NERC Open Research Archive



Article (refereed) - postprint

Nelson, Bethany F.; Daunt, Francis; Monaghan, Pat; Wanless, Sarah; Butler, Adam; Heidinger, Britt J.; Newell, Mark; Dawson, Alistair. 2015. **Protracted treatment with corticosterone reduces breeding success in a long-lived bird.**

Copyright © 2014 Elsevier Inc.

This version available http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/509884/

NERC has developed NORA to enable users to access research outputs wholly or partially funded by NERC. Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access

NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in *General and Comparative Endocrinology*. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently

published in *General and Comparative Endocrinology* (2015), 210. 38-45. <u>10.1016/j.ygcen.2014.10.003</u>

www.elsevier.com/

Contact CEH NORA team at <u>noraceh@ceh.ac.uk</u>

The NERC and CEH trademarks and logos ('the Trademarks') are registered trademarks of NERC in the UK and other countries, and may not be used without the prior written consent of the Trademark owner.

1 Protracted treatment with corticosterone reduces breeding success in a

2 long-lived bird

3 Authors

- 4 Bethany F. Nelson¹
- 5 Francis Daunt¹
- 6 Pat Monaghan²
- 7 Sarah Wanless¹
- 8 Adam Butler³
- 9 Britt J. Heidinger²
- 10 Mark Newell¹
- 11 Alistair Dawson¹*
- 12 ¹Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Bush Estate, Penicuik, EH26 0QB
- 13 ²Graham Kerr Building, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, G12 8QQ
- ³Biomathematics & Statistics Scotland, JCMB, King's Buildings, Edinburgh, EH9 3JZ
- 15 *Corresponding author. Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Bush Estate, Penicuik, EH26 0QB.
- 16 Email address: asda@ceh.ac.uk.
- 17

18

- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22

23 Abstract

24 Determining the physiological mechanisms underpinning life-history decisions is essential 25 for understanding the constraints under which life-history strategies can evolve. In long-lived species, where the residual reproductive value of breeders is high, adult survival is a key 26 27 contributor to lifetime reproductive success. We therefore expect that when adult survival is 28 compromised during reproduction, mechanisms will evolve to redirect resources away from 29 reproduction, with implications for reproductive hormones, adult body mass, nest attendance 30 behaviour and breeding success. We investigated whether manipulating corticosterone, to 31 simulate exposure to an environmental stressor, affected the secretion of prolactin and 32 breeding success in the black-legged kittiwake Rissa tridactyla. We used implanted Alzet® 33 osmotic pumps to administer corticosterone to incubating kittiwakes at a constant rate over a 34 period of approximately eight days. Manipulated birds were compared with sham implanted 35 birds and control birds, which had no implants. There was no significant difference in the 36 body mass of captured individuals at the time of implantation and implant removal. 37 Corticosterone-implanted males showed lower nest attendance during the chick rearing 38 period compared to sham-implanted males; the opposite pattern was found in females. 39 Corticosterone treated birds showed a marginally significant reduction in breeding success 40 compared to sham-implanted individuals, with all failures occurring at least one week after 41 implant removal. However, prolactin concentrations at implant removal were not 42 significantly different from initial values. We were unable to measure the profile of change in 43 corticosterone during the experiment. However, our results suggest a delayed effect of 44 elevated corticosterone on breeding success rather than an immediate suppression of prolactin 45 concentrations causing premature failure.

46 Keywords

47 Prolactin; Physiology; Parental care; Reproduction; Black-legged kittiwake; Rissa tridactyla

- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52

53 **1 Introduction**

54 Life-history theory predicts that, when resources are limiting, trade-offs occur between 55 reproductive investment in the current breeding opportunity and self-maintenance to preserve 56 future breeding opportunities (Stearns, 1977). In long-lived species, in which the success of any one breeding event is a relatively small component of lifetime reproductive success, 57 58 allocation decisions that favour parent rather than offspring survival are expected (reviewed 59 in Linden and Møller, 1989). The emergency life-history stage modulates the physiology and 60 behaviour of organisms, through redirecting energy away from non-essential physiology and 61 behaviours, such as reproduction or immune response, towards those needed for survival 62 (reviewed in Wingfield et al., 1998).

63 The emergency life-history stage involves the elevation of corticosterone-the main 64 glucocorticoid in birds—in response to the activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal 65 axis (Wingfield et al., 1998). Chronic stress results from long-term exposure to a stressor, 66 often resulting in negative fitness consequences such as low productivity, suppressed 67 immunity and inhibited growth, rather than the short-term benefits of the emergency life-68 history stage, associated with acute stress. During chronic stress the duration of both the 69 stressor and the consequences for an animal's physiology are long-lasting (Boonstra, 2013), 70 resulting in sustained elevations of corticosterone. However, when corticosterone 71 concentrations increase above baseline, the rate of passive clearance increases and active 72 negative feedback reduces endogenous production (Sapolsky et al., 2000, Rich and Romero, 73 2005, Romero et al., 2005). This means that corticosterone is not maintained at stress-induced 74 concentrations for long periods of time and therefore reduces the negative fitness 75 consequences of long-lasting elevated corticosterone (Sapolsky et al., 2000, Romero, 2002). 76 Changes in corticosterone concentrations have a variety of implications for parental 77 behaviour (reviewed in Crossin et al., 2012, Crespi et al., 2013). Baseline concentrations of 78 corticosterone can be positively correlated with parental behaviour ('corticosterone-79 adaptation hypothesis') through stimulatory effects on foraging behaviour, which enhance 80 provisioning to chicks (Kitaysky et al., 2001, Angelier and Chastel, 2009). On the other hand, 81 protracted stress-induced elevations of corticosterone suppress parental behaviour 82 ('corticosterone-induced reproductive conflict'), causing the redirection of resources away

83 from breeding and towards self-maintenance (Love et al., 2004).

84 Changes in corticosterone also have implications for the body mass of breeders. However, 85 there is no clear prediction as to how chronic stress affects body mass. Correlational studies 86 often show that increases in baseline corticosterone concentrations are associated with 87 declines in body mass or body condition (i.e. size-corrected body mass e.g. Cherel et al., 88 1988, Cherel et al., 1994, Kitaysky et al., 1999). However, Schultner et al. (2013a) showed 89 that initial increases in baseline corticosterone concentrations were associated with an 90 increase in fat reserves. Corticosterone secretion has also been found to be independent of 91 changes in body condition in some species (e.g. Silverin and Wingfield, 1982, Wingfield et 92 al., 1999, Lormée et al., 2003).

