
- 30 Republic of Ireland
- 31 Email: <u>kellyc42@tcd.ie</u>
- 32 Tel: +353 86 0867829 Fax: +353 91 758 283
- 33 WORD COUNT (excluding title page, abstract, references, figures and tables): 3500

34 ABSTRACT

35 **Objectives:** Shift work is associated with adverse effects on the health and lifestyle behaviours of 36 employees. This study aimed to examine factors associated with adherence among shift workers to 37 selected indicators of dietary and physical activity guidelines.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted on 1300 shift workers. Data were collected using a
 15-minute telephone-administered questionnaire. Logistic regression methods were used for data
 analysis.

Results: Male shift workers [p<0.001, OR = 0.55, 95% CI (0.40 - 0.74)] and those of lower socioeconomic status [p = 0.046, OR = 0.75, 95% CI (0.57 - 0.99)] were significantly less likely to consume five or more daily servings of fruits and vegetables. Shift workers with access to workplace vending machines were significantly more likely to consume soft drinks at least weekly [p = 0.003, OR = 1.64,95% CI [1.18 - 2.27)]. Middle-aged shift workers [p = 0.012, OR = 0.65, 95% CI (0.46 - 0.91)] and those reporting insufficient break times at work [p = 0.026, OR = 0.69, 95% CI (0.49 - 0.96)] were significantly less likely to be sufficiently active.

48 **Conclusions:** Individual, work schedule and workplace environment-related factors were 49 independently associated with selected indicators of adherence to dietary and physical activity 50 guidelines in this cohort of shift workers.

- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54

55

57 KEY MESSAGES

58	What is already known about this subject?
59 60 61 62	 Shift work is associated with adverse effects on the health and lifestyle behaviours of employees. This study aimed to examine factors associated with adherence among shift workers to selected indicators of dietary and physical activity guidelines.
63	What are the new findings?
64 65 66 67 68	 Males and those of lower socio-economic status were significantly less likely to consume at least 5 servings of fruits and vegetables per day. Those working predominantly nights, rotating or other shifts (compared to day shifts) were significantly less likely to consume wholegrains at least once per day. Shift workers with access to workplace vending machines were significantly more likely to
69	consume soft drinks at least once per week.
70	• Middle-aged shift workers and those reporting insufficient break times at work were
71	significantly less likely to adhere to aerobic physical activity guidelines.
72	How might this impact on policy or clinical practice in the foreseeable future?
73	• The optimisation of dietary intake and physical activity levels among shift workers should be
74	a priority for occupational and public health medicine.
75	Insights provided by this study may inform the development of occupational medicine policy
76	and interventions for shift workers tailored according to individual and work-related factors.
77	
78	

79 Introduction

Shift work is defined by the Council of the European Union¹ as 'any method of organising work in shifts whereby workers succeed each other at the same work stations according to a certain pattern'. Traditionally, only a limited proportion of the workforce was engaged in shift work - however, many employment sectors have now adopted shift work schedules². In 2010, 17% of the workforce in the European Union was employed in shift work³, a proportion which had increased to 21% by 2015⁴. In Ireland, approximately 15% of the working population are employed in shift and/or night work².

86 Shift work has been associated with adverse effects on the physical and mental health of those engaged in it - in particular, increased risks of being overweight or obese, the metabolic syndrome 87 and other non-communicable diseases (NCDs) including type 2 diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular 88 disease, gastrointestinal and mental health disorders^{5,6}. In 2019, the International Agency for 89 90 Research on Cancer categorised 'night shift work' as a Group 2A carcinogen⁷. The disruptive and irregular nature of shift work schedules may also adversely affect the worker's ability and motivation 91 to maintain health-promoting lifestyle behaviours⁸. Many such behaviours, including an unhealthy 92 93 diet, physical inactivity, smoking, excess alcohol consumption, being overweight, and having 94 insufficient sleep are considered to be risk factors for NCDs and have been more frequently reported among shift workers^{5,9}. Shift work has been shown to adversely affect the quality and quantity of 95 dietary intake as well as meal frequency, with greater meal skipping and unconventional meal timing 96 reported among shift workers compared to non-shift workers¹⁰. Shift workers may also have 97 98 difficulty engaging in regular exercise due to factors such as time constraints, sleep disruption, fatigue, lack of availability of leisure facilities and opportunities to exercise outside of working hours, 99 100 and internal factors such as individual motivation to exercise¹¹.

101 The limited published data on the experience of Irish shift workers have demonstrated that shift 102 work may negatively affect their health behaviours and psycho-social well-being¹². Factors relating 103 to the work environment have been identified which may act as barriers or facilitators in their effect

on the ability of Irish shift workers to maintain healthy lifestyle behaviours both at work and at home. Further evidence-based insights of this nature are required to inform the development of public and occupational health measures to optimise the health and health behaviours of shift workers. The aim of this study was to examine individual and work-related factors associated with adherence among shift workers to selected indicators of national dietary and physical activity guidelines.

110 Methods

111 Study Design and Participants

112 This cross-sectional study was conducted on a population of 1300 shift workers from the Republic of 113 Ireland (RoI) (n = 850) and Northern Ireland (NI) (n = 450), which was demographically, 114 geographically and occupationally representative of the estimated 270,000 shift workers on the 115 island of Ireland. The study population was derived from a previous larger study commissioned by safefood entitled 'Managing Food on Shift Work', comprised of quantitative and qualitative 116 117 components¹³. The data for the original *safe*food study were collected by the market research 118 company Millward Brown Ulster (MBU) using a 15-minute telephone-administered questionnaire, 119 developed by the research team for the *safe* food study. The content of this study questionnaire was 120 informed by the qualitative component of the *safe*food study, the published shift work literature and 121 national dietary intake data. A random digit dial sample of telephone numbers in the RoI and NI was 122 generated using a mathematical formula by MBU, then pulsed to ensure they were 'live' numbers. 123 Random real numbers were then selected from the database via specialised telephone software. 124 Eligibility criteria for this study included being aged 18 years or older and working in a shift work 125 pattern at the time of contact. During the 15-minute telephone interview, participants were asked a 126 series of questions (referring to the previous one month period of their lives) to obtain information on their demographic characteristics, shift work pattern, typical dietary intake, physical activity 127 128 levels and workplace environment. The questionnaire used to collect data for the safefood study

was reviewed prior to the commencement of this study, and variables relevant to the research question and the questions pertaining to those variables were chosen. The original questionnaire is available as Appendix 1 (online-only supplementary material) with the questions considered relevant

132 for the current study indicated (highlighted in yellow).

133 Data Management

134 Upon receipt of the raw data from the original *safe*food study variables not intended for use were removed and missing values were coded (these data were not included in the analysis of data for the 135 136 current study). Selected variables were re-coded into categorical variables. Age was re-coded into 137 three categories; 18-34 years, 35-54 years and 55+ years, in order to examine age profiles of shift 138 workers in relation to outcomes of interest. Body mass index (BMI), calculated based on self-139 reported height and weight measures, was re-coded into four categories based on the WHO BMI classification system¹⁴; underweight (<18.50 kg/m²), normal weight (18.50-24.99 kg/m²), overweight 140 (25.00-29.99 kg/m²) and obese (≥30 kg/m²). Socio-economic status (SES) was derived in the original 141

142 safefood study from the occupation of the head of the household, categorised according to the National Readership Survey (2015) classification system¹⁵ into classes ABC1 and C2DE. ABC1 included 143 144 those in the upper middle, middle, and lower middle classes, while C2DE included those in the skilled 145 working, working and non-working classes. Duration of exposure to shift work measured in years 146 and the average length of shift measured in hours were re-coded respectively into 'less than 8 years' 147 and '8 years or more', and 'less than 8 hours', '8-11 hours' and '12 or more hours.' The predominant shift pattern of participants was re-coded into 'days', 'nights', 'rotating', and 'other' (which included 148 149 split, inconsistent and equal day/afternoon/night rotating shifts) – Supplementary Table 1, available 150 as online-only supplementary material, provides further information on this categorisation.

