

# Land use and climate change interaction triggers contrasting trajectories of biological invasion

Article

Accepted Version

Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0

Amir Manzoor, S., Griffiths, G. and Lukac, M. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8535-6334 (2021) Land use and climate change interaction triggers contrasting trajectories of biological invasion. Ecological Indicators, 120. 106936. ISSN 1470-160X doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.106936 Available at http://centaur.reading.ac.uk/93053/

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See <u>Guidance on citing</u>.

To link to this article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.106936

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in



the End User Agreement.

## www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

## CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

### 1 Land Use and Climate Change Interaction Triggers Contrasting

## 2 Trajectories of Biological Invasion

3 Syed Amir Manzoor<sup>1,2</sup>, Geoffrey Griffiths<sup>1</sup> & Martin Lukac<sup>1</sup>

4 <sup>1</sup>School of Agriculture, Policy & Development, University of Reading, UK

5 <sup>2</sup>Department of Forestry & Range Management, Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, Pakistan.

6 Corresponding author: Syed Amir Manzoor: <u>s.a.manzoor@pgr.reading.ac.uk</u>, Phone: +447774928805

7

#### 8 Abstract

9 Global change drivers such as land use and climate changes are known to interact in their effects on 10 biodiversity. The impact of these drivers on global biodiversity is increasingly evident in many forms 11 including the spread of invasive species. Climate and land use changes affect introduction, colonization 12 and spread of invasive species by affecting niche availability and dispersal potential. We tested the 13 combined effects of land use and climate changes on the current and future habitat suitability of 14 Rhododendron ponticum in Wales using a MaxEnt-based ecological niche model. We used two policy-15 driven land use change projections for Wales, in combination with two General Circulation Models and 16 two Representative Concentration Pathways to derive eight different land use and climate change 17 scenarios. In seven out of eight scenarios, the habitat suitability for *R. ponticum* is likely to reduce by 18 2030. However, in the eighth scenario representing an extreme where land use change and greenhouse 19 gas emissions both accelerate, the interaction of land use and climate change forces an increase of 20 habitat suitability of R. ponticum. The study highlights the importance of considering the combined 21 effect of land use and climate change and including regional policy-based land use change projections 22 to test the potential of an invasive species to expand or retreat in future

23

24 Keywords: biological invasion; climate change; invasive species; land use change; Rhododendron.

25

26

#### 1. Introduction

27 Global environmental change triggered by human activity represents an unprecedented threat to 28 ecosystem function [1]. We know that the stability and functioning of all ecosystems on Earth is 29 underpinned by biodiversity, represented by communities of species inhabiting individual ecosystems 30 [2]. Each ecosystem function is dependent on a community with a specific composition, a change of species assemblage potentially leads to change of function [3]. Invasive species, defined as organisms 31 32 that cause ecological or economic harm in a new environment where they are not native, contribute to 33 global environmental change due to their increasing presence in all types of ecosystems [4]. Biological 34 invasions increasingly threaten global biodiversity, economy, and even human health [5]. The success 35 of invasive species is predominantly due to their ability to spread to new territories and due to the 36 availability of unoccupied niches in the new area [6]. Niche availability may be altered by climate 37 change and land-use change, both phenomena disturb existing ecosystem structure and create novel 38 environments in the process [7]. Invasive species thus embody an example of a positive feedback; their 39 invasiveness is aided by climate and land use change, while they themselves represent a factor of 40 environmental change [8].

41 Climate change is predicted to significantly alter environmental conditions for most ecosystems [9]. 42 Climate is a critical driver of biome distribution on Earth [10] and one of the most important drivers of 43 biodiversity levels [11]. As well as altering the climatic envelope inhabited by species, extreme climate 44 change events such as floods or hurricanes may transport invasives to new regions [12]. Similarly, 45 melting of icecaps is opening new Arctic shipping passages, an opportunity for many species to survive 46 the journey and be introduced to a new region [13]. Most invasive species are opportunistic generalists 47 with good dispersal potential, high population growth rates and a wide range of environmental 48 tolerances [14]. Better capacity of invasives to adapt to new climates may potentially affect their 49 interaction with native species, for example by rapidly increasing their population size or by affecting 50 the extent of niche overlap between the native and invasive species [15]. Thus, climate change could 51 potentially strengthen the invasive potential of these species [16].

52 At the same time, Land use and Land Cover (LULC) changes are critical to the introduction, 53 establishment, and proliferation of invasives [17][18][19]. Changes in LULC create dispersal corridors 54 and accelerate ecosystem disturbance (e.g., fragmentation), favouring the establishment of invasives 55 [17][20]. LULC changes such as forest clearing for agriculture or pastureland, urban expansion, or field 56 abandonment produce conditions suitable for biological invasions [20]. Interestingly, while LULC 57 changes may create favourable conditions for some invasive species, they may inhibit the invasive 58 potential of others [21][22]. Understanding the impact of LULC changes on niche availability is pivotal 59 to forecasting invasion and to managing landscapes to reduce the spread of invasive species [18].

60 Climate change and LULC changes are often considered in isolation in current literature reporting on 61 studies of ecosystem assemblage [23], overlooking the strong interaction between these two drivers of 62 global change [24]. For example, forest degradation has been shown to reduce regional rainfall, thereby 63 enhancing the impacts of climate change [25]. Similarly, populations with declining genetic diversity 64 due to habitat degradation or fragmentation are less likely to adapt to climate change [26]. Although 65 there is a wide range of future climate change and LULC scenarios available, there are several reasons 66 why they have not been combined to project species' distribution. First, most of LULC data is available 67 in coarse resolution and thus not able to reproduce ecological niches at finer scales [27]. Second, policy-68 based LULC projections are rarely available for most parts of the world [28].

69 Currently, one of the most efficient tools to predict the future spread of invasive species in a given area 70 is the use of ecological niche models (ENMs) [29]. ENMs correlate the presence of invasive species to 71 environmental conditions and identify areas vulnerable to invasion, based on projected future 72 conditions. Thus, it is critically important to feed ENMs with all variables that determine the spread of 73 invasives and that reflect the impacts of anthropogenic activities over time [4]. Most existing ENM-74 based projections are based solely on climate variables and climate change scenarios [30][31][32]. Fewer 75 studies use land cover for mapping the current distribution, but exclude this variable from future 76 projections, making an assumption that either the species' future distribution is not sensitive to LULC 77 changes, or the landscape composition remains constant in future [33]. However, it is no surprise that in a world dominated by humans, landscape patterns and ecosystem composition are rapidly changing,
altering ecological ranges of species. Predictive models based on climatic data only may not represent
the most plausible scenarios of species' future distribution [24]. There is a need to develop ENMs that
combine climate change scenarios with policy-driven LULC projections and predict the distribution of
ecologically important species using both of these synergistic factors [23].

83 In this study, we model the current and future distribution of an invasive species, Rhododendron 84 ponticum (L.), in Wales using both climate and LULC projections for 2030. R. ponticum is an invasive 85 plant species that was introduced to the British Isles as an ornamental plant from mainland Europe in 86 the eighteenth century. It is a perennial, evergreen shrub that generally invades woodlands [34], 87 although it is known to colonize other types of habitats too [29]. The species has caused economic and 88 ecological losses by affecting soil health, inhibiting the regeneration of native flora and posing risk to 89 pollinators [35][36]. The novel contribution of this study is the use of current and future LULC maps at 90 high spatial resolution (25 m), based on contrasting policies of forest management and land-use practice 91 in Wales. Our previous work has shown that, a) land cover is the critical determinant of the distribution 92 of *R. ponticum* [29], b) the distribution of *R. ponticum* can be best modelled at high spatial resolution (25 93 m) [37], and c) combinations of current policies of forest expansion and restoration of ecologically 94 important habitats in Wales may lead to diverging patchwork of land use types in Wales by 2030 [38]. 95 Thus, we aim to investigate the combined effect of climate change and LULC projections on future 96 distribution of this invasive species in Wales. This study makes a theoretical contribution to the debate 97 on combining climate change and LULC changes to predict species distribution and, at the same time, 98 our observations are directly applicable to managing future invasion patterns of *R. ponticum* in Wales.

