Men and COVID-19: the aftermath

White, Alan PhD RN
Emeritus Professor of Men's Health, Leeds Beckett University

Abstract

The global pandemic as a result of the SARS-CoV2 virus has seen over 16m people infected and over 650,000 deaths, with men at double the risk of both developing the severe form of the disease and mortality. There are both biological (sex) and socio-cultural (gender) factors, compounded by socio-economic factors and ethnicity, that impact on the aftermath of what has occurred over the short time that this novel coronavirus has been circulating the world.

The potential life-long morbidity as a result of the infection and as a consequence of highly invasive critical care treatment needs to be factored into the rehabilitation of survivors. There are also many men whose lives will have been severely affected both physically and emotionally by the pandemic without ever contracting the disease, with the widespread disruption to normal existence and its impact on their social world and the economy. The implications of the closure of many healthcare services over the initial lockdown will also have both a shorter- and longer-term impact on other diseases due to missed early diagnosis and disrupted treatment regimes.

Getting effective public health messages out to the population is critical and this current pandemic is demonstrating that there needs to be a more focused view on men's health behaviour. Without effective public support for preventative action the more likely the disease will continue its path unabated.

This review explores the wider ramifications of the disease both for those men who have survived the disease and those that have been affected by the wider social effects of the pandemic. The pandemic should be a wake-up call for all involved in the planning and delivery of health and social care for the greater attention to the central role of sex and gender.

## Introduction

The current COVID-19 pandemic offers a prime example of how what we have come to know as 'men's heath' is so much more than the biology of the reproductive system. Each disciplinary area will be focusing in on the implications of the disease but clinicians will also be seeing that the ramifications of the disease go beyond their own speciality. As we grapple with the numbers of men who have succumbed to severe illness and the high death rates the detailed exploration of the virus's pathophysiology is being accompanied by equally exacting examination of the physical and emotional consequences of the disease and the social upheaval caused by the pandemic. With short, medium and long term effects that are going to play out across society that will have ramifications for the health and wellbeing of the population.

This paper seeks to give a broad overview of the possible bio-medical (sex) and socio-cultural (gender) implications of the pandemic on men. Although this paper has been written from the point of view of men, there is an equally important need to consider the enormous impact the virus has had on women (1–4). There is now a worldwide effort being made to demonstrate the effect of the pandemic on women and men through such resources as GlobalHealth50/50 (https://globalhealth5050.org) and Gender & Covid-19 (https://www.genderandcovid-19.org). A key message is that that there are key sex/gender public health implications of the disease as we move towards the next phases of this disease that have to be taken into consideration and become a more central part of forward planning for future pandemics.

At the time of writing this paper, late July 2020, there have been 16.34m confirmed cases and 650,805 deaths (5). Being male has been shown to be a particular risk factor for developing the severe form of the disease and mortality (2,6–10). A modelling exercise on the possibility of developing severe COVID-19 as a result of underlying health conditions suggests that 6% (225m) of the global male population may be at risk of requiring hospital admission if infected (3% / 123m females) (11). In the over 70 year olds an estimated 26% (52m) males and 14% (35m) females are at risk of hospitalisation. Their work was based on an assumption that men are at twice the risk as women of developing the severe form of the disease and the higher prevalence of non-communicable diseases in men. COVID-19 is again showing how biological sex and socio-cultural gender differences are differentially impacting on men to create the conditions for the disease to take its deadly toll (4,8).

**Men's risk of SARS-CoV2**

How the disease affects the body is affected by the physiological environment of the individual this includes the underlying pathway the virus takes to invade the host cells, the reaction of the host to the virus, and co-morbidities that influence the impact of the disease – all of which can be seen to have marked biological sex differences (12). These have been well described elsewhere (13–19)

Beyond the biological risk the epidemiological evidence of the spread of the disease is demonstrating that this is not an equal playing field, with marked intersectional differences seen in its impact based on different population groups (20). Men's risk of developing and dying from SARS-CoV2 is markedly increased depending on their socio-economic status. In England and Wales, males in the least deprived areas have a death rate of 77.6 per 100,000 (44.3 per 100,00 for females) rising to 172 per 100,000 in the most deprived areas (97 per 100,000 for females) (21). The disease has also been seen to hit those from non-white ethnicity harder (22,23). Of the 10,075 patients who have been through intensive care in England, Wales and Northern Ireland by the 16th July 2020 4,654 were White ethnicity males and 2,411 were non-White ethnicity males [1,999 White ethnicity females, 1,004 non White ethnicity females], which represents a higher proportion than seen within the overall demographics for the countries (24).

There is a strong link between poverty and the high levels of mortality in non-White ethnicities, representing 62% of all male patients in intensive care living in the lowest two quintiles of deprivation (as compared to 44.7% for White ethnicity) (24). In an

analysis into the clinical risk factors against demographic profiles for the first 5,683 deaths in England the risk posed by deprivation and by race was not explained by higher rates of ill-health or other clinical risk factors, suggesting that it was more related to local social and environmental issues (25), such as living in crowded areas, poorer housing, a lack of accessible health care, and be employed in more risky settings (22,26–28). There also higher levels of co-morbidity associated with the severe forms of the disease, such as cardiovascular disease, diabetes and obesity in men of non-White ethnicity (23,29,30). Such that the compounding of risk factors in most deprived communities may result in the negative aftermath of the pandemic also being borne by those with the least resources to manage(20). But this does not explain all the vulnerability (31), and it is worrying to note that an early review of deaths in health care workers in the UK found 94% of the deaths in doctors were male and that 94% of the deaths in medical staff were non-White ethnicity (32).

**Men's health behaviour**

The pandemic is demonstrating that the different way men and women respond to public health messages and risk is an area that needs urgent consideration. This pandemic has hit at the core of most people's existence, creating for many an existential threat to their identity and their place in the world. Classic depictions of masculinity include notions of control, independence, power over others, pride, inner strength, competitiveness, success, and self-control (33,34), with a recognition of its dynamic nature, affected by personal, contextual and cultural factors, but all of which impacted upon by the pandemic.

Getting men to change their behaviour is a challenge with some studies suggesting that older men are less worried by the disease and more resistant to adopting preventative measures than younger men (35). This may be due to better emotion-focused coping skills or a reduced perception of risk, which given the higher rate of death in older men is problematic. In a survey conducted by YouGov on British men and women found nearly a quarter of British men (24%) inaccurately believe coronavirus is 'just like the flu' compared with 16% of women. Similarly, they are also less inclined to believe official Government advice such as staying inside to stop the virus from spreading, with 10% saying this is false compared with 2% of women (36).

There are also signs that young men are also resistant to change, with a greater likelihood of breaking social distancing rules, with two-thirds of those fined in England and Wales for breaking lockdown were under 35 years of age and of those fined eight out of ten were male (37). The wearing of a mask has been is now being put forward as an important means of managing the spread of the disease, however there are reports that men are being put off by the effect it will have on their image. In a study of 1,266 males and 1,183 females in the USA on their willingness to wear a mask more men agreed that it would be shameful, not cool, a sign of weakness, and a stigma (38).