93 Evidence regarding the mechanistic process that modulates the stress response during 94 breeding is currently inconclusive. One potential mediator of the stress response is via 95 changes in prolactin concentrations (Chastel et al., 2005, reviewed in Angelier and Chastel, 96 2009). Prolactin has a wide variety of roles throughout the vertebrates (Norris, 1980). In birds 97 it promotes incubation and parental care, and is secreted in response to long photoperiods and 98 further by the presence of eggs and young in the nest (Dawson and Goldsmith, 1985, El 99 Halawani et al., 1986). Chronic stress is expected to cause declines in reproductive hormones 100 (Sapolsky, 2000) and, therefore, it is possible that corticosterone may disrupt prolactin 101 secretion and reduce breeding behaviour such as nest attendance (Angelier et al., 2009a).

102 Studies to date that have looked for relationships between corticosterone and prolactin in 103 long-lived seabirds have reached a range of often conflicting conclusions: some studies have 104 found a negative correlation between the two hormones whilst others have found no 105 relationship (reviewed in Angelier and Chastel, 2009, Riou et al., 2010). It has been 106 suggested that, whilst the responses of corticosterone and prolactin to acute stress are not 107 mechanistically linked, their responses to chronic stress are, with prolactin mediating the 108 effect of corticosterone on breeding behaviour (reviewed in Angelier and Chastel, 2009, 109 Angelier et al., 2013). Implantation of corticosterone in black-legged kittiwakes Rissa 110 tridactyla breeding in Svalbard, Norway caused a reduction in prolactin concentrations, 111 breeding success and nest attendance (Angelier et al., 2009a). In that study corticosterone was 112 not successfully manipulated over a protracted period but rather increased to a peak on day 113 one and had returned to baseline concentrations by day three (Angelier et al., 2009a). 114 However, the responses of corticosterone and prolactin to chronic stress have not, to our knowledge, been tested. 115

- 116 The kittiwake is a long-lived seabird with a typical bi-parental care system, which has been
- 117 studied in both the Atlantic and the Pacific with regards to its breeding biology and
- 118 physiology (e.g. Golet et al., 2004, Angelier et al., 2009a, Goutte et al., 2010, Kitaysky et al.,
- 119 2010). In this study, we used Alzet® osmotic pumps, which release substances at a constant
- 120 rate over a number of days, in an attempt to elevate corticosterone concentrations over
- 121 several days in a North Sea population of black-legged kittiwakes (hereafter 'kittiwake'). We
- 122 hypothesised that this protracted elevation of corticosterone via Alzet® osmotic pumps
- 123 would have a disruptive effect on breeding, specifically causing a short-term reduction in
- 124 prolactin concentration and body mass, a reduction in nest attendance during the chick-
- 125 rearing period, and lower breeding success by the end of the season.

126 **2 Methods**

127 **2.1 Nest activity**

270 kittiwake nests from 15 different plots on the Isle of May, National Nature Reserve, Firth
of Forth, south-east Scotland (56° 11' N, 02° 33' W) were observed daily from laying to

130 fledging in 2011 and for each nest we recorded the lay date, clutch size and either date of

131 failure or number of chicks fledged (breeding success; Fig. 1).

132 2.2 Blood sampling of kittiwakes

38 kittiwakes (27 females and 11 males) were captured, each from different nests, during late
incubation (May 25–May 30; 18 ± 5.4 (mean ± SD) days after laying; all birds were
incubating at the time of initial capture; Fig. 1) using an eight metre long noose pole. A
maximum of 1 ml of blood was taken from the wing vein using sterile 1 ml non-heparinised
syringes. Samples were centrifuged, once the blood had been allowed to clot, and frozen as
separate red blood cells and serum.

- 139 A dictaphone was used to precisely record the elapsed time between capture (i.e. when the
- 140 noose was placed over a individual's head) and the end of blood sampling (individual
- 141 sampling time), which we aimed to complete within three minutes of capture so that baseline,
- 142 or near baseline, concentrations of corticosterone would be obtained (Romero and Reed,
- 143 2005). Individual sampling time was 2.7 ± 0.6 (mean \pm SD) min, with 15 % of samples being
- 144 obtained within two minutes and 75 % within three minutes. All samples were collected
- 145 within 5.7 min. We also recorded the elapsed time between the extension of the noose pole
- towards the target individual and the lifting of the captured individual off its nest (capture

147 time). Capture time was 1.2 ± 0.8 (mean \pm SD) min with 56 % of captures occurring within 148 one minute, 88 % within two minutes and 98 % within three minutes. In order to assess any 149 impact of disturbance to individuals during the catching of other individuals at the same 150 colony, individuals were ranked in the order that they were captured at a given site, on a 151 given catching attempt. If a site was returned to later that day (> 6 hours later) or on the

- subsequent day, this was assumed to be a new catching attempt. There was a maximum of
- 153 eight individuals captured per site on a given catching attempt.

154 **2.3 Corticosterone manipulation in kittiwakes**

155 For individuals already carrying a British Trust for Ornithology (BTO) metal ring the unique 156 ring number was recorded and remaining individuals were ringed. Individuals were weighed 157 to the nearest gram using a Pesola. Previous studies have used open-ended silastic tubes or 158 self-degradable pellets to deliver corticosterone. These are unlikely to maintain protracted 159 elevation such as that experienced during adverse environmental conditions (Romero et al., 160 2005, Newman et al., 2010, Thierry et al., 2013). Instead, we used Alzet® osmotic pumps (length: 3.0 cm; diameter: 0.7 cm; mass: 1.1 g; nominal volume: 200 µl; delivery period: 14 161 days; rate of delivery: $0.5 \ \mu$ l.h⁻¹). These were inserted subcutaneously on the flank 162 163 immediately anterior to the thigh, under local anaesthesia. These osmotic pumps are used 164 widely in a range of studies including pharmacology, biotechnology and immunology (> 165 13,500 publications in the Alzet® bibliography) and have been successfully used to 166 administer corticosterone in white throated sparrows Zonotrichia albicollis (Horton et al., 167 2007). A small incision was made using a sterile scalpel blade and this was closed with 168 suture. The pumps contained either corticosterone dissolved in polyethylene glycol 400 (PEG) at a concentration of 28 mg.ml⁻¹ (corticosterone-implanted individuals; n = 17) or PEG 169 170 only (sham-implanted individuals; n = 21). Kittiwakes weigh on average 380 g and therefore 171 two pumps were necessary to deliver the required dose of corticosterone, which we estimated 172 using data from Horton et al. (2007). We matched experimental groups for location to 173 account for potential plot effects. At the time of implantation there were no significant 174 differences between corticosterone-implanted and sham-implanted groups with respect to clutch size (linear model: t = 1.71, df = 36, P = 0.10, $R^2 = 0.07$), lay date (linear model: t =175 0.89, df = 36, P = 0.38, $R^2 = 0.02$) or sex ratio (Binomial generalized linear model: z = 1.23, 176 P = 0.22) Individuals were marked with picric acid on the head or tail feathers to aid 177 178 identification during attempted recapture.

