NERC Open Research Archive



Article (refereed) - postprint

Johnson, Andrew C.; Keller, Virginie; Dumont, Egon; Sumpter, John P. 2015. Assessing the concentrations and risks of toxicity from the antibiotics ciprofloxacin, sulfamethoxazole, trimethoprim and erythromycin in European rivers.

Copyright © 2013 Elsevier B.V.

This version available http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/509505/

NERC has developed NORA to enable users to access research outputs wholly or partially funded by NERC. Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access

NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in *Science of the Total Environment*. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in *Science of the Total Environment*, 511. 747-755. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.12.055

www.elsevier.com/

Contact CEH NORA team at noraceh@ceh.ac.uk

The NERC and CEH trademarks and logos ('the Trademarks') are registered trademarks of NERC in the UK and other countries, and may not be used without the prior written consent of the Trademark owner.

Running head:

Antibiotic concentrations in European rivers

ANDREW C. JOHNSON

Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Wallingford, Oxfordshire, OX10 8BB, UK

Tel 01491 692367

Fax 01491 692424

E-mail ajo@ceh.ac.uk

Words in text	2601
Words in references	2443
Number of tables	5
Number of figures	4

Assessing the concentrations and risks of toxicity from the antibiotics ciprofloxacin, sulfamethoxazole, trimethoprim and erythromycin in European rivers

- 1
- 2
- 3 ANDREW C. JOHNSON*+, VIRGINIE KELLER+, EGON DUMONT+, and JOHN P. SUMPTER+
- 4 [†]Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Wallingford, Oxfordshire, OX10 8BB, UK
- 5 ‡Institute for the Environment, Brunel University, Uxbridge, UB8, UK
- 6

8 Abstract

9 This study evaluated the potential concentrations of four antibiotics: ciprofloxacin (CIP), sulfamethoxazole 10 (SUF), trimethoprim (TRI) and erythromycin (ERY) throughout the rivers of Europe. This involved reviewing 11 national consumption rates together with assessing excretion and sewage treatment removal rates. From 12 this information, it was possible to construct best, expected and worst case scenarios for the discharge of 13 these antibiotics into rivers. Consumption data showed surprising variations, up to 200-fold in the popularity 14 of different antibiotics across different European nations. Using the water resources model GWAVA which 15 has a spatial resolution of approximately 6 x 9 km, river water concentrations throughout Europe were 16 predicted based on 31-year climate data. The modelled antibiotic concentrations were within the range of 17 measurements reported previously in European effluents and rivers. With the expected scenario, the 18 predicted annual-average antibiotic concentrations ranged between 0 and 10 ng/L for 90 % by length of 19 surface waters. In the worst case scenario concentrations could reach between 0.1 and 1 μ g/L at the most 20 exposed locations. As both predicted and observed sewage effluent concentrations were below reported 21 effect levels for the most sensitive aquatic wildlife, no direct toxicity in rivers is expected. Predicted river 22 concentrations for CIP and ERY were closest to effect levels in wildlife, followed by SUF which was 2-3 orders 23 of magnitude lower. TRI appeared to be of the least concern with around 6 orders of magnitude difference 24 between predicted and effect levels. However, mixture toxicity may elevate this risk and antibiotic levels of 25 $0.1-1 \mu g/L$ in hotspots may contribute to local environmental antibiotic resistance in microorganisms.

26 Ke

Key words: ciprofloxacin, sulfamethoxazole, trimethoprim, erythromycin, risk, rivers, environment, toxicity

27 1. Introduction

The discharge of pharmaceuticals in wastewater into the aquatic environment has been a source of concern in scientific circles for more than a decade (Daughton and Ternes, 1999; Verlicchi et al., 2012) and is now appearing on the agenda of European legislators. This was highlighted by a proposal from the European

31 Commission to add some pharmaceuticals to the list of priority substances (COM(2011)876). The current 32 Article 8c of 2013/39/EU (Priority Substances Directive) requires the Commission to develop a strategic 33 approach to pharmaceuticals and water pollution. There are approximately 3,000 pharmaceuticals in 34 general use today (Rand-Weaver et al., 2013) so it is difficult to decide which represent the greatest threat 35 to aquatic wildlife. A variety of approaches can be found in the literature to help us decide where to focus 36 our attention (Guillen et al., 2012) including pharmaceutical sales, detection in the environment, risk of 37 exceeding an effect concentration and persistence. Many of these reviews have ranked antibiotics as 38 amongst the pharmaceuticals of greatest concern for the aquatic environment (Al Aukidy et al., 2014; Besse 39 and Garric, 2008; Christensen et al., 2009; Dong et al., 2013; Kumar and Xagoraraki, 2010; Ortiz de Garcia et 40 al., 2013).

41 Antibiotics have played a major role in improving human health and supporting livestock production 42 since World War II. As they are not completely metabolised in the body, widespread discharge into the 43 aquatic environment from both domestic and agricultural sources occurs. Amongst river organisms, it would 44 seem that blue-green algae (prokaryotes), are the most sensitive with direct toxicity at a few μ g/L (Ando et 45 al., 2007; Halling-Sorensen, 2000). Thus, antibiotics might reduce algal biodiversity. Another concern with 46 the discharge of antibiotics is the potential development of resistant bacteria, even at low concentrations 47 (Gullberg et al., 2011). Although, antibiotic resistance in the environment may be the result of excreted 48 bacteria from patients or animals themselves, it may have been stimulated in response to the antibiotic 49 discharge. Some have argued that this is happening already in rivers downstream of sewage treatment 50 plants (STPs) or intensive agriculture (Moore et al., 2010; Winkworth, 2013).

This study examined four different antibiotics; ciprofloxacin (CIP), sulfamethoxazole (SUF), trimethoprim (TRI) and erythromycin (ERY). CIP is part of the fluoroquinolone group of antibiotics, which became widely used from 1990, it targets the DNA gyrase of bacteria and so inhibits cell replication (Hooper et al., 1987). SUF belongs to the sulphonamide group which inhibits an enzyme involved in the synthesis of tetrahydrafolic acid (part of the thymidine metabolic pathway in DNA synthesis). TRI acts by targeting another enzyme

56 involved in the tetrahydrafolic acid pathway and so SUF and TRI have often been used together in therapy 57 since the late 1960s (Burchall, 1973; Seydel et al., 1972). ERY has been used since the 1950s and is part of 58 the macrolide group of antibiotics which is believed to act on the 70S RNA ribosome thereby preventing 59 transfer RNA from moving and so halting peptide synthesis (Igarashi et al., 1969).

60 Ciprofloxacin, SUF, TRI and ERY have been identified as antibiotics of particular concern by scientists 61 in the aquatic environments of the UK, Denmark, Sweden, France, Spain, USA and Worldwide (Besse and 62 Garric, 2008; Castensson et al., 2009; Christensen et al., 2009; Dong et al., 2013; Hughes et al., 2013; Jones 63 et al., 2002; Kaplan, 2013; Lienert et al., 2007; Ortiz de Garcia et al., 2013). The high risk ranking of these 64 particular four antibiotics relative to others has been linked to their consumption, discharge, persistence and 65 toxic properties. Apart from human patients, agriculture accounts for a large amount of antibiotic consumption and of the four antibiotics selected, TRI appears to be the most popular in veterinary practice 66 67 in Europe (Kools et al., 2008). However, the route to rivers from this source is unpredictable, thus this study 68 only focused on discharge from domestic sources for which the route to surface waters is relatively well 69 understood and therefore predictable.

