



University of Dundee

Analysis of barotactic and chemotactic guidance cues on directional decision-making of Dictyostelium discoideum cells in confined environments

Belotti, Yuri; McGloin, David; Weijer, Kees

Published in: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences

DOI 10.1073/pnas.2000686117

Publication date: 2020

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA): Belotti, Y., McGloin, D., & Weijer, K. (2020). Analysis of barotactic and chemotactic guidance cues on directional decision-making of Dictyostelium discoideum cells in confined environments. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 117(41), 25553-25559. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2000686117

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.

You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Main Manuscript for

Analysis of Barotactic and Chemotactic Guidance Cues on Directional Decision-making of *Dictyostelium discoideum* Cells in

Confined Environments.

Yuri Belotti^{a,b}, David McGloin^{a,c}, Cornelis J. Weijer^{d*}.

^a Dept of Physics, School of Science and Engineering, University of Dundee, Dundee, DD41HN, UK, ^b current address: Institute for Health Innovation and Technology, National University of Singapore, Singapore117599. ^c current address: Faculty of Engineering and IT | School of Electrical and Data Engineering, University of Technology Sydney, Sydney, Australia. ^dDivision of Cell and Developmental Biology, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 5EH, UK.

* corresponding author: Cornelis J. Weijer **Email:** <u>c.j.weijer@dundee.ac.uk</u>

Y. Belotti: 0000-0001-6153-7096 D. McGloin: 0000-0002-0075-4481 C. J. Weijer: 0000-0003-2192-8150

Classification

Biological Sciences Cell Biology

Keywords

Cell-migration, Chemotaxis, Barotaxis, Microfluidics, Leading-edge, Spatialconfinement

Author Contributions

Y.B., D.M. and C.J.W. conceived the project. Y.B. cultured the cells, fabricated the microfluidic devices, performed the experiments. Y.B and C.J.W. conducted the data analysis. Y.B. and C.J.W. wrote the manuscript. D.M. and C.J.W. supervised the project. All the authors discussed the results and edited manuscript.

This PDF file includes:

Main Text Figures 1 to 4

Abstract

Neutrophils and dendritic cells when migrating in confined environments have been shown to actuate a directional choice towards paths of least hydraulic resistance (*barotaxis*), in some cases overriding chemotactic responses. Here, we investigate whether this barotactic response is conserved in the more primitive model organism Dictyostelium discoideum using a novel microfluidic chip design. This design allowed us to monitor the behavior of single cells via live imaging when confronted with bifurcating micro-channels, presenting different combinations of hydraulic and chemical stimuli. Under the conditions employed we find no evidence in support of a barotactic response, the cells base their directional choices on the chemotactic cues. When the cells are confronted by a microchannel bifurcation, they often split their leading edge and start moving into both channels, before a decision is made to move into one and retract from the other channel. Analysis of this decisionmaking process has shown that cells in steeper nonhydrolyzable adenosine- 3', 5'- cyclic monophosphorothioate, Sp-isomer (cAMPS) gradients move faster and split more readily. Furthermore, there exists a highly significant strong correlation between the velocity of the pseudopod moving up the cAMP gradient to the total velocity of the pseudopods moving up and down the gradient over a large range of velocities. This suggests a role for a critical cortical tension gradient in the directional decision-making process

Significance Statement

Cells confined in complex environments use a combination of chemical and mechanical cues for robust pathfinding and effective migration. Analysis of the directional 'decision-making' process of Dictyostelium discoideum cells migrating within micro-channels harbouring asymmetric bifurcations shows that unlike neutrophils and immature dendritic cells Dictyostelium cells use chemical rather than barotactic guidance cues. Cells in steeper adenosine 3',5'-cyclic monophosphate gradients migrating at higher speeds, split their leading edges more readily when confronted with a bifurcation in the channel. The point at which one of the competing pseudopods starts to retract appears to be dependent on a relative force imbalance between two competing pseudopods, showing that cellular mechanics plays a major role in leading edge dynamics, including front splitting, polarisation and retraction in Dictyostelium discoideum.

Introduction

Cell migration plays a key role in several different biological processes, such as embryonic morphogenesis, immune responses and wound healing (1, 2). Various animal cells exhibit extensive migratory capabilities, for instance, macrophages and neutrophils crawl towards invaders and engulf and destroy them, osteoclasts and osteoblasts ensure the continuous remodelling of bones, fibroblasts migrate to damaged sites of tissue helping to rebuild them (3). Cell movement is also a key driver of some pathological processes such as osteoporosis, chronic inflammatory diseases and tumour metastasis (1). Insights into the mechanisms that control and execute migration will be required for more effective medical treatments and facilitate new approaches in regenerative medicine and tissue engineering.

One of the most important questions in understanding cell movement is how the cell interprets external cues and actuates the internal cytoskeletal machinery to achieve the motion (4). A variety of biochemical and physical cues have been shown to trigger cellular responses (5-11). Chemical concentration gradients are one of the environmental signals, which can instruct the migration of certain cell types. This kind of response is known as *chemotaxis* and involves a directed migration as a consequence of directional sensing and has been extensively investigated in *in-vitro* systems (12). However, in their physiological environment, cells are exposed to a combination of a variety of chemical and mechanical stimuli and it is still largely unresolved how responses are prioritised and coordinated. The advent of microfluidic techniques has enabled the investigation of cell migration in more detail by providing better control over the mechanical and chemical complexity of the microenvironment that surrounds each individual cell (13). Microfluidics provides good control over the dynamics of signalling, as well as over spatial complexity of the cellular environment. For instance, maze-like microfluidic networks (14) have been developed to analyse the mechanisms that amoeboid cells such as neutrophils and *Dictyostelium discoideum* cells use to effectively navigate through these complex environments (15, 16).

