ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

Crop Breeding & Genetics

Combining ability of extra-early biofortified maize inbreds under Striga infestation and low soil nitrogen

Solomon A. Oyekale^{1,2} | B. Badu-Apraku³ | Victor O. Adetimirin⁴

¹Pan African Univ. Institute of Life and Earth Sciences (PAULESI), Univ. of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria

²Dep. of Crop Production and Soil Science, Ladoke Akintola Univ. of Technology, P.M.B. 4000, Ogbomoso, Oyo State, Nigeria

³International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), P.M.B. 5320, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria

⁴Dep. of Agronomy, Univ. of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria

Correspondence

B. Badu-Apraku, International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), P.M.B. 5320, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria. Email: b.badu-apraku@cgiar.org

Assigned to Associate Editor Owen Hoekenga.

Funding information Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, Grant/Award Number: OPP1134248

Abstract

Striga hermonthica (Del.) Benth parasitism, low soil N, and nutritional deficiencies of normal-endosperm maize (Zea mays L.) threaten maize yield and exacerbate nutritional problems in sub-Sahara Africa (SSA). This study was conducted (a) to evaluate genetic variation among extra-early maturing maize hybrids with provitamin A and quality protein characteristics, (b) to investigate gene action governing the inheritance of Striga resistance, grain yield, low N tolerance, and other measured traits under low-N, high-N, and Striga-infested environments, and (c) to identify hybrids with high yield and stability across environments. One hundred and fifty hybrids developed using North Carolina Design II were evaluated with six checks under low-N, high-N, and Striga-infested environments in Nigeria. Mean squares for hybrids were highly significant (P < .01) for grain yield and other traits across environments. Only general combining ability (GCA) for female and/or male mean squares were significant for measured traits under low N. In addition to significant GCA effects for most traits, specific combining ability was significant (P < .05) for Striga emergence count under Striga infestation, and ear height and ears per plant under high N, indicating that additive and nonadditive genetic effects controlled the inheritance of few traits under Striga and high N, whereas additive genetic effect governed the inheritance of the traits under low N. Hybrids TZEEIORQ 55 × TZEEIORQ 26, TZEEIORQ 49 × TZEEIORQ 75, and TZEEIORQ 52 × TZEEIORQ 43 were high yielding and stable across environments and have potential for improving nutrition and maize yields in SSA.

_____ This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2020 The Authors. Crop Science published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of Crop Science Society of America

Abbreviations: AEA, average environment axis; ASI, anthesis-silking interval; ATC, average tester coordinate; DA, days to 50% anthesis; DS, days to 50% silking; EASP, ear aspect; EHT, ear height; EPP, ears per plant; ESP1, Striga emergence count at 8 wk after planting; ESP2, Striga emergence count at 10 wk after planting; GCA, general combining ability; GCA_f, general combining ability for female effect; GCA_m, general combining ability for male effect; GGE, genotype main effect plus genotype x environment interaction; IITA, International Institute of Tropical Agriculture; NCD II, North Carolina Design II; PASP, plant aspect; PC, principal component; PLHT, plant height; PVA-QPM, provitamin A quality protein maize; QPM, quality protein maize; SCA, specific combining ability; SDR1, Striga (host) damage rating at 8 wk after planting; SDR2, Striga (host) damage rating at 10 wk after planting; SSA, sub-Sahara Africa; WAP, weeks after planting; WCA, West and Central Africa.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Maize (Zea mays L.) is an important cereal crop in West and Central Africa (WCA) as well as the dominant staple food and crop in eastern and southern Africa (Edmonds et al., 2009). The average yield of maize in sub-Sahara Africa (SSA) is 2.4 t ha⁻¹ (FAOSTAT, 2017). This is considerably lower than the world average yield of 5.6 t ha^{-1} (FAOSTAT, 2017). Millions of people (especially the poor in rural areas) in SSA subsist on normal-endosperm maize, deficient in provitamin A (Safawo et al., 2010) and the basic amino acids tryptophan and lysine (Le, Chua, & Le, 2016), resulting in malnutrition and food insecurity in the subregion. The two- to more than fourfold projected increase in human population in SSA between 2010 and 2050 and the consequent increase in demand for maize and other major cereals may worsen the food insecurity problem in the region, unless urgent measures are taken (van Ittersum et al., 2016).

The parasitic weed, Striga, and low N are among the key stresses that constrain maize yield in SSA (Edmonds et al., 2009; Menkir, Franco, Adepoju, & Bossey, 2012). The biotic stress [Striga hermonthica (Del.) Benth] could cause 100% maize yield loss (Fajemisin, 2014), whereas the abiotic stress (low N) may reduce maize yield by close to 50% (Amegbor, Badu-Apraku, & Annor, 2017). Although there are different methods of Striga control (Emechebe et al., 2004; Teka, 2014), resistance and tolerance of host plants is the cheapest and most sustainable strategy to alleviate adverse effects of the weed on maize (Badu-Apraku et al., 2004). Maize hybrids and varieties that are resistant to Striga are the pivot of an integrated Striga control strategy (Kim & Adetimirin, 1997). Severe effects of Striga on maize are commonly observed in areas with poor soil fertility and low soil N (Sauerborn, Kranz, & Mercer-Quarshie, 2003). Nitrogen is needed for optimal growth and productivity of maize, but it is traditionally low in tropical soils (Abe, Adetimirin, Menkir, Moose, & Olaniyan, 2013; Betrán, Beck, Banziger, & Edmeades, 2003). Improvement in soil N through application of inorganic fertilizer during maize production in SSA is rarely done, and when carried out, application is at rates considerably lower than recommended rates due to prohibitive prices of fertilizer to resource-constrained farm families (Amegbor et al., 2017). In effect, maize is usually grown under N stress resulting in low yield of the crop. Although a few varieties and hybrids of maize with Striga resistance and tolerance, low N tolerance, and improved provitamin A content have been developed and commercialized in WCA (Badu-Apraku et al., 2016; Menkir, Maziya-Dixon, Mengesha, Rocheford, & Alamu, 2017), no maize hybrid with Striga resistance and tolerance, tolerance to low N, extra-earliness, and high provitamin A, lysine, and tryptophan contents is available. Such hybrids, if developed and commercialized, will help to jointly address *Striga* and low N constraints, as well as mitigate the adverse effects of vitamin A deficiency and quality protein malnutrition in WCA.

Combining ability is a crucial test that is carried out in hybrid breeding. It can provide information regarding the genetic effect controlling traits of inbreds (Machida, Derera, Tongoona, & Macrobert, 2010). The test helps in selection of promising lines that could serve as parents of hybrids in maize hybrid programs (Abera, Hussein, Derera, Worku, & Laing, 2016; Hallauer, Carena, & Miranda Filho, 2010). Different reports on gene action controlling Striga resistance and tolerance, grain yield, low N tolerance, and other traits of maize lines are available. Additive genetic effect was reported to be more important than nonadditive effect in modulating Striga resistance (Amegbor et al., 2017; Gethi & Smith, 2004), whereas nonadditive gene action played greater role in genetic control of Striga resistance trait (Akaogu et al., 2019; Kim, 1994). Also, dissimilar reports are available on the genetic effect controlling maize grain yield in low-N environments. Nonadditive genetic effect regulated grain yield under low N, whereas additive gene action controlled grain yield in high-N environments (Betrán et al., 2003; Makumbi, Betrán, Banziger, & Ribaut, 2011). In contrast, other authors reported that additive gene action controlled grain yield in low-N environments, whereas nonadditive gene action regulated yield in high-N environments (Below, Brandua, Lambert, & Teyker, 1997).

In order to breed extra-early maize hybrids with tolerance and resistance to many stresses and improved nutritional qualities in SSA, the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) began a breeding program in 2011 to develop first-generation extra-early inbred lines from the provitamin A Striga-resistant quality protein maize variety 2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM. Seventy-six extra-early maturing provitamin A quality protein maize (PVA-QPM) inbreds were extracted from the population. Although limited information is available on the per se performance of the novel inbreds under Striga, low N, and heat and drought stress, no information is available on the performance of the inbreds in hybrid combinations evaluated in low-N, Striga, and high-N environments. Equally, the genetic effects regulating Striga resistance, low N tolerance, grain yield, and other measured agronomic characters of the inbreds are yet to be investigated. Extra-earlymaturing PVA-QPM hybrids that combine high yield and stability across low N and Striga with good performance under high N are currently not available in WCA. Therefore, this study sought (a) to examine genetic variation among single-cross extra-early maize hybrids possessing PVA-QPM characteristics, (b) to investigate genetic effects

TABLE 1 Description of the 30 lines used for the study

Serial no.	Designation	Pedigree	Set	Reaction to Striga	Reaction to low N
1	TZEEIORQ ^a 24	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 21-2/6-1/3-1/2-1/2-1/1	1	Susceptible	Susceptible
2	TZEEIORQ 25	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 21-2/6-1/3-2/2-1/2-1/2	1	Tolerant	Tolerant
3	TZEEIORQ 26	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 21-2/6-1/3-2/2-2/2-2/2	1	Tolerant	Tolerant
4	TZEEIORQ 27	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 21-2/6-2/3-2/2-2/2	1	Tolerant	Tolerant
5	TZEEIORQ 29	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S5 21-2/6-3/3-2/3-2/2	1	Susceptible	Tolerant
6	TZEEIORQ 53	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 27-1/5-2/3-1/2-3/3-1/1	2	Susceptible	Susceptible
7	TZEEIORQ 55	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 27-1/5-3/3-2/3-1/2-1/2	2	Susceptible	Tolerant
8	TZEEIORQ 57	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 27-1/5-3/3-3/3-1/1-1/1	2	Tolerant	Tolerant
9	TZEEIORQ 75	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 82-2/2-2/2-1/4-1/3-1/2	2	Tolerant	Tolerant
10	TZEEIORQ 76	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 82-2/2-2/2-1/4-3/3-2/2	2	Tolerant	Tolerant
11	TZEEIORQ 33	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 22-1/3-1/2-2/4-2/2-1/1	3	Susceptible	Susceptible
12	TZEEIORQ 43	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 22-3/3-2/3-3/3-2/3-2/2	3	Tolerant	Tolerant
13	TZEEIORQ 44	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 22-3/3-3/3-1/3-1/3-1/3	3	Tolerant	Tolerant
14	TZEEIORQ 45	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 22-3/3-3/3-1/3-2/3-2/3	3	Tolerant	Tolerant
15	TZEEIORQ 49	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 22-3/3-3/3-2/2-1/1-1/1	3	Tolerant	Tolerant
16	TZEEIORQ 11	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 20-2/2-3/3-1/2-1/2-1/1	4	Susceptible	Susceptible
17	TZEEIORQ 52	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 27-1/5-2/3-2/2-2/3-1/1	4	Tolerant	Tolerant
18	TZEEIORQ 56	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 27-1/5-3/3-2/3-2/2-2/2	4	Tolerant	Susceptible
19	TZEEIORQ 61	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 27-5/5-1/2-1/3-2/3-1/1	4	Tolerant	Tolerant
20	TZEEIORQ 62	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 27-5/5-1/2-3/3-2/3-1/1	4	Tolerant	Tolerant
21	TZEEIORQ 5	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 19-1/2-2/2-2/2-1/2-1/1	5	Tolerant	Tolerant
22	TZEEIORQ 28	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 21-2/6-3/3-2/3-1/3-1/2	5	Tolerant	Tolerant
23	TZEEIORQ 32	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 21-5/6-1/2-1/2-3/3-1/1	5	Tolerant	Tolerant
24	TZEEIORQ 30	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 21-2/6-3/3-3/3-1/3-1/2	5	Tolerant	Tolerant
25	TZEEIORQ 69	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 34-1/1-3/3-1/1-2/4-1/2	5	Tolerant	Tolerant
26	TZEEIORQ 35	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 22-1/3-1/2-3/4-1/2-1/1	6	Susceptible	Susceptible
27	TZEEIORQ 41	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 22-3/3-1/3-2/3-3/4-1/1	6	Tolerant	Tolerant
28	TZEEIORQ 42	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 22-3/3-2/3-3/3-1/3-1/2	6	Tolerant	Tolerant
29	TZEEIORQ 54	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 27-1/5-3/3-1/3-2/2-1/1	6	Tolerant	Tolerant
30	TZEEIORQ 64	2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM S6 27-5/5-2/2-1/2-2/2-2/2	6	Tolerant	Tolerant

^aTZEEIORQ, tropical Zea extra-early provitamin A quality protein maize inbred.

for *Striga* resistance, grain yield, low N tolerance, and other characters of extra-early PVA-QPM hybrids under low N, high N, and *Striga* conditions, and (c) to identify hybrids that combine high yield and stability across environments.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Genetic materials and mating design used

Thirty inbred lines were selected from the lines extracted from the extra-early-maturing PVA-QPM variety 2009 TZEE-OR2 STR QPM developed by IITA, Nigeria. Selection of the lines was based on their varying responses in *Striga*-infested and low-N environments. In addition, kernels with deep orange color and appropriate modification for QPM characteristics (using a light box), indicating the presence of opaque-2 recessive alleles (Krivanek, De Groote, Gunaratna, Diallo, & Friesen, 2007), were selected. Pedigree information and reactions of the 30 selected inbreds to Striga and low N are shown in Table 1. The 30 inbreds were categorized into six unique sets. Each set comprised five PVA-QPM inbreds. Of the five lines in each set, one was susceptible to Striga hermonthica and/or low N (Table 1). Five inbreds in each group were used as female parents in one set and crossed with another five lines as male parents, in a separate set, in the North Carolina Design II (NCD II) (Comstock & Robinson, 1948). One hundred and fifty extra-early PVA-QPM hybrids were generated. These hybrids and six Striga and low-N-tolerant extra-early maturing normal endosperm yellow hybrids [TZEEI 79 × TZEEI 9, TZEE-Y Pop STR C5 × TZEEI 58, TZdEEI 1 × TZdEEI 9, TZEE-Y Pop STR C5 × TZEEI 82, TZEEI 9 × TZEEI 12, and (TZEEI 82 × TZEEI 79) × TZEEI 95] used as checks were assessed under low N, high N, and artificial *Striga* infestation in Nigeria.