70 The overall objective of this study was to examine how close European river concentrations of four 71 of the antibiotics of particular concern are to reported acute toxicity levels. Some river measurements of 72 these antibiotics exist, however, isolated grab samples can give a misleading impression of exposures and 73 hence risk. Geographic information system (GIS) based river water quality modelling provides an alternative 74 approach to spot sampling through providing a wide range of predicted values associated with geography 75 and hydrological natural variability. A review of the strengths and weaknesses of these two approaches for 76 polar contaminants has been made before, but both methods combined can support one another to give 77 greater confidence in risk assessment (Johnson et al., 2008). In this study the Global Water Availability 78 Assessment model (GWAVA) (Meigh et al., 1999) was used in a water quality mode to predict antibiotic 79 concentrations throughout the rivers of Europe. The following objectives were addressed:

• To examine different European national per capita antibiotic consumption rates

- To compare the range of predicted concentrations in effluents with those reported in the literature,
 To predict surface water concentrations throughout Europe using a spatially explicit model,
 To compare national predicted river concentrations against published measured concentrations
 To examine whether current predicted concentrations might exceed those which are acutely toxic to
- 85

86 2. Methods

87 2.1. Method introduction

algae, the most sensitive aquatic species?

- The process begins with collecting information on national consumption which is converted to a per 88 89 capita rate. Then information is gathered on excretion rates of the parent compound followed by a review 90 of removal rates of the parent compound in sewage treatment. This information can be used to predict 91 effluent concentrations for different countries using assumptions on wastewater discharge per capita. The 92 research involved using ranges of key words on popular academic search engines to acquire literature and 93 then examining the references in that literature to widen the net. Peer- reviewed data were preferred, unless these were absent or excellent quality publicly available information was present. This does not 94 95 guarantee all the best literature is found, nevertheless the assumptions and consequent rates can be tested 96 against measured values. To predict concentrations in real river water situations, the rate information can 97 be imported into GIS-based water quality models. The model will associate the population connected to 98 sewage treatment plants with the pharmaceutical consumption/excretion and sewage removal information previously collected in actual river networks. 99 100 2.2. Assessing per capita consumption rates 101 The first and arguably most important hurdle to overcome in any predictive model aiming to report
- 102 concentrations of human derived pharmaceuticals in water is obtaining accurate information on
- 103 consumption (Johnson et al., 2008). If this is incorrect the modelling exercise will fail before it has begun.
- 104 Reports in the literature can be used to assess a per capita consumption, given the population of the country

- 105 at that time (Table 1). The consumption data found ranged from as recent as four years to sixteen years ago.
- 106 However, overall changes in European national antibiotic consumption over time tend to be small as
- 107 revealed in a survey of use for 1997-2009. For example, over this period there was a 2% increase in the UK,
- 108 an 8% drop in Spain and a 14% increase in Germany (Adriaenssens et al., 2011).
- 109

Table 1

National antibiotic drug consumption data (mg/cap/d) collected for ciproflaxacin (CIP), Sulfamethoxazole (SUF), trimethoprim (TRI) and erthromycin (ERY). The data, originally in g/year, was transformed to mg/cap/day using the national population^{*1} at the time of the survey.

Country	Source	CIP use & year (mg/cap/d)	SUF use & year (mg/cap/d)	TRI use & year (mg/cap/d)	ERY use & year (mg/cap/d)
Germany	<u>(Roig, 2010; ter Laak et</u> <u>al., 2010)</u>	0.471(2006)* ²	1.786 (2006)	0.405 (2001)	0.704 (2006)
France	(Roig, 2010; ter Laak et al., 2010)	0.562 (2006)	0.770 (2008)	0.906 (2008)	0.822 (1998)
UK	NHS dataset from <u>www.ic.nhs.uk</u> (Boxall et al., 2014; Roig, 2010)	0.331 (2010)	0.049 (2006)	0.597 (2014)	1.82 (2010)
Spain	(Carballa et al., 2008; de Garcia et al., 2013; Roig, 2010)	1.1 (2010)	0.633 (2010)	0.004 (2010)	5.14 (2003)
Poland	(Roig, 2010)	0.345 (2006)	0.468 (2006)	NA* ³	0.455 (2006)
Austria	(McArdell et al., 2003)	NA	NA	NA	0.342 (1998)
Greece	(Straub, 2013)	NA	NA	0.194 (2003)	NA
Switzerland	(Alder, 2006; Giger et al., 2003; ter Laak et al., 2010)	NA	0.853 (2004)	0.193 (2004)	0.066 (1999)
Sweden	(Alder, 2006; Lindberg et al., 2005)	1.104	0.440 (2005)	NA	NA
European mean value		0.652	0.820	0.418	1.336

^{*1} Historic population information from indexmundi (<u>http://www.indexmundi.com/factbook/countries</u>)

111 which is compiled from CIA World fact book and the IMF world economic outlook 2011

^{*2}Information from the 1999-2006 period provided in this reference (Roig, 2010)

113 *³ Information not provided

114 The difference in CIP consumption between nations with available data was just over 3-fold (most popular in

115 Spain), whilst for SUF consumption differed by 35-fold with the highest apparent consumption occurring in

- 116 Germany. For TRI, consumption differed by 226-fold with the highest consumption occurring in France
- 117 whilst ERY consumption differed by 78-fold with the highest consumption occurring in Spain (Table 1). For
- 118 some antibiotics this consumption is not stable throughout the year, with seasonal increases to treat winter
- 119 respiratory tract infections (Bruyndonckx et al., 2014; Suda et al., 2014).
- 120 2.3. Assessing per capita excretion rates and sewage removal rates
- 121 The next stage in estimating the domestic load of a pharmaceutical is to ascertain how much of the
- 122 parent compound is excreted unchanged by the patient. Age, health and co-medication can all influence the
- 123 percentage excreted. It is therefore important to survey as much literature as possible on excretion rates to
- 124 discover the range and find a mean or median value. Similarly, variations in sewage treatment performance
- 125 can influence pharmaceutical removal rates in treatment. Information on the proportion of these drugs
- 126 excreted as parent compounds was limited for CIP but more abundant for the other compounds (Table S1).
- 127 The biggest variations in excretion were associated with ERY (Table S1). There is a large amount of
- 128 information on how much of these drugs is removed in sewage treatment but the data can vary
- 129 considerably, for example, ERY removal data ranged from 0 to79% (Table S2).

Table 2

Summary of loss rates used in the modelling for the antibiotics following receipt of national consumption rates. Expected is the median whilst best and worst are the extremes reported in the literature

Losses	CIP losses	SUF losses	TRI losses	ERY losses
Expected excretion (%)	35	18	46	17
Worst case excretion (%)	45	30	60	45
Best case excretion (%)	25	10	43	2.5
Expected removal (%)	76	48	24	36
Worst case removal (%)	61	0	0	0
Best case removal (%)	90	75	69	79

So the effluent concentrations are predicted by taking the drug consumption per capita for a specific nation
less that prevented from being excreted as the free parent compound less that removed in sewage
treatment. The effluent concentration (*W*, in ng/L) is derived as follows for a specific nation:

$$W = \frac{(C \times E \times (1 - R))}{D}$$

Where *C* is the substance consumption (ng/cap/d); *E* is the substance amount not excreted (ng/cap/d); *R* is the amount of the drug that is prevented from escaping into sewage effluent (ng/cap/d); and *D* is the volume of wastewater (L/cap/d).