Dictyostelium discoideum (Dd) is a well-established model for the study of eukaryotic

chemotaxis (17, 18). Research conducted on the mechanism of chemotaxis in this organism has greatly contributed to our basic understanding of chemotaxis and also led to the establishment of novel experimental methods to study chemotaxis now successfully used in other systems (19-24). The signal transduction pathways involved in chemical gradient sensing and transduction to the organisation of the actin-myosin cytoskeleton resulting in directed motion are highly conserved between Dictyostelium and neutrophils reviewed in (25). Most of the insights into the mechanisms governing cell migration arose from investigations on planar surfaces, but recent studies showed that this classical picture of cell locomotion is inadequate to recapitulate the properties of cell migration within tissues and other complex environments (26). During in-vivo cell migration, a number of physical parameters such as mechanical properties, geometry, adhesion, degree of confinement imposed by the micro-environment in which cells move in, affect how cells respond to chemical cues (27-29). Interestingly, Prentice-Mott et al. (30, 31) first showed that Human promyelocytic leukaemia cells, also known as HL60 cells, can interpret small differences in hydraulic resistance when confronted with asymmetric bifurcating channels of varying resistance and called this 'barotaxis'. The authors developed a microfluidic-based migration assay where HL60 cells were forced to move through asymmetric microchannels and observed that cells migrated towards the path of least hydraulic resistance, both in the presence and in the absence of a chemoattractant, showing that the mechanical stimulus seemed to override the chemotactic response.

Here we design and use a novel microfluidic device harboring microchannels that allow us to decouple the chemical and hydraulic stimuli. The particular topology consists of bifurcating microchannels where only one arm is connected to the source of chemoattractant and this arm has a hundred times higher hydraulic resistance than the other arm. In this way cells are subjected to a dual choice: one direction corresponds to an increasing chemical gradient, the other to a significantly lower hydraulic resistance. This approach showed that cells always migrate up the chemical gradient despite the hundred times higher hydraulic resistance and chemotaxis. Furthermore, we show that Dictyostelium cells readily migrate into dead-end channels. These observations make the existence of a barotactic response in Dictyostelium highly unlikely. We take advantage of the finding that cells confronted by a bifurcating microchannel often partially split their leading edge and to start moving into both paths to investigate this process in more detail. Cells migrating through steeper chemoattractant (cAMPS) gradients, tend to move faster and have a higher tendency to split at the bifurcation. Interestingly, the decision to retract the pseudopod moving away from the cAMPS source is made when the velocity of the pseudopod moving up the cAMPS gradient is 20% higher than the average velocity of the pseudopod moving down the gradient. Surprisingly, this decision threshold is largely independent of the steepness of the cAMPS gradient and speed of movement. This suggests the existence of a relative force imbalance threshold that underlies the cytoskeletal repolarisation and ultimately the direction of cell migration.

Results

First, we sought to determine whether Dictyostelium cells could detect small pressure differences and show a barotactic response as reported for HL60 cells. We developed a ladder-like microfluidic device (Fig. 1A) where cells and chemoattractant could simply be added and controlled by adjusting the volume of the media in each loading reservoir, without the use of syringe or pressure pumps. Applying the definition of hydraulic resistance (eq. 2), we designed the microchannel topologies shown in Fig. 1C.

During the initial phase of an experiment diffusion sets up a cAMPS gradient from the cAMPS loading channel to the cell loading channel. Upon detection of the chemoattractant, cells start migrating up the chemical gradient across the "migration channels", and while doing so effectively plug the channels (SI Appendix, movie S1). Since the diffusion of small molecules is fast on the small length scales of these channels, the concentration of chemo-attractant is essentially uniform and high at the front of the cells and low at the back of the cell resulting in a steep chemo-attractant gradient over the length of the cell (SI Appendix, Fig. S1, movie S2).

In the migration channel the cells are confronted with a bifurcation of the channel in two arms, characterized by a specific ratio in hydraulic resistance. When cells migrate through the asymmetric bifurcating micro-channels, they tend to protrude two pseudopods, one into each arm of the bifurcation and after a 'tug-of-war' one pseudopod 'wins' while the other starts retracting, similarly to what has been reported by Prentice-Mott *et al. (31)* (SI Appendix, Fig. S1C, movie S3, S4). The bifurcating branches harbor asymmetric features 10 µm downstream of the bifurcation so that no geometrical factors would influence cellular curvature before the decision-making process occurred.

In Fig. 1D we show how the directional bias (*i.e.* the percentage of cells migrating towards the

arm of least hydraulic resistance), changes as a function of the ratio in hydraulic resistance between the two bifurcating arms, for each of the designs shown in Fig. 1C. Although there are possible indications of very small biases, no statistically significant differences were found in directional bias among geometries with different hydraulic resistance ratios between their competing arms (geometries 1 and 2: p = 0.87; geometries 2, 3 and 4: p = 0.19; geometries 2, 3 and 5: p = 0.5; geometries 1 and 5: p = 0.17). Moreover, no evidence for a 'directional memory' (30) was found in our experiments as shown (SI Appendix, Fig. S2), rather, each cell explores both bifurcating paths at every consecutive bifurcation (Movie S5).

