



Article (refereed) - postprint

Read, Daniel S.; Whiteley, Andrew S. 2015. Chemical fixation methods for Raman spectroscopy-based analysis of bacteria.

Copyright © 2014 Elsevier B.V.

This version available http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/509290/

NERC has developed NORA to enable users to access research outputs wholly or partially funded by NERC. Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at

http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access

NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in *Journal of Microbiological Methods*. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in *Journal of Microbiological Methods* (2015), 109. 79-83. 10.1016/j.mimet.2014.12.008

www.elsevier.com/

Contact CEH NORA team at noraceh@ceh.ac.uk

The NERC and CEH trademarks and logos ('the Trademarks') are registered trademarks of NERC in the UK and other countries, and may not be used without the prior written consent of the Trademark owner.

1	Chemical fixation methods for Raman spectroscopy-based analysis of
2	bacteria
3	
4	Daniel S. Read ¹ and Andrew S. Whiteley ^{2.}
5	
6	¹ Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Benson Lane, Crowmarsh Gifford, Wallingford, OX10 8BB,
7	UK.
8	² School of Earth and Environment, The University of Western Australia, Stirling Highway,
9	Crawley, Western Australia. 6065.
10	
11	Correspondance: Dr D.S. Read, E-mail : dasr@ceh.ac.uk
12	
13	
14	Keywords: Raman spectroscopy, fixation, phenotype, bacteria.
15	

ABSTRACT

Preservation of biological samples for downstream analysis is important for analytical methods that measure the biochemical composition of a sample. One such method, Raman microspectroscopy, is commonly used as a rapid phenotypic technique to measure biomolecular composition for the purposes of identification and discrimination of species and strains of bacteria, as well as investigating physiological responses to external stressors and the uptake of stable isotope-labelled substrates in single cells. This study examines the influence of a number of common chemical fixation and inactivation methods on the Raman spectrum of six species of bacteria. Modifications to the Raman-phenotype caused by fixation were compared to unfixed control samples using difference spectra and Principal Components Analysis (PCA). Additionally, the effect of fixation on the ability to accurately classify bacterial species using their Raman phenotype was determined. The results showed that common fixatives such as glutaraldehyde and ethanol cause significant changes to the Raman spectra of bacteria, whereas formaldehyde and sodium azide were better at preserving spectral features.

INTRODUCTION

Raman microspectroscopy is a method commonly used for the phenotypic measurement of biological samples, ranging from individual cells to complex structures such as biofilms and tissues (Huang et al., 2010, Schuster et al., 2000). Measurement of the inelastic scattering of light (Raman scattering) can be used to non-destructively determine the molecular composition of a biological sample (Schie and Huser, 2013). The Raman spectrum can provide a spectroscopic fingerprint that can measure the molecular composition of cells, comprising major biological molecules including proteins, amino acids, lipids, polysaccharides, nucleic acids and nucleobases (Huang, Li, Jarvis, Goodacre and Banwart, 2010).

One of the most frequent applications of Raman spectroscopy in microbiology is to measure the cellular composition (the phenotype) for the purposes of species/strain identification. This approach has previously been used to identify and discriminate between species and strains of fungi (De Gussem et al., 2007), algae (Huang et al., 2010), viruses (Driskell et al., 2010) and most frequently bacteria (Palchaudhuri et al., 2011, Read et al., 2013). As well as a rapid identification tool, Raman has been used to examine the phenotypic and physiological changes that occur with exposure to stressors in the form of pollutants such as ionic metals (Walter et al., 2012), metal nanoparticles (Cherchi et al., 2011), organic pollutants (Daniel et al., 2008, Singer et al., 2005), antibiotics (Escoriza et al., 2007), and pharmaceuticals (Wharfe et al., 2010). Raman has also been used to measure the concentration and spatial distribution of cellular metabolites such as algal lipids (Wu et al., 2011) and pigments such as carotenoids (Tao et al., 2011) and chlorophyll (Huang, Beal, Cai, Ruoff and Terentjev, 2010). Finally, there is an emerging application applying Raman microspectroscopy as a tool for stable isotope

probing (SIP) to monitor substrate utilisation by single bacterial cells (Huang et al., 2004, Huang et al., 2007).

As with all analytical techniques that measure phenotypic characteristics (such as proteomics, metabolomics and lipidomics), methods for sample handling and preservation of samples for later analysis are of critical importance. As Raman spectroscopy measures the molecular composition of the cell, it is important to use preservation methods that cause minimal changes to the composition and arrangement of molecules that make up the Raman fingerprint. Unless cells are suitably fixed, autolysis by intracellular enzymes can denature proteins and dephosphorylate mononucleotides, phospholipids and proteins (Gazi et al., 2005), potentially altering the Raman fingerprint.

