Originai research

BMJ Global Health

Is development aid to strengthen health systems during protracted conflict a useful investment? The case of South Sudan, 2011-2015

Joseph James Valadez (1), 1 Sima Berendes (1), 1 Jackline Odhiambo, 1 William Vargas, Baburam Devkota, Richard Lako, Caroline Jeffery

To cite: Valadez JJ. Berendes S, Odhiambo J, et al. Is development aid to strengthen health systems during protracted conflict a useful investment? The case of South Sudan, 2011-2015. BMJ Global Health 2020;5:e002093. doi:10.1136/ bmjgh-2019-002093

Handling editor Valery Ridde

Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjqh-2019-002093).

Received 17 October 2019 Revised 13 February 2020 Accepted 15 February 2020



@ Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BM.J.

¹International Public Health. Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK ²Division of Research, Monitoring and Evaluation, Government of the Republic of South Sudan Ministry of Health, Juba, South Sudan

Correspondence to

Dr Joseph James Valadez; joseph.valadez@lstmed.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Introduction Is achievement of Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 16 (building peaceful societies) a precondition for achieving SDG 3 (health and well-being in all societies, including conflict-affected countries)? Do health system investments in conflict-affected countries waste resources or benefit the public's health? To answer these questions, we examine the maternal, newborn, child and reproductive health (MNCRH) service provision during protracted conflicts and economic shocks in the Republic of South Sudan between 2011 (at independence) and

Methods We conducted two national cross-sectional probability surveys in 10 states (2011) and nine states (2015). Trained state-level health workers collected data from households randomly selected using probability proportional to size sampling of villages in each county. County data were weighted by their population sizes to measure state and national MNCRH services coverage. A two-sample, two-sided Z-test of proportions tested for changes in national health service coverage between 2011 (n=11800) and 2015 (n=10792).

Results Twenty-two of 27 national indicator estimates (81.5%) of MNCRH service coverage improved significantly. Examples: malaria prophylaxis in pregnancy increased by 8.6% (p<0.001) to 33.1% (397/1199 mothers, 95% CI ±2.9%), institutional deliveries by 10.5% (p<0.001) to 20% (230/1199 mothers, ±2.6%) and measles vaccination coverage in children aged 12-23 months by 11.2% (p<0.001) to 49.7% (529/1064 children, ±2.3%). The largest increase (17.7%, p<0.001) occurred for mothers treating diarrhoea in children aged 0-59 months with oral rehydration salts to 51.4% (635/1235 children, ±2.9%). Antenatal and postnatal care, and contraceptive prevalence did not change significantly. Child vitamin A supplementation decreased. Despite significant increases, coverage remained low (median of all indicators = 31.3%, SD = 19.7). Coverage varied considerably by state (mean SD for all indicators and states=11.1%).

Conclusion Health system strengthening is not a uniform process and not necessarily deterred by conflict. Despite the conflict, health system investments were not wasted; health service coverage increased.

Key questions

What is already known?

- ► About 1.5 billion people currently living in fragile and conflict-affected settings have a heightened need for basic health services.
- Data on maternal, newborn, child and reproductive health service use by their populations are often scarce, reducing the ability of governments and health partners to track if health needs are being met and to effectively develop policies to strengthen the health system.
- Scarce information entrenches a common notion that 'war is development in reverse', which assumes that health systems cannot be strengthened during protracted conflict.

What are the new findings?

- Our study, focusing on South Sudan, having had a protracted conflict for 20 years, demonstrated that in 5 years from the year of independence (2011) it made moderate progress in health system strengthening.
- However, progress varied across the states comprising the country, and coverage of maternal, newborn, child and reproductive health services is still low.

What do the new findings imply?

- Health system strengthening is a context-specific non-uniform process and is not necessarily deterred by conflict.
- Aid to highly fragile countries from international agencies can result in important benefits for the intended populations, rather than being a waste of resources.

INTRODUCTION

The increased global health focus on fragile and conflict-affected settings (FCAS) requires reliable evidence on the progress of health service delivery in FCAS. About 1.5 billion people currently living in FCAS have a heightened need for basic health services.1 However, data on maternal, newborn, child



and reproductive health (MNCRH) service use by their populations are often scarce.² The limited information reduces the ability of governments and health partners to track if MNCRH needs are being met and to effectively develop policies to strengthen the health system.³ This information scarcity also entrenches a common notion that 'war is development in reverse', which assumes that health systems cannot be strengthened during protracted conflict, and can deter government and donor investments in these settings. However, despite their turmoil, FCAS like the Republic of South Sudan (RSS) have national policies guiding them along the world's ambitious path to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG), in which MNCRH and HIV/AIDS are key areas.^{3 5 6} Hence, their need for information is acute, despite having challenging conditions. However, SDG 16 targets the building of peaceful societies as essential for sustainable development. Is achieving that goal a precondition in FCAS for achieving SDG 3 which promotes health and well-being in all societies?

In 2011, the RSS became the world's newest nation. Decades of civil war had destroyed much of the country's social fabric and physical infrastructure. Child and maternal mortality rates were among the highest in the world, and the highly fragmented health system was managed mostly by non-governmental organisations (NGO) and humanitarian aid organisations. Despite these extremely challenging starting conditions, RSS and international donors were eager to progress towards the millennium development goals. RSS became a Countdown to 2015 (CD2015) country for maternal, newborn and child survival, and a pilot country of the New Deal for Engagement in Fragile States, a partnership among donor countries, FCAS and civil society to create country-led transitions out of fragility.

However, between 2011 and 2015, RSS experienced unexpected economic and conflict-related shocks which may have slowed their health system development. In 2013, RSS leadership refused to sign the New Deal Compact with donors to establish benchmarks for peace and state building. 9 11 The collapse of global oil prices in 2014/2015 and renewed conflict with Sudan led to a year-long reduction in RSS's oil production, which contributed to a national economic crisis. 12 13 Domestic conflict erupted in December 2013 when President Salva Kiir accused his ex-vice-president, Riek Machar, of plotting a coup d'état. This conflict persists into 2019, leaving tens of thousands of people dead and hundreds of thousands displaced, and living with destroyed or limited infrastructure. This event strained relations between the government of RSS and the international community. In oil-producing states, 55% of health facilities no longer functioned in 2016. 14 RSS in 2019 is still a WHO grade 3 emergency country 15 and ranked first among 178 countries on the Fragile States Index, ¹⁶ making it a suitable location to address the question: can a health system strengthen during protracted conflicts?

Due to RSS's insecurity, current data on its progress towards health-related SDG indicators are scarce. In the final CD2015 report, RSS had the lowest score among 54 countries on the maternal, neonatal and child health composite coverage index.³ However, these results are mostly based on pre-independence data, because the most recent Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) was conducted in 2010.¹⁷ Similarly, a recent literature review of their MNCRH progress reported key indicators only for the period from 2000 to 2010.¹⁸ Other recent publications with relevant quantitative results^{19–21} also assessed the MICS 2010 data, with a few exceptions.^{22 23}

Despite the challenging circumstances and widespread civil conflict, the Ministry of Health (MOH) conducted two national household probability surveys: 2011 (the year of independence) and 2015 (the SDG baseline year) providing the most comprehensive national, state and county-level health estimates available. Here, we examine the progress in MNCRH coverage indicators in RSS in the context of a protracted political-military conflict and economy-related shocks. Our findings provide unique insight into how a fragile health system performs during such conditions and whether or not investment in an FCAS benefits the public's health rather than wastes resources.

METHODS

Overall study design

The two national cross-sectional household surveys were stratified random samples of each state in which substrata were counties. County-level data were produced with lot quality assurance sampling (LQAS) and analysed at a state level as a stratified random sample. Being relatively inexpensive and rapid to carry out at a local level, this established method was well suited to South Sudan for managing state-health services.²⁴ A detailed description of the sampling design and procedures was reported earlier²³; the 2015 survey protocol replicated the 2011 design. The national and state MOHs selected this method as it permitted classification of each county by national performance benchmarks, and also computation of national and state-level indicator prevalence estimates with 95% CIs. We used the classic LQAS method²⁵ and training materials²⁶ ²⁷ to build the capacity of state and county health workers to collect the data.

Sampling and participants

For both surveys we planned to include all 10 South Sudan states and all counties within the states, unless they were highly insecure or inaccessible due to environmental barriers. Despite ongoing armed conflict, we surveyed all states in 2011, but excluded Unity State in 2015 which was under rebel control and highly insecure. Armed conflict and political negotiations slightly changed state and county boundaries, so the total number of counties within the states differed between 2011 (79 counties) and 2015 (85 counties). We also subdivided two large



counties in Western Bahr el Ghazal in 2011 and 2015 to better reflect health system management. Also, in 2015, we aggregated seven small counties to form four bigger counties in the newly designated, semiautonomous Greater Pibor Administrative Area (GPAA). We excluded six counties in 2011 and four counties (plus the nine counties of the excluded Unity State) in 2015 due to insecurity or inaccessibility. In total, this study included 75 of 81 counties/subdivisions in 2011 and 71 of 84 counties/subdivisions in 2015 (online supplementary table S1).