Cells protrude inside dead-end channels

To further test whether Dd cells responded to hydraulic resistance we designed a migration channel where one of the arms of the asymmetric bifurcations is a dead-end microchannel, and hence, in theory, has infinite hydraulic resistance. Many cells exhibited the ability to partially or fully penetrate these deadend branches (Fig. 2A, B, SI Appendix, Fig S3, movie S6). In the case of total or partial penetration, the cells appear smaller than the cross-section of the channel and therefore the fluid in front of them can be displaced around the cell through the imperfect seal between the cell and the channel wall. In the cases, where cells do not invade blind channels, the leading edges seem to plug the channel completely and cannot invade the dead-end branches (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). To further investigate this aspect, we added a fluorescent dye FITC Dextran (70kD) into the loading channel at the right-hand side of the chip, together with the chemoattractant. We observed that as the cell protrudes into the blind channels the fluid was displaced around the leading edge, while there was no evidence for micropinocytosis as has been reported for immature dendritic cells recently (32). These results appear to indicate that cells are only able to migrate into dead-end channels under conditions where they can deform sufficiently to shunt some fluid between the wall and membrane to generate space to move into. But even under these likely high hydraulic pressures, the cells will still explore these channels. These observations also do not support the idea that barotaxis is a key driver in the decision to extend a pseudopod or not and argue against barotaxis as a dominant mechanism governing Dd cells' directional choice.

Opposing chemical signal and hydraulic resistance gradients

Although it was statistically not significant the observed small directional bias shown in Fig. 1D could indicate a very weak barotactic response. However, in all the designs in fig 1C, the upper channels of lower hydraulic resistance are also characterized by a larger channel volume. This larger reservoir of cAMPS could result in a small cAMPS gradient across the bifurcation under some conditions, with the higher cAMPSs concentration coinciding with the low hydraulic resistance channel, possibly explaining the small directional bias. To better separate the chemotactic and barotactic signal, we designed a novel migration channel shown in Fig. 2C. In this geometry, one of the bifurcating channels of high hydraulic resistance is connected to the chemo-attractant source, while the other arm with low hydraulic resistance feeds back into the "cell loading" channel that does not contain chemo-attractant. This geometry allows the generation of true opposing directions of the chemotactic and barotactic gradients (i.e. "up" the chemical gradient in one channel or "down" the hydraulic resistance gradient in the other channel). We observed the behavior of migrating cells in this chip design, the resulting response was unambiguous, 100% of cells (77), analyzed over seven independent experiments, migrated up the chemical gradient, despite the hundred times higher resistance of the upper narrow path (SI Appendix, Movie S5). This remained true when the chemotactic gradient of cAMPS was varied 50-fold (20 nM, 100 nM and 1μ M). These experiments show that the behavior of the cells is completely controlled by the chemotactic signal and not by the large hydraulic cue.

Analysis of cell bifurcation and polarisation behaviours.

When a cell encounters a bifurcation, it often extends a pseudopod in both channels however after a while the cell always decides to move into one channel and retract from the other. These extension retraction processes are characterized by typical changes in the organization of the actin-myosin cytoskeleton. Labelling of the actin cytoskeleton with Lifeact shows that these leading pseudopods are, as expected, enriched in filamentous actin (Fig. 2A), while Myosin II-GFP strongly localizes at the uropod and at retracting ends (Fig. 2B, SI Appendix, Movie S1-S3).

As shown above we have a designed a microfluidic chip where cells in 100% of the cases move up a cAMPS gradient, when confronted with a bifurcation. Some cells did split in two pseudopodia at the bifurcation, while others instead were able to directly move into the channel connected to the cAMPS source. The splitting occurrence increased with the cAMPS concentration, at the highest cAMPS concentration tested 80% of the cells split (Fig. 3A). This poses two questions: 1) why do the cells extend a pseudopod in the wrong direction and 2) when and how do they make the decision to retract?

We sought to better understand what parameters affected these behaviors. We found that splitting did not depend on cell size (Fig. 3B), but we did notice a significant correlation between the speed of cell before entering the channel and the frequency of splitting (Fig. 3C). We also determined that cell speed increased with the cAMPS concentration in these conditions (Fig. 3D) similar to previous reports that showed that cells under non confined conditions showed a dependence of migration speed on cAMP concentration (33). Thus, the here recorded dependence of migration speed on cAMPS concentration likely underlies the observed dependence of splitting on cAMPS concentration (Fig. 3A). It is well established that the formation of new pseudopods during normal and chemotactic motion requires localized actin polymerization at the leading edge and that this contributes to the driving force necessary for extension and migration. We observed that cells migrating in long straight channels tended to exhibit a persistent localization of polymerized actin at the leading edge and to a lesser extent at the trailing edge (Fig. 4A). Within a given cell population there was a considerable individual variation in the amount of polymerized actin at the front, which was expected to reflect the observed variations in migration speed. Measurement of the linear extent of the actin polymerization zone at the leading edge for each cell showed that this correlated well with the speed of the cells (Fig. 4B). Since faster moving cells also split more readily, this suggests that cell-specific differences in internal actin dynamics may determine whether splitting occurs (Fig. 4D-F).

Then to better understand when and how cells make the decision to retract the pseudopods along the developing negative cAMPS gradient we performed the following analysis. We measured the length and speed of extension of the pseudopods protruding inside the bifurcating arms up to the point where the pseudopod moving down the cAMPS gradient stopped moving and started to retract. We found that there exists a very strong positive linear correlation between the average speed of extension of the pseudopod up the gradient and the sum of the average speeds of extensions up and down the cAMPS gradient (Fig 4C). The slope of this dependency is ~0.55. Interestingly the slope does not depend significantly on the steepness of the cAMPS gradient across the cell, as shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S4. Since during cell migration there is no significant inertia, average pseudopod expansion speed is proportional to the net local driving force. These data therefore strongly suggest that a pseudopod is retracted when a critical imbalance in the driving forces in the competing pseudopods is reached.