138 2.4. Scenario analysis

139 There are uncertainties in the model parameters determining effluent concentrations. Firstly, drug 140 popularity can wax and wane from year to year, and there will be some seasonal trends. Where a national 141 consumption was not known a European average was used. Then there are the uncertainties regarding the 142 amount excreted (Table S1) and removed in sewage treatment (Table S2 and 2). In order to encompass the 143 range of these variables, a series of scenarios were run to cover likely effluent and river concentrations 144 based on the diverging literature values. These scenarios were a best case (low excretion, high sewage 145 removal); a worst case (high excretion, low removal) and an expected case, which used the average values 146 for these parameters. Thus, the best to worst case should encompass the range of possible effluent and 147 river concentrations (Table 2). Seasonal use variation would be difficult to add to the scenarios because 148 different antibiotics might be more, or less, popular in different seasons, which could vary between different countries. 149 150 When comparing the predicted effluent concentrations calculated using the values from Tables 2, S1 151 and S2 with those reported in the literature for different nations, the expected or best case predictions were

152 frequently closest to reported values (Table 3). Thus, it might be expected that using the worst case scenario

153 would overestimate river concentrations.

Table 3

Comparing expected, worst and best case predicted to measured effluent concentrations for ciproflaxacin (CIP), sulfamethoxazole (SUF), trimethoprim (TRI) and erythromycin (ERY).

Compound	Country	Scenario	Consumption (mg/cap/d)	Wastewater discharge (L/cap/d)ª	Predicted effluent conc. (ng/L)	Measured effluent conc. (ng/L)	Reference
	Europe	Expected	0.652	176	311		(Golet et al., 2003; Lindberg et al.,
CIP		Worst	0.652	176	844	20-95	2005; Miege et al., 2009)
		Best	0.652	176	50		
	Spain	Expected	0.633	208	285		(Carballa et al., 2004)
		Worst	0.633	208	913	438	
		Best	0.633	208	76		
	Switzerland	Expected	0.853	328	243		(Gobel et al., 2005)
SUF		Worst	0.853	328	778	280	
		Best	0.853	328	65		
	Sweden	Expected	0.440	205	201		(Bendz et al., 2005; Lindberg et al.,
		Worst	0.440	205	644	70-233	2005; Wahlberg et al., 2011)
		Best	0.440	205	54		
	UK	Expected	0.597	274	762		(Ashton et al., 2004; Roberts and
		Worst	0.597	274	1307	128-271	Thomas, 2006)
TDI		Best	0.597	274	403		
IKI	Switzerland	Expected	0.193	328	205		(Gobel et al., 2005)
		Worst	0.193	328	352	200	
		Best	0.193	328	108		
	UK	Expected	1.82	274	1238		(Ashton et al., 2004; Gardner et al.,
		Worst	1.82	274	5119	109-832	2013a; Roberts and Thomas, 2006)
FDV		Best	1.82	274	96		
EKY	Switzerland	Expected	0.066	328	45		(Gobel et al., 2005; McArdell et al.,
		Worst	0.066	328	186	80-150	2003)
		Rest	0.066	328	3		

^aBased on the water consumption for European countries from Eurostat Water statistics

155 (<u>http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/statistics_explained/index.php/Water_statistics</u>) for the UK wastewater

discharge was derived from a study of 20 STPs in the UK (Williams et al., 2012).

157

158 2.5. European river water modelling

159 To estimate concentrations of these antibiotics throughout European surface waters, the spatially-160 explicit water resources model GWAVA was used in a water quality mode (Dumont et al., 2012; Meigh et al., 1999) as recently used to examine cytotoxic drugs (Johnson et al., 2013). This model considers the location 161 162 and size of the human population and their association with STPs. The effluents from these STPs are 163 incorporated with other natural and artificial flows together with abstractions into the hydrological model. 164 The hydrology of the model is driven by monthly climate over the period 1970-2000. The per-capita 165 effluent loads used in the model were derived as described previously (Table 2). The model views Europe as 166 a series of grid squares (cells) of approximately 6 x 9 km (5 by 5 Arc minutes). Finding an appropriate spatial 167 scale inevitably involves some compromise between practicality and sufficient precision (Dumont et al.,

- 168 2008) but for obtaining a pan-European or national impression this scale should yield a representative
- 169 picture. Where a water course passes through a cell, 372 separate monthly concentrations are estimated,
- 170 based on the 31 year monthly climate dataset and taking into account the upstream input. GWAVA can also
- 171 modify the concentrations along the river network by including a water column biodegradation rate.
- 172 However, in this case the antibiotics were assumed to be conservative once in the river due to limited
- 173 biodegradation rate information. This assumption that the antibiotics will be conserved in the rivers means
- 174 the predictions will be precautionary (a bias towards overestimation). Nitrogen, phosphorus, and carbon
- 175 concentrations predicted by GWAVA have been extensively compared to measured concentration time-series data
- 176 from across Europe. Whilst concentrations near the mouths of large river basins were found to be generally
- 177 underestimated, apart from this, there was no systematic over- or underestimation (Dumont et al., 2012).

178 **3. Results and discussion**

- 179 *3.1. The impact of different variables*
- 180 It is possible to review the different factors that could affect the final predictions (Table 4). This 181 approach traces changes in concentration from sewer to effluent by looking at what influence the highest 182 and lowest excretion and sewage treatment removal would have on that concentration expressed as an X-183 fold difference. The overall difference is the excretion difference multiplied by the sewage treatment 184 removal difference. The greatest variation in consumption across Europe was for TRI and ERY. The biggest 185 range in apparent excretion of the parent molecule was for TRI. There were large uncertainties found in 186 apparent sewage removal of SUF, TRI and ERY. When the impact of the variables on the final effluent 187 concentration are summed up, it is clear that ERY would have the greatest uncertainty (Table 4).

188

Table 4

Summary of the variables and their potential effects on the estimated effluent concentrations for ciproflaxacin (CIP), sulfamethoxazole (SUF), trimethoprim (TRI) and erthromycin (ERY).

(%) conc. removal (%) conc.	across EU excretion values influent lowest sewage effluent case	consumption lowest patient sewage highest & sewage between best and worst	Drug Range in Mean, highest & Effect on Weighted mean, Effect on Overall difference	Drug	Range in consumption across EU	Mean, highest & lowest patient excretion values (%)	Effect on sewage influent conc.	Weighted mean, highest & lowest sewage removal (%)	Effect on sewage effluent conc.	Overall difference between best and worst case
-----------------------------	---	---	---	------	--------------------------------------	--	--	---	--	--

CIP	4.4-fold	35 (25-45)	1.8-fold	76 (70-90)	3-fold	5.4-fold	
SUF	36-fold	18 (10-30)	3-fold	48 (0-75)	4-fold	12-fold	
TRI	226-fold	46 (43-60)	1.4-fold	16 (0-58)	3.2-fold	4.5-fold	
ERY	78-fold	17 (3-45)	15-fold	36 (0-79)	71-fold	1065-fold	

- 190 *3.2. Predicted European river antibiotic river concentrations*
- 191 The distribution of concentrations around Europe is dependent not just on the local geography and
- 192 hydrology but also on the national drug consumption. The spatial variation in surface water concentrations
- 193 can be seen in annual average maps for TRI and SUF (Figs. 1 and 2) where the interplay of population
- 194 distribution, available river dilution and drug popularity can be revealed. It highlights, for example, that TRI
- is not popular in Spain compared to its European partners (Table 1), whilst SUF is very popular in Germany
- 196 but much less so in the UK (Table 1). The map also reveals the consistent benefits of relatively low
- 197 population densities and high available dilution in the Scandinavian countries and others such as Ireland and
- 198 Greece, as predicted by others (Keller et al., 2014)
- 199



200

Fig. 1. Annual average predicted sulfamethoxazole (SUF) concentrations across European surface waters
 based on expected case scenario (lowest excretion rate and highest sewage treatment removal)



Antibiotics monitored in European rivers fall within the predicted range of concentrations by the modelling (Table 5 and S3), however, the SUF and TRI values tended to fall within the upper percentile of that predicted by the model. Perhaps this should be expected, as many field sampling campaigns looking for these compounds would focus on urbanised areas during lower summer flows. In addition, the use of these products in veterinary medicine might increase river concentrations on occasion (Borriello, 2013; Kools et al., 2008).