179 Implanted individuals were recaptured 8 ± 1 (mean \pm SD) days later (26 ± 1 days after laying; 180 40 % of nests had hatched at least one egg by the time of recapture). This interval was chosen 181 to be long enough to maximise recapture probability but to be before all the corticosterone 182 had been delivered. 71 % of implanted individuals were successfully recaptured (n = 27; 14 183 sham-implanted individuals; 13 corticosterone-implanted individuals). Recaptured 184 individuals were blood sampled, as described above, weighed and the implants removed 185 using the same technique as for insertion. Examination of all the removed implants indicated 186 that they were empty. At the time of implant removal, an additional seven individuals 187 (controls) that had not been implanted, and whose partners had not been implanted, were 188 captured and blood sampled; these controls enabled us to test for any adverse effects of the 189 initial capture and implantation of the osmotic pumps on the experimental birds. In order to 190 reduce disturbance at the colonies and maximise the chances of recapture, individuals were 191 only captured twice and we did not record nest attendance between initial capture and 192 attempted recapture. The individuals used in this study were not part of any other study and 193 therefore remained relatively undisturbed outside of our experimental protocols, minimising 194 their exposure to acute stress.

195 All work was carried out under Home Office personal licences (Bethany Nelson: PIL

196 60/12426 and Alistair Dawson: PIL 70/1697) and associated project licences (Alistair

197 Dawson: PPL 60/4176 and Francis Daunt: PPL 60/4001) and Scottish Natural Heritage

198 research permit (MON/RP/131).

199 2.4 Nest attendance

We recorded nest attendance by identifying which members of the corticosterone-implanted and sham-implanted pairs were present at the nest using the unique pattern of white and black markings on the tips of the wing feathers (Chardine, 2002) and the picric acid markings. Data were collected from 37 out of the 38 nests included in the corticosterone manipulation experiment, during early to mid chick-rearing (9 June to 30 June; Fig. 1). One nest was excluded because it was in a colony that was easily disturbed and therefore was hard to access for individual identification purposes. Nest attendance was checked up to three times

207 daily (total: 824 checks; time of day: 8:00–20:40).

208 2.5 Molecular sexing

209 DNA was extracted from the red blood cells of all samples using a Qiagen DNeasy® Blood

and Tissue Kit (QIAGEN Ltd., West Sussex, UK), following the manufacturer's instructions.

- 211 Individuals were sexed as described by Griffiths et al. (1998) using the primers described by
- Albores-Barajas et al. (2010). Samples from individuals of known sex were included as
- 213 controls in all PCR amplifications and agarose gels. A negative control was also included
- 214 containing no DNA. 50 % of the samples were repeated to check for consistency and no
- 215 contradictory results were found.

216 **2.6 Hormone assays**

- 217 Prolactin concentrations were determined by a heterologous RIA using a primary antibody
- 218 raised in rabbit against recombinant starling prolactin and a donkey anti rabbit secondary
- antibody (Bentley et al., 1997). Duplicate 20 µl samples were assayed. All samples were run
- in one assay and the intra-assay variation was 4.5 %. Serial dilutions of serum samples were
- 221 parallel to the serial dilutions of the standard.
- 222 Corticosterone concentrations were determined by a quantitative competitive enzyme-
- immuno assay (EIA). Serum samples were equilibrated with 2000 cpm ¬3 H-CORT to
- 224 measure recovery and extracted using diethyl ether. Extracted samples were analysed in
- 225 duplicate using an EIA kit (Wada et al., 2007). A dose response curve of kittiwake serum ran
- 226 parallel to the standard curve. Values were corrected for sample dilution and recovery. The
- average extraction efficiency was 80 ± 0.9 %. The mean inter-assay variation was 6.4 % and
- the intra-assay variation ranged from 5.6 to 6.8 %.

229 2.7 Statistical methods

- All statistical analyses were performed in the R computing environment (version: 2.10.1, R
- 231 Development Core Team, 2009). Eight separate analyses were conducted. For each analysis
- we selected the "best" model, and then assessed the significance of individual variables
- within this model. In each case we selected the "best" model to be the model with the lowest
- 234 Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) or AICc (AIC with correction for small sample sizes)
- value (Burnham and Anderson, 2002). AICc was used for all analyses that did not contain
- random effects, because sample sizes were small. AIC was used for analyses that contained
- random effects, due to the difficulties in calculating the effective sample size *n* (which AICc
- 238 requires) within mixed models
- 239 2.7.1 Body mass
- 240 To examine differences between treatment groups in adult body condition, we used mass
- rather than size-corrected mass because previous studies disagree about which, if any,

242 methods for calculating body condition are valid (Green, 2001, Schulte-Hostedde et al., 2005, 243 Schamber et al., 2009). We used linear mixed models; models were fitted by maximum 244 likelihood (ML) for the purposes of calculating AIC values and by REML for the purposes of 245 obtaining parameter estimates and standard errors, and P values were calculated using 246 Markov chain Monte Carlo simulations (Function *pvals.fnc*; Package *languageR* version 1.4). 247 We only included individuals that had been successfully recaptured and therefore had both 248 pre- and post-implant data: including individuals that were only captured once would have 249 biased the pre-implant data because these individuals were probably more susceptible to 250 disturbance. We included individual as a random factor in all models to account for repeated 251 measures. The full model contained fixed effects for treatment (i.e. sham-implanted or 252 corticosterone-implanted), pre/post-implant (i.e. 0 = pre-implant sample; 1 = post-implant253 sample) and the interaction between these (which was the key variable of interest). The full 254 model also included date relative to lay date (i.e. date of sampling an individual relative to 255 that individual's lay date), because mass tends to decline during the season (e.g. Mrosovsky 256 and Sherry, 1980, Wendeln and Becker, 1999, Moe et al., 2002). Since evidence suggests that 257 daily energy expenditure, which may affect body mass, varies between males and females 258 (higher in female kittiwakes, Fyhn et al., 2001; higher in male kittiwakes, Thomson et al., 259 1998), we included sex, the interaction between treatment and sex, the interaction between 260 pre/post-implant and sex and the three-way interaction between treatment, pre/post-implant 261 and sex.