Previous work examining the role of sample handling and preservation techniques on the Raman spectra of eukaryotic tissues have highlighted method-dependant spectral alterations. These include the effects of ethanol and glycerol on bone samples (Yeni et al., 2006), snap freezing in liquid nitrogen on porcine prostate tissue (Candefjord et al., 2009), formaldehyde or methanol fixation in leukaemia cells lines (Chan et al., 2009), formaldehyde, desiccation and air drying on human cell lines (Mariani et al., 2009), desiccation on human embryonic stem cells (Konorov et al., 2011) and formalin or Carnoy's fixative on human cell lines (Meade et al., 2010). There have been studies examining fixation and inactivation induced effects on bacterial spectra, but these have focussed specifically on purple non-sulfur bacteria (Kniggendorf et al., 2011) and endospore forming species (Stockel et al., 2010).

The objective of the current study was to investigate the influence of a number of common chemical fixatives on the Raman spectra of species of bacteria representing a range of different Raman phenotypes. Here we have examined the effect that each fixative has on the Raman spectra of six species of bacteria, followed by an examination of the influence of each fixative on the ability to correctly identify each bacterial species based on their Raman spectra.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial isolates and culturing

Six bacterial species, selected to represent a range of differing phenotypes, were purchased from Leibniz Institute DSMZ-German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures (Germany). These were; *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 1775), *Bacillus subtilis* subsp. *subtilis* (ATCC 6051), *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (ATCC 13525), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC 10145), *Micrococcus luteus* (ATCC 4698), and *Janthinobacterium lividum* (ATCC 12473). All strains were checked for purity by streaking onto LB agar (Sigma Aldrich, UK) and cultured overnight at 28 °C. Single colonies were picked and sub cultured in 5 ml of LB broth (Sigma, UK) with shaking at 180 rpm. Each culture was diluted to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.5 and used to inoculate 180 ml of LB broth for each treatment and again grown overnight (16 h) at 28 °C with shaking at 180 rpm. The cell suspension was well mixed, and divided into six aliquots of 30 ml, one for each of the fixation methods and then further divided into three aliquots of 10 ml to provide technical fixation replicates. To remove the influence of the culture media on the fixation methods, each cell suspension was centrifuged for 5 min at 5000 g, the supernatant removed using a pipette and cells re-suspended in ice cold x1 PBS.

Fixation and sample handling

Five methods of chemical cell fixation were compared, including fixation in 70/30 (vol:vol) mix of ethanol (EtOH) and molecular grade water, a solution of 2.0% neutral buffered formaldehyde (CH₂O) made fresh from paraformaldehyde (adjusted to pH 7.2), a solution of 1.0% glutaraldehyde (CH₂(CH₂CHO)₂), a solution of 1.0% Formaldehyde and 0.05% glutaraldehyde, and finally a solution of 10% (w/v) Sodium azide (NaN₃). All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Adrich, UK. Cell pellets were re-suspended in each fixative and allowed to fix for 1 h at room temperature before the being washed, pelleted and re-suspended in ice cold MQ H₂O three times as before. The supernatant was removed a final time using a pipette to leave a cell pellet. The control sample consisted of unfixed cells frozen immediately after washing. The samples were then prepared for analysis by Raman spectroscopy by spotting 10 µl of the cell pellet from each replicate and treatment onto spectroscopy grade CaF₂ slide (Crystran, UK) and dried in a laboratory desiccator at room temperature for 30 minutes.

Raman microspectroscopy

Raman spectroscopy was conducted on a Horiba LabRAM HR800 Raman microspectrometer (Horiba Scientific, UK) equipped with an Olympus BX-41 microscope and an Andor electronically cooled CCD detector. The dried cell mass was visually focused on using a 100x/0.9 numerical-aperture Olympus M Plan air objective and a CCD camera, viewed on LabSpec v5. The samples were illuminated with a 532-nm Nd:YAG laser and the incident laser power was adjusted to 5-8 mW. The signal was optimized by adjusting the laser focus using the real-time readout of the Raman signal, before acquiring the spectrum between 211 cm⁻¹ and 1894 cm⁻¹, with 1,022 data points (~1.5 cm⁻¹ per point). Each spectrum consisted of two averaged 30 s exposures. Cosmic spikes were automatically removed using LabSpec v5

software (Horiba Scientific, UK). Raman spectra were collected from 4-8 spatially offset points within each dried bacterial spot for each replicate, to give a total of 12-24 spectra per treatment.

