

Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research

Finnish Office for Health Care Technology Assessment



# Assessments of telemedicine applications - an update

David Hailey, Risto Roine, Arto Ohinmaa

September 2001

# Assessments of telemedicine applications - an update

# David Hailey<sup>1,2</sup>, Risto Roine<sup>3</sup>, Arto Ohinmaa<sup>2,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research, Edmonton

<sup>2</sup> Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton

<sup>3</sup> Finnish Office for Health Care Technology Assessment, Helsinki

<sup>4</sup> Department of Economics, Health Services Research Unit, University of Oulu

# September 2001

© Copyright Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research and Finnish Office for Health Care Technology Assessment, 2001.

Comments on this document are welcome and should be sent to:

Director, Health Technology Assessment Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research Suite 1500, 10104 – 103 Avenue NW Edmonton Alberta T5J 4A7 CANADA

or:

Finnish Office for Health Care Technology Assessment STAKES/FinOHTA P.O. Box 220 FIN-00531 Helsinki FINLAND

ISBN: 1-896956-45-9

# Foreword

This report brings together details of an update to a systematic review of the telemedicine evaluation literature that was undertaken by FinOHTA and AHFMR on behalf of the International Network of Agencies for Health Technology Assessment (INAHTA) and published in 1999. The present review is based on results of further literature searches undertaken between February and December 2000 and covers studies published since the earlier report was prepared.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors and agencies involved in the preparation of this report are most grateful to the following individuals, who reviewed the document in draft form, for their helpful comments and suggestions. The opinions in the report are those of the authors.

Dr. Trevor Cradduck, Alberta Health and Wellness, Edmonton, Canada

Mr. Bernard Crowe, Health Informatics Society of Australia, Canberra

Dr. Egil Bovim, National Centre on Emergency Health-Care Communication, Bergen, Norway

Professor Penny Jennett, Community Health Sciences, University of Calgary, Canada

Dr. Berit Mørland, Norwegian Centre for Health Technology Assessment, Oslo

Professor Richard Wootton, Centre for Online Health, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

Ms. Liza Chan, AHFMR, Edmonton and Ms. Leigh-Ann Topfer, Institute of Health Economics, Edmonton undertook literature searches for the review, and their support is greatly appreciated.

# SUMMARY

- A systematic review of telemedicine assessments based on searches of the electronic data bases between November 1998 and December 2000 identified 38 scientifically credible studies that included comparison with a non-telemedicine alternative and which reported administrative changes, patient outcomes or results of economic assessment.
- Nine of the studies were considered to be of good quality. Only some of these corresponded to the nine papers that described work based on randomized controlled trials. The quality of most cost and economic analyses was relatively poor.
- Nineteen of the studies concluded that telemedicine had advantages over the alternative approach, 16 also drew attention to some negative aspects or were unclear whether telemedicine had advantages and three found that the alternative approach had advantages over telemedicine.
- For several applications, savings and sometimes clinical benefit were obtained through avoidance of travel and associated delays. The home care studies showed convincing evidence of benefit, while those on teledermatology indicated that there were cost disadvantages to health care providers, though not to patients.
- Twenty three of the studies appeared to have potential to influence future decisions on the telemedicine application under consideration. However, a number of these had methodological limitations.
- The overall findings are similar to those of a previous review. Useful data are emerging on some telemedicine applications, but good quality studies are still scarce and generalisability of most assessment findings may be limited.

# CONTENTS

| Foreword  | i   |
|---|-----|
| Acknowledgments   | ii  |
| Summary   | iii |
| Introduction  | 1   |
| Methods   | 2   |
| Literature search   | 2   |
| Selection of publications   | 2   |
| Retrieved articles  | 4   |
| Results   | 5   |
| Study classification  | 5   |
| Conclusions reached in studies  | 7   |
| Discussion  | 9   |
| Appendix A: Classification of studies that evaluated telemedicine applications  | 11  |
| Appendix B: Potential impact and limitations of telemedicine assessment studies | 30  |
| References  | 42  |

#### Tables:

| Table 1: | Search strategy                                | 2 |
|----------|--|---|
| Table 2: | Levels of scientific evidence                  | 3 |
| Table 3: | Telemedicine studies by area of application    | 6 |
| Table 4: | Settings for telemedicine studies              | 6 |
| Table 5: | Conclusions regarding telemedicine             | 7 |
| Table 6: | Indications of outcomes by type of application | 8 |
| Table 7: | Studies evaluating telemedicine applications1  | 2 |
| Table 8: | Status and influence of assessments3           | 1 |

### INTRODUCTION

In August 1999, a report on the assessment of telemedicine applications was prepared jointly by the Finnish Office for Health Care Technology Assessment (FinOHTA) and the Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research (AHFMR) on behalf of the International Network of Agencies for Health Technology Assessment (INAHTA) <sup>(29)</sup>. The report included a systematic review of assessments that reported the outcomes of telemedicine, covering the literature between 1966 and November 1998. The earlier report considered studies that had included comparison with a non-telemedicine alternative and which reported administrative changes, patient outcomes or results of economic assessment.

A total of 29 studies were deemed to fulfill the inclusion criteria of the review, of which 11 were primarily economic evaluations. The most convincing evidence regarding the effectiveness of telemedicine dealt with teleradiology, teleneurosurgery, telepsychiatry and transmission of echocardiographic images. Promising results had also been obtained for the transmission of electrocardiograms. However, even in these applications, most of the available literature referred only to pilot projects and short term outcomes. Economic assessments were mostly cost studies and were generally of limited quality. It was concluded that further scientific assessment studies of telemedicine were needed.

The present report is an update of the systematic review, covering the literature that has emerged since publication of the INAHTA report. It is intended to provide a further overview of the available evidence on the efficacy, effectiveness and economic impact of telemedicine applications, as a guide to decision makers in health care. Once again, studies meeting selection criteria are listed and discussed in terms of the clinical area of the application, the strength of evidence presented and the conclusions reached. In addition, a further listing has been compiled which gives some consideration to the limitations of the selected studies and to their potential effects on administrative decisions.

# METHODS

#### Literature search

Computerized literature searches were performed in February 2000 using the MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, HealthStar and CRD databases and the Cochrane Library (all from November 1998). Updates of the search were undertaken in May, August and December 2000. The search strategy followed the approach taken in the earlier review (Table 1).

#### Table 1: Search strategy

| 001 | exp telemedicine/            |
|-----|------------------------------|
| 002 | telemedicine.tw. not 1       |
| 003 | telepsychiatry.tw. not 1     |
| 004 | teleradiology.tw. not 1      |
| 005 | teleconsultation\$.tw. not 1 |
| 006 | or/1-5                       |
| 007 | assess\$.tw. and 6           |
| 008 | evaluat\$.tw. and 6          |
| 009 | validat\$.tw. and 6          |
| 010 | feasib\$.tw. and 6           |
| 011 | pilot.tw. and 6              |
| 012 | or/7-11                      |
| 013 | or/6-12                      |

## Selection of publications

Initial screening of the identified articles was based on their abstracts. All abstracts were read independently by each author. Selection of relevant articles was based on the information obtained from the abstracts and was agreed upon in discussion between the authors. When an abstract did not give sufficiently precise information about the study or such information was not available at all, the article was obtained for further review.

As in the previous report <sup>(29)</sup>, articles were selected which compared, in a scientifically valid manner, outcomes of a telemedicine application in terms of administrative changes, patient outcomes or economic assessment with those of a conventional alternative. Articles which were limited to describing the feasibility or the technical evaluation of a certain system were excluded.

Full-text articles obtained for closer inspection were evaluated independently by all the authors, who then reached a consensus on whether or not an article should be included in the final review, using the criteria given above.

Studies without a comparison between a telemedicine application and a conventional alternative were rejected. Articles which were duplicates of the same authors' other published studies were excluded - the most representative of the studies was included for further consideration.

In considering the strength of evidence given in each selected article, reference was made again to the study design used, according to the nine level classification of Jovell and Navarro-Rubio shown in Table 2 <sup>(19)</sup>. Judgments on the quality of the studies took account of factors such as numbers and selection of subjects, adequacy of description of interventions and methods of analysis, presentation and analysis of data, and relevance of the conclusions to the analysis.

| Level<br>Highest (I) to<br>Lowest (IX) | Strength of evidence | Type of<br>study design  | Conditions of<br>scientific rigour*   |  |
|--|----------------------|--|---|--|
| 1                                      | Good                 | Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials  | Analysis of patient individual<br>data<br>Meta-regression<br>Different techniques of analysis<br>Absence of heterogeneity<br>Quality of the studies |  |
| 11                                     |                      | Large sample randomized<br>controlled trials   | Assessment of statistical power<br>Multicentre<br>Quality of the study  |  |
| Ш                                      | Good<br>to           | Small sample randomized<br>controlled trials   | Assessment of statistical power<br>Quality of the study   |  |
| IV                                     | Fair                 | Non-randomized controlled prospective trials   | Concurrent controls<br>Multicentre<br>Quality of the study  |  |
| V                                      |                      | Non-randomized controlled retrospective trials   | Historical controls<br>Quality of the study   |  |
| VI                                     | Fair                 | Cohort studies   | Concurrent controls<br>Multicentre<br>Quality of the study  |  |
| VII                                    |                      | Case-control studies   | Multicentre studies<br>Quality of the study   |  |
| VIII                                   | Poor                 | Non-controlled clinical series<br>Descriptive studies:<br>surveillance of disease,<br>surveys, registers, data<br>bases, prevalence studies<br>Expert committees,<br>consensus conferences | Multicentre   |  |
| IX                                     |                      | Anecdotes or case reports  |   |  |

 Table 2:
 Levels of scientific evidence

\* Quality of the study assessed by specific protocols and conditions of scientific rigour.

Source: Reference 19

Several studies that compared outcomes of telemedicine and non-telemedicine alternatives were excluded because there were substantial reservations regarding their scientific validity. Limitations included inadequate specification of the study population and absence of data to substantiate the conclusions reached.

#### **Retrieved articles**

A total of 540 publications were identified in the literature searches of which 77 were retrieved for closer inspection. From these, 36 studies were judged to meet the selection criteria and were included in the review. Two other publications were identified through projects undertaken by AHFMR and both were included to give a total of 38 studies for consideration. One of the papers was an earlier report that had not been located in the previous review <sup>(39)</sup>.

# RESULTS

### Study classification

The 38 selected publications were classified in two ways. The first followed the approach taken in the INAHTA review and considered the studies in terms of area of application, objectives, approach taken, the setting and results and conclusions, including any economic analysis. Details are shown in Appendix A.

The intention of the second classification was to provide further context for the studies through considering their potential effects on decision making in respect of telemedicine services, any methodological limitations and suggestions made for future work. Details are provided in Appendix B.

In both classifications, the studies were grouped in the 12 areas of application shown in Table 3. Thirty-one of the articles assessed at least some clinical or administrative outcomes and 15 of these had cost or economic analyses. The remaining seven papers were economic studies. As with the earlier INAHTA review, the economic analyses in the articles were mostly variants of cost analysis. Judgements made on reviewing the contents of the papers suggested that 23 studies appeared to have a potential to influence future decision making on telemedicine services.

Nine of the studies were based on randomized controlled trials, corresponding to Categories II or III from the Jovell and Navarro-Rubio list given in Table 2. Of the remaining studies that considered clinical or administrative outcomes, four were level IV or V, seven level VI, four level VII and seven level VIII.

Conditions of scientific rigour varied considerably. Nine of the 38 studies were considered to be of good quality. Only some of these corresponded to the papers that described work based on randomized controlled trials. As in the studies considered for the earlier INAHTA review, the quality of most cost and economic analyses was relatively poor. In many papers, procedures for selection of patients, and for reading and interpretation of clinical findings were not adequately described. Outcome measures used were sometimes vaguely defined or clinically not very relevant.