Fig. 3. Predicted mean concentrations for ciprofloxacin (CIP), sulfamethoxazole (SUF), trimethoprim (TRI)
 and erthromycin (ERY) in the expected case scenario across the whole European continent from the
 GWAVA model plotted as cumulative frequency curves. Vertical lines labelled LREC are the lowest
 reported harmful effect concentrations on aquatic wildlife.

230

225





232



trimethoprim (TRI) and erthromycin (ERY) in surface water for the **worst case scenario** across the whole

235 European continent from the GWAVA model plotted as cumulative frequency curves.

237

238 3.4. The potential for widespread toxicity from antibiotics in European rivers

239 These four antibiotics appear to have little or no toxicity to fish or Daphnia species (Table 5). But 240 harmful effects can be seen with the duck weed group which appear to be the most sensitive organisms to 241 SUF. The most sensitive species for the other antibiotics seem to be cyanobacteria followed by green algae 242 with effects observed in the tens of μ g/L. Fortunately, it would seem that the antibiotic concentrations 243 measured in regular domestic effluent were all below the median effect levels of the most sensitive species. 244 This indicates that these antibiotics at current levels of consumption are not posing a widespread acute toxic 245 threat to European aquatic wildlife. The CIP and ERY predicted and observed river concentrations appear to 246 be closest to the EC50 levels but still 2 orders of magnitude lower. Trimethoprim appears to be of the least 247 concern, with concentrations predicted to be around 6 orders of magnitude below algal effect levels 248 followed by SUF with 3 orders of magnitude difference. We cannot comment on to what extent such river 249 concentrations might stimulate antibiotic resistance.

Table 5

Comparison of harmful effect concentrations for freshwater organisms reported in the literature for ciprofloxacin, sulfamethoxazole, trimethoprim and erythromycin against predicted and observed and river concentrations

				Ciprofloxacin		
Toxicity to ac	quatic wildlife			Observed and predicted European	n river concentrations	
Fish NOEC	Cladoceran	Duckweed EC50	Cyanobacteria or	Observed river	River predicted	River predicted
(µg/L)	NOEC or	(µg/L)	green algae EC50	(µg/L)	Worst case 90%ile	Expected mean
	fecundity		(µg/L)		(µg/L)*	(µg/L)*
	EC50 (µg/L)					
10,000,	13,000,	62, 203, 698	8, 10,1,500,	<0.01-0.12	0-0.07	0-0.04
100,000	60,000		3,000, 18,700			
a, b	a, c	b, d, e	a, b, e, f	k, l	This study	This study
			Sul	famethoxazole		
Toxicity to ac	quatic wildlife			Observed and predicted European	n river concentrations	
Fish NOEC	Cladoceran	Duckweed EC50	Cyanobacteria or	Observed river	River predicted	River predicted
		(µg/L)	green algae EC50	(µg/L)	Worst case 90%ile	Expected mean
			(µg/L)		(µg/L)	(µg/L)
NA* ²	NA	81	1,530, 112,000,	<0.01-0.06	0-0.23	0-0.04
			130,000			
NA	NA	d	g, h	l, m, n, o, p, q, r, s, t, u, v, w, x, y,	This study	This study
				z, aa		
			1	rimethoprim		
Toxicity to ac	uatic wildlife	-	•	Observed and predicted European	n river concentrations	-
Fish NOEC	Cladoceran	Duckweed No	Cyanobacteria or	Observed river	River predicted	River predicted
(µg/L)	EC50 (µg/L)	effect (µg/L)	green algae EC50	(μg/L)	Worst case 90%ile	Expected mean
			(µg/L)		(μg/L)	(µg/L)
100,000	123,000	>1000	11,000, 80,300,	<0.01-0.18	0-0.23	0-0.06
			110,000, 150,000			
а	а	d	a, g, i	l, n, o, q, r, s, t, u, x, ab	This study	This study
			E	Erythromycin		
Toxicity to ac	quatic wildlife			Observed and predicted European	n river concentrations	
Fish	Cladoceran	Duckweed No	Cyanobacteria or	Observed river	River predicted	River predicted

	EC50 (µg/L)	effect (µg/L)	green algae EC50	(µg/L)	Worst case 90%ile	Expected mean
			(µg/L)		(µg/L)	(µg/L)
NA	210,600	>1000	23, 35, 37, 60	<0.01-0.35	0-0.6	0-0.06
NA	j	d	f, g, i	n, q, r, s	This study	This study

250 a (Halling-Sorensen et al., 2000), b (Robinson et al., 2005), c (Martins et al., 2012), d (Brain et al., 2004), e (Ebert et al., 2011), f (Liu et al., 2011), g (Eguchi et

al., 2004), h (Lutzhoft et al., 1999), i (Ando et al., 2007), j (Didelupis et al., 1992), k (Pena et al., 2007), l (Tamtam et al., 2008), m (Banzhaf et al., 2013), n

252 (Dinh et al., 2011), o (Fernandez et al., 2010), p (Garcia-Galan et al., 2011), q (Gros et al., 2007), r (Kasprzyk-Hordern et al., 2007), s (Kasprzyk-Hordern et al.,

2008), t (Madureira et al., 2010), u (Martin et al., 2011), v (Nodler et al., 2011), w (Pailler et al., 2009), x (Zhou et al., 2009), y (Houtman et al., 2013), z (Loos
et al., 2009), aa (Loos et al., 2010) ab (Boxall et al., 2014)

* The range of modelled values are 5% ile to the 95% ile

256 *² Information not found

257 4. Conclusions

258	The modelled antibiotic concentrations were within the range of spot sample measurements
259	reported in European sewage effluent and rivers. Consumption data showed surprising variations, up to
260	200-fold between the consumption of different antibiotics in European nations. These findings reveal for
261	example that analytical chemists would be much more likely to find TRI in German effluents and rivers than
262	in Spanish ones since consumption is apparently 100-fold higher. Given that predicted CIP and ERY antibiotic
263	river concentrations were only 2-fold below known effect levels for algae, this study endorses the listing of
264	these antibiotics as pharmaceuticals of concern in reviews on the topic (Besse and Garric, 2008; Christensen
265	et al., 2009; Hughes et al., 2013; Jones et al., 2002; Kaplan, 2013; Ortiz de Garcia et al., 2013). However, it
266	seems unlikely that these antibiotics, which have been identified as amongst those of the greatest concern,
267	are actually causing significant acute toxicity problems today for wildlife on their own. But, there may be a
268	case for mixture effects leading to a higher net concern for the antibiotics (Backhaus and Karlsson, 2014).
269	The question of the promulgation of environmental antibiotic resistance was not addressed in this study, but
270	the presence of hundreds of ng/L of some antibiotics in high exposure hotspots in European rivers should be

271 noted.

