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## ABSTRACT

Boolean sum smooth interpolation to boundary data on a triangle is described. Sufficient conditions are given so that the functions when pieced together form a $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{N}-1}(\Omega)$ function over a triangular subdivision of a polygonal region $\Omega$ and the precision sets of the interpolation functions are derived. The interpolants are modified so that the compatability conditions on the function which is interpolated can be removed and a $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ interpolant is used to illustrate the theory. The generation of interpolation schemes for discrete boundary data is also discussed.

1 . Introduction.

This note presents a method of removing the compatibility conditions from the smooth rational Boolean sum interpolants described in Barnhill, Birkhoff, and Gordon [l]. The resulting interpolants match a function $F \varepsilon C^{N-1}(\partial T)$ and its first $N-1$ normal derivatives along the boundary $\partial T$ of a triangle $T$. The interpolants can be used to define a piecewise function which is $C^{N-1}$ ( $\Omega$ ) over a triangulated polygon $\Omega$.

For algebraic simplicity, the triangle $T$ with vertices at $V_{1}=(0,1), V_{2}=(1,0)$, and $\left.V_{3}=0,0\right)$ is considered, where the side opposite the vertex $V_{k}$ is denoted by $E_{k}$. Any other triangle can be obtained by an affine transformation of this "standard" triangle. Hermite interpolation projectors $P_{k}, k=1,2,3$, are defined so that on two sides of the triangle $T$, the function $P_{k} F(x, y)$ interpolates $\mathrm{F} \varepsilon \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{N}-1}(\partial \mathrm{~T})$ and its first $\mathrm{N}-1$ directional derivatives along the line through ( $x, y$ ) parallel to the third side $E_{k}$. Such a function will interpolate all partial derivatives up to order N -1 on the two sides, since tangential derivatives along the sides are automatically given. Boolean sum operators of these projectors are defined by
(1.1) $\quad P_{i} \oplus P_{j}=P_{i}+P_{j}-P_{i} P_{j}, i \neq j$.

Barnhill and Mansfield [3] show that the function ( $P_{i} \oplus P_{j}$ ) $F$ interpolates $\mathrm{F} \varepsilon \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{N}-1}(\partial \mathrm{~T})$, and all its partial derivatives of order $N-1$ and less on $\partial T$, provided that certain derivatives of $F$ are compatible at a vertex. In Section 2, we show how these compatibility conditions may be removed, whilst preserving the interpolation properties and precision set of the resulting interpolant. This is
an alternative approach to that proposed by Mansfield [5]. The precision set is the set of polynomials for which the interpolant is exact, and it is important in that it indicates the order of accuracy of the interpolant.

Smooth interpolation functions have applications to computer aided geometric design and finite element analysis. Finite element basis functions can be generated by taking the boundary data as polynomials which interpolate functionals along a side and this possibility is discussed in Section 4. An alternative application to finite element analysis is that data along the boundary of a triangulated polygon can be matched exactly with smooth interpolation.

## 2. Hermite Boolean sum interpolation to boundary data.

The Hermite interpolation projectors on the standard triangle $T$
are defined by
(2.1) $\quad P_{1} F=\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \varphi_{i}\left(\frac{x}{1-y}\right)(1-y)^{i} F_{i}, 0(0, y)+\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \psi_{i}\left(\frac{x}{1-y}\right)(1-y)^{i} F_{i}, 0^{(1-y, y), ~}$
(2.2) $P_{2} F=\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \varphi_{i}\left(\frac{Y}{1-x}\right)(1-x)^{i} F_{0, i}(x, 0)+\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \psi_{i}\left(\frac{Y}{1-x}\right)(1-x)^{i} F_{0, i}(x, 1-x)$,
(2.3) $\quad P_{3} F=\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \varphi_{i}\left(\frac{x}{x+y}\right)(x+y)^{i}\left(\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right]^{i} F\right)(0, x+y)$

$$
+\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \psi_{i}\left(\frac{x}{x+y}\right)(x+y)^{i}\left(\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right]^{i} F\right)(x+y, 0),
$$

where the $\phi_{i}(t)$ and $\psi_{i}(t)=(-1)^{1} \phi_{i}(1-t)$ are the cardinal basis

```
functions for Hermite two point Taylor interpolation on the
interval [ 0,1 ].Thus
```