151 Consumption of fruits, vegetables, wholegrains and soft drinks were selected as dietary outcomes of 152 interest for this study, representing markers of adherence to Irish dietary guidelines. These were

153 chosen following review of the shift work literature, and of dietary intake data and guidelines for the 154 Irish adult population. These guidelines advise consumption of a minimum of 5-7 daily servings of 155 fruits and vegetables (unsweetened fruit juice, smoothies, tinned and dried fruit are also counted) and 3-5 daily servings of foods from the 'wholemeal cereal and breads, potatoes (cooked any way), 156 pasta and rice' group of the Irish food pyramid¹⁶, as these are highly nutrient-dense foods associated 157 with reductions in risk of several chronic diseases and overall mortality^{17,18}. The sub-optimal 158 compliance of the adult population of the RoI and NI with these specific dietary guidelines is well-159 160 described^{19,20,21}, while lower intakes of fruit, vegetables, dietary fibre, and various micronutrients have been observed among shift workers compared to non-shift day workers^{22,23,24}. 161

162 Consumption of fruits and vegetables was re-coded in a binary manner into those who consumed 163 five or more daily servings of these foods and those who consumed them less frequently than this. 164 Consumption of wholegrains (a food category which included *'brown pasta, brown rice, wholegrain* 165 *bread, wholegrain cereals, and porridge'* in the study questionnaire) was also re-coded in a binary 166 manner into those who consumed one or more daily servings of these foods and those who 167 consumed them less frequently than this.

The frequency of consumption of soft drinks was re-coded into those who consumed one or more servings of these beverages per week and those who consumed them less frequently than this, as Irish adults are advised to avoid daily consumption of soft drinks and to limit overall intake to a maximum of one or two servings per week¹⁶.

172 National and international physical activity guidelines advise adults to undertake a minimum 150 173 minutes of moderate intensity aerobic physical activity per week^{25,26}. In this study, physical activity 174 was recorded in minutes per week per participant based on self-reported frequency of episodes of 175 moderate to vigorous physical activity performed per week and the average length of each. The total 176 time engaged in physical activity per week was then calculated and categorised according to 177 whether the participant was meeting the above guideline or not.

178 Data Analysis

179 SPSS (IBM Version 24) was used for data management and statistical analysis. A descriptive analysis 180 of the study population was initially conducted. Pearson's chi square tests were used to compare 181 categorical variables and examine associations between these. Logistic regression methods were 182 chosen for the analysis of this study as the selected outcomes were binary in nature i.e. meeting the 183 relevant guideline or not. Univariable binary logistic regression analyses were then performed for 184 the four (three dietary and one physical activity) outcomes of interest using the same independent 185 variables for each outcome. Independent variables pertained to the individual (gender, age category, 186 SES and BMI), shift work exposure (occupational sector, duration of shift work exposure, average 187 shift length and predominant shift pattern) and the workplace environment (availability of food 188 preparation, food storage and leisure facilities, vending machines and adequate break times, 189 whether participants were satisfied with healthy food options at work and whether they felt their 190 workplace helped them lead a healthy lifestyle). Health and social work was chosen as the reference 191 occupational sector for the analysis as it is acknowledged that these shift workers may have 192 achieved greater levels of health literacy and education compared to those working in other 193 sectors²⁷. Independent variables significantly associated with the four outcomes in the univariable 194 regression analyses were added to separate multivariable logistic regression models for the four 195 outcomes. All independent variables were mutually adjusted for in the multivariable models for each 196 of the four outcomes of interest. Significant results were determined by a p-value of <0.05.

197 Results

198 Demographic Characteristics

Table 1 provides information on the shift workers' demographic characteristics. There was a similar proportion of males and females, and of those in both categories of socio-economic status. Middleaged (35-54 years old) shift workers comprised the largest proportion of participants.

<u>Variables</u>	<u>n</u>	<u>%</u>
Country of Residence		
Republic of Ireland	850	65.4
Northern Ireland	450	34.6
Gender		
Male	672	51.7
Female	628	48.3
Age Category ¹		
18-34 years	490	38.2
35-54 years	606	47.
55-65 years	187	14.
Marital Status		
Single never married	528	40.
Married and living with spouse	637	49.
Civil partnership	27	2.1
Married and separated from spouse	47	3.
Divorced	45	3.
Widowed	16	1.
Socio-economic status ^{a, 2}		
ABC1	599	48.
C2DE	644	51.
Calculated BMI ^{b, 3}		
Underweight	30	2.
Normal weight	504	45.4
Overweight	397	35.
Obese	179	16.
BMI = Body Mass Index "Based on the occupation of the head of household. ABC1 denotes upper middle class, middle class class and non-workers ¹⁵ "Calculated based on self-reported height and weight and categorised according to the World Heal ¹ Valid denominator = 1283 ² Some participants declined to respond (n = 57) ³ Valid denominator = 1110		rking class, working

²⁰²

203 Shift work schedule and work environment characteristics

Table 2 provides information on the characteristics of the shift workers' work schedule and work environment. The most common average shift length reported was 8-11 hours. In terms of the predominant pattern of shifts worked, those in the '*other*' category and those working predominantly day shifts comprised the largest groups.

208	Over half of participants had access to food preparation and storage facilities at work. Less than one-
209	third had access to vending machines. Most participants reported receiving adequate work break
210	times. The majority did not have access to leisure facilities at work.
211	
212	
213	
214	
215	
216	
217	

- . . _

<u>Variables</u>	<u>n</u>	<u>9</u>
Occupational Sector		
Accommodation and Food Services	218	16.8
Health and Social Work	355	27.3
Retail	229	17.0
Manufacturing	110	8.5
Other ^a	388	29.8
Pattern of SW		
Predominantly days	460	35.4
Predominantly nights	164	12.0
Predominantly rotating	168	12.
Other	508	39.:
Duration of exposure to SW		
<8 years	622	47.
≥8 years	678	52.
Average length of shift		
<8 hours	379	29.
8-11 hours	622	47.
≥12 hours	299	23.
Availability and use of food preparation facilities		
Yes	685	52.
No	327	25.2
Not available	288	22.3
Availability and use of food storage facilities		
Yes	784	60.
No	267	20.
Not available	249	19.3
Availability and use of vending machines		
Yes	355	27.3
No	408	31.4
Not available	537	41.3
Receiving adequate break times ¹		
Agree	776	69.
Disagree	337	30.
Satisfied with healthy meal/snack availability ²		
Agree	551	59.
Disagree	378	40.
Availability of leisure facilities		
Yes	142	10.
No	1158	89.