The settings for the studies are indicated in Table 4. Most involved links between a hospital and a smaller centre and most were preliminary in nature, referring to pilot projects. Nineteen of the studies were from the USA, eight from the UK, four from Finland and one each from Australia, Canada, France, Italy, New Zealand, Norway and a group of four European countries.

| Area of application  | Number of studies | Cost or<br>economic<br>analysis | Studies<br>based on<br>RCTs | Potential<br>influence on<br>policy decisions |
|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Burns                | 1                 | 1                               |                             |   |
| Cardiology           | 4                 | 1                               |                             | 2   |
| Dermatology          | 7                 | 6                               | 3*                          | 6   |
| Emergency room       | 1                 |                                 | 1                           | 1   |
| Home care            | 5                 | 2                               | 3                           | 5   |
| Medical consultation | 6                 | 4                               | 1                           | 3   |
| Mental health        | 3                 | 2                               | 1                           | 2   |
| Neurology            | 2                 |                                 |                             |   |
| Ophthalmology        | 2                 | 2                               |                             | 1   |
| Pathology            | 2                 | 2                               |                             | 1   |
| Radiology            | 4                 | 2                               |                             | 2   |
| Rheumatology         | 1                 |                                 |                             |   |
| Totals               | 38                | 22                              | 9                           | 23  |

 Table 3:
 Telemedicine studies by area of application

\* two studies were based on the same RCT

#### Table 4: Settings for telemedicine studies

| Type of setting                               | Number |
|---|--------|
| Hospital and outreach clinic or health centre | 18     |
| Major hospital and smaller hospital           | 11     |
| Home care and hospital or clinic              | 7      |
| Major hospital – major hospital               | 1      |
| Clinic – consultant                           | 1      |

#### **Conclusions reached in studies**

Overall conclusions reached in the reviewed papers are summarised in Table 5. Most indicated that telemedicine had advantages over the alternative approach, though a number also drew attention to disadvantages or uncertainties.

| General conclusions   | Number of studies |
|---|-------------------|
| Telemedicine had advantages over the alternative approach   | 19                |
| Telemedicine had advantages over the alternative approach but there were also some negative aspects | 8                 |
| Unclear whether telemedicine had advantages, further work probably needed                           | 8                 |
| Alternative approach had advantages over telemedicine   | 3                 |

Table 5: Conclusions regarding telemedicine

Outcomes of telemedicine by application gave some indication of efficacy or cost implications though, as in the papers considered for the earlier review, these were influenced strongly by local conditions, making generalisation difficult. Overall conclusions indicated by the studies are shown in Table 6. For several applications, savings and sometimes clinical benefit were obtained through avoidance of travel and associated delays. The home care studies showed convincing evidence of benefit, while those on dermatology suggested cost disadvantages to the health care providers, though not to patients. Possible concerns regarding quality of telemedicine services emerged in some studies.

Many of the studies would have provided useful information on use of telemedicine in the health systems concerned, and are helpful in considering a number of applications in a broader context. However, there were various limitations in 22 of the papers, so that even this highly selected portion of the telemedicine literature is giving only an imperfect description of the status of this technology. In addition to methodological limitations (some noted by the authors of the reviewed papers), several papers omitted important details of the clinical setting and of how data were obtained and analysed. A few appeared to tend towards advocacy rather than assessment. About half of the studies that might have influenced decisions had substantial limitations.

The need for further work on the telemedicine application under consideration was noted in 25 of the studies. In ten cases, the authors reported active follow up of their work through further research.

| Area of application  | Number<br>of studies | Indications of costs and benefits  |  |
|----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Burns                | 1                    | Savings to patients through avoidance of travel. Possible increased costs to burns centre, some clinical limitations.  |  |
| Cardiology           | 4                    | Limited evidence of clinical or cost benefits in the settings for these studies.   |  |
| Dermatology          | 7                    | Five of the studies suggested this application would result in additional costs to health care providers, while providing savings to patients. Savings were suggested for a nursing home setting, with some limitation on accuracy, and availability in a health care system appropriately increased access to services. |  |
| Emergency<br>room    | 1                    | Equivalent patient outcomes to alternative approach and faster throughput.   |  |
| Home care            | 5                    | Economic savings, equivalent outcomes for high risk<br>pregnancies, various chronic diseases (HMO), improved<br>outcomes for diabetes, chronic heart failure, equivalent<br>performance for HIV testing.   |  |
| Medical consultation | 6                    | Increased efficiency and cost savings associated with<br>electronic referral for a general hospital. Increased availability<br>of required information for consultations on surgical cases.<br>Indications of cost savings for prison health services, time<br>savings for inner city general practices.                 |  |
| Mental health        | 3                    | Savings to health system and patients through avoidance of travel – related costs. Improved outcomes with telephone – based nurse telehealth care.   |  |
| Neurology            | 2                    | Preliminary indications of feasibility.  |  |
| Ophthalmology        | 2                    | Savings through avoiding patient travel and benefits to health professional training.  |  |
| Pathology            | 2                    | Indications of feasibility, inconclusive on cost issues.   |  |
| Radiology            | 4                    | Savings through avoidance of unnecessary patient transfer or patient travel.   |  |
| Rheumatology         | 1                    | Preliminary indications of feasibility. Some limitations on accuracy of telemedicine approach.   |  |

 Table 6:
 Indications of outcomes by type of application

# DISCUSSION

As in the earlier INAHTA review, the focus here is on studies reporting outcomes in comparison with non-telemedicine alternatives. Such studies can be expected to provide stronger evidence on the performance of telemedicine than those without a comparative content.

This does not mean that studies not meeting selection criteria for the review are of no value. Such studies may, for example, include helpful preliminary work on newer telemedicine developments or illustrate the place of the technology in situations where the alternative option is clearly inferior or impractical to measure. For example, Mavrogeni et al. describe use of telemedicine in the management of patients on six remote Aegean islands who had had acute myocardial infarction <sup>(23)</sup>. Diagnosis using electrocardiograms and consultations on thrombolytic treatment and management of complications were achieved using links with a major cardiac care centre. The alternative was essentially ineffective care for such patients in the absence of appropriate expertise. It may be that other evaluation criteria will be needed in some societies and health care systems.

However, in the common situation where there is some form of credible existing health service, comparative data on costs and outcomes are required to establish whether use of telemedicine is an appropriate option. This review has indicated that there are still few reasonable quality comparative studies of telemedicine, and also that it may be difficult to generalise findings on a particular application because of the significance of local circumstances. While good quality studies are still scarce, the situation may be improving in that, compared with the earlier INAHTA review, a higher proportion of located studies were selected for inclusion. Even so, many of those selected have substantial limitations.

In selecting papers for inclusion in this review it was sometimes hard to decide whether a study was truly measuring outcomes or was essentially addressing only the accuracy or technical feasibility of a telemedicine application. Judgements were made to exclude some studies which gave useful indications of the place of telemedicine in a particular application. For example, the study by Pelletier-Fleury et al. of telemonitored polysomnography made helpful suggestions for future policy on such services, but was seen as an exploratory trial that assessed the reliability of two forms of monitoring <sup>(31)</sup>.

The focus of this review was on telemedicine applications and studies of teleeducation, such as distance learning, were not covered. Educational telehealth applications will also require comparative outcomes studies to assess their appropriateness. Good comparative studies noted during preparation of this review included the evaluation by Brown et al. of the impact of telephone support to caregiver groups in a rehabilitation program <sup>(6)</sup> and the assessment by

Pullum and colleagues of performance and acceptability of training programs for rural pre-hospital providers in Montana <sup>(34)</sup>.

It is possible that significant material on telemedicine assessment has not been located. No attempt has been made to thoroughly survey all the relevant grey literature, for example. However, it is likely that there will not be many studies meeting the review criteria from that source. It is also possible that more information has been obtained in some of the studies but was excluded from the papers describing them.

The overall findings seem similar to those of the earlier review. Useful data are emerging on some telemedicine applications, but good quality studies are still scarce and generalisability of most assessment findings may be limited.

## APPENDIX A: CLASSIFICATION OF STUDIES THAT EVALUATED TELEMEDICINE APPLICATIONS

#### Abbreviations

- ECG: Electrocardiography
- ER: Emergency room
- HIV: Human immunodeficiency virus
- HRQOL: Health related quality of life
- NICU: Neonatal intensive care unit
- NSD: No significant difference
- RCT: Randomized controlled trial
- SS: Statistically significant/statistical significance

| Table 7: | Studies evaluating telemedicine applications |
|----------|--|
|----------|--|

| Study, study design   | Objectives  | Approach   | Setting and subjects   | Economic analysis  | Results/Conclusion   |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|   |   |  | Burns treatment  |  |  |
| Massman et al.,<br>1999 <sup>(22)</sup><br>Level VIII<br>Comparison of<br>patients' costs,<br>case series.                | To assess efficiency<br>and effectiveness of<br>burn consultations via<br>telemedicine.   | Travel distances and<br>costs between patients'<br>homes, telemedicine sites<br>and burn center<br>estimated.  | Burn Center and 15<br>telemedicine sites in 6<br>US states. 87 follow<br>up consultations with<br>40 patients. | Travel costs between<br>homes and<br>telemedicine centres<br>were \$37 per<br>consultation and \$81<br>per patient, compared<br>with \$223 and \$486 for<br>travel between homes<br>and the burn centre. | Telemedicine burn consultations said<br>to be cost-effective for the patient, but<br>more time consuming for the physician<br>and therapist.<br>Telemedicine consultations said to be<br>twice as long as face to face<br>physician-patient encounters, but no<br>data are given.  |
|   |   |  | Cardiology   |  |  |
| Scholz &<br>Kienzle, 1999<br>(37)<br>Level VIII<br>Case series<br>comparison,<br>physician v<br>cardiologist<br>decision. | Comparison of test<br>ordering patterns from<br>physicians (most by<br>telemedicine) and<br>specialists visiting<br>outreach centers. | Recorded details of<br>echocardiography tests<br>ordered by two groups.<br>Community physicians<br>sent echocardiograms to<br>a hospital laboratory for<br>interpretation. Pediatric<br>cardiologists attending<br>outreach clinics ordered<br>and interpreted<br>echocardiograms. | Pediatric cases<br>referred to community<br>physicians or outreach<br>clinics in Iowa.                         | Cost calculations and<br>issues mentioned, no<br>details provided.   | For children < 1 year, much higher<br>proportion (73%) of studies ordered by<br>physicians than by cardiologists (8%).<br>NSD in proportion of normal<br>echocardiograms on children <1y for<br>both groups, SS higher normals in<br>tests ordered by physicians in older<br>children, linked to 12% increase in<br>charges. Conclude that selection of<br>patients influences diagnostic yield of<br>pediatric echocardiography services. |

| Table 7: | Studies evaluating telemedicine applications (cont'd) |  |
|----------|---|--|
|----------|---|--|

| Study, study<br>design  | Objectives   | Approach  | Setting and subjects   | Economic analysis   | Results/Conclusion   |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
|   |  | C   | ardiology (cont'd)   |   |  |
| McConnell et<br>al., 1999 <sup>(24)</sup><br>Level VIII<br>Case series,<br>prospective.<br>Blinded<br>comparison of<br>telemedicine<br>and face to face<br>exams. | Study accuracy, patient satisfaction, cost of pediatric telecardiology.  | Exams by two<br>cardiologists blinded to<br>each other's findings;<br>personnel performing<br>additional tests also<br>blinded; outcome<br>measures included<br>frequency of additional<br>tests. | 21 children referred to<br>rural pediatric<br>cardiology outreach<br>clinic, North Carolina,<br>USA.   | Cost issues briefly<br>discussed, no data<br>other than cited cost of<br>\$250 for<br>echocardiography.   | Fewer Echo and ECG studies ordered<br>following telemedicine consultation,<br>but did not reach SS.<br>Telecardiology may lessen need for<br>echo exams, with potential cost<br>savings.<br>Note that there were differences in<br>ability of telemedicine physician to<br>discern subtle aspects of physical<br>exam. |
| Rendina et al.,<br>1998 <sup>(35)</sup><br>Level VI<br>Comparison of<br>pre-<br>telemedicine<br>and<br>telemedicine<br>groups.                                    | To assess whether<br>utilization of<br>telemedicine reduces<br>the intensive care<br>length of stay of low<br>birthweight infants.   | Transmission of neonatal<br>echocardiograms for rapid<br>interpretation.  | 48 infants in the<br>telemedicine group<br>and 39 infants in the<br>historical control<br>group.<br>NICU at New Hanover,<br>North Carolina, USA. | The capital start-up<br>costs and fixed line<br>charges increased the<br>cost per<br>echocardiogram by \$33<br>compared to the<br>overnight courier<br>service. | A statistically non-significant reduction<br>of 5.4 days in the intensive care length<br>of stay in the telemedicine group.  |
| Rendina et al.,<br>1998 <sup>(36)</sup><br>Level VI<br>Comparison of<br>pre-<br>telemedicine<br>and<br>telemedicine<br>groups.                                    | To determine whether a<br>more rapid turn-around<br>of echocardiographic<br>interpretations and<br>availability of interactive<br>video reduces morbidity<br>of very low birthweight<br>infants. | Use of a telecardiology<br>system for transmission of<br>echocardiograms for<br>expert interpretation.  | 21 subjects in the pre-<br>telemedicine group<br>and 28 subjects in the<br>telemedicine group.<br>NICU at Fayetteville,<br>North Carolina, USA.  | None  | A composite index of respiratory<br>therapy intensivity and duration was<br>similar in both groups. The results<br>show little evidence of reduction in<br>respiratory therapy utilization due to<br>telemedicine.   |