Discussion

Here we have tested whether Dd cells would respond to asymmetric hydraulic resistance conditions by confronting them with a variety of microfluidic channels of different topologies as has been reported for neutrophils (31). Dd requires a cAMP gradient in order to move directionally into the micro-channel. Once the cells enter the channel, they effectively plug the channel due to its small size. Since the channels are short and diffusion is fast, the cell will rapidly experience a binary cAMPS gradient over the length of the cell. The front of the cell sees the cAMP concentration of the chemo-attractant loading channel (20, 100 or 1000 nM cAMPS) and the back the concentration of the cell loading compartment (~0M cAMPS). When cells migrate through the asymmetric bifurcating microchannels presented in Fig. 1C, they tend to protrude two pseudopods, one into each arm and after a 'tug-of-war' one pseudopod 'wins' and keeps extending, while the other starts retracting, similarly to what has been reported by Prentice-Mott *et al.* (31).

Although we assume that there are only small differences in chemo-attractant concentration between the two channels coming from the bifurcation, we cannot rule out the residual differences in chemo-attractant concentration that exist at the time of decision making. In our chip design, the higher concentrations would be expected in the channels with a larger reservoir of cAMPS molecules which correspond with the channels of lowest hydraulic resistance. Therefore, it is formally impossible to say whether the cells preferentially respond to a residual chemo-attractant concentration difference or a difference in hydraulic resistance or possibly a combination of both using these geometries.

To better understand the directional bias exhibited by cells moving through asymmetric bifurcations, we developed a microchannel possessing an asymmetric bifurcation aiming to decouple the two main environmental stimuli competing in the system: the chemical gradient and the hydraulic resistance. This topology allowed us to show that all cells analyzed migrated towards the positive chemical gradient, rather than moving into the arm of hundred times lower hydraulic resistance. Our results, therefore, show that chemotaxis completely determines cell polarization and movement and we find no evidence for barotaxis in Dd in the different experimental conditions tested here.

These findings are also supported by the observation that many cells were able to move into

dead-end channels, despite the theoretical infinite hydraulic resistance of these channels. It has recently been demonstrated that tumor cells confined in micro-channels display a polarized distribution of ion pumps (Na⁺/H⁺) and aquaporins in the cell membrane. This is assumed to create a net influx of water at the leading edge and a net outflow at the uropod driving the motion of the cells and represents an alternative to the actin-driven motion ('Osmotic Engine Model' (34)). Further studies are required to elucidate the role of the Osmotic Engine in the mode of movement and the directional decision-making process in Dd. Recent work by Moreau et al. (32) suggested that immature dendritic cells (DCs) cells could cope with high hydraulic resistance via a high level of macro-pinocytosis, that allows fluid transport across the cell and thereby exploration of dead-end channels (35). Mature DCs down-regulate macropinocytosis and lose the ability to penetrate dead-end channels. Although growing Dd cells show a high level of macro-pinocytosis, no uptake of labelled dextran from the fluid via macropinocytosis was observed during our experiments with starving Dd cells, making the latter mechanism an unlikely explanation (Fig. S2). The ability of Dd cells to move into the dead-end channels is likely due to the fact that the fluid confined inside the blind channels in front of the protruding leading edge of the cell manages to leak between the cell and the channel wall, as the cell protrusion advances into the deadend branch (Fig. S2). It can be estimated that to be barotactic, Dd cells should be able to measure very small differences in pressure at their leading edges to respond to the local differences in hydraulic resistance. In fact, the force necessary to push a column of water through a microchannel is given by the capillary force (36):

$$\mathbf{F} = \Delta \mathbf{p} \, \mathbf{A} = \, \mathbf{v} \, \mathbf{R} \mathbf{A}^2, \tag{1}$$

Where v is the cell velocity, R is the hydraulic resistance of the channel and A, the cross-section. Using the definition of R given in the Eq. 2 and the following values: $L = 250 \mu m$, $w = 3 \mu m$, $v = 8 \mu m/min$, $\mu = 1 mPa \bullet s$, $h = 2.5 \mu m$ the estimated force is in the order of 0.5 pN. These forces are very small, even smaller than that generated by a single actin filament that polymerizes at the leading edge, which are in the range of a few picoNewtons (37, 38) and it remains unresolved how they would be measured. Taken together, we consider it unlikely that starving Dd cells exhibit a significant barotactic response.

Directed and conditional pseudopod extension and retraction is key to the chemotactic mechanism. The *compass-based* model predicts that cell first senses the direction of the attractant gradient polarizes followed by the extension of a new pseudopod in the direction of the gradient (39). Movement in steep gradients can be explained as the persistent extension of pseudopods in the correct direction, largely alleviating the need for pseudopod retraction. However, previous work by Insall and co-workers (40, 41) has shown that Dd cells migrating in shallow gradients of cAMPS move via a mechanism that involves the generation of bifurcating pseudopods at the leading edge of the cell. The pseudopods appear to be generated in random directions, but the pseudopod pointing towards higher concentration of chemoattractant is stabilized, while the pseudopods pointing in other directions is retracted. This results in a slow but reproducible turning of the cell in the direction of the gradient. Although this decision to extend or retract is clearly dependent on the gradient of cAMP, it remains unresolved at which stage and by what mechanism the cell decides to retract a pseudopod pointing in a less favorable direction.