Similarly, hand hygiene has been found previously to be more thorough and performed more regularly by women as compared to men, both generally (39) and more specifically during the H1N1 outbreak (40) and the 2003 SARS epidemic (41). Early indications suggest that this is the same finding for the current pandemic. In a

study from China compared with males, females more frequently washed their hands (OR 2.39, 95% CI 1.85-3.09, P < .001) (42). Other behavioural factors were also found in this study as women were more likely to: cover their nose and mouth when sneezing and coughing (OR 2.12, 95% CI 1.63-2.74, P < .001), keep social distance with others (OR 1.64, 95% CI 1.28-2.11, P < .001), stay home (OR 1.34, 95% CI 1.05-1.70, P = .02), avoid using public transportation (OR 2.30, 95% CI 1.72-3.07, P < .001), and clean frequently touched surfaces (OR 1.58, 95% CI 1.25-2.00, P < .001). Again, this can be linked to both a health awareness issue, but also one related to their sense of self: “[the disease] they can’t fight back against, they look for some way to assert their masculine gender identity, in this case by proclaiming that they don’t need to wash their hands, that they’re tough enough to resist the disease without taking any steps.” (43)

Men tend to have higher levels of smoking globally(44), with its implications on NCDs such as cancer(45), CVD(46) and respiratory disease(47) well recognised. There have been welcome signs that in many countries the prevalence of smoking has been declining, however this progress may have been affected by the pandemic. There have been conflicting reports on whether either current or previous smoking behaviour has contributed to COVID-19 risk. Some studies have suggested it has increased the risk (48–50), others that there is a relative absence of smokers from those with the severe form of the disease (48,51–53). The overall consensus is that smoking is both associated with increased risk of developing severe disease and death (54,55). However, the conflicting advice may have contributed to an increased uptake of smoking. This is coupled with the emotional stress of the pandemic and also for many the loss of inhibitory factors such as smoke free workplaces along with reduced access to smoking cessation services and vaping products (56–58).

Alcohol intake has also been a significant factor in men’s higher risk of NCDs and wider physical and emotional health problems (59,60). It’s been suggested that there has been an overall reduction in consumption due to closure of restaurants and bars as a result of the lockdown (61). However consumption has shifted to the home, which may have added to the increased reporting of domestic violence incidents (62). Rhem and colleagues also warn that the changes that have been introduced with regard to policy changes to help give easier access to alcohol may not be reversed, increasing availability (61). A further important factor is in regard to those who receiving support due to alcohol dependency may have regressed with loss of service provision (62).

Obesity has one of the most common morbidities seen in severe cases of SARS-CoV2, with 73.7% of patients admitted to intensive care in England, Wales and Northern Ireland having a BMI >25 (24). Men have a higher proportion of their body fat as the more metabolically active visceral fat (63), which also has a more active role in leptin production and the inflammatory response through a higher ACE2 expression (64). Visceral fat is associated with the metabolic syndrome and the development of Type II diabetes, which are also both highly implicated in severe forms of the virus infection (65,66). Viral shedding is greater in obese patients, due to storage of the virus in adipose tissue, suggesting that there should be longer convalescence and social distancing (67). [Extended virus shedding was also reported in men generally in a study from China (18)]. It will be important as we move forward to assess how the lockdown has impacted on food consumption and

what public health initiatives can be supported to start to tackle the increasingly obesogenic male population.

**Longer term health implications for men**

According to the intensive care national audit and research centre (ICNARC) as of the 17th July 4,133 males (1934 females) have been discharged alive from ICU in England, Wales and Northern Ireland (24), these men and women may be expected to have life-long repercussions, both as a direct consequence of the disease and as a result of the intensive care experience they have endured. There will be layers of complex physical and emotional sequalae that may occur that poses severe long term challenges to the man, his family and the health and social care services.

The Post Intensive Care Syndrome is being highlighted as an important factor in those who have survived intensive therapy

---
Box 1. (PICS) (68)
Cognitive impairments
Memory
Attention
Visuo-spatial
Psychomotor
Impulsivity
Psychiatric illness
Anxiety
Depression
Post-traumatic stress disorder
Physical impairments
Dyspnea/Impaired pulmonary function
Pain
Sexual dysfunction
Impaired exercise tolerance
Neuropathies
Muscle weakness/Paresis
Severe Fatigue
---

As many will have entered into their critical phase whilst already suffering from one or more co-morbidities, be more likely to be obese, and be elderly their rehabilitation will be more challenging (69,70). One of the main features of the SARS-CoV2 virus is the complexity of the disease and the widespread effect it can have throughout the body. This can leave a legacy of health problems in survivors that goes beyond those seen in the SARS and the MERS epidemics (71).

To be expected, the virus infection is associated with extensive lung damage, especially in those that have developed the acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and acute lung injury (ALI), both of which have a high mortality rate. A Belgium study CT study of 216 confirmed COVID-19 patients, which involved the scoring of lung damage found that there were no differences between age or time

from onset of the disease, but that men had more extensive lung damage (9.2±5 versus 7.0±4.8, p=0.001) with a trend toward more bilateral lung involvement (89.3% versus 78.8%, p=0.06) (72). Even for those who do not go on to develop ARDS or ALI there can be residual pathology, including alveolar damage and fibrosis that will compromise lung function in the longer term (73–75).

The disease has been noted as causing significant cardio-vascular damage, through the exacerbation of existing cardiac morbidity and through the consequences of direct viral damage, acute thromboembolism, hypoxic injury, indirect injury as a result of inflammation, myocardial ischemia / infarction as a result of plaque rupture, and heart failure (76,77).

Recently, thyrotoxicosis, owing to a form of silent subacute thyroiditis, has been found in a group of COVID-19 patients referred to as High Intensive Care in a single-center study. Interestingly, about 70% the patients were males, indicating that the male genetic background and the hormonal milieu (testosterone) might play a key role in this autoimmune disease, even though it is generally more frequent in females than in males (78).

There has also been significant neurological morbidity as a result of the virus, both as a result of direct neuro-invasion through the high ACE2 expression within the nervous system allowing the virus to cross the blood brain barrier and direct entry via the olfactory bulb and vagus nerve (79,80). The symptoms can including stroke, seizures, headache, dizziness, delirium, hypogeusia and hyposmia (81–83). There have also been 11 confirmed cases of Guillain-barre syndrome (83). In a prospective study in Italy that explored subjective neurological symptoms (sNS) in 103 hospitalised patients 54 out of 59 men (91.5%) and 40 out of 44 women (90.9%) reported at least one sNS (84). The most frequent symptoms were sleep impairment (51/103; 49.51%); dysgeusia (48/103; 46.60%), headache (40/103; 38.83%), hyposmia (40/103; 38.83%), and depression (39/103; 37.86%).

The neurological consequences of long-stay hospital admissions also have to be considered. These will include the possibility of polyneuropathy/myopathy (83) and the neurological damage that may have occurred as a result of cerebral hypoxia, including cognitive impairment, personality and behavioural changes that can impact on a person’s personality and ability to return to their prior lives (85,86).

There may be other long term implications as a result of the impact of the virus on the gastro-intestinal system (87) and renal damage (88,89). Those patients coming through an intensive care experience may also be at risk of developing chronic pain, both as a consequence of the physically demanding nature of therapy and the potential neurological sequalae of the infection (90). There is also the risk of Intensive care unit-acquired weakness (ICUAW), which can result in severe long lasting disability (75). In addition, fatigue is emerging as a particular problem, both for those who have milder forms of the disease and those who have had disease warranting intensive care treatment (91–93). Although too soon to be formally diagnosed as chronic fatigue syndrome there are growing reports of symptoms similar to Myalgic encephalomyelitis (ME) as part of a post-viral response, with a similar picture to those seen in previous epidemics (94,95). Debilitating levels of fatigue, which has a substantial impact on quality of life, is also found as part of the

post intensive care syndrome (96), and with the number of men who have had survived severe disease the numbers affected could be predicted to be substantial.

**Reproductive health**

With ACE2 being highly expressed within the testes (both in the Ledig cells and in the seminiferous ducts), there is the potential risk of fertility being affected (97,98), which will need to be assessed, especially those that had an associated viral orchitis (88). Nevertheless, although it has been reported that the previously existing coronavirus which causes severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), and other viruses such as HIV, HBV, and mumps enter into the testes and cause viral orchitis and perhaps even infertility (99), specific orchitis due to COVID 19 has yet to be observed. Also, too few studies, even those consisting of a low number of participants, have been carried out to evaluate the presence of SARS-CoV2 in seminal plasma. However, one study has found the virus in semen (100), whilst others (101,102) did not demonstrate any viral RNA material in the semen fluid, questioning the role of seminal fluid as a transmission route of that infection (103). Of note, one study has suggested that the testes may act as a reservoir for the virus, adding to the delay in clearing the body of the disease (104).