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}^{(j)}(0)=\delta_{i j} \text { and } \phi_{i}^{(j)}(1)=0, \quad 0 \leq j<N \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to express these projectors as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i}=P_{i}^{j}+P_{i}^{k}, \quad i \neq j \neq k \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{i}^{\ell}$. denotes the part of the projector involving the side $E_{\ell}$ Since $P_{i}^{k} P_{j}=P_{i}^{k}$, the Boolean sum of two projectors can then be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{i} \oplus P_{j} & =P_{i}+P_{j}-P_{i} P_{j}  \tag{2.6}\\
& =P_{i}^{j}+P_{j}-P_{i}^{j} P_{j}
\end{align*}
$$

Boolean sum interpolation properties: The following theorem on Boolean sum interpolation is Lemma 4.2 of [3]. At the end of Section 2, we show how the Boolean sum function can be modified so that the compatibility conditions (2.7) can be relaxed.

Theorem 2.1. The Boolean sum functions
$\left(P_{i} \oplus P_{j}\right) F=\left(P_{i}+P_{j}-P_{i} P_{j}\right) F, i \neq j ; i, j=1,2,3$, interpolate $F \varepsilon C^{N-1}(\partial T)$ and its derivatives of order $N-1$ and less on $\partial T$, provided that $F$ satisfies the compatibility conditions
(2.7) $\left(\frac{\partial^{m+n_{F}}}{\partial s_{i}^{m} \partial s_{j}^{n}}\right)\left(V_{k}\right)=\left(\frac{\partial^{n+m_{m}}}{\partial s_{j}^{n} \partial s_{i}^{m}}\right)\left(V_{k}\right), m, n<; m+n \geq N$,
where $V_{k}$ is the vertex with adjacent sides $E_{i}$ and $E_{j}$, and $\partial / \partial s_{\ell}$ denotes differentiation along the side $\mathrm{E}_{\ell}$.

Proof. It is sufficient to consider the case $\left(P_{1} \oplus P_{2}\right) \mathrm{F}$ as the other cases then follow by affine transformation and symmetry. Firstly, the interpolation properties hold on $x=0$ and $1-x-y=0$ since

$$
F-\left(P_{1} \oplus P_{2}\right) F=\left(I-P_{1}\right)\left(I-P_{2}\right) F,
$$

where I is the identity operator, and $I-P_{1}$. and its partial derivatives of order $\mathrm{N}-1$ and less are null on those sides. Secondly, from (2.6),

$$
F-\left(P_{1} \oplus P_{2}\right) F=\left(I-P_{2}\right) F-P_{1}^{2}\left(I-P_{2}\right) F
$$

and hence we require that

$$
\left(\frac{\partial P_{1}^{2}\left(I-P_{2}\right) F}{\partial y j}\right)(x, 0)=0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq N-1
$$

for the interpolation properties to hold on $y=0 . N o w$
(2.8) $P_{1}^{2}\left(I-P_{2}\right) F=\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \varphi_{i}\left(\frac{x}{1-y}\right)(1-y)^{i}\left[F_{i, 0}(0, y)-\left(\frac{\partial^{i} p_{2} F}{\partial x^{i}}\right)(0, y)\right]$.

Also

$$
\left(\frac{\partial^{k+i} P_{2} F}{\partial y^{k} \partial x^{i}}\right)(0,0)=\left(\frac{\partial^{i+k_{2}} P_{2} F}{\partial x^{i} \partial y^{k}}\right)(0,0)=\left(\frac{\partial^{i} F_{0, k}}{\partial x^{i}}\right)(0,0), 0 \leq i, k \leq N-1,
$$

where the change of order of differentiation is permissible, as for $F \varepsilon C^{N-1}(\partial T)$, it can be shown that $P_{2} F$ is $N-1$ times continuously differentiable at (0,0).