¹Valid denominator = 929

231 Dietary and physical activity characteristics

Table 3 provides information on the shift workers' dietary behaviours and physical activity levels. Approximately 40% reported consuming five or more daily servings of fruits and vegetables, while 61% did not consume wholegrains on a daily basis. Over one-third consumed soft drinks at least once per week. Thirty-nine per cent adhered to national aerobic physical activity guidelines, while 59% were engaged in minimal physical effort at work and approximately one-fifth reported mostly

237 sedentary occupational behaviour.

Table 3: Dietary behaviours and physical activity levels of shift workers (n = 1300)					
Variables	<u>n</u>	<u>%</u>			
Fruit and vegetable consumption*					
≥5 servings/day	522	40.2			
<5 servings/day	778	59.8			
Wholegrain consumption*					
≥1 serving per day	512	39.4			
<1 serving per day ^a	788	60.6			
Soft drink consumption*					
≥1 serving per week	487	37.5			
<1 serving per week ^b	813	62.5			
Type of occupational PA					
Mostly sitting	262	20.2			
Minimal physical effort	762	58.6			
Moderate physical effort	212	16.3			
Vigorous physical effort	64	4.9			
Time spent in MVPA per week ^{c, 1}					
≥150 minutes	509	39.2			
<150 minutes	789	60.8			
MVPA = Moderate-vigorous physical activity *Denotes frequency of consumption according to the Food Safety Authority of Ireland dietary guidelines ¹⁶ ^a Denotes consumption multiple times per week, less than weekly or not at all ^b Denotes consumption less than weekly or not at all ^c Based on national and international physical activity guidelines ^{25,26} ¹ Valid denominator = 1298					

238

239 Multivariable regression analysis

The univariable regression analyses which informed the multivariable regression models are available as (online-only) Supplementary Tables 2, 3, 4 and 5. Tables 4 and 5 combined present the four multivariable regression models with all independent variables listed.

Variables		<u>Fruit/Veg Intake</u> ≥ 5 servings/day*		<u>Wholegrain Intake</u> <u>≥1 serving/day*</u>		<u>Soft Drink Intake</u> <u>≥1 serving/week*</u>		<u>Physical Activity</u> ≥150 minutes/week**	
		<u>(n = 522)</u>		<u>(n = 512)</u>		<u>(n = 487)</u>		<u>(n = 509)</u>	
	<u>p-</u> Value	<u>OR (95% CI)</u>	<u>p-</u> Value	<u>OR (95% CI)</u>	<u>p-</u> Value	<u>OR (95% CI)</u>	<u>p-</u> Value	<u>OR (95% CI)</u>	
Gender (ref: Female) Male	<0.001	0.55 (0.40-0.74)	N/A		ns	1.29 (0.93-1.80)	N/A		
Age Category ¹ (ref: 18-34 years)									
Middle-aged (35-54 years)	N/A		ns	0.93 (0.70-1.24)	<0.001	0.50 (0.34-0.73)	0.012	0.65 (0.46-0.91)	
Older age (55-65 years)	N/A		ns	1.27 (0.87-1.86)	<0.001	0.33 (0.18-0.59)	ns	0.74 (0.46-1.18)	
BMI ^{2,a} (ref: Normal)									
Overweight/Obese	ns	0.80 (0.61-1.07)	N/A		N/A		N/A		
SES ^{3,b} (ref: ABC1) C2DE	0.046	0.75 (0.57-0.99)	N/A		N/A		N/A		
Vending Machines (ref: None)									
Available at Work	N/A		N/A		0.003	1.64 (1.18-2.27)	0.025	0.71 (0.52-0.96)	
Break Time Adequate ⁴ (ref: Agree	e)								
Disagree	N/A		N/A		N/A		0.026	0.69 (0.49-0.96)	
Satisfied with healthy									
food availability ⁵ (ref: Agree)									
Disagree	N/A		N/A		ns	1.01 (0.71-1.44)	N/A		
Workplace helps lead a									
healthy lifestyle ⁶ (ref: Agree)									
Disagree	0.028	0.73 (0.55-0.97)	N/A		0.047	1.43 (1.01-2.04)	ns	0.75 (0.55-1.02)	
†The full list of co-variates included in the analysis is rep OR, 95% CI = Odds Ratio, 95% Confidence Interval N/A = Not applicable (not significantly associated with th *According to the Food Safety Authority of Ireland dietar **Minutes per week based on national and internationa Significant odds ratios (p<0.05) are denoted in bold font ¹ Valid denominator = 1283 BMI ^{2,a} = Body Mass Index, calculated based on self-repor SE5 ^{3,b} = Socio-economic status, based on the occupation ⁴ Valid denominator = 1113 ⁵ Valid denominator = 299	e outcome of interes ry guidelines ¹⁶ I physical activity guic (these remained sign ted height and weigh	t in univariable analysis), ns = nc lelines ^{25,26} (ficantly associated with the out t and categorised according to t	ot significant in t come of interesi he World Health	after multivariable analysis) Organisation BMI classificatior				¹⁵ (Valid denominator = 124:	

<u>Variables</u>	<u>Fruit/Veg Intake</u> ≥ 5 servings/day*		<u>Wholegrain Intake</u> ≥1 serving/day*		<u>Soft Drink Intake</u> ≥1 serving/week*		Physical Activity ≥150 minutes/week**	
		<u>(n = 522)</u>		<u>(n = 512)</u>		<u>(n = 487)</u>	(<u>n = 509)</u>
	<u>p-</u> value	<u>OR (95% CI)</u>	<u>p-</u> value	<u>p-value</u>	<u>p-</u> value	<u>OR (95% CI)</u>	<u>p-value</u>	<u>OR (95% CI)</u>
Occupational Sector								
(ref: Health & Social Work)								
Accommodation & Food	ns	1.06 (0.67-1.66)	ns	0.85 (0.58-1.23)	ns	ns	ns	0.70 (0.39-1.26
Retail	ns	0.80 (0.52-1.24)	0.022	0.65 (0.44-0.94)	ns	0.81 (0.48-1.35)	ns	1.52 (0.85-2.71
Manufacturing	ns	1.05 (0.60-1.82)	ns	0.91 (0.58-1.42)	0.019	2.03 (1.12-3.68)	ns	1.34 (0.66-2.75
Other	ns	0.90 (0.61-1.33)	ns	1.17 (0.86-1.58)	ns	1.11 (0.71-1.75)	ns	1.33 (0.79-2.24
Duration of SW Exposure								
(ref: <8 years)								
≥8 years	N/A		0.02	1.38 (1.05-1.82)	ns	0.89 (0.62-1.30)	ns	1.15 (0.74-1.80
Average Shift Length								
(ref: <8 hours)								
8-11 hours	N/A		ns	0.99 (0.75-1.32)	ns	0.73 (0.50-1.06)	ns	0.99 (0.63-1.55
≥12 hours	N/A		ns	1.26 (0.89-1.79)	0.032	0.59 (0.37-0.96)	ns	1.12 (0.64-1.96
Predominant SW Pattern								
(ref: Days)								
Nights	N/A		0.011	0.61 (0.41-0.89)	N/A		N/A	
Rotating	N/A		0.026	0.65 (0.45-0.95)	N/A		N/A	
Other	N/A		0.002	0.65 (0.50-0.86)	N/A		N/A	
†The full list of co-variates included in the analysis i SW = Shift work OR, 95% CI = Odds Ratio, 95% Confidence Interval N/A = Not applicable (not significantly associated w *According to the Food Safety Authority of Ireland *Minutes per week based on national and internal Significant odds ratios (p<0.05) are denoted in bold	ith the outcome of int lietary guidelines ¹⁶ cional physical activity	erest in univariable analysis), ns : guidelines ^{25,26}	- not significant					

243 Fruit and vegetable intake

Male shift workers (relative to females) and those in the lower C2DE social class (relative to those in the upper ABC1 class) were 45% [p<0.001, OR = 0.55, 95% CI (0.40 - 0.74)] and 25% [p = 0.046, OR = 0.75, 95% CI (0.57 - 0.99)] less likely to consume five or more daily portions of fruits and vegetables respectively.