| Table 7: | Studies evaluating t | elemedicine applications (cont'd) |
|----------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
|----------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|

| Study, study<br>design  | Objectives   | Approach   | Setting and subjects  | Economic analysis  | Results/Conclusion  |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|
|   |  |  | Dermatology   |  |   |
| Zelickson &<br>Homan, 1997<br>(43)<br>Level VII<br>Case-control<br>study (repeat<br>in-person<br>studies as<br>controls). | To examine a still-<br>image store-and-<br>forward<br>teledermatology system<br>for use in the care of<br>nursing home residents.  | Diagnosis and treatment<br>plans made from a<br>teledermatology system<br>were compared with those<br>made from an on-site<br>dermatology consultation.  | 29 nursing home<br>patients with 30 skin<br>conditions. Diagnoses<br>and treatment plans<br>made both based on<br>still images and a<br>faxed history as well<br>as face-to-face<br>encounters with<br>consulting<br>dermatologists from<br>the University of<br>Minnesota,<br>Minneapolis, USA with<br>the patients. | The teledermatology<br>consultation cost \$US<br>71.45, the in-office<br>consultation \$105, and<br>the nursing home<br>consultation \$295,<br>respectively.   | Correct diagnoses were made for<br>67%, 85% and 88% of the patients<br>given the history alone, image alone,<br>and both, respectively. Correct<br>treatment plan was seen in 70%, 87%<br>and 90% of the patients given history<br>alone, image alone, and both,<br>respectively. |
| Bergmo et al.,<br>2000 <sup>(3)</sup><br>Cost study with<br>breakeven<br>analysis.  | To analyse whether<br>investing in technology<br>to forward still images<br>via telemedicine is cost-<br>effective and to assess<br>how many centres have<br>a sufficient workload to<br>reach this requirement. | Additional costs of using<br>still images compared to<br>patient travel costs to the<br>University Hospital of<br>Tromsø from primary<br>health centres. | Data from primary<br>health centre of<br>Kirkenes and regional<br>hospital of Tromsø<br>were compared to the<br>workloads of the other<br>municipalities in the<br>counties of Tromsø<br>and Finnmark,<br>Norway.   | Cost-effectiveness of<br>still image telemedicine<br>depends on distance<br>and workload. 18 of 44<br>municipalities have<br>sufficient patients with a<br>dermatological problem<br>to make telemedicine<br>cost-effective. | Less than half of the municipalities in<br>the two northernmost counties have<br>an efficiency potential in using still<br>images. However, telemedicine may<br>be justified because it saves time for<br>patients and increases equal access<br>to care.                         |

| Table 7: | Studies evaluating | telemedicine applications (cont'd) |
|----------|--------------------|------------------------------------|
|----------|--------------------|------------------------------------|

| Study, study design   | Objectives   | Approach  | Setting and subjects  | Economic analysis  | Results/Conclusion   |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
|   |  | De  | ermatology (cont'd)   |  |  |
| Loane et al.,<br>2000 <sup>(20)</sup><br>Level II<br>RCT with cost<br>study.  | Comparison of efficacy<br>of real time and store<br>and forward<br>teledermatology<br>compared with                    | Compared clinical outcome for two modes of teledermatology.                                       | Two hospital<br>dermatology<br>departments and four<br>health centres in<br>Northern Ireland, UK.   | Indicative costs in<br>relation to distance<br>between centres shown<br>graphically for three<br>approaches.   | In this series, 69% of store and<br>forward results led to requests for<br>hospital appointment compared with<br>46% for real time and 45% for<br>conventional consultations.  |
|   | conventional care.   |   | 102 patients<br>randomized to real<br>time dermatology,<br>store and forward<br>results also available<br>for 96 of these. 102<br>controls.   |  | 39% of conventional consultations<br>were finished consultant episodes<br>compared with 21% and 22% for the<br>two teleconsultation approaches. GP<br>review was requested in 15%<br>conventional consultations, 10% store<br>and forward and 32% real time. |
|   |  |   |   |  | Authors suggest both real time and<br>store and forward teledermatology will<br>have roles in provision of primary care.   |
| Oakley et al.,<br>2000 <sup>(28)</sup><br>Level II<br>RCT with cost<br>study. | Comparison of real time<br>dermatology with<br>hospital consultations,<br>assessment of patient<br>costs and benefits. | Compared patient referral<br>patterns, time, travel<br>distance and costs for<br>both approaches. | Two local health<br>centres and<br>dermatology<br>department at hospital<br>in North Island, New<br>Zealand.<br>119 patients in initial<br>teledermatology<br>consultation and 94 in<br>initial conventional<br>consultation. | Distance traveled, time<br>spent and travel costs<br>for patients having<br>teleconsultations were<br>51 min, 12 km and \$7,<br>compared to 4.3h, 271<br>km and \$160 for those<br>travelling for<br>consultation.<br>24% of teleconsultation<br>patients and 26% of<br>hospital patients were<br>followed up by a<br>dermatologist, for<br>similar reasons. | Teledermatology consultations were<br>less time consuming and less costly<br>for patients than hospital<br>consultations.<br>Comparison with results from Northern<br>Ireland study which reached similar<br>conclusions.                                    |

| Table 7: | Studies evaluating | telemedicine | applications | (cont'd) |
|----------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|----------|
|----------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|----------|

| Study, study<br>design   | Objectives   | Approach   | Setting and subjects  | Economic analysis   | Results/Conclusion   |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|
|  |  | De   | ermatology (cont'd)   |   |  |
| Wootton et al.,<br>2000 <sup>(42)</sup><br>Level II<br>RCT with<br>minimum follow<br>up of 3 months. | Comparison of real time<br>teledermatology with<br>outpatient dermatology<br>in terms of clinical<br>outcomes, cost-<br>benefits, and patient<br>reattendance. | Compared reported<br>clinical outcome of initial<br>consultation, and also<br>primary care and<br>outpatient reattendance<br>data. | Four health centres<br>(two urban, two rural)<br>and two regional<br>hospitals in Northern<br>Ireland, UK.<br>204 general practice<br>patients requiring<br>referral to dermatology<br>services; (102<br>teledermatology and<br>102 to outpatient<br>consultation). | Net societal cost of<br>initial consultation<br>$\pounds$ 132.10/ patient for<br>teledermatology,<br>$\pounds$ 48.73/ patient for<br>conventional.<br>If each centre had 12<br>patients/ week (rather<br>than 0.5) to<br>teledermatology and<br>average round trip to<br>hospital had been 78<br>km and not 26 km,<br>costs of the two<br>methods would have<br>been equal. | 54% teledermatology patients<br>managed within primary care, 46%<br>required at least one hospital<br>appointment. 45% conventional<br>consultation patients had hospital<br>appointment, 15% general practice<br>review and 39% no follow up visits.<br>Real time teledermatology was<br>clinically feasible but not cost effective<br>compared with conventional outpatient<br>care. If equipment were purchased at<br>current prices and the travelling<br>distances were greater,<br>teledermatology would be a cost<br>effective alternative to conventional<br>care. |
| Perednia et al.,<br>1998 <sup>(32)</sup><br>Level VI<br>Before/ after<br>comparison.                 | Measure impact on referral patterns and management of rural patients.  | Data collected prior to<br>installation of<br>telemedicine; 4-6 months<br>and 10-12 months post<br>installation.                   | 3 primary health care<br>clinics, 1 specialty<br>consultation site in<br>Oregon, USA.<br>About 200<br>consultations, patients<br>with skin disorders.   | None  | Telemedicine referral led to modest,<br>appropriate increase in specialist<br>consultations. Availability of<br>telemedicine appeared to increase<br>primary physician confidence and<br>quality of treatment decisions.   |

| Table 7: | Studies evaluating | telemedicine applie | cations (cont'd) |
|----------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|----------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|

| Study, study<br>design  | Objectives  | Approach   | Setting and subjects   | Economic analysis  | Results/Conclusion  |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|
|   |   | De   | ermatology (cont'd)  |  |   |
| Stensland et al.,<br>1999 <sup>(40)</sup><br>Cost study with<br>breakeven<br>analysis | To compare costs of<br>outpatient care to<br>orthopedic and<br>dermatology patients<br>via live, interactive<br>telemedicine to those of<br>direct face-to-face care. | Costs, cost savings of<br>telemedicine evaluated<br>from perspectives of<br>patients, providers,<br>insurers, employers, and<br>society as a whole.  | Minnesota, USA.<br>University of<br>Minnesota<br>telemedicine system,<br>link to a hospital in<br>Wadena.  | Variable cost of a<br>telemedicine referral<br>\$144, v estimated face-<br>to-face referral cost of<br>\$183. Break-even point<br>was 1,449<br>consultations/ y.<br>Sensitivity analysis:<br>breakeven point varied<br>from 152 telemedicine<br>consultations for a 'best<br>case' scenario to no<br>possible breakeven<br>point for a 'worst case'<br>scenario. | At current level of 300 consultations<br>per year, the telemedicine system was<br>estimated to add \$45,000 to society's<br>costs of providing medical care for<br>these patients. The additional cost is<br>primarily due to personnel expenses<br>and an increase in the volume of<br>specialty care. Patients see<br>specialists more often due to improved<br>access to care and lower<br>transportation costs. Providers bear<br>the cost while patients and employers<br>enjoy substantial savings. |
|   |   | Em   | ergency department   |  |   |
| Brennan et al.,<br>1999 <sup>(5)</sup><br>Level II<br>RCT                             | To evaluate emergency<br>physicians' ability to<br>use real-time interactive<br>telemedicine to<br>evaluate and treat<br>patients in an<br>emergency department.      | Patients at major centre<br>randomized to treatment<br>via telemedicine by<br>physicians at remote site<br>or to face to face<br>assessment. Change in<br>treatment after discharge<br>main outcome measure. | 100 patients (50 in<br>each arm) presenting<br>to suburban hospital<br>ER in New Jersey,<br>USA. Experienced<br>emergency physicians<br>and nurses at this site<br>and at remote site<br>(rural) 64 km away. | No (though noted faster<br>throughput for<br>telemedicine group)   | NSD in return visits, need for<br>additional care or overall patient<br>satisfaction. Telemedicine is a<br>satisfactory technique for such<br>patients in the emergency department.   |

| Table 7: | Studies evaluating telemedicine applications (cont'd) |
|----------|---|
|----------|---|