In a study of the impact of SARS-CoV2 on the male sex hormones, 81 reproductive-aged infected men were compared to 100 control un-infected men. There was no statistically significant change in serum testosterone (T), however a significant increase was seen in serum luteinizing hormone (LH) and ‘dramatically decreased’ ratios in both T to LH and also follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) to LH (105). Suggesting that the virus may be having a direct gonadal influence.

Low testosterone has been linked to a higher risk of mortality generally (106) and more specifically in the H7N9 influenza epidemic (107) and has been suggested as a cause of severe disease and increased mortality in this current COVID-10 pandemic (108–111). The measurement of testosterone levels is not routinely undertaken and therefore there is little empirical evidence of its impact, with currently only one small scale study of 31 patients demonstrating an increased risk (108). Such that this link remains mostly a hypothesis based on the already recognised negative effect of low testosterone on recognised pre-existing morbidity such as epithelial dysfunction and cardiovascular disease, the risk of obesity; and the metabolic syndrome (109,112–114). There may also be an association with the immune response to the virus, through an association with increased pro-inflammatory cytokines (115). Interestingly an Italian study exploring the extent of COVID-19 in men undergoing prostate cancer therapy found those on androgen-deprivation therapy (ADT) had a lower risk of SARS-CoV2 than those not on ADT (116). It has been suggested that greater attention should be made to the role of testosterone deficiency in the severity of the disease and the possible beneficial effect of phosphodiesterase type 5 (PDE5) inhibitors (12,117–119).

Sexual behaviour has been found to be altered during the pandemic, with a reduction in sexual desire and number of sexual partners during the lockdown, with a concomitant reduction in sexually transmitted infections as a result of anxiety, fear, boredom and disappointment, coupled with the physical restrictions posed by closing down of movement (120,121) . Men in a study from China were found to have had a

53% decrease in the number of sexual partners (30% for females) and 32% of men and 39% of women experienced a reduction in sexual satisfaction (120). The authors warn that there 32% of men and 18% of women reported that they would increase the number of sexual partners or risky sexual behaviours once the epidemic ends.

An online survey was conducted in the USA between April 10 to May 10, 2020 of 1,968 LGBTQ individuals, including 728 gay and bisexual men of the impact of COVID-19 on their sexual behaviour (121). For the gay and bisexual men there was a marked change reported in their sexual behaviour and partner selection, with nine out of ten having just one sexual partner or no sexual contact within the previous 30 days. However, only 40% would disclose they had symptoms and as restrictions were easing with the authors note with concern that safeguarding strategies adopted during the lockdown may be stopping.

In recent years there has been welcome progress made on the management of HIV within the community. Two studies with gay men and men who have sex with men are showing that there were worries that the disruption in testing, prevention and treatment services may start to see more men who have sex with men (MSM) vulnerable to increases in the disease (122,123).

**Mental Health**

In times of pandemics and other international and national pressure points there has been a concomitant increase in reported mental health problems and suicide. In 2009, Mak et al (124) called the 2003 SARS epidemic a ‘mental health catastrophe’ due to the long-term psychological morbidity that followed. Their study, and others (124–127) all reported high levels of persistent anxiety and depression, along with increased susceptibility to post traumatic stress disorder. For those who required intensive therapy during the 2009 Influenza A(H1N1) pandemic at 1 year follow survivors were more likely to report psychiatric effects than matched control group. With those needing extracorporeal lung assist and those who did not need that level of support demonstrating severe anxiety symptoms (50% & 56%), with 28% in each group with severe depression symptoms, and five (41%) and 11 (44%) deemed at risk for PTSD(128).

Alongside the neuropsychiatric symptoms noted above there is an increased risk that those who have been admitted to hospital will experience post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Through both the overwhelming experience of critical care and a consequence of the fear of dying (68,70,71,129). These studies were focused onto survivors of the severe forms of the disease, but there are wider ramifications of the pandemic due to its widespread impact on all aspects of life, with the social and economic impact threatening nearly every aspect of an individual’s existence (130,131).

The economic consequences and long term financial insecurity as a result of the pandemic is far greater than seen in recent history, with previous economic depressions and recessions being shown to have a significant impact on the mental health of men and a marked rise in suicides (132–134). There have also been reports of increased gambling (135,136), which may add to family’s financial

difficulties and have a negative effect on those under support for pathological gambling.

Studies that have explored time usage have demonstrated that the time spent on childcare and household activities is usually higher for women than it is for men (137), with men who are employed more often working full time, working longer hours and more likely to commute longer distances to work(138,139). All of these factors will have been affected by the lockdown, closure of work, restrictions on travel and the high rates of job losses and the pending recession. For some men the pandemic has helped redefine their identity as a father, worker and as a partner, for others it may have cemented existing patterns of behaviour, built on notions of regaining control or defiance against a shifting social and economic backdrop. A very negative consequence of the pandemic has seen an increase in reports of domestic abuse and intimate partner violence (3), this has left both female and male victims with little opportunity to escape the perpetrator.

Male socialisation and societies expectations on how boys and men are expected to manage their emotions can impact on the way they present mental and emotional difficulties (140–145). Men are more likely to externalise their difficulties, such as through anger, alcohol / substance abuse, increased risk taking as compared to women who are more likely to internalise and have signs and symptoms more associated with traditional diagnostic tools of anxiety and depression(140,146).

Tensions within the close confines of a house during the lockdown, with no means of escape may also precipitate a rise in separation and divorce as a result of relationship breakdown (147). With the high death rate there will also be an increase in men facing widowhood. The gendered impact on men's mental and physical health through social isolation and loneliness is being more widely reported on (148), with an increased risk of premature death, suicide, and worsening mental health.

Although the virus has not inflicted as widespread direct infection related harm to many children and young adults as seen in older adults there may also be both shorter and longer term negative emotional health consequences to boys through their disrupted boyhood, stressful home events, fear, and lost education as a result of the lockdown (149). Boys find it difficult to process stressful experiences and to express their emotions (150). The enforced period of home confinement separating them from their friends and wider social world at a time when for many they were just gaining a sense of independence and self. Loss of school will also mean for many of the most vulnerable (including those who are gay, bisexual, drug users) the loss of critical services and a safe and nurturing environment and linked with depression, self-harm, attempted suicide. (149,151). For boys there have also been warnings about the increase in male muscularity-orientated disordered eating and exercise (152).

Through the pandemic there has been an unprecedented shutting down of nearly all competitive sport worldwide, with the concomitant loss of a key aspect of many men's lives. Both playing and spectating sport provides many men with both a mental and emotional release and is a key aspect of their social networking (153), what short and longer term impact this will have had on their health is yet to be seen, but for some it could be substantial.

Moukaddam (154), in a prescient article published just a month before COVID-19 emerged, explored the psychological impact of pandemics. He categorised these under three areas, all of which are emerging as the pandemic unfolds:

- New onset symptoms
    - Adjustment/depression/anxiety versus well defined postinfectious manifestations (such as post-measles subacute sclerosing panencephalitis [SSPE] and Paediatric autoimmune neuropsychiatric disorders associated with Streptococcus infections [PANDAS])
- Worsening of existing conditions
    - Exacerbation of existing mood, psychotic or addictive disorders
- Effect on caretakers and friends/family
    - Depression/anxiety versus stigma, shaming

For those with existing mental health conditions, and for those whose mental health has deteriorated through the pandemic, the closure of general medical provision and psychological support services (including those for anorexia, self-harm, alcohol and drug dependency services) will have left many very vulnerable to further deterioration. There has been a considerable effort to develop on-line and telephone support services, which may provide important short term relief, but longer term planning for increase in demand in counselling and psychiatric service provision is needed (131,155).

It is important to also note the health and social care sector is working under enormous pressure, from those on the front line to researchers trying to find cures to those trying to coordinate stretched services. The mental health strain of the staff has been immense with already reports of staff succumbing to the stress, as was also seen during the previous pandemics (156).

**Wider health effects**

In the UK there has been a marked rise in deaths other than for COVID-19, as compared to the five year average for deaths at this time of year with male deaths exceeding female deaths in the younger years and a female excess in the over 80 years (157). Some of these deaths may be as a result of COVID-19, but masked by other co-morbidities, but it may also be a consequence of people being unable, or unwilling, to seek medical help.

