



Ecology & Hydrology NATURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL

# Article (refereed) - postprint

Elliott, J. Alex; Henrys, Peter; Tanguy, Maliko; Cooper, Jonathan; Maberly, Stephen C. 2015. **Predicting the habitat expansion of the invasive roach Rutilus rutilus (Actinopterygii, Cyprinidae), in Great Britain**. *Hydrobiologia*, 751 (1). 127-134. <u>10.1007/s10750-015-2181-9</u>

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2015

This version available http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/510625/

NERC has developed NORA to enable users to access research outputs wholly or partially funded by NERC. Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access

This document is the author's final manuscript version of the journal article, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer review process. Some differences between this and the publisher's version remain. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from this article.

The final publication is available at Springer via <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10750-015-2181-9">http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10750-015-2181-9</a>

Contact CEH NORA team at noraceh@ceh.ac.uk

The NERC and CEH trademarks and logos ('the Trademarks') are registered trademarks of NERC in the UK and other countries, and may not be used without the prior written consent of the Trademark owner.

1 2	Predicting the habitat expansion of the invasive roach <i>Rutilus rutilus</i> (Actinopterygii, Cyprinidae), in Great Britain
3	J. Alex Elliott <sup>1</sup> , Peter Henrys <sup>1</sup> , Maliko Tanguy <sup>2</sup> , Jonathan Cooper <sup>1</sup> & Stephen C. Maberly <sup>1</sup>
4 5	<sup>1</sup> Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Lancaster, Library Avenue, Bailrigg, Lancashire LA1 4AP, UK.
6 7	<sup>2</sup> Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Wallingford, Maclean Building, Crowmarsh Gifford, Wallingford, Oxon, OX10 8BB, UK.
8	
9	
10	Keywords: Habitat model, climate change, temperature, EncRoach, Ecological Niche Model
11	
12	
13 14	Corresponding author: J. Alex Elliott, Lake Ecosystems Group, Centre for Ecology & Hydrology Lancaster, Library Avenue, Bailrigg, Lancashire LA1 4AP, UK.
15	E-mail: alexe@ceh.ac.uk
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

## 30 Abstract

The roach is influential ecologically and has a preference for water temperatures >12 °C. In 31 this study we attempted to predict its habitat expansion in response to global warming, 32 hypothesing its increase in Great Britain. Historical data for air temperature over different 33 34 time scales (annual, seasonal, monthly and daily) and for the presence of roach in Great Britain were used to create four Ecological Niche Models. Mean seasonal air temperature 35 (EncRoach-S) was the best predictor. Using EncRoach-S two future climate scenarios were 36 37 tested: a sensitivity test (i.e. incrementally increasing temperature values by 1°C), and using air temperature data from UKCIP 11-member ensemble of climate change projections for 38 2031-2040, 2061-2070 and 2091-2100. Both approaches predicted an increase in habitat 39 suitability in Great Britain with rising air temperatures but the extent of change differed for 40 England, Wales and Scotland. In England, the rate of expansion was initially slow but 41 rapidly increased mid-century leading to 88% coverage by the century end. In Wales, there 42 was a greater increase by the century end and a similar trend in Scotland. This study supports 43 44 the conjecture that a rise in air temperature over the next few decades will lead to an increase 45 in potential roach habitat.

#### 47 Introduction

With the range of environmental changes predicted for this century there has been much
interest and speculation about how the habitat range of numerous species will be affected.
Beyond the simple gain or loss of individual species in a specific region, there is also the
added concern that some species may be affected which are known to have significant
impacts on ecosystems i.e. they can be considered invasive (McNeely *et al.*, 2001). In British
freshwaters, the fish species roach (*Rutilus rutilus* (L. 1758)) fits this criterion and is the
subject of this study.