2.2 | Field evaluations and management

The 150 NCD II crosses and the six hybrid checks were studied under low- and high-N conditions at Ile-Ife (7°28' N, 4°33' E; 244 m altitude; 1,350 mm annual rainfall) in 2016. Similarly, the hybrids were examined under low- and high-N environments at Mokwa (9°18' N, 5°4' E; 457 m altitude; 1,100 mm annual rainfall) in 2016 and 2017, and under high-N conditions at Abuja (9°15' N, 7°20' E; 300 m altitude; 1,700 mm annual rainfall) in 2017. These translate to three low-N and four high-N environments for evaluation of the hybrids and the checks. A 12×13 simple lattice design was used in all the evaluations. Continuous maize planting and removal of the stover after every harvest for many years were used to deplete the low-N fields of N. The total soil P, N, and K were quantified using colorimetric and Kjeldahl digestion method (Bremner & Mulvaney, 1982). At Ile-Ife, the soil had 0.84 g kg^{-1} of N, 2.05 mg kg⁻¹ of P, and 0.36 cmol kg⁻¹ of K, whereas the soil at Mokwa had 0.85 g kg⁻¹ of N, 6.32 mg kg⁻¹ of P, and 0.20 cmol kg⁻¹ of K. Based on the results of the soil tests, urea was applied to bring the available N in the high-N plots to 90 kg N ha⁻¹, whereas the low-N plots were brought up to a total of 30 kg N ha⁻¹. Nitrogen application was done at 2 wk after planting (WAP) and 4 WAP in equalsplit doses. Whereas 15 kg N ha^{-1} was applied to the low-N field at 2 WAP, high-N plots received 45 kg N ha⁻¹ from urea. Also, at 2 WAP, low- and high-N fields received 60 kg P ha⁻¹ and 60 kg K ha⁻¹ as single superphosphate (P_2O_5) and muriate of potash (K₂O), respectively. At 4 WAP, lowand high-N plots were appropriately top-dressed with urea to achieve the two N levels used in the study. Plots consisted of single rows, each 4 m in length. Spacing between rows was 0.75 m, and spacing within rows was 0.40 m. At planting, three seeds were sown per hole. Two WAP, seedlings were thinned to two plants per stand in order to achieve 66,666 plants ha⁻¹. Weeds were controlled using Primextra and Gramoxone at the rate of 5 L ha^{-1} each of atrazine (2-chloro-4-ethylamino-6-isopropylamino-1, 3, 5-triazine) and paraquat (N,N'-bipyridinium dichloride) as pre- and postemergence herbicides, respectively. The chemical weed control was augmented with manual weeding as the need arose.

In addition, the 150 hybrids along with the six checks were assessed under artificial infestation with *Striga hermonthica* at Mokwa and Abuja in 2016 and 2017. Apart

from the plot length, which was 3 m under Striga, the experimental design, number of replications, and interand intra-row spacing were the same as for low-N and high-N experiments. Prior to sowing of seeds, artificial Striga infestation of the field was carried out according to Kim (1991). Each planting hole was infested with 8.5 g of the sand-Striga mixture (containing ~5,000 germinable Striga seeds) prior to planting (Badu-Apraku et al., 2016). Three seeds were sown per infested hole. At 2 WAP, seedlings were thinned to two plants per stand resulting in 66,666 plants ha⁻¹. Under Striga, fertilizer (15–15–15 N– P-K) application was carried out 4 WAP at the rate of 30 kg ha⁻¹ for N, P₂O₅, and K₂O. Weeds were removed by hand, except Striga plants. In all the experiments, fall armyworms (Spodoptera frugiperda) were controlled using Ampligo (a.i. 100 g L^{-1} chlorantraniliprole + 50 g L^{-1} lamda-cyhalothrin) at 300 ml ha $^{-1}$.

2.3 | Data collection

This was carried out on plot basis under low-N, high-N, and Striga-infested environments. Number of days to 50% silking (DS) was the number of days when 50% of the plants in each plot had emerged silks. Number of days to 50% anthesis (DA) was the total number of days that 50% of maize plants in each plot had shed pollen. The difference between DS and DA represented the anthesis-silking interval (ASI). Plant and ear heights were measured as the distance between the base of the plant and the first branch of the tassel, and the distance between the base of the plant and the node carrying the uppermost ear, respectively. Ears per plant (EPP) was estimated as the ratio of the total number of ears harvested per plot to number of plants harvested per plot. Ear aspect (EASP) was assessed on a scale of 1-9, where 1 = large, uniform, clean, and well-filled ears, and 9 = small, variable, rotten, and partially filled ears. Husk cover was rated on a scale of 1–5, where 1 = husksfirmly arranged with ear tip covered, and 5 = husks loosely arranged with ear tip exposed. Under low-N conditions, stay-green characteristic (STGR) was rated on a scale of 1-9, where 1 =almost 100% of the leaves were green, and 9 =almost 100% of the leaves were dead. In low- and high-N environments, plant aspect (PASP) was visually rated on a scale of 1–9 based on plant type, where 1 = excellent plant type, and 9 = poor plant type (Badu-Apraku et al., 2016). Additional data collected under artificial Striga infestation included Striga (host) damage rating and Striga emergence count at 8 and 10 WAP. Striga (host) damage was visually scored on a scale of 1–9, where 1 = normal plant growthwith no visible symptoms, and 9 = total scorching of all leaves, causing premature death of host plant with no ear formation, whereas Striga emergence count was recorded

as the number of *Striga* plants that emerged per plot at 8 and 10 WAP (Adetimirin, Aken'Ova, & Kim, 2000). Under low N, grain yield (kg ha⁻¹) was estimated from grain weight and grain moisture content and thereafter adjusted to 15%. However, under *Striga* and high N, grain yield (kg ha⁻¹) was determined from field weight of cobs, assuming 80% shelling percentage, and subsequently adjusted to 15% moisture content.

2.4 | Chemical analyses of seed samples of extra-early PVA-QPM hybrids for carotenoid, lysine, and tryptophan contents

Seed samples of crosses used for carotenoid, lysine, and tryptophan analyses were obtained through selfing the first and last two plants in each plot of the Design II crosses and checks (Suwarno, Pixley, Palacios-Rojas, Kaeppler, & Babu, 2014). The samples were obtained from plants under high N at Ile-Ife and Mokwa in 2016. Self-pollinated ears per plot, for each location, were separately harvested, dried, and shelled (Azmach, Gedil, Menkir, & Spillane, 2013). The processed seed samples were then stored at 4 $^{\circ}$ C (for ~5 mo) in the cold storage equipment of IITA. Subsequently, random samples of 20-30 maize kernels from the top-yielding and stable PVA-QPM hybrids along with the best check, obtained from composite grains of the hybrid trials of 2016 at Mokwa and Ile-Ife, were drawn from the storage. The samples were dispatched to the International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIM-MYT) for carotenoid, lysine and tryptophan analyses. The maize kernels of the selected hybrids, at CIMMYT, were frozen at -80 °C and ground to fine powder (0.5 µm). The institute's laboratory protocols for carotenoid analysesnamely, extraction, separation, and quantification by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)-were used (Galicia, Nurit, Rosales, & Palacios-Rojas, 2009). The following carotenoids were determined from each sample: lutein, zeaxanthin, beta-carotene (all-trans, 9-cis, and 13cis isomers), and beta-cryptoxanthin. Total provitamin A content of each hybrid was computed according to Suwarno et al. (2014) as: total provitamin A = 0.5(betacryptoxanthin) + beta-carotene (all-trans + 9-cis + 13cis isomers). Quantification of the percentages of tryptophan and lysine in whole grain of the hybrids were carried out according to Nurit, Tiessen, Pixley, and Palacios-Rojas (2009). Each whole grain sample was ground and defatted using a Kjeldahl apparatus, and an enzyme, papain, was added to hydrolyze the protein. A mixture of glacial acetic acid and H₂SO₄ was added to induce a purple color, and the concentration of the color induced was determined with a spectrophotometer at 560 nm. The reading from the spectrophotometer was converted to percentage tryptophan:

Percentage of tryptophan = Corrected OD at $560nm \times Factor$

where corrected optical density $(OD) = OD_{560\text{-nm sample}} - OD_{560\text{-nm average of papain blanks}}$, and

 $Factor = \frac{Hydrolysate volume}{Standard curve slope \times Sample weight}$

2.5 | Data analysis

Prior to statistical analyses, *Striga* (host) damage at 8 and 10 WAP (SDR1 and SDR2, respectively) and *Striga* emergence counts at 8 and 10 WAP (ESP1 and ESP2, respectively) were log transformed to achieve variance homogeneity (Badu-Apraku et al., 2010). In this study, each year–location combination was a test environment (Ukalski & Klisz, 2016). Analyses of variance for each environment and across environments were carried out on plot means. In the combined ANOVA across research environments, replicates, environments, and incomplete blocks within replications were considered random factors, whereas genotype was considered a fixed effect (Suwarno et al., 2014).

The ANOVA for the 150 NCD II crosses were pooled over sets for each environment (Hallauer et al., 2010) and across research environments using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, 2012). The hybrid component of the source of variation was divided into variation due to males (sets), females (sets), and female \times male (sets) interaction. The F tests for male (sets), female (sets), and male \times female (sets) mean squares were conducted using male (sets) \times environment, female (sets) × environment, and male × female (sets) \times environment mean squares, respectively. Mean squares of male (sets) \times environment, female (sets) \times environment, and male \times female (sets) \times environment were tested using pooled error mean square (table not shown). The general combining ability (GCA) effects for female and male within sets (GCA_f and GCA_m) and specific combining ability (SCA) for each trait were estimated according to Kearsey and Pooni (1996) as shown below:

$$GCA_f = X_f - \mu$$

 $GCA_m = X_m - \mu$

where GCA_f and GCA_m are the GCA effects of female and male parents respectively; X_f and X_m are the average performance of a line when it was used as a female and male in crosses, respectively, and μ is the overall mean of crosses in the set. Specific combining ability (SCA) effect for the crosses was estimated as

$$SCA_X = XX - E_{(XX)}$$

meaning

$$SCA_X = XX - (GCA_f + GCA_m + \mu)$$

where SCA_X is SCA of the cross X; XX is the observed mean value of the cross; $E_{(XX)}$ is the expected mean value of the cross (on the basis of GCA of parents); and μ is the overall mean of crosses.

Standard errors for testing significance of GCA_m and GCA_f estimates, for the trait of hybrid, were computed from the mean squares of $GCA_m \times$ environment and $GCA_f \times$ environment, respectively, as shown below:

SE for GCA_m =
$$\sqrt{\text{MS}}_{\text{m} \times e} / (f \times e \times r)$$

SE for GCA_f = $\sqrt{\text{MS}}_{\text{f} \times e} / (m \times e \times r)$

where $MS_{m \times e}$ and $MS_{f \times e}$ are the mean squares of the interaction between male and environment, as well as female × environment, respectively; *f*, *m*, *r*, and *e* are the number of females, males, replicates, and environments, respectively.

Performance across low-N, *Striga*, and high-N environments of extra-early PVA-QPM hybrids was examined using a multiple-trait base index. The base index combined grain yield performance across environments, as well as other important agronomic traits under each environment as seen in Badu-Apraku et al. (2016) and shown below:

Multiple – trait base index =

 $\begin{bmatrix} 2(yield) + EPP - EASP - STGR - PASP \\ -(SDR1 + SDR2) - 0.5(ESP1 + ESP2) \end{bmatrix}$

where yield and EPP are the grain yield and EPP across environments, respectively; EASP is the ear aspect across environments; STGR is the stay-green characteristic the in low-N environment; PASP is the plant aspect across low- and high-N conditions; SDR1 and SDR2 are the *Striga* (host) damage rating at 8 and 10 WAP in the *Striga* environment, respectively; and ESP1 and ESP2 are the number of emerged *Striga* plants at 8 and 10 WAP in the *Striga* environment, respectively.