We also ran an additional analysis with body mass as the response variable to compare the post-implant measurements of sham-implanted individuals with control individuals, which were measured at the time of implant removal (Fig. 1). We did not need to include individual as a random effect in this analysis, because only one sample was being analysed per individual, and therefore used linear models. The full model contained treatment (i.e. shamimplanted or control), which was the key variable of interest, as well as date relative to lay date, sex and the interaction between treatment and sex.

269 2.7.2 Nest attendance

We used a generalized linear mixed model with a binomial distribution to test for any effect of treatment on the presence of implanted individuals at the nest during the chick-rearing period (i.e. after implant removal; Fig. 1): we used an explanatory variable with individuals coded as present (1) or absent (0). Nest was included as a random effect. Treatment was the 274 main fixed effect of interest and the full model also included day since implant and the

interaction between day since implant and treatment (to assess whether any differences

became more or less apparent over time). We included brood size as a fixed effect and the

277 interaction between brood size and treatment due to previous studies suggesting that larger

broods are more likely to be left unattended (Wanless and Harris, 1989). Sex and the

interaction between sex and treatment were both also included. We treated each nest check as

an independent event as no autocorrelation was detected in our model (estimated

autocorrelation parameter of zero when we attempted to include this in the full model).

282 2.7.3 Breeding success

283 We use a generalized linear with an overdispersed binomial distribution to compare breeding 284 success across groups. The binomial denominator was clutch size at the time of treatment -285 this means that differences in clutch size prior to treatment were effectively removed from the 286 analysis. We considered four models: a null model in which all three groups (i.e. control, 287 sham-implanted or corticosterone-implanted) were assumed to have the same mean, a model 288 in which the treatment and sham groups were pooled, a model in which the sham and control 289 groups were pooled, and a model in which the three groups were assumed to all have 290 different means. The former two models imply that corticosterone has no effect on breeding 291 success, and the latter two models imply that it does have an effect.

292 2.7.4 Hormone concentrations

- We found no effect of individual sampling time (linear model: t = 0.87, P = 0.39), capture
- time (t = 0.67, P = 0.51), rank order of capture (t = 0.31, P = 0.76), an interaction between
- individual sampling time and capture time (t = 0.85, P = 0.40) or an interaction between

individual sampling time and rank order of capture (t = 0.24, P = 0.81) on corticosterone

297 concentrations (full model: $F_{5.66} = 0.67$, $R^2 = 0.05$). There was also no effect of total time

- 298 (total = individual sampling time + capture time; linear model: t = 1.01, P = 0.32), rank order
- of capture (t = 0.79, P = 0.43) or the interaction between total time and rank (t = 0.76, P =
- 300 0.45) on corticosterone concentrations (full model: $F_{3,68} = 0.38$, $R^2 = 0.02$). Therefore, we
- 301 included all samples in our analyses as representative of baseline concentrations.

302 When analysing the effect of treatment on corticosterone and prolactin concentrations, we

303 used linear mixed models—the models for hormone concentrations had the same structure as

those for body mass and were fitted in the same way. The full model included date relative to

305 lay date as a fixed effect, because prolactin cycles seasonally, rising during incubation

- 306 (Dawson, 2006, 2008). We included sex as a fixed effect, the interaction between treatment
- 307 and sex, the interaction between pre/post-implant and sex and the three-way interaction
- 308 between treatment, pre/post-implant and sex, because of sex-specific patterns in
- 309 corticosterone (Lormée et al., 2003), and sex-specific responses of prolactin to stress
- 310 (Angelier et al., 2009b). As corticosterone and prolactin concentrations were constrained by
- 311 being positive and the residuals were not normally distributed, we transformed these two
- 312 response variables by taking the logarithm to base ten.
- 313 We also ran two additional models with corticosterone and prolactin as response variables to
- 314 compare the post-implant measurements of sham-implanted individuals with control
- 315 individuals, which were measured at the time of implant removal (Fig. 1). These two
- 316 additional models had the same structure as the model comparing the post-implant body mass
- 317 of sham and control individuals.
- 318

319 **3 Results**

320 There were no signs of any adverse effects of the implants at the time of recapture and in all

- 321 cases the incision area had completely healed. None of the implanted birds disappeared
- 322 during the experiment and there was no significant difference in breeding success between
- 323 sham-implanted individuals and controls (see section 3.3).

324 **3.1 Body mass**

- 325 For the comparison of corticosterone-implanted and sham-implanted individuals the model
- 326 with lowest AIC (Fig. 2a; see supplementary data, Table S1) contained sex, treatment and the
- 327 interaction between sex and treatment, but within this model there was no significant effect of
- 328 sex (t = 1.27, P = 0.21), treatment (t = 0.20, P = 0.84), or the interaction between sex and
- 329 treatment (t = 0.04, P = 0.97) on body mass.
- 330
- 331 For the comparison of post-implant body mass of sham-implanted and control individuals the
- 332 model with lowest AICc (see supplementary data, Table S2) only contained sex, reflecting a
- tendency for males to have higher body mass than females, but this effect was non-significant
- 334 $(t = 1.75, P = 0.10, R^2 = 0.14).$
- 335

336 **3.2 Nest attendance**

- 337 The best model for nest attendance, according to AIC (see supplementary data, Table S3),
- 338 contained sex, treatment, the sex-by-treatment interaction and time since implant. The main
- 339 effect of treatment on the presence of a previously implanted individual at the nest was non-
- 340 significant (t = 0.38, df = 33, P = 0.71) but there was a significant effect of sex (t = 2.95, df =
- 341 33, P = 0.01), with females attending more than males (mean \pm SD percentage of time spent
- attending nest; females: 45 ± 50 %; males: 37 ± 48 %). There was a significant interaction
- between treatment and sex (t = 2.42, df = 33, P = 0.02; Fig. 2b), with corticosterone-
- 344 implanted females attending more than sham-implanted females and corticosterone-implanted
- 345 males attending less than sham-implanted males. There was also a significant effect of time
- 346 since implant (t = 2.19, df = 784, P = 0.03) with a 4.1 \pm 1.3 % (mean \pm SE) reduction in nest
- 347 attendance per day since implantation irrespective of whether the individual was
- 348 corticosterone-implanted or sham-implanted.
- 349