Our experiments have shed some light on the decision-making process on pseudopod extension and retraction. We found that fast-moving cells split in two when reaching a bifurcation and the pseudopod protruded inside the arm of low cAMP extended for a short time before stopping and retracting, a process that involved the recruitment of myosin II. Slower moving cells did not split and moved straight into the correct channel. We also found a clear relationship between the extent of the zone of filamentous actin at the leading edge and the speed of movement, faster cells having a larger actin filamentous acing rich zone. We also confirmed that under our conditions of high confinement cells experiencing higher cAMPS gradients migrate faster than cells experience smaller cAMPS gradients. Therefore, it seems likely that high levels of cAMPS-dependent actin polymerization, results in a more active and larger actin polymerization zone at the leading edge, which may increase the probability of splitting at a bifurcation.

We also demonstrated that the velocities of the pseudopodia protruding up the cAMPS gradient in different cells are linearly proportional to the sum of the velocities of the competing pseudopodia protruding up and down the cAMPS gradient of these cells over a wide range of velocities. The slope of this relationship (threshold) is surprisingly independent of the magnitude of the cAMPS gradient over the cells. Since speed in this overdamped system is proportional to the local driving force, this implies that the decision to retract is independent of the absolute difference in driving forces between the two ends, but only depends on the steepness of the gradient between the two ends. It has been argued that during neutrophil migration a cortical tension gradient along the cell might be responsible for maintenance of cell polarization, i.e. tension prevents initiation of new pseudopods through inhibition of actin polymerization, but it has been difficult to estimate how large this tension gradients would be (42, 43). Our results suggest that this tension gradient has to be 20% or larger be able to suppress a secondary leading pseudopod. The mechanism underlying tension dependence will have to be addressed in future experiments.

Material and Methods

Microfluidic Device

The structure of the microfluidic device is similar to that used in other studies on neuronal cultures (44) and tumor cell invasiveness and migration (28) and is characterized by the presence of two main loading channels, each of which connects two cylindrical reservoirs that act as infinite source and as the sink, respectively. The two 'loading channels' are bridged by 'migration channels' having a cross-sectional area that is much smaller than that of the loading channels. The 3D schematics of the device (Fig. 1A). The cell suspension is loaded in one side of the chip, whereas the other symmetric half is loaded with the chemoattractant (cAMPS).

The hydraulic resistance of a duct can be defined as $R_h = \Delta P/Q$, where ΔP is the pressure drop and Q the flow rate (45). This formula can be considered the hydrodynamic analogous to Ohm's law $R = \Delta V/I$, where ΔV is the voltage and *I* the current through a wire. In the case of micro-channel with a rectangular cross-section the hydraulic resistance can be expressed as:

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{h}} = \frac{12\,\mu\,\mathrm{L}}{w\,\mathrm{h}^{3}(1 - 0.63\,\mathrm{h/w})'} \tag{2}$$

Where *w* is the width of the channel, *L* is its length, *h* is its height and μ is the fluid dynamic viscosity (45). The migration channels in Fig. 1C have been designed using Eq. 2 by varying the length and cross-sectional diameter of the channels. In all cases, the height of the channels was fixed at 2.5 µm.

Device fabrication

The design of the mold is carried out in QCAD professional (version 3.9.8.0). The molds of the microfluidic chip were developed using standard photolithographic techniques (46). First, a 2.5 µm high film of the photopolymer SU-8 2002 was spread onto a six-inch silicon wafer (IDB Technologies) via spin coating to produce the first layer of the mold. A first high-resolution photomask was used to transfer the features of the migration channels through UV illumination. A second 80 µm high layer of SU-8 2075 was then spun on the wafer and the features of the bigger loading channels were aligned with those of the first layer through alignment marks and transferred to the second layer via UV illumination. All the areas that were not exposed to the UV light were then etched using a SU-8 developer. Replicas of the patterned mold were obtained by soft lithography using polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) (Sylgard 184 Silicone Elastomer Kit; Dow Corning Corporation). The PDMS base and curing agent were mixed at 10:1 ratio, degassed in a vacuum desiccator, and poured on the mold. After baking for 6 hours at 80° C the solid PDMS was separated from the mold and ports were punched through the inlets and outlets regions using 8 mm biopsy punchers (Harris Uni-Core). Patterned PDMS was reversibly bonded to standard microscope glass slides (VWR) exploiting the Van der Waals forces that generate at the interface between the PDMS and the glass.

Cell culture

Dictyostelium discoideum cells (strain Ax2) were used. Cells were grown in shaken suspension in HL5 medium using glass flasks at 22° C. Cells were harvested when the cultures reached ~4x10⁶ cells/ml cells by centrifugation (400 g for 2 minutes). Cells were washed twice and re-suspended in KK2 buffer at the concentration of 1x10⁷ cells/ml and starved for 4.5 hours while subjected to periodic stimulation with 10⁻⁷ M cAMP (47) [46]. To visualize actin dynamics a strain transformed with Lifeact RFP was used, to visualize myosin dynamics a myosin II heavy chain GFP N-terminal knockin strain was used. The GPF knockin strain was made using established homologous recombination techniques, using a 5 amino acid linker (GALVG) resulting in the GFP-myosin fusion sequence MDELYKGALVGNPIHDRT.