| Study, study design   | Objectives   | Approach   | Setting and subjects   | Economic analysis   | Results/Conclusion   |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
|   |  |  | Home care  |   |  |
| Dawson et al.,<br>1999 <sup>(9)</sup><br>Level III<br>Randomized<br>trial and cost<br>study                           | Evaluate approaches to<br>ante natal care of<br>women with high risk<br>pregnancies.                               | Compared conventional<br>care – frequent checks at<br>hospital clinics including<br>fetal monitoring (heart<br>rate, movements, uterine<br>contractions) – with<br>domiciliary care including<br>more frequent visits by<br>midwives and fetal<br>telemonitoring, with<br>overview of data by a<br>consultant team.  | 81 women in South<br>Wales, UK with high<br>risk pregnancies, 38<br>randomized to<br>conventional care, 43<br>to domiciliary care. | Domiciliary care<br>increased costs per<br>woman by £21.02 for<br>midwife time & travel,<br>£18.38 for<br>telemonitoring. Offset<br>by savings of £44.61 in<br>clinic costs, £184.24 for<br>hospital inpatient days<br>and £34.38 in lost<br>productivity to women<br>and their partners. | Neonatal outcomes were similar for<br>the two groups. Women in both<br>groups had similar levels of anxiety<br>and depression.<br>Authors conclude that the study<br>provides evidence to justify greater<br>use of domiciliary support for women<br>with pregnancies of moderately high<br>risk. There were useful practical<br>advantages for both patients and the<br>health service.   |
| Frank et al.,<br>2000 <sup>(12)</sup><br>Level IV<br>Prospective,<br>blinded,<br>subject-as-<br>control<br>evaluation | Evaluate safety and<br>efficacy of a home care<br>HIV-1 test system<br>compared with<br>traditional HIV-1 testing. | Subjects provided with<br>home collection kit to<br>collect their own finger-<br>stick blood spot samples<br>for analysis. Subjects<br>received pretest<br>counseling by telephone<br>(automated or telephone<br>counselor) and their<br>comprehension was<br>subsequently assessed.<br>Compared with<br>professionally drawn<br>blood samples for<br>adequacy and accuracy. | 1,255 subjects at 9<br>outpatient clinics in the<br>USA.   | None  | Subject-collected blood spot sample<br>results were in complete agreement<br>with venous blood sample results.<br>Following pretest counseling, subjects<br>answered 96% of HIV risk questions<br>correctly.<br>There were no serious adverse<br>reactions.<br>Anonymous HIV-1 home collection kits<br>with pretest and post test telephone<br>counselling can provide a safe and<br>effective alternative to conventional<br>venous HIV-1 antibody testing. |

| Table 7: | Studies evaluating te | elemedicine applications (cont'd) |
|----------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
|----------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|

| Study, study design   | Objectives   | Approach  | Setting and subjects   | Economic analysis   | Results/Conclusion  |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|
|   |  | н   | lome care (cont'd)   |   |   |
| Mehra et al.,<br>2000 <sup>(26)</sup><br>Level V<br>Cohort study. | To assess efficacy of<br>electronic home<br>monitoring (HomMed<br>system) in chronic heart<br>failure. | Hospitalization and ER<br>rates for patients who<br>used HomMed system<br>over 3 months, compared<br>with that for matched<br>control group.  | Study at 3 US<br>academic health<br>centres. 53 patients<br>with congestive heart<br>failure, 60 controls.   | None  | Treatment group had 7% per month<br>hospitalization rate compared to<br>11.7% for controls. 13 of 21<br>hospitalizations for the unmonitored<br>group were preceded by an ER visit,<br>compared to 2 of 11 for those using<br>HomMed.<br>Compliance rate of 95% for treatment<br>group. |
| Johnston &<br>Wheeler, 2000<br>Level II<br>RCT                    | Evaluate use and costs<br>of remote video<br>technology in the home<br>health care setting.            | Patients randomized to<br>video visits as well as in<br>person and telephone<br>visits. Controls had in<br>person and telephone<br>visits only.<br>Used quality indicators<br>and measured use of<br>services and direct and<br>indirect costs. | Home health<br>department in<br>Sacramento, CA,<br>USA. Newly referred<br>patients with<br>congestive heart<br>failure, chronic<br>obstructive pulmonary<br>disease, stroke,<br>cancer, diabetes,<br>anxiety or need for<br>wound care. 102<br>randomized to<br>intervention, 110<br>controls. | Average direct cost for<br>the intervention group<br>was \$US1,830<br>compared to \$1,167 for<br>controls. However,<br>average total mean<br>costs, excluding home<br>health care, were<br>\$1,948 and \$2,674<br>respectively. | There were no differences in the<br>quality indicators for the two groups.<br>Remote technology has the potential<br>to effect cost savings and can improve<br>access to home health care staff.  |

| Table 7: | Studies evaluating | telemedicine applications (cont'd) |  |
|----------|--------------------|------------------------------------|--|
|----------|--------------------|------------------------------------|--|

| Study, study<br>design                                     | Objectives   | Approach  | Setting and subjects   | Economic analysis | Results/Conclusion   |
|--|--|---|--|-------------------|--|
|  |  | н   | lome care (cont'd)   |                   |  |
| Piette et al.,<br>2000 ( <sup>33)</sup><br>Level II<br>RCT | Evaluate impact of<br>automated telephone<br>disease management<br>(ATDM) calls with<br>telephone nurse follow-<br>up as strategy for<br>improving outcomes<br>among low-income<br>patients with diabetes<br>mellitus. | Intervention patients<br>received biweekly ATDM<br>calls with telephone<br>follow-up by a diabetes<br>nurse educator, plus<br>usual care. Patients used<br>the ATDM calls to report<br>information about their<br>health and self-care and<br>to access self-care<br>education. The nurse<br>used patients' ATDM<br>reports to allocate her<br>time according to their<br>needs.<br>Patient-centered<br>outcomes measured at 12<br>months via telephone<br>interview. | 280 adults<br>(intervention, control)<br>with diabetes enrolled<br>at the time of visits to<br>a county health care<br>system in California,<br>USA. 12 month<br>outcome data<br>collected on 248. | None              | Follow-up HbA1c levels 0.3% lower in<br>intervention group (P=0.1). About<br>twice as many intervention patients<br>had HbA1c levels within normal range<br>(P=0.04). Serum glucose levels were<br>41 mg/dL lower among intervention<br>patients (P=0.002) and they also<br>reported better glycemic control<br>(P=0.005) and fewer diabetic<br>symptoms (P=0.0001).<br>Intervention patients reported fewer<br>symptoms of depression, greater self-<br>efficacy to conduct self-care activities<br>(P = 0.006), fewer days in bed<br>because of illness (P = 0.026).<br>Intervention and control patients had<br>roughly equivalent scores for<br>established measures of anxiety,<br>diabetes-specific HRQOL, and general<br>HRQOL.<br>Intervention had positive effects on<br>patient-centered outcomes of care but<br>no measurable effects on anxiety or<br>HRQOL. |

| Table 7: | Studies evaluating | telemedicine applications (cont'd) |
|----------|--------------------|------------------------------------|
|----------|--------------------|------------------------------------|

| Study, study<br>design   | Objectives  | Approach   | Setting and subjects  | Economic analysis   | Results/Conclusion   |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|
|  |   | М  | edical consultation   |   |  |
| Demartines et<br>al., 1999 <sup>(11)</sup><br>Level VIII<br>Survey | Analyze the value of<br>teleconferencing for<br>patient care and<br>surgical education by<br>assessing the activity of<br>an international<br>academic network. | Questionnaire to<br>participants following<br>weekly surgical<br>teleconferences over 2<br>years.<br>[Also accuracy of<br>telediagnosis assessed<br>from 60 randomly<br>selected cases.]                                   | Six university hospitals<br>in four European<br>countries.  | Includes illustrative<br>costs of European<br>expert visiting<br>Strasbourg (\$640), US<br>expert visiting (\$5,300)<br>and 1h teleconference<br>(\$425)          | 70 teleconferences were held. 95/114<br>participants (83.3%) completed final<br>questionnaire. Proportion giving<br>ratings as good or excellent were:<br>surgical activity, 86%; scientific level<br>75.7%, daily clinical activity 55.8%;<br>manual surgical technique 28.4%.<br>Before discussion, initial clinical<br>presentation sufficient in 55% of<br>cases, additional information needed<br>in 22%, advantageous, not essential in<br>23%. After interactive discussion,<br>95% of cases judged as well defined.<br>86% of the surgeons expressed<br>satisfaction with telematics for medical<br>education and patient care.<br>Teleeducation and teleconsultation in<br>surgery appear to be beneficial. |
| Harrison et al.,<br>1999 <sup>(15)</sup><br>Level II<br>RCT        | Comparison of<br>teleconsultations versus<br>routine outpatient<br>consultation.  | A pilot study was carried<br>out in preparation for a<br>full-scale RCT.<br>Of the 132 of 439 referrals<br>eligible to enter the trial,<br>62 were randomized to<br>the intervention group and<br>70 to the control group. | Four inner-city<br>practices and hospital<br>in London, UK. | None directly, but<br>median time taken to<br>visit surgery for<br>teleconsultation was<br>0.5h compared with<br>2.5h for conventional<br>outpatient appointment. | Results suggested patient satisfaction<br>with teleconsultation may exceed that<br>with conventional outpatient<br>consultation, with a strong indication of<br>overall time savings for patients;<br>SF-12 generic measure of well being<br>score at 3 months, NSD though higher<br>for telemedicine group.   |

| Table 7: | Studies evaluating to | elemedicine applications (cont'd) |
|----------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
|----------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|

| Study, study<br>design   | Objectives   | Approach   | Setting and subjects  | Economic analysis   | Results/Conclusion   |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|
|  |  | Medic  | al consultation (cont'  | d)  |  |
| McCue et al.,<br>1998 <sup>(25)</sup><br>Cost study  | Follow up to a cost-<br>benefit analysis of<br>telemedicine services.  | Cost minimisation study,<br>government payer<br>perspective, consultations<br>within a prison service.   | Prison with tele-link to<br>a university hospital,<br>Virginia, USA.  | Over a 12 month<br>period, cost per visit for<br>treating inmates was<br>\$401 at the university<br>hospital clinics and<br>\$387 via telemedicine<br>(290 telemedicine<br>consultations).  | Implementation of telemedicine<br>achieved cost savings. Authors<br>suggest that greater security and<br>increased access to care should also<br>be considered as net benefits.  |
| Zollo et al.,<br>1999 <sup>(44)</sup><br>Cost study  | To evaluate costs and<br>benefits of a prison<br>telemedicine program<br>for the institutions<br>involved and to assess<br>early provider<br>satisfaction. | Cost estimates were<br>made for 4,396 prisoners<br>transported to hospitals<br>and clinics for health care,<br>and for telemedicine the<br>equipment and personnel.<br>Breakeven analysis<br>undertaken. | 247 completed<br>telemedicine<br>encounters at four<br>prisons and an<br>academic tertiary care<br>facility in Iowa, USA.                     | Average cost to the<br>prisons for an on-site<br>inmate visit \$115.<br>Breakeven point would<br>be around 2,000 tele-<br>consultations/year.<br>Cost studies excluded<br>medical care, assumed<br>comparable for both<br>approaches. | Overall, referring physicians<br>expressed a higher rate of satisfaction<br>with telemedicine than specialists.<br>Telemedicine ultimately becomes<br>cost-effective as the volume of<br>teleconsultations increases.  |
| Harno, 1999 <sup>(13)</sup><br>Level VI<br>Comparison of<br>two hospitals<br>using different<br>referral systems | To determine costs of a<br>district general hospital<br>with an electronic<br>referral system to<br>another using a paper<br>referral system.              | Information on referrals<br>was collected from<br>hospital information<br>systems.   | Collection of data from<br>departments of<br>internal medicine and<br>surgery for one year<br>(1997) in two hospitals<br>in Southern Finland. | Direct outpatient costs<br>including all costs<br>resulting from the<br>patient's visit to the<br>hospital.   | Direct outpatient costs of internal<br>medicine were at least 20% lower in<br>the hospital using electronic referrals.<br>Almost every paper referral led to an<br>outpatient visit, whereas only one-third<br>of the teleconsultations resulted in an<br>actual outpatient visit. |

| Table 7: | Studies evaluating telemedicine applications (cont'd) |
|----------|---|
|----------|---|