The means, adjusted for block effect, of each genotype for each measured variable was standardized to reduce the effects of the varying scales used. A positive multiple trait base index value showed tolerance of the genotype to both low N and *Striga*, whereas a negative multiple trait index value indicated susceptibility of the genotype to the stresses (Badu-Apraku et al., 2015). Grain yield of the 20 top-performing and five worst extra-early PVA-QPM hybrids (as indicated by the multiple trait base index) along with six checks were subjected to genotype main effect plus genotype \times environment interaction (GGE) biplot analysis using genotype x environment analysis with R for Windows (GEA-R) software (Pacheco et al., 2016). The "mean versus stability" view of the GGE biplot was used to identify hybrids with high yield and stability across *Striga*, low-N, and high-N environments. The model used is as shown below:

$$Y_{ij} - Y_j = \lambda_1 \xi_{i1} \eta_{j1} + \lambda_2 \xi_{i2} \eta_{j2} + \Sigma_{ij}$$

where Y_{ij} is the average yield of genotype *i* in environment *j*; Y_j is the average yield across all genotypes in environment *j*; λ_1 and λ_2 are the singular values for principal components PC1 and PC2, respectively; ξ_{i1} and ξ_{i2} are the PC1 and PC2 scores, respectively, for genotype *i*; η_{j1} and η_{j2} are the PC1 and PC2 scores, respectively, for environment *j*; and Σ_{ij} is the residual of the model associated with genotype *i* in environment *j*. The data used for the analysis were not transformed (transform = 0) or standardized (scale = 0) but were environment centered (centering = 2) (Yan, 2001).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | ANOVA for grain yield and other important agronomic traits under low N, high N, *Striga*, and across environments

Results of ANOVA for each environment (low N, high N, and *Striga*) and across environments indicated that hybrid had significant (P < .01) mean squares for grain yield and other agronomic traits, except EPP under low N (Table 2) and ear height (EHT) under *Striga* (Table 3). Mean squares of environment and hybrid × environment interaction were significant (P < .01) for all traits determined under each environment and across 11 research environments except hybrid × environment effect for yield, EPP, EASP, and PASP under low N (Table 2) and hybrid × environment mean square for EHT under *Striga* (Table 3).

Under low N, GCA_m and GCA_f were significant for DS, DA, and plant height (PLHT); only GCA_m was significant for EHT, whereas only GCA_f was significant for ASI and STGR (Table 2). Specific combining ability was not significant for any characters determined under low N (Table 2). Under high N, five traits (DS, DA, ASI, EASP, and PLHT)

TABLE 2Mean squares obtained from the combined ANOVA for grain yield and other agronomic traits of extra-early provitamin Aquality protein maize hybrids evaluated across low- and high-N environments in 2016 and 2017 at Ile-Ife, Mokwa, and Abuja in Nigeria

Source of variation ^a	df	Yield	EPP	DS	DA	ASI	EASP	PLHT	EHT	PASP	STGR
		kg ha $^{-1}$	no.		d		1–9	cm_		1·	_9
Low N											
Env	2	659,741,995	2.34	265.24	329.88	3.64	1.260	426,815.80***	106,595.41	0.620	4.390
Sets	5	9,753,105	0.07**	78.98	97.71	1.84***	0.040	677.07	885.38	0.030	0.040
$Env \times sets$	10	409,789ns [†]	0.03ns	3.54	2.92*	0.36ns	0.004ns	352.00	345.82	0.002ns	0.005ns
Rep (env \times sets)	15	303,311ns	0.01ns	0.93ns	1.42ns	0.37ns	0.003ns	166.83ns	129.35ns	0.002ns	0.005ns
Block (env \times rep)	72	4,550,732	0.04	3.91	3.44	0.36ns	0.020	520.71	317.00	0.010	0.010
Hybrids	155	1,519,635	0.03ns	10.41	1.43	0.63	0.006	357.24	201.00	0.004	0.008
GCA _m /sets	24	1,343,211ns	0.03ns	20.40***	19.42	0.96ns	0.005ns	638.24	243.74	0.003ns	0.006ns
GCA _f /sets	24	1,686,096ns	0.02ns	13.54	15.28	0.76	0.005ns	638.03	236.19ns	0.002ns	0.010
SCA/sets	96	971,647ns	0.02ns	1.84ns	1.84ns	0.51ns	0.004ns	158.48ns	109.21ns	0.003ns	0.006ns
Hybrids \times env	310	891,820ns	0.03ns	1.73	11.43	0.50	0.004ns	198.34	117.69	0.002ns	0.005
$\text{GCA}_{\text{m}}/\text{sets} \times \text{env}$	48	1,142,891ns	0.03	1.90ns	1.81	0.69	0.006ns	266.13	119.40ns	0.003	0.006
$\text{GCA}_{\rm f}/\text{sets} \times \text{env}$	48	1,063,324ns	0.02ns	2.31	2.10	0.38ns	0.005ns	293.37	139.08	0.002ns	0.005ns
$SCA/sets \times env$	192	785,173ns	0.02ns	1.56ns	1.50ns	0.52^{*}	0.003ns	136.05ns	91.35ns	0.002ns	0.005
Pooled error	360	838,902	0.02	1.36	1.26	0.42	0.005	137.32	87.17	0.002	0.004
High N											
Env	3	980,235,113	8.57	1,380.58	1,279.08	3.85	0.670	385,702.10	185,906.59	0.190	-
Sets	5	15,632,843	0.08	146.98	165.62	3.79	0.050	2,133.51	949.24	0.030	-
$Env \times sets$	15	3,707,493	0.03	4.09	6.92	1.47	0.020	334.91	561.83	0.003ns	-
Rep (env \times sets)	20	836,950ns	0.01ns	1.24ns	1.47ns	0.43ns	0.002ns	194.93ns	134.32ns	0.002ns	-
Block (env \times rep)	96	2,656,587	0.03	5.56	4.73	0.40ns	0.010	495.48	322.68	0.010	-
Hybrids	155	3,095,245	0.03	17.05	17.34***	0.96	0.010	505.04	280.66	0.003	-
GCA _m /sets	24	3,508,289ns	0.03ns	29.97	26.24	1.25	0.010	683.61	236.83ns	0.005	-
GCA _f /sets	24	5,600,240	0.05	25.70	22.42	1.59	0.020	808.85	463.53	0.004ns	-
SCA/sets	96	1,267,125ns	0.02	2.62ns	2.34ns	0.43ns	0.010ns	203.18ns	203.34*	0.002ns	-
Hybrids \times env	465	1,659,140	0.02	2.44**	2.43	0.52	0.010	233.16	189.28	0.004	-
$\text{GCA}_{\text{m}}/\text{sets} \times \text{env}$	72	2,341,336	0.02	2.54*	2.20	0.75	0.010	205.81ns	159.55ns	0.003ns	-
$GCA_{\rm f}/\text{sets} \times \text{env}$	72	2,029,230	0.03	2.57	2.11ns	0.59	0.010	305.19	243.37	0.004	_
$SCA/sets \times env$	288	1,223,003	0.02ns	2.19ns	2.06*	0.36ns	0.010	188.66ns	155.37ns	0.003ns	-
Pooled error	480	960,143	0.02	1.92	1.64	0.37	0.004	159.11	150.47	0.003	-

Note. Yield, grain yield; EPP, number of ears per plant; DS, days to 50% silking; DA, days to 50% anthesis; ASI, anthesis–silking interval; EASP, ear aspect, where 1 = large, uniform, clean, and well-filled ears, and 9 = small, variable, rotten, and partially filled ears; PLHT, plant height; EHT, ear height; PASP, plant aspect, where 1 = accellent plant type, and 9 = poor plant type; STGR, stay-green characteristic, where 1 = almost 100% of the leaves were green, and 9 = almost 100% of the leaves were dead.

^aEnv, environment; rep, replication; GCA, general combining ability; SCA, specific combining ability; GCA_m, GCA for male effect; GCA_f, GCA for female effect. *Significant at the .05 probability level.

**Significant at the .01 probability level.

***Significant at the .001 probability level.

[†]ns, not significant.

had significant mean squares for both GCA_m and GCA_f , whereas SCA was significant for two (EPP and EHT) of the nine traits (Table 2). Significant GCA_m mean square alone was observed for PASP, whereas only GCA_f was significant for grain yield under high N (Table 2). Under *Striga*, all three combining ability estimates (GCA_m , GCA_f , and SCA mean squares) were significant only for ESP1 and ESP2 (Table 3). The GCA_m and GCA_f were significant for DS, SDR1, and SDR2, and GCA_m alone was significant for ASI and PLHT, whereas only GCA_f was significant for yield, EPP, EASP, and husk cover under *Striga* infestation (Table 3). None of the three combining ability mean squares were significant for EHT under *Striga* (Table 3). Across 11 environments, GCA_m was significant

Crop Science	

Mean squares obtained from combined ANOVA for grain yield and other agronomic traits of extra-early provitamin A quality protein maize hybrids evaluated under Striga

TABLE 3

infestation at Mokwa and	Abuja ir	12 yr and across 11	l research ei	nvironments	s (low N, hig	th N, and <i>Stri</i> g	ga-infested) at	Ile-Ife (2016), N	lokwa, and A	vbuja (2016	and 2017) in	Nigeria	
Source of variation ^a	df	Yield	EPP	DS	ASI	EASP	PLHT	EHT	HUSK	SDR1	SDR2	ESP1	ESP2
		kg ha ⁻¹	no.	 		1–9			1–5		6-		
Striga infestation													
Env	ю	356,066,215	3.81	389.12	137.45	0.360	14,052.87	50,181.73	0.976	0.79	0.35	660.24	623.22
Sets	5	11,502,129	0.31	172.12	25.56	0.040	228.57ns [†]	180.58ns	0.047	0.18	0.10	5.76	4.93
$Env \times sets$	15	5,136,911	0.16	15.70	9.68	0.060	358.86	144.15ns	0.027	0.04	0.03	1.84	1.86
Rep (env \times sets)	20	1,592,176ns	0.04ns	4.19ns	2.21ns	0.004ns	190.72ns	100.68ns	0.005ns	0.01ns	0.00ns	0.91ns	0.75ns
Block (env \times rep)	96	4,818,338	0.09	11.60	3.51	0.020	557.25	230.49	0.012	0.03	0.02	2.65	2.69
Hybrids	155	2,082,771	0.07	22.39	4.37	0.010	286.47	136.90ns	0.008	0.02	0.01	1.63	1.81
$GCA_m/sets$	24	1,670,351ns	0.09ns	40.53	7.53	0.008ns	481.07	158.20ns	0.009ns	0.02	0.02	2.38	2.72
$GCA_{\rm f}/sets$	24	3,451,170	0.13	31.89	6.98ns	0.010	404.20ns	138.86ns	0.013	0.03	0.02	1.83^{*}	2.71
SCA/sets	96	1,273,554ns	0.04ns	5.28ns	2.35ns	0.005ns	205.13ns	131.14ns	0.004ns	0.01ns	0.01 ns	1.23	1.25
Hybrids × env	465	1,490,488	0.05	5.86	3.17	0.010	206.04	124.38ns	0.006	0.01	0.01	1.02^{*}	1.01
$GCA_m/sets \times env$	72	2,169,393	0.07	8.17	3.96	0.020	217.24	131.88ns	0.010	0.01"	0.01"	1.34"	1.23
$GCA_f/sets \times env$	72	1,507,631	0.05	7.91	5.10	0.010	260.91	138.44ns	0.010	0.01"	0.01	0.92ns	0.95ns
SCA/sets × env	288	1,095,362ns	0.04ns	4.55ns	2.36ns	0.006ns	175.76ns	113.28ns	0.004ns	0.01ns	0.005ns	0.93ns	0.92ns
Pooled error	480	1,050,007	0.04	4.11	2.20	0.010	163.65	127.79	0.004	0.01	0.005	0.82	0.82
													(Continues)

OYEKALE ET AL.