Cell loading

After starvation, cells were washed twice and suspended in KK2 at a final concentration of 1×10^7 cells/mL. An aliquot of 200 µL cell suspension was loaded inside one of the two inlets of the microfluidic

device using a pipette. The opposite inlet was filled with 200 µL of non-hydrolysable cyclic AMP analogue cAMPS at increasing concentration: 20 nM, 100 nM, 1 µM. After loading the system with the cell and chemoattractant solutions, it becomes subject to a transient flow directed from the source to the sink reservoirs. The flow lasts until the hydrostatic pressure at these two ends of the loading channels is balanced. At the equilibrium, a linear spatial gradient of chemoattractant establishes inside the bridging channels (Fig. S1), mainly by diffusion, as previously shown by Abhyankar and co-workers (48). The symmetry guaranteed by the microfluidic device allows for a very good equilibration of the system. Cells adhere to the substrate and those that sit in the proximity of the entrance of the migration micro-channels readily sense the cAMPS and move up the gradient while undergoing a drastic shape modification to adapt to the mechanical confinement. The choice of using the non-hydrolysable cyclic AMP analogue cAMPS was based on the fact that upon exposure to the cAMPS gradient each Dd cells secrete intracellular cAMP at a concentration comparable to that imposed by the system (1 μ M) (49), that would have affected our analysis. Moreover, Dictyostelium cells express and secrete phosphodiesterase (PDE), which degrades cAMP into 5'-adenosine monophosphate (50). This means that the local concentration around the single cell would have been the result of these two competing effects and cells that migrate close to each other could interact. The use of cAMPS simplifies the chemical landscape cells are exposed to. At the end of each experiment, the glass coverslip was separated from the PDMS chip and both surfaces cleaned with ethanol 70% and stored for following experiments. Additionally, to maintain the chemical gradient steady, the four reservoirs were covered using small pieces of PDMS.

Imaging, image analysis and statistical analysis

The cell motion was recorded at room temperature on a Leica SP8 confocal microscope equipped with a 10x, 0.3 N.A. plan apochromat objective lens. The length of the splitting pseudopodia was quantified manually using ImageJ by measuring the distance of the extent of each pseudopod in both bifurcating arms, relative to the center of the bifurcation. Similarly, the length of each cell and the actin polymerization zone was estimated manually using ImageJ. The speed of the cells and pseudopodia was measured using ImageJ, via kymograph analysis. The inclusion criteria for our analysis were that cells had to travel directionally towards the higher end of the chemical gradient. In the case multiple consecutive cells were migrating inside a channel, only the first cell was considered. To characterize how cells interacted with the surrounding fluid, FITC-dextran (FD-70, Sigma-Aldrich) was loaded into the right-hand side reservoirs along with cAMPS. Data analysis was conducted in Excel for Mac 2011, Matlab R2018b and Python.

Numerical simulation

To estimate the local concentrations of cAMPS cells were exposed to at the bifurcating microchannels we simulate the transport of cAMPS through the migration channels using the software Comsol Multiphysics 5.2b (Comsol, Inc.). The transport of cAMPS was assumed to be driven by diffusion. We calculated the equilibrium solution of the 2D diffusion equations for the actual topology of the bifurcating channels using the module *'Transport of Diluted Species'*. *Constant-concentration* boundary conditions were imposed at the two ends of the channel, the values at these positions were set to be $c = 1 \mu M$ and $c = 0 \mu M$, respectively, as in the actual experiments. The boundary conditions were set as *'no-flux'* at the edges of the channel. The initial conditions were 0 μM throughout the channel. The value of the cAMP diffusion coefficient, *D*, in water is 4.44x10⁻⁶ cm²/s (51).

Data Availability. All the data are provided in the text. Selected time-lapse image sequences of the hundreds of experiments performed are included as movies in the supplementary materials. Raw image data will be made available upon request.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge Gail Singer for help with the Dictyostelium strain generation and culture, Steven Neale (University of Glasgow), Michael MacDonald, Paul Campbell, Serenella Tolomeo and Philip Murray (University of Dundee) for helpful discussions and constructive comments. The microfabrication of the microfluidic devices was carried out in the cleanroom facility at the Division of Physics of the University of Dundee (UK). This work was supported a PhD studentship of the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) and a BBSRC BB/L00271X/1 grant to CJW.