Thus differentiation of (2.8) by Leibniz' rule gives

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\frac{\partial^{j} P_{1}^{2}\left(I-P_{2}\right) F}{\partial y^{j}}\right)(x, 0)  \tag{2.9}\\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{j} \sum_{i=N-k}^{N-1}\left(\frac{j}{k}\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{j-k}}{\partial y^{j-k}} \varphi_{i}\left(\frac{x}{1-y}\right)(1-y)^{i}\right)(x, 0)\left[\left(\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial y^{k}}\left(\frac{\partial^{i} F}{\partial x^{i}}\right)\right)(0,0)\right. \\
&-\left(\frac{\partial^{i}}{\partial x^{i}}\left(\frac{\partial^{k} F}{\partial y^{k}}\right)\right)(0,0) 1,
\end{align*}
$$

Where the original summation $\sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{j}$ has been reduced to that in (2.9) Since the cross derivatives are compatible for $0 \leq I+k \leq N-1$ if $F \varepsilon C^{N-1}(\partial T)$ The requirement that $(2,9)$ be zero for all $1 \leq j \leq N-1$ is true if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{k}}{\partial \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{k}}}\left(\frac{\partial^{i} \mathrm{~F}}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{i}}\right)\right)(0,0)=\left(\frac{\partial^{i}}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{i}}\left(\frac{\partial^{k} \mathrm{~F}}{\partial \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{k}}}\right)\right)(0,0), \quad \quad i, k<\mathrm{N} ; i+k \geq \mathrm{N}, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which completes the proof of the theorem.

Continuity at vertices: The previous theorem indicates the behaviour of the Boolean sum functions along IT. The following theorem gives sufficient conditions that the apparent singularities at two of the vertices of $T$ are removable and also that the Boolean sum function be N-1 times continuously differentiable.

Theorem 2.2. Let the derivative values of $F$ in $\left(P_{i} \oplus P_{j}\right) F$, as functions of their single variables, be N -1 times continuously differentiable on the sides of $T$ and the function values of $F$ be $N$ times continuously differentiable on the sides of $T$. Then $\left(P_{i} \oplus P_{j}\right) F$ is N -1 times continuously differentiable on $T$ excluding the vertices $V_{i}$. and $V_{j}$. where, for $F \varepsilon C^{N-1}(\partial T)$, it is $N-1$ times continuously
differentiable as the limit to the vertices is approached from $T$. Proof. It is sufficient to consider the case
(2.11) $\quad\left(P_{1} \oplus P_{2}\right) F=P_{2} F+P_{1}^{2}\left(I-P_{2}\right) F$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \varphi_{i}\left(\frac{y}{1-x}\right)(1-x)^{i} F_{0, i}(x, 0)+\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \psi_{i}\left(\frac{y}{1-x}\right)(1-x)^{i} F_{0, i} \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \varphi_{i}\left(\frac{Y}{1-y}\right)(1-Y)^{i}\left[F_{i, 0}(0, y)-\left(\frac{\partial^{i} P_{2} F}{\partial x^{i}}\right)(0, y)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the boundary functions are N-1 times continuously differentiable with respect to their single variables $x$ or $y$ on $[0,1]$, then (2.11) is also $N-1$ times continuously differentiable on $T$ excluding the vertices $V_{1}=(0,1)$ and $V_{2}=(1,0)$, where, for $(x, y) \varepsilon T$, the functions $\Phi$ and $\psi$ in (2.11) have singularities.

Consider first $V_{2}=(1,0)$ where from (2.11), it follows that (2.12) $\underset{(x, y)=(1,0)}{\operatorname{limit}} \frac{\partial^{m+n}\left(P_{1} \oplus P_{2}\right) F}{\partial x^{m} \partial y^{n}}=\underset{(x, y)=(1,0)}{\operatorname{limit}} \frac{\partial^{m+n} P_{2} F}{\partial x^{m} \partial y^{n}}, 0 \leq m+n \leq N-1$. The differentiability of the function and derivative values of F in $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ implies that, for $\mathrm{F} \varepsilon \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{N}-1}(\partial \mathrm{~T})$, they have the following Taylor expansions about $\mathrm{x}=1$ :
(2.13) $F_{0, i}(x, 0)=\sum_{j=0}^{N-1-i}(x-1)^{(j)} F_{j, i}(1,0)+\int_{1}^{X}(x-\tilde{X})^{(N-1-i)} \frac{d N-i F 0, i(x, 0)}{d x N-i} \tilde{x}$
(2.14) $F_{0, i}(x, 1-x)=\sum_{j=0}^{N-1-i}(x-1)^{(j)}\left(\frac{d^{j} F_{0, i}(x, 1-x)}{d x^{j}}\right) x=1$
where