The roach is a eurythermal cyprinid and the fourth most recorded fish species in the 55 UK Database and Atlas of Freshwater Fish (DAFF; Davies et al., 2004). Its current 56 distribution is predominately Eurasian, although it is also found in southern Australia (Froese 57 & Pauly, 2014), and it is considered to be expanding its range (e.g. in Ireland (e.g. Ferguson, 58 59 2008), Italy (e.g. Giannetto *et al.*, 2014)). Their omnivorous feeding habits and ability to 60 reach high population densities means that they have a great potential to establish new populations, influence other freshwater species and even affect ecosystem function (e.g. 61 Brabrand et al., 1986; Graham & Harrod, 2009; Winfield et al., 2011; Jeppesen et al., 2012; 62 Hayden et al., 2014). Such attributes are of concern given the evidence for its continued 63 expansion in both habitat range (e.g. Davies et al., 2004) and, where already present, 64 population size (e.g. Winfield et al., 2011). The two key drivers behind such changes are 65 believed to be eutrophication and increasing water temperature (Graham & Harrod, 2009; 66 Jeppesen et al., 2012), and we have focussed upon the latter in this study as it operates at a 67 68 national scale whereas eutrophication is site-specific.

The eurythermal characteristics of roach allow it to survive a broad range of water
temperatures (4 to >30°C; Cocking, 1959, Graham & Harrod, 2009) but with a distinct
preference for warmer temperatures: growth occurs only above 12 °C (van Dijk *et al.*, 2002)

and juvenile growth is maximal between 20-27 °C (Hardewig & van Dijk, 2003).

Furthermore, spawning is observed only at temperatures above 12-16 °C (Graham & Harrod,
2009) illustrating that population recruitment of the species is also tightly linked to higher
water temperatures. Given these relationships, it is little wonder that Graham & Harrod
(2009) in their review of the implications of climate change for fish populations of the British
Isles predicted that the habitat range of the roach will expand. Therefore, this study attempts
to test and quantify their prediction by the application of an Ecological Niche Model.

79 Ecological Niche modelling involves relating environmental variables to the known spatial distribution of a given organism in order to estimate the likelihood of its occurrence in 80 81 a given area. It should be noted, however, that some confusion has arisen in the literature through the use of numerous other names for essentially the same model methodology (Hirzel 82 & Le Lay, 2008) e.g. Habitat Suitability/Selection Models, Habitat/Species Distribution 83 84 Models, Resource Selection Functions. Nevertheless, for this study we have constructed and tested a range of Ecological Niche Models for roach in Great Britain in order to predict how 85 86 its habitat range may change over this century. To do this, we have brought together large 87 spatial and temporal data sets. We have selected air temperature as the key driver of the model because i) air temperature data are available at high spatial and temporal resolution, ii) 88 air temperature is a standard variable found in climate change model scenarios and iii) air 89 temperature is closely related to water temperature, at least within the range of temperatures 90 found in this study (the relationship is linear below air temperatures of 25 °C: Morrill et al., 91 2005). Thus, following the construction and testing of the models, we examined the 92 93 sensitivity of the selected model's prediction to increasing temperature and also specific climate change projections developed by UKCIP (United Kingdom Climate Impacts 94 Programme; Murphy et al., 2009). Finally, drawing on all of the modelled evidence, we have 95

- 96 attempted to assess how roach populations across Great Britain may respond as the climate of
- 97 this century continues to evolve.

#### 99 Methods

#### 100 Observed data

Information on the distribution of the roach in Great Britain was obtained from the National 101 Biodiversity Network (NBN; data.nbn.org.uk (accessed 18 April 2014)). These data consist 102 of over 40 years of presence recordings attributed to 10 km UK Ordnance Survey National 103 104 Grid squares. Absence squares were estimated by using all the grids in the NBN where a fish species had been recorded but roach had not. This made the assumption that the roach 105 was a commonly recognisable fish, which we felt was reasonable given it is the fourth most 106 recorded species in the DAFF (Davies et al., 2004). These data were split into two equal time 107 periods: 1973-1989 (Period 1) and 1990-2006 (Period 2). This was done so that the Period 1 108 109 data could be used to construct the models and Period 2 could be used to evaluate them. Daily observed mean air temperature data (influenced by both the grid's weather and mean 110 altitude) were obtained from the Met Office UKCP09 at 5 km grid resolution. These data 111 112 were then mapped onto the appropriate 10 km square used by NBN to provide daily mean 113 values at the National Grid scale. Finally, the air temperature data were split into Period 1 and Period 2, as per the roach data, and for each period daily, monthly, seasonal (winter = 114 December to February, spring = March to May, summer = June to August, autumn = 115 September to November) and annual mean values were calculated. 116