99

#### 2. Methodology

100 2

#### 2.2. Study Area and Species Records

Wales, a country in the UK, has an area of approximately 21000 km<sup>2</sup> and a human population of over 3
million [39]. The country is characterized by a wide variety of landscapes, reflecting both its rugged
topography and a long history of agricultural settlement and industrialization. A significant proportion

104 of land (approx. 6000 km<sup>2</sup>) is at an altitude above 300 m and considered mountainous. Welsh landscape 105 contains a range of typical habitats; woodlands, semi-natural grasslands, arable agriculture, heathland, 106 fens, bogs, coastal ecosystems including sand dunes and salt marshes, and a diverse range of upland 107 and montane habitats [29][40].

108 We obtained distributional records for *R. ponticum* in Wales from the Global Biodiversity Information 109 Facility (<u>www.gbif.org/</u>) by using the dismo R package [41]. We retrieved 8,764 presence records of R. 110 ponticum, which we screened using recommended protocols [42]. Spatial uncertainty of all occurrence 111 records was addressed by removing all duplicate or non-geo-referenced occurrence points. Occurrence 112 records were spatially rarefied by eliminating all but one point within 1 km<sup>2</sup> of the study area to reduce 113 clustering [37]. This resulted in a dataset of 1,280 presence records which were used in our modelling 114 exercise.

115

#### 2.3. Predictor Variables

116 We chose a set of 24 predictor variables based on a review of the literature [43][44][45][46], expert 117 knowledge of the species and of the Welsh landscape, and the results of our earlier study on habitat 118 suitability for R. ponticum [29]. We considered 19 bioclimatic variables (www.worldclim.org), 4 119 topographic variables (altitude, slope, hillshade and aspect, https://lta.cr.usgs.gov/SRTM1Arc) and 120 land cover (consisted of 6 classes namely, 'broadleaf forest', 'conifer forest', 'arable land', 'improved 121 grassland', 'semi-natural grassland', 'mountain, heath & bog') [38]. For the ease of interpretation, land 122 cover was converted into 6 continuous variables by calculating Euclidian distances of each land cover 123 class to each pixel in the study area.

124 The default spatial resolution of variables was, bioclimatic: ~ 1km, topographic variables: 25 m, and 125 land cover: 25 m. All variables were resampled to 25 m spatial resolution since our earlier investigation 126 on habitat suitability modelling of *R. ponticum* confirmed that the species could be most accurately 127 modelled at this scale [37]. Furthermore, in an earlier investigation we demonstrated that it is useful to 128 conserve the high resolution of land cover and topography when the species being modelled is more 129 sensitive to these variables as compared to bioclimatic variables [42]. We removed highly correlated

variables to select the variable layers for use in final model runs by applying a Pearson correlation coefficient cut-off of  $r \le 0.70$  [47]. This step reduced the impact of multicollinearity and improved model conformity with statistical assumptions [48]. After omitting highly correlated variables, we were left with mean diurnal temperature range (bio 2), annual precipitation (bio 12), minimum temperature of the coldest month (bio 6), distance to broadleaf forests, distance to conifer forests, distance to arable land, distance to mountain, heath & bog, altitude and aspect. All raster variables were projected using 'British National Grid' projected coordinate system.

#### 137

#### 2.4. Future Climate Change Scenarios

138 We used climate change scenarios for the year 2030 based on the IPCC 5th assessment report to model 139 the effect of climate change on future distribution of R. ponticum. In an earlier investigation of the 140 distribution of *R. ponticum* in Wales [29], we had tested future climate projections of six of the most 141 recent Global Circulation Models (GCMs): BCC-CSM1-1, CCSM4, GISS-E2-R, MIROC5, HadGEM2-ES, 142 and MPI-ESM-LR. Three of these projections predicted a minor deviation from the current species 143 distribution, whereas the other three GCMs depicted strong effects on the future distribution of this 144 species. To account for this dichotomy, in the current study we use GISS-E2-R and MIROC5 to represent 145 the high and low ends of the environmental conditions spectrum that may affect the distribution of *R*. 146 ponticum in future. Furthermore, under each of the two GCMs, we consider two Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) - RCP 2.6 & RCP 8.6 to represent the best and the worst-case scenario 147 148 of future GHG concentration [49].

#### 149 2.5. Future Land Use & Land Cover Change Scenarios

We used two *Land Use and Land Cover* (LULC) change projections for Wales for the year 2030 [38]. The projections were derived using a Multi-Layer-Perceptron and Markov Chain ensemble algorithms. The projections based on contrasting policies of forest expansion and land management practice in Wales (see [38] for details). Both projections are informed by past LULC transitions (2007 – 2015). First, past LULC changes were explained by a suite of explanatory variables and then the trajectory of past LULC change was projected into the future using the Markov Chain and Multi-layer perceptron (MC-MLP) ensemble algorithms. The two future LULC scenarios, namely "Business-as-Usual scenario" and
"Ecosystem Conservation scenario" (Supplementary data, Figure 1) were motivated by the following
storylines:

- The business-as-usual scenario (B-a-U) is the default scenario, which represents a linear projection of past trends (2007-2015) to 2030. This scenario assumes that the past trend and intensity of LULC change (e.g., new forest plantations, conversion of existing coniferous forests to broadleaf forests or the degradation of heath and bog) would continue until 2030.
- The ecosystem conservation scenario (E-C) is based on existing and proposed policies of the 163 Welsh government and Natural Resources Wales on planting new woodlands, increasing the 164 165 rate of conversion of coniferous forests to broadleaf forests, and improved conservation of 166 heath and bog (see [38] for details). In the E-C scenario, the probability of Conifer-to-Broadleaf Forest conversion and the rate of conservation of heath and bog both increase by 50% as 167 compared to the current rate. The scenario further assumes no deforestation of broadleaf forest 168 169 until 2030. A detailed analysis of predicted LULC under both projections is presented in 170 supplementary data (Figure 2).

Thus, by combining the climate change and LULC change scenarios, we created eight future *Land Use Land Cover and Climate* (LULCC) change scenarios to model the effects of climate and land use change
on the future distribution of *R. ponticum* in Wales (Table 1).

- 174
- 175
- 176
- 177
- 178
- 179

Table 1. Reference list of eight Land Use Land Cover & Climate (LULCC) Change scenarios for Wales
in 2030, based on combinations of two Land Use & Land Cover (LULC) change scenarios, two Global
Circulations Models (GCMs) and two Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs).