248 Wholegrain intake

Those exposed to shift work for 8 years or more (compared to those exposed for less) were 38% more likely [p= 0.02, OR = 1.38, 95% CI (1.05 - 1.82)] to consume wholegrains at least daily, while compared to those working mostly day shifts, participants working predominantly nights, rotating or other shift patterns were each over one-third less likely to do so [p= 0.011, OR = 0.61, 95% CI [0.41 -0.89), p= 0.026, OR = 0.65, 95% CI (0.45 - 0.95) and p= 0.002, OR = 0.65, 95% CI [0.50 - 0.86) respectively]. Retail shift workers were 35% less likely [p= 0.022, OR = 0.65, 95% CI (0.44 - 0.94)] to consume wholegrains on a daily basis compared to those working in health and social work services.

256 Soft drinks intake

257 Middle-aged shift workers (35-54 years old) were half as likely [p<0.001, OR = 0.50, 95% CI (0.34 - 0.50)]258 0.73)] to consume soft drinks at least weekly relative to the youngest participants (18-34 years old), 259 while the oldest age group of shift workers were 67% less likely to consume soft drinks at least 260 weekly relative to the youngest group [p<0.001, OR = 0.33, 95% CI (0.18 - 0.59)]. When compared to 261 those working in health and social work, manufacturing employees were just over twice as likely [p= 262 0.019, OR = 2.03, 95% CI (1.12 - 3.68)] to consume soft drinks at least once per week. Shift workers 263 with access to vending machines at work (compared to those without) were 64% [p = 0.003, OR = 264 1.64, 95% CI [1.18 – 2.27]] more likely to consume soft drinks at least once per week.

266 Physical Activity

Middle-aged shift workers were 35% [p = 0.012, OR = 0.65, 95% CI (0.46 - 0.91)] less likely to adhere
to national physical activity guidelines relative to the youngest participants, while those who did not
receive adequate break times at work (relative to those who did) were 31% [p = 0.026, OR = 0.69,
95% CI (0.49 - 0.96)] less likely to do so.

271

272 Discussion

273 This study identified factors pertaining to the individual, shift work exposure and the workplace 274 environment that were independently associated with adherence among a large cohort of shift 275 workers employed on the island of Ireland to selected indicators of population dietary and physical 276 activity guidelines. Overall, adherence among participants to these guidelines was sub-optimal - less than half were adherent to each of the indicators of interest. With respect to dietary intake, this 277 278 supports previous studies which have demonstrated lower intakes of fruits, vegetables and dietary 279 fibre^{22,23,24} as well as carbohydrates^{28,29} among shift workers compared to day workers. Consistent 280 observations of higher intakes of soft drinks, and of poorer dietary quality and quantity, have also been reported among shift workers compared to non-shift workers¹⁰. In contrast, previous research 281 282 has yielded conflicting results regarding the leisure physical activity of shift workers⁵, making comparison with our findings challenging. The low level of occupational activity, however, among 283 284 participants is consistent with some previous data³⁰ although others have reported greater levels of 285 same compared to day workers³¹. Such differences may be explained by variable methodological 286 approaches to measuring physical activity levels across these studies - nonetheless, there are 287 significant risks to physical and mental health associated with physical inactivity and sedentary lifestyles³². 288

We found that males and those of lower socio-economic status (SES) were significantly less likely to consume the recommended daily servings of fruits and vegetables. Social class and gender differences in intake of these foods have been described previously in the general Irish adult population^{19,33} – however, to the authors knowledge, this is the first time this finding has been confirmed specifically in a range of shift workers. One study conducted on airline shift workers found lower fruit and vegetable intake among males without in-flight work compared to day and in-flight workers²³.

Differences in dietary quality according to age among shift workers have been reported previously³⁴. 296 297 Age category also emerged as a significant factor in our analysis. Younger shift workers, compared to 298 those who were middle-aged and older, were more likely to consume soft drinks at least weekly. In 299 the general Irish population, young adults aged 15-34 years have been shown to have the highest 300 intakes of sugar-sweetened beverages, many of which are soft drinks¹⁹. In contrast, middle-aged 301 shift workers, compared to the youngest group, were less likely to adhere to aerobic physical activity 302 guidelines. Declining levels of awareness of and adherence to these guidelines with age have been 303 reported in the Irish adult population which may in part explain this finding³⁵.

We examined several factors pertaining to shift work exposure - duration of exposure, occupational sector, average shift length, and predominant shift pattern. We found, relative to those working in health and social work, retail sector shift workers were less likely to consume wholegrain foods daily,

while manufacturing workers were more likely to consume soft drinks at least weekly – the latter supports prior research demonstrating greater energy intake and poorer dietary quality in the manufacturing occupational sub-group²². Participants working predominantly non-day shifts were less likely to consume wholegrain foods daily, which is somewhat consistent with previous findings of poorer dietary quality among night and rotating shift workers compared to day workers^{34,36}. However, we did not observe any significant differences in consumption of fruits and vegetables or soft drinks across shift pattern. Cumulative years employed in shift work also emerged as a

314 significant factor in relation to daily wholegrain intake even when adjusted for age category, which 315 may suggest improvements in health and nutritional knowledge among those with greater 316 experience working in shift systems.

With regard to the work environment of participants, we observed two main findings. Those with access to vending machines at work, compared to those without, were more likely to consume soft drinks at least weekly - an important finding given improvements in availability (and price) of healthier choices in vending machines have been shown to improve sales of healthier products^{37,38}. In addition, those who reported that they did not receive adequate break times at work were less likely to be sufficiently active compared to those who did, supporting previous Irish research which identified a perceived lack of time to be a barrier to physical activity among shift workers³⁹.

324 Implications of Findings

The gender, age and social class differences we observed across specific dietary behaviours highlight that nutritional aspects of health education and interventions for shift workers which seek to improve their adherence to population dietary guidelines should be developed with these characteristics in mind. Our findings also highlight the need to target middle-aged shift workers with workplace interventions which aim to improve their adherence to population physical activity

330 guidelines.

Our findings regarding the shift work schedule and occupation of participants in relation to their adherence to selected indicators of dietary and physical activity guidelines may help employers to devise and adapt workplace policy and interventions which aim to improve adherence among employees to such guidelines. The workplace is a social context within which shift workers may spend a large amount of time and should support health-promoting lifestyle behaviours⁴⁰. This study has highlighted that provision for shift workers of healthy vending machines, food preparation and storage facilities, and adequate break times should be a priority for employers.

338 Strengths and Limitations

339 The study population was large in size, and was demographically, geographically and occupationally 340 representative of the Irish shift work population, about whom little is known from a research 341 perspective to date. Factors independently associated with adherence among participants to 342 selected indicators of dietary and physical activity guidelines were identified, the optimisation of 343 which is of importance from a public and occupational health perspective. Although a non-shift 344 worker control group was not available to us against which the adherence of participants could be 345 compared, nationally representative data for the general adult populations of the Republic (RoI) and 346 North of Ireland (NI) were included where appropriate for comparison and context.