Source of variation ^a	df	Yield	EPP	DS	ISA	EASP	PLHT	EHT	HUSK	SDR1	SDR2	ESP1	ESP2
Across 11 environments													
Env	10	829,772,426	4.94	1,321.59	149.16	0.620	214,309.90	99,728.02	3.762	I	I	I	I
Sets	5	19,955,890	0.16	389.67	20.95	0.070	2,200.07	1,762.54	0.033	I	I	I	I
$Env \times sets$	50	4,352,220	.000	7.71	4.18	0.030	393.85	313.59	0.019	I	I	I	I
Rep (env \times sets)	55	966,040ns	0.02ns	2.23ns	1.06ns	0.005ns	192.27ns	126.34	0.004ns	I	I	I	I
Block (env × rep)	264	3,959,263	0.05	7.31	1.52	0.020	535.67	288.62	0.011	I	I	I	I
Hybrids	155	3,734,831	0.05	47.73	3.35	0.020	383.24	383.24	0.008	I	I	I	I
$GCA_m/sets$	24	3,327,566	0.06ns	83.97	5.80	0.020^{*}	1,414.23	419.52	0.007ns	I	I	I	I
GCA _f /sets	24	6,199,159	0.07	63.89	4.93	0.020	1,419.59	550.56	0.010ns	I	I	I	I
SCA/sets	96	1,510,552	0.03ns	5.20	1.16ns	0.010ns	203.97ns	197.32"	0.006ns	I	I	I	I
Hybrids \times env	1,550	1,488,203	0.03	3.51	1.53	0.010	160.95	160.95	0.006	I	I	I	I
$GCA_m/sets \times env$	240	1,921,600	0.04	4.30	1.93	0.010	214.34	139.76ns	0.007	I	I	I	I
$GCA_f/sets \times env$	240	1,749,478	0.04	4.24	2.18	0.010	297.43	184.83	0.009	I	I	I	I
SCA/sets × env	960	1,055,899ns	0.03ns	2.81ns	1.14ns	0.010ns	175.25ns	132.15ns	0.005ns	I	I	I	I
Pooled error	1,309	960,771	0.02	2.57	1.05	0.010	161.67	133.11	0.004	I	I	I	I
Vote. Yield, grain yield; EPP, nun	ther of ear	rs per plant; DS, day:	s to 50% silki	ng; ASI, anthesi	is-silking inte	rval; EASP, ear	r aspect, where 1 =	 large, uniform, cl 	lean, and well-	filled ears, a	and $9 = smal$	l, variable, 1	otten, and

(Continued)

TABLE 3

partially filled ears; PLHT, plant height; EHT, ear height; HUSK, husk cover, where 1 = husks firmly arranged with ear tip covered, and 5 = husks loosely arranged with ear tip exposed; SDR1, Striga damage rating at 8 WAP, where 1 = normal plant growth with no visible symptoms, and 9 = total scorching of all leaves, causing premature death of host plant with no ear formation; SDR2, Striga damage rating at 10 WAP; ESP1, number of emerged Striga plant at 8 WAP; ESP2, number of emerged Striga plants at 10 WAP. Note

^a Env, environment; rep, replication; GCA, general combining ability; SCA, specific combining ability; GCA_m, GCA for male effect; GCA_f, GCA for female effect.

*Significant at the .05 probability level.

**Significant at the .01 probability level.

***Significant at the .001 probability level.

ns, not significant.

TABLE 4 Proportion of sum of squares contributed by general combining ability of the inbreds used as male parents (GCA_m), GCA of the inbreds used as female parents (GCA_f), and specific combining ability (SCA) for selected traits of extra-early provitamin A quality protein maize hybrids evaluated for 2 yr under low N, high N, *Striga*, and across environments at Ile-Ife, Mokwa, and Abuja in Nigeria

Trait	Environment	GCA _m	GCA _f	SCA	GCA_f/GCA_m
			%		
Yield, kg ha $^{-1}$	Low N	20	24	56	1.2
	High N	25	39	36	1.6
	Striga	16	34	50	2.1
	Across	21	40	39	1.9
No. of ears per plant	Low N	20	14	66	0.7
	High N	15	29	56	1.9
	Striga	25	34	41	1.4
	Across	23	28	49	1.2
Ear aspect	Low N	18	18	64	1.0
	High N	23	32	45	1.4
	Striga	19	30	51	1.6
	Across	32	24	44	0.8
Plant aspect	Low N	18	16	66	0.9
	High N	23	24	53	1.0
	Striga	-	-	-	-
	Across	29	27	44	0.9
Stay-green characteristic	Low N	15	28	57	1.9
	High N	-	-	-	-
	Striga	-	-	-	-
	Across	-	-	-	-
Striga (host) damage rating at 8 WAP ^a	Low N	-	-	-	-
	High N	-	-	-	-
	Striga	27	40	33	1.5
	Across	-	-	-	-
Striga emergence count at 10 WAP	Low N	_	-	-	_
	High N	_	_	-	_
	Striga	26	26	48	1.0
	Across	_	-	-	_

^aWAP, weeks after planting.

for six of the eight traits, whereas GCA_f was significant for seven traits (yield, EPP, DS, ASI, EASP, PLHT, and EHT). The SCA was significant for only three of the eight traits namely, yield, DS, and EHT (Table 3). Although $GCA_f \times$ environment and $GCA_m \times$ environment interaction effects were not significant for grain yield and EASP under low N, they were significant for grain yield and several other traits in each of high N (Table 2), *Striga*, and across environments (Table 3). The SCA \times environment effect was significant for two traits (ASI and STGR) under low N, for three traits (grain yield, DA, and EASP) under high N (Table 2), but it was not significant for any of the traits determined under *Striga* (Table 3).

3.2 | Percentages of hybrid sum of squares attributed to pooled GCA and SCA, as well as maternal effects for grain yield and other agronomic traits of the extra-early PVA-QPM under low N, high N, *Striga*, and across environments

Although the percentage contributions of GCA and SCA to hybrid sum of squares revealed greater contribution from SCA to the total variation than GCA for grain yield, EPP, EASP, PASP, and STGR under low N (Table 4), SCA mean squares were not significant for these traits and other traits under the stress. The contribution of SCA

sum of squares to hybrid sum of squares was greater than GCA sum of squares for EPP and PASP under high N (Table 4), but SCA mean square was not significant for PASP. Under *Striga*, GCA and SCA made comparable contribution to hybrid sum of squares for grain yield and EASP, but GCA made greater contribution to *Striga*-related traits (Table 4).

The ratio of GCA_f sum of squares to GCA_m sum of squares for grain yield ranged from 1.2 to 2.1 under low N, high N, *Striga*, and across environments (Table 4). For EPP, GCA_f was lower than GCA_m only under low N. Under high N, *Striga*, and across environments, the ratio of GCA_f to GCA_m for EPP ranged from 1.2 to 1.9 (Table 4). Stay-green characteristic was determined only under low N; for this, the ratio of GCA_f to GCA_m sum of squares was 1.9. The ratio of GCA_f and GCA_m for EASP under low N, PASP under high N, and ESP2 was 1.0. The ratio of GCA_f to GCA_m sum of squares was 1.5 for SDR1.

3.3 | GCA for male and female effects for grain yield, *Striga* resistance indicator traits, and stay-green characteristic

Under low-N conditions, TZEEIORQ 53 and TZEEIORQ 5 of the 30 inbreds evaluated showed significant and positive GCA_f effect for grain yield, whereas only TZEEIORQ 64 showed significant positive GCA_m effect for this trait (Table 5). Under the high-N environment, inbreds TZEEIORQ 27, TZEEIORQ 53, TZEEIORQ 56, and TZEEIORQ 5 showed significant and positive GCA_f effect for grain yield. Under Striga infestation, TZEEIORQ 61 and TZEEIORQ 35 exhibited significant and positive GCA_f effect for grain yield (Table 5). Across environments, inbreds TZEEIORQ 27, TZEEIORQ 53, TZEEIORQ 61, TZEEIORQ 5, and TZEEIORQ 35 exhibited significant and positive GCA_f effect for grain yield, whereas only TZEEIORQ 64 displayed significant and positive GCA_m effect for the trait across environments (Table 5). The GCA_f and GCA_m effects for STGR were significant and negative for the inbred TZEEIORQ 52. Significant and negative GCA_f effect only was detected for STGR in inbreds TZEEIORQ 33 and TZEEIORQ 61. Under Striga infestation, 5 of the 30 lines showed significant and negative GCA_m or GCA_f for SDR2. These were TZEEIORQ 53, TZEEIORQ 33, TZEEIORQ 61, TZEEIORQ 69, and TZEEIORQ 35 (Table 5). Of these, only TZEEIORQ 53, TZEEIORQ 61, and TZEEIORQ 69 had significant and negative GCA_m and/or GCA_f for ESP2.

3.4 | Multiple trait base index values for the highest- and lowest-yielding extra-early PVA-QPM hybrids along with the best check

Grain yield of extra-early PVA-QPM hybrids across research environments (low N, high N, and *Striga*) varied from 2,767 kg ha⁻¹ for TZEEIORQ 30 × TZEEIORQ 11 to 4,950 kg ha⁻¹ for TZEEIORQ 25 × TZEEIORQ 64 with an average of 3,937 kg ha⁻¹ (Table 6). Of the 15 extra-early PVA-QPM hybrids with the best base index values along with the best check, Hybrid TZEEIORQ 33 × TZEEIORQ 75 had the best multiple base index value (8.97), whereas the multiple trait base index value was lowest for the best extra-early yellow check, TZEEI 79 × TZEEI 9 (1.12) (Table 6).

3.5 | Mean grain yield and stability of extra-early PVA-QPM hybrids across 11 (three low N, four *Striga*, and four high N) environments

The PC1 of the "mean versus stability" view of the biplot explained 40.6% of the total variation in grain yield of the hybrids, whereas PC2 accounted for 15.3% of the variation in yield across research environments (Figure 1). Hybrids 7 (TZEEIORQ 55 × TZEEIORQ 26), 12 (TZEEIORQ 44 \times TZEEIORQ 55), 13 (TZEEIORQ 49 \times TZEEIORQ 75), 4 (TZEEIORQ 53 × TZEEIORQ 25), 14 (TZEEIORQ 52 \times TZEEIORQ 43), and 18 (TZEEIORQ 61 \times TZEEIORQ 43) had the longest projections on the average environment axis (AEA) with above-mean performance, whereas Hybrids 28 (TZEEIORQ 9 × TZEEIORQ 12) and 21 (TZEEIORQ 30 × TZEEIORQ 11) had the longest projection on AEA with below-average performance (Figure 1). Nine of the 15 highest-yielding and most stable PVA-QPM hybrids selected for provitamin A, tryptophan, and lysine analyses in 2016 had higher provitamin A values than the average provitamin A level (6.06 $\mu g g^{-1}$) of the hybrids (Table 7). The hybrid with the highest provitamin A content, TZEEIORQ 33 × TZEEIORQ 55 (8.70 μ g g⁻¹), outperformed the best normal endosperm yellow single-cross hybrid check, TZEEI 79 \times TZEEI 9 (2.86 µg g⁻¹), by 67% (Table 7). Also, the level of tryptophan was highest (0.06%)in six hybrids, including the best check, and lowest in two PVA-QPM hybrids, whereas lysine content was lowest in Hybrid TZEEIORQ 5 × TZEEIORQ 52 and highest in Hybrid TZEEIORQ 64 × TZEEIORQ 30 (Table 7). Hybrid TZEEIORQ 33 \times TZEEIORQ 55 combined high levels of provitamin A, tryptophan, and lysine.