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

125

126

127

Data analysis

Raw spectra were concatenated to between 400 cm⁻¹ and 1800 cm⁻¹ wavenumbers, and the data normalized (area under spectra to 100 units) using LabSpec V5. The data analysis had two main objectives; firstly to examine the relative influence of fixation on the Raman spectra of the different bacterial species. Difference spectra were generated by subtracting the average spectra of each treatment from the average control spectra. Differences in the structure and shape of the treatment vs. the control are highlighted in deviations from the zero line. To further explore fixation-induced changes in spectral composition, Principle Components Analysis (PCA) was used to examine the relationships of all the treatments for each spectrum. PCA was conducted in the R programming environment (R Core Team, 2013) using the package "ChemometricsWithR" (Wehrens, 2012). The second objective was to examine the influence of fixation on the ability to accurately discriminate between bacterial species using their Raman spectra. Hierarchical Cluster Analysis (HCA) in R was used to create a dendrogram for each treatment, showing unsupervised clustering of the spectra replicates for each strain. Additionally, the accuracy of species discrimination was assessed using Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) in the R package "MASS" (Venables and Ripley, 2002) and the apparent error rate visualized and assessed using the KlaR package (Weihs et al., 2005).

146

147

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Due to very high levels of autoflorescence, it was not possible to collect a spectrum from *J. lividu*m fixed with a formaldehyde and glutaraldehyde solution, so these data were excluded from further analysis. Figure 1 shows representative Raman spectra from unfixed samples of each of the six species. Whilst the overall structure and composition of the spectra are broadly similar, there are some differences caused by variation in the fluorescence background for each species. Although there was a concordance in terms of the presence/absence of specific peaks found across species, some Raman peaks were found to be unique to particular species and not others (Supplementary Table 1). In particular *M. luteus* had peaks associated with carotene–like pigments at 1157 and 1527 cm⁻¹ that are absent in the other species. The peaks identified in this study are in agreement with previous studies (Huang, Li, Jarvis, Goodacre and Banwart, 2010) and represent the major biomolecules found in bacterial cells, including proteins, amino acids, lipids, carbohydrates, nucleic acids and nucleobases (Supplementary Table 1).

Fixation with EtOH (and other solvents) are used for denaturing fixation and cause rapid dehydration of the cells and additionally may solubilize membrane lipids (Woods and Ellis, 1994). Ethanol fixation caused large changes in the overall composition of the bacterial Raman spectra compared to the unfixed control, with an increase in peak height relative to unfixed controls. This change is possibly due to a reduction in background fluorescence caused by soluble fluorescent biomolecules being washed away during fixation. A mix of 0.25% ammonia and 70% ethanol has previously been shown to reduce autofluorescence in archival bone marrow sections, possibly through the dissolution of negatively charged lipid derivatives, phenols or polypenols and degradation of weak esters by hydrolysis (Baschong et al., 2001). The Raman peak at 749 cm⁻¹ assigned to cytochrome *c* was generally reduced in

intensity by EtOH fixation across all species other than *E. coli*. However the effects of fixation are inconsistent; both *P. aeruginosa* and *B. subtilis* show an increase in intensity in the second half of the spectra when fixed with ethanol, whereas *E. coli*, J. *lividum*, *M. luteus* and *P. fluorescens* were largely reduced in intensity. Over all, EtOH fixation caused significant changes in the bacterial phenotype as none of the fixed samples clustered in close proximity to the control (Figure 3).

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

172

173

174

175

176

177

Fixation with glutaraldehyde resulted in major changes to the structure of the Raman spectra in all species, shown by the deviation of the treatment spectra from the control line in Figure 2. This was caused by increased levels of background fluorescence, obscuring the appearance of informative Raman peaks. Both glutaraldehyde and formaldehyde are additive fixation solutions (also called cross-linking fixations) (St-Laurent et al., 2006), and work by forming covalent cross-links between amine residues in proteins (Meade, Clarke, Draux, Sockalingum, Manfait, Lyng and Byrne, 2010). The generation of high levels of autofluorescence in glutaraldehyde fixed tissues has been observed previously, and is has been postulated that this is caused by the presence of dialdehyde groups (Lee et al., 2013). The mix of formaldehyde and glutaraldehyde caused inconsistent results, where in some species it resulted in high levels of autofluorescence (E. coli, J. lividum, and P. aeruginosa) and in others (B. subtilis, M. luteus and P. fluorescens) relatively small changes. This was confirmed in the PCA plots, where gluteraldehyde was shown to cause significant changes to the Raman spectrum of all the species tested when compared to the control (Figure 3). This was also the case for the NBF + glutaraldehyde mix, except for B. subtilis where the points were the close to the control spectra (Figure 3).