There has been a reported 57% reduction in Accident & Emergency visits in the UK comparing April 2020 to April 2019 (158), with 48% reduction in major A&E units. This may be a result of reduced need (such as fewer work-related and road traffic accidents, less pollution related ill-health, fewer transmitted infections). However, the reports that the very quiet Accident & Emergency departments, clinics and surgeries may also mean that many preventable deaths are being missed. There was reluctance seen in patient's both for not willing to put extra strain on the services, but also through fear of contracting the virus whilst in clinical premises. Family doctors have had to find new ways of holding consultations, with many turning to phone calls and the use of internet video – it will be important to see if there how these new

services have been used by men and whether they have improved their health seeking behaviour.

There is also going to be a long term consequence of missed appointments and treatment through the widespread closure of clinics, shutting down of screening programmes, cancelling of routine operations, rapid emptying of hospitals to clear space for the feared influx of COVID-19 patients. An ONS report for England & Wales cites ischaemic heart disease and other forms of circulatory disease, stroke, sepsis, meningitis, appendicitis, asthma and diabetes as contributing to the excess deaths. The data is not yet sex-disaggregated, but with men having a greater risk of heavy impact disease (159) they are at particular risk. Reduced hospital capacity may also have a role to play as planned treatments were delayed and more men have life-limiting diseases in their younger years, such as cancer and cardio-vascular disease (60,160), with warnings already being given of a ‘cancer boom’ (161).

**Conclusion**

What the current pandemic has revealed is that the world was not prepared to deal with such a new disease and we are in uncharted territory. Men’s vulnerability demonstrates that there are biological as well as socio-cultural factors at play and whilst there have been a higher number of men getting the severe form of the SARs-CoV2 virus a greater proportion have not had it, but we are all affected by the pandemic.

With this being such a complex disease the aftermath for those who survived the severe disease will have to face a long rehabilitation, with many having life-changing morbidity, both physical and emotional. Few of those who have not had the disease will remain unaffected by the impact of the closure of much of society with the serious impact on the economy and on our social world. The emotional impact of the lockdown and the longer term emerging recession coupled with the complex grieving many will be experiencing will result in high mental health burden and increased rates of suicide in men.

Careful planning is now needed to get supportive measures in place for those who are our most vulnerable, especially as it is inevitable that this virus will be with us for many years to come. However, this work is hampered by the historical lack of policy focused onto men’s wellbeing, such that the groundwork that is needed to reach out effectively to men has not been done (162,163). We need a concerted effort to help men get onto the road to recovery both as a patient and also as a member of a locked down and deeply altered society.

1. Wenham C, Smith J, Davies S, Feng H, Grépin K, Harman S, et al. Women are most affected by pandemics — lessons from past outbreaks. Nature. 2020. Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-020-02006-z
2. Wenham C, Smith J, Morgan R. COVID-19: the gendered impacts of the outbreak. Lancet. 2020;395(10227):846–8. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30526-2

3. UN Women. COVID-19 and ending violence against women and girls. 2020;10. Available from: https://www.unwomen.org/-/media/headquarters/attachments/sections/library/publications/2020/issue-brief-covid-19-and-ending-violence-against-women-and-girls-en.pdf?la=en&vs=5006
4. Gebhard C, Regitz-Zagrosek V, Neuhauser HK, Morgan R, Klein SL. Impact of sex and gender on COVID-19 outcomes in Europe. Biol Sex Differ. 2020 May 25;11(1):1–13. Available from: http://10.0.4.162/s13293-020-00304-9
5. WHO. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Aug 11]. Available from: https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019
6. Channappanavar R, Fett C, Mack M, Eyck PP Ten, Perlman S, City I, et al. Sex-based differences in susceptibility to SARS-CoV infection. J Epidemiol. 2017;198(10):4046–53.
7. Wei X, Xiao Y-T, Wang J, Chen R, Zhang W, Yang Y, et al. Sex Differences in Severity and Mortality Among Patients With COVID-19: Evidence from Pooled Literature Analysis and Insights from Integrated Bioinformatic Analysis. arXiv Prepr. 2020 Mar 30; Available from: http://arxiv.org/abs/2003.13547
8. Márquez EJ, Trowbridge J, Kuchel GA, Banchereau J, Ucar D. The lethal sex gap: COVID-19. Immun Ageing. 2020;17(1):13. https://immunityageing.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12979-020-00183-z
9. Jin J-M, Bai P, He W, Liu S, Wu F, Liu X-F, et al. Higher severity and mortality in male patients with COVID-19 independent of age and susceptibility. medRxiv. 2020;2020.02.23.20026864. Available from: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.02.23.20026864v1
10. Caramelo F, Ferreira N, Oliveiros B. Estimation of risk factors for COVID-19 mortality - preliminary results. medRxiv preprint. 2020; doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.24.20027268
11. Clark A, Jit M, Warren-gash C, Guthrie B, Wang HHX, Mercer SW, et al. Global , regional , and national estimates of the population at increased risk of severe COVID-19 due to underlying health conditions in 2020 : a modelling study. Lancet Glob Heal. 2020;(20):1–15.
12. White A, Kirby M. COVID-19 : biological factors in men's vulnerability. Trends Urol Men's Heal. 2020;2:7–10.
13. Grandi G, Facchinetti F, Bitzer J. The gendered impact of coronavirus disease (COVID-19): do estrogens play a role? Eur J Contracept Reprod Heal Care. 2020;0(0):1–2. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/13625187.2020.1766017
14. Roved J, Westerdahl H, Hasselquist D. Sex differences in immune responses: Hormonal effects, antagonistic selection, and evolutionary consequences. Horm Behav. 2017;88:95–105. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2016.11.017
15. vom Steeg LG, Klein SL. SeXX Matters in Infectious Disease Pathogenesis. PLoS Pathog. 2016;12(2):1–6.
16. Wadman M. Why coronavirus hits men harder: sex hormones offer clues. Science. 2020. Available from: https://www.sciencemag.org/news/2020/06/why-coronavirus-hits-men-harder-sex-hormones-offer-clues?utm_campaign=news_daily_2020-06-03&et_rid=60658150&et_cid=3350701
17. Kadel S, Kovats S. Sex hormones regulate innate immune cells and promote sex differences in respiratory virus infection. Front Immunol. 2018;9:1–15.
18. Ghosh S, Klein RS. Sex Drives Dimorphic Immune Responses to Viral Infections. J Immunol. 2017;198(5):1782–90.