117

#### 118 The Ecological Niche Model: EncRoach

Using the Period 1 data, four Ecological Niche Models (called EncRoach (<u>En</u>vironmental
 <u>change & Roach</u>)) were created using a Generalised Linear Model (GLM) with binominal
 response and a logit link. This method related the mean air temperatures calculated in each

grid to the roach presence/absence data in order to create an estimate of the likelihood of
roach presence. Each EncRoach model was given an appropriate suffix to indicate which air
temperature means had been used i.e. annual (A), seasonal (S), monthly (M) or daily (D).

As each model produces a probability of roach presence, the next step was to define the best threshold on the probability range to switch an "absent" value (0) to a "present" value (1). Thus, we tested each model against the Period 1 roach data using a range of probability thresholds to find which value produced the lowest predictive error rates in the Period 1 observed presence/absence data.

Following this, the four models were tested by using the air temperature data from 130 Period 2 to drive the EncRoach models and their outputs were compared to the Period 2 roach 131 132 observations. The models were assessed by calculating their respective Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve, which plots the true positive rate against the false positive rate, 133 calculating the Area Under the Curve (AUC). This provides an evaluation of the percentage 134 135 of the presence/absence predictions in the modelled output that match the observed. These 136 latter comparisons were made against all grids in Period 2 and also only grids that showed change in roach presence between Periods 1 and 2. Following this, the EncRoach model that 137 was judged to have performed the best was selected for use in the next stage of the study. 138

139

#### 140 *Climate change testing of EncRoach*

141 Two approaches were taken to test the effect of changing air temperature on the distribution 142 of roach habitat. Firstly, the EncRoach model selected was re-run using Period 1 data but the 143 air temperature means were forced to be +1, 2, 3 and 4°C warmer and the outputs of these 144 simulations provided a sensitivity test of the models' predictions. Secondly, air temperature

145 data from UKCIP 11-member ensemble of climate change projections (Prudhomme et al., 2012a,b) was used to produce seasonal means for the following periods: 2031-2040, 2061-146 2070 and 2091-2100. These data were then used to drive the EncRoach model to produce 147 roach habitat predictions for the different future time periods which were then compared 148 using box plots (created using R version 3.0.2; R Developmental Core Team, 2013) and 149 paired t-tests. In order to ensure that there was no bias introduced by using climate scenarios 150 in the prediction against using observed climate data in the model, a bias correction was 151 established between the observed climate and the output from each of the climate scenarios. 152

153

#### 155 **Results**

#### 156 *Model assessment*

The statistics used to assess the four Ecological Niche Models illustrated large differences 157 among them (Table 1). The model using daily mean air temperature values (EncRoach-D) 158 was the poorest at predicting roach presence/absence with a low AUC, percentage match and 159 heavily skewed error values. EncRoach-A (using annual means) was also poor with a 160 percentage match against all grids of only 67%, despite having a high AUC value (0.803), 161 and again the error was skewed towards false positive values. The final two models 162 performed to a similar level, but EncRoach-S (using seasonal means) was slightly better at 163 matching the observed data than EncRoach-M (using monthly means), both overall (82%) 164 165 and forthe grids that had changed (48%). Furthermore, its error was more balanced and had the lowest false positive error values, meaning it was the model least likely to predict a 166 presence where there was none and was thus the most conservative. On this basis, the 167 168 EncRoach-S model was selected to explore the potential expansion of roach habitat in Great 169 Britain. The formulation for this model is presented below, where  $Y_i$  represents the observant 170 presence or absence at location i,  $\eta$  represents the logit link function and SP, SU, A and W 171 represent the mean spring, summer, autumn and winter temperatures respectively:

172 
$$E[Y_i] = p_i \eta(p_i) = -22.81 + 2.10 * SP_i + 0.82 * SU_i + 0.39 * A_i - 2.33 * W_i$$

173

#### 174 *Predicting the change in roach habitat: sensitivity analysis*

EncRoach-S was repeatedly run using Period 1 seasonal air temperature means increased
incrementally by 1°C to a maximum rise of 4°C (Fig. 1). The results showed a marked
increase in potential habitat available with each 1°C increase in air temperature. The

178 EncRoach-S probability threshold (0.876) was used to convert the probabilities into presence/absence values. The probability threshold was defined as the value on the ROC 179 curve that minimises the distance to the ideal optimum of 100% True positives and 0% false 180 181 positives. This illustrated that the rate of habitat increase in Great Britain was not linear (Table 2). Specifically, the number of new grids added per 1 °C rise increased with the 182 higher temperatures, e.g. across Great Britain there were 163 additional grids for the rise of 0 183 to 1 °C, but 301 additional grids for the 3 to 4 °C increase (Table 2). The main cause of this 184 effect was the rapid increase in new grids in Scotland with increasing temperature, compared 185 186 to a relatively constant rate of increase in Wales and a declining rate in England (Table 2). In terms of changes in the percentage of potential habitat grids, England and Wales had 187 achieved >90% cover with a 4 °C increase and Scotland >35% (Table 2). 188

189

#### 190 *Predicting the change in roach habitat: climate change projections*

The 11-member ensemble of climate projections was used to make a range of predictions for specific periods of the 21<sup>st</sup> century (Fig. 2). The universal trend was of an increase in potential roach habitat as the century progressed. For the majority of regional areas, the changes between each decade were statistically significant (P<0.05) with the exception of England between 2030-2039 and 2060-2069 (Fig. 2b). Furthermore, the 2060-2069 decade generally showed the widest range of predicted values i.e. the greatest uncertainty (Fig. 2).</p>

The trend of increase for England (Fig. 2b) was asymptotic because by the later
decades almost all potential grids were indicating roach presence. In Wales and Scotland
(Fig. 2c & d), the increase was more linear, although Wales was also approaching total
coverage by the end of the century.

#### 201 Discussion

Understanding how the changes to our climate this century may affect fauna and flora in
ecosystems remains a challenging objective. While expert judgement provides one approach,
modelling offers a qualitative, and complementary, methodology. For this study Ecological
Niche modelling was used, a method that has been widely applied to simulate potential
species habitat changes, in order to evaluate how roach habitat suitability in Great Britain
may change over this century. However, before discussing the results and their implications,
it is valuable to assess critically the model and its assumptions first.

Firstly, the EncRoach-S model only relates the habitat available to air temperature, 209 using it as a proxy for water temperature. The use of air temperature in this way is 210 211 reasonable (Morrill et al., 2005) but it does mean that the model does not consider the nutrient richness of the habitat. The latter could be an issue because roach tend to be 212 associated with eutrophic environments (but certainly not exclusively) but changes in this 213 214 factor are difficult to predict, especially across the whole of Great Britain. Therefore, we 215 accept that the model is likely to over predict the expansion of roach, especially in areas of the country that are less nutrient rich e.g. uplands. Secondly, dispersal-limitation is another 216 217 factor that could affect the spread of roach to new habitats and is not considered by the model which deals with potential habitat. It might be imagined that, given the disconnected nature 218 of different river catchments, the natural methods available to the roach for invasion to a new 219 220 catchment are very limited. Unfortunately, the introduction of roach to catchments is all too common throughout Great Britain because it is a desirable species for use in sport fishing. 221 222 These movements are supposed to be regulated and controlled (e.g. as use for live bait in Pike fishing) but historically have proven to be very difficult to police (e.g. Winfield & Durie, 223 2004). Therefore, given its historic level of introduction (Davies et al., 2004), it is difficult to 224 225 conceive that dispersal (i.e. river system connectivity) will be a restraining factor over the rest

of this century. Despite these caveats, the EncRoach-S model can be used to predict the
suitability of an area in Great Britain as roach habitat based solely upon the species'
temperature requirements since it correctly predicted 82% of the observed grids for Period 2
(1990-2006; Table 1) across Britain.