We selected villages and households using a standard two-stage sampling procedure. First, we selected 19 interview locations (usually 19 villages) within each county/subdivision of each state using probability proportional to size sampling²³ (2011: n=75 counties×19 villages=1425; 2015: n=71 counties×19 villages=1349, online supplementary table S1). We then used segmentation sampling to randomly select households within these villages using a hand-drawn map.^{28 29}

Within households, we used a 'parallel sampling' process^{26 30} to sample eight different client populations, to ensure a sufficient sample size for assessing each one. Six client populations were mothers of children: (1) <12 months, (2) 12–23 months, (3) 0–59 months, (4) 0–59 months with fever in the last 2weeks, (5) 0–59 months with diarrhoea in the last 2weeks, and (6) 0–59 months with suspected pneumonia in the last 2weeks; two others were women and men aged 15–49 years. As each population had its own independent sample, the total sample collected in 2011 was 11 800 (1475×8 client populations), and 10 792 (1349×8 client populations) in 2015.

On arrival at the first randomly selected household, the next closest house was selected to reduce the chance of any house not appearing on the segmentation map having a zero probability for selection. The interviewer listed all household members who met the criteria for any of the eight client populations and selected one person randomly for interview. After the interview, the interviewer moved to the next nearest household; the same process continued until one person of each client population was interviewed in each sample location (see ref 23 for more details). Client populations 4–6 could also be selected in the same house as other client populations due to their low prevalence.

Data collection was supervised by national and state supervisors and some of the authors who were in daily mobile telephone communication with the data collectors whom they had trained. Questionnaires were reviewed daily for missing information by supervisors, and corrected by the corresponding data collector when needed. Data were collected in the dry season using vehicles and boats when needed.

Study instruments and indicators

Jointly with multiple national stakeholders, we developed separate structured questionnaires for each client population, based on internationally recognised and standardised indicators 31-34 (table 1). For use in the northern

states, questionnaires were translated from English into Arabic and back-translated for verification. In other locations, key terms in the questionnaires were translated into major local languages and back-translated into English to ensure consistency with the language used. Questionnaires were refined for the local context during pretesting.

Data collection and analysis

Immediately before data collection, we held training workshops at subregional venues throughout South Sudan for 237 persons in 2011 and 162 persons in 2015, most of whom were county health department staff selected by their respective state MOH. In 2015, as selected health department staff in counties in Upper Nile (UN) and Jonglei, under rebel control, could not travel to training venues due to insecurity, we trained staff of partner NGOs as replacements. All data were collected in the non-rainy season (2011: April and May; 2015: February and March). In 2015, data collection was delayed due to insecurity in UN, Jonglei and GPAA until April to June.

Questionnaire data were entered in CSPro V.4.0.004 in Juba using double data entry for a portion of the data in 2011 and for all data in 2015. We analysed data using Excel V.2013, Stata V.14 and R V.3.2.3. For each indicator, we computed national and state-level coverage proportions and 95% CIs, weighted by county population sizes. We used 'Curtailed Sampling' to adjust for missing data in the 2011 data set, as explained previously. In 2015, missing data were negligible (table 2). As we did not survey Unity State in 2015, we excluded it from the 2011 data set for this comparative national-level analysis. We used a two-sample, two-sided Z-test of proportions to test for change between 2011 and 2015.

Patient and public involvement

This study does not involve patients. Also, the public were not involved in the design, conduct and reporting of the research. The public were engaged as interviewees. To ensure local engagement all data capture was carried out jointly with the national and state MOHs of the RSS. We also shared the results with them and offered further dissemination of results, and engaged them for data use and action planning activities.

RESULTS

Participants and response rate

Data collectors identified eligible respondents in 93.1% of visited households in 2011 (response rate: 96.2%), and 93.3% in 2015 (response rate: 96.4%). Among the eight client population groups in all nine states included in this study, we completed a total of 9710 interviews in 2011 and 10 784 interviews in 2015 (table 2).

The mean age of participants was similar for the eight client populations in both surveys (range: 27–33 years) (table 2). Most mothers (86%–91%) and women and men aged 15–49 years (65%–77%) were married; the educational status for men and women, defined as



Table 1 Indicat	ors used durin	a the household	d survevs in Sc	outh Sudan.	2011 and 2015
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----------------	-------------	---------------

Indicator		Definition	Client population* (for denominators)	Indicator source†
Maternal and	l neonatal care			
1	ANC 4+	% women who received antenatal care by any health personnel ≥4 times during last pregnancy	MoC <12 months	MDG 5.5, CD2015
2	Malaria prophylaxis	% women who received ≥2 IPTs for malaria during pregnancy	MoC <12 months	CD2015
3	Tetanus prophylaxis	% mothers who received ≥2 tetanus toxoid injections before the birth of their youngest child or had lifetime immunity (card confirmed)	MoC <12 months	Related to CD2015
4	Institutional delivery¶	% women who delivered in a health facility during last pregnancy	MoC <12 months	UHC
5	PNC for mother	% women with ≥1 postnatal care visit within 6 weeks post partum with any health personnel during last pregnancy	MoC <12 months	Related to CD2015
Child immun	isation			
6	Measles vaccination	% children who received ≥ 1 dose of measles vaccine	MoC 12-23 months	MDG 4.3, CD2015, UHC
7	DPT3 vaccination	% children who received three DPT vaccinations	MoC 12–23 months	CD2015, UHC
8	All basic vaccines	% children who received all basic vaccines (1 BCG, 4 OPV, 3 DPT, 1 measles)	MoC 12-23 months	UHC
Childcare				
9	Vitamin A supplementation	% children aged 6 months to 5 years who received vitamin A supplementation in the past 6 months	MoC 0-59 months	Related to CD2015
10	Malaria treatment	% children with fever who received appropriate antimalarial drugs (ACT)	MoC 0–59 months with fever in the past 2 weeks	MDG 6.8, CD2015, UHC
11	Diarrhoea treatment	% children treated with ORS	MoC 0–59 months with diarrhoea in the past 2 weeks	CD2015
12	Pneumonia care seeking	% children with suspected pneumonia taken to an appropriate health provider	MoC 0–59 months with fast, difficult breathing in the past 2 weeks	CD2015, UHC
HIV testing				
13a/13b	HIV testing, women/ men	% women/men tested for HIV in the last 12 months and received their results	Women/men 15– 49 years	GARPR
HIV-related k	knowledge			
14a/14b	MTCT knowledge, women/men	% women/men who know 2+ ways in which HIV is transmitted from an infected mother to her child	Women/men 15– 49 years	
15a/15b	Prevention knowledge‡, women/men	% women/men who correctly identify using condoms and being faithful as ways of preventing sexual HIV transmission	Women/men 15– 49 years	HIV SID 4.1
16a/16b	Misconception knowledge 1‡ (mosquito), women/ men	% women/men who correctly reject the misconception that HIV can be transmitted by mosquito bites	Women/men 15– 49 years	HIV SID 4.2.2
				Continue

Continued

Related to MDG 6.2



21

22a/22b

Table 1 Con	ntinued			
Indicator		Definition	Client population* (for denominators)	Indicator source†
17a/17b	Misconception knowledge 2‡ (food), women/men	% women/men who correctly reject the misconception that HIV can be transmitted by sharing food with an infected person	Women/men 15– 49 years	HIV SID 4.2.6
18a/18b	Misconception knowledge 3‡ (witchcraft), women/ men	% women/men who correctly reject the misconception that HIV can be transmitted by witchcraft	Women/men 15– 49 years	HIV SID 4.2.3
STI-related kr	nowledge			
19a	STI knowledge, women	% women who know at least two signs/ symptoms of STIs in women	Women 15-49 years	Related to BSS
19b	STI knowledge, men	% men who know at least two signs/ symptoms of STIs in men	Men 15-49 years	Related to BSS
Contraception	and HIV prevention			
20	Contraception among non-pregnant women	% women of reproductive age, currently not pregnant†, using at least one modern contraception method	Women 15-49 years	Related to UHC

*MoC <12 months=mothers of children aged less than 12 months; MoC 12–23 months=mothers of children aged 12–23 months; MoC 0–59 months=mothers of children aged 0–59 months.

% married women of reproductive age, using Women 15-49 years

†For 2015, we computed this indicator with all women (pregnant and non-pregnant) in the denominator, per the UHC indicators and also MDG indicators, although the latter do not restrict contraception to modern methods, but include traditional methods.

‡We did not combine prevention and misconception knowledge indicators because national stakeholders predicted knowledge to be very low, which would have resulted in extremely low estimates for composite indicators.

§Modern methods of contraception include female and male sterilisation, oral hormonal pills, intrauterine devices, male and female condoms, injectables, implants (including Norplant), vaginal barrier methods and spermicides.

at least one modern contraception method

% women/men who reported use of a

condom the last time they had sex with a

non-marital or non-cohabiting partner in the

¶Institutional delivery means delivery in a hospital, or primary healthcare clinic (PHCC).

past 12 months

ACT, artemisinin-based combination therapy; ANC, antenatal care; BSS, Behavioral Surveillance Survey; CD2015, Countdown to 2015 for maternal, newborn and child survival; DPT, diphtheria/pertussis/tetanus; GARPR, Global AIDS Response Progress Reporting indicators (before 2012 known as UNGASS indicators); HIV SID, HIV/AIDS Survey Indicator Database; IPT, intermittent preventive treatment; MDG, Millennium Development Goal; MTCT, mother-to-child transmission of HIV; OPV, Oral Polio Vaccine; ORS, oral rehydration salts; PNC, postnatal care; STI, sexually transmitted infection; UHC, universal health coverage; UNGASS, United Nations General Assembly Special Session.

having received any formal schooling, was very low especially among women (2011: 18%–27%, 2015: 26%–32%). Literacy, assessed in 2015 only, was very low with only 11.7% of women aged 15–49 years and 33.0% of men aged 15–49 years able to read a short sentence. Literacy varied substantially by state (range: 3.4% in Northern Bahr el Ghazal (NBeG) to 27.1% in Central Equatoria for women aged 15–49 years, online supplementary table S2).