LULCC Change Scenarios	LULC Scenario	Climate Change Scenarios		
		GCMs	RCPs	
1	B-a-U	GISS-E2-R	2.6	
2	B-a-U	GISS-E2-R	8.5	
3	B-a-U	MIROC5	2.6	
4	B-a-U	MIROC5	8.5	
5	E-C	GISS-E2-R	2.6	
6	E-C	GISS-E2-R	8.5	
7	E-C	MIROC5	2.6	
8	E-C	MIROC5	8.5	

183

#### 184 2.6. Ecological Niche Modelling Algorithm

185 We used MaxEnt, a maximum-entropy based machine learning (presence/background) algorithm to 186 model the current and future distribution of *R. ponticum* (L.). MaxEnt predicts the distribution of a 187 species on the basis of a given set of predictor variables and presence-only occurrence data [50]. We 188 selected MAXENT primarily because it allows for the use of both continuous and categorical 189 predictor variables [51], can handle complex interactions between predictor and response variables 190 [52], and performs better than discriminative models while using presence-only records [51]. We used 191 a reasonably large sample size [53] and applied the recommended screening and verification of 192 occurrence records [37]. 193 In MaxEnt, model complexity is primarily controlled by two factors; feature classes and regularization 194 parameters [54]. Feature classes - Linear (L), Quadratic (Q), Hinge (H), Product (P), and Threshold (T) - transform predictor variables, whereas regularization multipliers penalize for overparameterization 195

196 (for details, see [29]). MaxEnt-based models are prone to over-fitting due to their reliance on default

options describing feature classes and regularization parameters [55][54]. Thus, an optimization of
MaxEnt setting is recommended to avoid over-simplified or overly complex models [29]. To tune up
the model, we used ENMeval [55] to create all possible combinations of selected parameters. We
produced a total of 48 models using six combination of these feature classes (L, H, LQ, LQH, LQHP,
LQHPT) and eight regularization multipliers (0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 3.5, 4.0) [56]. We then used
corrected Akaike Information Criterion (AICc) to choose the best combination of feature class and
regularization parameters.

204 We then ran MAXENT (version 3.4.1) with the default convergence threshold of 10<sup>-6</sup> and with 5,000 205 iterations to allow the model a reasonable scope for convergence, thus reducing the risk of over-206 predicting or under-predicting the model relationships. The selected model used the "Linear," 207 "Quadratic" "Product," and "Hinge" feature types and the regularization parameter of 2, as indicated 208 by the lowest AICc value. We processed 25 model replications by bootstrap resampling, randomly 209 allocating 80% of the occurrence records to calibration and 20% to validation. Habitat suitability maps 210 under current and future LULCC change scenarios represent the average of the 25 replicate models. 211 MAXENT produces continuous suitability index in its output; 10 percentile training presence threshold 212 was employed to convert this index into binary form (suitable or unsuitable habitat) [57]. We used 213 Cloglog output format.

We trained the model in Britain and not in the Iberia (the native range of R. ponticum) as one of ourearlier investigations suggested that this invasive species has shifted its niche in Britain [58].

216 2.7. Model Evaluation

We used the Area Under the ROC (Receiver Operating Characteristic) Curve (AUC) to test the performance of the model against presence observations [52]. An AUC value of 0.5 shows that the model does not predict any better than random chance, whereas a value of 1 indicates a perfect performance of the model [59]. Percentage of contribution and permutation importance contribution were used to assess the relative significance of predictor variables. In addition to AUC, we used Continuous Boyce Index (CBI) as an additional assessment tool. The Boyce index requires presence data 223 only and measures by how much model predictions differ from a random distribution of observed 224 presence across the prediction gradient (for details, see [42]). The continuous values of the Boyce index 225 vary between -1 and +1. Positive values indicate a model where predictions are consistent with the 226 distribution of actual presence data, values close to zero mean that the model is no different from a 227 random model and negative values indicate counter predictions (e.g., predicting no occurrence in areas 228 where actual presence is recorded) [60][61]. In addition, we calculated a set of null models [62] by 229 generating 100 random datasets, each equalling the actual number of presence points. We then 230 calculated a Maxent model for each dataset and used a Kruskal-Wallis test to compare the training AUC 231 values of the species models with null models.

232 3. **Results** 

#### 233 3.1. Ecological niche model accuracy

The Maxent-based model with the lowest AICc showed encouraging predictive capacity: AUC<sub>train</sub> = 79.8, AUC<sub>test</sub> = 77.21, and CBI = 0.81. These results suggest that the predictor variables used during model calibration predicted the presence of *R. ponticum* in Wales with decent accuracy. Moreover, our model performed significantly better than null models (Kruskal-Wallis with p-values < 0.001).

238 Continuous and binary habitat suitability maps of the current distribution of *R. ponticum* in Wales are239 presented in Figure 1.





Figure 1. Distribution of presence points (a), present day continuous (b) and binary (c) habitat
suitability maps of *R. ponticum* generated in MaxEnt-based *R. ponticum* distribution model.

#### 243 3.2. Key environment variables

- 244 We used percentage contribution, permutation importance, and jack-knife test to assess the relative
- importance of environmental variables used to model the distribution of *R. ponticum* in Wales. As
- shown in Table & Figure 2, land cover (distance from broadleaf and conifer forests) has the highest
- contribution and permutation importance in predicting the distribution of *R. ponticum*.
- 248 Table 2. Percentage contribution and permutation importance of each variable for predicting the
- 249 distribution of *R. ponticum* in Wales.

Percent contribution	Permutation importance
60.6	39
21.3	23.8
7.5	17.5
3.7	7.3
3.2	7.1
2.6	2.4
0.4	1.7
0.4	0.8
0.3	0.5
	Percent contribution 60.6 21.3 7.5 3.7 3.2 2.6 0.4 0.4 0.3

250



251

Figure 2. Jack-knife test of variable importance in the MaxEnt-based model for predicting the distribution of *R. ponticum* in Wales. Regularized training gain indicates how much better the MaxEnt distribution fits the present data compared to a uniform distribution. Dark blue bars indicate the gain from using each variable in isolation, light blue bars indicate the loss of gain by removing a single variable from the full model, the red bar indicates the gain using all variables.

Close inspection of individual response curves (Supplementary Data, Figure 3) shows logistic
predictions by a specific variable, when the rest of the predictors are artificially kept at their average
values. The response curve for land cover shows that *R. ponticum* favours broadleaf and conifer forests.
Furthermore, the presence of *R. ponticum* is negatively associated with altitude. The response curves of

262 bio 2 bio 6 show that its presence is lowest at extreme values of these variables.

#### 263 Impact of LULCC change on the future distribution of *R. ponticum*

Our models show that nearly 52 % or 1081582 ha is currently suitable for *R. ponticum* invasion, out ofthe total land area of 2,073,500 ha. Looking ahead on the basis of different LULCC change scenarios,

- \_\_\_\_\_
- the extent of habitat suitable for *R. ponticum* in Wales park is likely to contract under most of the LULCC
- change scenarios considered in this study (Figure 3).





269

Figure 3. Area suitable for *R. ponticum* in Wales under eight LULCC change scenarios predicted for
2030. Recent Past refers to the baseline land cover and climatic conditions (2015), Scenario 1: GISS-E2R x RCP 2.6 x B-a-U, Scenario 2: GISS-E2-R x RCP 8.5 x B-a-U, Scenario 3: MIROC5 x RCP 2.6 x B-a-U,
Scenario 4: MIROC5 x RCP 8.5 x B-a-U, Scenario 5: GISS-E2-R x RCP 2.6 x E-C, Scenario 6: GISS-E2-R
RCP 8.5 x E-C, Scenario 7: MIROC5 x RCP 2.6 x E-C, Scenario 8: MIROC5 x RCP 8.5 x E-C.