19. De Groot NG, Bontrop RE. COVID-19 pandemic: is a gender-defined dosage effect responsible for the high mortality rate among males? Immunogenetics. 2020; https://doi.org/10.1007/s00251-020-01165-7
20. Bowleg L. We're Not All in This Together: On COVID-19, Intersectionality, and Structural Inequality. Am J Public Health. 2020;110(7):917.
21. ONS. Deaths involving COVID-19 by local area and socioeconomic deprivation: deaths occurring between March and May 2020. 2020 [cited 2020 Jul 24]. Available from: https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/bulletins/deathsinvolvingcovid19bylocalareasanddeprivation/deathsoccurringbetween1marchand31may2020
22. Myers EM. Compounding Health Risks and Increased Vulnerability to SARS-CoV-2 for Racial and Ethnic Minorities and Low Socioeconomic Status Individuals in the United States. Preprints. 2020; doi: 10.20944/PREPRINTS202004.0234.V1
23. PHE. Disparities in the risk and outcomes of COVID-19. London: Public Health England; 2020.
24. ICNARC. ICNARC report on COVID-19 in critical care 17 July 2020 [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Jul 23]. Available from: https://www.icnarc.org/DataServices/Attachments/Download/c0df94a2-4ec8-ea11-9127-00505601089b
25. Williamson E, Walker AJ, Bhaskaran K, Bacon S, Bates C, Morton C, et al. OpenSAFELY: factors associated with COVID-19-related hospital death in the linked electronic health records of 17 million adult NHS patients. The OpenSAFELY Collaborative. medRxiv preprint. 2020; Available from: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.05.06.20092999v1.full.pdf
26. Lassale C, Gaye B, Hamer M, Gale CR, Batty GD. Ethnic disparities in hospitalisation for COVID-19 in England: The role of socioeconomic factors , mental health , and inflammatory and pro- inflammatory factors in a community-based cohort study. Brain Behav Immun. 2020;(January). doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2020.05.074
27. Pareek M, Bangash MN, Pareek N, Pan D, Sze S, Minhas JS, et al. Ethnicity and COVID-19: an urgent public health research priority. Lancet. 2020;395:1421–2. Available from: https://www.
28. ONS. Coronavirus ( COVID-19 ) related deaths by occupation , England and Wales : deaths registered up to and including 20 April 2020 [Internet]. London: Office for National Statistics; 2020. 1–12 p. Available from: https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/causesofdeath/bulletins/coronaviruscovid19relateddeathsbyoccupationenglandandwales/deathsregistereduptoandincluding20april2020
29. Docherty AB, Harrison EM, Green CA, Hardwick H, Pius R, Norman L, et al. Features of 16,749 hospitalised UK patients with COVID-19 using the ISARIC WHO Clinical Characterisation Protocol. medRxiv preprint. 2020; https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.23.20076042
30. Ho FK, Celis-morales CA, Gray SR, Katikireddi SV, Claire L, Hastie C, et al. Modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors for COVID-19: results from UK Biobank. medRxiv preprint. 2020; doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083295
31. Raisi-Estabragh Z, McCracken C, Bethell MS, Cooper J, Cooper C, Caulfield MJ, et al. Greater risk of severe COVID-19 in non-White ethnicities is not explained by cardiometabolic, socioeconomic, or behavioural factors, or by 25(OH)-vitamin D status: study of 1,326 cases from the UK Biobank. medRxiv preprint.

2020;25:2020.06.01.20118943.
https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.01.20118943v1
32. Cook T, Kursumovic E, Lennane S. Exclusive: deaths of NHS staff from covid-19 analysed. Health Service Journal. 2020 [cited 2020 Jul 23]. Available from: https://www.hsj.co.uk/exclusive-deaths-of-nhs-staff-from-covid-19-analysed/7027471.article
33. Connell RW. Masculinities. Oxford: Polity Press; 1995.
34. Courtenay W. Engendering health: A social constructionist examination of men's health beliefs and behaviours. Psychol Men Masc. 2000;1(1):4–15.
35. Barber SJ, Kim H. COVID-19 Worries and Behavior Changes in Older and Younger Men and Women. Journals Gerontol Ser B. 2020; 10.1093/geronb/gbaa068
36. Nolsoe E. COVID-19: Bogus claims fool Britons. YouGov. 2020 [cited 2020 Jul 13]. Available from: https://yougov.co.uk/topics/health/articles-reports/2020/03/30/covid-19-bogus-claims-fool-britons
37. BBC. Coronavirus: More than 9,000 fines for lockdown breaches [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Jul 26]. Available from: https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-52489943
38. Capraro V, Barcelo H. The effect of messaging and gender on intentions to wear a face covering to slow down COVID-19 transmission. PsyArXiv Prepr. 2020;
39. Suen LKP, So ZYY, Yeung SKW, Lo KYK, Lam SC. Epidemiological investigation on hand hygiene knowledge and behaviour: A cross-sectional study on gender disparity. BMC Public Health. 2019;19(1):1–14.
40. Park JH, Cheong HK, Son DY, Kim SU, Ha CM. Perceptions and behaviors related to hand hygiene for the prevention of H1N1 influenza transmission among Korean university students during the peak pandemic period. BMC Infect Dis. 2010;10:1–8.
41. Fung ICH, Cairncross S. How often do you wash your hands? A review of studies of hand-washing practices in the community during and after the SARS outbreak in 2003. Int J Environ Health Res. 2007;17(3):161–83.
42. Li S, Feng B, Liao W, Pan W. Internet Use, Risk Awareness, and Demographic Characteristics Associated with Engagement in Preventive Behaviors and Testing: A Cross-sectional Survey on COVID-19 in the U. S. J Med Internet Res. 2020;19782
43. Cassino D. How men's misplaced sense of masculinity in the face of Covid-19 may be killing them (blog) [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Jul 13]. Available from: https://blogs.lse.ac.uk/usappblog/2020/04/09/how-mens-misplaced-sense-of-masculinity-in-the-face-of-covid-19-may-be-killing-them/
44. WHO. Who global report on trends in prevalence of tobacco smoking 2000-2025, second edition. Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2018. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/272694/9789241514170-eng.pdf?ua=1
45. Jemal A, Torre L, Soerjomataram I, Bray F. The Cancer Atlas:3rd Edition. Atlanta GA: American Cancer Society; 2019. Available from: www.cancer.org/canceratlas
46. Timmis A, Townsend N, Gale CP, Torbica A, Lettino M, Petersen SE, et al. European Society of Cardiology: Cardiovascular Disease Statistics 2019. Eur Heart J. 2019 Dec 10;41(1):12–85. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehz859
47. Carey MA, Card JW, Voltz JW, Arbes SJ, Germolec DR, Korach KS, et al. It's all about sex: gender, lung development and lung disease. Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2007;18(8):308–13. Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2391086&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract
48. Cai H. Sex difference and smoking predisposition in patients with COVID-19. Lancet Respir Med. 2020;2600(20):20020107. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30117-X
49. Patanavanich R, Glantz SA. Smoking is Associated with COVID-19 Progression: A Meta-Analysis. medRxiv preprint. 2020; doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.13.2
50. Vardavas CI, Nikitara K. COVID-19 and smoking: A systematic review of the evidence. Tob Induc Dis. 2020;18(March):1–4.
51. Norden MJ, Avery DH, Norden JG, Haynor DR. National Smoking Rates Correlate Inversely with COVID-19 Mortality. medRxiv preprint. 2020; doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.12.20129825
52. Lippi G, Henry BM. Active smoking is not associated with severity of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Eur J Intern Med. 2020;75:107–8.
53. Miyar M, Tubach F, Pourcher V, Morelot-Panzini C, Pernet J, Haroche J, et al. Low rate of daily active tobacco smoking in patients with symptomatic COVID-19. Qeios. 2020; Available from: https://doi.org/10.32388/WPP19W.4
54. WHO. Smoking and COVID-19: Scientific Brief. 2020. WHO/2019-nCoV/Sci_Brief/Smoking/2020.2 https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/smoking-and-covid-19
55. Hopkinson NS, Rossi N, El-Sayed Moustafa J, Laverty AA, Quint JK, Freydin MB, et al. Current tobacco smoking and risk from COVID-19: results from a population symptom app in over 2.4 million people. medRxiv. 2020;2020.05.18.20105288. http://medrxiv.org/content/early/2020/05/21/2020.05.18.20105288.abstract
56. van Zyl-Smit RN, Richards G, Leone FT. Tobacco smoking and COVID-19 infection. Lancet Respir Med. 2020;2600(20):19–20. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30239-3
57. Caponnetto P, Inguscio L, Saitta C, Maglia M, Benfatto F, Polosa R. Smoking behavior and psychological dynamics during COVID-19 social distancing and stay-at-home policies: A survey. Heal Psychol Res. 2020;8(1):1–3.
58. Adriaens K, Van Gucht D, Van Lommel S, Baeyen F. Vaping during the COVID-19 lockdown period in Belgium. Qeios. 2020; https://www.qeios.com/read/SBVQ47
59. WHO. Global status report on alcohol and health 2018. Vol. 65, Global status report on alcohol. Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2018. 74–85 p. Available from: http://www.who.int/substance_abuse/publications/global_alcohol_report/msbgsruprofiles.pdf%0Ahttp://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29355346
60. WHO. World Health Statistics 2019: Monitoring Health for the SDGs, sustainable development goals. Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2019.
61. Rehm J, Kilian C, Ferreira-Borges C, Jernigan D, Monteiro M, Parry CDH, et al. Alcohol use in times of the COVID 19: Implications for monitoring and policy. Drug Alcohol Rev. 2020;39(4):301–4.
62. Finlay I, Gilmore I. Covid-19 and alcohol-a dangerous cocktail. BMJ. 2020;369(May):m1987. http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1136/bmj.m1987
63. Tchernof A, Després J-P. Pathophysiology of human visceral obesity: an update. Physiol Rev. 2013;93(1):359–404. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23303913