National-level MNCRH indicators

(modern)§

Contraception

Condom use

women/men

among all married

women (modern)§

Between 2011 and 2015, of the 27 national healthcare indicators measured, 22 improved (81.5%), 4 remained similar and 1 indicator declined (table 3). For national estimates for antenatal care (ANC) and postnatal care (PNC) indicators remained unchanged at low levels in

2015, with less than one-quarter of women attending \geq 4 ANC (ANC4+, 22.4%, 95% CI ±2.5) or receiving \geq 1 PNC visit (22.8%, 95% CI ±2.5). The proportion of mothers having institutional deliveries, however, increased by 10.5% (p<0.001), but overall remained low with only 27.0% (95% CI ±2.5) of mothers delivering at a health facility in 2015. Malaria prophylaxis in pregnancy increased by 8.6% (p<0.001) to 33.1% (95% CI ±2.9%).

Women/men 15-

49 years

Three of four childcare indicators showed significant improvement, with the highest increase being among children with malaria treated with a first-line antimalarial (15.8% increase, p<0.001) and diarrhoea treatment (17.7% increase, p<0.001) (table 3). Child immunisation coverage improved significantly by 2015 (p<0.001) but remained low with about half of the children obtaining

Table 2 Study population characteristics (unweighted), South Sudan household survey, 2011 and 2015	stics (unwe	ighted), Sour	th Sudan hou	sehold survey,	2011 and 2015					
	2011 survey*	/ey*				2015 survey	/ey			
Client population	Sample size, n†	Mean age, years (SD)	Married, n (%)	Received any formal schooling, n (%)	Respondent's child's mean age, months (SD)	Sample size, n‡	Mean age (SD)	Married, n (%)	Received any formal schooling, n (%)	Respondent's child's mean age, months (SD)
Mothers of children aged <12 months	1199	28.2 (6.6)	1094 (91.7)	287 (23.9)	6.1 (3.3)	1348	27.1 (6.7)	1179 (87.5)	414 (30.7)	6.1 (3.1)
Mothers of children aged 12-23 months 1064	1064	28.5 (7.7)	957 (89.9)	234 (22.0)	16.8 (3.8)	1348	28.3 (6.9)	1182 (87.7)	377 (28.0)	16.9 (3.7)
Mothers of children aged 0-59 months	1237	29.9 (7.0)	1123 (90.8)	274 (21.9)	27.0 (15.1)	1348	29.1 (7.4)	1160 (86.1)	350 (26.0)	29.0 (15.9)
Mothers of children age 0–59 months with fever in the past 2 weeks	1249	29.3 (6.8)	1118 (90.8)	230 (18.4)	25.6 (16.1)	1348	28.8 (7.2)	1153 (85.5)	351 (26.0)	23.6 (15.7)
Mothers of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the past 2 weeks	1235	29.6 (6.9)	1122 (90.6)	261 (20.8)	24.1 (15.4)	1348	28.4 (7.3)	1177 (87.3)	363 (26.9)	23.3 (15.7)
Mothers of children aged 0–59 months with fast, difficult breathing in the past 2 weeks	1235	29.5 (7.4)	1112 (90.4)	260 (20.8)	25.0 (16.0)	1348	28.4 (6.8)	1170 (86.8)	370 (27.4)	22.0 (14.7)
Women 15-49 years	1246	28.3 (8.4)	838 (67.5)	330 (26.5)	NA	1348	28.4 (8.1)	1038 (77.0)	433 (32.1)	NA
Men 15-49 years	1245	32.1 (8.8)	799 (64.8)	607 (48.8)	NA	1348	32.9 (9.0)	1041 (77.2)	695 (51.6)	NA

*The 2011 survey included Unity State, which we exclude here to compare the 2011 and 2015 results.

†The 2011 sample size should have been 66 counties×19 villages=1254 for all eight client populations (see online supplementary table S1), but was reduced for all of the client populations due to missing data.

‡The 2015 sample size should have been 71 counties×19 villages=1349 interviews per client population, but was actually 1348, as the records of one village were missing.

NA, not applicable; SD, Standard Deviation.



 Table 3
 Progress in health service coverage (2011–2015) and national coverage proportions in 2015

Indicator	2015 vs 2011 difference in weighted coverage proportions (%) (95% CI (%))*	P value*	Weighted national coverage proportions (%), 2015 (95% CI (%))	HSDP† coverage target (%) for 2015
Maternal and neonatal care				
ANC 4+	1.6 (±3.4)	0.358	22.4 (±2.5)	40
Malaria prophylaxis	8.6 (±3.9)	<0.001	33.1 (±2.9)	40
Tetanus prophylaxis	3.1 (±3.1)	0.047	13.7 (±2.3)	80
Institutional delivery	10.5 (±3.4)	<0.001	27.0 (±2.6)	25
PNC for mother (any provider)	1.7 (±3.6)	0.359	22.8 (±2.6)	
Child immunisation				
Measles vaccination	11.2 (±4.2)	<0.001	49.7 (±2.8)	
DPT3 vaccination	13.1 (±3.6)	<0.001	34.7 (±2.6)	85
All basic vaccines	11.3 (±3.0)	< 0.001	20.8 (±2.3)	50†
Childcare				
Vitamin A supplementation	-26.4 (±3.2)	< 0.001	4.8 (±1.6)	80
Malaria treatment (ACT)	15.8 (±3.6)	< 0.001	31.3 (±2.8)	
Diarrhoea treatment (ORS)	17.7 (±4.1)	< 0.001	51.4 (±2.9)	80
Pneumonia care seeking	5.9 (±4.0)	0.004	66.1 (±2.8)	
HIV testing				
HIV testing, women	7.3 (±2.8)	< 0.001	17.0 (±2.2)	NA
HIV testing, men	8.2 (±2.9)	<0.001	18.6 (±2.3)	NA
HIV-related knowledge				
MTCT knowledge, women	6.0 (±2.9)	< 0.001	17.3 (±2.4)	NA
MTCT knowledge, men	6.6 (±3.4)	<0.001	23.1 (±2.7)	NA
HIV prevention knowledge, women	0.5 (±1.9)	0.641	8.9 (±1.6)	NA
HIV prevention knowledge, men	1.9 (±3.0)	0.218	17.6 (±2.3)	NA
HIV misconception knowledge 1 (mosquito), women	7.0 (±4.0)	0.001	38.5 (±2.9)	NA
HIV misconception knowledge 1 (mosquito), men	6.9 (±4.0)	0.001	48.8 (±2.8)	NA
HIV misconception knowledge 2 (food), women	8.8 (±3.6)	< 0.001	55.1 (±2.6)	NA
HIV misconception knowledge 2 (food), men	7.1 (±3.7)	<0.001	64.0 (±2.6)	NA
HIV misconception knowledge 3 (witchcraft), women	12.1 (±3.9)	< 0.001	60.2 (±2.6)	NA
HIV misconception knowledge 3 (witchcraft), men	6.8 (±3.7)	<0.001	68.1 (±2.5)	NA
STI-related knowledge				
STI knowledge, women	9.4 (±3.8)	<0.001	48.6 (±2.8)	NA
STI knowledge, men	12.0 (±4.0)	<0.001	58.1 (±2.9)	NA
HIV prevention and contraception				
Contraception (non-pregnant)	0.6 (±2.6)	0.653	7.1 (±1.9)	NA
Contraception (all married)	nc		4.9 (±1.6)	20
Condom use, women	nc		18.0 (±9.1)	NA
Condom use, men	nc		38.2 (±6.7)	NA

^{*}Results of two-sample, two-sided Z-test of proportions to test for significant change between 2011 and 2015.

[†]The HSDP target refers to card-confirmed vaccinations, while our indicators include mothers' oral report of vaccinations.

ACT, artemisinin-based combination therapy; ANC, antenatal care; CI, Confidence Interval; DPT, diphtheria/pertussis/tetanus; HSDP, Health Sector Development Plan for the Republic of South Sudan; MTCT, mother-to-child transmission; NA, not applicable; nc, not computed comparative results, as explained above; ORS, oral rehydration salts; PNC, postnatal care; STI, sexually transmitted infection.



a measles vaccination (49.7%, 95% CI ± 2.8), and about one-fifth receiving all basic vaccinations (20.8%, 95% CI ± 2.3). Nevertheless, the proportion of children with first-line malaria and diarrhoea treatment remained low at 31.3% (95% CI ± 2.8) and 51.4% (95% CI ± 2.9), respectively. The only indicator displaying a decreased coverage was child vitamin A supplementation (26.4% decrease, p<0.001), which fell to a very low 4.8% (95% CI ± 1.6) in 2015.

Estimates for most HIV/AIDS and sexually transmitted infection (STI) indicators improved only slightly, although significantly. HIV testing coverage among women aged 15–49 years increased by 7.3% (p<0.001) reaching 17.0% $(95\% \text{ CI} \pm 2.2)$ coverage by 2015 (table 3). The only HIVrelated indicator that did not increase was the proportion of women and men who knew how to prevent sexual HIV transmission through condom use and staying faithful to one partner; it remained low (8.9% and 17.6%). Similarly, the modern contraceptive prevalence rate among nonpregnant women aged 15-49 years remained unchanged at a low 7.1% (95% CI ± 1.9). We were unable to compute differences in the condom use indicator during high-risk sexual contacts, because of a small number of people who responded positively in 2011 and 2015 to the question for the denominator (having had extramarital sex), and a relatively large number of missing values in 2011. Nevertheless, the 2015 national coverage estimates were very low.