275

276	In all scenarios based on GCMs GISS-E2-R and GCM MIROC5 (Table 1), habitat suitability of R.
277	ponticum is likely to decrease in future. The lowest habitat suitability is predicted by scenario 2 (B-a-U
278	x GISS-E2-R x RCP 8.5), whereas the only instance of net expansion of habitat suitability is scenario 8
279	(E-C x MICROC5 x RCP 8.5).
280	In all scenarios, including GCM GISS-E2-R (scenarios 1-2 & 5-6), new areas in the north-eastern and
281	north-western edges of Wales are likely to become suitable for R. ponticum (Figure 4, a-b & e-f, red
282	pixels) and existing suitable areas of <i>R. ponticum</i> are likely to become unsuitable in the central and
283	southern parts of Wales (Figure 4, a-b & e-f, green pixels). In other scenarios, including GCM MIROC5
284	(3-4 & 7-8), new suitability spots are likely to emerge in the south-western coastal areas of Wales (Figure

285 4) whereas reduced suitability is likely along the eastern and northern parts of Wales (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Maps showing areas in Wales which are likely to become suitable (shown in red) and
unsuitable (shown in green) for *R. ponticum* by 2030 under future LULCC changes scenarios. a-h
represent scenarios 1-8. Scenario a) Scenario 1: GISS-E2-R x RCP 2.6 x B-a-U, (b) Scenario 2: GISS-E2-R
x RCP 8.5 x B-a-U, (c) Scenario 3: MIROC5 x RCP 2.6 x B-a-U, (d) Scenario 4: MIROC5 x RCP 8.5 x B-aU, (e) Scenario 5: GISS-E2-R x RCP 2.6 x E-C, (f) Scenario 6: GISS-E2-R RCP 8.5 x E-C, (g) Scenario 7:
MIROC5 x RCP 2.6 x E-C, (h) Scenario 8: MIROC5 x RCP 8.5 x E-C.

#### 297 4. Discussion

298 Accurate predictions of invasive species distribution and invasion trends are critical to understanding 299 the impacts of global environmental change on terrestrial ecosystems and hence, pivotal to the 300 development of global environmental change adaptation policy [63]. Such predictions are even more 301 relevant in the contemporary world where the anthropogenic changes are likely to drive the sixth mass 302 extinction event on Earth [64]. A considerable number of studies have looked at biological invasion, 303 most however considering climate and LULC change - two key factors of global change - in isolation 304 [65][66][67][68]. When considered together, the effect of climate and LULC change on ecosystems may 305 be synergistic [69], leading to an under or overestimation of the effects of anthropogenic change on 306 global ecosystems and biodiversity by the majority of the ecological models [70]. To the best of our 307 knowledge, ours is one of the few investigations testing the combined effects of climate and LULC 308 change and is the first attempt to model the distribution of an invasive species in Wales under these 309 future scenarios.

#### 310 4.1. Significance of predictor variables

311 Our results suggest that landscape features exert more influence than climate over the distribution of 312 *R. ponticum* in Wales. Land cover is the most important variable determining its distribution, as it is often the critical variable limiting the distribution of a plant species [71]. LULC changes are closely 313 314 associated with human population size and activity; invasive species are likely to take advantage of 315 transportation networks and environments simplified by humans [72]. R. ponticum can invade a wide 316 range of land cover classes, including forests, upland heaths and grasslands [73]. In Britain, forests 317 represent the land cover class most susceptible to R. ponticum invasion [73]. In an earlier investigation 318 of the distribution of R. ponticum in Snowdonia National Park, Wales, we found that this invasive 319 species is most often found in "Mosaic Tree & Shrub" & "Needle Leaved Forest" [29]. This current 320 study supports the earlier report by showing the preference of *R. ponticum* for conifer forests. A strong 321 presence of *R. ponticum* in woodlands can be attributed to many reasons; environment suitable for seed 324 Land cover is followed by altitude and mean diurnal temperature range (bio 2) in terms of variable 325 importance in the MaxEnt-based model in this study. The response curve (Supplementary Data, Figure 326 3) shows that the likelihood of *R. ponticum* presence is negatively correlated with altitude. Altitude may 327 not have a direct effect on plant growth, but it is often considered a strong proxy for other variables 328 important to species distribution. For example, exposure to sunlight, hydrology, soil physical and 329 chemical properties, and wind speed may vary with increasing altitude, which in turn may be critical 330 for the colonization by *R. ponticum* [75]. Earlier research has confirmed a strong relationship between 331 mean diurnal temperature range ('bio 2' in the current study)and invasive plant species distribution 332 [76]. Mean diurnal temperature range may affect biological invasion in many ways. For example, 333 diurnal fluctuations in temperature increase seed germination and positively affect photosynthetic 334 activity, especially in colder parts of the world [76]. Response curve (Supplementary Data, Figure 3) 335 indicates that *R. ponticum* favours areas with higher values of 'bio 2', which is in agreement with earlier 336 reports [76]. Furthermore, mean diurnal temperature range in Iberian Peninsula (the native range of R. 337 ponticum) is °C 5.2 – 13.0 compared to the °C 5.4 – 7.0 (Supplementary data, Table S1) in Wales which 338 indicates that an increase in mean diurnal temperature range in Wales under future climate change scenarios is likely to improve habitat suitability for *R. ponticum* in Wales. 339

#### 340 4.2. Effect of Climate and LULC Change Scenarios on Suitability of *R. ponticum* in Wales

Our analysis shows that the area suitable for *R. ponticum* is likely to contract in future. In our case, 7 out of the 8 LULCC change scenarios considered in this study indicate smaller suitable area than that at present. One of the main reasons for this could be the decline of conifer forest cover from the current scenario under both B-a-U and E-C in future (Supplementary data, Table S1). As shown by the response curves (Supplementary Data, Figure 3), *R. ponticum* is most likely to occur in conifer forests. *R. ponticum* favours acidic soils, coniferous forests may thus offer ideal growing conditions for this invasive species [77]. Existing UK Forestry Standard Guidelines on Biodiversity [78] and the UK Biodiversity Action 348 Plan [38] both encourage large-scale conversion of coniferous forests to native broadleaf forests. This 349 may benefit native species as native broadleaf woodland species would improve soil conditions for local flora and fauna, increase food availability and nesting opportunities for birds, reduce insect pests 350 351 prevalence and enhance the overall aesthetics of the landscape [79][80][38]. Our model suggests that, 352 alongside overall contraction, there is a possibility of an expansion in the *R. ponticum* habitat suitability 353 in the southern-western and north-eastern parts of Wales. This could be attributed to increased forest 354 cover in the south, which is likely to provide the required habitat, cover, and corridor for establishment 355 and spread of *R. ponticum*. In the north, appearance of new suitability hotspots could be due to expected 356 change in the mean diurnal temperature range which may suit *R. ponticum* (Supplementary Data, Table 357 S1 & Figure S5). Evidence suggests that invasive species generally have higher energy demand for 358 intense physiological activities; mean diurnal temperature range may affect species distribution.

359 The increase in future habitat suitability predicted by the GCM MIROC5 x RCP 8.5 x E-C scenario is 360 very interesting. The E-C LULC change scenario depicts a future where overall forest cover will increase 361 from the current 320,210 ha to 415,273 ha (Supplementary data, Table S2). At the same time, RCP 8.5 362 indicates the highest projected GHG concentration pathway under which the mean diurnal 363 temperature range will increase the most along the eastern foothills of Wales. It is possible that R. 364 ponticum might take advantage of rapidly increasing forest cover and even though future forests are likely to be broadleaved and not conifers, their increasing extent will create an expanding niche for this 365 366 invasive species. This observation underlines the importance of incorporating regional policy-driven 367 LULC projections into invasive ecological niche models. Extreme climate change and current plans for 368 forest management may thus conspire to improve the future prospects of *R. ponticum* in Wales.