64. Al Heialy S, Hachim MY, Senok A, Abou Tayoun A, Hamoudi R, Alsheikh-Ali A, et al. Regulation of angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) in obesity: implications for COVID-19. bioRxiv (preprint). 2020;2020.04.17.046938. http://biorxiv.org/content/early/2020/04/18/2020.04.17.046938.abstract
65. Marhl M, Grubelnik V, Magdič M, Markovič R. Diabetes and metabolic syndrome as risk factors for COVID-19. Diabetes Metab Syndr Clin Res Rev. 2020; https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1871402120301326
66. Lisco G, De Tullio A, Giagulli VA, Guastamacchia E, De Pergola G, Triggiani V. Hypothesized mechanisms explaining poor prognosis in type 2 diabetes patients with COVID-19: a review. Endocrine. 2020; http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12020-020-02444-9
67. Sattar N, McInnes IB, McMurray JJ V. Obesity a Risk Factor for Severe COVID-19 Infection: Multiple Potential Mechanisms. Circulation. 2020;44(0):1–8.
68. Stam HJ, Stucki G, Bickenbach J. Covid-19 and Post Intensive Care Syndrome: A Call for Action. J Rehabil Med. 2020;52(4):jrm00044.
69. Michel JP, Maggi S, Ecarnot F. Raising awareness of the needs of older COVID patients after hospital discharge. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2020;(0123456789):1–4. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s40520-020-01620-1
70. Simpson R, Robinson L. Rehabilitation After Critical Illness in People With COVID-19 Infection. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2020;99(6):470–4.
71. Sheehy LM. Considerations for postacute rehabilitation for survivors of COVID-19. J Med Internet Res. 2020;22(5):1–8.
72. Dangis A, De Brucker N, Heremans A, Gillis M, Frans J, Demeyere A, et al. Impact of gender on extent of lung injury in COVID-19. Clin Radiol. 2020;75:2019–21.
73. Li L, Huang Q, Wang DC, Ingbar DH, Wang X. Acute lung injury in patients with COVID-19 infection. Clin Transl Med. 2020;10(1):20–7.
74. Gallelli L, Zhang L, Wang T, Fu F. Severe Acute Lung Injury Related to COVID-19 Infection: A Review and the Possible Role for Escin. J Clin Pharmacol. 2020;60(7):815–25.
75. Herridge MS, Moss M, Hough CL, Hopkins RO, Rice TW, Bienvenu OJ, et al. Recovery and outcomes after the acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) in patients and their family caregivers. Intensive Care Med. 2016;42(5):725–38.
76. Bandyopadhyay D, Akhtar T, Hajra A, Gupta M, Das A, Chakraborty S, et al. COVID-19 Pandemic: Cardiovascular Complications and Future Implications. Am J Cardiovasc Drugs. 2020;(0123456789). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40256-020-00420-2
77. Mansueto G, Niola M, Napoli C. Can COVID 2019 disease induces a specific cardiovascular damage or it exacerbates pre-existing cardiovascular diseases? Pathol - Res Pract. 2020;216(9):153086. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prp.2020.153086
78. Muller I, Cannavaro D, Dazzi D, Covelli D, Mantovani G, Muscatello A, et al. SARS-CoV-2-related atypical thyroiditis. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2020;8(9):739–41.
79. Wu Y, Xu X, Chen Z, Duan J, Hashimoto K, Yang L, et al. Nervous system involvement after infection with COVID-19 and other coronaviruses. Brain Behav Immun. 2020;87(March):18–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2020.03.031
80. Troyer EA, Kohn JN, Hong S. Are we facing a crashing wave of neuropsychiatric sequelae of COVID-19? Neuropsychiatric symptoms and potential immunologic mechanisms. Brain Behav Immun. 2020;(April):0–1. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2020.04.027

81. Hess DC, Eldahshan W, Rutkowski E. COVID-19-Related Stroke. Transl Stroke Res. 2020 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12975-020-00818-9
82. Kotfis K, Williams Roberson S, Wilson JE, Dabrowski W, Pun BT, Ely EW. COVID-19: ICU delirium management during SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. Crit Care. 2020;24(1):1–9.
83. Whittaker A, Anson M, Harky A. Neurological Manifestations of COVID-19: A systematic review and current update. Acta Neurol Scand. 2020;142(1):14–22.
84. Liguori C, Pierantozzi M, Spanetta M, Sarmati L, Cesta N, Iannetta M, et al. Subjective neurological symptoms frequently occur in patients with SARS-CoV2 infection. Brain Behav Immun. 2020;(May):1–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2020.05.037
85. Srivastava S. Cerebral Hypoxia can lead to Personality Changes: A Review. Int J Neurosci Res. 2017;1–6.
86. Sasannejad C, Ely EW, Lahiri S. Long-term cognitive impairment after acute respiratory distress syndrome: A review of clinical impact and pathophysiological mechanisms. Crit Care. 2019;23(1):1–12.
87. Zhang H, Li H-B, Lyu J-R, Lei X-M, Li W, Wu G, et al. Specific ACE2 Expression in Small Intestinal Enterocytes may Cause Gastrointestinal Symptoms and Injury after 2019-nCoV Infection. Int J Infect Dis. 2020;96:19–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.04.027
88. Fan C, Li K, Ding Y, Lu WL, Wang J. ACE2 Expression in Kidney and Testis May Cause Kidney and Testis Damage After 2019-nCoV Infection. medRxiv. 2020;2020.02.12.20022418.
89. Liu Y-F, Zhang Z, Pan X-L, Xing G-L, Zhang Y, Liu Z-S, et al. The Chronic Kidney Disease and Acute Kidney Injury Involvement in COVID-19 Pandemic: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. medRxiv preprint. 2020;2020.04.28.20083113. http://medrxiv.org/content/early/2020/05/02/2020.04.28.20083113.abstract
90. Kemp HI, Corner E, Colvin LA. Chronic pain after COVID-19: implications for rehabilitation. Br J Anaesth. 2020 Jun 27; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2020.05.021
91. Carfì A, Bernabei R, Landi F, Group for the GAC-19 P-ACS. Persistent Symptoms in Patients After Acute COVID-19. JAMA. 2020 Jul 9; https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.12603
92. Qi R, Chen W, Liu S, Thompson PM, Zhang LJ, Xia F, et al. Psychological morbidities and fatigue in patients with confirmed COVID-19 during disease outbreak: prevalence and associated biopsychosocial risk factors. medRxiv preprint. 2020;2020.05.08.20031666.
93. Garner P. Paul Garner: For 7 weeks I have been through a roller coaster of ill health, extreme emotions, and utter exhaustion - The BMJ. [cited 2020 May 10]. Available from: https://blogs.bmj.com/bmj/2020/05/05/paul-garner-people-who-have-a-more-protracted-illness-need-help-to-understand-and-cope-with-the-constantly-shifting-bizarre-symptoms/
94. Islam MF, Cotler J, Jason LA, Islam MF, Cotler J, Post-viral LAJ. Post-viral fatigue and COVID-19 : lessons from past epidemics. Fatigue Biomed Heal Behav. 2020;0(0):1–9. https://doi.org/10.1080/21641846.2020.1778227
95. Lam MHB, Wing YK, Yu MWM, Leung CM, Ma RCW, Kong APS, et al. Mental morbidities and chronic fatigue in severe acute respiratory syndrome survivors long-term follow-up. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169(22):2142–7.
96. Bench S, Stayt L, Shah A, Dhiman P, Czuber-Dochan W. Prevalence and experience of fatigue in survivors of critical illness: A mixed-methods systematic