References

- 1. A. J. Ridley *et al.* (2003) Cell Migration: Integrating Signals from Front to Back. pp 1704-1709.
- 2. P. Friedl, K. Wolf, Tumour-cell invasion and migration: diversity and escape mechanisms. *Nature Reviews Cancer* **3**, 362-374 (2003).
- 3. B. Alberts et al., Molecular Biology of the Cell (Garland Science, 2007), vol. 16, pp. 1503-1503.
- 4. R. J. Petrie, A. D. Doyle, K. M. Yamada (2009) Random versus directionally persistent cell migration. (Nature Publishing Group), pp 538-549.
- 5. C.-M. Lo, H.-B. Wang, M. Dembo, Y.-I. Wang, Cell Movement Is Guided by the Rigidity of the Substrate. *Biophysical Journal* **79**, 144-152 (2000).
- 6. K. Schumann *et al.*, Immobilized chemokine fields and soluble chemokine gradients cooperatively shape migration patterns of dendritic cells. *Immunity* **32**, 703-713 (2010).
- 7. D. T. Tambe *et al.*, Collective cell guidance by cooperative intercellular forces. *Nature Materials* **10**, 469-475 (2011).
- 8. G. M. Allen, A. Mogilner, J. A. Theriot, Electrophoresis of cellular membrane components creates the directional cue guiding keratocyte galvanotaxis. *Current Biology* **23**, 560-568 (2013).
- 9. D. R. Emmanuel Décavé, Jérémie Dalous, Sébastien Fache, Yves Bréchet, Bertrand Fourcade, Michel Satre and Franz Bruckert, Shear flow-induced motility of Dictyostelium discoideum cells on solid substrate. 10.1242/jcs.
- 10. Y. Artemenko, L. Axiotakis, J. Borleis, P. A. Iglesias, P. N. Devreotes, Chemical and mechanical stimuli act on common signal transduction and cytoskeletal networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **113**, E7500-E7509 (2016).
- 11. M. Zhao *et al.*, Electrical signals control wound healing through phosphatidylinositol-3-OH kinase-γ and PTEN. *Nature* **442**, 457-460 (2006).
- 12. D. Dormann, C. J. Weijer (2006) Imaging of cell migration. pp 3480-3493.
- 13. D. Irimia, Microfluidic Technologies for Temporal Perturbations of Chemotaxis. *Annual Review of Biomedical Engineering* **12**, 259-284 (2010).
- 14. C. Scherber *et al.*, Epithelial cell guidance by self-generated EGF gradients. *Integrative biology* : *quantitative biosciences from nano to macro* **4**, 259-269 (2012).
- 15. J. R. Mathias, K. B. Walters, A. Huttenlocher, Neutrophil motility in vivo using zebrafish. *Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, N.J.)* **571**, 151-166 (2009).
- 16. M. Skoge *et al.*, A worldwide competition to compare the speed and chemotactic accuracy of neutrophil-like cells. *PLoS ONE* **11**, 1-19 (2016).
- 17. J. M. Nichols, D. Veltman, R. R. Kay, Chemotaxis of a model organism: progress with Dictyostelium. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* **36**, 7-12 (2015).
- 18. P. N. Devreotes *et al.*, Excitable Signal Transduction Networks in Directed Cell Migration. Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology **33**, 103-125 (2017).
- 19. T. Konijn, J. V. D. Meene, The acrasin activity of adenosine-3', 5'-cyclic phosphate. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **4**, 1152-1154 (1967).
- 20. T. Yoshimura *et al.*, Purification of a human monocyte-derived neutrophil chemotactic factor that has peptide sequence similarity to other host defense cytokines. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **84**, 9233-9237 (1987).
- 21. P. S. Klein *et al.*, A chemoattractant receptor controls development in Dictyostelium discoideum. *Science* **241**, 1467-1472 (1988).
- 22. G. Gerisch, R. Albrecht, C. Heizer, S. Hodgkinson, M. Maniak, Chemoattractant-controlled accumulation of coronin at the leading edge of Dictyostelium cells monitored using a green fluorescent protein-coronin fusion protein. *Current Biology* **5**, 1280-1285 (1995).
- 23. L. Bajno *et al.*, Focal exocytosis of VAMP3-containing vesicles at sites of phagosome formation. *Journal of Cell Biology* **149**, 697-705 (2000).
- 24. G. Servant, O. D. Weiner, E. R. Neptune, J. W. Sedat, H. R. Bourne, Dynamics of a chemoattractant receptor in living neutrophils during chemotaxis. *Molecular Biology of the Cell* **10**, 1163-1178 (1999).
- 25. P. J. M. Van Haastert, P. N. Devreotes (2004) Chemotaxis: Signalling the way forward. pp 626-634.
- 26. D. Wirtz, K. Konstantopoulos, P. C. Searson (2011) The physics of cancer: The role of physical interactions and mechanical forces in metastasis. (Nature Publishing Group), pp 512-522.

- 27. T. Lämmermann *et al.*, Rapid leukocyte migration by integrin-independent flowing and squeezing. *Nature* **453**, 51-55 (2008).
- 28. C. G. Rolli, T. Seufferlein, R. Kemkemer, J. P. Spatz, Impact of tumor cell cytoskeleton organization on invasiveness and migration: A microchannel-based approach. *PLoS ONE* **5**, e8726-e8726 (2010).
- 29. S. van Helvert, C. Storm, P. Friedl, Mechanoreciprocity in cell migration. *Nature Cell Biology* **20**, 8-20 (2018).
- 30. H. V. Prentice-Mott *et al.*, Directional memory arises from long-lived cytoskeletal asymmetries in polarized chemotactic cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **113**, 1267-1272 (2016).
- 31. H. V. Prentice-Mott *et al.*, Biased migration of confined neutrophil-like cells in asymmetric hydraulic environments. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **110**, 21006-21011 (2013).
- 32. H. D. Moreau *et al.*, Macropinocytosis Overcomes Directional Bias in Dendritic Cells Due to Hydraulic Resistance and Facilitates Space Exploration. *Developmental Cell* **49**, 171-188.e175 (2019).
- 33. L. Song *et al.*, Dictyostelium discoideum chemotaxis: Threshold for directed motion. *European Journal of Cell Biology* **85**, 981-989 (2006).
- 34. K. M. Stroka *et al.*, Water permeation drives tumor cell migration in confined microenvironments. *Cell* **157**, 611-623 (2014).
- 35. J. S. King, R. R. Kay (2019) The origins and evolution of macropinocytosis. (The Royal Society), pp 20180158-20180158.
- 36. L.-J. J. Yang, T.-J. J. Yao, Y.-C. C. Tai, The marching velocity of the capillary meniscus in a microchannel. *Journal of Micromechanics and Microengineering* **14**, 220-225 (2004).
- 37. A. Mogilner, G. Oster (2003) Polymer motors: Pushing out the front and pulling up the back. pp 721-733.
- 38. A. Mogilner, G. Oster, Force generation by actin polymerization II: The elastic ratchet and tethered filaments. *Biophysical Journal* **84**, 1591-1605 (2003).
- 39. H. R. Bourne, O. Weiner, A chemical compass. *Nature* **419**, 21-21 (2002).
- 40. N. Andrew, R. H. Insall, Chemotaxis in shallow gradients is mediated independently of PtdIns 3-kinase by biased choices between random protrusions. *Nature Cell Biology* **9**, 193-200 (2007).
- 41. M. P. Neilson *et al.*, Chemotaxis: A feedback-based computational model robustly predicts multiple aspects of real cell behaviour. *PLoS Biology* **9**, e1000618-e1000618 (2011).
- 42. A. R. Houk *et al.*, Membrane tension maintains cell polarity by confining signals to the leading edge during neutrophil migration. *Cell* **148**, 175-188 (2012).
- 43. A. Diz-Muñoz *et al.*, Control of directed cell migration in vivo by membrane-to-cortex attachment. *PLoS Biology* **8**, 1000544-1000544 (2010).
- 44. A. M. Taylor *et al.*, Microfluidic multicompartment device for neuroscience research. *Langmuir* **19**, 1551-1556 (2003).
- 45. H. Bruus, *Theoretical microfluidics* (2008), vol. 18, pp. 346-346.
- 46. M. J. Madou, *Fundamentals of Microfabrication: The Science of Miniaturization* (2002), pp. 782-782.
- 47. N. Iranfar, D. Fuller, W. F. Loomis, Genome-wide expression analyses of gene regulation during early development of Dictyostelium discoideum. *Eukaryotic Cell* **2**, 664-670 (2003).
- 48. V. V. Abhyankar, M. A. Lokuta, A. Huttenlocher, D. J. Beebe, Characterization of a membranebased gradient generator for use in cell-signaling studies. *Lab on a Chip* **6**, 389-393 (2006).
- 49. K. J. Tomchik, P. N. Devreotes, Adenosine 3',5'-monophosphate waves in Dictyostelium discoideum: A demonstration by isotope dilution-fluorography technique. *Science* **212**, 443-446 (1981).
- 50. A. Bagorda *et al.*, Real-time measurements of cAMP production in live Dictyostelium cells. *Journal of Cell Science* **122**, 3907-3914 (2009).
- 51. M. Dworkin, K. H. Keller, Solubility and diffusion coefficient of adenosine 3': 5'-monophosphate. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **252**, 864-865 (1977).