350 **3.3 Breeding success**

- 351 The best model for breeding success, according to AIC (see supplementary data, Table S4),
- 352 was that in which the sham and control groups were the same as each other but different from
- 353 the corticosterone-treated group. There was marginally significant evidence that
- 354 corticosterone treated individuals fledged a lower proportion of chicks (34 %) than
- individuals that were not treated with corticosterone (61 % (including sham-implanted birds
- and controls); difference of 26 ± 12 % points (mean \pm SE); t = 2.01, P = 0.05; Fig. 2c).
- 357 Breeding failures, i.e. losses of all remaining chicks, occurred on average on June 21 ± 9
- 358 (mean \pm SD), which was 16 \pm 9 (mean \pm SD) days after implant removal (Fig. 1). There was
- 359 no significant difference between the timing of failure of corticosterone- and sham-implanted
- individuals (Student's t test: t = 0.33, df = 11, P = 0.74).
- 361

362 **3.4 Hormone concentrations**

363 For the comparison of corticosterone concentrations of treated and sham individuals the

- 364 model with lowest AIC (see supplementary data, Table S5) contained sex, which had a
- 365 marginally significant effect (linear mixed effects model: t = 1.90, P = 0.06). The
- 366 corticosterone data were largely sensitive to one value (corticosterone-implanted male; pre-
- implant: 7.7 ng/ml; post-implant: 169.9 ng/ml; Mahalanobis distance: MD = 45.9, df = 9;

- 368 critical value = 27.9), which resulted in a large standard error associated with the post-
- implant mean for corticosterone-implanted individuals (23.6 ± 12.3 ; Fig. 3a). However, the
- 370 results of the model did not change substantively if this value was excluded.
- 371 For the comparison of prolactin concentrations of treated and sham individuals, the model
- 372 with lowest AIC (Fig. 3b; see supplementary data, Table S6) contained sex, pre/post implant
- and the sex-by-pre/post implant interaction. There was a marginally significant effect of sex
- 374 (linear mixed effects model: t = 2.04, P = 0.05) and a significant effect of pre/post-implant (t
- 375 = 2.87, P < 0.01) on prolactin concentrations. Prolactin concentrations were 12.8 ± 25.7
- 376 ng/ml (mean \pm SD) lower at the time of the post-implant blood sample compared to the pre-
- implant sample. There was no significant effect of the interaction between sex and pre/post-

378 implant (t = 1.56, P = 0.13).

For the comparisons of post-implant corticosterone and prolactin concentrations of sham and control individuals the models with lowest AICc were the null models (Figs. 3a and 3b; see supplementary data, Tables S7 and S8 respectively), suggesting that there was no empirical support for a relationship between hormone concentrations and any of the variables

383 considered.

384

385 **4 Discussion**

High corticosterone concentrations, as seen during chronically stressful conditions, have been
associated with reductions in prolactin concentrations and body condition (e.g. Angelier and
Chastel, 2009), and decreased reproduction (e.g. Thierry et al., 2013) and survival (e.g.
Crespi et al., 2013). Our results support these findings in part, with the experimental elevation
of corticosterone being associated with a decrease in breeding success. However, we found
no evidence for an effect on circulating prolactin or body mass after eight days of treatment.

The fact that there was no significant difference in corticosterone concentrations at implant removal compared to implantation, yet there was a marginally significant difference in overall breeding success between the treatment groups, suggests that corticosterone had been elevated, albeit for a shorter duration than we expected. Because our results show a negative effect of treatment on breeding success, it is unlikely that endogenous corticosterone was blocked, as has been found in other studies (e.g. Goutte et al., 2011). However, it is possible that, after implantation, endogenous corticosterone dropped precipitously, impacting an 399 individual's ability to maintain homeostatic functioning by down-regulating the 400 glucocorticoid stress response (reviewed in Busch and Hayward, 2009). Such an inhibition of 401 the stress response could have resulted in the observed reductions in breeding success later in 402 the season. Sustained low and medium doses of corticosterone were successfully 403 administered to white throated sparrows using Alzet® osmotic pumps (Horton et al., 2007); 404 however, in both cases this initially resulted in elevated circulating concentrations followed 405 by a decline. A similar pattern of change could have occurred in our experiment. We were 406 unable to monitor change in corticosterone during the experiment by recapturing individuals 407 repeatedly as this would have jeopardised recapturing birds after eight days to remove 408 implants and also caused additional acute stress, the effect of which could have been 409 indistinguishable from our corticosterone manipulation. Therefore, our conclusions must be 410 made with caution in light of the unknown effects, in terms of duration and magnitude, of our 411 manipulation on corticosterone concentrations.

412 Schultner et al. (2013a) suggested that energy allocation is more dynamic than previous 413 studies have often assumed, with an initial increase in baseline corticosterone concentrations 414 being related to increases in body condition, used as a proxy for endogenous energy reserves, 415 until a threshold level is reached. A subsequent decline in body mass, below a critical 416 threshold level, may cause breeding failure, as documented in Arctic terns Sterna paradisaea 417 facing natural chronic stress (Monaghan et al., 1992). Thierry et al. (2013) found that poor 418 weather conditions caused greater nest desertion in corticosterone treated birds. It is possible 419 that we manipulated corticosterone concentrations within the range of values that maintained 420 body mass rather than inducing a decline and that some further physiological or 421 environmental stress, after our corticosterone treatment had finished, may have caused our 422 corticosterone-implanted individuals to subsequently decline in body mass and fail their 423 breeding attempt. Our results are also limited by a relatively small sample size, which reduces 424 the likelihood of detecting an effect over and above the natural variations in body mass.

Male and female seabirds have previously been shown to have differing patterns of change in baseline corticosterone concentrations, body condition, provisioning rates and nest attendance during the breeding season (e.g. Lormée et al., 2003, Harding et al., 2004, Leclaire et al. 2011). In our study, females showed higher nest attendance during chick-rearing than males. We predicted that corticosterone treatment would disrupt breeding behaviour and reduce nest attendance; however, we found that whilst this was true for male kittiwakes, the opposite was true for females. Schultner et al. (2013b) recently showed that kittiwakes from an Atlantic breeding population showed higher nest attendance in response to a short-term increase in corticosterone, which was explained by their faster life-history strategy compared to Pacific breeding populations. However, the sex differences that we found in attendance behaviour could be driven primarily by one or two data points and higher sample sizes would be required for a robust conclusion about within-population sex differences in attendance behaviour.