347 The cross-sectional design of this study precludes the potential to draw causal inferences from 348 findings. Data were collected using a questionnaire, raising the possibility of recall and self-report 349 bias pertaining to potential misreporting of weight, height, dietary behaviours and physical activity. 350 Minor differences between the dietary and physical activity guidelines used and the corresponding 351 questions in the questionnaire may have led to a slight under- or over-estimation of adherence to 352 the selected indicators of the guidelines among participants. The study questionnaire did not 353 capture all domains of shift work - further research is needed to examine dietary and physical 354 activity behaviours across different shift schedules and systems. Occupational physical activity, 355 although not examined as an outcome in our study, remains an important consideration in relation 356 to the health of shift workers. The possibility of residual confounding due to factors not included or 357 measured in our analysis cannot be excluded. Finally, multicollinearity was not examined - as such 358 the possibility of correlation between independent variables remains, which may have affected the 359 validity of our findings.

360

362 Conclusions

Individual, work schedule and workplace environment-related factors were independently associated with selected indicators of adherence to dietary and physical activity guidelines in this cohort of shift workers. Shift workers face unique challenges to their health at the biological, psychological and social level. Tailored occupational health measures are required which address these challenges and provide solutions for them. This study has provided insights which may contribute to the development of such measures, targeting individual and organisational factors to protect the health of this vulnerable sub-population of the global workforce.

370 Ethical Approval

An ethics exemption application was approved by the Taught Masters Research Ethics Committee (TM-REC) of the UCD School of Public Health, Physiotherapy and Sports Science on the basis of previously granted ethical approval for the original safefood study by the DIT Research Ethics Committee in 2014 (Ethical Clearance Reference 14-09) - this application and approval from DIT were also reviewed and agreed by the Biomedical Sciences Ethics Committee of UU, Coleraine, Northern Ireland at the time. No new data were collected for this study.

377 Contributorship Statement

This study was a secondary analysis of data collected for a previous larger study commissioned by 378 379 safefood entitled 'Managing Food on Shift Work'. CC was the project lead for the original safefood 380 study, in collaboration with JK and MBL. FN and KP contributed to data collection, analysis and 381 interpretation for the original safefood study. CK and CC conceptualised the present study and its 382 methodology. CK lead the statistical analysis and data interpretation and wrote the manuscript. CC 383 supervised the present study and contributed to data analysis and interpretation, and the writing 384 and critical review of the manuscript. VOB and MBL contributed to data interpretation and the 385 critical review of the manuscript. FN, KP and JK contributed to the critical review of the manuscript. 386 All authors read the manuscript, contributed comments to its revision and have approved and

387	agreed to the final version. CK submitted the manuscript and is responsible for the overall content as
388	guarantor.
389	Funding
390	The original study was supported by <i>safe</i> food, the Food Safety Promotion Board, under Grant No.
391	[10-2013].
392	Competing Interests
393	Nil.
394	Acknowledgement
395	We would like to acknowledge and thank the shift workers who participated in this study.
396	
397	
398	
399	
400	
401	
402	
403	
404	
405	
406	
407	

408 References

- The Council of the European Union. Directive 2000/34/EC of the European Parliament and of
 the Council of 22 June 2000 amending Council Directive 93/104/EC 'concerning certain
 aspects of the organisation of working time to cover sectors and activities excluded from
 that Directive.' Official Journal 1993;l195:41-45.
- Health and Safety Authority. 'Guidance for Employers and Employees on Night and Shift 413 2 414 Work.' Dublin: Health and Safety Authority. 2012. Available at: 415 https://www.hsa.ie/eng/Publications_and_Forms/Publications/Healthcare_Sector/Night_an d_Shift_Work_2012.pdf [Accessed 14 February 2020] 416
- 417 3 Eurofound. 'Changes over time First findings from the fifth European Working Conditions
 418 Survey.' Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union. 2010. Available at:
 419 https://www.eurofound.europa.eu/sites/default/files/ef_publication/field_ef_document/ef
 420 1182en.pdf [Accessed 14 February 2020]
- Eurofound. 'First findings: Sixth European Working Conditions Survey.' Luxembourg: 421 4 Publications Office 422 of the European Union. 2015. Available at: https://www.eurofound.europa.eu/sites/default/files/ef_publication/field_ef_document/ef 423 424 1634en.pdf [Accessed 14 February 2020]
- 425 5 Nea F, Kearney J, Livingstone MB, et al. Dietary and lifestyle habits and the associated
 426 health risks in shift workers. *Nutr Res Rev* 2015;28(2):143-66.
- 427 6 Vogel M, Braungardt T, Meyer W, et al. The effects of shift work on physical and mental
 428 health. *J Neural Transm (Vienna)* 2012;119(10):1121-32.
- 429 7 IARC Monographs Vol 125 group. Carcinogenicity of night shift work. *Lancet Oncol*430 2019;20:1058-1059.
- 431 8 Lowden A, Moreno C, Holmbäck U, et al. Eating and shift work effects on habits,
 432 metabolism and performance. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 2010;36(2):150-62.

- 433 9 Zhao I and Turner C. The impact of shift work on people's daily health habits and adverse
 434 health outcomes. *Australian J of Adv Nursing* 2008;25(3):8-22.
- 435 10 Souza RV, Sarmento RA, de Almeida JC, et al. The effect of shift work on eating habits: a
 436 systematic review. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 2019;45(1):7-21.
- 437 11 Atkinson G, Fullick S, Grindey C, et al. Exercise, energy balance and the shift worker, *Sports*438 *Med* 2008;38(8):671-85.
- 439 12 Nea F, Pourshahidi LK, Kearney JM, et al. A qualitative exploration of the shift work
 440 experience: the perceived effect on eating habits, lifestyle behaviours and psychosocial
 441 wellbeing. *J of Public Health* 2018;40(4):e482-92.
- 442 13 safefood. Managing food on shift work: An exploration of the eating patterns, related 443 lifestyle behaviours and experiences of shift workers on the island of Ireland. Co. Cork, *safe*food. 444 Ireland: 2016. Available at: 445 http://www.safefood.eu/SafeFood/media/SafeFoodLibrary/Documents/Publications/Resear ch%20Reports/Managing-food-on-shift-work Island-of-Ireland-October-2016.pdf [Accessed 446 447 14 February 2020]
- 44814 World Health Organisation. Body mass index: BMI [World Health Organisation website].4492019. Availableat: http://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/disease-

prevention/nutrition/a-healthy-lifestyle/body-mass-index-bmi [Accessed 14 Febuary 2020]

- 451 15 National Readership Survey. Social grade [National Readership Survey website]. 2015.
 452 Available at: http://www.nrs.co.uk/nrs-print/lifestyle-and-classification-data/social-grade/
- 453 [Accessed 14 February 2020]

450

- 454 16 Food Safety Authority of Ireland. Healthy eating, food safety and food legislation: A guide 455 supporting the Healthy Ireland Food Pyramid. Dublin, Ireland: FSAI. 2016.
- 456 17 Wang X, Ouyang Y, Liu J, et al. Fruit and vegetable consumption and mortality from all 457 causes, cardiovascular disease, and cancer: systematic review and dose-response meta-458 analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Bmj* 2014;349:g4490.