Yield mder lowNYield mder lowNYield mder lowNYield arres lowNStrige lowNYield arres lowNStrige lowNYield arres lowNStrige lowN13.13113.21	Estin V), Strige	ates of male a damage, en	and female 1erged <i>Strigo</i>	general com ı plants, and	bining ability stay-green cł	y (GCA) effé haracteristic	ects of 30 ext c in 2016 and	ra-early prov l 2017 under l	itamin A qu low-N, <i>Strig</i> e	ality proteiı 1, and high-	n maize inbr -N environm	ed lines fron ents at three	n factorial cr locations in	osses for gr: Nigeria	in yield
GCA _m		Yield und low N	ler	Yield und high N	ler	Yield und Striga	der	Yield acre environm	oss ients	<i>Striga</i> da at 10 WA	umage .P	Emerged plants at	l Striga : 10 WAP	Stay-gre charact	en eristic
Kgha ⁻¹ Kgha ⁻¹ 154.85 -179.41 -61.56 -228.98 -39.085 2.010 103.43 -217.23 0.28 0.07 195 0.06 -0.14 142.39 72.73 101.09 416.03 176.88 100.84 140.99 209.17 -0.11 -0.16 -0.29 -0.07 0.03 -3.37 101.09 416.03 176.88 100.84 140.99 209.17 -0.19 -0.16 -0.07 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.03 -0.03 -113.70 117.46 -26.63 567.08 -28.91 73.44 -130.57 0.12 0.16 -0.16 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.03 0.01 -113.70 117.46 -28.13 10.31 -130.37 0.12 0.12 0.16 0.16 0.16 0.07 0.03 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01		$\mathbf{GCA}_{\mathrm{m}}$	GCA_{f}	$\mathbf{GCA}_{\mathrm{m}}$	$\mathbf{GCA}_{\mathrm{f}}$	$\mathbf{GCA}_{\mathrm{m}}$	$\mathbf{GCA}_{\mathrm{f}}$	$\mathbf{GCA}_{\mathrm{m}}$	$\mathbf{GCA}_{\mathrm{f}}$	$\mathbf{GCA}_{\mathrm{m}}$	$\mathbf{GCA}_{\mathrm{f}}$	$\mathbf{GCA}_{\mathrm{m}}$	$\mathbf{GCA}_{\mathrm{f}}$	GCA_{m}	GCAf
154.85 -179.41 -61.56 -228.98 -339.58 -230.10 -103.43 -217.23 0.28 0.07 0.27 195 0.06 -0.14 142.39 72.73 101.09 416.03 176.88 100.84 140.99 20917 -011 -0.16 -0.29 -0.07 0.03 -33.77 -104.38 467.59 527.08 53.08 54.59 87.15 186.18 -11905 -247 -229 -0.07 0.03 -113.70 117.46 -26.63 56.708 73.44 -120.58 56.37 0.12 -0.16 -0.79 -0.07 0.03 -113.70 117.46 -26.63 56.708 -73.89 73.34 -120.58 -13.37 -119.61 -286.37 0.12 0.10 -279 0.00 0.07 -245.18 226.13 -119.61 -119.61 -118.61 $-$					kg ha	-1									
14230 72.73 10100 41603 75.88 10084 140.90 209.17 0.11 0.16 0.240 -2.29 0.07 0.03 -337 -104.38 467.59 -329.18 54.59 87.15 186.18 -11905 0.14 -0.03 -2.29 -0.79 0.01 0.03 -113.70 117.46 -26.63 567.08 -228.91 73.44 -120.58 266.37 0.12 0.10 -1.69 -1.14 0.03 0.01 -180.18 93.60 -424.95 377.29 -73.43 -103.56 -139.27 0.12 0.10 -1.69 -1.14 0.03 -245.18 -367.08 -228.91 73.44 -120.58 -139.27 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.07 0.07 -245.18 120.60 -327.29 -17.38 -140.50 -148.84 -0.24 -2.57 0.07 0.07 -245.18 120.60 -327.29 -17.38 -140.50 -148.84 -0.24 -0.21 0.07 0.07 -194.41 -206.6 -317.12 -148.6 -148.84 -148.84 -0.24 -0.24 0.07 0.07 -194.41 -124.56 -148.84 -148.64 -148.84 -148.84 -0.24 -0.26 -0.07 0.012 -194.41 -124.56 -117.84 -124.56 -117.51 -148.84 -0.24 -0.26 -0.24 -0.24 -104.41 -124.56		154.85	-179.41	-61.56	-228.98	-339.85	-230.10	-103.43	-217.23	0.28	0.07	0.27	1.95	0.06	-0.14
-3.37 $-10.4.36$ 467.59 -329.18 54.59 87.15 186.18 119.05 -0.14 -0.03 -0.79 -0.01 0.01 -113.70 117.46 -26.63 567.08 -28.91 73.44 -120.58 266.37 012 010 -1.69 -1.14 0.03 010 -180.18 93.60 -480.50 -245.92 377.29 -31.33 -103.16 -139.27 0.12 0.10 -1.69 0.16 0.01 -245.18 93.60 -480.50 -73.49 127.36 -94.52 345.81° -0.25 0.02 -1.14 0.03 0.01 -245.18 150.50 135.84 140.60 94.55 149.38 140.84 -246.32 -10.21 0.12 0.12 0.16 0.01 0.02 -194.41 -80.66 -317.15 -318.43 140.50 -117.51 -246.32 149.38 148.84 -0.24 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 -194.41 -80.66 -317.15 -318.43 -218.80 -211.20 147.80 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 -145.82 -145.65 396.26 -243.51 137.26 -126.63 127.26 0.02 0.02 0.02 -145.82 -145.66 314.32 -117.50 137.27 -126.63 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 -155.75 -124.66 -124.76 -128.76 -128.76 -128.76		142.39	72.73	101.09	416.03	176.88	100.84	140.99	209.17	-0.11	-0.16	-0.40	-2.29	-0.07	0.03
-113.70 117.46 -26.63 $567.08'$ -228.91 73.44 -120.58 $266.37'$ 0.12 0.16 -1.69 -1.14 0.03 0.01 -180.18 93.60 -480.50 -424.95 337.29 -3133 -103.16 -192.7 0.02 0.19° 227 0.00 0.07 -245.18 $423.62'$ -261.04 $543.70'$ -17.38 93.61 -166.76 345.81° $-0.42'$ -0.21 $-451'^{\circ}$ -0.06 -0.01 -0.01 109.42 236.18 120.56 132.84 140.60 94.55 149.38 148.84 -0.24 $-0.21'$ $-167'$ -0.02 -0.01 109.41 -80.65 -317.15 -318.43 -211.80 -117.51 -246.32 -172.12 $0.48'$ -0.05 $-157'$ -0.01 113.74 -214.56 -317.15 -318.43 -211.80 -175.12 $0.48'$ -0.05 $-0.07'$ -0.01 113.59 -317.15 -318.43 -211.80 -175.12 -246.32 -172.12 $0.48'$ -0.06 $-0.07'$ -0.01 113.59 -396.26 -317.15 -314.32 -117.51 -246.32 -172.12 $0.48'$ -0.06 $-0.07'$ $-0.01'$ 113.59 -117.50 -124.53 -117.51 -246.32 -172.12 $0.48'$ $-0.06'$ $-0.01'$ $-0.01'$ 113.59 -10.52 -117.51 -124.53 -117.51 -246.32		-3.37	-104.38	467.59	-329.18	54.59	87.15	186.18	-119.05	-0.14	-0.03	-2.37	-0.79	-0.01	0.03
-180.18 93.60 -480.50 -424.95 337.29 -31.33 -103.16 -139.27 0.03 4.19° 2.27 0.00 0.07 -245.18 423.62° -261.04 543.70° -17.38 93.61 -166.76 345.81° 0.42° 0.21 -4.51° 0.66 -0.01 -0.12 169.52 236.18 150.50 135.84 140.60 94.55 149.38 148.84 -0.24 -0.05 157 1.62 -0.01 -0.01 94.14 -80.65 -317.15 -318.43 -211.80 -175.16 -175.16 -175.16 -175.16 -175.16 -175.16 -175.16 -175.16 -175.16 -175.16 -175.16 -10.16 -0.05 -0.01 -0.01 84.15 -124.56 -213.51 -132.72 -175.16 -175.16 -175.16 -125.16 -125.16 -10.16 -10.16 -10.16 -175.16 -175.16 -175.16 -117.50 -175.16 -125.16 -125.16 -175.16 -125.16 -125.16 -175.16 -125.16 -125.16 -175.16 -125.16		-113.70	117.46	-26.63	567.08 ^ª	-228.91	73.44	-120.58	266.37	0.12	0.10	-1.69	-1.14	0.03	0.01
-45.18 $423.62'$ -261.04 $543.70'$ -17.38 93.61 -166.76 $345.81'$ $-0.42'$ -0.21 $-4.51'$ -0.6 -0.01 -0.12 169.52 150.61 150.60 135.84 140.60 94.55 149.38 148.84 -0.24 -0.51 1.57 1.62 -0.16 -0.01 -0.12 -194.41 -80.65 -317.15 -318.43 -211.80 -117.51 -246.32 -172.12 $0.48'$ -0.06 2.65 -0.97 -0.12 -0.04 84.15 -124.56 396.26 -243.51 13.27 5.31 175.63 -172.12 $0.48'$ -0.06 0.04 0.17 -0.02 -0.01 84.15 -124.56 396.26 -243.51 13.27 5.31 175.63 -120.83 0.16 0.16 0.16 0.04 0.17 0.22 -0.01 84.15 -124.56 31.32 -125.66 -120.84 -249.95 -120.84 -20.65 -0.22 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 -45.85 -96.89 -227.29 -210.84 -249.95 -102.29 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.02 <td< td=""><td></td><td>-180.18</td><td>93.60</td><td>-480.50</td><td>-424.95</td><td>337.29</td><td>-31.33</td><td>-103.16</td><td>-139.27</td><td>-0.15</td><td>0.03</td><td>4.19^{a}</td><td>2.27</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.07</td></td<>		-180.18	93.60	-480.50	-424.95	337.29	-31.33	-103.16	-139.27	-0.15	0.03	4.19^{a}	2.27	0.00	0.07
169.52 236.18 150.50 135.84 140.60 94.55 149.38 148.84 -0.24 -0.05 1.57 1.62 -0.16 -0.04 -194.41 -80.65 -317.15 -318.43 -211.80 -117.51 -246.32 -172.12 0.48^{a} -0.06 2.65 -0.97 -0.12 -0.12 84.15 -124.56 396.26 -243.51 13.27 5.31 175.63 -120.83 0.05 0.16 0.04 0.17 0.26 -0.07 185.92 -454.59^{a} 31.43 -117.59 75.31 -75.96 88.06 -201.70 0.13 0.06 0.04 0.17 0.26 -0.07 185.92 -96.89 -237.29 -217.92 -13.68 373.95 -108.92 75.31 -75.96 88.06 -201.70 0.13 0.05 0.06 0.07^{a} 0.07^{a} 0.02 -45.85 -96.89 -237.29 -217.92 -13.68 373.95 -108.92 -244.00 -132.20 0.02 0.02^{a} 0.05^{a} 0.03^{a} 0.02^{a} 0.02^{a} 0.02^{2		-245.18	423.62ª	-261.04	543.70^{4}	-17.38	93.61	-166.76	345.81^{a}	-0.42^{4}	-0.21	-4.51 ^a	-0.6	-0.01	-0.12
-194.41 -80.65 -317.15 -318.43 -211.80 -117.51 -246.32 -172.12 0.48° -0.06 2.65 -0.97 -0.12 -0.11 84.15 -124.56 396.26 -243.51 13.27 5.31 175.63 -120.83 0.05 0.16 0.04 0.17 0.26 -0.07 185.92 -454.59° 31.43 -117.59 75.31 -75.96 88.06 -201.70 0.13 0.16 0.26 -0.22 0.03 0.32° -45.85 -96.89 -237.29 -217.92 -13.68 373.95 -108.92 7.95 0.04 0.16 0.26 -0.28 0.02 27.55 -101.92 118.68 -41.38 -210.84 -249.95 -24.00 -132.90 0.20 0.37° 0.26 -0.27 0.02 27.55 -101.92 118.68 -41.38 -210.84 -249.95 -24.00 -132.90 0.20 0.26 0.26 -0.27 0.03 100.19 103.16 -122.46 164.51 -186.39 108.08 -80.04 129.65 -0.02 0.02 -0.27 0.02 -0.22 100.19 103.16 -122.46 -12.84 -249.95 -244.00 -122.40 -122.40 -122.40 -122.40 -122.40 -122.40 -122.40 -122.40 -122.40 -122.40 -122.40 -122.40 -122.40 -122.40 -122.40 -122.40 -122.40		169.52	236.18	150.50	135.84	140.60	94.55	149.38	148.84	-0.24	-0.05	1.57	1.62	-0.16	-0.04
84.15 -124.56 396.26 -243.51 13.27 5.31 175.63 -120.83 0.05 0.16 0.04 0.17 0.26 -0.07 185.92 $-454.59'$ 31.43 -117.59 75.31 -75.96 88.06 -201.70 0.13 0.16 0.26 -0.22 0.03 0.32^{a} -45.85 -96.89 -237.29 -277.92 -13.68 373.95 -108.92 7.95 0.04 -0.46^{a} -0.38 -2.773 0.02 27.55 -101.92 118.68 -41.38 -249.95 -244.00 -132.90 0.27^{a} 0.27^{a} 0.28 -0.28^{a} 27.55 -101.92 118.68 -41.38 -249.95 -244.00 -132.40 0.20 0.27^{a} 0.28 -0.28^{a} -0.28^{a} 110.19 103.16 -122.46 164.51 -186.39 108.08 -80.04 122.65 -0.03 -0.27^{a} -0.52 -0.02 -265.89 192.96 474.86 -2.38 74.39 -278.47 123.26 -86.29 -0.14 -0.23 -0.22 -0.22 -0.22 -265.89 192.96 -97.31 -233.78 157.67 336.52 146.40 89.71 81.58 -0.08 -0.04 -0.23 -0.02 -0.02 -265.89 -97.31 -97.31 -233.78 157.67 336.52 146.40 89.71 81.58 -0.08 -0.04 -0.23 -0.02 -0		-194.41	-80.65	-317.15	-318.43	-211.80	-117.51	-246.32	-172.12	0.48^{a}	-0.06	2.65	-0.97	-0.12	-0.1
185.92 $-454.56'$ 31.43 -117.50 75.31 -75.96 88.06 -201.70 0.13 0.16 0.26 -0.22 0.03 0.32^{a} -45.85 -96.89 -237.29 -277.92 -13.68 373.95 -108.92 7.95 0.04 -0.46^{a} -0.38 -2.73 -0.08 -0.28^{a} 27.55 -101.92 118.68 -41.38 -210.84 -249.95 -244.00 -132.90 0.20 0.37^{a} 0.32 -0.62 -0.02 -0.28^{a} 110.19 103.16 -122.46 164.51 -186.39 108.08 -80.04 129.65 -0.03 -0.05 -0.02 -0.02 0.03 -265.89 192.96 -122.46 -2.88 74.39 -378.47 123.26 -86.29 -0.14 0.19 0.02 -0.237 -0.02 0.02 0.02 -265.89 192.96 -73.378 157.67 336.52 146.40 89.71 81.58 -0.08 -0.04 0.93 0.12 0.12 -265.89 -97.31 -233.78 157.67 336.52 146.40 89.71 81.58 -0.08 -0.04 0.03 0.12 0.02 0.02 -773.79 -773.78 157.67 336.52 146.40 89.71 81.58 -0.08 0.04 0.93 1.54 -0.03 -0.02		84.15	-124.56	396.26	-243.51	13.27	5.31	175.63	-120.83	0.05	0.16	0.04	0.17	0.26	-0.07
		185.92	-454.59^{a}	31.43	-117.59	75.31	-75.96	88.06	-201.70	0.13	0.16	0.26	-0.22	0.03	$0.32^{\rm a}$
27.5 -101.92 118.68 -41.38 -210.84 -249.95 -24.00 -132.90 0.20 0.37^a 0.32 -0.52 -0.02 0.18 110.19 103.16 -122.46 164.51 -186.39 108.08 -80.04 129.65 -0.03 -0.06 -0.87 -0.52 0.02 0.03 -265.89 192.96 474.86 -2.88 74.39 -378.47 123.26 -86.29 -0.14 0.19 0.00 2.23 0.12 0.12 173.99 -97.31 -233.78 157.67 336.52 146.40 89.71 81.58 -0.08 -0.04 0.93 1.54 -0.03 -0.05		-45.85	-96.89	-237.29	-277.92	-13.68	373.95	-108.92	7.95	0.04	-0.46^{a}	-0.38	-2.73	-0.08	-0.28^{a}
110.19 103.16 -122.46 164.51 -186.39 108.08 -80.04 129.65 -0.03 -0.06 -0.87 -0.52 0.02 0.03 -265.89 192.96 474.86 -2.88 74.39 -378.47 123.26 -86.29 -0.14 0.19 0.00 2.23 0.12 0.12 123.15 173.99 -97.31 -233.78 157.67 336.52 146.40 89.71 81.58 -0.08 -0.04 0.93 1.54 -0.05 -0.05		27.55	-101.92	118.68	-41.38	-210.84	-249.95	-24.00	-132.90	0.20	0.37^{a}	0.32	-0.52	-0.02	0.18
-265.89 192.96 474.86 -2.88 74.39 -378.47 123.26 -86.29 -0.14 0.19 0.00 2.23 0.12 0.12 13.13 173.99 -97.31 -233.78 157.67 336.52 146.40 89.71 81.58 -0.08 -0.04 0.93 1.54 -0.03 -0.05		110.19	103.16	-122.46	164.51	-186.39	108.08	-80.04	129.65	-0.03	-0.06	-0.87	-0.52	0.02	0.03
173.99 -97.31 -233.78 157.67 336.52 146.40 89.71 81.58 -0.08 -0.04 0.93 1.54 -0.03 -0.05		-265.89	192.96	474.86	-2.88	74.39	-378.47	123.26	-86.29	-0.14	0.19	0.00	2.23	0.12	0.12
		173.99	-97.31	-233.78	157.67	336.52	146.40	89.71	81.58	-0.08	-0.04	0.93	1.54	-0.03	-0.05