438 The lack of a reduction in prolactin concentration after eight days of treatment suggests that 439 corticosterone does not have an immediate effect on prolactin. This is compatible with our 440 results that suggest a delayed effect on breeding success rather than an immediate 441 abandonment of breeding. We speculate that prolactin would have declined following failure, 442 when the stimulatory effects of the nest and chicks would have been removed (Hall and 443 Goldsmith, 1983), and may have declined prior to failure when we were unable to catch 444 kittiwakes. The study of kittiwakes breeding in Svalbard, Norway by Angelier et al. (2009a) 445 showed that when corticosterone was administered using silastic tubes, its concentration was elevated to supra-physiological levels 24 hours later, and whilst this caused a small but 446 447 significant decline in prolactin concentrations, the decline did not occur until after 448 corticosterone had returned to baseline values. We might have found a similar decline in 449 prolactin had we been able to blood sample individuals at a later date. However, from our 450 results we cannot assume that prolactin concentrations fall as a result of chronically raised 451 corticosterone, or that low prolactin concentrations are necessary to induce breeding failure. 452 Recently, Angelier et al. (2013) showed a lack of a mechanistic link between corticosterone 453 and prolactin after an acute capture-restraint stress response. Our results indicate that the 454 same is true after one week of corticosterone treatment, suggesting that corticosterone and prolactin may be mediating different aspects of the response to environmental perturbation. 455

456 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) for funding this
work (NERC Doctoral Training Grant number: NE/H525346/1 and the European Research
Council AdG 268926 to Pat Monaghan) and Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH) for access to
the island. We would like to thank Hanna Granroth-Wilding and Emi Takahashi for help
collecting fledging success data, Annika Telford for assistance in the molecular sexing
laboratory, Rebecca Watson for assistance with the EIA assay and Deena Mobbs for help

with the figures. We would also like to thank our anonymous reviewers for their helpfulcomments, which have improved this manuscript.

465 **Figure legends**

Fig. 1 Timing of experimental procedures and how these correspond to key events within the breeding season are indicated along a timeline of day of year. For events signified by a single point with a vertical hatched line (mean), horizontal lines show the range of the data. For events signified by a grey rectangle between two single points each with vertical hatched lines, the horizontal line joining the two points indicates the duration of time which these events lasted.

472 Fig. 2 (a) Body mass (mean \pm standard error) for kittiwakes given sham implants (Sham: n =

473 14), corticosterone implants (Cort: n = 12) and no implants (Control: n = 7). Only implanted

individuals that were successfully recaptured are included and only samples taken at the time

of post-implant are available for control individuals. (b) Nest attendance (proportion of visits;

476 mean \pm standard error) during chick-rearing of female (filled bars) and male (open bars)

477 corticosterone- and sham-implanted kittiwakes. (c) Breeding success (mean ± standard error)

478 calculated as number of chicks fledged for kittiwakes given no implants (Control: n = 7),

sham implants (Sham: n = 21) and corticosterone implants (Cort: n = 17). Letters above bars

480 indicate statistical significance between groups (mean values of bars with the same letter are

481 not significantly different (a; P > 0.05); mean values of bars with different letters are

482 significantly different (b; P < 0.05).

483 Fig. 3 (a) Corticosterone concentration and (b) prolactin concentration (mean ± standard

484 error) for kittiwakes given sham implants (Sham: n = 14), corticosterone implants (Cort: n =

485 12) and no implants (Control: n = 7) during pre-implant (filled bars) and post-implant (open

486 bars. Only implanted individuals that were successfully recaptured are included and only

487 samples taken at the time of post-implant are available for control individuals.

488 **References**

Albores-Barajas, Y.V., Massa, B., Griffiths, K., Soldatini, C., 2010. Sexual dichromatism in
Mediterranean storm petrels Hydrobates pelagicus melitensis. Ardeola. 57, 333-337.

491 Angelier, F., Chastel, O., 2009. Stress, prolactin and parental investment in birds: A review,

492 9th International Symposium on Avian Endocrinology. Academic Press Inc Elsevier Science,

493 Leuven, Belgium. 142-148.

- 494 Angelier, F., Clement-Chastel, C., Welcker, J., Gabrielsen, G.W., Chastel, O., 2009a. How
- 495 does corticosterone affect parental behaviour and reproductive success? A study of prolactin
- 496 in black-legged kittiwakes. Funct. Ecol. 23, 784-793.
- 497 Angelier, F., Moe, B., Blanc, S., Chastel, O., 2009b. What factors drive prolactin and
- 498 corticosterone responses to stress in a long-lived bird species (snow petrel Pagodroma nivea)?
- 499 Physiol. Biochem. Zool. 82, 590-602.
- 500 Angelier, F., Wingfield, J.C., Trouvé, C., de Grissac, S., Chastel, O., 2013. Modulation of the
- 501 prolactin and the corticosterone stress responses: Do they tell the same story in a long-lived
- 502 bird, the Cape petrel? Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 182, 7-15.
- 503 Bentley, G.E., Goldsmith, A.R., Dawson, A., Glennie, L.M., Talbot, R.T., Sharp, P.J., 1997.
- 504 Photorefractoriness in European starlings (Sturnus vulgaris) is not dependent upon the long-
- 505 day-induced rise in plasma thyroxine. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 107, 428-438.
- 506 Boonstra, R., 2013. Reality as the leading cause of stress: rethinking the impact of chronic
- 507 stress in nature. Funct. Ecol. 27, 11-23.
- Burnham, K., Anderson, D. 2002. Model selection and multimodel inference: A practicalinformation-theoretic approach. Springer.
- 510 Busch, D. S., Hayward, L. S. 2009. Stress in a conservation context: A discussion of
- 511 glucocorticoid actions and how levels change with conservation-relevant variables. Biol.
- 512 Cons. 142, 2844-2853.
- 513 Chardine, J.W., 2002. Geographic variation in the wingtip patterns of black-legged
- 514 kittiwakes. Condor. 104, 687-693.
- 515 Chastel, O., Lacroix, A., Weimerskirch, H., Gabrielsen, G.W., 2005. Modulation of prolactin
- 516 but not corticosterone responses to stress in relation to parental effort in a long-lived bird.
- 517 Horm. Behav. 47, 459-466.
- 518 Cherel, Y., Mauget, R., Lacroix, A., Gilles, J., 1994. Seasonal and fasting-related changes in
- 519 circulating gonadal-steroids and prolactin in king penguins, *Aptenodytes Patagonicus*.
- 520 Physiol. Zool. 67, 1154-1173.