Although 81.5% of the indicators improved, their values remained low with a median value of 31.3% (SD=19.7) (table 3).

State-level MNCRH indicators

Coverage in the nine states varied considerably for the 27 indicators. On average the state coverages varied by 11.1% for each indicator (table 4).

Central Equatoria State (CES) displayed the highest coverage rates for almost all MNCRH indicators; the performance of the other states and their improvement rates varied considerably across the different indicators (table 4, online supplementary figures S1–S28). Four of the nine states significantly increased their rate for institutional delivery with the highest (26.0% increase, p<0.001) being CES. Overall, coverage with MNCRH services remained low for most states, ranging from 13.0% (95% CI ±5.7) in UN to 55.1% (95% CI ±9.4) in CES for institutional delivery, from 6.7% (95% CI ±4.1) in UN to 32.4% (95% CI ± 10.0) in CES for mothers of infants having received at least two tetanus toxoid vaccinations during pregnancy, from 7.6% (95% CI ±4.4) in Warrap to 48.6% (95% CI ±9.4) in CES for completed basic vaccinations for children 12-23 months of age and 21.7% (95% CI ±5.8) in Jonglei/GPAA to 53.4% (95% CI ±10.2) in CES for treatment of children <5 years with malaria with an appropriate antimalarial. The highest coverage was found in CES for seeking healthcare for a child aged 0-59 months with suspected pneumonia $(82.2\%, 95\% \text{ CI } \pm 7.8).$

table 4. For most states, coverage proportions for HIV and STI-related indicators either did not change significantly or increased, with one of the biggest increases (22.9%, p<0.001) noted for the HIV testing indicator among men in WES reaching 50.5% by 2015. WES performed best for most HIV and STI-related and contraception indicators, except for the indicators on HIV-related misconceptions. For example, the proportion of women who correctly rejected the misconception that HIV can be transmitted by witchcraft decreased by 31.1% (p<0.001) to 42.5% in WES. Conversely, in CES this indicator increased by 24.4% (p<0.001) to 86.0% (data on the state-level statistical tests not shown).

The modern contraception prevalence rate among non-pregnant women remained low level at $\leq 6\%$ in seven states; however, in WES and CES it increased to 26.4% (95% CI ± 8.4) and 16.7% (95% CI ± 9.9), respectively (table 4).

DISCUSSION

National-level performance

Despite severe economic and political-military crises, RSS displayed increases in health service coverage for 22 of 27 indicators since its independence in 2011. The increase in vaccination, and malaria prophylaxis and treatment coverage has also been found in other low and middle-income countries.^{3 36} The increased rate of females having an HIV test is consistent with the increase noted in facility data among pregnant women.³⁷ This is a welcomed result since RSS has a generalised HIV epidemic (adult HIV prevalence: 2.7%, 2014 estimate) and high levels of STIs.³⁸ Knowledge of one's HIV status is a prerequisite for accessing antiretroviral therapy (ART). While ART targets for 2015 have been met globally, ³⁹ RSS has only recently begun scaling up ART. In 2013, RSS had the second highest death rate among people living with HIV in 30 countries. 40 Since 2013, the number of people living with HIV/AIDS on ART in RSS has increased by 260% from 7755 to 28 086.41

The increases we detected among the 22 indicators took place while both local and international efforts had been focusing on health system strengthening (HSS). Following independence, and in line with new international agreements, the MOH implemented a policy of unifying the highly fragmented health system under its control, which after decades of war and humanitarian assistance had been managed mainly by NGOs (≥76 NGOs and six United Nations agencies).³⁷ While NGOs still maintained an essential role in the health system, donors mainly focused on strengthening the entire health system, including the central level.

The HSS support to RSS included improving MOH's capacity in human resources for health (HRH), health financing, governance and information systems, ⁴² and strengthening service delivery and informatics. The World Bank's funding for the Umbrella Program for Health System Development (2009–2012) financed the

Table 4 Weighted state proportions for MNCRH, HIV and STI indicators, South Sudan household survey, 2015	portions for MN	ICRH, HIV and S	TI indicators, S	south Sudan hou	sehold survey, 2	2015			
	Weighted sta	Neighted state coverage proportions (%) and in parenthesis 95% CI (%)	ortions (%) an	d in parenthesis	95% CI (%)				
Indicator*	N	Jonglei/GPAA Warrap	Warrap	NBeG	WBeG	Lakes	WES	CES	EES
Maternal and neonatal care									
ANC 4+	21.4 (±7.2)	$11.0 (\pm 3.6)$	9.9 (±5.1)	27.6 (±10.7) 37.7 (±9.3)	37.7 (±9.3)	18.6 (±6.6)	30.7 (±7.7)	40.0 (±9.7)	19.4 (±6.2)
Malaria prophylaxis	36.0 (±8.9)	29.8 (±6.3)	24.3 (±6.4)	43.1 (±12.3)	36.4 (±10.9)	33.7 (±8.2)	36.7 (±8.2)	42.4 (±10.1)	22.3 (±6.4)
Tetanus prophylaxis	6 7 (+4 1)	88 (+4 1)	80 (+53)	120(+83) 176(+92)	17 6 (+9 2)	8 9 (+4 9)	166 (+68)	32 4 (+10 0)	97 (+50)

	Weighted star	te coverage pro	portions (%) and	Weighted state coverage proportions (%) and in parenthesis 95% CI (%	95% CI (%)				
Indicator*	N	Jonglei/GPAA	V Warrap	NBeG	WBeG	Lakes	WES	CES	EES
Maternal and neonatal care									
ANC 4+	21.4 (±7.2)	11.0 (±3.6)	9.9 (±5.1)	27.6 (±10.7)	37.7 (±9.3)	18.6 (±6.6)	30.7 (±7.7)	40.0 (±9.7)	19.4 (±6.2)
Malaria prophylaxis	36.0 (±8.9)	29.8 (±6.3)	24.3 (±6.4)	43.1 (±12.3)	36.4 (±10.9)	33.7 (±8.2)	36.7 (±8.2)	42.4 (±10.1)	22.3 (±6.4)
Tetanus prophylaxis	6.7 (±4.1)	8.8 (±4.1)	8.0 (±5.3)	12.0 (±8.3)	17.6 (±9.2)	8.9 (±4.9)	16.6 (±6.8)	32.4 (±10.0)	9.7 (±5.0)
Institutional delivery	13.0 (±5.7)	14.9 (±4.9)	14.1 (±6.0)	25.3 (±11.0)	42.6 (±10.9)	26.5 (±7.5)	37.6 (±8.0)	55.1 (±9.4)	21.7 (±6.2)
PNC for mother	20.0 (±7.2)	11.8 (±4.3)	25.1 (±7.7)	36.2 (±11.7)	6.2 (±2.9)	10.8 (±5.5)	37.8 (±7.5)	27.9 (±8.7)	26.9 (±6.9)
Child immunisation									
Measles vaccination	$46.7 (\pm 9.0)$	56.5 (±6.4)	27.7 (±8.3)	26.6 (±9.3)	48.5 (±8.8)	49.4 (±8.5)	55.4 (±7.7)	73.1 (±8.7)	51.7 (±7.8)
DPT3 vaccination	25.5 (±8.0)	23.1 (±5.8)	21.0 (±7.4)	19.3 (±8.1)	51.1 (±10.0)	23.1 (±6.9)	42.8 (±8.0)	70.1 (±8.3)	39.0 (±6.8)
All basic vaccines	18.8 (±7.4)	9.6 (±4.3)	7.6 (±4.4)	11.3 (±5.9)	41.1 (±9.0)	16.4 (±6.3)	19.0 (±7.0)	48.6 (±9.4)	23.9 (±6.4)
Childcare									
Vitamin A supplementation	$5.0 (\pm 4.6)$	4.6 (±4.1)	0.9 (±1.1)	2.7 (±2.6)	9.7 (±10.1)	3.2 (±3.2)	12.9 (±8.0)	3.5 (±5.1)	8.4 (±6.6)
Malaria treatment	30.9 (±8.5)	21.7 (±5.8)	24.8 (±6.9)	38.4 (±11.7)	24.1 (±8.9)	25.8 (±7.4)	27.2 (±7.0)	53.4 (±10.2)	29.1 (±7.2)
Diarrhoea treatment	50.9 (±8.2)	55.6 (±6.2)	38.9 (±8.1)	64.6 (±10.7)	36.3 (±10.1)	49.7 (±8.1)	56.6 (±7.4)	$60.5 (\pm 10.3)$	40.8 (±7.7)
Pneumonia care seeking	57.2 (±8.1)	64.9 (±6.1)	54.4 (±8.7)	59.7 (±12.4)	52.0 (±10.8)	72.6 (±7.1)	81.6 (±6.1)	82.2 (±7.8)	61.1 (±7.7)
HIV testing									
HIV testing, women	4.9 (±3.5)	10.4 (±4.3)	2.8 (±2.9)	3.7 (±5.6)	33.2 (±7.8)	6.9 (±4.3)	52.2 (±7.6)	29.1 (±9.3)	21.7 (±6.6)
HIV testing, men	$9.6 (\pm 5.5)$	11.6 (±4.5)	4.6 (±3.8)	8.1 (±5.5)	18.6 (±8.6)	12.2 (±5.7)	50.5 (±7.8)	34.3 (±9.8)	20.0 (±6.4)
HIV-related knowledge									
MTCT knowledge, women	20.4 (±7.2)	15.0 (±5.0)	16.4 (±6.9)	20.0 (±9.8)	$6.3 (\pm 6.5)$	18.1 (±6.5)	29.7 (±7.0)	17.9 (±7.6)	$11.5 (\pm 5.2)$
MTCT knowledge, men	31.4 (±7.2)	21.6 (±5.7)	24.1 (±8.2)	19.9 (±10.4)	18.4 (±10.0)	23.1 (±7.2)	30.2 (±7.1)	21.5 (±8.5)	20.8 (±6.4)
Prevention knowledge, women	13.6 (±6.8)	10.5 (±4.5)	0.3 (±0.6)	4.5 (±6.1)	3.8 (±4.0)	2.1 (±2.5)	29.8 (±6.9)	11.3 (±4.3)	6.6 (±4.1)
Prevention knowledge, men	23.0 (±7.6)	18.1 (±5.4)	7.9 (±5.6)	12.1 (±8.8)	11.1 (±7.5)	18.0 (±6.9)	39.2 (±7.4)	23.2 (±7.2)	8.6 (±4.2)
Misconception knowledge 1 (mosquito), women	44.3 (±8.4)	31.7 (±6.4)	25.8 (±8.3)	47.7 (±11.9)	35.4 (±7.4)	21.3 (±7.2)	39.3 (±7.9)	60.1 (±9.7)	39.0 (±7.4)
Misconception knowledge 1 (mosquito), men	60.0 (±7.5)	41.0 (±6.7)	37.8 (±9.3)	40.8 (±12.0)	43.7 (±7.2)	34.0 (±8.4)	43.4 (±8.3)	82.2 (±6.1)	48.2 (±7.4)
Misconception knowledge 2 (food), women	45.0 (±8.5)	40.7 (±6.4)	25.1 (±8.0)	55.6 (±11.4)	70.7 (±9.0)	49.1 (±8.6)	74.9 (±6.8)	84.4 (±5.6)	64.1 (±6.4)
Misconception knowledge 2 (food), men	58.1 (±8.3)	51.5 (±6.7)	51.2 (±8.6)	58.1 (±11.8)	65.4 (±9.5)	57.2 (±8.4)	81.5 (±5.9)	91.3 (±4.7)	63.8 (±6.5)
Misconception knowledge 3 (witchcraft), women	47.0 (±8.7)	51.3 (±6.1)	50.4 (±8.8)	73.1 (±9.5)	73.2 (±10.0)	54.9 (±8.7)	42.5 (±5.8)	86.0 (±6.6)	62.0 (±6.6)