	Yield und low N	ler	Yield und high N	er	Yield und Striga	er	Yield acro environm	oss ients	<i>Striga</i> da at 10 WA	mage P	Emerged plants at	Striga 10 WAP	Stay-gree charactei	n istic
Line	$\mathbf{GCA}_{\mathrm{m}}$	$GCA_{\rm f}$	$\mathbf{GCA}_{\mathrm{m}}$	$\mathbf{GCA}_{\mathrm{f}}$	$\mathbf{GCA}_{\mathrm{m}}$	$\mathbf{GCA}_{\mathrm{f}}$	$\mathbf{GCA}_{\mathrm{m}}$	$\mathbf{GCA}_{\mathrm{f}}$	GCA_m	$\mathbf{GCA}_{\mathrm{f}}$	GCA_m	$\mathbf{GCA}_{\mathrm{f}}$	GCA_m	$\mathbf{GCA}_{\mathrm{f}}$
TZEEIORQ 11	-502.99^{a}	-556.78	-618.59^{a}	-1019.58^{b}	-108.53	-89.35	-388.84	–551.42 ^b	-0.03	0.01	5.96^{b}	5.89 ^b	0.39^{a}	0.39^{b}
TZEEIORQ 52	306.11	326.63	127.45	348.47	-153.98	-279.46	87.08	111.39	0.31	0.21	-1.24	0.25	-0.34^{a}	-0.31^{a}
TZEEIORQ 56	205.34	11.51	234.24	518.33	101.43	-274.04	206.81	86.58	-0.07	0.30	-4.45 ^a	-1.73	0.03	0.06
TZEEIORQ 61	-52.41	206.39	110.71	200.88	0.77	790.96 ^b	34.76	416.46 ^b	-0.02	-0.52^{a}	1.15	-5.38 ^b	-0.1	-0.25 ^a
TZEEIORQ 62	155.03	12.25	146.19	-48.10	160.31	-148.10	163.30	-63.01	-0.19	0.00	-1.41	0.97	0.16	0.12
TZEEIORQ 5	-281.05	564.46 ^ª	254.26	579.83*	105.42	153.58	55.31	434.48 ^b	0.02	0.09	4.37^{a}	3.03 ^a	0.09	-0.07
TZEEIORQ 28	163.08	247.95	-181.75	226.44	-104.12	101.53	-62.04	196.40	0.14	-0.11	-0.59	0.13	0.04	-0.07
TZEEIORQ 30	46.75	-221.00	235.99	-101.90	96.29	-363.05	133.80	-222.74	-0.01	0.22	-0.33	0.97	-0.04	-0.16
TZEEIORQ 32	181.77	-350.08	-68.25	-309.50	-105.10	-167.37	-14.27	-308.74^{a}	0.07	0.20	0.09	1.36	0.06	0.24
TZEEIORQ 69	-110.54	-241.33	-240.25	-394.87	7.51	275.31	-112.81	-99.40	-0.22	-0.40^{a}	-3.54 ^a	-5.50 ^b	-0.15	0.06
TZEEIORQ 35	-102.24	59.13	-225.59	77.05	125.63	747.58 ^b	-62.24	311.18^a	-0.17	-0.43^{a}	-1.76	-1.79	0.06	0.25^{a}
TZEEIORQ 41	-170.26	-115.51	-314.54	-349.02	-149.20	-163.58	-211.18	-219.02	-0.31	-0.23	1.40	0.09	0.03	-0.04
TZEEIORQ 42	175.58	27.23	322.58	194.09	264.82	371.25	258.93	215.11	-0.21	-0.33	1.02	2.25	0.08	-0.02
TZEEIORQ 54	-300.96	-126.23	-57.96	-87.69	-450.65	-619.94^{b}	-263.81	-289.56 ^a	0.63 ^b	0.57 ^a	-0.20	0.85	-0.21	-0.21
TZEEIORQ 64	397.90 ^ª	155.39	275.51	165.56	209.40	-335.31	278.30 ⁴	-17.71	0.07	0.41^{a}	-0.46	-1.40	0.03	0.02
$SE\pm$	195.18	188.27	241.94	225.23	232.88	194.14	132.17	126.11	0.19	0.20	1.73	1.50	0.14	0.11
<i>Note.</i> GCA _f , GCA effect ^a Significantly different. ^b Significantly different	s of the inbred from zero at $\geq i$ from zero at $\geq i$	used as a fem: 2 SE. 3 SE.	ale parent; GC	Am, GCA effe	cts of the inb.	ed used as a r	nale parent.							

TABLE 5 (Continued)

1937

the 156 hybrids evaluated across 11 (thi	ree low N, foi	ur <i>Striga</i> , and f	our high N) e	invironments at I	le-Ife (2016), A	vbuja, and Mokwa	a (2016 and 2017)) in Nigeria		
Hvbrid	Grain vield	Ears per plant	Ear aspect	Stay-green characteris- tic	Plant aspect [°]	Striga damage at 8 WAP ^d	<i>Striga</i> damage at 10 WAP ^d	<i>Striga</i> emergence count at 8 WAP	<i>Striga</i> emergence count at 10 WAP	Multiple- trait base index
	kg ha ⁻¹	no.			1					
Best										
TZEEIORQ 25 × TZEEIORQ 64	4,950	0.9	3.7	3.5	4.3	4.2	4.4	12	12	8.22
TZEEIORQ 61 × TZEEIORQ 43	4,755	0.9	4.2	2.9	4.7	3.9	4.4	11	11	8.39
TZEEIORQ 49 × TZEEIORQ 75	4,717	0.9	4.3	3.2	5.0	4.0	4.4	10	12	5.96
TZEEIORQ 27 × TZEEIORQ 64	4,700	0.9	3.9	3.4	4.4	4.3	4.9	10	10	6.33
TZEEIORQ 61 \times TZEEIORQ 49	4,698	0.9	4.3	2.9	5.0	3.4	3.8	11	11	8.76
TZEEIORQ 44 × TZEEIORQ 55	4,677	0.8	3.9	2.8	4.7	3.8	4.5	6	16	6.77
TZEEIORQ 55 × TZEEIORQ 25	4,675	0.8	4.0	2.7	4.1	4.5	5.0	13	14	6.28
TZEEIORQ 42 × TZEEIORQ 5	4,564	0.8	4.3	3.1	4.9	3.1	4.1	15	18	6.20
TZEEIORQ 53 × TZEEIORQ 26	4,538	0.9	4.2	2.8	4.4	4.2	4.8	10	10	7.31
TZEEIORQ 52 × TZEEIORQ 49	4,442	0.8	4.1	2.4	5.0	3.6	4.5	7	7	8.96
TZEEIORQ $57 \times TZEEIORQ$ 25	4,430	0.8	4.2	2.9	4.3	4.6	4.6	14	12	5.21
TZEEIORQ 52 × TZEEIORQ 43	4,425	0.9	4.2	2.2	4.8	4.5	4.9	14	18	5.46
TZEEIORQ 61 × TZEEIORQ 44	4,375	0.8	4.3	2.8	5.1	3.4	4.1	5	5	8.07
TZEEIORQ 33 × TZEEIORQ 55	4,373	0.8	4.2	2.6	5.1	3.5	4.0	6	10	7.79
TZEEIORQ 33 × TZEEIORQ 75	4,329	0.9	4.1	3.1	4.6	3.7	4.0	8	10	8.97
TZEEI 79 \times TZEEI 9 (best check)	3,799	0.9	4.9	3.5	4.8	4.1	4.6	13	14	1.12
										(Continues)

\frown
· U
(1)
\mathbf{U}
~
~
-
5
نت
-
C
_
0
r)
\sim
<u>`</u>
-
5
~
[]
. 1
-
8
B
B
A B
AB
AB
FAB

Hybrid	Grain yield	Ears per plant	Ear aspect [*]	Stay-green characteris- tic ^b	Plant aspect [°]	<i>Striga</i> damage at 8 WAP ^d	Striga damage at 10 WAP ⁴	<i>Striga</i> emergence count at 8 WAP	<i>Striga</i> emergence count at 10 WAP	Multiple- trait base index
Worst										
TZEEIORQ 30 × TZEEIORQ 62	3,501	0.7	5.0	3.4	5.1	5.0	5.5	23	22	-8.64
TZEEIORQ $54 \times TZEEIORQ 5$	3,327	0.7	5.1	3.3	5.3	5.4	5.9	24	30	-12.24
TZEEIORQ 32 × TZEEIORQ 61	3,326	0.8	4.9	3.7	5.1	5.1	6.0	20	21	-8.58
TZEEIORQ 32 × TZEEIORQ 11	2,952	0.8	5.2	4.8	5.8	5.0	5.6	23	23	-13.10
TZEEIORQ 30 × TZEEIORQ 11	2,767	0.7	5.3	3.5	5.6	5.1	5.7	27	29	-12.47
Mean	3,937	0.8	4.6	3.2	4.9	4.4	5.0	14	16	
Max.	4,950	0.9	5.3	4.8	5.8	5.5	6.0	27	30	
Min.	2,764	0.7	3.7	2.4	4.1	3.1	3.8	5	5	
LSD (0.05)	1,910	0.3	1.6	1.2	1.3	1.7	1.7	16	17	
1 = large, uniform, clean, and well-filled 1	ears, and $9 = s$	mall, variable, rc et 100% of the leg	otten, and parti	ally filled ears.						

⁻¹ = almost 100% of the reaves were greetly and 9 = aution 100% of the kernel were were were were and 9 = poor plant type. ^c1 = excellent plant type, and 9 = poor plant type. ^dWAP, weeks after planting. 1 = normal plant growth with no visible symptoms, and 9 = total scorching of all leaves, causing premature death of host plant with no ear formation.



FIGURE 1 The "mean versus stability" view of the genotype main effect plus genotype x environment interaction (GGE) biplot of grain yield of 20 best and five worst (as indicated by multiple trait base index) extra-early maturing provitamin A quality protein maize hybrids plus six checks evaluated across 11 (low N, *Striga*-infested, and high N) environments in Nigeria from 2016–2017: IFE16LN, Ile-Ife low N 2016; IFE16HN, Ile-Ife high N 2016; MOK16LN, Mokwa low N 2016; MOK16HN, Mokwa high N 2016; ABJ16STR, Abuja *Striga*-infested 2016; MOK17LN, Mokwa low N 2017; MOK17HN, Mokwa high N 2017; MOK17STR, Mokwa *Striga*-infested 2017; ABJ17STR, Abuja *Striga*-infested *Striga*-infested 2017; ABJ17STR

4 | DISCUSSION

The study addressed the development of maize hybrids with potential to alleviate the nutritional problems under the most important biotic (Striga hermonthica) and abiotic (low soil N) constraints to increased maize production and productivity in WCA. Hybrids specifically developed for cultivation in areas infested with Striga and with low N are also required to show good performance under optimal growing conditions. This will ensure adaptability to the varied conditions under which maize is cultivated in WCA. This is the first study in WCA aimed at addressing protein quality, provitamin A content, resistance to Striga, and tolerance to N stress of extra-early maize. The highly significant differences detected for grain yield, as well as other agronomic characters, of extra-early PVA-QPM hybrids under each environment and across research environments indicated that substantial genetic variability existed among extra-early PVA-QPM hybrids studied and that considerable progress could be made from selection for important agronomic traits under the stress environments, as well as nonstress environments. Bhatnagar, Betrán, and Rooney (2004), Langa (2005), and Tilahun et al. (2017) also reported significant differences for grain yield and other traits of QPM lines studied in hybrid combinations, whereas Mushongi (2010) reported significant differences among hybrid maize genotypes evaluated in low- and high-N conditions in Tanzania.