review. medRxiv preprint.
2020; https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.30.20138248v1.full.pdf
97. Shen Q, Xiao X, Aierken A, Liao M, Hua J. The ACE2 Expression in Sertoli cells and Germ cells may cause male reproductive disorder after SARS CoV 2 Infection. medRxiv Prepr. 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.02.12.20022418
98. Zhang J, Wu Y, Wang R, Lu K, Tu M, Guo H, et al. Bioinformatic analysis reveals that the reproductive system is potentially at risk from SARS-CoV-2. Preprints. 2020;(February):1–15. Available from: https://www.proteinatlas.org/
99. Xu J, Qi L, Chi X, Yang J, Wei X, Gong E, et al. Orchitis: A Complication of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS)1. Biol Reprod. 2006;74(2):410–6.
100. Li D, Jin M, Bao P, Zhao W, Zhang S. Clinical Characteristics and Results of Semen Tests Among Men With Coronavirus Disease 2019. JAMA Netw open. 2020;3(5):e208292.
101. Pan F, Xiao X, Guo J, Song Y, Li H, Patel DP, et al. No evidence of severe acute respiratory syndrome–coronavirus 2 in semen of males recovering from coronavirus disease 2019. Fertil Steril. 2020;113(6):1135–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2020.04.024
102. Paoli D, Pallotti F, Colangelo S, Basilico F, Mazzuti L, Turriziani O, et al. Study of SARS-CoV-2 in semen and urine samples of a volunteer with positive naso-pharyngeal swab. J Endocrinol Invest. 2020;(0123456789):1–4. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40618-020-01261-1
103. Corona G, Baldi E, Isidori AM, Paoli D, Pallotti F, De Santis L, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection, male fertility and sperm cryopreservation: a position statement of the Italian Society of Andrology and Sexual Medicine (SIAMS) (Società Italiana di Andrologia e Medicina della Sessualità). J Endocrinol Invest. 2020;43(8):1153–7. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40618-020-01290-w
104. Shastri A, Wheat J, Agrawal S, Chaterjee N, Pradhan K, Goldfinger M, et al. Delayed clearance of SARS-CoV2 in male compared to female patients: High ACE2 expression in testes suggests possible existence of gender-specific viral reservoirs. 2020;(February 2019):1–13.
105. Ma L, Xie W, Li D, Shi L, Mao Y, Xiong Y, et al. Effect of SARS-CoV-2 infection upon male gonadal function: A single center-based study. medRxiv preprint. 2020; https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.21.20037267
106. Haring R, Völzke H, Steveling A, Krebs A, Felix SB, Schöfl C, et al. Low serum testosterone levels are associated with increased risk of mortality in a population-based cohort of men aged 20-79. Eur Heart J. 2010;31(12):1494–501.
107. Chen Y, Bai T, Beck S, Stanelle-Bertram S, Chen T, Dong J, et al. Low testosterone and high cytokine levels correlate with lethal H7N9 infections in men: a retrospective cohort study from 98 H7N9 patients. medRxiv preprint. 2020;2020.05.07.20093914-2020.05.07.20093914. https://www.medrxiv.org/content/medrxiv/early/2020/05/11/2020.05.07.20093914.full.pdf
108. Rastrelli G, Di Stasi V, Inglese F, Beccaria M, Garuti M, Di Costanzo D, et al. Low testosterone levels predict clinical adverse outcomes in SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia patients. Andrology. 2020;(May):1–11. doi: 10.1111/andr.12821
109. Giagulli VA, Guastamacchia E, Magrone T, Jirillo E, Lisco G, De Pergola G, et al. Worse progression of COVID-19 in men: Is Testosterone a key factor? Andrology. 2020;andr.12836. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/andr.12836

110. Pozzilli P, Lenzi A. Testosterone, a key hormone in the context of COVID-19 pandemic. Metabolism.2020;(January). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.metabol.2020.154252
111. Schroeder M, Tuku B, Jarczak D, Nierhaus A, Bai T, Jacobsen H, et al. The majority of male patients with COVID-19 present low testosterone levels on admission to Intensive Care in Hamburg, Germany: a retrospective cohort study. medRxiv. 2020;2020.05.07.20073817. http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2020.05.07.20073817
112. Bann D, Wu FCW, Keevil B, Lashen H, Adams J, Hardy R, et al. Changes in testosterone related to body composition in late midlife: Findings from the 1946 British birth cohort study. Obesity. 2015;23(7):1486–92. http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/oby.21092
113. Hotta Y, Kataoka T, Kimura K. Testosterone Deficiency and Endothelial Dysfunction: Nitric Oxide, Asymmetric Dimethylarginine, and Endothelial Progenitor Cells. Sex Med Rev. 2019;7(4):661–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sxmr.2019.02.005
114. Kirby M, Hackett G, Ramachandran S. Testosterone and the Heart. Eur Cardiol. 2019 Jul 11;14(2):103–10. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31360231
115. Mohamad NV, Wong SK, Wan Hasan WN, Jolly JJ, Nur-Farhana MF, Ima-Nirwana S, et al. The relationship between circulating testosterone and inflammatory cytokines in men. Aging Male. 2019 22(2):129–40.
116. Montopoli M, Zumerle S, Vettor R, Rugge M, Zorzi M, Catapano C V., et al. Androgen-deprivation therapies for prostate cancer and risk of infection by SARS-CoV-2: a population-based study (N = 4532). Ann Oncol. 2020; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2020.04.479
117. Dal Moro F, Livi U. Any possible role of phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors in the treatment of severe COVID19 infections? A lesson from urology. Vol. 214, Clinical Immunology. 2020; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clim.2020.108414
118. Sansone D, Mollaioli A, Ciocca E, Limoncin G, Colonnello W, Vena E, et al. Addressing male sexual and reproductive health in the wake of COVID - 19 outbreak. J Endocrinol Invest. 2020; https://doi.org/10.1007/s40618-020-01350-1
119. Abbas AM. Potential Role of Sildenafil Citrate in the Treatment of COVID-19 Infection. European Journal of Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2020;(July) Vol 7(7):140-141.
120. Li W, Li G, Xin C, Wang Y, Yang S. Changes in Sexual Behaviors of Young Women and Men During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Outbreak: A Convenience Sample From the Epidemic Area. J Sex Med. 2020;(January). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2020.04.380
121. McKay T, Henne J, Gonzales G, Quarles R, Gavulic KA, Gallegos SG. The COVID-19 Pandemic and Sexual Behavior among Gay and Bisexual Men in the United States. SSRN. 2020;29th May:1–25. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3614113
122. Santos GM, Ackerman B, Rao A, Wallach S, Ayala G, Lamontage E, et al. Economic, Mental Health, HIV Prevention and HIV Treatment Impacts of COVID-19 and the COVID-19 Response on a Global Sample of Cisgender Gay Men and Other Men Who Have Sex with Men. AIDS Behav. 2020;1–18.
123. Sanchez TH, Zlotorzynska M, Rai M, Baral SD. Characterizing the Impact of COVID-19 on Men Who Have Sex with Men Across the United States in April, 2020. AIDS Behav. 2020;(0123456789). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-020-02894-2