#### 369 4.3. Regional policy-driven LULC change scenarios deserve more attention

There is a strong consensus that models combining climate and LULC predictions are very good tools
to predict species' distribution, usually far more accurate than climate-only models [81][82]. At fine
spatial scales, land-use is often the factor driving the distribution and dispersal of invasive species [4].
The interplay of climate and LULC changes may limit the spread of invasives in some cases, while

374 promoting invasion in others [83][29][84][85]. To date, most invasive ecological niche models have 375 assumed homogenous and unchanging landscapes, mainly focussing on climate as the critical dynamic 376 variable [86][66]. The attention has recently shifted towards considering landscape as a heterogenous 377 variable that can affect the rate and trend of biological invasions [87]. This approach needs to be 378 improved further, for that landscapes are not only heterogeneous but also subject to significant human 379 pressure. Ecological niche models cannot rely only on B-a-U projections to predict future species 380 distributions, the trajectory and intensity of LULC change in the future is not likely to copy the past. 381 The trajectory of change may vary, depending upon the socio-political and socio-economic factors of 382 the region under study [88]. Researchers have considered global or continental LULC change scenarios 383 to predict at local scale [81], we however argue that capturing the impact of local land management 384 plans and policies is essential to develop realistic scenarios. One of the used of the scenarios presented 385 in our study is to relate the spread of *R. ponticum* or other invasive species to possible changes in both 386 future landscapes and climate. A possible outcome of this type of modelling exercise is the design and targeting of land management policies to ecosystem conservation [89]. 387

#### 388 4.4. Implications for Landscape Management

389 Management of invasive species requires screening potential invasives through a process of risk 390 assessment, which determines the likelihood that an invasive species would enter and inhabit a 391 recipient area [81]. Most studies used in this type of screening of invasive species suggest either an 392 increase [90] or a decrease in invasiveness [29]. We show that, for a single species in a well-defined area, 393 expected LULC and climate changes may result in both an overall decrease or an increase in future 394 habitat suitability. If the purpose of the modelling exercise is to anticipate future trends of species 395 distribution at fine spatial resolution, we suggest that (a) multiple regional change drivers should be 396 considered, (b) future LULC change scenarios based on regional socio-economic and socio-political 397 policies must be included, and (c) multiple combinations of climate and LULC change scenarios should 398 be run to have confidence in predictions of future distribution of the species in question. We illustrate 399 the use of this modelling framework against the backdrop of an invasive species spread, however its

use to model distribution of all types of species can be easily envisaged. Alongside theoretical
implications, our study has important traction for practical decisions on land management in Wales.
We show that while the Welsh government aims to increase forest cover and cites biodiversity
conservation as one of the reasons to do so, it is important to factor in and anticipate the spread of *R*. *ponticum* or other invasive species associated with woodlands. Specifically, a strategy combating the
expansion of *R. ponticum* in the north-eastern and south-western regions should be considered.

406 Our model predicts future habitat suitability of *R. ponticum* in Wales under a set of climate and land 407 use change scenarios. However, dispersion of *R. ponticum* to these newly suitable habitats will depend 408 on dispersal potential of the invasive, dispersal barriers and corridors as well as biotic factors (i.e. 409 competitors or predators). We recommend the future habitat suitability maps produced in this study 410 should overlaid with road and water networks as these two channels are most likely to facilitate 411 dispersion of invasives to new areas.

In this study, we resampled the climate variables to 25 m to conserve the spatial resolution of topography and land cover as suggested by our earlier studies on *R. ponticum* [37]. However, resampling climate to finer resolution might influence the climate-species relationship. Therefore, we recommend future studies to resample climate variable while considering the spatial scale of study, ecological sensitivity of the species to different abiotic factors and the spatial resolution of the presence data.

#### 418 Conclusion

This work demonstrates the need to understand and evaluate the combined effects of climate and policy-driven LULC scenarios on current and future distribution of *R. ponticum* in Wales. We show that the presence of *R. ponticum* is strongly associated with land cover but may be modified by strong climate change. Habitat suitability of *R. ponticum* is likely to decrease by 2030 in most future LULCC change scenarios we explore in this study, though its increase is plausible under a scenario that assumes substantial expansion of forest cover and rapid climate change. The study highlights the need for developing more detailed LULC scenarios, driven by regional policy developments in combination with a range of climate change scenarios. This approach may capture the heterogeneity of landscape

427	and its changes	that is exploited	by R.	ponticum an	d other	invasive	species.
-----	-----------------	-------------------	-------	-------------	---------	----------	----------

#### 452 Supplementary Material



454 Figure S1. Current (*a*) and projected land use map of Wales, UK for the year 2030 under B-a-U (*b*) and

455 EC (*c*) modelling scenarios. [38]



456

453

**457** Figure S2. In Wales, UK, gains, losses and net changes between different LULC classes (hectares)

458 during (*a*) 2007–2015, (*b*) 2015–2030 (B-a-U scenario) and (*c*) 2015–2030 (EC scenario) [38]



459

460 Figure S3. Response curves of environmental variables in the MaxEnt-based *R. ponticum* distribution

461 model. a) altitude, b) distance to arable land, c) aspect, d) bio\_12, e) bio\_2, f) bio\_6, g) distance to

462 broadleaf forest, h) distance to conifer forest, i) distance to mountain, heath & bog.



463

464 Figure S4. Heat map of large-scale trends of conifer to broadleaf forest conversion in Wales, UK
465 during 2007–2015 (a), 2015–2030 B-a-U scenario (b) and 2015–2030 EC scenario (c). [38]



466

467 Figure S5. Spatial maps of bio 2 (mean diurnal range) and bio 12 (mean annual precipitation) under
468 future climate change scenarios, a) GISS-E2-R x RCP 2.6, b) GISS-E2-R x RCP 8.5, c) MIROC5 x RCP 2.6,
469 d) MIROC5 x RCP 8.5.

470

471 Table S1. Ranges of Bio 2 (mean diurnal range) and Bio 12 (mean annual precipitation) at present and472 under future climatic scenarios predicted for 2030.

		GISS-E2-R		MIROC5	
	Current	RCP 2.6	RCP 8.5	RCP 2.6	RCP 8.5
Bio 2 (∘C)	5.3 - 7.1	5.2 - 7.0	5.2 - 7.0	5.4 - 7.4	5.4 - 7.4
Bio 12 (mm)	718 - 1738	765 - 1783	790 - 1809	739 - 1757	788 – 1801
Bio 6 (∘C)	-3.8 – 2.7	-1.9 – 4.4	-2.2 - 4.2	-2.5 - 4.0	-2.2 - 4.2

473

474 Table S2. Area under broadleaf, conifer and overall forest in Wales at present and under future

475 business-as-usual (B-a-U) and ecosystem conservation (E-C) scenarios (data in hectares).

	<b>Broadleaf forest</b>	<b>Coniferous forest</b>	<b>Overall Forest Cover</b>
Current	159951	160259	320210
B-a-U	203317	152780	356097
E-C	300367	114906	415273

476

477

#### 478 **Reference**

- **479** 1. Turner, B.L.; Lambin, E.F.; Reenberg, A. The emergence of land change science for global
- 480 environmental change and sustainability. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2007, 104, 20666–20671.
- 481 2. Oliver, T.H.; Heard, M.S.; Isaac, N.J.B.; Roy, D.B.; Procter, D.; Eigenbrod, F.; Freckleton, R.;
- 482 Hector, A.; Orme, C.D.L.; Petchey, O.L.; et al. Biodiversity and Resilience of Ecosystem
- 483 Functions. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **2015**, *30*, 673–684.
- 484 3. Mace, G.M.; Norris, K.; Fitter, A.H. Biodiversity and ecosystem services: A multilayered
  485 relationship. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 2012, *27*, 19–26.
- 486 4. Ficetola, G.F.; Maiorano, L.; Falcucci, A.; Dendoncker, N.; Boitani, L.; Padoa-Schioppa, E.;
- 487 Miaud, C.; Thuiller, W. Knowing the past to predict the future: Land-use change and the
  488 distribution of invasive bullfrogs. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 2010, *16*, 528–537.
- 489 5. Early, R.; Bradley, B.A.; Dukes, J.S.; Lawler, J.J.; Olden, J.D.; Blumenthal, D.M.; Gonzalez, P.;
- 490 Grosholz, E.D.; Ibañez, I.; Miller, L.P.; et al. Global threats from invasive alien species in the

491 twenty-first century and national response capacities. *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, 7.