The significant and large environmental variation observed for all the characters determined across environments showed the uniqueness of the environments. This underscores the need for the multi-environment testing of breeding materials and experimental varieties when pursuing low N tolerance, *Striga* resistance, and good performance in high-N environments. Similar results were reported by Badu-Apraku et al. (2013) with normalendosperm extra-early-maturing yellow inbred lines evaluated under different environments. The lack of significant hybrid × environment interaction mean squares observed for yield, EPP, EASP, and PASP under low N, and EHT under *Striga* environments, indicated that the response patterns of the hybrids were similar for the measured traits

TABLE 7 Provitamin A, tryptophan, and lysine contents of the composite seeds obtained under high N conditions at Ile-Ife and Mokwa in 2016 from the 15 highest-yielding and most stable provitamin A quality protein maize hybrids and the best check across six environments (two environments each of low N, *Striga*, and high N) in Nigeria in 2016

Hybrid	Provitamin A	Tryptophan	Lysine
	$\mu g g^{-1}$	%	
TZEEIORQ 25 \times TZEEIORQ 54	5.54	0.04	0.23
TZEEIORQ 26 × TZEEIORQ 35	5.43	0.05	0.26
TZEEIORQ 29 × TZEEIORQ 42	6.32	0.06	0.27
TZEEIORQ 53 \times TZEEIORQ 29	6.14	0.05	0.28
TZEEIORQ 55 × TZEEIORQ 26	5.48	0.05	0.28
TZEEIORQ 75 \times TZEEIORQ 24	4.45	0.05	0.23
TZEEIORQ 33 × TZEEIORQ 55	8.70	0.06	0.31
TZEEIORQ 52 \times TZEEIORQ 45	7.41	0.05	0.24
TZEEIORQ 52 \times TZEEIORQ 49	7.61	0.05	0.25
TZEEIORQ 56 × TZEEIORQ 44	7.99	0.04	0.22
TZEEIORQ 61 × TZEEIORQ 43	6.17	0.05	0.21
TZEEIORQ 5 × TZEEIORQ 52	6.80	0.05	0.20
TZEEIORQ 28 × TZEEIORQ 56	4.77	0.06	0.28
TZEEIORQ 42 × TZEEIORQ 30	6.62	0.06	0.27
TZEEIORQ 64 × TZEEIORQ 30	4.72	0.06	0.34
TZEEI 79 \times TZEEI 9 (check)	2.86	0.06	0.32
Mean	6.06	0.05	0.26
Max.	8.70	0.06	0.33
Min.	2.86	0.04	0.20
SE	0.37	0.001	0.01

in the environments used. The nonsignificant hybrid \times environment interaction for these traits can be attributed to the existence of limited variation in the traits among the hybrids under low-N and Striga environments. Nonsignificant hybrid \times environment interaction effect for grain yield and EASP under low N, and for EASP under Strigainfested environments, were reported earlier (Ifie, Badu-Apraku, Gracen, & Danquah, 2015). However, the significant hybrid \times environment interaction detected for DS, DA, ASI, PLHT, EHT, and STGR under low N, and all the traits except EHT under Striga, indicated that response patterns of the hybrids for these traits were different in the stress environments used in this study. This shows that the influence of environment on the performance of tropical maize depends on the amount of genetic variability present in the traits of the materials used for the study. Menkir, Adetimirin, Yallou, and Gedil (2010) had earlier observed significant hybrid × environment mean squares for grain yield and other Striga-related traits in an evaluation involving hybrid combinations obtained from 10 inbred lines under Striga infestation. However, Badu-Apraku et al. (2013) reported nonsignificant genotype \times environment interaction for ESP1 and SDR1 under Striga infestation, and STGR under low N. The difference in the

reports of the two sets of authors on hybrid \times environment interaction for *Striga*-related traits could be due to the fact that the genetic base of the inbred lines evaluated by Menkir et al. (2010) possessed a broad range of resistance to *Striga hermonthica* compared with the germplasm used by Badu-Apraku et al. (2013). Although the inbred lines used in this study are of the same extraearly maturity group as those evaluated by Badu-Apraku et al. (2013) in hybrid combinations, they interacted differently with the *Striga* environment. This may be because the inbred lines were extracted from a germplasm pool that combines *Striga* resistance with provitamin A and quality protein characteristics.

The significant GCA_m and/or GCA_f mean squares detected for many characters under each and across research environments showed that additive genetic effect was more important than nonadditive genetic effect in the genetic control of the traits of extra-early PVA-QPM studied under low N, high N, *Striga*, and across environments. The mean squares of many traits with higher percentage contribution of SCA sums of squares to the hybrid sum of squares (especially under low N) were not significant, suggesting that nonadditive gene action was less important in regulating those traits. However, the significant SCA mean squares observed for EPP and EHT under high N; ESP1 and ESP2 under Striga; and grain yield, DS, and EHT across environments suggested that nonadditive genetic effect was important in the inheritance of the traits under the environments. These results indicated that recurrent selection and hybridization would be useful for genetic improvement of Striga tolerance or resistance, low N tolerance, and good performance under high N in a population derived from the extra-early PVA-QPM materials studied. This result is in agreement with the report that additive and nonadditive genetic effects played important roles in the inheritance of grain yield and many other traits of early-maturing provitamin A maize inbreds across Striga-infested and optimal growing conditions in Nigeria (Laban, Badu-Apraku, & Diakaridia, 2017). Significant $GCA_m \times environment$ and $GCA_f \times environment$ effects detected for grain yield and a host of other traits in each environment and across environments indicated that the additive genetic effects for these traits interacted with the environment in their expression. These results justify a multilocation improvement strategy in the development of hybrids with resistance or tolerance to Striga and low N, as well as expression of good performance under an optimal N regime.

The NCD II yields two estimates of GCA (GCA_m and GCA_f), a comparison of which provides information on maternal effects. Higher GCA_f to GCA_m indicated that the cytoplasm had genetic factors that influenced the expression of a trait, in addition to nuclear genes. In this study, the ratio of GCA_f effect to GCA_m effect was >1 for grain yield and some other measured traits under low N, high N, Striga, and across environments, suggesting that maternal effects contributed to the expression of the respective traits of the crosses in each environment and across the environments. The preponderance of GCAf sum of squares to GCA_m sum of squares obtained for STGR under low N in this study was a clear indication of a role for maternal effect. Maternal effect was not detected for EASP under low N, PASP under high N, and ESP2. However, the ratio of GCA_f sum of squares to GCA_m sum of squares was >1 for SDR1. Given the suggestive role of maternal effect on Striga damage, a careful choice of female parent is required to exploit favorable cytoplasmic factors for this trait. Maternal effects for grain yield of early-maturing maize under well-watered conditions in Nigeria reported by Oyekunle and Badu-Apraku (2013) are similar to the results obtained under high N in the present study. Therefore, it could be hypothesized that cytoplasmic factors tend to positively influence grain yield under optimal environmental conditions. However, the observation in this study differs from the findings of the earlier authors who reported similar contributions of GCA_m and GCA_f for grain yield and some traits of early-maturing normal-endosperm maize assessed under low-N, *Striga*, and optimal environmental conditions (Ifie et al., 2015). The differences in the results of this study and that of Ifie et al. (2015) could be attributed to dissimilarities in the genetic materials used and the level of severity of the stresses achieved in the various studies.

The positive and significant GCA_m or GCA_f effects exhibited for grain yield by inbred lines TZEEIORQ 53, TZEEIORO 5, and TZEEIORO 64 under low N and across environments, as well as the significant and positive GCA_f effect shown for the trait by TZEEIORQ 61 and TZEEIORQ 35 under Striga and across environments, indicated that the lines have potential to contribute favorable alleles for yield in their progenies if used as female or male parent under the stresses and across environments. The significant and negative GCA effect detected in inbred lines TZEEIORQ 52, TZEEIORQ 33, and TZEEIORQ 61 for STGR under low N suggested that progenies of crosses involving these lines are expected to have delayed leaf senescence under N stress. This finding is similar to the reports of Ifie et al. (2015), who obtained negative and significant GCA_f effect for STGR in few of the early-maturing inbred lines studied under low soil N. Desirable inbred lines under Striga, in addition to having the capability to reduce host damage and number of emerged Striga plants in crosses to other inbred lines, are required to also exhibit high yield in crosses. The significant negative GCA for Striga damage score and Striga emergence count, and the significant and positive GCA for grain yield of TZEEIORQ 61, indicated that the inbred line has potential for use as a parent when developing Striga-resistant or -tolerant PVA-QPM hybrids. Three of the 15 top-performing hybrids across environments had inbred line TZEEIORQ 61 as the female parent, suggesting that the line transmitted favorable genes for grain yield, *Striga* tolerance and resistance, and STGR to its progenies. Amegbor et al. (2017) reported significant GCA effects for Striga emergence count, Striga (host) damage, and STGR in a study of extra-early maize lines in Nigeria.

High grain yield performance and stability of a genotype is desirable for increased crop productivity (Laban et al., 2017). The hybrids in the present study were ranked along the AEA, with the direction of the arrow indicating a higher mean performance. The long projections of hybrids on either direction away from the biplot origin, on the average tester coordinate (ATC) axis, indicated greater genotype × environment interaction and increased instability. Although Hybrids 12, 4, and 18 were high yielding, they had long projections onto the ATC (i.e., they were relatively unstable). Hybrids 7 (TZEEIORQ 55 × TZEEIORQ 26), 13 (TZEEIORQ 49 × TZEEIORQ 75), and 14 (TZEEIORQ 52 × TZEEIORQ 43) combined long projections on AEA with short projection on ATC, indicating that they combined high grain yield with stability across environments. The per se provitamin A contents of all the 15 extraearly PVA-QPM hybrids selected for provitamin A, lysine, and tryptophan analyses in this study were higher than the provitamin A level of the hybrid with the highest provitamin A content reported by Halilu, Ado, Aba, and Usman (2016) but lower than the provitamin A levels of the best 11 hybrids selected by Suwarno et al. (2014), and the provitamin A concentrations of the extra-early PVA hybrids TZEEIOR 197 × TZEEIOR 205 (20.1 µg⁻¹) and TZEEIOR 202 × TZEEIOR 205 (22.7 µg g⁻¹) reported by Badu-Apraku et al. (2019), indicating the possibility of further improving the provitamin A levels of the extra-early PVA-QPM hybrids developed in this study.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

Substantial genetic variation exists among the extra-early PVA-QPM hybrids evaluated in the present study. Under low N, additive genetic effect governed the inheritance of the traits of the inbreds investigated in hybrid combinations, whereas both additive and nonadditive gene action were important in the genetic control of some of the measured traits of the inbreds under high N, Striga infestation, and across environments. Maternal effect was observed for grain yield under low N, high N, Striga, and across environments, STGR under low N, and EASP and SDR1 under Striga infestation. Hybrids TZEEIORQ 55 \times TZEEIORQ 26, TZEEIORQ 49 \times TZEEIORQ 75, and TZEEIORQ 52 \times TZEEIORO 43 showed high yield and stability across the research environments used in this study. These hybrids have potential for improving nutrition and maize yields under the diverse environmental conditions in WCA.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper is part of the Ph.D. research of the first author with financial support from the Pan African University Institute of Life and Earth Sciences (Including Health and Agriculture), University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (OPP1134248), and the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan, Nigeria. The authors are thankful for the support. Also, the technical support received from staff of Maize Improvement Program of IITA during field evaluations is appreciated.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

S.A.O. and B.B.-A. conceived, designed, and carried out the experiments; B.B.-A. provided the genetic materials used for the study; S.A.O. and V.O.A. analyzed the data; S.A.O. drafted the manuscript; S.A.O., V.O.A., and B.B.-A. interpreted the results. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