459 18 Reynolds A, Mann J, Cummings J, et al. Carbohydrate quality and human health: a series of 460 systematic reviews and meta-analyses. Lancet 2019;393(10170):434-45. 19 Department of Health. Healthy Ireland 2018: A summary of findings. Dublin, Ireland: 461 Publications. 2018. 462 Government https://www.hse.ie/eng/about/who/healthwellbeing/healthy-ireland/publications/healthy-463 ireland-survey-2018.pdf [Accessed 14 February 2020] 464 465 20 Corrigan D and Scarlett M. Health Survey (NI): First Results 2017/18. Belfast, Northern 466 Ireland: Department of Health. 2018. Available at: https://www.healthni.gov.uk/sites/default/files/publications/health/hsni-first-results-17-18.pdf [Accessed 14] 467 February 2020] 468 469 21 Irish Universities Nutrition Alliance. National Adult Nutrition Survey: Summary Report. Cork, 470 Ireland: Irish Universities Nutrition Alliance. 2011. Available at: https://irp-471 cdn.multiscreensite.com/46a7ad27/files/uploaded/The%20National%20Adult%20Nutrition %20Survey%20Summary%20Report%20March%202011.pdf [Accessed 14 February 2020] 472 473 22 Nakamura M, Miura A, Nagahata T, et al. Dietary intake and dinner timing among shift workers in Japan. J Occup Health 2018;60(6):467-74. 474 23 Hemio K, Puttonen S, Viitasalo K, et al. Food and nutrient intake among workers with 475 476 different shift systems. Occup Environ Med 2015;72(7):513-20. 477 24 Balieiro LC, Rossato LT, Waterhouse J et al. Nutritional status and eating habits of bus drivers during the day and night. Chronobiol Int 2014;31(10):1123-9. 478 25 Department of Health. Get Ireland Active: National physical activity action plan for Ireland. 479 Department 480 Ireland: Health. 2016 Available of at: https://www.getirelandactive.ie/Professionals/National-PA-Plan.pdf [Accessed 14 February 481 2020] 482 483 26 World Health Organisation. Global recommendations on physical activity for health. Geneva, 484 Switzerland: WHO. 2010. Available at:

485 https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44399/9789241599979_eng.pdf;jsessioni

486 d=283A70241353F8D9193F6FA2EA6B8B53?sequence=1 [Accessed 14 February 2020]

- Profis M and Simon-Tuval T. The influence of healthcare workers' occupation on Health
 Promoting Lifestyle Profile. *Ind Health* 2016;54:439-447. Heath G, Dorrian J and Coates A.
 Associations between shift type, sleep, mood, and diet in a group of shift working
 nurses. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 2019;45(4):402-12.
- 491 28 Heath G, Coates A, Sargent C, et al. Sleep duration and chronic fatigue are differently 492 associated with the dietary profile of shift workers. *Nutrients* 2016;8(12):E771.
- 493 29 Hulsegge G, Gupta M, Holtermann A et al. Shift workers have similar leisure-time physical
 494 activity levels as day workers but are more sedentary at work. *Scand J Work Environ Health*495 2017;43(2):127-35.
- 496 30 Hulsegge G, Gupta M, Holtermann A et al. Shift workers have similar leisure-time physical
 497 activity levels as day workers but are more sedentary at work. *Scand J Work Environ Health*498 2017;43(2):127-35.
- 499 31 Loef B, van der Beek AJ, Holtermann A et al. Objectively measured physical activity of
 500 hospital shift workers. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 2018;44(3):265-73.
- 32 Guthold R, Stevens GA, Riley LM, et al. Worldwide trends in insufficient physical activity from
 2001 to 2016: a pooled analysis of 358 population-based surveys with 1.9 million
 participants. *Lancet Glob Health* 2018;6(10):e1077-e1086.
- 50433 National Nutrition Surveillance Centre. Dietary Habits of the Irish Population: results from505SLÁN. Dublin: University College Dublin. 2003. Available at:506http://www.ucd.ie/issda/static/documentation/slan/diethab.pdf [Accessed 14 February5072020]
- 508 34 Morikawa Y, Miura K, Sasaki S, et al. Evaluation of the effects of shift work on nutrient 509 intake: A cross-sectional study. *J Occup Health* 2008;50(3):270-8.

- 510 35 Department of Health. Healthy Ireland: Survey Report 2019. Dublin: Government
 511 Publications. 2019. https://assets.gov.ie/41141/e5d6fea3a59a4720b081893e11fe299e.pdf.
 512 [Accessed 18 July 2020]
- 513 36 Bonnell EK, Huggins CE, Huggins CT et al. Influences on dietary choices during day versus 514 night shift in shift workers: a mixed methods study. *Nutrients* 2017;9(3):E193
- 515 37 Gupta C, Coates A, Dorrian J and Banks S. The factors influencing the eating behaviours of 516 shift workers: what, when, where and why. *Ind Health* 2019;57:419-53.
- 517 38 Grech A and Allman-Farinelli M. A systematic literature review of nutrition interventions in 518 vending machines that encourage consumers to make healthier choices. *Obes Rev* 519 2015;16(12):1030-41.
- 39 Nea F, Pourshahidi LK, Kearney J et al. A qualitative exploration of the shift work experience:
 the perceived barriers and facilitators to a healthier lifestyle and the role of the workplace
 environment. *J Occup Environ Med* 2017;59(12):1153-60.
- 40 Lassen AD, Fagt S, Lennernäs M et al. The impact of worksite interventions promoting
 healthier food and/or physical activity habits among employees working 'around the clock'
 hours: a systematic review. *Food Nutr Res* 2018;62:1115.

526

Supplementary Table 1. Predominant shift work pattern of study participants (re-coded and original categories).

Predominant Shift Work Pattern	Predominant Shift Work Pattern
(Re-coded)	(Original)
Days	• Days (the majority of the shift falls between 06.00-14.00)
	 Afternoons (the majority of the shift falls between 14.00-22.00)
Nights	 Nights (the majority of the shift falls between 22.00-06.00)
Rotating	 Rotating but predominantly working days
	 Rotating but predominantly working afternoons
	 Rotating but predominantly working nights
Other	 Equal day/afternoon/night rotation (roughly equal split between the above described day/afternoon/night shifts, but does not need to include all 3 types, and can be rotation between just 2 types) Split shifts (defined as two shifts worked in a 24-hour period, with a short break in between) Inconsistent shifts Other (i.e. a shift type which does not fit into the above patterns)