Figures and Tables

Figure 1. Fig. 1. Microfluidic chip designs to test barotactic responses. (A) Three-dimensional structure of the chip; the two symmetric sides are arranged in a "ladderlike" structure. Each side comprises an inlet and an outlet, connected by a loading channel. The two symmetric halves are bridged by a number of smalldiameter migration channels. (B) Picture of an actual PDMS chip compared to a one-pound coin. (C) Schematics of the various geometries of the migration channels used to test the role of barotaxis in the directional bias. The length and width of the channels are designed following the definition of hydraulic resistance of a duct (Eq. 2), whereas the height is set to 2.5 μ m. For each design, the upper arm corresponds to the arm of least hydraulic resistance. For each geometry, the ratio between the higher and lower resistance is stated. (D) The directional bias, that is, the percentage of cells migrating toward the arm of least hydraulic resistance as a function of the ratio in hydraulic resistance of any difference in cell behavior in different geometries 2 × 2 and 2 × 3 Fisher tests were used.

Figure 2. Decoupling barotactic and chemotactic response. (A and B) Examples of cells actively protruding into dead-end channels. Actin filaments are labeled with RFP-LifeAct in A and myosin II with GFP in B. (C) Microchannel design aimed at decoupling the barotactic and chemotactic response: only the narrower arm joins the cAMPS loading channel (on the right-hand side, not visible), whereas the wider and longer arm goes back into the loading channel where cells are seeded. The cAMPS distribution across the system is calculated using Comsol.We assume pure diffusion with a concentration of 1 μ M at the right side of the channel and 0 μ M at the left-hand side, which in the chip is connected to the cell loading channel.

Figure 3. Relationship between cell properties and splitting behavior at the asymmetric bifurcation in Fig. 2C. (A) Relationship between the cAMPS concentration and cell splitting. (P = 0.039, using 2 × 3 Fisher exact test). (B) Relationship between cell length and splitting behavior. P = 0.008. (C) Relationship between splitting behavior and the velocity of the cells in the straight channel upstream of the bifurcation. (D) Relationship between the cell speed and the cAMPS concentration for the splitting subgroup. NS, P > 0.05, *P = 0.06, **P = 0.02. Kruskal–Wallis test was performed in B–D. Median values are shown. Error bars represent 95% confidence interval.

Figure 4. Relationship between the size of the actin-rich leading edge and the splitting behavior at the asymmetric bifurcation in Fig. 2C. (A) Example of cells migrating in straight channels haracterized by a cross-section of $5 \times 2 \mu m^2$. Actin filaments, labeled with RFP-LifeAct, primarily localizes at the leading edge and, in a lower amount, at the uropod. (Scale bar, $10 \mu m$). (B) Relationship between the length of the actin-rich leading edge and the velocity of 37 cells migrating in straight channels with cross-section $3 \times 2 \mu m^2$. (C) Relationship between the speed of the leading edge along the path of the positive cAMPS gradient (Vleft) and the sum of the average speeds of the pseudopodia protruding in both bifurcating channels (Vleft + Vright). Data are pooled from two to four experiments at different cAMPS gradients (20 nM, 100 nM, and 1 μ M). The correlation is highly significant (F = 250, degrees of freedom = 44, P < 10-12). See SI Appendix, Fig. S4 for data at the individual concentrations. (D) Schematic representation of the actin distribution of migrating cells confined in microchannels. (E) Schematic representation a fast-moving cell with large leading edge, which tends to split. (F) Schematic representation of a slow-moving cell with a small leading edge, which tends to migrate straight toward the source of chemoattractant