- 492 6. Bradley, B.A.; Blumenthal, D.M.; Wilcove, D.S.; Ziska, L.H. Predicting plant invasions in an era
  493 of global change. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 2010, 25, 310–318.
- 494 7. Taylor, S.; Kumar, L.; Reid, N. Impacts of climate change and land-use on the potential
- 495 distribution of an invasive weed: A case study of Lantana camara in Australia. *Weed Res.* 2012,
  496 52, 391–401.
- 497 8. Mainka, S.A.; Howard, G.W. Climate change and invasive species: Double jeopardy. *Integr.*498 *Zool.* 2010, *5*, 102–111.
- 499 9. Mooney, H.; Larigauderie, A.; Cesario, M.; Elmquist, T.; Hoegh-Guldberg, O.; Lavorel, S.;
- 500 Mace, G.M.; Palmer, M.; Scholes, R.; Yahara, T. Biodiversity, climate change, and ecosystem
- 501 services. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain. 2009, 1, 46–54.
- 502 10. Salazar, L.F.; Nobre, C.A.; Oyama, M.D. Climate change consequences on the biome

- distribution in tropical South America. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 2007, 34, 2–7.
- 11. Kerr, J.T.; Pindar, A.; Galpern, P.; Packer, L.; Potts, S.G.; Roberts, S.M.; Rasmont, P.; Schweiger,
- 505 O.; Colla, S.R.; Richardson, L.L.; et al. Climate change impacts on bumblebees converge across
  506 continents. *Science (80-. ).* 2012, 349, 177–180.
- 507 12. Bhattarai, G.P.; Cronin, J.T. Hurricane activity and the large-scale pattern of spread of an
  508 invasive plant species. *PLoS One* 2014, *9*.
- 13. Pyke, C.R.; Thomas, R.; Porter, R.D.; Hellmann, J.J.; Dukes, J.S.; Lodge, D.M.; Chavarria, G.
- 510 Current practices and future opportunities for policy on climate change and invasive species.
  511 *Conserv. Biol.* 2008, 22, 585–592.
- 512 14. Diez, J.M.; D'Antonio, C.M.; Dukes, J.S.; Grosholz, E.D.; Olden, J.D.; Sorte, C.J.B.; Blumenthal,
- 513 D.M.; Bradley, B.A.; Early, R.; Ibáñez, I.; et al. Will extreme climatic events facilitate biological
  514 invasions? *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 2012, *10*, 249–257.
- 515 15. Lankau, R.A. Rapid Evolutionary Change and the Coexistence of Species. *Annu. Rev. Ecol.*516 *Evol. Syst.* 2011, 42, 335–354.
- 517 16. Alexander, J.M.; Levine, J.M. Earlier phenology of a nonnative plant increases impacts on
  518 native competitors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2019, *116*, 6199–6204.
- 519 17. With, K.A. The landscape ecology of invasive spread. *Conserv. Biol.* 2002, *16*, 1192–1203.
- 520 18. Wang, W.; Zhang, C.; Allen, J.M.; Li, W.; Boyer, M.A.; Segerson, K.; Silander, J.A. Analysis and
- 521 prediction of land use changes related to invasive species and major driving forces in the state522 of Connecticut. *Land* 2016, 5.
- 523 19. Álvarez-Berríos, N.L.; Redo, D.J.; Aide, T.M.; Clark, M.L.; Grau, R. Land change in the Greater
  524 Antilles between 2001 and 2010. *Land* 2013, *2*, 81–107.
- 525 20. Vilà, M.; Ibáñez, I. Plant invasions in the landscape. *Landsc. Ecol.* 2011, 26, 461–472.
- 526 21. Degasperis, B.G.; Motzkin, G. Windows of opportunity: Historical and ecological controls on
  527 Berberis thunbergii invasions. *Ecology* 2007, *88*, 3115–3125.
- 528 22. Mosher, E.S.; Silander, J.A.; Latimer, A.M. The role of land-use history in major invasions by

- woody plant species in the northeastern north american landscape. *Biol. Invasions* 2009, *11*,
  2317–2328.
- 531 23. Betts, M.G.; Gutiérrez Illán, J.; Yang, Z.; Shirley, S.M.; Thomas, C.D. Synergistic Effects of
- 532 Climate and Land-Cover Change on Long-Term Bird Population Trends of the Western USA:
- 533 A Test of Modeled Predictions. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 2019, 7, 1–11.
- **534** 24. Opdam, P.; Wascher, D. Climate change meets habitat fragmentation: Linking landscape and
- biogeographical scale levels in research and conservation. *Biol. Conserv.* **2004**, *117*, 285–297.
- 536 25. Lawrence, D.; Vandecar, K. Effects of tropical deforestation on climate and agriculture. *Nat.*537 *Clim. Chang.* 2015, *5*, 27–36.
- 538 26. Holt, R.D.; Keitt, T.H. Alternative causes for range limits: A metapopulation perspective. *Ecol.*539 *Lett.* 2000, *3*, 41–47.
- 540 27. Wiens, J.A. Spatial Scaling in Ecology Published by : British Ecological Society Stable URL :
- 541 https://www.jstor.org/stable/2389612 Spatial scaling in ecology1. *Funct. Ecol.* **1989**, *3*, 385–397.
- 542 28. Shirley, S.M.; Yang, Z.; Hutchinson, R.A.; Alexander, J.D.; Mcgarigal, K.; Betts, M.G. Species
- 543 distribution modelling for the people: Unclassified landsat TM imagery predicts bird
- 544 occurrence at fine resolutions. *Divers. Distrib.* **2013**, *19*, 855–866.
- 54529.Manzoor, S.A.; Griffiths, G.; Iizuka, K.; Lukac, M. Land Cover and Climate Change May Limit
- 546 Invasiveness of Rhododendron ponticum in Wales. *Front. plant Sci. Pap.* 2018, 9.
- 54730.Xiaojun, K.; Qin, L.; Shirong, L. High-resolution bioclimatic dataset derived from future
- climate projections for plant species distribution modeling. *Ecol. Inform.* **2011**, *6*, 196–204.
- S1. Conrad, K.F.; Woiwod, I.P.; Perry, J.N. Long-term decline in abundance and distribution of the
  garden tiger moth (Arctia caja) in Great Britain. *Biol. Conserv.* 2002, *106*, 329–337.
- 32. Roy, D.B.; Rothery, P.; Moss, D.; Pollard, E.; Thomas, J.A. Butterfly numbers and weather:
- 552 predicting historical trends in abundance and the future effects of climate change. *J. Anim.*
- 553 *Ecol.* **2008**, *70*, 201–217.
- 33. Bosso, L.; Luchi, N.; Maresi, G.; Cristinzio, G.; Smeraldo, S.; Russo, D. Predicting current and