Both the sensitivity simulations and the climate change scenarios showed a universal trend of increasing roach habitat suitability across Great Britain with rising temperatures. This result is in accord with qualitative predictions made by others (Graham & Harrod, 2009) and offers support to them. However, beyond this simple trend of increase, the EncRoach-S model allowed both a regional and temporal nuance to be added to the result. Thus, we can examine the predicted changes in roach habitat over the 21<sup>st</sup> century for the three countries of Great Britain (England, Wales and Scotland).

England currently has the greatest number of roach occurrences (802 grids between 237 1973-1989; Davies et al., 2004) and EncRoach-S predicted a similar amount (817 grids; 238 239 Table 2). This equates to about 60% of the potential grid habitats available to freshwater fish in England. Given this large starting value of habitat suitability, it is perhaps unsurprising 240 that the model predicts the almost total expansion of habitat suitability into north and south-241 242 west England (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2) with a median percentage cover of 88% predicted for 2090-2099. However, this expansion was initially slow, with only a modest increase occurring in 243 2030-2039 to raise the percentage cover to 66% (Fig. 2). By 2060-2069, the increase was 244 more substantial (median = 84%) but it should be noted that the uncertainty of this prediction 245 was large. Despite this, we can conclude that in England, if temperature is currently 246 247 constraining roach, the expansion of roach habitat suitability will be initially slow but could increase rapidly in the middle of the century. 248

In Wales, the roach is currently less common than in England (16% of grids between 249 1973-1989; Davies et al., 2004) and this was reflected in the EncRoach-S simulations of the 250 present climate (11% of grids; Table 2). The future simulations suggested an increase in 251 252 habitat cover in the country throughout the century from 16% (2030-2039) to 55% (2060-69) to 79% (2090-2099). Again, the large mid-century increase seen for England was also 253 simulated in Wales. Given the current level of presence of roach in Wales, these results are 254 255 dramatic and suggest the potential impact of the species upon freshwater ecosystems in the country is likely to rise. 256

The final part of Great Britain considered was Scotland. Currently, the roach is 257 258 relatively restricted in it distribution and mainly concentrated in the central belt (8% of Scottish grids between 1973-1989; Davies et al., 2004). The model predicted zero habitat for 259 the present climate (Table 2) and the median for 2030-2039 was also zero (Fig. 2). This 260 261 underprediction by the model shows some of its limitations and that the predictions for Scotland are the least certain in the study. Nevertheless, the trend with time was for an 262 263 increase in habitat suitability leading to a median coverage by 2090-2099 of 39% (Fig. 2). This would suggest that roach expansion is probable in Scotland although it is perhaps likely 264 to be slower than in the rest of Great Britain. 265

266 Overall, this study supports the conjecture that the forecast rise in air temperature over the next few decades will lead to an increase in the habitat area suitability of the roach. This, 267 of course, assumes that this habitat area up to now has been restrained at least partly by 268 temperature but, as discussed above, this is not unreasonable given the species' eurythermal 269 270 range and the good match the model achieved with the observed Period 2 data (Table 1). The confidence of the model's predictions is probably greatest for England and weakest for 271 Scotland, with Wales falling in the middle. Despite this, and the somewhat simplistic nature 272 273 of this kind of model, we believe this study provides important quantifiable results to support

the conjecture that the roach will gain an increase in its potential habitat area in Great Britainas a consequence of climate change induced increases in air temperature.