$$
+\int_{1}^{x}\left(x-\tilde{x}^{(N-1-i)} \frac{d^{N-i} F 0, i(x, 1-\tilde{x})}{d \tilde{\sim} \tilde{x}-i} d \tilde{x}\right.
$$

Now $P_{2}$ is exact for the function

$$
\sum_{i+j<N}(x-1)^{(i)} Y^{(j)} F_{i, j}(1,0)
$$

[see proof of Theorem 2.3, equation (2.21)], and the integral
remainder terms in (2.13) and (2.14] are zero for such a function.

Thus substitution of the Taylor expansions into equation (2.2) for
$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ gives
(2.15) $\quad P_{2} F=\sum_{i+j<N}(x-1)^{(i)} Y^{(j)} F_{i, j}(1,0)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \varphi_{i}\left(\frac{y}{1-x}\right)(1-x)^{i} \int_{1}^{x}(x-\tilde{x})(N-1-i) \frac{d^{N-} i_{F_{0, i}}(x, 0)}{\sim} \sim_{\sim}^{N-i} \tilde{x}^{N} \\
& \text { d } x \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{N} \psi_{i}\left(\frac{y}{1-x}\right)(1-x)^{i} \int_{1}^{x}(x-\tilde{x})(N-1-i) \frac{d^{N}-i_{F_{0, i}(x,(x, 1-\tilde{x})}^{\sim}}{\sim} \tilde{\sim}^{\sim}
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that the behaviour of the singular terms are like

$$
\varphi_{i}\left(\frac{y}{1-x}\right)(1-x) N \text { and } \psi_{i}\left(\frac{y}{1-x}\right)(1-x)^{N}
$$

Thus, since $0 \leq y /(1-x) \leq 1$ for $(x, y) \varepsilon T$, it is simple to show by differentiating (2.15), and using the continuity and hence boundedness of the derivatives, that
(2.16) $\underset{(x, y)=(1,0)}{\operatorname{limit}} \frac{\partial^{m+n} P_{2} F}{\partial x^{m} \partial y^{n}}=F_{m, n}(1,0), \quad 0 \leq m+n \leq N-1 ; \quad(x, y) \varepsilon T$,
which by (2.12) is desired result at the vertex $V_{2}=(1,0)$.

At $V_{1}=(0,1)$, since

$$
\left(P_{1} \oplus P_{2}\right) F=P_{1} F+\left(I-P_{1}\right) P_{2} F,
$$

the dual argument applied to $P_{1} F$ and $P_{1}$ [ $P_{2} F$ ] gives that (2.17) $\underset{(x, y)=(0,1)}{\operatorname{limit}} \frac{\partial^{m+n}\left(P_{1} \oplus P_{2}\right) F}{\partial x^{m} \partial y^{n}}=F_{m, n}(0,1) \quad 0 \leq m+n \leq N-1 ;(x, y) \varepsilon T$.

Remark Weaker conditions on $F(0, x)$ and $F(x, 1-x)$ are that their N-1'st derivative be absolutely continuous and their N'th derivative be bounded in the $L$ norm, $p>1$, on the interval [l- 1,1$]$.

Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 give sufficient conditions that the interpolation functions can be pieced together to form a $C^{N-1}(\Omega)$ function over a triangulated polygon ft. Theorem 2.2. holds for boundary functions which are polynomials.

Precision: The following simple lemma is useful in establishing the set of polynomials for which the Boolean sum interpolants are exact.