124. Mak IWC, Chu CM, Pan PC, Yiu MGC, Chan VL. Long-term psychiatric morbidities among SARS survivors. Gen Hosp Psychiatry. 2009;31(4):318–26. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2009.03.001
125. Gardner PJ, Moallef P. Psychological impact on SARS survivors: Critical review of the english language literature. Can Psychol. 2015;56(1):123–35.
126. Lee AM, Wong JGWS, McAlonan GM, Cheung V, Cheung C, Sham PC, et al. Stress and psychological distress among SARS survivors 1 year after the outbreak. Can J Psychiatry. 2007;52(4):233–40.
127. Main A, Zhou Q, Ma Y, Luecken LJ, Liu X. Relations of sars-related stressors and coping to chinese college students' psychological adjustment during the 2003 beijing sars epidemic. J Couns Psychol. 2011;58(3):410–23.
128. Luyt CE, Combes A, Becquemin MH, Beigelman-Aubry C, Hatem S, Brun AL, et al. Long-term outcomes of pandemic 2009 influenza A(H1N1)-associated severe ARDS. Chest. 2012;142(3):583–92.
129. Murray H, Grey N, Wild J, Warnock-Parkes E, Kerr A, Clark DM, et al. Cognitive therapy for post-traumatic stress disorder following critical illness and intensive care unit admission. Cogn Behav Ther. 2020;13.
130. Hisham IN, Townsend G, Gillard S, Debnath B, Sin J. COVID-19 – The Perfect Vector for a Mental Health Epidemic. BJPsych Bull. 2020;1–18.
131. Fitzpatrick KM, Harris C, Drawve G. Fear of COVID-19 and the mental health consequences in America. Psychol Trauma theory, Res Pract policy. 2020; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32496100
132. Corcoran P, Griffin E, Arensman E, Fitzgerald AP, Perry IJ. Impact of the economic recession and subsequent austerity on suicide and self-harm in Ireland: An interrupted time series analysis. Int J Epidemiol. 2015;969–77. http://www.ije.oxfordjournals.org/cgi/doi/10.1093/ije/dyv058
133. Coope C, Gunnell D, Hollingworth W, Hawton K, Kapur N, Fearn V, et al. Suicide and the 2008 economic recession: Who is most at risk? Trends in suicide rates in England and Wales 2001-2011. Soc Sci Med. 2014;117:76–85. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2014.07.024
134. Antonakakis N, Collins A. The Impact of Fiscal Austerity on Suicide: On the Empirics of a Modern Greek Tragedy. Soc Sci Med. 2014. 112:39–50. Available from: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0277953614002433
135. van Schalkwyk MC, Cheetham D, Reeves A, Petticrew M. Covid-19: we must take urgent action to avoid an increase in problem gambling and gambling related harms. BMJ (Blog). 2020 [cited 2020 Jul 3]. Available from: https://blogs.bmj.com/bmj/2020/04/06/covid-19-we-must-take-urgent-action-to-avoid-an-increase-in-problem-gambling-and-gambling-related-harms/
136. Gambling Commission. Covid 19 and its impact on gambling – what we know so far [updated June 2020]. 2020 [cited 2020 Jul 3]. Available from: https://www.gamblingcommission.gov.uk/news-action-and-statistics/Statistics-and-research/Covid-19-research/Covid-19-update-June-2020/Covid-19-and-its-impact-on-gambling-–-what-we-know-so-far-updated-June-2020.aspx
137. Eurostat. How do women and men use their time - statistics. 2019. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=How_do_women_and_men_use_their_time_-_statistics#Multitasking:_two_activities_at_the_same_time
138. Office for National Statistics. The commuting gap: women are more likely than men to leave their job over a long commute [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2020 Jul 13]. Available from:

https://www.ons.gov.uk/employmentandlabourmarket/peopleinwork/earningsandworkinghours/articles/thecommutinggapwomenaremorelikelythanmentoleavetheirjobovera longcommute/2019-09-04
139. Women's Bureau UD of L. Labour Force Participation Rates [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2020 Jul 13]. Available from: https://www.dol.gov/agencies/wb/data/latest-annual-data#Labor-Force-Participation-Rates
140. Martin LA, Neighbors HW, Griffith DM. The Experience of Symptoms of Depression in Men vs Women: Analysis of the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. JAMA Psychiatry. 2013; 70(10): 1–7. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23986338
141. Scholz B, Crabb S, Wittert GA. Males Don't Wanna Bring Anything Up to Their Doctor: Mens Discourses of Depression. Qual Health Res. 2017;27(5):727–37.
142. Williams J, Stephenson D, Keating F. ARTICLE A tapestry of oppression. Psychologist. 2014;27(6):406–9.
143. Eid RS, Gobinath AR, Galea LAM. Sex differences in depression: Insights from clinical and preclinical studies. Prog Neurobiol. 2019;176(February):86–102.
144. Möller-Leimkühler AM. Men and depression: gender-related help-seeking behavior. Fortschritte der Neurol. 2000;68:489–95.
145. Ridge D, Emslie C, White A. Understanding how men experience, express and cope with mental distress: where next? Sociol Health Illn. 2011; 33(1):145–59. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21039617
146. Brownhill S, Wilhelm K, Barclay L, Schmied V. "Big build": hidden depression in men. Aust N Z J Psychiat. 2005;39:921–31.
147. Prime H, Wade M, Browne DT. Risk and Resilience in Family Well-Being During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Am Psychol. 2020;75(5):631–43.
148. White A, Taylor T, Health P, Cooper R, Executive C. Social isolation and loneliness : a hidden killer. Trends Urol Men's Heal. 2020;(August):31–5.
149. Silliman Cohen RI, Bosk EA. Vulnerable Youth and the COVID-19 Pandemic. Pediatrics. 2020;146(1).
150. Barker G, Heilman B, Reichert M. Staying at home with our sons fostering healthy masculinity. Promundo-US and the Kering Foundation; 2020. Available from: https://promundoglobal.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/Fostering-Healthy-Masculinity-in-Challenging-Times.pdf
151. Golberstein E, Wen H, Miller BF. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) and Mental Health for Children and Adolescents. JAMA Pediatr. 2020; 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2020.1456
152. Frederick DA, Shapiro LM, Williams TR, Seoane CM, McIntosh RT, Fischer EW. Precarious manhood and muscularity: Effects of threatening men's masculinity on reported strength and muscle dissatisfaction. Body Image. 2017;22:156–65. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2017.07.002
153. White A. Public Health in Sporting Settings: A Gender Perspective. In: Conrad D, White A, editors. Sports-Based Health Interventions: Case Studies from Around the World. New York: Springer; 2016.
154. Moukaddam N. Anxiety & Stress Disorders Pandemics: Lessons Learned. Psychiatr Times. 2019;36(11):28–32.
155. Duan L, Zhu G. Psychological interventions for people affected by the COVID-19 epidemic. The Lancet Psychiatry. 2020;7(4):300–2.
156. Bell V, Wade D. Mental Health of Clinical Staff Working in High-Risk Epidemic and Pandemic Health Emergencies: A Rapid Review of the Evidence and Meta-Analysis. medRxiv preprint. 2020; https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20082669

157. ONS. Analysis of death registrations not involving coronavirus (COVID-19), England and Wales - Office for National Statistics [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Jun 8]. Available from: https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/articles/analysisofdeathregistrationsnotinvolvingcoronaviruscovid19englandandwales28december2019to1may2020/technicalannex
158. Kelly E, Firth Z. How is COVID-19 changing the use of emergency care? The Health Foundation. [Internet] 2020 [cited 2020 June 28] https://www.health.org.uk/news-and-comment/charts-and-infographics/how-is-covid-19-changing-the-use-of-emergency-care-by-region
159. White A, McKee M, de Sousa B, de Visser R, Hogston R, Madsen SA, et al. An examination of the association between premature mortality and life expectancy among men in Europe. Eur J Public Health. 2014;24(4):673–9. http://eurpub.oxfordjournals.org/cgi/doi/10.1093/eurpub/ckt076
160. Maida M, Sferrazza S, Savarino E, Ricciardiello L, Repici A, Morisco F, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on Gastroenterology Divisions in Italy: A national survey. Dig Liver Dis. 2020; 10.1016/j.dld.2020.05.017
161. Amit M, Tam S, Bader T, Sorkin A, Benov A. Pausing cancer screening during the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 pandemic: Should we revisit the recommendations? Eur J Cancer. 2020;134:86–9. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2020.04.016
162. Baker P, White A, Morgan R. Men's health: COVID-19 pandemic highlights need for overdue policy action. Lancet. 2020;395(10241):1886–8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31303-9
163. Smith JA, Griffith DM, White A, Baker P, Watkins DC, Drummond M, et al. COVID-19, equity and men's health: using evidence to inform future public health policy, practice and research responses to pandemics. Int J Men's Soc Community Heal. 2020;3(1):e48–64.