276

## 277 Acknowledgments

- 278 The authors would like to thank Christel Prudhomme (Centre for Ecology & Hydrology) for
- 279 making available the UKCIP climate projections data and for her guidance in the use of the
- data. Also, to all the contributors and collators of the UK Database for the Atlas of
- 281 Freshwater Fishes and also to the Biological Records Centre at the Centre for Ecology &
- 282 Hydrology for making it available on-line via the National Biodiversity Network's Gateway
- 283 (data.nbn.org.uk). The work was supported by NERC grant NE/H000208/1. Finally, we
- thank the two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments.

#### 285 **References**

- 286 Brabrand, Å., B. Faafeng & J.P.M. Nilssen, 1986. Juvenile roach and invertebrate predators:
- delaying the recovery phase of eutrophic lakes by suppression of efficient filter-feeders.
- Journal of Fish Biology 29: 99–106.
- 289 Cocking, A.W., 1959. The effects of high temperatures on roach (*Rutilus rutilus*) I. The
- effects of constant high temperatures. Journal of Experimental Biology 36: 203-216.
- 291 Davies, C.E., J. Shelley, P.T. Harding, I.F.G. McLean, R. Gardiner & G. Peirson, 2004.
- 292 Freshwater fishes in Britain: the species and their distribution. Harley Book, Colchester.
- van Dijk, P.A.H., G. Staaks & I. Hardewig, 2002. The effect of fasting and refeeding on
- temperature preference, activity and growth of roach, *Rutilus rutilus*. Oecologia 130: 496–
- 295 504.
- 296 Ferguson, A., 2008. Invasive Alien Species in Northern Ireland. Available:
- 297 http://www.habitas.org.uk/invasive/species.asp?item=5029.
- Froese, R. & D. Pauly, 2013. FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication.
- 299 <u>www.fishbase.org</u>, version (03/2014).
- 300 Giannetto, D., A. Carosi, L. Ghetti, L. Pompei, P. Viali & M. Lorenzoni, 2014. Size
- 301 selectivity of gill-nets and growth of roach *Rutilus rutilus* (Linnaeus, 1758) an alien species
- 302 in Piediluco lake (Italy). Knowledge and Management of Aquatic Ecosystems 413: online
- 303 early DOI: 10.1051/kmae/2014001.
- 304 Graham, C.T. & C. Harrod, 2009. Implications of Climate Change for the Fishes of the
- British Isles. Journal of Fish Biology 74: 1143-1205.

- Hardewig, I. & P.L.M. van Dijk, 2003. Is digestive capacity limiting growth at low
- temperatures in roach? Journal of Fish Biology 62: 358–374.
- Hayden, B., A. Massa-Gallucci, C. Harrod, M. O'Grady, J. Caffrey & M. Kelly-Quinn, 2014.
- 309 Trophic flexibility by roach *Rutilus rutilus* in novel habitats facilitates rapid growth and
- invasion success. Journal of Fish Biology, (online early) DOI: 10.1111/jfb.12351.
- Hirzel, A.H. & G. Le Lay, 2008. Habitat suitability modelling and niche theory. Journal of
  Applied Ecology 45: 1372-1381.
- Jeppesen, E., T. Mehner, I.J. Winfield, K. Kangur, J. Sarvala, D. Gerdeaux, *et al.*, 2012.
- Impacts of climate warming on the long-term dynamics of key fish species in 24 European
- 315 lakes. Hydrobiologia 694: 1-39.
- 316 McNeely, J.A., H.A. Mooney, L.E. Neville, P. Schei & J.K. Waage, (eds) 2001. A Global
- 317 Strategy on Invasive Alien Species. International Union for Conservation of Nature and
- 318 Natural Resources, Gland. 50 pp.
- 319 Morrill, J.C., R.C. Bales & M.H. Conklin, 2005. Estimating stream temperature from air
- temperature: Implications for future water quality. Journal of Environmental Engineering131: 139–146.
- 322 Murphy, J.M., D.M.H. Sexton, G.J. Jenkins, B.B.B. Booth, C.C. Brown, R.T. Clark, et al.,
- 2009. UK Climate Projections Science Report: Climate Change Projections, Exeter, UK,
- 324 Meteorological Office Hadley Centre, 192pp.
- Prudhomme, C., S. Dadson, D. Morris, J. Williamson, G. Goodsell, S. Crooks, et al., 2012a.
- 326 Future Flows Climate: an ensemble of 1-km climate change projections for hydrological
- application in Great Britain. Earth System Science Data 4: 143-148.