factors and number of daily servings of fru Variables	<u>≥5/day</u>			~1	
variables		<u><5/day</u> (n = 778)			
	(n = 522)	<u>(n = 778)</u>	n volue*		
Carden (act: Famile) Mala	<u>n (%)</u>	<u>n (%)</u>	p-value*	<u>OR</u>	<u>95% CI</u>
Gender (ref: Female) Male	227 (43.5)	445 (57.2)	<0.001	0.58	(0.46 - 0.72)
Age Category ¹ (ref: 18-34 years)					<i></i>
Middle-aged (35-54 years)	249 (48.6)	357 (46.3)	ns	1.15	(0.90 - 1.47)
Older age (55-65 years)	78 (15.2)	109 (14.1)	ns	1.18	(0.84 - 1.66)
BMI ² (ref: Normal)					(
Overweight/Obese	215 (49.0)	361 (56.3)	0.018	0.74	(0.58 - 0.95)
Socio-economic status ³ (ref: ABC1)					
C2DE	237 (46.9)	407 (55.1)	0.004	0.72	(0.57 - 0.90)
Occupational Sector					
(ref: Health & Social Work)					
Accommodation & Food	87 (16.7)	131 (16.8)	ns	0.77	(0.54 - 1.08)
Retail	89 (17.0)	140 (18.0)	ns	0.73	(0.52 - 1.03)
Manufacturing	44 (8.4)	66 (8.5)	ns	0.77	(0.50 - 1.19)
Other	137 (26.2)	251 (32.3)	0.002	0.63	(0.47 - 0.84)
Duration of SW Exposure (ref: 8 years)					
≥8 years	269 (51.5)	409 (52.6)	ns	0.96	(0.77 - 1.20)
Average Shift Length (ref: <8 hours)					
8-11 hours	243 (46.6)	379 (48.7)	ns	0.95	(0.73 - 1.23)
≥12 hours	126 (24.1)	173 (22.2)	ns	1.08	(0.79 - 1.46)
Predominant SW Pattern (ref: Days)					
Nights	56 (10.7)	108 (13.9)	ns	0.69	(0.48 - 1.00)
Rotating	76 (14.6)	92 (11.8)	ns	1.10	(0.77 - 1.57)
Other	193 (37.0)	315 (40.5)	ns	0.82	(0.63 - 1.06)
Food Preparation Facilities (ref: Yes)					
Not Available at Work	230 (44.1)	385 (49.5)	ns	0.80	(0.64 - 1.01)
Food Storage Facilities (ref: Yes)					
Not Available at Work	199 (38.1)	317 (40.7)	ns	0.90	(0.71 - 1.13)
Vending Machines (ref: None)					
Available at Work	379 (72.6)	566 (72.8)	ns	0.99	(0.77 - 1.27)
Break Times Adequate ⁴ (ref: Agree)					
Disagree	145 (32.3)	192 (28.9)	ns	1.17	(0.91 - 1.52)
Satisfied with healthy					
food availability ⁵ (ref: Agree)					
Disagree	154 (38.4)	224 (42.4)	ns	0.85	(0.65 - 1.10)
Workplace helps lead					
a healthy lifestyle ⁶ (ref: Agree)					
Disagree	186 (43.3)	310 (51.2)	0.011	0.73	(0.57 - 0.93)
Leisure facilities (ref: Yes)					
Not Available at Work	459 (87.9)	699 (89.8)	ns	0.82	(0.58 - 1.17)
BMI = Body Mass Index *P values <0.05 were deemed significant (denoted in bold font) *According to the Food Safety Authority of Ireland dietary guidelines ⁴⁶ ¹ Valid denominator = 1283 ² Those categorised as ¹ Underweight' were excluded (n = 30)		29			

Supplementary Table 2: Univariable analysis of individual, shift work exposure and workplace environment factors and number of daily servings of fruits and vegetables^a of participants (n = 1300)

<u>Variables</u>	<u>≥1 serv/day</u>	<1 serv/day			
	<u>(n = 512)</u>	<u>(n = 788)</u>			
	<u>n (%)</u>	<u>n (%)</u>	p-value*	<u>OR</u>	<u>95% CI</u>
Gender (ref: Female) Male	269 (52.5)	403 (51.1)	ns	1.06	(0.85 - 1.32)
Age Category ¹ (ref: 18-34 years)					
Middle-aged (35-54 years)	239 (47.2)	367 (47.2)	ns	1.15	(0.90 - 1.47
Older age (55-65 years)	90 (17.8)	97 (12.5)	0.004	1.64	(1.17 - 2.31
BMI ² (ref: Normal)					
Overweight/Obese	237 (54.0)	339 (52.9)	ns	1.05	(0.82 - 1.33
Socio-economic status ³ (ref: ABC1)					
C2DE	257 (51.8)	387 (51.8)	ns	1.00	(0.80 - 1.33
Occupational Sector					
(ref: Health & Social Work)					
Accommodation & Food	77 (15.0)	141 (17.9)	ns	0.78	(0.55 - 1.11
Retail	69 (13.5)	160 (20.3)	0.007	0.62	(0.43 - 0.88
Manufacturing	43 (8.4)	167 (8.5)	ns	0.92	(0.59 - 1.42
Other	177 (34.6)	211 (26.8)	ns	1.20	(0.90 - 1.61
Duration of SW Exposure (ref: <8 yrs)	· · · ·	х <i>у</i>			,
≥8 years	299 (58.4)	379 (48.1)	<0.001	1.52	(1.21 - 1.90
Average Shift Length (ref: <8 hours)	ζ, γ	х <i>у</i>			
8-11 hours	241 (47.1)	381 (48.4)	ns	1.09	(0.84 - 1.43
≥12 hours	132 (25.8)	167 (21.2)	0.049	1.37	(1.00 - 1.86
Predominant SW Pattern (ref: Days)	· · · ·	х <i>у</i>			·
Nights	54 (10.5)	110 (14.0)	0.007	0.60	(0.41 - 0.87
Rotating	61 (11.9)	107 (13.6)	ns	0.70	、 (0.48 - 1.00
Other	190 (37.1)	318 (40.4)	0.017	0.73	(0.57 - 0.94
Food Preparation Facilities (ref: Yes)		(-)			,
Not Available at Work	248 (48.4)	367 (46.6)	ns	1.08	(0.86 - 1.35
Food Storage Facilities (ref: Yes)	- (-)				(
Not Available at Work	210 (41.0)	306 (38.8)	ns	1.10	(0.87 - 1.37
Vending Machines (ref: None)	- (-)	,			(
Available at Work	133 (26.0)	222 (28.2)	ns	1.12	(0.87 - 1.44
Break Times Adequate ⁴ (ref: Agree)		((0.07
Disagree	138 (31.9)	199 (29.2)	ns	1.14	(0.88 - 1.48
Satisfied with healthy	200 (02.0)				(0.00 1.0
food availability ⁵ (ref: Agree)					
Disagree	140 (37.9)	238 (42.5)	ns	0.83	(0.63 - 1.08
Workplace helps lead	(0.00	(0.00 2.00
a healthy lifestyle ⁶ (ref: Agree)					
Disagree	196 (46.6)	300 (48.9)	ns	0.91	(0.71 - 1.17
Leisure Facilities (ref: Yes)		500 (40.5)	115	5.5±	(0.7 1.17
Not Available at Work	462 (90.2)	696 (88.3)	ns	1.22	(0.85 - 1.76
BMI = Body Mass Index Serv = Serving, yrs = years	OR, 95% CI = Oc	Ids Ratio, 95% Confidence Interval ints declined to respond (n = 57))		1.22	(0.05 1.70
Pr Values <0.50 were deemed significant (denoted in bold font) *According to the Food Safety Authority of Ireland dietary guidelines ¹⁶ 'Valid denominator = 1283 "Those categorised as 'Underweight' were excluded (n = 30)	⁴ Valid denomin ⁵ Valid denomin ⁶ Valid denomin	ator = 1113 ator = 929			