| Study, study design   | Objectives  | Approach   | Setting and subjects  | Economic analysis  | Results/Conclusion  |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|
|   |   | Medic  | al consultation (cont'  | d)   |   |
| Harno et al.,<br>1999 <sup>(14)</sup><br>Level IV<br>Comparison of<br>two models for<br>provision of<br>outpatient<br>services. | To compare computer<br>and video-conferencing<br>supported outpatient<br>services to traditional<br>outpatient treatment. | An electronic referral<br>system enabling e-mail<br>consultations and video-<br>conferencing between<br>primary and secondary<br>health care was used in<br>one hospital. The control<br>hospital used paper<br>based referrals.   | Peijas Hospital in<br>Southern Finland<br>received 432<br>electronic referrals.<br>Hyvinkää hospital<br>acting as the control<br>received 419 paper<br>based referrals.   | The cost of electronic<br>consultation was only<br>10% of that of the<br>traditional face-to-face<br>consultation. In<br>surgical outpatient visits<br>video-conferencing,<br>however, was more<br>expensive than the<br>face-to-face visit. | Electronic consultation enabled the<br>secondary care hospital to handle<br>60% of referrals without an actual<br>face-to-face patient visit. Peijas<br>hospital was able to take care, without<br>added costs, of twice the amount of<br>referrals (in relation to population) than<br>the control hospital.   |
|   |   |  | Mental health   |  |   |
| Hunkeler et al.,<br>2000 <sup>(17)</sup><br>Level II<br>RCT   | To assess the efficacy<br>of augmentations to<br>antidepressant<br>treatment in primary<br>care.                          | Randomized trial<br>comparing usual<br>physician care, nurse<br>telehealth care and nurse<br>telehealth care plus peer<br>support.<br>Outcomes included<br>reduction in depressive<br>symptoms, improvement<br>in functioning, satisfaction<br>with care, medication<br>adherence. | Patients with major<br>depressive disorders<br>enrolled within Kaiser<br>Permanente, Northern<br>California, USA.<br>302 enrolled, 41%<br>randomized to usual<br>physician care, 39% to<br>nurse telehealth, 21%<br>to nurse telehealth<br>plus peer support.<br>90% interviewed at 6<br>weeks, 85% at 6<br>months. | None   | Compared with usual physician care,<br>telehealth care improved depressive<br>symptoms, mental functioning and<br>treatment satisfaction. Medication<br>adherence did not improve with nurse<br>telehealth care. Adding peer support<br>to telehealth did not improve the<br>primary outcomes.<br>Nurse telehealth improves clinical<br>outcomes of antidepressant drug<br>treatment. |

| Table 7: | Studies evaluating telemedicine applications (cont'd) |  |
|----------|---|--|
|----------|---|--|

| Study, study design  | Objectives   | Approach  | Setting and subjects   | Economic analysis   | Results/Conclusion   |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|
|  |  | Me  | ental health (cont'd)  |   |  |
| Simpson et al.,<br>1999 <sup>(38)</sup><br>(Level VIII)<br>Cost study as<br>part of an<br>observational<br>study | To obtain data on costs<br>associated with<br>operation of a routine<br>telepsychiatry service.  | Breakeven analysis of<br>telepsychiatry versus<br>services provided by a<br>psychiatrist travelling to<br>smaller centres.<br>Estimates of cost to<br>patients who would have<br>to travel to a major centre<br>for consultation. | Service in central<br>Alberta, Canada,<br>psychiatric hospital<br>linked to 5 smaller<br>centres.<br>Study covered 546<br>tele-consultations over<br>a period of 2 years.    | Breakeven point was<br>350 consultations/y<br>(\$630/ consultation).<br>With use of video -<br>conferencing for<br>administration,<br>breakeven point was<br>224 consultations/y.<br>Costs to patients who<br>would have to travel to<br>a major centre were<br>\$300 per consultation. | Higher cost per consultation for<br>telepsychiatry was offset by use of<br>video network for administrative<br>purposes and savings to those<br>patients who would have had to travel<br>to a major centre.                    |
| Mielonen et al.,<br>2000 <sup>(27)</sup><br>Level VIII<br>And cost study   | To assess costs of<br>psychiatric in patient<br>planning in comparison<br>to face to face<br>consultations.<br>Measurement of<br>satisfaction with the<br>service. | Estimated costs based on<br>exam and travel time,<br>local costs.<br>Questionnaires used for<br>satisfaction survey.  | University hospital and<br>two primary health<br>care centres, Finland.<br>14 patients had<br>telepsychiatry; costs<br>compared with 20<br>consultations at the<br>hospital. | At a caseload of 20<br>patients a year, cost of<br>telepsychiatry would be<br>FM 2,510 per patient<br>compared to FM 4,750<br>per patient for<br>conventional<br>consultation. If only<br>one person travelled for<br>a conventional meeting,<br>costs were similar.                    | Generally high satisfaction with the<br>teleconsultation approach (patients,<br>health care personnel, relatives).<br>Results show that videoconferencing<br>produces almost as a good an<br>outcome as conventional meetings. |

| Table 7: | Studies evaluating telemedicine applications (cont'd) |  |
|----------|---|--|
|----------|---|--|

| Study, study design  | Objectives  | Approach  | Setting and subjects   | Economic analysis | Results/Conclusion  |
|--|---|---|--|-------------------|---|
|  |   |   | Neurology  |                   |   |
| Craig et al.,<br>2000 <sup>(7)</sup><br>Level VII  | Comparing the outcome<br>of neurology patients<br>admitted to two small<br>hospitals, one using<br>telemedicine the other<br>normal management. | In one hospital all patients<br>with neurological<br>symptoms were seen by a<br>neurologist at a distance<br>using an interactive video-<br>link; in the other patients<br>with neurological<br>problems were managed<br>with usual practices.<br>Case-mix, process of<br>management, outcome<br>were compared. | Northern Ireland, UK.<br>All patients who had<br>been coded using<br>ICD-10 as having a<br>final diagnosis of a<br>neurological condition<br>admitted over a four-<br>month period to either<br>hospital. The patients<br>were followed up three<br>months after<br>admission. | None              | No appreciable differences were noted<br>between the two hospitals for<br>measures of case-mix or outcome.<br>It should therefore be possible to<br>estimate the effect of telemedicine on<br>the management of patients with<br>neurological problems. |
| Craig et al.,<br>2000 <sup>(8)</sup><br>Level IV<br>Comparison of<br>diagnoses and<br>recommended<br>action in single<br>cohort. | To examine feasibility<br>and safety of<br>teleassessment of<br>neurological<br>outpatients,  | Unselected new<br>outpatient referrals<br>assessed independently<br>by two neurologists,<br>blinded to each other"<br>findings. One<br>examination was face to<br>face, the other by a<br>telemedicine link.  | 25 neurological<br>patients at small rural<br>hospital in Northern<br>Ireland, UK,<br>telemedicine link to<br>major hospital in<br>Belfast.  | None              | Diagnoses were identical in 24/25<br>cases. 64 actions taken were the<br>same and 11 were different. Disposal<br>method for patients the same in 21/25<br>cases.<br>Neurologists can deliver outpatient<br>care via telemedicine.                       |

| Table 7: | Studies evaluating | telemedicine | applications (cont'd | I) |
|----------|--------------------|--------------|----------------------|----|
|----------|--------------------|--------------|----------------------|----|

| Study, study<br>design   | Objectives   | Approach  | Setting and subjects   | Economic analysis   | Results/Conclusion   |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|
|  |  |   | Ophthalmology  |   |  |
| Blackwell et al.,<br>1997 <sup>(4)</sup><br>Level VI<br>Comparison of<br>the situation<br>before and after<br>implementation<br>of telemedicine. | To assess the use of<br>remote telemedicine<br>ophthalmology in<br>patients presenting to<br>an emergency<br>department with acute<br>eye problems.  | A prospective review of<br>referral patterns and<br>telemedicine<br>consultations over 3<br>months, with comparison<br>of referral patterns from<br>the same period one year<br>before. | Emergency<br>department of remote<br>hospital in<br>Queensland, Australia<br>connected to a<br>specialist center in<br>Townsville. 24 patients<br>requiring specialist<br>consultation during the<br>study period, 17<br>patients having been<br>transferred urgently<br>during the control<br>period. | The hospital was<br>estimated to have<br>saved \$AUS 6,500 over<br>the three months of the<br>study.  | Patients transferred for urgent<br>assessment fell from 17 for the<br>corresponding period in the previous<br>year to 4 during the study period.<br>Respective numbers of patients<br>requiring non-urgent transfer during<br>the same periods were 41 and 30.   |
| Tuulonen et al.,<br>1999 <sup>(41)</sup><br>Level VII<br>Series<br>compared to<br>retrospective<br>review of<br>records                          | To test: feasibility of<br>teleophthalmology in<br>examining patients with<br>glaucoma, use for<br>training in a residency<br>program and as a<br>consultation link<br>between primary<br>healthcare unit and<br>university eye clinic,<br>and to introduce a<br>preliminary model for<br>economic assessment<br>of teleophthalmology. | Comparison of rural<br>centre exams, using<br>interactive video<br>consultation with<br>glaucoma clinic versus<br>records of patients<br>previously examined at<br>clinic.              | 29 patients with<br>glaucoma examined in<br>the rural healthcare<br>center in Finland;<br>control group of 41<br>glaucoma patients<br>examined at clinic one<br>year earlier.  | Costs of the<br>telemedicine and<br>conventional visits were<br>equal, but decreased<br>traveling through<br>teleophthalmology<br>saved \$55 per visit. | Both patient groups equally satisfied<br>with the ophthalmic service; 96% of<br>telemedicine group wanted to have<br>next visit in their own healthcare<br>center instead of the university clinic<br>(reduction in traveling, costs and time).<br>Quality of images obtained in the<br>remote center poorer than those<br>obtained at the clinic.<br>Further research with larger number of<br>patients warranted to evaluate<br>teleophthalmology. |

| Table 7: | Studies evaluating telemedicine applications (cont'd) |
|----------|---|
|----------|---|

| Study, study<br>design   | Objectives   | Approach   | Setting and subjects  | Economic analysis  | Results/Conclusion   |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|
|  |  |  | Pathology   |  |  |
| Agha et al.,<br>1999 <sup>(1)</sup><br>Cost<br>minimisation<br>study | Comparing<br>telepathology with on-<br>site services and<br>courier transport of<br>specimens to a major<br>center.  | Modeling on local costs of services etc.   | Veterans' Affairs<br>facilities in USA.<br>Cost model, no clinical<br>details | Base case analysis:<br>courier method<br>\$US126,889/y<br>Telepathology<br>\$146,759/y; on-site<br>pathology \$179,094/y<br>Courier method<br>remained cheapest<br>after 1-way sensitivity<br>analysis of all factors.<br>Wide ranges of costs in<br>3-way analysis. | Conclude that telepathology can be an economic, timely and reliable approach.  |
| Della Mea et<br>al., 2000 <sup>(10)</sup><br>Cost analysis           | Evaluate the economics<br>of telepathology used to<br>provide a frozen-section<br>service to a mountain<br>hospital, in comparison<br>with three alternatives. | Cost of real time<br>telepathology compared<br>with those of visiting<br>pathologist, ambulance<br>transfer of specimens, on<br>site pathology service.<br>Measured fixed and<br>variable costs. | Italy. Small hospital<br>and university<br>department of<br>pathology.        | Cost per service at<br>breakeven point for<br>ambulance/<br>telepathology options<br>about 600 Euro.   | No one model was always less<br>expensive than the others.<br>Ambulance least expensive for up to<br>73 frozen sections/y, at higher case-<br>loads telepathology was cheaper. If<br>ambulance transfer neglected,<br>telepathology appears to be the most<br>convenient approach. Suggest that in<br>practice visiting specialist likely to be<br>more acceptable because of lower<br>initial investment. |

| Table 7: | Studies evaluating telemedicine applications (cont'd) |  |
|----------|---|--|
|----------|---|--|