Table 4 Continued									
	Weighted stat	Weighted state coverage proportions (%) and in parenthesis 95% CI (%)	ortions (%) and	in parenthesis	95% CI (%)				
Indicator*	NN	Jonglei/GPAA	Warrap	NBeG	WBeG	Lakes	WES	CES	EES
Misconception knowledge 3 (witchcraft), men	63.0 (±7.4)	56.2 (±6.2)	67.9 (±8.6)	84.9 (±7.9)	81.3 (±9.3)	62.8 (±8.2)	49.6 (±6.1)	87.6 (±6.5)	64.1 (±6.6)
STI-related knowledge									
STI knowledge, women	31.7 (±8.0)	45.4 (±5.4)	45.7 (±7.7)	49.4 (±10.9)	38.4 (±11.2)	45.2 (±8.2)	58.8 (±7.5)	(4.9.5)	40.7 (±6.8)
STI knowledge, men	37.8 (±8.3)	$60.4 (\pm 6.5)$	63.3 (±7.5)	$62.9 (\pm 9.4)$	46.8 (±11.9)	53.3 (±7.7)	69.2 (±7.5)	$(65.9 (\pm 9.9)$	48.5 (±8.0)
HIV prevention and contraception	ption								
Contraception among non- pregnant women	4.3 (±3.5)	0.4 (±0.6)	1.8 (±2.8)	5.5 (±6.0)	3.0 (±5.0)	3.3 (±3.9)	26.4 (±8.4)	16.7 (±9.9)	6.0 (±4.5)
Contraception among all married women	2.5 (±2.5)	0.4 (±0.5)	1.6 (±2.4)	5.0 (±5.5)	2.3 (±4.5)	2.7 (±3.1)	16.3 (±7.1)	12.4 (±7.9)	4.4 (±3.8)

responding affirmatively to the related questions for the construction of the denominator (having engaged in extramarital sex) and numerator (having used a condom at last extramarital We do not report state-level results for the condom use indicators, because sample sizes for this indicator were too small due to a combination of the low number of persons sex) and missing values.

For indicator definitions see table 1.

Mother to Child Transmission; NBeG, Northern Bahr el Ghazal; ORS, oral rehydration salts; PNC, postnatalcare; prev, prevention; STI, Sexually Transmitted Infection; UN, Upper Nile; w, Administrative Area; int. ind, international indicator (including both married and unmarried women, excluding pregnant women); Jong, Jonglei; know, knowledge; m, men; MTCT, ACT, Artemisinin-based combination therapy; ANC, antenatal care; CES, Central Equatoria; DPT, diphtheria/pertussis/ tetanus; EES, Eastern Equatoria; GPAA, Greater Pibor women; Warr, Warrap; WBeG, Western Bahr el Ghazal; WES, Western Equatoria.



improvement in delivery of the Basic Package of Health Services in four states including immunisation coverage, skilled birth attendance, use of insecticide-treated bednets, antenatal coverage, vitamin A supplementation, and tuberculosis case detection, 43 and galvanised stakeholders around common health service coverage goals and prioritised funding for these areas. It also intended to strengthen key MOH stewardship functions such as establishment of a management team to coordinate and monitor service delivery, organising monthly coordination meetings among the United Nations and bilateral agencies, NGOs, The World Bank and other development partners. It financed additional staffing, renovation, and equipped county-level health departments. It supported review and updating of the national health sector policy, and development of a 5-year Health Sector Development Plan (HSDP). It also introduced nationally, structured supervision of health facilities using supervisory checklists, developed health management information system (HMIS) tools and provided training on their use, and financed training of MOH staff on health management, information communication technology, and monitoring and evaluation. Further support came during 2011-2017 through the Health Rapid Results Project. 44-46 Further progress concerned health financing where health worker's salaries were harmonised and payrolls were screened to remove so-called 'ghost workers' who received salaries without working in the system. Although leadership and governance reportedly improved at the county level, trust between the government and international community had broken down due to political and ethnic tensions with open discrimination observed in the health sector. 42 47

The human resource shortage in RSS continues to be dire with recent data showing the health system having <2 doctors and <20 nurses/midwives per 100 000 popula-</p> tion compared with WHO's recommended 230 doctors, nurses/midwives per 100000 population. 48 49 The MOH has attempted to strengthen HRH since 2012, by introducing task shifting, and prioritising front-line health workers for skills development. 50 51 The MOH has also tried to increase public health workers' salaries through an 'Infection Allowance' to be paid if county health departments implemented the Human Resources Information System.⁵² Despite these efforts, the shortage of skilled health workers is still one of the biggest health sector challenges in RSS. 42 The MOH also introduced a routine HMIS,42 53 and periodic LQAS household and health facility assessments to improve state and national health system management, to track health service delivery progress and to inform policy and action.⁴² These efforts were supported by The World Bank and bilateral funding; improvements would not have been feasible without their partnership.

The development assistance for RSS before 2015, and health system improvements may have contributed to the positive trends we observed. For example, the increased use of institutional delivery, also reported by a qualitative study, ⁵⁴ is associated with a 62% increase in

the number of health facilities newly constructed from 1080 in 2011 to 1747 in 2016. 22 55 In 2011/2012, international donors agreed with the MOH on a new harmonised donor funding mechanism with three main donor programmes (The World Bank, US Agency for International Development (USAID) and Department for International Development (DFID)) supporting HSS and health service delivery in their assigned states. In addition to The World Bank's Umbrella Program for Health System Strengthening, five donors (Australia, Canada, the European Union, Sweden and the UK) provided funding through the Health Pooled Fund (HPF). HPF, which was managed by DFID, worked in six states on strengthening maternal, newborn and children health services during phase I (2012–2016). 56 57 USAID had a similar programme targeting two states.⁴⁷ These three donor programmes, with specific lead implementing partners assigned to each county, were commissioned to support the transition from an NGO-led to a government-led health service. Together they were to develop a county-based healthcare model. However, progress has been hampered by continued economic and politicalmilitary shocks. USAID and the two states they support joined HPF for phase II (2016-2018). HPF continues to support South Sudan through its phase III programme $(2018-2023)^{57}$