- 521 Cherel, Y., Robin, J.P., Walch, O., Karmann, H., Netchitailo, P., Le Maho, Y., 1988. Fasting
- 522 in king penguin. I. Hormonal and metabolic changes during breeding. Am. J. Physiol. Reg.
- 523 Int. Comp. Physiol. 254, 170-177.
- 524 Crespi, E.J., Williams, T.D., Jessop, T.S., Delehanty, B., 2013. Life history and the ecology
- 525 of stress: how do glucocorticoid hormones influence life-history variation in animals? Funct.
- 526 Ecol. 27, 93-106.
- 527 Crossin, G.T., Trathan, P.N., Phillips, R.A., Gorman, K.B., Dawson, A., Sakamoto, K.Q.,
- 528 Williams, T.D., 2012. Corticosterone predicts foraging behavior and parental care in
- 529 macaroni penguins. Am. Nat. 180, E31-E41.
- 530 Dawson, A., 2006. Control of molt in birds: Association with prolactin and gonadal
- 531 regression in starlings. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 147, 314-322.
- 532 Dawson, A., 2008. Control of the annual cycle in birds: endocrine constraints and plasticity in
- response to ecological variability. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B-Biol. Sci. 363, 1621-1633.
- Dawson, A., Goldsmith, A.R., 1985. Modulation of gonadotropin and prolactin secretion by
 daylength and breeding behaviour in free-living starlings, Sturnus vulgaris. J. Zool. (Lond.)
 206, 241-252.
- El Halawani, M.E., Silsby, J.L., Behnke, E.J., Fehrer, S.C., 1986. Hormonal induction of
 incubation behavior in ovariectomized female turkeys (Meleagris gallopavo). Biol. Reprod.
- 539 35, 59-67.
- 540 Fyhn, M., Gabrielsen, G.W., Nordøy, E.S., Moe, B., Langseth, I., Bech, C., 2001. Individual
- 541 variation in field metabolic rate of kittiwakes (Rissa tridactyla) during the chick rearing
- 542 period. Physiol. Biochem. Zool. 74, 343-355.
- 543 Golet, G.H., Schmutz, J.A., Irons, D.B., Estes, J.A., 2004. Determinants of reproductive costs
- in the long-lived black-legged kittiwake: A multiyear experiment. Ecol. Monographs. 74,353-372.
- 546 Goutte, A., Angelier, F., Welcker, J., Moe, B., Clément-Chastel, C., Gabrielsen, G.W., Bech,
- 547 C., Chastel, O., 2010. Long-term survival effect of corticosterone manipulation in black-
- 548 legged kittiwakes. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 167, 246-251.

- 549 Goutte, A., Clement-Chastel, C., Moe, B., Bech, C., Gabrielsen, G.W., Chastel, O., 2011.
- 550 Experimentally reduced corticosterone release promotes early breeding in black-legged
- 551 kittiwakes. J. Exp. Biol. 214, 2005-2013.
- 552 Green, A. J. 2001. Mass/length residuals: measures of body condition or generators of
- 553 spurious results? Ecology. 82, 1473-1483.
- Griffiths, R., Double, M.C., Orr, K., Dawson, R.J.G., 1998. A DNA test to sex most birds.
 Mol. Ecol. 7, 1071-1075.
- Hall, M.R., Goldsmith, A.R., 1983. Factors affecting prolactin secretion during breeding and
- incubation in the domestic duck (*Anas platyrhynchos*). Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 49, 270-276.
- 558 Harding, A.M.A., Van Pelt, T.I., Lifjeld, J.T., Mehlum, F., 2004. Sex differences in Little
- 559 Auk Alle alle parental care: transition from biparental to paternal-only care. Ibis. 146, 642-
- 560 651.
- 561 Horton, B.M., Long, J.A., Holberton, R.L., 2007. Intraperitoneal delivery of exogenous
- 562 corticosterone via osmotic pump in a passerine bird. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 152, 8-13.
- 563 Kitaysky, A.S., Piatt, J.F., Hatch, S.A., Kitaiskaia, E.V., Benowitz-Fredericks, Z.M., Shultz,
- 564 M.T., Wingfield, J.C., 2010. Food availability and population processes: severity of
- nutritional stress during reproduction predicts survival of long-lived seabirds. Funct. Ecol. 24,625-637.
- 567 Kitaysky, A.S., Wingfield, J.C., Piatt, J.F., 1999. Dynamics of food availability, body
- 568 condition and physiological stress response in breeding black-legged kittiwakes. Funct. Ecol.569 13, 577-584.
- 570 Kitaysky, A.S., Wingfield, J.C., Piatt, J.F., 2001. Corticosterone facilitates begging and
- 571 affects resource allocation in the black-legged kittiwake. Behav. Ecol. 12, 619-625.
- 572 Leclaire, S., Bourret, V., Wagner, R. H., Hatch, S. A., Helfenstein, F., Chastel, O., Danchin,
- 573 É. 2011. Behavioral and physiological responses to male handicap in chick-rearing black-
- 574 legged kittiwakes. Behav. Ecol. 22, 1156-1165.
- 575 Linden, M., Møller, A.P., 1989. Cost of reproduction and covariation of life-history traits in
- 576 birds. Trends in Ecol. Evolut. 4, 367-371.