| Study, study design   | Objectives   | Approach   | Setting and subjects  | Economic analysis   | Results/Conclusion  |  |  |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|
|   | Radiology  |  |   |   |   |  |  |
| Bailes et al.,<br>1997 <sup>(2)</sup><br>Level VIII<br>Clinical series,<br>plus cost<br>estimates.                            | To determine potential<br>cost savings of a wide-<br>area teleradiology<br>network for delivery of<br>specialty care in<br>neurologic surgery. | Prospective review of<br>cases before and after<br>implementation of<br>telemedicine with regard<br>to disposition of the<br>patient, mode of<br>transportation and<br>potential cost savings  | 100 consecutive<br>telemedicine<br>neurosurgical<br>consultations from 20<br>community hospitals<br>participating in the<br>NeuroLink network in<br>western Pennsylvania,<br>USA. | Estimated savings<br>based on avoided<br>tertiary hospital care<br>(based on average<br>length of stay) and on<br>avoided air<br>transportation. Cost<br>analysis showed<br>savings of \$502,638 for<br>the 100-patient series. | Of 100 patients, 33 did not require<br>transportation as a direct result of<br>remote diagnosis.    |  |  |
| Spencer et al.,<br>1991 <sup>(39)</sup><br>Level VI   | To assess the benefits<br>of a system linking a<br>regional neuroradiology<br>department to six<br>referring district general<br>hospitals.    | Diagnoses and<br>management plans made<br>by referring clinicians and<br>radiologists before image<br>transfer were compared<br>with the scan diagnoses<br>of the consulting<br>neuroradiologists and the<br>revised management<br>plans after obtaining<br>neurosurgical/neuro-<br>radiological advice. | The regional<br>neuroradiology<br>department in Oxford,<br>UK received 150<br>consecutive CT-scans<br>of which 68 were of<br>neurosurgical cases.                                 | No  | A significant change in management<br>occurred after image transfer in 81%<br>of the cases.         |  |  |
| Heautot et al.,<br>1999 <sup>(16)</sup><br>Level VI<br>Comparison of<br>pre-<br>telemedicine<br>and<br>telemedicine<br>groups | To assess the influence<br>of teleradiology in the<br>context of neurosurgical<br>emergencies.   | Phases without<br>teleradiology, with transfer<br>of digitized images over<br>N-ISDN, and with transfer<br>over ATM network.<br>Evaluation based on<br>records of advice request<br>calls and patient transfers.   | Link between a<br>general hospital and a<br>university hospital in<br>France.<br>11 pre-telemedicine,<br>51 ISDN and 46 ATM<br>submissions,<br>respectively.                      | No  | 16- 50% of unnecessary patient transfers were avoided using ISDN and ATM submissions, respectively. |  |  |

| Table 7: | Studies evaluating telemedicine applications (cont'd) |  |
|----------|---|--|
|----------|---|--|

| Study, study design   | Objectives   | Approach  | Setting and subjects   | Economic analysis                   | Results/Conclusion  |
|---|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|---|
|   |  | Я   | Radiology (cont'd)   |                                     |   |
| Malone et al.,<br>1998 <sup>(21)</sup><br>Economic<br>analysis.   | To describe cost<br>implications of<br>converting an<br>established videotape<br>review network to one<br>based on telemedicine<br>technology. | Retrospective review of costs associated with interpreting obstetric ultrasound examinations.   | Three offices<br>transmitting 600<br>obstetric ultrasound<br>exams/ month to a<br>tertiary level facility in<br>Boston, MA, USA. | Fixed and non-fixed costs included. | Net monthly savings in non-fixed costs<br>for a telemedicine network are \$7405-<br>8585, which may pay for the initial<br>fixed costs in 12-14 months.   |
|   |  |   | Rheumatology   |                                     |   |
| Pal et al., 1999<br><sup>(30)</sup><br>Level VII<br>Prospective<br>study, patients<br>as their own<br>controls. | To study the feasibility<br>and effect on work up<br>and management plans<br>of a rheumatology<br>proforma placed on a<br>website.             | Two junior doctors<br>completed proforma for<br>new patients. Based on<br>this information<br>consultants provided<br>provisional diagnoses,<br>management plans.<br>These compared with<br>those drawn up following<br>face-to-face assessments. | 207 new patients of a<br>UK rheumatology<br>clinic.  | No                                  | Diagnostic concurrence between pre-<br>and post-examination diagnoses in<br>86% of the patients. No changes in x-<br>rays and other diagnoses requested in<br>62% of the patients. Suggested<br>treatment remained the same in 74%<br>of the patients.<br>Suggest that it is feasible to offer an<br>email or Internet based outpatient<br>service. |

## APPENDIX B: POTENTIAL IMPACT AND LIMITATIONS OF TELEMEDICINE ASSESSMENT STUDIES

## Abbreviations

- ATDM Automatic telephone dialing management
- HIV Human immunodeficiency virus
- HRQOL Health related quality of life
- LOS Length of stay
- NICU Neonatal intensive care unit
- NSD No significant difference
- NSS Not statistically significant
- RCT Randomized controlled trial

| Study  | Area of health care | Status of study  | Effect on decision-<br>making   | Limitations  | Future work  |
|--|---------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Massman et<br>al., 1999 <sup>(22)</sup>      | Burns               | Summary of initial<br>experience with<br>teleconsultations<br>over 17 months.  | Clear demonstration of<br>savings for patients.<br>Indications of inefficiencies for<br>clinical staff at burn centre.<br>Overall, major benefits to the<br>large regional network being<br>serviced. | Analysis extends only to<br>patient travel costs. Other<br>costs are not specified, though<br>lower patient throughput with<br>telemedicine identified as an<br>issue.<br>Accuracy/ acceptability of<br>teleconsultations not<br>discussed, though inability to<br>palpate the healed burn and<br>evaluate thickness and<br>firmness of the scar noted as a<br>disadvantage. | Not specific. Process details<br>needing attention mentioned,<br>also potential for<br>telemedicine in emergency<br>consultations. |
| Scholz &<br>Kienzle, 1999<br><sup>(37)</sup> | Cardiology          | Case series,<br>reflecting routine<br>use of telemedicine<br>and outreach visits,<br>considering ordering<br>patterns, test<br>outcomes. | Unclear. Telemedicine<br>associated with more tests,<br>and expenditure. Other<br>factors might need<br>consideration.  | Use of proportion of normal<br>tests for those ordered by<br>physicians and cardiologists is<br>confusing, tends to soften the<br>message that many echos<br>ordered using telemedicine<br>appeared unnecessary.<br>Incomplete data on numbers<br>of cases in groups. No details<br>of cost calculations.  | Unclear; process details<br>mentioned that would<br>influence provision of future<br>services.                                     |
| McConnell et<br>al., 1998 <sup>(24)</sup>    | Cardiology          | Case series, looked<br>at accuracy,<br>satisfaction, test<br>ordering.   | Preliminary study. Point to<br>possible limits of<br>telecardiology, also need to<br>consider these in context, e.g.<br>of disease in population.   | Small sample size. Objective<br>of study included study of<br>costs, but these are not given.  | Larger prospective study<br>needed to test clinical<br>relevance of findings.  |

 Table 8:
 Status and influence of assessments

| Study                                   | Area of health care | Status of study  | Effect on decision-<br>making   | Limitations  | Future work   |
|---|---------------------|--|---|--|---|
| Rendina et<br>al., 1998 <sup>(35)</sup> | Cardiology          | Transmission of<br>neonatal<br>echocardiograms<br>compared with pre-<br>telemedicine<br>management.                                  | Indications of increased cost<br>of telemedicine compared with<br>courier service, but lower<br>(though NSS) NICU stay for<br>telemedicine group.<br>Likely to influence decisions<br>on continuing future use. | Data for both study and control<br>groups obtained<br>retrospectively.<br>Relatively small numbers of<br>subjects.<br>LOS in the NICU has<br>limitations as an outcome<br>measure.<br>Cost estimates do not include<br>personnel-related items.  | Not specified, though later<br>study followed from this work.   |
| Rendina et<br>al., 1998 <sup>(36)</sup> | Cardiology          | Comparison of pre-<br>telemedicine and<br>telemedicine<br>groups, neonatal<br>echocardiography.                                      | Little evidence of reduction in<br>respiratory therapy utilization<br>due to telemedicine.  | Data for both study and control<br>groups were obtained<br>retrospectively.<br>Small numbers of subjects.<br>Objective was to measure<br>whether there was a reduction<br>in morbidity associated with<br>telemedicine, though some of<br>the discussion refers to<br>resource allocation. | Call for additional studies and<br>makes reference to other<br>work in progress at the<br>University of North Carolina. |
| Zelickson &<br>Homan, 1997              | Dermatology         | Case-control study<br>of still-image store-<br>and-forward<br>teledermatology<br>system in the care<br>of nursing home<br>residents. | Teledermatology cost saving<br>compared with in person<br>consultation, accuracy<br>acceptable.   | Small number of patients. Low<br>response rate in satisfaction<br>survey. Authors note results<br>may be valid for nursing<br>homes but efficiency and cost-<br>effectiveness for other settings<br>(e.g. prisons, rural health<br>clinics) need to be established.                        | Minimum resolution<br>requirements and changes in<br>utilization need to be studied.                                    |
| Bergmo et<br>al., 2000 <sup>(3)</sup>   | Dermatology         | Cost study on<br>transfer of images to<br>major hospital from<br>smaller centres.  | Study shows the health<br>centers where the use of still<br>images in dermatological<br>consultations would be cost<br>saving.  | The cost estimates used are derived from one health center and district hospital.  | Not specified.  |

 Table 8:
 Status and influence of assessments (cont.)

| Study                                   | Area of health care | Status of study   | Effect on decision-<br>making  | Limitations   | Future work  |
|---|---------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Loane et al.,<br>2000 <sup>(20)</sup>   | Dermatology         | RCT comparing real<br>time, store and<br>forward and<br>conventional<br>consultation options.<br>Preliminary report<br>(full study under<br>Wootton et al.) | Indication that real time<br>teledermatology more<br>expensive than conventional<br>care, store and forward less<br>clinically efficient.  | No comment on apparent<br>clinical inefficiency of real time<br>compared to conventional<br>consultations in terms of<br>requested GP review.<br>Discussion on cost issues, but<br>data are not provided, other<br>than for graphical presentation<br>of sensitivity analysis.  | Suggesting need for studies<br>to address costs and benefits<br>of teledermatology to urban<br>and rural health centres. |
| Oakley et al.,<br>2000 <sup>(28)</sup>  | Dermatology         | RCT with cost study,<br>considering patient<br>travel and time.   | Rural patient time, travel,<br>costs substantially less using<br>teledermatology than hospital<br>consultation.<br>Similar follow up referral<br>patterns for both groups.   | While economic benefits are<br>said to favour patients rather<br>than the health care system,<br>no data are given on costs of<br>the telemedicine service. The<br>comparison is on basis of<br>distances traveled<br>(questionnaires) and standard<br>travel allowance. Few details<br>of incidental expenses<br>obtained.<br>Few questionnaires completed<br>after follow up exams and<br>these not analysed. Details of<br>follow up exams are not<br>discussed. | Not specified.   |
| Wootton et<br>al., 2000 <sup>(42)</sup> | Dermatology         | RCT, considering<br>clinical follow up,<br>reattendance, cost<br>effectiveness.   | Clinically feasible, not cost<br>effective; estimated changes<br>to make cost effective.<br>Concluded teledermatology<br>not likely to be useful in large<br>cities, except possibly for<br>tertiary consultation. | Low utilisation of telemedicine<br>service.<br>Travel distance for breakeven<br>useful illustration but possibly<br>not relevant to practice<br>realities.  | Not specified.   |

 Table 8:
 Status and influence of assessments (cont'd)

| Study                                     | Area of<br>health care                            | Status of study   | Effect on decision-<br>making   | Limitations  | Future work  |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Perednia et<br>al., 1998 <sup>(32)</sup>  | Dermatology                                       | Before/after study<br>considering effect of<br>telemedicine on<br>referral and<br>management.   | Appropriate increase in<br>consultations. Seen by<br>authors as a preliminary study,<br>giving indicator for practice in<br>rural areas.  | Only limited detail on<br>management plans, no follow<br>up of treatment outcomes.   | Adding two additional sites to<br>study and will report on<br>referral rates and outcomes<br>for all five sites.                                     |
| Stensland et<br>al., 1999 <sup>(40)</sup> | Dermatology<br>(plus orthopedic<br>consultations) | Cost study on<br>existing<br>telemedicine<br>network.   | Suggestions for telemedicine<br>centre, insurers and<br>employers on increasing<br>facility fees, providing<br>reimbursement for<br>telemedicine services,<br>streamlining operation of<br>telemedicine centre.   | Authors note marginal benefits<br>and costs are sensitive to a<br>number of factors.<br>Study is from the perspective<br>of the provider. Limited<br>consideration of patients'<br>costs.                      | Not specified, though<br>suggestion of linking<br>telemedicine to specialists'<br>face-to-face systems<br>indicates useful area for<br>future study. |
| Brennan et<br>al., 1999 <sup>(5)</sup>    | Emergency<br>department                           | RCT, patients with<br>15 types of<br>complaints; change<br>in treatment after<br>discharge main<br>outcome measured.                    | NSD for occurrence of 72h<br>return visits etc. Telemedicine<br>group had faster throughput<br>(106 v 117 min) telemedicine a<br>satisfactory technique for this<br>group of patients in<br>emergency dept.<br>No specific decision related to<br>future programs mentioned |  | Not specified.   |
| Dawson et<br>al., 1999 <sup>(9)</sup>     | Home care/<br>antenatal                           | RCT, with<br>implications for<br>effectiveness; cost<br>study.<br>Telemedicine only<br>one component of<br>the domiciliary<br>approach. | Strong indication of usefulness<br>of domiciliary approach for<br>both patients and providers.  | Authors note that availability of<br>home telemonitoring<br>influenced management of the<br>conventional care group.<br>They also note that they were<br>unable to obtain marginal<br>costs for clinic visits. | Not specified.   |