555		future disease outbreaks of Diplodia sapinea shoot blight in Italy: species distribution models
556		as a tool for forest management planning. For. Ecol. Manage. 2017, 400, 655–664.
557	34.	Tiedeken, E.J.; Stout, J.C. Insect-flower interaction network structure is resilient to a temporary
558		pulse of floral resources from invasive Rhododendron ponticum. PLoS One 2015, 10, 1–19.
559	35.	Jones, G.L.; Scullion, J.; Allison, G.; Stott, H.; Johnson, D.; Owen, R.; Williams, G.; Gwynn-
560		Jones, D. Reduced soil respiration beneath invasive Rhododendron ponticum persists after
561		cutting and is related to substrate quality rather than microbial community. Soil Biol. Biochem.
562		<b>2019</b> , 138.
563	36.	Dehnen-Schmutz, K.; Perrings, C.; Williamson, M. Controlling Rhododendron ponticum in
564		British Isles: An economic analysis. J. Environ. Manage. 2004, 70, 323–332.
565	37.	Manzoor, S.A.; Griffiths, G.; Lukac, M. Species distribution model transferability and model
566		grain size – finer may not always be better. <i>Sci. Rep.</i> <b>2018</b> , <i>8</i> , 7168.
567	38.	Manzoor, S.A.; Griffiths, G.; Latham, J.; Lukac, M. Scenario-led modelling of broadleaf forest
568		expansion in Wales. R. Soc. Open Sci. 2019, 6.
569	39.	Statistical Bulletin: Annual Mid year Population Estimates: 2013; 2014;
570	40.	Swetnam, R.D.; Tweed, F.S. A tale of two landscapes: Transferring landscape quality metrics
571		from Wales to Iceland. <i>Land use policy</i> <b>2018</b> , 0–1.
572	41.	Hijmans, R.J.; Phillips, S.; Leathwick, J.; Elith, J. Dismo: Species Distribution Modeling 2011.
573	42.	Manzoor, S.A.; Griffiths, G.; Lukac, M. Species distribution model transferability and model
574		grain size – finer may not always be better. <i>Sci. Rep.</i> <b>2018</b> , 1–9.
575	43.	Harris, C.M.; Stanford, H.L.; Edwards, C.; Travis, J.M.J.; Park, K.J. Integrating demographic
576		data and a mechanistic dispersal model to predict invasion spread of Rhododendron ponticum
577		in different habitats. Ecol. Inform. 2011, 6, 187–195.
578	44.	Erfmeier, A.; Bruelheide, H. Comparison of native and invasive Rhododendron ponticum
579		populations: Growth, reproduction and morphology under field conditions. Flora - Morphol.
580		Distrib. Funct. Ecol. Plants <b>2004</b> , 199, 120–133.

581	45.	Stephenson, C.M.; MacKenzie, M.L.; Edwards, C.; Travis, J.M.J. Modelling establishment
582		probabilities of an exotic plant, Rhododendron ponticum, invading a heterogeneous,
583		woodland landscape using logistic regression with spatial autocorrelation. Ecol. Modell. 2006,
584		193, 747–758.
585	46.	Eşen, D.; Zedaker, S.M.; Kirwan, J.L.; Mou, P. Soil and site factors influencing purple-flowered
586		rhododendron (Rhododendron ponticum L.) and eastern beech forests (Fagus orientalis
587		Lipsky) in Turkey. For. Ecol. Manage. 2004, 203, 229–240.
588	47.	Dormann, C.F.; Elith, J.; Bacher, S.; Buchmann, C.; Carl, G.; Carré, G.; Marquéz, J.R.G.; Gruber,
589		B.; Lafourcade, B.; Leitão, P.J.; et al. Collinearity: A review of methods to deal with it and a
590		simulation study evaluating their performance. <i>Ecography (Cop.).</i> 2013, 36, 027–046.
591	48.	Syfert, M.M.; Smith, M.J.; Coomes, D.A. The Effects of Sampling Bias and Model Complexity
592		on the Predictive Performance of MaxEnt Species Distribution Models. PLoS One 2013, 8.
593	49.	Moss, R.H.; Edmonds, J.A.; Hibbard, K.A.; Manning, M.R.; Rose, S.K.; Van Vuuren, D.P.;
594		Carter, T.R.; Emori, S.; Kainuma, M.; Kram, T.; et al. The next generation of scenarios for
595		climate change research and assessment. <i>Nature</i> <b>2010</b> , <i>463</i> , 747–756.
596	50.	Phillips SJ Dudik M, S.R.E. A maximum entropy approach to species distribution modeling.
597		<b>2004</b> , 655–662.
598	51.	Elith, J.; Phillips, S.J.; Hastie, T.; Dudík, M.; Chee, Y.E.; Yates, C.J. A statistical explanation of
599		MaxEnt for ecologists. Divers. Distrib. 2011, 17, 43–57.
600	52.	Elith, J.; Graham, C.H.; Anderson, R.P.; Dudik, M.; Ferrier, S.; Guisan, A.; Hijmans, R.J.;
601		Huettmann, F.; Leathwick, J.R.; Lehmann, A.; et al. Novel methods improve prediction of
602		species' distributions from occurrence data. <i>Ecography (Cop.).</i> 2006, 29, 129–151.
603	53.	Wisz, M.S.; Hijmans, R.J.; Li, J.; Peterson, A.T.; Graham, C.H.; Guisan, A.; Elith, J.; Dudík, M.;
604		Ferrier, S.; Huettmann, F.; et al. Effects of sample size on the performance of species
605		distribution models. Divers. Distrib. 2008, 14, 763–773.

606 54. Moreno-Amat, E.; Mateo, R.G.; Nieto-Lugilde, D.; Morueta-Holme, N.; Svenning, J.C.;

607 Garc??a-Amorena, I. Impact of model complexity on cross-temporal transferability in Maxent 608 species distribution models: An assessment using paleobotanical data. Ecol. Modell. 2015, 312, 609 308-317. 610 55. Muscarella, R.; Galante, P.J.; Soley-Guardia, M.; Boria, R.A.; Kass, J.M.; Uriarte, M.; Anderson, 611 R.P. ENMeval: An R package for conducting spatially independent evaluations and estimating 612 optimal model complexity for <scp>Maxent</scp> ecological niche models. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 613 **2014**, *5*, 1198–1205. 614 Obiakara, M.C.; Fourcade, Y. Climatic niche and potential distribution of Tithonia diversifolia 56. 615 (Hemsl.) A. Gray in Africa. PLoS One 2018, 13, 1-18. 616 57. Rebelo, H.; Jones, G. Ground validation of presence-only modelling with rare species: A case 617 study on barbastelles Barbastella barbastellus (Chiroptera: Vespertilionidae). J. Appl. Ecol. 618 2010, 47, 410-420. Manzoor, S.A.; Griffiths, G.; Obiakara, M.C.; Esparza-Estrada, C.E.; Lukac, M. Evidence of 619 58. 620 ecological niche shift in Rhododendron ponticum (L.) in Britain: Hybridization as a possible 621 cause of rapid niche expansion. Ecol. Evol. 2020, 2040–2050. 622 59. Swets, J.A. Measuring the accuracy of diagnostic systems. Science 1988, 240, 1285–1293. 623 60. Boyce, M.S.; Vernier, P.R.; Nielsen, S.E.; Schmiegelow, F.K.A. Evaluating resource selection 624 functions. Ecol. Modell. 2002, 157, 281–300. 625 61. Hirzel, A.H.; Le Lay, G.; Helfer, V.; Randin, C.; Guisan, A. Evaluating the ability of habitat 626 suitability models to predict species presences. Ecol. Modell. 2006, 199, 142–152. 627 62. Raes, N.; Ter Steege, H. A null-model for significance testing of presence-only species 628 distribution models. Ecography (Cop.). 2007, 30, 727–736. Titeux, N.; Henle, K.; Mihoub, J.B.; Regos, A.; Geijzendorffer, I.R.; Cramer, W.; Verburg, P.H.; 629 63. Brotons, L. Global scenarios for biodiversity need to better integrate climate and land use 630 631 change. Divers. Distrib. 2017, 23, 1231-1234. 632 64. Barnosky, A.D.; Matzke, N.; Tomiya, S.; Wogan, G.O.U.; Swartz, B.; Quental, T.B.; Marshall, C.;