Performance remained very low overall, much lower than global and regional averages and failed to meet HSDP targets with only one indicator (institutional delivery: 27%) achieving the 2015 HSDP target of 25%, a rate well below the 44% average reported for least developed countries. ⁵⁰ ⁵⁸ ⁵⁹ The stagnation in ANC but improvements in measles vaccination coverage were corroborated by health facility assessments in RSS.^{22 60} Nevertheless, RSS coverage is much lower than global and regional averages⁶ and failed to meet HSDP targets.⁵⁰ ANC4+ was consequently stagnant as well remaining unchanged from 2010¹⁸; this deficiency was associated with geographic region, polygamy status, maternal literacy and knowledge of maternal danger signs. In 2014, UNICEF observed a decline in vitamin A supplementation, which rendered children more vulnerable to diarrhoea and measles especially in areas most affected by food scarcity. 61-64 Severe drug shortages linked to the termination of the Emergency Medicines Fund (EMF) in July 2015 and disruption in drug delivery, intensified the poor outcomes. ²³ 65 66 These events further indicate that the conditions and circumstances in which children and their mothers live play a role in understanding their morbidity and mortality. 19

The low levels of service coverage also reflect South Sudan's fragility which after 20 years of war had minimal infrastructure. ⁵⁰ Additional improvements are crucial given that armed conflict and environmental conditions in RSS favour outbreaks of measles, malaria and other infectious diseases. ⁶⁷ The recently launched Boma Health Initiative (BHI), ⁴³ a community-oriented health system initiative that seeks to improve access to health



promotion and disease prevention services at community level, is a step in this direction. As 68 BHI organises health workers from the local community into health teams to provide primary healthcare services and is expected to further increase the access of people to the health system. However, the success of the BHI depends on improved security of health workers and their communities, and stronger support systems for the decentralised health service delivery. It is also crucial that the MOH coordinates with international donors to ensure uninterrupted funding for medicines and health supplies, and to improve the management of drugs and supply chain logistics. The strong prevention of the service of the service of the service and supply chain logistics.

State-level performance

Progress was variable across the nine states. CES, where the capital city, Juba, is located, displayed the best performance for most indicators. While discussion of the variation of all indicators and states exceeds the scope of this paper, we present three examples below to highlight the importance of different socioeconomic, political, environmental and cultural factors for the interpretation of state-level results.

UN, in the north-east, is one of RSS's three oil-producing states and has the country's lowest poverty prevalence (26%) and highest literacy rate among 15-24year-olds (65%) in 2009. However, from 2011 to 2015 it also had the most armed conflict events in RSS⁷³ including targeted attacks on health facilities. 66 The conflict events may explain why, in contrast to national results, the institutional delivery rate did not increase in UN, and was the lowest in RSS (13%, national range: 13%–55%) in 2015. The true coverage might be even lower, because armed conflict prevented us from accessing four of UN's 13 counties, including Malakal, which had been RSS's second largest city. Large parts of the city are now destroyed or looted, including the teaching hospital, one of only four in the country. The Despite the 2015 peace agreement, UN remained in a state of emergency with increased ethnic tensions, partly due to controversial plans to divide RSS's 10 states into 28 new states.⁷⁵

The NBeG State in the north-west had RSS's highest poverty prevalence (76%) in 2009, ⁷⁶ and the lowest female literacy (≤5%) in 2015. NBeG also had relatively few armed conflict events between 2011 and 2015, 73 possibly accounting for its increased rates of institutional delivery, malaria prophylaxis during pregnancy, malaria and diarrhoea treatments, and other indicators, corroborating positive trends observed by donors in 2014.⁵⁶ However, we found that the measles and diphtheria/pertussis/ tetanus (DPT3) vaccination coverage had not increased in NBeG since 2011 and remained the lowest (measles: 27%, DPT3: 19%) in the country in 2015. Annual routine facility data from NBeG recorded a renewed positive trend after a steep decrease in vaccination rates during 2012.⁷⁷ It is possible that some health services are sensitive to contextual factors, displaying higher rates in some years and returning to lower levels at other points in time.

WES in the south-west had RSS's third lowest poverty prevalence in 2009⁷⁶ and the fewest conflict events between 2011 and 2015,⁷³ but RSS's highest HIV prevalence (6.8% in 2012). In our study, WES exhibited the highest rate for HIV testing and several other HIV and STI-related indicators, but surprisingly and conversely to the national trend deteriorated substantially for knowledge of HIV-related misconceptions, especially relating to witchcraft. Cultural beliefs in witchcraft are common among the Azande people living in WES; for many Azande the acceptance of messages about sexual HIV transmission does not necessarily contradict witchcraft lore.⁷⁸

Our results show that national results often mask subnational inequities on state or lower levels. They underscore the importance of using methods that measure subnational variation and avoid the ecological fallacy that all subnational regions perform at the national mean.⁷⁹ We have not reported county-level LQAS classification results for reasons of space and to maintain a state-level analysis. Although county-level results are a strength of the LQAS method, and allow equity-sensitive tracking of progress,²³ we chose a state-level analysis to maintain a higher level health system focus for this research. LOAS typically uses relatively small sample sizes and is administered locally mostly by public sector or NGO health workers, which enabled us to conduct a national survey under very challenging circumstances that impeded implementation of other national surveys, such as the MICS. Further, social desirability and recall bias might have led to over-reporting or under-reporting of some coverage indicators, which is a common limitation for surveys that assess self-reported behavioural data. Our results may not reflect the situation in internally displaced population camps, which can be assessed by separate LQAS studies, as shown elsewhere.80

Other organisational factors also play a role. Part of the subnational variation might be explained by the differing performance of implementing organisations and the varying types of private and public providers, which may vary for some of the indicators. However, comparing the quality of different provider types is a complex study and context dependent, and exceeds the scope of our study. Also, the political situation in South Sudan is volatile, and geographical areas of conflict can shift rapidly which may lead to changes in future subnational results. Our national-level results may also not be easily generalised to other conflict-affected countries, as the political environment and nature of civil war can be extremely complex and context dependent.

Despite these limitations, our results demonstrate progress in HSS in a country with protracted conflict. This conclusion contradicts the common notion that 'war is development in reverse'. Our findings coincide with a recent report that under-five mortality rates declined during the majority of wars fought between 1970 and 2008. While we do not measure mortality, we do measure the improved health services associated with



such declines. The public health policies made during peacetime South Sudan and international donor support created a momentum for improving infrastructure during wartime. Even though much support to RSS has been humanitarian aid, broader HSS has been evident. In Afghanistan, for example, the substantial increase in international humanitarian and development aid after the overthrow of the Taliban in 2001 allowed progress in education and MNCRH despite ongoing conflict. South Sudan may display a similar pattern.

National surveys using the decentralised approach presented here provided valuable information for county, state and central-level health system managers to identify priority health interventions needing improvement. Managers valued it at each level of the health system because the data aided them to know the condition of their own programmes, rather than only the average value of an indicator at a national or regional level. Previous research has shown that the values of an indicator can vary substantially within a region.⁷⁹ While these data are valued in the health system, the frequency with which they are collected is context specific. Although some countries are carrying out these surveys semiannually,⁸⁸ others do so annually or biennially.⁸⁹ Fragile countries experiencing conflict may have longer intervals due the higher costs and logistical challenges of carrying out a national survey in a humanitarian setting.

CONCLUSIONS

Our results documented moderate improvement in several key indicators: institutional delivery, immunisations, malaria prophylaxis during pregnancy, malaria and diarrhoea treatment, and HIV testing. They demonstrated that a health system can strengthen during a protracted conflict. However, in 2015, the baseline year for the SDGs, RSS was still far from achieving national and international targets for these and other services. Since 2015, the instability in RSS has persisted due to domestic political-military conflict. Without continued international support, health system improvement could be threatened and health service delivery would deteriorate, as seen with the widespread stock-outs of essential medicines after the termination of the EMF.⁵⁶ 65 Donors need to carefully coordinate development aid for HSS with parallel humanitarian aid so they are mutually supportive. 42 90 The collective concerted efforts of international and national stakeholders are required so that the measurable gains in South Sudan are not threatened and the world's newest and most fragile country is supported on its ambitious path towards sustainable development.

Twitter Sima Berendes @BerendesSima and Jackline Odhiambo @BerendesSima

Acknowledgements The authors gratefully acknowledge Dr Simon Gould who managed the field activities for LSTM during 2010–2015, Robert Anguyo Onzima who has been essential in training and troubleshooting for the fieldwork, Dr Carmen Camino for her continual advice and diplomacy and Dr Susan Milner for database management and analysis of the 2011 data. The authors are also grateful

to the South Sudan National Bureau of Statistics; the state Ministries of Health; the state and county health departments; the database managers and data entry clerks; and the data collection teams from each state. For the 2015 data collection effort the authors acknowledge the enormous efforts of several NGOs in the following counties: Ulang in Upper Nile State; and Akobo, Ayod, Uror, Fangak, Nyirol and Pigi in Jonglei State. The authors thank the excellent team supervisors from the state Ministries of Health and local organisations: John Mading, Gabriel Deng, John Akol, Moses Mila, Ram Bisti, John Baptist Abakar, Thereza Lindiyo, Elijah Bol Alier, Alier Akuei Paul, Chol John Wantok, Adwok Chol Awur, Zechreya Micheal, Susan Aven and Dr Kukwai Nvawello. The authors are also grateful to Adwok Chol Awur for providing census tract information for the survey, Edward Kola Oladele for supporting the data entry during 2011, Duku Ivan Logworong Dumba and Morobe Geofrey Duku Biso for assisting with data analysis and Sara Drajoru and Jacqueline Gumbo for administering and managing logistics. The authors also thank Victor Misaka, and all other members of Ministry of Health staff who provided assistance throughout this work. Last, but not least, the authors thank all the study respondents and communities.

Contributors JJV, RL: conception of the research. JJV, RL, WV, BD: acquisition of data. JJV, CJ, SB: analysis. JJV, SB, BD, JO, RL: interpretation of data. JJV, SB, JO: drafting the paper. All authors were involved in critical revision of the content, approval of the final manuscript and were accountable for all aspects of the work.