272 Conflicts of interest

I certify that there is no conflict of interest with any organisation regarding the material discussed inthis manuscript

275 Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for support from the European Union (European Commission, FP7 project
 PHARMAS, contract no. 265346) and acknowledge the considerable support of CEH library services at
 Wallingford led by Adrian Smith and Dee Galliford.

279

280

REFERENCES

- Adriaenssens N., Coenen S., Versporten A., Muller A., Minalu G., Faes C., et al. European Surveillance of
 Antimicrobial Consumption (ESAC): outpatient antibiotic use in Europe (1997-2009). Journal of
 Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 2011; 66: 3-12.
- Al Aukidy M., Verlicchi P., Voulvoulis N. A framework for the assessment of the environmental risk posed by
 pharmaceuticals originating from hospital effluents. Science of the Total Environment 2014.

- Alder A.C.B., A.; Carballa, M.; et al; Clara, M.; Joss, A.; Loffler, D.; McArdell, C.S.; Omil, K.; Tuhkanen, T.;
 Ternes, T.A. Consumption and occurence. In: Ternes TAJ, A., editor. Human pharmaceuticals,
 hormones and fragrances. IWA Publishing, London, 2006, pp. 15-54.
- Ando T., Nagase H., Eguchi K., Hirooka T., Nakamura T., Miyamoto K., et al. A novel method using
 cyanobacteria for ecotoxicity test of veterinary antimicrobial agents. Environmental Toxicology and
 Chemistry 2007; 26: 601-606.
- Ashton D., Hilton M., Thomas K.V. Investigating the environmental transport of human pharmaceuticals to
 streams in the United Kingdom. Science of the Total Environment 2004; 333: 167-184.
- Backhaus T., Karlsson M. Screening level mixture risk assessment of pharmaceuticals in STP effluents. Water
 Research 2014; 49: 157-165.
- Banzhaf S., Krein A., Scheytt T. Using selected pharmaceutical compounds as indicators for surface water and
 groundwater interaction in the hyporheic zone of a low permeability riverbank. Hydrological
 Processes 2013; 27: 2892-2902.
- Batt A.L., Kim S., Aga D.S. Enhanced biodegradation of iopromide and trimethoprim in nitrifying activated
 sludge. Environmental Science & Technology 2006; 40: 7367-7373.
- Bendz D., Paxeus N.A., Ginn T.R., Loge F.J. Occurrence and fate of pharmaceutically active compounds in the
 environment, a case study: Hoje River in Sweden. Journal of Hazardous Materials 2005; 122: 195 204.
- Besse J.P., Garric J. Human pharmaceuticals in surface waters implementation of a prioritization
 methodology and application to the French situation. Toxicology Letters 2008; 176: 104-123.
- Borriello S.P. UK Veterinary antibiotic resistance and sales surveillance. Addlestone, Surrey, UK: Veterinary
 Medicines Directorate, 2013.
- Boxall A.B.A., Keller V., Straub J.O., Monteiro S.C., Fussell R., Williams R.J. Exploiting monitoring data in
 environmental exposure modelling and risk assessment of pharmaceuticals. Environment
 International 2014; 73: 176-185.
- Brain R.A., Johnson D.J., Richards S.M., Sanderson H., Sibley P.K., Solomon K.R. Effects of 25 pharmaceutical
 compounds to Lemna gibba using a seven-day static-renewal test. Environmental Toxicology and
 Chemistry 2004; 23: 371-382.
- Bruyndonckx R., Hens N., Aerts M., Goossens H., Molenberghs G., Coenen S. Measuring trends of outpatient
 antibiotic use in Europe: jointly modelling longitudinal data in defined daily doses and packages.
 Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 2014; 69: 1981-1986.
- Bryskier A.J., Butzler J.P., Neu H.C., Tulkens P.M. Macrolides. Paris: Arnette Blackwell, 1993.
- Burchall J.J. MECHANISM OF ACTION OF TRIMETHOPRIM-SULFAMETHOXAZOLE .2. Journal of Infectious
 Diseases 1973; 128: S437-S441.
- Carballa M., Omil F., Lema J.M. Comparison of predicted and measured concentrations of selected
 pharmaceuticals, fragrances and hormones in Spanish sewage. Chemosphere 2008; 72: 1118-1123.
- Carballa M., Omil F., Lema J.M., Llompart M., Garcia-Jares C., Rodriguez I., et al. Behavior of pharmaceuticals,
 cosmetics and hormones in a sewage treatment plant. Water Research 2004; 38: 2918-2926.
- Castensson S., Eriksson V., Lindborg K., Wettermark B. A method to include the environmental hazard in drug
 prescribing. Pharmacy World & Science 2009; 31: 24-31.
- Castiglioni S., Bagnati R., Fanelli R., Pomati F., Calamari D., Zuccato E. Removal of pharmaceuticals in sewage
 treatment plants in Italy. Environmental Science & Technology 2006; 40: 357-363.
- Christensen A.M., Markussen B., Baun A., Halling-Sorensen B. Probabilistic environmental risk
 characterization of pharmaceuticals in sewage treatment plant discharges. Chemosphere 2009; 77:
 351-358.
- Daughton C.G., Ternes T.A. Pharmaceuticals and personal care products in the environment: Agents of subtle
 change? Environmental Health Perspectives 1999; 107: 907-938.
- de Garcia S.O., Pinto G.P., Encina P.G., Mata R.I. Consumption and occurrence of pharmaceutical and
 personal care products in the aquatic environment in Spain. Science of the Total Environment 2013;
 444: 451-465.