Variables	<u>≥1/week</u>	<1/week			
	(n = 487)	(n = 813)			
	<u>n (%)</u>	<u>n (%)</u>	p-value*	<u>OR</u>	<u>95% CI</u>
Gender (ref: Female) Male	273 (56.1)	399 (49.1)	0.015	1.32	(1.06 - 1.66)
Age Category ¹ (ref: 18-34 years)					
Middle-aged (35-54 years)	203 (42.4)	403 (50.1)	<0.001	0.50	(0.39 - 0.64)
Older age (55-65 years)	31 (6.5)	156 (19.4)	<0.001	0.20	(0.13 - 0.30)
BMI ² (ref: Normal)					
Overweight/Obese	221 (55.8)	355 (51.9)	ns	1.17	(0.91 - 1.50
Socio-economic status ³ (ref: ABC1)					
C2DE	245 (53.6)	398 (50.8)	ns	1.12	(0.89 - 1.41
Occupational Sector					
(ref: Health & Social Work)					
Accommodation & Food	100 (20.5)	118 (14.5)	0.001	1.79	(1.27 - 2.54
Retail	75 (15.4)	154 (18.9)	ns	1.03	(0.72 - 1.47
Manufacturing	52 (10.7)	58 (7.1)	0.004	1.90	(1.23 - 2.93
Other	146 (30.0)	242 (29.8)	ns	1.28	(0.94 - 1.73
Duration of SW Exposure (ref: <8 years)					-
≥8 years	205 (42.1)	473 (58.2)	<0.001	0.52	(0.42 - 0.66
Average Shift Length (ref: <8 hours)	. ,				
8-11 hours	241 (49.5)	381 (46.9)	ns	0.90	(0.67 - 1.17
≥12 hours	90 (18.5)	209 (25.7)	0.003	0.62	(0.45 - 0.85
Predominant SW Pattern (ref: Days)	. ,				
Nights	73 (15.0)	91 (11.2)	ns	1.42	(0.99 - 2.04
Rotating	63 (12.9)	105 (12.9)	ns	1.06	(0.74 - 1.53
Other	185 (38.0)	323 (39.7)	ns	1.01	(0.78 - 1.32
Food Preparation Facilities (ref: Yes)	. ,				
Not Available at Work	233 (47.8)	382 (47.0)	ns	1.04	(0.83 - 1.30
Food Storage Facilities (ref: Yes)	, ,	. ,			·
Not Available at Work	186 (38.2)	330 (40.6)	ns	0.90	(0.72 - 1.14
Vending Machines (ref: None)	· · ·	. ,			·
Available at Work	169 (34.7)	186 (22.9)	<0.001	1.79	(1.40 - 2.30
Break Times Adequate ⁴ (ref: Agree)	()	(- /			•
Disagree	133 (31.6)	204 (29.5)	ns	1.11	(0.85 - 1.44
Satisfied with healthy	()				(0.00 - 0.00
food availability ⁵ (ref: Agree)					
Disagree	160 (44.9)	218 (38.0)	0.038	1.33	(1.02 - 1.74
Workplace helps lead	100 (1113)	210 (00.0)	0.000	1.00	(1.02 1.0 1
a healthy lifestyle ⁶ (ref: Agree)					
Disagree	208 (54.0)	288 (44.3)	0.003	1.48	(1.15 - 1.90
Leisure Facilities (ref: Yes)	200 (07.0)	200 (44.0)	0.000	2.70	(1.15 1.50
Not Available at Work	430 (88.3)	728 (89.5)	ns	0.88	(0.62 - 1.26
BMI = Body Mass Index *P values <0.05 were deemed significant (denoted in bold font) *According to the Food Safety Authority of Ireland dietary guidelines ⁴⁶ 'Valid denominator = 1283	OR, 95% CI = Oc	lds Ratio, 95% Confidence In nts declined to respond (n = ator = 1113 ator = 929	terval		(0.02 2.20

<u>Variables</u>	<u>≥150min/wk</u> <u>(n = 509)</u> <u>n (%)</u>	<u><150min/wk</u> (<u>n = 789)</u> <u>n (%)</u>			
			<u>p-value*</u>	<u>OR</u>	<u>95% Cl</u>
Age Category ¹ (ref: 18-34 years)					
Middle-aged (35-54 years)	207 (41.2)	398 (51.2)	<0.001	0.62	(0.49 - 0.79)
Older age (55-65 years)	73 (14.5)	114 (14.7)	ns	0.76	(0.54 - 1.08
BMI ² (ref: Normal)					
Overweight/Obese	223 (50.1)	353 (55.6)	ns	0.80	(0.63 - 1.02
Socio-economic status ³ (ref: ABC1)					
C2DE	246 (49.5)	397 (53.4)	ns	0.86	(0.68 - 1.08
Occupational Sector					
(ref: Health & Social Work)					
Accommodation & Food	100 (19.6)	118 (15.0)	0.008	1.59	(1.13 - 2.25
Retail	90 (17.7)	138 (17.5)	ns	1.23	(0.87 - 1.73
Manufacturing	37 (7.3)	73 (9.3)	ns	0.95	(0.61 - 1.50
Other	159 (31.2)	229 (29.0)	ns	1.30	(0.97 - 1.76
Duration of SW Exposure (ref: <8 yrs)		· · · ·			,
≥8 years	246 (48.3)	431 (54.6)	0.027	0.78	(0.62- 0.97
Average Shift Length (ref: <8 hours)		· · · ·			•
8-11 hours	253 (49.7)	369 (46.8)	ns	0.90	(0.70 - 1.17
≥12 hours	93 (18.3)	205 (26.0)	0.002	0.60	(0.44 - 0.82
Predominant SW Pattern (ref: Days)					
Nights	63 (12.4)	101 (12.8)	ns	0.89	(0.62 - 1.28
Rotating	60 (11.8)	108 (13.7)	ns	0.79	(0.55 - 1.14
Other	197 (38.7)	311 (39.4)	ns	0.90	(0.70 - 1.17
Food Preparation Facilities (ref: Yes)	(· · · ·			,
Not Available at Work	235 (46.2)	379 (48.0)	ns	0.93	(0.74 - 1.16
Food Storage Facilities (ref: Yes)	(· · · ·			,
Not Available at Work	195 (38.3)	319 (40.4)	ns	0.92	(0.73 - 1.15
Vending Machines (ref: None)					(
Available at Work	119 (23.4)	235 (29.8)	0.012	0.72	(0.56 - 0.93
Break Time Adequate ⁴ (ref: Agree)	()				(
Disagree	113 (25.3)	223 (33.5)	0.004	0.67	(0.52 - 0.88
Satisfied with healthy		(0010)			(0.02 0.00
food availability ⁵ (ref: Agree)					
Disagree	133 (37.9)	245 (42.5)	ns	0.83	(0.63 - 1.09
Workplace helps lead	100 (07.0)	243 (42.3)	115	0.00	(0.03 1.03
a healthy lifestyle ⁶ (ref: Agree)					
Disagree	179 (42.4)	317 (51.8)	0.003	0.67	(0.53 - 0.88
Leisure facilities (ref: Yes)	-/ 5 (72.7)	51, (51.0)	0.000	0.07	,5.55 - 0.66
Not Available at Work	445 (87.4)	711 (90.1)	ns	0.76	(0.54 - 1.08
BMI = Body Mass Index BMI = Body Mass Index Min/wk = Minutes per week, yrs = years *P values <0.05 were deemed significant (denoted in bold font) *According to national and international physical activity guidelines ^{75,26} 'Valid denominator = 1281	OR, 95% CI = O	dds Ratio, 95% Confidence Interval ants declined to respond (Valid der ator = 1111 ator = 928		0.70	(0.0+ - 1.00