Lemma 2.1, Let $f$ and $g$ be functions of one and two variables respectively. The

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i} \cdot\left[f\left(\xi_{i}\right) g(x, y)\right]=f\left(\xi_{i}\right) p[g(x, y)], i=1,2,3, \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$ where $\xi_{i}=0$ is the side $E_{i}$ of $\partial T$ parallel to the projector $P_{i}$,i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{1} \equiv \mathrm{y}, \quad \xi_{2} \equiv \mathrm{x} \text { and } \xi_{3} \equiv 1-\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y} . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Substitution of $F(x, y)=f\left(\xi_{i}\right) g(x, y)$ into the function $P_{i} F$ gives the desired result.

Theorem 2.3. The operator $P_{i} \oplus P_{j}$ is exact for the set of
monomials

$$
\xi_{j}^{m} \xi_{i}^{n} \quad, \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m \geq 0 \text { for } 0 \leq n \leq 2 N-1  \tag{2.20}\\
2 N \leq m+n \leq 3 N-1 \text { for } 2 N \leq n \leq 3 N-1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. By affine transformation and symmetry it is sufficient to consider the case $P_{1} \oplus P_{2}$. Firstly, the operator $P_{1} \oplus P_{2}$ has at least the precision set of $P_{2}$ since

$$
P_{1} \oplus P_{2}=P_{2}^{+} P_{1} \quad\left(I-P_{2}\right)
$$

and $I-P_{2}$ is the null operator on that precision set. Now from Lemma 2.1, $P_{2} x^{m} y^{n}=x^{m} P_{2} y^{n}$ for all $m$, and by the precision of the Hermite projector $P_{2}$, it follows that $P_{2} y^{n}=y^{n}$ for $0 \leq n \leq 2 N-1$, Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{2} x^{m} y^{n}=x^{m} y^{n}, m \geq 0 ; 0 \leq n \leq 2 N-1 \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Secondly, using Lemma 2.1, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P_{1} \oplus P_{2}\right) x^{m} y^{n}=y^{n} p 1 x^{m}+x^{m} P_{2} y^{n}-P_{1}\left[x^{m} P_{2} y^{n}\right] \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for $\mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{N}$

$$
P_{2} Y^{n}=\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \psi_{i}\left(\frac{y}{1-x}\right)(1-x)^{i} \frac{n!}{(n-i)!}(1-x)^{n-i}
$$

and each $\psi_{i}(t)$ is a polynomial of degree $2 N-1$ with a factor $t^{N}$. Thus, by cancellation for $n \geq 2 N, x^{m} P_{2} y^{n}$ is a polynomial in $x$ and $y$ which is of degree at most $m+n-N$ in the variable $x$. Hence, using the precision of $P_{1}$, it follows that $P_{1}\left[x^{m} p_{2} y^{n}\right]=x^{m} P_{2} y^{n}$
for $m+n-N \leq 2 N-1$ and $n \geq 2 N$. Substituting in (2.22)
and since $P \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}$ for $0 \leq \mathrm{m} \leq 2 \mathrm{~N}-1$ we have that
(2.23) $\quad\left(P_{1} \oplus P_{2}\right) x^{m} y^{n}=x^{m} y^{n}, \quad m+n \leq 3 N-1 ; \quad 2 N \leq n \leq 3 N-1$

It follows from Theorem 2.3 that Boolean sum interpolation on a general triangle is exact for all polynomials of degree 3NH or less, since this set is affine invariant, More precisely we have
Corollary 2.1 Let $P$. and $P$. be two Hermite projectors along parallels to the sides $E_{i}$ and $E_{j}$ of a general triangle in the $(x, y)$ plane. Let $\xi=\xi(x, y)$ and $n=n(x, y)$ be the affine transformation which transforms this triangle onto the standard
 E. on the $n$ axis. Then the Boolean sum interpolant $\left(P_{i} \oplus P_{j}\right) F$ is exact for the set of polynomials

$$
\xi^{m} n^{n} \quad, \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m \geq 0 \text { for } 0 \leq n \leq 2 N-1  \tag{2.24}\\
2 N \leq m+n \leq 3 N-1 \text { for } 2 N \leq n \leq 3 N-1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Removal of compatibility conditions: Without loss of generality the Boolean sum function $\left(P_{1} \oplus P_{2}\right) F$ is considered. By Theorem 2.1, the remainder function