 Table 8:
 Status and influence of assessments (cont'd)

| Study  | Area of<br>health care | Status of study   | Effect on decision-<br>making  | Limitations  | Future work  |
|--|------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Frank et al.,<br>2000 <sup>(12)</sup>          | Home testing           | Blinded, subject as control study.                          | Demonstrates feasibility of a<br>telemedicine – assisted<br>approach to HIV testing.<br>Suggests useful further option<br>for HIV testing services.  | 151/1255 subjects did not<br>complete study, though<br>authors note that this is lower<br>attrition rate than observed in<br>clinical practice.<br>Differences in comprehension<br>between automated system<br>and telephone counsellor not<br>specified.  | Not specific on program;<br>notes need to consider<br>effects on tracking of HIV<br>statistics and partner<br>tracking.  |
| Mehra et al.,<br>2000 <sup>(26)</sup>          | Home care/<br>cardiac  | Preliminary,<br>controlled, study on<br>efficacy of system. | Strong indication that worth<br>proceeding with further use/<br>investigation of this approach.  | Authors note data are<br>preliminary. Relatively short<br>study period. No data for<br>matching of controls.   | Authors suggest several<br>areas for further study,<br>including appropriate patient<br>characteristics, cost and cost<br>effectiveness studies, length<br>of monitoring, potential drug<br>titration at home to avoid<br>clinic visits. |
| Johnston &<br>Wheeler,<br>2000 <sup>(18)</sup> | Home care              | RCT with cost study   | Video approach achieved cost<br>savings and improved access<br>to home health care support.<br>Paper indicates that study was<br>influential for decision makers,<br>leading to adoption of the<br>system. | As noted by the authors,<br>depreciation of the video<br>equipment was not considered<br>for the purpose of the study.<br>There are some differences in<br>the composition of the two<br>groups in terms of primary<br>diagnosis, though<br>comparability of groups is<br>considered by the authors who<br>found that the difference<br>between SF-12 scores for the<br>intervention and control<br>groups was not statistically<br>significant. | Suggestion that potential of<br>the technology warrants<br>further study.  |

 Table 8:
 Status and influence of assessments (cont'd)

| Study   | Area of health care      | Status of study  | Effect on decision-<br>making  | Limitations   | Future work  |
|---|--------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Piette et al.,<br>2000 (2<br>studies) <sup>(33)</sup> | Home<br>care/diabetes    | Long term RCT in<br>existing patient<br>population and<br>health care network.   | Conclusion that ATDM calls<br>with nurse telephone follow up<br>improves some patient-<br>centered outcomes of diabetes<br>management. Suggests clear<br>message to decision-makers,<br>but no specific mention<br>regarding decision on<br>program. | Authors note HRQOL<br>instrument used, which was<br>developed for Type 1<br>diabetics, might have had<br>validity and reliability problems<br>in study population (mostly<br>Type 2).         | Not specified, though notes<br>particular population in study<br>and use of a single site;<br>indicates need for future,<br>broader studies. |
| Demartines<br>et al., 2000                            | Surgical<br>consultation | Survey of evolving<br>consultation<br>network. Before/<br>after considering<br>effect of interactivity<br>on information<br>available on<br>treatment. | Substantial increase in<br>number of cases where<br>information judged to be<br>acceptable; confirms<br>usefulness of this approach.   | Only general points on cost -<br>benefit are included, rather<br>than a study.  | Suggesting wider role for teleconferencing including mentoring and accreditation.  |
| Harrison et<br>al., 1999 <sup>(15)</sup>              | Medical consultation     | Pilot study as<br>preparation for<br>larger RCT.   | Showed shorter time for teleconsultation than surgery visits, NSD in health status.  | Some limitations in response<br>rates to certain items in<br>questionnaires. High drop out<br>rate.   | Proceeding to large<br>multicentre study covering<br>rural and urban areas.  |
| McCue et al.,<br>1998 <sup>(25)</sup>                 | Medical<br>consultation  | Cost minimisation<br>study covering first<br>year's experience<br>with telemedicine at<br>a prison.  | Analysis indicate cost savings through use of telemedicine.  | Several assumptions in the<br>analysis. Not clear if all the<br>services detailed for the<br>hospital clinics would actually<br>have been used for the cases<br>handled through telemedicine. | General indication of the need for follow up research.   |

 Table 8:
 Status and influence of assessments (cont'd)

| Study                                 | Area of health care     | Status of study   | Effect on decision-<br>making  | Limitations  | Future work   |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Zollo et al.,<br>1999 <sup>(44)</sup> | Medical<br>consultation | Survey of primary<br>care and consulting<br>providers from four<br>prisons and an<br>academic tertiary<br>care facility in Iowa<br>during the first year<br>of telemedicine<br>service.                 | Determined breakeven figure<br>for teleconsultation in<br>comparison to conventional<br>consultations. Suggesting that<br>a telemedicine program can<br>be "cost-acceptable" initially<br>and become cost effective as<br>volume of teleconsultations<br>increases.  | Limited on cost-effectiveness<br>of a whole program. Individual<br>prisons might want more<br>specific data before joining a<br>program. Large gap between<br>the number of actual<br>telemedicine consultations and<br>the number needed to reach<br>breakeven.   | Prison-by-prison evaluation<br>to determine the number of<br>telemedicine consultations for<br>each prison to reach<br>breakeven. |
| Harno 1999                            | Medical<br>consultation | Cost comparison of<br>electronic and paper<br>referral systems at<br>two hospitals for a<br>one year period.  | Direct outpatient costs of<br>internal medicine 20 % lower<br>in the hospital using electronic<br>referrals. Only one-third of the<br>teleconsultations resulted in<br>an actual outpatient visit,<br>electronic consultations were<br>given in 65% of cases. There<br>was little difference in<br>outpatient costs for surgery.   | Only brief details on the basis<br>for cost estimates are provided<br>in this preliminary paper.<br>Authors note that they were<br>unaware of all direct costs<br>imposed by the system.<br>Reference is made to the<br>increase in number of referrals<br>at the hospital using electronic<br>referrals (20% for internal<br>medicine, 44% for surgery),<br>though there are no<br>comparative data given for<br>earlier years. | Further study to examine<br>direct costs of the whole<br>patient process.   |
| Harno et al.,<br>1999 <sup>(14)</sup> | Medical<br>consultation | Computer supported<br>outpatient clinical<br>model in one<br>hospital was<br>compared,<br>regarding costs and<br>referral patterns, to<br>another hospital with<br>traditional outpatient<br>treatment. | Cost of electronic consultation<br>in internal medicine only 10%<br>of that of face-to-face<br>consultation, but in surgical<br>outpatient visits<br>videoconferencing was more<br>expensive than face-to-face<br>visit. Hospital using electronic<br>referrals was able to take care,<br>without added costs, of twice<br>the amount of referrals than<br>the control hospital. | Effect of electronic referral<br>system on patient outcomes is<br>not reported.  | Not specified, though effect<br>on patient outcomes has<br>been followed up for one year<br>in an extension of the study.         |

 Table 8:
 Status and influence of assessments (cont'd)

| Study                                    | Area of health care | Status of study   | Effect on decision-<br>making  | Limitations  | Future work   |
|--|---------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Hunkeler et<br>al., 2000 <sup>(17)</sup> | Mental health       | RCT comparing<br>nurse telehealth with<br>usual physician care<br>in augmenting<br>antidepressant<br>treatment. | Strong indication of efficacy of<br>nurse telehealth, using<br>approach that could be<br>implemented within busy<br>primary health care settings.<br>Findings 'received with great<br>interest by clinical leaders in<br>Kaiser Permanente Health<br>Plan and in other health<br>maintenance organizations'. | Limited contact between<br>patients and peers in third arm<br>of study.  | Authors suggest it would be<br>worthwhile to further explore<br>value of peer support when it<br>is more clearly structured.<br>They also conclude that their<br>findings need to be replicated<br>in other circumstances to<br>judge how confidently broad<br>adoption of nurse telehealth<br>in this application can be<br>recommended. |
| Simpson et<br>al., 1999 <sup>(38)</sup>  | Mental health       | Cost analysis as<br>part of an<br>observational study<br>of a routine<br>telepsychiatry<br>service.             | Indication that costs of the<br>telepsychiatry service are<br>acceptable, and below<br>breakeven point when use of<br>administrative<br>videoconferencing is<br>considered. Also indications<br>of savings to patients.  | Limited details on costs to<br>patients, estimate of impact is<br>only indicative.   | Authors note need for studies<br>on impact on health status,<br>quality of life and impact of<br>delay in receiving specialist<br>consultation.   |
| Mielonen et<br>al., 2000 <sup>(27)</sup> | Mental health       | Preliminary study, in patient planning  | Cost advantages of<br>teleconsultations at moderate<br>workloads. Satisfaction with<br>content and interaction in<br>teleconsultation. Implication<br>that approach should be<br>adopted.  | Small sample size. Cost<br>savings magnitude highly<br>dependent on practice<br>adopted for number of staff in<br>conventional visits.       | Not specified   |
| Craig et al.,<br>2000 <sup>(7)</sup>     | Neurology           | Retrospective,<br>preliminary   | Unclear – videoconferencing<br>can be used for consultation in<br>neurology. Cohort studies can<br>be used in the future to<br>investigate the effects of<br>telemedicine.   | Relatively small number of<br>patients studied. Final coded<br>diagnoses from the hospital<br>databases which possibly<br>include some bias. | Cohort studies can be used<br>to investigate the effect of<br>expert neurological care,<br>delivered using telemedicine,<br>on the management of<br>neurological patients.  |

 Table 8:
 Status and influence of assessments (cont'd)

| Study                                     | Area of<br>health care | Status of study   | Effect on decision-<br>making  | Limitations  | Future work  |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Craig et al.,<br>2000 <sup>(8)</sup>      | Neurology              | Feasibility study,<br>blinded comparison<br>of conclusions<br>following face to<br>face consultations<br>and<br>teleconsultations on<br>diagnosis and<br>recommended<br>action. | Suggests need for further work to validate the approach.   | Relatively limited detail on disposal method.  | Proceed to larger,<br>randomized trial, test cost<br>effectiveness.  |
| Blackwell et<br>al., 1997 <sup>(4)</sup>  | Ophthalmology          | Comparison of<br>referral patterns with<br>retrospective control<br>sample from<br>previous year.   | Strong indication of cost<br>savings associated with<br>telemedicine, benefits to<br>patients and practitioners. | Small numbers. Retrospective<br>comparison in which case-mix<br>might have been variable.<br>Cost estimates are indicative,<br>but appear realistic.   | Authors indicate future use of<br>the network and call for users<br>of telemedicine to evaluate<br>their services. |
| Tuulonen et<br>al., 1999 <sup>(41)</sup>  | Ophthalmology          | Feasibility study and<br>preliminary<br>economic<br>assessment model  | Further work needed before widespread implementation of teleophthalmology  | Retrospective control group.   | Further research with larger<br>number of patients on<br>methods, effectiveness,<br>economics, technology.         |
| Agha et al.,<br>1999 <sup>(1)</sup>       | Pathology              | Cost minimisation<br>study comparing<br>telepathology with<br>on-site and courier<br>services   | Endorsing telepathology in<br>context of specific public-<br>sector program.                                     | Authors note difficulty in<br>generalising these results.<br>Need for real time advice is<br>not established, and<br>conclusions on reliability are<br>not supported by inclusion of<br>data. Options for lower courier<br>costs are not explored. | Call for further studies on<br>effectiveness and economics<br>of telepathology.                                    |
| Della Mea et<br>al., 2000 <sup>(10)</sup> | Pathology              | Comparison of costs<br>for frozen section<br>service with three<br>alternatives.  | Unclear – indication of cost of telepathology might influence decisions.   | Only limited data in paper.<br>Unclear how the derived cost<br>per case curves reflect actual<br>practice.   | Not specified.   |