- Prudhomme, C., S. Dadson, D. Morris, J. Williamson, G. Goodsell, S. Crooks, et al., 2012b.
- 329 Future Flows Climate Data. NERC- Environmental Information Data Centre. doi:
- 330 10.5285/bad1514f-119e-44a4-8e1e-442735bb9797.
- R Developmental Core Team, 2013. R: A language and Environment for Statistical
- 332 Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- 333 Winfield, I.J. & N.C. Durie, 2004. Fish introductions and their management in the English
- Lake District. Fisheries Management and Ecology 11: 195–201.
- Winfield, I.J., J.M. Fletcher & J.B. James, 2011. Invasive fish species in the largest lakes of
- 336 Scotland, Northern Ireland, Wales and England: the collective UK experience.
- 337 Hydrobiologia 660: 93-103.

339	<b>Table 1.</b> Assessment statistics for the four Ecological Niche models tested. The suffix to
340	EncRoach indicates the use of annual (A), seasonal (S), monthly (M) or daily (D) mean air
341	temperatures. Note that "Data tested" refers to: "All" = all grids in Period 2 considered,
342	"Changed" = only grids that changed between Periods 1 and 2 considered. Also, "AUC" =
343	Area Under the Curve"; "% Match" = percentage of grids in the modelled output which
344	match the observed in Period 2; "FPE" = False Positive Error and "FNE" = False Negative
345	Error.

Model tested	Data tested	AUC	% Match	FPE	FNE
EncRoach-A	All	0.803	67%	30%	3%
	Changed		46%	44%	9%
EncRoach-S	All	0.867	82%	7%	11%
	Changed		48%	25%	26%
EncRoach-M	All	0.896	78%	18%	4%
	Changed		45%	42%	13%
EncRoach-D	All	0.611	38%	61%	0%
	Changed		50%	50%	0%

- Table 2. The results of the model sensitivity analysis for Great Britain, England, Wales and
  Scotland showing the total number of grids where roach was predicted to be present
  (including the percentage of the total grids that represented) as air temperature for Period 1
- 354 was increased in 1°C steps.

Area of Great Britain		Increase in air temperature (°C)					
(total no. grids)	No. of grids	0	1	2	3	4	
All Great Britain	Presence	842	1005	1214	1509	1810	
(2470)	% presence	34.1	40.7	49.1	61.1	73.3	
England	Presence	817	958	1083	1202	1279	
(1334)	% presemce	61.2	71.8	81.2	90.1	95.9	
Wales	Presence	25	47	93	153	211	
(233)	% presence	10.7	20.2	39.9	65.7	90.6	
Scotland	Presence	0	0	38	154	320	
(903)	% presence	0	0	4.2	17.1	35.4	

### 361 **Figure Legends**

**Fig. 1** The predicted probabilities of roach presence in Great Britain with an increase in

- 363 Period 1's seasonal mean air temperature of: a) 1, b) 2, c) 3 and d) 4 °C. Note Dark Red
- 364 region indicates Period 1 roach presence.
- **Fig. 2** Box plots of the number of 10 km grids where roach presence is predicted using the
- 11 UKCIP climate change scenarios for the following decades: 2030-2039, 2060-2069 and
- 367 2090-2099. These data are further categorised spatially to cover (a) Great Britain, (b)
- 368 England, (c) Wales and (d) Scotland. Double headed arrows indicate paired t-tests and level
- of statistical significance (NS = Not Significant P>0.05, \* = P < 0.05, \*\* = P < 0.01, \*\*\* = P <
- 370 P<0.001).