- 633 McGuire, J.L.; Lindsey, E.L.; Maguire, K.C.; et al. Has the Earth's sixth mass extinction already
  634 arrived? *Nature* 2011, 471, 51–57.
- 635 65. Mamun, M.; Kim, S.; An, K.G. Distribution pattern prediction of an invasive alien species
- 636 largemouth bass using a maximum entropy model (MaxEnt) in the Korean peninsula. *J. Asia-*
- 637 *Pacific Biodivers.* 2018, 11, 516–524.
- 638 66. Xu, D.; Zhuo, Z.; Wang, R.; Ye, M.; Pu, B. Modeling the distribution of Zanthoxylum armatum
  639 in China with MaxEnt modeling. *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.* 2019, *19*, e00691.
- 640 67. Yan, H.; Feng, L.; Zhao, Y.; Feng, L.; Zhu, C.; Qu, Y.; Wang, H. Predicting the potential
- 641 distribution of an invasive species, Erigeron canadensis L., in China with a maximum entropy
  642 model. *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.* 2020, *21*, e00822.
- 643 68. Yan, H.; Feng, L.; Zhao, Y.; Feng, L.; Wu, D.; Zhu, C.; Ecology, G. Prediction of the spatial
- distribution of Alternanthera philoxeroides in China based on ArcGIS and MaxEnt. **2019**.
- 645 69. Anteau, M.J.; Wiltermuth, M.T.; van der Burg, M.P.; Pearse, A.T. Prerequisites for
- 646 Understanding Climate-Change Impacts on Northern Prairie Wetlands. *Wetlands* 2016, 36,
  647 299–307.
- 548 70. Stanton, J.C.; Pearson, R.G.; Horning, N.; Ersts, P.; Reşit Akçakaya, H. Combining static and
  649 dynamic variables in species distribution models under climate change. *Methods Ecol. Evol.*650 2012, 3, 349–357.
- 71. Yang, X.Q.; Kushwaha, S.P.S.; Saran, S.; Xu, J.; Roy, P.S. Maxent modeling for predicting the
  potential distribution of medicinal plant, Justicia adhatoda L. in Lesser Himalayan foothills. *Ecol. Eng.* 2013, *51*, 83–87.
- 654 72. McKinney, M.L. Urbanization as a major cause of biotic homogenization. *Biol. Conserv.* 2006,
  655 127, 247–260.
- 656 73. Dehnen-Schmutz, K.; Williamson, M. Rhododendron ponticum in Britain and Ireland: Social,
  657 economic and ecological factors in its successful invasion. *Environ. Hist. Camb.* 2006, 12, 325–
- 658

350.

659 74. Cross, J.R. The Establishment of Rhododendron Ponticum in the Killarney Oakwoods, S.W. Ireland Author (s): J. R. Cross Published by : British Ecological Society Stable URL : 660 661 http://www.jstor.org/stable/2259638 JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scho. J. Ecol. 662 **1981**, *69*, 807–824. 663 75. Körner, C. The use of "altitude" in ecological research. Trends Ecol. Evol. 2007, 22, 569–574. 664 76. Chen, B.M.; Gao, Y.; Liao, H.X.; Peng, S.L. Differential responses of invasive and native plants 665 to warming with simulated changes in diurnal temperature ranges. AoB Plants 2017, 9. Jones, G.L.; Tomlinson, M.; Owen, R.; Scullion, J.; Winters, A.; Jenkins, T.; Ratcliffe, J.; Gwynn-666 77. 667 Jones, D. Shrub establishment favoured and grass dominance reduced in acid heath grassland systems cleared of invasive Rhododendron ponticum. Sci. Rep. 2019, 9, 1-10. 668 669 78. Barsoum, N.; Henderson, L. Converting planted non-native conifer to native woodlands: a 670 review of the benefits, drawbacks and experience in Britain. 2016, 1–10. 79. 671 Brandtberg, P.O.; Lundkvist, H.; Bengtsson, J. Changes in forest-floor chemistry caused by a 672 birch admixture in Norway spruce stands. For. Ecol. Manage. 2000, 130, 253-264. 80. 673 Crawford, R.M.M.; Jeffree, C.E.; Rees, W.G. Paludification and forest retreat in northern 674 oceanic environments. Ann. Bot. 2003, 91, 213–226. 81. 675 Di Febbraro, M.; Menchetti, M.; Russo, D.; Ancillotto, L.; Aloise, G.; Roscioni, F.; Preatoni, D.G.; Loy, A.; Martinoli, A.; Bertolino, S.; et al. Integrating climate and land-use change 676 scenarios in modelling the future spread of invasive squirrels in Italy. Divers. Distrib. 2019, 25, 677 678 644-659. 679 82. Marshall, L.; Biesmeijer, J.C.; Rasmont, P.; Vereecken, N.J.; Dvorak, L.; Fitzpatrick, U.; Francis, 680 F.; Neumayer, J.; Ødegaard, F.; Paukkunen, J.P.T.; et al. The interplay of climate and land use 681 change affects the distribution of EU bumblebees. Glob. Chang. Biol. 2018, 24, 101–116. Dukes, J.S.; Mooney, H.A. Does global change increase the success of biological invaders? 682 83. 683 1999, 14, 135-139. 684 84. Bellard, C.; Thuiller, W.; Leroy, B.; Genovesi, P.; Bakkenes, M.; Courchamp, F. Will climate

685		change promote future invasions? Glob. Chang. Biol. 2013, 19, 3740–3748.
686	85.	Bezeng, B.S.; Morales-Castilla, I.; Van Der Bank, M.; Yessoufou, K.; Daru, B.H.; Davies, T.J.
687		Climate change may reduce the spread of non-native species. <i>Ecosphere</i> <b>2017</b> , <i>8</i> .
688	86.	Hastings, A.; Cuddington, K.; Davies, K.F.; Dugaw, C.J.; Elmendorf, S.; Freestone, A.;
689		Harrison, S.; Holland, M.; Lambrinos, J.; Malvadkar, U.; et al. The spatial spread of invasions:
690		New developments in theory and evidence. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> 2005, <i>8</i> , 91–101.
691	87.	O'Reilly-Nugent, A.; Palit, R.; Lopez-Aldana, A.; Medina-Romero, M.; Wandrag, E.; Duncan,
692		R.P. Landscape Effects on the Spread of Invasive Species. Curr. Landsc. Ecol. Reports 2016, 1,
693		107–114.
694	88.	Falcucci, A.; Maiorano, L.; Boitani, L. Changes in land-use/land-cover patterns in Italy and
695		their implications for biodiversity conservation. Landsc. Ecol. 2007, 22, 617–631.
696	89.	van Vuuren, D.P.; Isaac, M.; Kundzewicz, Z.W.; Arnell, N.; Barker, T.; Criqui, P.; Berkhout, F.;
697		Hilderink, H.; Hinkel, J.; Hof, A.; et al. The use of scenarios as the basis for combined
698		assessment of climate change mitigation and adaptation. Glob. Environ. Chang. 2011, 21, 575–
699		591.
700	90.	Bradley, B.A.; Wilcove, D.S.; Oppenheimer, M. Climate change increases risk of plant invasion
701		in the Eastern United States. Biol. Invasions 2010, 12, 1855–1872.