 Table 8:
 Status and influence of assessments (cont'd)

| Study                                   | Area of health care | Status of study  | Effect on decision-<br>making   | Limitations  | Future work   |
|---|---------------------|--|---|--|---|
| Bailes et al.,<br>1997 <sup>(2)</sup>   | Radiology           | To determine<br>potential cost<br>savings of a wide-<br>area teleradiology<br>network.<br>Prospective review<br>of cases before and<br>after implementation<br>of telemedicine.<br>Estimated savings<br>based on avoided<br>hospital care and air<br>transportation. | Indicated cost savings and<br>changes to more appropriate<br>referral decisions as a result of<br>telemedicine use.<br>Probable strong influence on<br>decisions regarding<br>management of neurosurgical<br>cases.                           | Authors note that savings to<br>patients and families were not<br>studied, but are potentially<br>significant.   | Not specified.  |
| Spencer et al,<br>1991 <sup>(39)</sup>  | Radiology           | Before/after<br>comparison, effect<br>of image transfer on<br>clinical decisions.  | Significant change in<br>management in majority of<br>cases, principally through<br>avoidance of unnecessary<br>patient transfer. Authors note<br>that use of the telemedicine<br>system continued to increase<br>after the end of the study. | No actual comparison with<br>non-telemedicine situation,<br>though a strong implication<br>that in the absence of<br>telemedicine a number of<br>unnecessary or ineffective<br>patient transfers would have<br>occurred. | Not specified.  |
| Heautot et<br>al., 1999 <sup>(16)</sup> | Radiology           | Introduction and<br>initial use of<br>teleradiology<br>network for<br>neurosurgical cases.<br>Before/after<br>comparisons.   | Demonstrated efficacy of<br>teleradiology in this<br>application; feasibility study for<br>some aspects.  | Focus on transfers made and avoided does not extend to subsequent patient history.   | Extending research to<br>transfer of other types of<br>image/cases and including<br>additional hospitals. |

 Table 8:
 Status and influence of assessments (cont'd)

| Study                                  | Area of health care       | Status of study   | Effect on decision-<br>making   | Limitations  | Future work   |
|--|---------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| Malone et al.,<br>1998 <sup>(21)</sup> | Radiology<br>(obstetrics) | Retrospective<br>review of costs<br>associated with<br>interpreting obstetric<br>ultrasound exams,<br>using medical<br>records. | Identified savings from<br>telemedicine, suggested would<br>pay for fixed costs in 12 – 14<br>months.   | Authors draw attention to<br>limitations of their cost study,<br>which relates to experimental<br>conditions.  | Outline of areas for future<br>research and need for<br>evaluation by each potential<br>telemedicine network. |
| Pal et al.,<br>1999 <sup>(30)</sup>    | Rheumatology              | Information from<br>Web-based<br>proforma completed<br>by junior staff<br>compared to face to<br>face consultation              | The study establishes<br>feasibility of the concept,<br>though reliability of results<br>suggests need for further<br>work. Immediate effect on<br>decision making unclear. | While authors' conclusions are<br>positive, data indicate that a<br>large minority of decisions on<br>main diagnosis, X-ray<br>requests, other investigations<br>and other treatments were<br>changed after patients were<br>examined at the outpatient<br>clinic. | State that they will explore<br>use of simultaneous<br>transmission of photographic<br>images when e mailing. |

 Table 8:
 Status and influence of assessments (cont'd)

## REFERENCES

- 1. Agha Z, Weinstein RS, Dunn BE. Cost minimization analysis of telepathology. *American Journal of Clinical Pathology* 1999;112(4):470-78.
- 2. Bailes JE, Poole CC, Hutchison W, et al. Utilization and cost savings of a wide-area computer network for neurosurgical consultation. *Telemedicine Journal* 1997;3:135-39.
- 3. Bergmo TS, Breivik E, Pedersen S. [Will the use of still image electronic referrals save costs?] [Norwegian]. *Tidsskrift for Den Norske Laegeforening* 2000;120(15):1777- 80.
- 4. Blackwell NAM, Kelly GJ, Lenton LM. Telemedicine ophthalmology consultation in remote Queensland. *Medical Journal of Australia* 1997;167:583-86.
- 5. Brennan JA, Kealy JA, Gerardi LH, et al.. Telemedicine in the emergency department: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare* 1999;5(1):18-22.
- 6. Brown R, Pain K, Berwald C, et al. Distance education and caregiver support groups: comparison of traditional and telephone groups. *Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation* 1999;14(3):257-68.
- Craig J, Chua R, Russell C, et al.. The cost-effectiveness of teleneurology consultationations for patients admitted to hospitals without neurologists on site. 1: A retrospective comparison of the case-mix and management at two rural hospitals. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare* 2000;6(Suppl 1):S46-S49.
- 8. Craig J, Chua R, Wootton R, Patterson V. A pilot study of telemedicine for new neurological outpatient referrals. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare* 2000;6(4): 225-28.
- 9. Dawson A, Cohen D, Candelier C, et al. Domiciliary midwifery support in high-risk pregnancy incorporating telephonic fetal heart rate monitoring: a health technology randomized assessment. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare* 1999;5(4),220-30.
- 10. Della Mea V, Cortolezzis D, Beltrami CA. The economics of telepathologya case study. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare* 2000;6(Suppl 1):S168-S169.
- 11. Demartines N, Mutter D, Vix M, et al. Assessment of telemedicine in surgical education and patient care. *Annals of Surgery* 1999;231(2): 282-91.
- 12. Frank AP, Wandell MG, Headings MD, et al. Anonymous HIV testing using home collection and telemedicine counseling. A multicenter evaluation. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 2000;157(3):309-14.

- 13. Harno KSR. Telemedicine in managing demand for secondary-care services. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare* 1999;5:189-92.
- 14. Harno K, Arajärvi E, Paavola T, et al. *Assessment of an electronic referral and teleconsultationation system between secondary and primary health care.* Helsinki: STAKES, FinOHTA Report, No. 10, 1999.
- 15. Harrison R, Clayton W, Wallace P. Virtual outreach: a telemedicine pilot study using a cluster-randomized controlled design. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare* 1999;5(2):126-30.
- 16. Heautot JF, Gibaud B, Catroux B, et al. Influence of the teleradiology technology (N-ISDN and ATM) on the inter-hospital management of neurosurgical patients. *Medical Informatics and the Internet in Medicine* 1999;24(2):121-34.
- 17. Hunkeler EM, Meresman JF, Hargreaves WA, et al. Efficacy of nurse telehealth care and peer support in augmenting treatment of depression in primary care. *Archives of Family Medicine* 2000;9(8):700-708.
- 18. Johnston B, Wheeler L. Outcomes of the Kaiser Permanente tele-home health research project. *Archives of Family Medicine* 2000;9:40-45.
- 19. Jovell AJ, Navarro-Rubio MD. Evaluation de la evidencia cientifica. *Medicina Clinica* [Spanish] 1995;105:740-43
- 20. Loane MA, Bloomer S E, Corbett R, et al. A randomized controlled trial to assess the clinical effectiveness of both realtime and store-and-forward teledermatology compared with conventional care. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare* 2000;6(Suppl 1): S1-S3.
- 21. Malone FD, Athanassiou A, Craigo SD, et al. Cost issues surrounding the use of computerized telemedicine for obstetric ultrasonography. *Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology* 1998;12:120-24.
- 22. Massman NJ, Dodge JD, Fortman KK, et al. Burns follow-up: an innovative application of telemedicine. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*, 1999;5(Suppl 1):S52-S54.
- 23. Mavrogeni SI, Tsirintani M, Kleanthous C, et al. Supervision of thrombolysis of acute myocardial infarction using telemedicine. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare* 2000;6(1):54-58.
- 24. McConnell ME, Steed RD, Tichenor JM, Hannon DW. Interactive telecardiology for the evaluation of heart murmurs in children. *Telemedicine Journal*, 1999;5(2):157-61.
- 25. McCue MJ, Mazmanian PE, Hampton CL, et al. Cost-minimization analysis: A follow-up study of a telemedicine program. *Telemedicine Journal* 1998;4(4):323- 27.

- 26. Mehra MR, Uber PA, Chomsky DB, Oren R. Emergence of electronic home monitoring in chronic heart failure: rationale, feasibility, and early results with the HomMed Sentry<sup>™</sup>- Observer<sup>™</sup> system. *Congestive Heart Failure* 2000; 6: 137-39.
- Mielonen ML, Ohinmaa A, Moring J, Isohanni M. Psychiatric inpatient care planning via telemedicine. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare* 2000;6(3):152-57.
- 28. Oakley AMM, Kerr P, Duffill et al. Patient cost-benefits of realtime teledermatology a comparison of data from Northern Ireland and New Zealand. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare* 2000;6: 97-101.
- 29. Ohinmaa A, Hailey D, Roine R. *The assessment of telemedicine: General principles and a systematic review.* Helsinki and Edmonton: STAKES and Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research, August 1999.
- 30. Pal B, Laing H, Estrach C. A cyberclinic in rheumatology. *Journal of the Royal College of Physicians of London* 1999;33:161-62.
- 31. Pelletier-Fleury N, Lanoe J-L, Philippe C, et al. Economic studies and 'technical' evaluation of telemedicine: The case of telemonitored polysomnography. *Health Policy* 1999;49(3):179-94.
- 32. Perednia DA, Wallace J, Morrisey M, et al. The effect of a teledermatology program on rural referral patterns to dermatologists and the management of skin disease. *Medinfo 1998*; 9 Pt 1. B. Cesnik et al. (Eds.), IOS Press, Amsterdam 1998; pp 290- 93.
- 33. Piette JD, Weinberger M, McPhee SJ. The effect of automated calls with telephone nurse follow-up on patient-centered outcomes of diabetes care: a randomized, controlled trial. *Medical Care* 2000;38(2):218-30.
- 34. Pullum JD, Sanddal ND, Obbink K. Training for rural prehospital providers: a retrospective analysis from Montana. *Prehospital Emergency Care* 1999;3(3):231-38.
- 35. Rendina MC, Downs SM, Carasco N, et al. Effect of telemedicine on health outcomes in 87 infants requiring neonatal intensive care. *Telemedicine Journal* 1998;4:345-51.
- Rendina MC, Bose CL, Gallaher KJ, et al. The effect of a neonatal telecardiology system on respiratory therapy in very low birthweight infants. *Medinfo 1998*, Pt 1. B. Cesnik et al. (Eds.), IOS Press, Amsterdam 1998; pp 298-301.
- 37. Scholz TD, Kienzle MG. Optimizing utilization of pediatric echocardiography and implications for telemedicine. *American Journal of Cardiology* 1999;83(12):1645-48.

- Simpson J, Doze S, Urness D, et al. An assessment of routine telepsychiatry services. Edmonton: Alberta Mental Health Board & Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research, November 1999.
- 39. Spencer JA, Dobson D, Hoare M, et al. The use of a computerized image transfer system linking a regional neuroradiology centre to its district hospitals. *Clinical Radiology* 1991;44:342-44.
- 40. Stensland J, Speedie SM, Iderker M, et al. The relative cost of outpatient telemedicine services. *Telemedicine Journal* 1999;5(3):245-56.
- 41. Tuulonen A, Ohinmaa A, Alanko, HI, et al. The application of teleophthalmology in examining patients with glaucoma: a pilot study. *Journal of Glaucoma* 1999;8(6):367-73.
- 42. Wootton R, Bloomer SE, Corbett R et al. Multicentre randomized control trial comparing real time teledermatology with conventional outpatient dermatological care: societal cost-benefit analysis. *British Medical Journal* 2000;320:1252-56.
- 43. Zelickson BD, Homan L. Teledermatology in the nursing home. *Archives of Dermatology* 1997;133:171-74.
- 44. Zollo S, Kienzle, M, Loeffelholz P, Sebille S. Telemedicine to Iowa's correctional facilities: Initial clinical experience and assessment of program costs. *Telemedicine Journal* 1999;5:291-301.