$$
R=F-\left(P_{1} \oplus P_{2}\right) F
$$

is zero, and has zero derivatives of order NH and less, on the
sides of the triangle $T$, except on $y=0$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{0, j}(x, 0)=- & \sum_{k=1}^{j} \sum_{i=N}^{N-1}\left(\frac{j}{k}\right)\left(\frac { \partial ^ { j - k } } { \partial y ^ { j - k } } \varphi _ { i } ( \frac { x } { 1 - y } ) \left(1-y^{i}\right.\right. \\
& {\left[\left(\frac{\partial^{k+i} F}{\partial y^{k} \partial x^{i}}\right)(0,0)-\left(\frac{\partial^{i+k_{F}}}{\partial x^{i} \partial y^{k}}\right)(0,0)\right], 1 \leq j \leq N-1, }
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easily shown that $R \varepsilon C^{N-1}(I T)$ and that at the vertex
$V_{1}=(0,1), R$ satisfies the compatibility requirements (2.7) of

Theorem 2.1. Thus the Boolean sum functions

$$
\left(P_{2} \oplus P_{3}\right) R \text { and }\left(P_{3} \oplus P_{2}\right) R
$$

interpolate the function $R$ and its derivatives of order $N-1$ and less on the sides of $T$. Either of these functions when added to $\left(P_{1} \oplus P_{2}\right)$ F remove the compatibility conditions of Theorem 2.1. By affine transformation and symmetry, we have thus proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. The functions

| $(2.25)$ | $\left(P_{i} \oplus P_{j}\right) F$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $(2.26)$ | $\left.\left(P_{i} \oplus P_{j}\right) F+P_{k}\right) R$, |
| $i \neq j \neq k$, |  |

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=F-\left(P_{i} \oplus P_{j}\right) F \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

interpolate $F \varepsilon C^{N-1}(\partial T)$ and its derivatives of order $N-1$ and
12.

We note that the modified interpolant reduces to $\left(P_{i} \oplus P_{j}\right) F$ when the compatibility conditions of Theoren 2.1 are satisfied.

The precision set of Theorem 2.3 is true for the modified interpolants (2.25) and (2.26), since the corrective functions are zero for all polynomial F. Theorem 2.2 is also valid for the modified interpolants.
3. $C^{\underline{1}}$ interpolation to boundary data.

In this section the theory of Section 2 is illustrated with the case $N=2$ of Boolean sum functions which interpolate $F \varepsilon C^{1}$ (əT) and its first derivatives on $\partial T$. The basis functions for Hermite two point Taylor interpolation on $[0,1]$ are then defined by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{0}(t)=(t-1)^{2}(2 t+1), & \phi_{1}(t)=(t-1)^{2} t, \\
\psi_{0}(t)=t^{2}(-2 t+3), & \psi_{1}(t)=t^{2}(t-1) . \tag{3.1}
\end{array}
$$

The Boolean sum function of $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ is
(3.2) $\left(P_{1} \oplus P_{2}\right) F=\sum_{i=01}^{1} \varphi_{i}\left(\frac{y}{1-x}\right)(1-x)^{i} F_{0, i}(x, 0)+\sum_{i=0}^{1} \psi_{i}\left(\frac{y}{1-x}\right)(1-x)^{i} F_{0, i}$

$$
+\sum_{i=0} \varphi_{i}\left(\frac{x}{1-y}\right)(1-y)^{i}\left[F_{i, 0}(0, y)-\left(\frac{\partial^{i} P_{2} F}{\partial x^{i}}\right)(0, y)\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~F}\right)(0, \mathrm{y})=\phi_{0}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{F}(0,0)+\phi_{1}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{F}_{0,1}(0,0)+\psi_{0}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{F}(0,1)+\psi_{1}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{F}_{0,1}(0,1) \\
& \left(\frac{\partial P_{2} F}{\partial \mathrm{~F}}\right)(0, \mathrm{y})=\mathrm{y}\left[\phi_{0}^{\prime}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{F}(0,0)+\phi_{1}^{\prime}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{F}_{0,1}(0,0)+\psi_{0}^{\prime}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{F}(0,1)+\psi_{1}^{\prime}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{F}_{0,1}(0,1)\right] \\
& \quad+\varphi_{0}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{F}_{1}, 0(0,0)+\varphi_{1}(\mathrm{y})\left[\mathrm{F}_{0}, 1(0,0)+\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{F}_{0,1}(\mathrm{x}, 0)}{\partial \mathrm{x}}\right) \mathrm{x}=0\right] \\
& \quad+\psi_{0}(\mathrm{y})\left[\mathrm{I}, 0(0,1)-\mathrm{F}_{0,1}(0,1)\right]+\psi_{1}(\mathrm{y})\left[-\mathrm{F}_{0,1}(0,1)+\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{F}_{0}, 1(\mathrm{x}, 1-\mathrm{x})}{\partial \mathrm{x}} \mathrm{x}=0\right]\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The remainder function