- Didelupis G.D., Macri A., Civitareale C., Migliore L. Antibiotics of zootechnical use Effects of acute high and
 low-dose contamination on Daphnia magna straus. Aquatic Toxicology 1992; 22: 53-59.
- Dinh Q.T., Alliot F., Moreau-Guigon E., Eurin J., Chevreuil M., Labadie P. Measurement of trace levels of
 antibiotics in river water using on-line enrichment and triple-quadrupole LC-MS/MS. Talanta 2011;
 85: 1238-1245.
- 341 Dollery C. Therapeutic drugs. Vol 1. Edinburgh, UK: Churchill Livingstone, 1991.
- 342 Dong Z., Senn D.B., Moran R.E., Shine J.P. Prioritizing environmental risk of prescription pharmaceuticals.
 343 Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology 2013; 65: 60-67.
- Dumont E., Bakker E.J., Bouwman L., Kroeze C., Leemans R., Stein A. A framework to identify appropriate
 spatial and temporal scales for modeling N flows from watersheds. Ecological Modelling 2008; 212:
 256-272.
- Dumont E., Williams R., Keller V., Voss A., Tattari S. Modelling indicators of water security, water pollution
 and aquatic biodiversity in Europe. Hydrological Sciences Journal-Journal Des Sciences Hydrologiques
 2012; 57: 1378-1403.
- Ebert I., Bachmann J., Kuhnen U., Kuster A., Kussatz C., Maletzki D., et al. Toxicity of the fluoroquinolone
 antibiotics enrofloxacin and ciprofloxacin to photoautotrophic aquatic organisms Environmental
 Toxicology and Chemistry 2011; 30: 2786-2792.
- Eguchi K., Nagase H., Ozawa M., Endoh Y.S., Goto K., Hirata K., et al. Evaluation of antimicrobial agents for
 veterinary use in the ecotoxicity test using microalgae. Chemosphere 2004; 57: 1733-1738.
- Fernandez C., Gonzalez-Doncel M., Pro J., Carbonell G., Tarazona J.V. Occurrence of pharmaceutically active
 compounds in surface waters of the henares-jarama-tajo river system (madrid, spain) and a potential
 risk characterization. Science of the Total Environment 2010; 408: 543-551.
- Garcia-Galan M.J., Diaz-Cruz M.S., Barcelo D. Occurrence of sulfonamide residues along the Ebro river basin
 Removal in wastewater treatment plants and environmental impact assessment. Environment
 International 2011; 37: 462-473.
- Gardner M., Jones V., Comber S., Scrimshaw M.D., Coello-Garcia T., Cartmell E., et al. Performance of UK
 wastewater treatment works with respect to trace contaminants. Science of the Total Environment
 2013a; 456: 359-369.
- Gardner M., Jones V., Comber S., Scrimshaw M.D., Coello-Garcia T., Cartmell E., et al. Performance of UK
 wastewater treatment works with respect to trace contaminants. Science of the Total Environment
 2013b; 456-457: 359-369.
- Giger W., Alder A.C., Golet E.M., Kohler H.P.E., McArdell C.S., Molnar E., et al. Occurrence and fate of
 antibiotics as trace contaminants in wastewaters, sewage sludges, and surface waters. Chimia 2003;
 57: 485-491.
- Gobel A., McArdell C.S., Joss A., Siegrist H., Giger W. Fate of sulfonamides, macrolides, and trimethoprim in
 different wastewater treatment technologies. Science of the Total Environment 2007; 372: 361-371.
- Gobel A., Thomsen A., McArdell C.S., Joss A., Giger W. Occurrence and sorption behavior of sulfonamides,
 macrolides, and trimethoprim in activated sludge treatment. Environmental Science & Technology
 2005; 39: 3981-3989.
- Golet E.M., Xifra I., Siegrist H., Alder A.C., Giger W. Environmental exposure assessment of fluoroquinolone
 antibacterial agents from sewage to soil. Environmental Science & Technology 2003; 37: 3243-3249.
- Gros M., Petrovic M., Barcelo D. Wastewater treatment plants as a pathway for aquatic contamination by
 pharmaceuticals in the ebro river basin (northeast spain). Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry
 2007; 26: 1553-1562.
- Guillen D., Ginebreda A., Farre M., Darbra R.M., Petrovic M., Gros M., et al. Prioritization of chemicals in the
 aquatic environment based on risk assessment: Analytical, modeling and regulatory perspective.
 Science of the Total Environment 2012; 440: 236-252.
- Gullberg E., Cao S., Berg O.G., Ilback C., Sandegren L., Hughes D., et al. Selection of Resistant Bacteria at Very
 Low Antibiotic Concentrations. Plos Pathogens 2011; 7.
- Halling-Sorensen B. Algal toxicity of antibacterial agents used in intensive farming. Chemosphere 2000; 40:
 731-739.

- Halling-Sorensen B., Lutzhoft H.C.H., Andersen H.R., Ingerslev F. Environmental risk assessment of
 antibiotics: comparison of mecillinam, trimethoprim and ciprofloxacin. Journal of Antimicrobial
 Chemotherapy 2000; 46: 53-58.
- Hooper D.C., Wolfson J.S., Ng E.Y., Swartz M.N. Mechanisms of action of resistance to ciprofloxacin American
 Journal of Medicine 1987; 82: 12-20.
- Houtman C.J., ten Broek R., de Jong K., Pieterse B., Kroesbergen J. A multicomponent snapshot of
 pharmaceuticals and pesticides in the River Meuse Basin. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry
 2013; 32: 2449-2459.
- Hughes S.R., Kay P., Brown L.E. Global Synthesis and Critical Evaluation of Pharmaceutical Data Sets Collected
 from River Systems. Environmental Science & Technology 2013; 47: 661-677.
- Huschek G., Hansen P.D., Maurer H.H., Krengel D., Kayser A. Environmental risk assessment of medicinal
 products for human use according to European commission recommendations. Environmental
 Toxicology 2004; 19: 226-240.
- Igarashi K., Ishitsuka H., Kaji A. Comparative studies on the mechanism of action of lincomycin, streptomycin,
 and erythromycin. Biochemical and biophysical research communications 1969; 37.
- Johnson A.C., Oldenkamp R., Dumont E., Sumpter J.P. Predicting concentrations of the cytostatic drugs
 cyclophosphamide, carboplatin, 5-fluorouracil, and capecitabine throughout the sewage effluents
 and surface waters of europe. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 2013; 32: 1954-1961.
- Johnson A.C., Ternes T., Williams R.J., Sumpter J.P. Assessing the concentrations of polar organic
 microcontaminants from point sources in the aquatic environment: Measure or model?
 Environmental Science & Technology 2008; 42: 5390-5399.
- Jones O.A.H., Voulvoulis N., Lester J.N. Aquatic environmental assessment of the top 25 English prescription
 pharmaceuticals. Water Research 2002; 36: 5013-5022.
- Kaplan S. Review: Pharmacological Pollution in Water. Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and
 Technology 2013; 43: 1074-1116.
- Kasprzyk-Hordern B., Dinsdale R.M., Guwy A.J. Multi-residue method for the determination of basic/neutral
 pharmaceuticals and illicit drugs in surface water by solid-phase extraction and ultra performance
 liquid chromatography-positive electrospray ionisation tandem mass spectrometry. Journal of
 Chromatography A 2007; 1161: 132-145.
- Kasprzyk-Hordern B., Dinsdale R.M., Guwy A.J. The occurrence of pharmaceuticals, personal care products,
 endocrine disruptors and illicit drugs in surface water in South Wales, UK. Water Research 2008; 42:
 3498-3518.
- Keller V.D.J., Williams R.J., Lofthouse C., Johnson A.C. Worldwide estimation of river concentrations of any
 chemical originating from sewage-treatment plants using dilution factors. Environmental Toxicology
 and Chemistry 2014; 33: 447-452.
- Kools S.A.E., Boxall A.B.A., Moltmann J.F., Bryning G., Koschorreck J., II, Knacker T. A Ranking of European
 Veterinary Medicines Based on Environmental Risks. Integrated Environmental Assessment and
 Management 2008; 4: 399-408.
- Kumar A., Xagoraraki I. Pharmaceuticals, personal care products and endocrine-disrupting chemicals in U.S.
 surface and finished drinking waters: A proposed ranking system. Science of the Total Environment
 2010; 408: 5972-5989.
- Leung H.W., Minh T.B., Murphy M.B., Lam J.C.W., So M.K., Martin M., et al. Distribution, fate and risk
 assessment of antibiotics in sewage treatment plants in Hong Kong, South China. Environment
 International 2012; 42: 1-9.
- Lienert J., Gudel K., Escher B.I. Screening method for ecotoxicological hazard assessment of 42
 pharmaceuticals considering human metabolism and excretory routes. Environmental Science &
 Technology 2007; 41: 4471-4478.

Lindberg R.H., Wennberg P., Johansson M.I., Tysklind M., Andersson B.A.V. Screening of human antibiotic substances and determination of weekly mass flows in five sewage treatment plants in Sweden. Environmental Science & Technology 2005; 39: 3421-3429.