- 577 Lormée, H., Jouventin, P., Trouve, C., Chastel, O., 2003. Sex-specific patterns in baseline
- 578 corticosterone and body condition changes in breeding red-footed boobies *Sula sula*. Ibis.579 145, 212-219.
- 580 Love, O.P., Breuner, C.W., Vezina, F., Williams, T.D., 2004. Mediation of a corticosterone-
- 581 induced reproductive conflict. Horm. Behav. 46, 59-65.
- 582 Moe, B., Langseth, I., Fyhn, M., Gabrielsen, G.W., Bech, C., 2002. Changes in body
- 583 condition in breeding kittiwakes *Rissa tridactyla*. J. Avian Biol. 33, 225-234.
- 584 Monaghan, P., Uttley, J., Burns, M.D., 1992. Effect of changes in food availability on
- 585 reproductive effort in Arctic Terns *Sterna paradisaea*. Ardea. 80, 71 81.
- 586 Mrosovsky, N., Sherry, D.F., 1980. Animal anorexias. Science. 207, 837-842.
- 587 Newman, A.E.M., MacDougall-Shackleton, S.A., An, Y.-S., Kriengwatana, B., Soma, K.K.,
- 588 2010. Corticosterone and dehydroepiandrosterone have opposing effects on adult
- neuroplasticity in the avian song control system. J. Comp. Neurol. 518, 3662-3678.
- 590 Norris, D.O., 1980. Vertebrate endocrinology. Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia, USA. 1-531.
- Rich, E.L., Romero, L.M., 2005. Exposure to chronic stress downregulates corticosterone
 responses to acute stressors. Am. J. Physiol. Reg. Int. Comp. Physiol. 288, 1628-1636.
- Riou, S., Chastel, O., Lacroix, A., Hamer, K.C., 2010. Stress and parental care: Prolactin
- responses to acute stress throughout the breeding cycle in a long-lived bird. Gen. Comp.Endocrinol. 168, 8-13.
- Romero, L.M., Reed, J.M., 2005. Collecting baseline corticosterone samples in the field: is
 under 3 min good enough? Comp. Biochem. Physiol. a-Mol. Integrat. Physiol. 140, 73-79.
- 598 Romero, L.M., Strochlic, D., Wingfield, J.C., 2005. Corticosterone inhibits feather growth:
- 599 Potential mechanism explaining seasonal down regulation of corticosterone during molt.
- 600 Comp. Biochem. Physiol. a-Mol. Integrat. Physiol. 142, 65-73.
- Romero, M.L., 2002. Seasonal changes in plasma glucocorticoid concentrations in free-living
 vertebrates. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 128, 1-24.
- 603 Sapolsky, R.M., 2000. Stress Hormones: Good and Bad. Neurobiol. Dis. 7, 540-542.

- 604 Sapolsky, R.M., Romero, L.M., Munck, A.U., 2000. How do glucocorticoids influence stress
- responses? integrating permissive, suppressive, stimulatory, and preparative actions. Endocr.Rev. 21, 55-89.
- 607 Schamber, J. L., Esler, D., Flint, P. L. 2009. Evaluating the validity of using unverified
- 608 indices of body condition. J. Avian Biol. 40, 49-56.
- 609 Schulte-Hostedde, A. I., Zinner, B., Millar, J. S., Hickling, G. J. 2005. Restitution of mass-
- 610 size residuals: validating body condition indices. Ecology. 86, 155-163.
- 611 Schultner, J., Kitaysky, A.S., Welcker, J., Hatch, S., 2013a. Fat or lean: adjustment of
- 612 endogenous energy stores to predictable and unpredictable changes in allostatic load. Funct.
- 613 Ecol. 27, 45-55.
- 614 Schultner, J., Kitaysky, A. S., Gabrielsen, G. W., Hatch, S. A., Bech, C. 2013b. Differential
- 615 reproductive responses to stress reveal the role of life-history strategies within a species.
- 616 Proc. Royal SocietyB. 280, 20132090
- 617 Silverin, B., Wingfield, J.C., 1982. Patterns of breeding behaviour and plasma levels of
- hormones in a free-living population of pied flycatchers, *Ficedula hypoleuca*. J. Zool. (Lond.)
 198, 117-129.
- 620 Stearns, S.C., 1977. The evolution of life history traits: A critique of the theory and a review621 of the data. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 8, 145-171.
- 622 Thierry, A.-M., Massemin, S., Handrich, Y., Raclot, T., 2013. Elevated corticosterone levels
- and severe weather conditions decrease parental investment of incubating Adélie penguins.Horm. Behav. 63, 475-483.
- 625 Thomson, D.L., Furnes, R.W., Monaghan, P., 1998. Field metabolic rates of kittiwakes *Rissa*
- 626 *tridactyla* during incubation and chick rearing. Ardea. 86, 169-175.
- 627 Wada, H., Hahn, T.P., Breuner, C.W., 2007. Development of stress reactivity in white-
- 628 crowned sparrow nestlings: Total corticosterone response increases with age, while free
- 629 corticosterone response remains low. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 150, 405-413.
- 630 Wanless, S., Harris, M.P., 1989. Kittiwake attendance patterns during chick rearing on the
- Isle of May, Scotland, UK. Scottish Birds. 15, 156-161.

- Wendeln, H., Becker, P.H., 1999. Effects of parental quality and effort on the reproduction of
- 633 common terns. J. Anim. Ecol. 68, 205-214.
- 634 Wingfield, J.C., Maney, D.L., Breuner, C.W., Jacobs, J.D., Lynn, S., Ramenofsky, M.,
- 635 Richardson, R.D., 1998. Ecological bases of hormone-behavior interactions: The "emergency
- 636 life history stage". Am. Zool. 38, 191-206.
- 637 Wingfield, J.C., Ramos-Fernandez, G., la Mora, A.N.D., Drummond, H., 1999. The effects of
- an "El Nino" southern oscillation event on reproduction in male and female blue-footed
- 639 boobies, *Sula nebouxii*. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 114, 163-172.
- 640



641

Fig. 1. Timing of experimental procedures and how these correspond to key events within the breeding season are indicated along a timeline of day of year. For events signified by a single point with a vertical hatched line (mean), horizontal lines show the range of the data. For events signified by a grey rectangle between two single points each with vertical hatched lines, the horizontal line joining the two points indicates the duration of time which these events lasted.

648

649

650







652	Fig. 2. (a) Body mass (mean \pm standard error) for kittiwakes given sham implants (sham: n =
653	14), corticosterone implants (cort: $n = 12$) and no implants (control: $n = 7$). Only implanted
654	individuals that were successfully recaptured are included and only samples taken at the time
655	of post-implant are available for control individuals. (b) Nest attendance (proportion of visits;
656	mean \pm standard error) during chick-rearing of female (filled bars) and male (open bars)
657	corticosterone- and sham-implanted kittiwakes. (c) Breeding success (mean \pm standard error)
658	calculated as number of chicks fledged for kittiwakes given no implants (control: $n = 7$),
659	sham implants (sham: $n = 21$) and corticosterone implants (cort: $n = 17$). Letters above bars
660	indicate statistical significance between groups (mean values of bars with the same letter are
661	not significantly different (a; $P > 0.05$); mean values of bars with different letters are
662	significantly different (b; $P < 0.05$).





664

Fig. 3. (a) Corticosterone concentration and (b) prolactin concentration (mean \pm standard error) for kittiwakes given sham implants (sham: n = 14), corticosterone implants (cort: n = 12) and no implants (control: n = 7) during pre-implant (filled bars) and post-implant (open bars. Only implanted individuals that were successfully recaptured are included and only samples taken at the time of post-implant are available for control individuals.

670

671