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=F-\left(P_{1} \oplus P_{2}\right) F \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is zero and has zero first derivatives on $I T$, except on $y=0$
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{0,1}(x, 0)=-\varphi_{1}(x)\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{~F}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}\right)\right)(0,0)-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{x}}\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{~F}}{\partial \mathrm{y}}\right)\right)(0,0)\right] \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this case it can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P_{2} \oplus P_{3}\right) R=\left(P_{3} \oplus P_{2}\right) R \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=-\frac{x^{2} y(x+y-1)^{2}}{x+y}\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}\right)\right)(0,0)-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}\right)\right)(0,0)\right] .
$$

The function (3.5) clearly has the desired properties that
it is zero and its first derivatives are zero on $\partial T$, except on
y $=0$ where its $y$ partial derivative gives (3.4). Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P_{1} \oplus P_{2}\right) F+\left(P_{1} \oplus P_{2}\right) R \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives the compatibly corrected interpolant to $F \in C^{1}(I T)$. The precision set of this interpolant contains the set of all polynomials of degree five and less.

The average of (3.6) and its dual for $\left(P_{2} \oplus P_{1}\right)$ F gives a
more symmetric form on the standard triangle.
4. Interpolation to discrete boundary data.

Smooth interpolation functions can be used to construct interpolation schemes which involve only point functionals on $\mathbb{I} T$. (See for example Barnhill and Gregory [ 2], and Birkhoff and Mansfield [ 4 ].) This is achieved by defining the function and normal derivatives along a side of the triangle $T$ as polynomials which interpolate the functionals on that side. This ensures continuity of the function and derivatives across a side common to two adjacent triangles. The requirement that $F \varepsilon C^{N-1}(\partial T)$, and that the interpolant has the local support of the triangle, implies that the function $F$ and its partial derivatives of order $N-1$ and less must be specified at each vertex. These $3 N(N+1) / 2$ values are sufficient for the modified smooth interpolation schemes of Theorem 2.4, but the compatibility conditions of the unmodified scheme would impose some additional higher order partial derivatives at each vertex.

The n'th normal derivative along a side can then "be defined as the polynomial which interpolates the $2(N-n)$ values given at the ends of the side,i-e. the n'th normal derivative, and the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{n}-1$ directional derivatives along the side of this n'th normal derivative. The precision of the final interpolation function is limited by the lowest precision achieved by the boundary data interpolants and higher precision can be gained by including more point functionals along the sides.

As an example, we consider the smooth $C^{1}$ interpolant of Section 3, Defining the boundary functions of this interpolant as the cubic polynomials which interpolate $F$, and its first directional derivative along the side, at each vertex, and the normal derivatives as the quadratic polynomials which interpolate the normal derivative at the mid points of the sides and at each vertex, results in a twelve parameter interpolation function with cubic precision. Alternatively the normal derivative can be defined as the linear polynomials which interpolate the normal derivative at each vertex, or equivalently a linear variation on the normal derivative of the previous scheme can be imposed. This gives a nine parameter interpolant with quadratic precision.

It is important to note that the interpolation schemes for discrete boundary data are not affine invariant and so cannot be treated only on the standard triangle. This follows since no direction is invariant under each of the affine transformations which take two adjacent triangles onto the standard one, except the direction along the common side. In particular, the normal
16.
derivative on the common side will in general be transferred into two different and non-normal directions by each of these affine transformations.
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