- Liu B.Y., Nie X.P., Liu W.Q., Snoeijs P., Guan C., Tsui M.T.K. Toxic effects of erythromycin, ciprofloxacin and
 sulfamethoxazole on photosynthetic apparatus in Selenastrum capricornutum. Ecotoxicology and
 Environmental Safety 2011; 74: 1027-1035.
- Loos R., Gawlik B.M., Locoro G., Rimaviciute E., Contini S., Bidoglio G. EU-wide survey of polar organic
 persistent pollutants in European river waters. Environmental Pollution 2009; 157: 561-568.
- Loos R., Locoro G., Contini S. Occurrence of polar organic contaminants in the dissolved water phase of the
 Danube River and its major tributaries using SPE-LC-MS2 analysis. Water Research 2010; 44: 2325 2335.
- Lutzhoft H.C.H., Halling-Sorensen B., Jorgensen S.E. Algal toxicity of antibacterial agents applied in Danish
 fish farming. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 1999; 36: 1-6.
- Madureira T.V., Barreiro J.C., Rocha M.J., Rocha E., Cass Q.B., Tiritan M.E. Spatiotemporal distribution of
 pharmaceuticals in the Douro River estuary (Portugal). Science of the Total Environment 2010; 408:
 5513-5520.
- Martin J., Camacho-Munoz D., Santos J.L., Aparicio I., Alonso E. Monitoring of pharmaceutically active
 compounds on the Guadalquivir River basin (Spain): occurrence and risk assessment. Journal of
 Environmental Monitoring 2011; 13: 2042-2049.
- Martins N., Pereira R., Abrantes N., Pereira J., Goncalves F., Marques C.R. Ecotoxicological effects of
 ciprofloxacin on freshwater species: data integration and derivation of toxicity thresholds for risk
 assessment. Ecotoxicology 2012; 21: 1167-1176.
- McArdell C.S., Molnar E., Suter M.J.F., Giger W. Occurrence and fate of macrolide antibiotics in wastewater
 treatment plants and in the Glatt Valley Watershed, Switzerland. Environmental Science &
 Technology 2003; 37: 5479-5486.
- Meigh J.R., McKenzie A.A., Sene K.J. A grid-based approach to water scarcity estimates for eastern and
 southern Africa. Water Resources Management 1999; 13: 85-115.
- Miege C., Choubert J.M., Ribeiro L., Eusebe M., Coquery M. Fate of pharmaceuticals and personal care
 products in wastewater treatment plants Conception of a database and first results. Environmental
 Pollution 2009; 157: 1721-1726.
- Moore J.E., Rao J.R., Moore P.J.A., Millar B.C., Goldsmith C.E., Loughrey A., et al. Determination of total
 antibiotic resistance in waterborne bacteria in rivers and streams in Northern Ireland: Can antibioticresistant bacteria be an indicator of ecological change? Aquatic Ecology 2010; 44: 349-358.
- 467 Nodler K., Licha T., Fischer S., Wagner B., Sauter M. A case study on the correlation of micro-contaminants
 468 and potassium in the Leine River (Germany). Applied Geochemistry 2011; 26: 2172-2180.
- 469 Ortiz de Garcia S., Pinto G.P., Garcia-Encina P.A., Irusta Mata R.I. Ranking of concern, based on
 470 environmental indexes, for pharmaceutical and personal care products: an application to the
 471 Spanish case. Journal of environmental management 2013; 129.
- Pailler J.Y., Krein A., Pfister L., Hoffmann L., Guignard C. Solid phase extraction coupled to liquid
 chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry analysis of sulfonamides, tetracyclines, analgesics and
 hormones in surface water and wastewater in Luxembourg. Science of the Total Environment 2009;
 407: 4736-4743.
- 476 Pena A., Chmielova D., Lino C.M., Solich P. Determination of fluoroquino one antibiotics in surface waters
 477 from Mondego River by high performance liquid chromatography using a monolithic column. Journal
 478 of Separation Science 2007; 30: 2924-2928.
- 479 Rand-Weaver M., Margiotta-Casaluci L., Patel A., Panter G.H., Owen S.F., Sumpter J.P. The read-across
 480 hypothesis and environmental risk assessment of pharmaceuticals. Environmental science &
 481 technology 2013; 47: 11384-95.
- 482 Roberts P.H., Thomas K.V. The occurrence of selected pharmaceuticals in wastewater effluent and surface
 483 waters of the lower Tyne catchment. Science of the Total Environment 2006; 356: 143-153.

484 Robinson A.A., Belden J.B., Lydy M.J. Toxicity of fluoroquinolone antibiotics to aquatic organisms.
 485 Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 2005; 24: 423-430.

486 Roig B. Pharmaceuticals in the Environment: Current knowledge and need assessment to reduce presence
 487 and impact: IWA Publishing, London, UK, 2010.

- Schaar H., Clara M., Gans O., Kreuzinger N. Micropollutant removal during biological wastewater treatment
 and a subsequent ozonation step. Environmental Pollution 2010; 158: 1399-1404.
- 490 Schwartz D.E., Rieder J. PHARMACOKINETICS OF SULFAMETHOXAZOLE TRIMETHOPRIM IN MAN AND THEIR
 491 DISTRIBUTION IN RAT. Chemotherapy 1970; 15: 337-&.
- 492 Seydel J.K., Wempe E., Miller G.H., Miller L. KINETICS AND MECHANISMS OF ACTION OF TRIMETHOPRIM
 493 AND SULFONAMIDES, ALONE OR IN COMBINATION, UPON ESCHERICHIA-COLI. Chemotherapy 1972;
 494 17: 217-&.
- 495 Straub J.O. An environmental risk assessment for human use trimethoprim in European surface waters.
 496 Antibiotics 2013; 2: 115-162.
- Suda K.J., Hicks L.A., Roberts R.M., Hunkler R.J., Taylor T.H. Trends and Seasonal Variation in Outpatient
 Antibiotic Prescription Rates in the United States, 2006 to 2010. Antimicrobial Agents and
 Chemotherapy 2014; 58: 2763-2766.
- Tamtam F., Mercier F., Le Bot B., Eurin J., Dinh Q.T., Clement M., et al. Occurrence and fate of antibiotics in
 the Seine River in various hydrological conditions. Science of the Total Environment 2008; 393: 84 95.
- ter Laak T.L., van der Aa M., Houtman C.J., Stoks P.G., van Wezel A.P. Relating environmental concentrations
 of pharmaceuticals to consumption: A mass balance approach for the river Rhine. Environment
 International 2010; 36: 403-409.
- Ternes T.A., Bonerz M., Herrmann N., Teiser B., Andersen H.R. Irrigation of treated wastewater in
 Braunschweig, Germany: An option to remove pharmaceuticals and musk fragrances. Chemosphere
 2007; 66: 894-904.
- Verlicchi P., Al Aukidy M., Zambello E. Occurrence of pharmaceutical compounds in urban wastewater:
 Removal, mass load and environmental risk after a secondary treatment-A review. Science of the
 Total Environment 2012; 429: 123-155.
- Vieno N., Tuhkanen T., Kronberg L. Elimination of pharmaceuticals in sewage treatment plants in Finland.
 Water Research 2007; 41: 1001-1012.
- 514 Vree T.B., Hekster Y.A. Pharmacokinetics of sulfonamides and their metabolites. Vol 37. Basel: Karger, 1987.
- Wahlberg C., Bjorlenius B., Paxeus N. Fluxes of 13 selected pharmaceuticals in the water cycle of Stockholm,
 Sweden. Water Science and Technology 2011; 63: 1772-1780.
- 517 Williams R.J., Churchley J.H., Kanda R., Johnson A.C. Comparing predicted against measured steroid estrogen
 518 concentrations and the associated risk in two United Kingdom river catchments. Environmental
 519 Toxicology and Chemistry 2012; 31: 892-898.
- Winkworth C.L. Antibiotic resistance genes in freshwater biofilms along a whole river. Journal of Water and
 Health 2013; 11: 186-198.
- Xu W.H., Zhang G., Li X.D., Zou S.C., Li P., Hu Z.H., et al. Occurrence and elimination of antibiotics at four
 sewage treatment plants in the Pearl River Delta (PRD), South China. Water Research 2007; 41:
 4526-4534.
- Zhou J.L., Zhang Z.L., Banks E., Grover D., Jiang J.Q. Pharmaceutical residues in wastewater treatment works
 effluents and their impact on receiving river water. Journal of Hazardous Materials 2009; 166: 655 661.
- 528 Zorita S., Martensson L., Mathiasson L. Occurrence and removal of pharmaceuticals in a municipal sewage 529 treatment system in the south of Sweden. Science of the Total Environment 2009; 407: 2760-2770.
- 530

Table S1.