Funding This study was funded by the Ministry of Health of the government of South Sudan through the financial support of The World Bank.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval The ethical committees of the MOH for the Republic of South Sudan and the authors' home institution approved the protocol, study instruments and consent procedures. We obtained oral rather than written informed consent from all respondents, because of the high illiteracy rate.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon request. With permission from the Ministry of Health of the Republic of South Sudan, LSTM will then make an anonymised version of the two data sets available on the LSTM's Online Research Archives available at https://archive.lstmed.ac.uk/ under a creative commons licence.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Joseph James Valadez http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6575-6592 Sima Berendes http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7000-868X

REFERENCES

- 1 The World Bank. Conflict and fragility Washington DC: international development association. The World Bank, 2018.
- 2 Woodward A, Sondorp E, Witter S, et al. Health systems research in fragile and conflict-affected states: a research agenda-setting exercise. Health Res Policy Syst 2016;14:51.
- 3 Countdown to 2015. A decade of tracking progress for maternal, newborn and child survival: the 2015 report. Geneva: World Health Organizatin and UNICEF, 2015. http://www.countdown2015mnch. org/documents/2015Report/Countdown_to_2015_final_report.pdf.
- 4 Collier P. Development and conflict. Oxford: Centre for the Study of African Economies, Department of Economics, Oxford University, 2004. http://www.un.org/esa/documents/Development.and. Conflict2.pdf
- 5 Office of Economic Co-operation and Development. States of fragility 2015: meeting post 2015 Ambitions. Paris: Office of Economic Co-operation and Development, 2015. http://www.oecd. org/dac/states-of-fragility-2015-9789264227699-en.htm
- 6 United Nations. The millennium development goals report 2015. New York, United Nations, 2015.



- 7 Ministry of Health. Health sector development plan 2011-2015. In: Transforming the health system for improved services and better coverage. Juba: Ministry of Health, Government of South Sudan, 2011.
- 8 United Nations. Indicators for monitoring the Millenium Development Goals indicators - definitions, rationale, concepts and sources: Official list of MDG indicators New York. United Nations, 2012. http://mdgs.un.org/unsd/mi/wiki/MainPage.ashx2014
- 9 G7+. New deal case study: donor efforts to strengthen service delivery by the government of the Republic of South Sudan. Dili, Timor-Leste: G7+, 2016. http://www.g7plus.org/sites/default/files/ basic-page-downloads/New_Deal_Innovations_-_Case_Study_3_-_ 3.pdf
- 10 Haas S, Hatt L, Leegwater A, et al. Indicators for measuring universal health coverage: a five-country analysis. Bethesda: MD: Health Systems 20/20 project, 2012.
- 11 Wani H. Why Did the New Deal Compact in South Sudan Fail to get Signed? In: Great insights. Peacebuild. Maastricht, The Netherlands: European Centre for Development Policy Management, 2014.
- 12 The World Bank. Economic overview Washington: the World Bank Group. South Sudan, 2016. http://www.worldbank.org/en/country/ southsudan/overview2016
- 13 BBC. News Africa- South Sudan Profile: Timeline a chronology of key events London: BBC, 2016. http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/worldafrica-140192022016]
- 14 UNOCHA. Humanitarian needs overview 2017: South Sudan. New York: United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs, 2016. https://docs.unocha.org/sites/dms/SouthSudan/2017_ SouthSudan/South_Sudan_2017_Humanitarian_Needs_Overview. pdf
- World Health Organization. Humanitarian Health Action WHO Grade 3 and Grade 2 emergencies Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization (WHO, 2016. Available: https://www.who.int/emergencies/crises/en/
- 16 Fund For Peace. Fragile states index 2018 Washington: the fund for peace (FFP), 2018. Available: http://fsi.fundforpeace.org/rankings-20152019
- 17 Ministry of Health. South Sudan household survey 2010, final report. Juba, South Sudan: National Bureau of Statistics, Ministry of Health., 2013.
- 18 Mugo N, Zwi AB, Botfield JR, et al. Maternal and child health in South Sudan: priorities for the post-2015 agenda. SAGE Open 2015:1–14.
- 19 Mugo NS, Agho KE, Zwi AB, et al. Determinants of neonatal, infant and under-five mortality in a war-affected country: analysis of the 2010 household health survey in South Sudan. BMJ Glob Health 2018;3:e000510.
- 20 Mugo NS, Agho KE, Zwi AB, et al. Factors associated with different types of birth attendants for home deliveries: an analysis of the cross-sectional 2010 South Sudan household survey. Glob Health Action 2016;9:29693.
- 21 Mugo NS, Dibley MJ, Agho KE. Prevalence and risk factors for non-use of antenatal care visits: analysis of the 2010 South Sudan household survey. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2015;15:68.
- 22 Berendes S, Lako RL, Whitson D, et al. Assessing the quality of care in a new nation: South Sudan's first National health facility assessment. Trop Med Int Health 2014;19:1237–48.
- 23 Valadez JJ, Berendes S, Lako R, et al. Finding the gap: revealing local disparities in coverage of maternal, newborn and child health services in South Sudan using lot quality assurance sampling. Trop Med Int Health 2015;20:1711–21.
- 24 Robertson SE, Valadez JJ. Global review of health care surveys using lot quality assurance sampling (LQAS), 1984-2004. Soc Sci Med 2006;63:1648–60.
- 25 Valadez JJ. Assessing child survival programs in developing countries: testing lot quality assurance sampling. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1991.
- 26 Valadez JJ, Weiss W, Leburg C, et al. Assessing Community Health Programs: A Trainer's Guide. In: Using LQAS for baseline surveys and regular monitoring. second. St Albans
- 27 Valadez JJ, Weiss W, Leburg C, et al. Assessing Community Health Programs: A Participant's Manual. In: Using LQAS for baseline surveys and regular monitoring. second. St Albans
- 28 Davis RH, Valadez JJ. Improving the collection of knowledge, attitude and practice data with community surveys: a comparison of two second-stage sampling methods. *Health Policy Plan* 2014;29:1054–60.
- 29 Turner HC, Bettis AA, Chu BK, et al. Investment success in public health: an analysis of the cost-effectiveness and cost-benefit of the global programme to eliminate lymphatic filariasis. Clin Infect Dis 2017;64:728–35.

- 30 CORE Group. Lot quality assurance sampling (LQAS): protocol for parallel sampling. Washington D.C, 2008. http://www.coregroup.org/ storage/documents/Workingpapers/LQAS_Protocol_for_Parallel_ Sampling.pdf
- 31 Countdown to 2015. Countdown to 2015 decade report (2000–2010): taking stock of maternal, newborn and child survival, Annex B Definitions of Countdown indicators. Geneva: World Health Organization and UNICEF, 2010.
- 32 FHI, IMPACT. Behavioral Surveillance Surveys (BSS)- Guidelines for repeated behavioral surveys in populations at risk of HIV. Arlington, 2000. http://www.who.int/hiv/strategic/en/bss_fhi2000.pdf
- 33 UNAIDS, WHO. Global AIDS response progress reporting 2015 Geneva: joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) and World Health Organization (WHO), 2015. Available: http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/JC2702_ GARPR2015guidelines_en.pdf
- 34 USAID, UNAIDS, UNICEF. Measure DHS online tools, HIV/AIDS survey indicator database: program areas and indicators the DHS Program Project, 2015. Available: http://hivdata.measuredhs.com/ ind_tbl.cfm
- 35 Olives C, Valadez JJ, Pagano M. Estimation after classification using lot quality assurance sampling: corrections for curtailed sampling with application to evaluating polio vaccination campaigns. *Trop Med Int Health* 2014;19:321–30.
- 36 Korenromp EL, Hosseini M, Newman RD, et al. Progress towards malaria control targets in relation to national malaria programme funding. Malar J 2013;12:18.
- 37 South Sudan AIDS Commission, Ministry of Health. 2015 progress reports submitted by countries: Republic of South Sudan. Geneva: UNAIDS, 2015. http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/country/ documents/SSD_narrative_report_2015.pdf
- 38 Emmanuel SK, Lado M, Amwayi S, et al. Syphilis among pregnant women in Juba, southern Sudan. East Afr Med J 2010;87:192–8.
- 39 UNAIDS. How AIDS changed everything- MDG6: 15 years, 15 lessons of hope from the AIDS response. Geneva: HIV/AIDS JUNPo, UNAIDS, 2015. http://www.unaids.org/en/resources/documents/ 2015/MDG6_15years-15lessonsfromtheAIDSresponse
- 40 Granich R, Gupta S, Hersh B, et al. Trends in AIDS deaths, new infections and art coverage in the top 30 countries with the highest AIDS mortality burden; 1990-2013. PLoS One 2015;10:e0131353.
- 41 Jervase A, Tahir H, Modi JK, et al. HIV/AIDS in South Sudan past, present, and future: a model of resilience in a challenging context. J Public Health Emerg 2018;2:31.
- 42 Jones A, Howard N, Legido-Quigley H. Feasibility of health systems strengthening in South Sudan: a qualitative study of international practitioner perspectives. *BMJ Open* 2015;5:e009296.
- 43 Ministry of Health. National health sector strategic plan 2017-2022. Juba: Republic of South Sudan, 2018.
- 44 The World Bank. Rapid Results Health Project. 107. Washington DC: The World Bank, 2012.
- 45 The World Bank. Proposed project restructuring of the rapid results health project. 107. Washington DC: The World Bank, 2012.
- 46 The World Bank. Implementation completion and results report: umbrella programme for health system development phase II. 81. Washington DC: The World Bank, 2013.
- 47 MSI. UŠAID South Sudan Health Learning Assessment Monitoring and Evaluation Support Project. Washington: USAID, 2015. http:// pdf.usaid.gov/pdf_docs/PA00KM46.pdf
- 48 World Health Organisation. WHO supports South Sudan to strengthen health promotion strategic actions, 2018.
- 49 Global Health Workforce Alliance. Country responses: South Sudan health workforce density, 2018. Available: http://www.who.int/ workforcealliance/countries/ssd/en/ [Accessed 21 Aug 2018].
- 50 Ministry of Health. Health sector development plan 2012-2016 One maternal death is one too many. Juba: Ministry of Health, 2012.
- 51 Fehling M, Nelson BD, Ahn R, et al. Development of a community-based maternal, newborn and child emergency training package in South Sudan. Public Health 2013;127:797–805.
- 52 Republic of South Sudan. *Human resource information system*. Juba: Republic of South Sudan, 2016. http://www.hrisrss.org/
- 53 Laku R, Camino CM, Stoops N. Implementing a routine health management information system in South Sudan. South Sudan Medical Journal 2012;5:21–4.
- 54 Casey SE, Chynoweth SK, Cornier N, et al. Progress and gaps in reproductive health services in three humanitarian settings: mixedmethods case studies. Confl Health 2015;9:S3.
- Macharia PM, Ouma PO, Gogo EG, et al. Spatial accessibility to basic public health services in South Sudan. Geospat Health 2017:12:510.
- 56 Cammack T, Esau M, Horstman R, et al. Health Pool Fund: South Sudan Mid-Term Review Report (Draft for Submission. Oxford:



- Health & Education Advice & Resource Team (HEART), 2015. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/57a0896ae5274a31e0000090/South-Sudan-pooled-health-fund-midterm-review.pdf
- 57 Sudan HPFS. About HPF South Sudan Juba: health pool fund, 2020. Available: https://hpfsouthsudan.org/who-we-are/ [Accessed 10 Feb 2020].
- 58 UNICEF. The state of the world's children 2015: Reimagine the future: innovation for every child. New York: United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), 2014.
- 59 United Nations. Transforming our world: the 2030 agenda for sustainable development, A/RES/70/1. New York: Sustainable Development Knowledge Platform, United Nations, 2015. https:// sustainabledevelopment.un.org/post2015/transformingourworld/ publication
- 60 Ministry of Health. 2013 rapid health facility survey. Juba, South Sudan: Ministry of Health (MOH), Republic of South Sudan, 2014.
- 61 Imdad A, Herzer K, Mayo-Wilson E, et al. Vitamin A supplementation for preventing morbidity and mortality in children from 6 months to 5 years of age. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2010;12:CD008524.
- 62 Stevens GA, Bennett JE, Hennocq Q, et al. Trends and mortality effects of vitamin A deficiency in children in 138 low-income and middle-income countries between 1991 and 2013: a pooled analysis of population-based surveys. Lancet Glob Health 2015;3:e528–36.
- 63 Parker B. Extreme hunger in South Sudan: The numbers behind a "borderline famine" London: IRIN, 2016. Available: https://www.irinnews.org/maps-and-graphics/2016/02/20/extreme-hunger-south-sudan
- 64 UNICEF. Vitamin A Supplementation Interactive Dashboard New York: United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF, 2016. http://data. unicef.org/resources/vitamin-a-supplementation-interactivedashboard.html
- 65 Nguyen C. Malaria ravages South Sudan Boston: HealthMap, 2016. Available: http://www.healthmap.org/site/diseasedaily/article/ malaria-ravages-south-sudan-11016 [Accessed 25 May 2016].
- 66 Medicine San Frontier. South Sudan: MSF issues open letter on nationwide lack of essential medicines Geneva. Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF), 2016.
- 67 Sudan MoHGoS. Monitoring the situation of Childen and women: findings from the southern Sudan household survey 2010, draft provisional report. Juba, South Sudan: Ministry of Health, Government of South Sudan, 2011.
- 68 Ministry of Health. The community health system in South Sudan: the Boma health Initiative. Juba: Republic of South Sudan, 2015.
- 69 Kevany S, Sahak O, Workneh NG, et al. Global health diplomacy investments in Afghanistan: adaptations and outcomes of global fund malaria programs. Med Confl Surviv 2014;30:37–55.
- 70 Hanefeld J, Mayhew S, Legido-Quigley H, et al. Towards an understanding of resilience: responding to health systems shocks. Health Policy Plan 2018;33:355–67.
- 71 Mugo NS, Dibley MJ, Damundu EY, et al. "The system here isn't on patients' side"- perspectives of women and men on the barriers to accessing and utilizing maternal healthcare services in South Sudan. BMC Health Serv Res 2018;18:10.
- 72 Mugo NS, Dibley MJ, Damundu EY, et al. Barriers faced by the health workers to deliver maternal care services and their perceptions of the factors preventing their clients from receiving the services: a qualitative study in South Sudan. Matern Child Health J 2018;22:1598–606.
- 73 ACLED. All Africa Files: ACLED Version 5 (1997 2014) country files: South Sudan: Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project, 2015. Available: http://www.acleddata.com/data/version-5-data-1997-2014/2015
- 74 Franks T. Malakal: the City that vanished in South Sudan London, 2015. BBC. Available: http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-africa-345714352016
- 75 Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies. Human Security Baseline Assessment (HSBA) for Sudan and South Sudan

- Small Arms Survey The Conflict in Upper Nile State. Geneva: The Small Arms Survey, Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies, 2016. http://www.smallarmssurveysudan.org/facts-figures/south-sudan/conflict-of-2013-14/the-conflict-in-upper-nile.html
- 76 National Bureau of Statistics. National baseline household survey 2009 report for South Sudan. Juba, South Sudan: South Sudan National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), 2012. http://static1.1.sqspcdn. com/static/f/750842/18935272/1340636516527/NBHS+Final+ website.pdf?token=VbKQrcFJfd%2BRDV5UQDz1
- 77 Ministry of Health. 4th Annual report 2014 Health Management Information System (HMIS. Juba: Republic of South Sudan, Ministry of Health, Directorate of Policy, Planning, Budgeting & Research, 2015
- 78 Allen T. Witchcraft, sexuality and HIV/AIDS among the Azande of Sudan. *Journal of Eastern African Studies* 2007;1:359–96.
- 79 Anoke SC, Mwai P, Jeffery C, et al. Comparing two survey methods of measuring health-related indicators: lot quality assurance sampling and demographic health surveys. Trop Med Int Health 2015:20:1756–70
- 80 Vargas W, Gould S, Valadez JJ. LQAS household survey Awerial IDP settlement 2014, lakes state, Republic of South Sudan. Liverpool: Government of the Republic of South Sudan, Humanitarian Innovation Fund and the Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine(LSTM), 2014.
- 81 Izudi J, Akwang GD, Amongin D. Early postnatal care use by postpartum mothers in Mundri East County, South Sudan. BMC Health Serv Res 2017;17:442.
- 82 Berendes S, Heywood P, Oliver S, et al. Quality of private and public ambulatory health care in low and middle income countries: systematic review of comparative studies. PLoS Med 2011:8:e1000433.
- 83 Armed Conflict Location, Event Data Project. Conflict trends realtime analysis of African political violence 2019, 2019. Available: http://www.acleddata.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/ACLED_ Conflict-Trends-Report-No.46-March-2016_pdf.pdf [Accessed 15 Dec 2019].
- 84 Armed Conflict Location, Events Data Project. Realtime Complete All Africa File: Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project, 2015. Available: http://www.acleddata.com/data/version-5-data-%201997-2014/ [Accessed 19 Nov 2019].
- 85 Human Security Research Group. Human security report 2009-2010: the causes of peace and the shrinking costs of war, part II, chapter 6- the paradox of mortality rates that decline in wartime. New York: The Human Security Report Project, 2011. http://www.hsrgroup.org/human-security-reports/20092010/text.aspx
- 86 Mack A, Merz S, Bui M, et al. Human Security Report 2012: Sexual Violence, Education, and War: Beyond the Mainstream Narrative, Chapter 4: War Is Not "Development in Reverse": The Impact of Conflict on Children's Education. Vancouver: The Human Security Report Project, 2012. http://hsrgroup.org/docs/Publications/ HSR2012/HSRP2012_Chapter%204.pdf
- 87 Akseer N, Salehi AS, Hossain SMM, Moazzem Hossain SM, et al. Achieving maternal and child health gains in Afghanistan: a countdown to 2015 country case study. Lancet Glob Health 2016;4:e395–413.
- 88 Valadez J, Devkota BR. Decentralized supervision of community health programs. In: Rhode J, Wyon J, eds. *Using LQAS in two* districts of southern Nepal. Boston: Management Sciences for Health, Inc, 2002: 169–200.
- 89 Sprague DA, Jeffery C, Crossland N, et al. Assessing delivery practices of mothers over time and over space in Uganda, 2003-2012. Emerg Themes Epidemiol 2016;13:9.
- 90 Philips M, Derderian K. Health in the service of state-building in fragile and conflict affected contexts: an additional challenge in the medical-humanitarian environment. *Confl Health* 2015;9:13.