ORCID

B. Badu-Apraku b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0113-5487

REFERENCES

- Abe, A., Adetimirin, V. O., Menkir, A., Moose, S. P., & Olaniyan, A. B. (2013). Performance of tropical maize hybrids under conditions of low and optimum levels of nitrogen fertilizer application: Grain yield, biomass production and nitrogen accumulation. *Maydica*, 58, 141–150.
- Abera, W., Hussein, S., Derera, J., Worku, M., & Laing, M. (2016). Heterosis and combining ability of elite maize inbred lines under northern corn leaf blight disease prone environments of the midaltitude tropics. *Euphytica*, 208, 391–400. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10681-015-1619-5
- Adetimirin, V. O., Aken'Ova, M. E., & Kim, S. K. (2000). Effects of Striga hermonthica on yield components in maize. Journal of Agricultural Science, 135, 185–191. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0021859699008217
- Akaogu, I. C., Badu-Apraku, B., Tongoona, P., Cellabos, H., Gracen, V., Offei, S. K., & Dzidzienyo, D. (2019). Inheritance of *Striga hermonthica* adaptive traits in an early-maturing white maize inbred line containing resistance genes from *Zea diploperennis*. *Plant Breeding*, 138, 546–552. http://doi.org/10.1111/pbr.12707
- Amegbor, I. K., Badu-Apraku, B., & Annor, B. (2017). Combining ability and heterotic patterns of extra-early maturing white maize inbreds with genes from *Zea diploperennis* under multiple environments. *Euphytica*, 213. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-016-1823-y
- Azmach, G., Gedil, M., Menkir, A., & Spillane, C. (2013). Marker-trait association analysis of functional gene markers for provitamin A levels across diverse tropical yellow maize inbred lines. *BMC Plant Biology*, 13. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-13-227
- Badu-Apraku, B., Annor, B., Oyekunle, M., Akinwale, R. O., Fakorede, M. A. B., Talabi, A. O., ... Fasanmade, Y. (2015). Grouping of early maturing quality protein maize inbreds based on SNP markers and combining ability under multiple environments. *Field Crops Research*, 183, 169–183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2015.07. 015
- Badu-Apraku, B., Fakorede, M. A. B., Menkir, A., Kamara, A. Y., Akanvou, L., & Chabi, Y. (2004). Response of early maturing maize to multiple stresses in the Guinea savanna of West and Central Africa. *Journal of Genetics and Breeding*, 58, 119– 130.
- Badu-Apraku, B., Fakorede, M. A. B., Talabi, A. O., Oyekunle, M., Aderounmu, M., Lum, A. F., ... Toyinbo, J. O. (2019). Genetic studies of extra-early provitamin-A maize inbred lines and their hybrids in multiple environments. *Crop Science*. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/csc2.20071
- Badu-Apraku, B., Fakorede, M. A. B., Talabi, A. O., Oyekunle, M., Akaogu, I. C., Akinwale, R. O., ... Aderounmu, M. (2016). Gene action and heterotic groups of early white quality protein maize inbreds under multiple stress environments. *Crop Science*, 56, 183– 199. https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2015.05.0276
- Badu-Apraku, B., Menkir, A., Ajala, S. O., Akinwale, R. O., Oyekunle, M., & Obeng-Antwi, K. (2010). Performance of tropical early

maturing maize cultivars in multiple stress environments. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 90, 831–852.

- Badu-Apraku, B., Oyekunle, M., Fakorede, M. A. B., Vroh, I., Akinwale, R. O., & Aderounmu, M. (2013). Combining ability, heterotic patterns and genetic diversity of extra-early yellow inbreds under contrasting environments. *Euphytica*, 189, 413–433. http://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10681-013-0876-4
- Below, F. E., Brandua, P. S., Lambert, R. J., & Teyker, R. H. (1997). Combining ability for nitrogen use in maize. In G. O. Edmeades (Ed.), *Developing drought- and low N-tolerant maize* (pp. 316–319). Mexico City: CIMMYT and United Nations Development Programme.
- Betrán, F. J., Beck, D., Banziger, M., & Edmeades, G. O. (2003). Genetic analysis of inbred and hybrid grain yield under stress and non-stress environments in tropical maize. *Crop Science*, 43, 807– 817. https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2003.8070
- Bhatnagar, S., Betrán, F. J., & Rooney, L. W. (2004). Combining ability of quality protein maize inbreds. *Crop Science*, 44, 1997–2005. http: //doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2004.1997
- Bremner, J. M., & Mulvaney, C. S. (1982). Nitrogen-total. In A. L. Page, R. H. Miller, & D. R. Keeney (Eds.), *Methods of soil analysis: Part 2. Chemical and microbiological properties* (2nd ed., pp. 595–624). Madison, WI: ASA and SSSA. https://doi.org/10.2134/ agronmonogr9.2.2ed.c31
- Comstock, R. E., & Robinson, H. F. (1948). The components of genetic variance in population of bi-parental progenies and their use in estimating the average degree of dominance. *Biometrics*, *4*, 254–266.
- Edmonds, D. E., Abreu, S. L., West, V., Caasi, D. R., Conley, T. O., Daft, M. C., ... Raun, W. R. (2009). Cereal nitrogen use efficiency in sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 32, 2107–2122. https://doi.org/10.1080/0190416090330 8184
- Emechebe, A. M., Ellis-Jonnes, J., Schulz, S., Chikoye, D., Douthwaite, B., Kureh, I., ... Sanni, A. (2004). Farmers' perception of the *Striga* problem and its control in northern Nigeria. *Experimental Agriculture*, 40, 215–232. http://doi.org/10.1017/ S0014479703001601
- Fajemisin, J. M. (2014). The revolutionary trend of maize in Nigeria: My memoir. Ibadan, Nigeria: Phaloray Book Works.
- FAOSTAT. (2017). FAOSTAT database. Rome: FAO. Retrieved from http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data
- Galicia, L., Nurit, E., Rosales, A., & Palacios-Rojas, N. (2009). Laboratory protocols 2008: Maize nutrition quality and plant tissue analysis laboratory. Mexico City: CIMMYT.
- Gethi, J. G., & Smith, M. E. (2004). Genetic responses of single crosses of maize to *Striga hermonthica* (Del.) Benth. and *Striga asiatica* (L.) Kuntze. *Crop Science*, 44, 2068–2077. https://doi.org/10.2135/ cropsci2004.2068
- Halilu, A. D., Ado, S. G., Aba, D. A., & Usman, I. S. (2016). Genetics of carotenoids for provitamin A biofortification in tropicaladapted maize. *The Crop Journal*, 4, 313–322. http://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cj.2016.05.002
- Hallauer, A. R., Carena, M. J., & Miranda Filho, J. B. (2010). *Quantitative genetics in maize breeding*. New York: Springer. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-1-4419-0766-0
- Ifie, B. E., Badu-Apraku, B., Gracen, V., & Danquah, E. Y. (2015). Genetic analysis of grain yield of IITA and CIMMYT earlymaturing maize inbreds under *Striga*-infested and low soil nitro-

gen environments. Crop Science, 55, 610–623. http://doi.org/10. 2135/cropsci2014.07.0470

- Kearsey, M. J., & Pooni, H. S. (1996). *The genetical analysis of quantitative traits*. London: Chapman and Hall.
- Kim, S. K. (1991). Breeding maize for *Striga* tolerance and the development of a field infestation technique. In S. K. Kim (Ed.), *Combating Striga in Africa: Proceedings of the International Workshop* (pp. 96–108). Ibadan, Nigeria: IITA.
- Kim, S. K. (1994). Genetics of maize tolerance of *Striga hermonthica. Crop Science*, 34, 900–907. https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1994. 0011183X003400040012x
- Kim, S. K., & Adetimirin, V. O. (1997). Responses of tolerant and susceptible maize varieties to timing and rate of nitrogen under *Striga hermonthica* infestation. *Agronomy Journal*, 89, 38–44. http: //doi.org/10.2134/agronj1997.00021962008900010006x
- Krivanek, A. F., De Groote, H., Gunaratna, N. S., Diallo, A. O., & Friesen, D. (2007). Breeding and disseminating quality protein maize (QPM) for Africa. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 6, 312– 324.
- Laban, K., Badu-Apraku, B., & Diakaridia, T. (2017). Combining ability and heterotic grouping of early maturing provitamin A maize inbreds across *Striga* infested and optimal growing environments. *Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Development*, 111, 157– 173. http://doi.org/10.12895/jaeid.20171.572
- Langa, M. (2005). Combining ability for grain yield of quality protein maize (QPM) (Zea mays L.) under low soil nitrogen (Master's thesis, University of Zambia).
- Le, D. T., Chua, H. D., & Le, N. Q. (2016). Improving nutritional quality of plant proteins through genetic engineering. *Current Genomics*, 17, 220–229. https://doi.org/10.2174/ 1389202917666160202215934
- Machida, L., Derera, J., Tongoona, P., & Macrobert, J. (2010). Combining ability and reciprocal cross effects of elite quality protein maize inbred lines in subtropical environments. *Crop Science*, 50, 1708–1717. http://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2009.09.0538
- Makumbi, D., Betrán, F. J., Banziger, M., & Ribaut, J. (2011). Combining ability, heterosis and genetic diversity in tropical maize (*Zea* mays L.) under stress and non-stress conditions. *Euphytica*, 180, 143–162. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-010-0334-5
- Menkir, A., Adetimirin, V. O., Yallou, C. G., & Gedil, M. (2010). Relationship of genetic diversity of inbred lines with different reactions to *Striga hermonthica* (Del.) Benth and the performance of their crosses. *Crop Science*, 50, 602–611. https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2009.05.0247
- Menkir, A., Franco, J., Adepoju, A., & Bossey, B. (2012). Evaluating consistency of resistance reactions of open-pollinated maize cultivars to *Striga hermonthica* (Del.) Benth under artificial infestation. *Crop Science*, 52, 1051–1060. https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2011.10. 0543
- Menkir, A., Maziya-Dixon, B., Mengesha, W., Rocheford, T., & Alamu, E. O. (2017). Accruing genetic gain in pro-vitamin A enrichment from harnessing diverse maize germplasm. *Euphytica*, 213. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-017-1890-8
- Mushongi, A. (2010). Genetic studies of secondary traits and yield heterosis in maize under high and low nitrogen conditions, incorporating farmer perceptions and preferences for varieties (Doctoral dissertation, University of KwaZulu-Natal).
- Nurit, E., Tiessen, A., Pixley, K. V., & Palacios-Rojas, N. (2009). Reliable and inexpensive colorimetric method for determining

protein-bound tryptophan in maize kernels. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *57*, 7233–7238. http://doi.org/10.1021/ jf901315x

- Oyekunle, M., & Badu-Apraku, B. (2013). Genetic analysis of grain yield and other traits of early maturing maize inbreds under drought and well-watered conditions. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*, 200, 92–107. http://doi.org/10.1111/jac.12049
- Pacheco, A., Vargas, M., Alvarado, G., Rodríguez, F., López, M., Crossa, J., & Burgueño, J. (2016). *GEA-R (Genotype x Environment Analysis with R for Windows) version 4.0.* CIMMYT Research Data & Software Repository Network.
- Safawo, T., Senthil, N., Raveendran, M., Vellaikumar, S., Ganesan, K. N., Nallathambi, G., ... Vijaya Gowri, E. (2010). Exploitation of natural variability in maize for β carotene content using HPLC and gene specific markers. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, *1*, 548–555.
- SAS Institute. (2012). *Statistical analysis software (SAS) user's guide*. Version 9.4. Cary, NC: SAS Institute.
- Sauerborn, J., Kranz, B., & Mercer-Quarshie, H. (2003). Organic amendments mitigate heterotrophic weed infestation in savannah agriculture. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 23, 181–186. https://doi.org/10. 1016/S0929-1393(03)00023-4
- Suwarno, W. B., Pixley, K. V., Palacios-Rojas, N., Kaeppler, S. M., & Babu, R. (2014). Formation of heterotic groups and understanding genetic effects in provitamin A biofortified maize breeding program. *Crop Science*, 54, 14–24. http://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2013. 02.0096
- Teka, H. B. (2014). Advanced research on *Striga* control: A review. *Africa Journal of Plant Science*, *8*, 492–506. http://doi.org/10.5897/ AJPS2014.1186

- Tilahun, B., Dida, M., Deresa, T., Garoma, B., Demissie, G., Kebede, D., ... Teklewold, A. (2017). Combining ability analysis of quality protein maize (QPM) inbred lines for grain yield, agronomic traits and reaction to grey leaf spot in mid-altitude areas of Ethiopia. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, *12*, 1727–1737. https://doi. org/10.5897/AJAR2016.1170
- Ukalski, K., & Klisz, M. (2016). Application of GGE biplot graphs in multi-environment trials on selection of forest trees. *Folia Fore-stalia Polonica, Series A—Forestry*, 58, 228–239. http://doi.org/10. 1515/ffp-2016-0026
- van Ittersum, M. K., van Bussel, L. G. J., Wolf, J., Grassini, P., van Wart, J., Guilpart, N., ... Cassman, K. G. (2016). Can sub-Saharan Africa feed itself? *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* of the United States of America, 113, 14964–14969. http://doi.org/10. 1073/pnas.1610359113
- Yan, W. (2001). GGE biplot: A Windows application for graphical analysis of multi-environment trial data and other types of twoway data. *Agronomy Journal*, *93*, 1111–1118. https://doi.org/10.2134/ agronj2001.9351111x

How to cite this article: Oyekale SA,

Badu-Apraku B, Adetimirin VO. Combining ability of extra-early biofortified maize inbreds under *Striga* infestation and low soil nitrogen. *Crop Science*. 2020;60:1925–1945.

https://doi.org/10.1002/csc2.20195