Proportion of parent drug excreted by patients for ciproflaxacin (CIP), Sulfamethoxazole (SUF), trimethoprim (TRI) and erthromycin (ERY)

Reference	CIP excretion (%)	SUF excretion (%)	TRI excretion (%)	ERY excretion (%)
(Schwartz and	NA* ³	15	48	NA
Rieder, 1970)				
(Dollery, 1991)*	25-45	30	44-48	2.5
(Vree and Hekster,	NA	10	NA	NA
1987)				
(Huschek et al.,	NA	15-20		25
2004)				
(Straub, 2013)	NA	NA	Up to 60	
(Bryskier et al.,	NA	NA		12-45
1993)				
(McArdell et al.,	NA	NA		5-10
2003)				
(Carballa et al.,		15-30	43	4-10
2008)				
(ter Laak et al.,		20	45	
2010)				
Expected	35	18	46	17
excretion* ²				
Worst case	45	30	60	45
excretion				
Best case	25	10	43	2.5

532 533 534 *¹This reference also gives intra venous excretion rates. However, in the UK the IV route for antibiotics is typically less than 1% of the total and so the higher excretion from this route was not considered important.

*² Calculated as a weighted mean taking into account the number of patients

535 *³ NA Information not presented or available

536

Table S2.

Proportion of ciproflaxacin (CIP), Sulfamethoxazole (SUF), trimethoprim (TRI) and erthromycin (ERY) removed in sewage treatment

Reference	Number of	CIP removal	SUF removal (%)	TRI removal	ERY removal
	STPs	(%)		(%)	(%)
(Roig, 2010)	1	80	NA* ²	NA	NA
(Giger et al. <i>,</i> 2003)	3	81	NA	NA	NA
(Golet et al., 2003)	1	84	NA	NA	NA
(Zorita et al., 2009)	1 (n=5)	90	NA	NA	NA
(Schaar et al., 2010)	1	NA	45	58	48
(Roberts and Thomas, 2006)	1	NA	NA	3	79
(Carballa et al., 2004)	1 (n=2)	NA	60 (55-65)	NA	NA

(Gobel et al., 2005)	2 (n=5)	NA	35		0
(Gobel et al., 2007)	2 (n=5)	NA	14 (0-60)	8 (0-20)	1 (0-6)
(Wahlberg et al., 2011)	3 (n=13)	NA	45	32	NA
(Gardner et al., 2013b)	16	NA	NA	NA	21-42
(Bendz et al., 2005)	1	NA	0	49	NA
(Xu et al., 2007)	2-3	NA	50 (35-65)	NA	35 (15-45)
(Leung et al., 2012)	7	NA	70 (65-75)	NA	20 (5-45)
(Miege et al., 2009)	(n=4-35)	70	59	16	65
(Lindberg et al., 2005)	5 (n=10)	87	31	0	NA
(Straub, 2013)	63	NA	NA	30	NA
(Castiglioni et al., 2006)	<mark>6</mark>	<mark>61</mark>	<mark>44</mark>	NA	<mark>0</mark>
(Vieno et al. <i>,</i> 2007)	<mark>12</mark>	<mark>88</mark>	<mark>NA</mark>	NA	<mark>NA</mark>
(Batt et al., 2006)	2	NA	<mark>9</mark>	<mark>25 (1-50)</mark>	<mark>NA</mark>
(Ternes et al., 2007)	<mark>1</mark>	<mark>NA</mark>	<mark>24</mark>	<mark>69</mark>	<mark>25</mark>
(Xu et al., 2007)	<mark>4</mark>	NA	<mark>24 (0-64)</mark>	NA	<mark>26</mark>
Expected removal*		76	48	24	36
Worst case removal		<mark>61</mark>	0	0	0
Best removal		90	75	<mark>69</mark>	79

*Calculated as a weighted mean taking into account the number of STPs in the study *² NA Information not presented or available

539

540

Table S3.

Comparing predicted Europe-wide 90%ile values to measured river concentrations (ng/L) for ciproflaxacin (CIP), sulfamethoxazole (SUF), trimethoprim (TRI) and erthromycin (ERY).

Reference	location	River	CIP	SUF	TRI	ER'	Y
GWAVA 90%ile expected case scenario (mean value)* ¹	Europe	all	19		27	45	61
GWAVA 90%ile worst case scenario (mean value)* ¹	Europe	all	30		83	76	216

(Zhou et al., 2009)	England	Sussex Ouse	NA* ²	6 to 10	NA	NA
(Boxall et al., 2014)	England	Not stated	NA	NA	0.8-50	NA
(Kasprzyk-Hordern et al., 2008)	Wales	Taff & Ely	NA	0 to 4	0 to 183	0 to 351* ³
(Kasprzyk-Hordern et al., 2007)	Wales	Taff	NA	<0.5	<1.5	0-22* ³
(Kasprzyk-Hordern et al., 2007)	Poland	Warta	NA	26-60	0 to 27	<0.5
(Banzhaf et al., 2013)	Luxembourg	Mess	NA	3	NA	NA
(Pailler et al., 2009)	Luxembourg	Mess/Alzette	NA	0-22	NA	NA
(Martin et al., 2011)	Spain	Guadalquivir	NA	LOD	LOD	NA
(Garcia-Galan et al., 2011)	Spain	Ebro	NA	30 median	NA	NA
(Gros et al., 2007)	Spain	Ebro	NA	4 to 45	3 to 17	4 to 34
(Fernandez et al., 2010)	Spain	Madrid area	NA	7	12	NA
(Pena et al., 2007)	Portugal	Mondego	80- 119	NA	NA	NA
(Madureira et al., 2010)	Portugal	Douro	NA	0 to 53	0 to 16	NA
(Dinh et al., 2011)	France	Seine	NA	4 to 25	0 to 8	0 to 4
(Tamtam et al., 2008)	France	Seine	Below 10	23 to 69	11 to 27	NA
(Nodler et al., 2011)	Germany	Leine	NA	61 median	NA	NA
(Loos et al., 2010)	Hungary	Danube	NA	16 median	NA	NA
(Loos et al., 2009)	Europe	several	NA	15 median	NA	NA
(Houtman et al., 2013)	Holland	Meuse	NA	20 median	4 median	NA

*¹This is the 90%ile value from the 372 simulations per cell. The mean is derived from the 177,000 cells

 covering the landmass of Europe
 *² NA Information not presented or available
 *³ erythromycin-H2O is a metabolite of erythromycin