Unlike fixation with gluteraldehyde, cells preserved with formaldehyde alone appeared to be relatively conserved in terms of spectral/phenotypic modifications, with the main changes being associated with a reduction in the intensity of the peak at 749 cm⁻¹, assigned to cytochrome *c*. The largest changes caused by fixation with formaldehyde were observed in *M. luteus* where a small increase in peak intensity across the spectral range was observed when compared to the control (Figure 2), and *B. subtilis*, where the overall shape of the spectra was changed, possibly by increased autofluorescence. Points associated with formaldehyde fixed samples generally clustered in close proximity to the control samples in the PCA plots (Figure 3).

Finally, fixation with Sodium azide (NaN₃) resulted in conserved phenotypic changes when compared to the unfixed control, as shown by the relatively small deviation from the zero line in the subtraction plots (Figure 2). This is true for all species except *M. luteus*, which showed reductions in the intensity of peaks at 1154 cm⁻¹ and 1527 cm⁻¹ which have previously been assigned to the vibration modes of carotene (Scholtes-Timmerman et al., 2009). NaN₃ binds to heme-iron found in cytochrome oxidase and catalase, effectively leading to chemical asphyxiation (Lichstein and Soule, 1944). Similar to the formaldehyde fixed samples, points associated with NaN₃ fixed samples generally clustered in close proximity to the control samples in the PCA plots (Figure 3).

Hierarchical Cluster Analysis (HCA) and Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) were used to assess the overall performance of the fixatives when used in Raman spectroscopy-based classification studies. Changes in the bacterial phenotype upon fixation may alter the ability to correctly classify different species of bacteria using Raman spectroscopy. For the unfixed

samples using both HCA and LDA all six species were assigned to separate clusters, with no misclassifications (Figure 4 and Figure S1). Fixation with NaN₃ was the only other method able to achieve this, with all species clearly located in different clusters. All other fixation methods failed to produce a perfect classification, with varying degrees of misclassification (Figure S1). However, fixation with NaN₃ caused considerable changes in the relationships between the groups as shown by the positioning of the cluster branches (Figure 4). For example, where the *E. coli* spectra formed a distinct outgroup on the unfixed cluster plot, this was joined by *B. subtilis* spectra in the NaN₃ fixed plot. This reordering of spectral similarity is not of great importance if the only aim it to assign spectra to the correct group. However, if the intention is to infer something about the similarity of the bacterial phenotypes, great care needs to be taken when using any fixation method.

CONCLUSIONS

All fixatives investigated caused changes to the Raman spectroscopy measured phenotype of the six bacterial species used in this study. However, fixation with NaN₃ appeared to be the most conserved in terms of deviation of the spectra from the control samples and the ability to retain a high degree of classification success. One aspect not investigated in this study was the potential effects of longer term storage of samples when unfixed or fixed, both at room temperature and frozen. The main aim of fixation is to prevent cellular processes and cell replication from continuing during storage. It is possible that in cases where inactivation of cells and fixation is not needed, freezing samples at -80 °C or colder will be appropriate. However, further work is needed to determine the impact of freezing on the preservation of cells, especially over longer term storage. For pathogenic species of bacteria, preservation and inactivation using a fixative may be necessary from a safety point of view (Stockel,

- Schumacher, Meisel, Elschner, Rosch and Popp, 2010). If this is the case, Sodium azide is an
 appropriate fixative in terms of preserving Raman phenotypic characteristics.
 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
- This work NE/I001093/1 was funded with support from the Natural Environment Research

 Council's (NERC) Technology Proof of Concept (TPOC) programme.

- 250 REFERENCES
- 251 Baschong, W., Suetterlin, R., Laeng, R.H., 2001. Control of autofluorescence of archival
- 252 formaldehyde-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue in confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM).
- 253 J Histochem Cytochem. 49, 1565-1571.
- 254 Candefjord, S., Ramser, K., Lindahl, O.A., 2009. Effects of snap-freezing and near-infrared laser
- 255 illumination on porcine prostate tissue as measured by Raman spectroscopy. Analyst. 134,
- 256 1815-1821.
- 257 Chan, J.W., Taylor, D.S., Thompson, D.L., 2009. The effect of cell fixation on the discrimination
- of normal and leukemia cells with laser tweezers Raman spectroscopy. Biopolymers. 91, 132-
- 259 139.
- 260 Cherchi, C., Chernenko, T., Diem, M., Gu, A.Z., 2011. Impact of nano titanium dioxide exposure
- on cellular structure of *Anabaena variabilis* and evidence of internalization. Environ Toxicol
- 262 Chem. 30, 861-869.
- Daniel, P., Picart, P., Bendriaa, L., Sockalingum, G.D., Adt, I., Charrier, T., Durand, M.J., Ergan,
- 264 F., Manfait, M., Thouand, G., 2008. Effects of toxic organotin compounds on bacteria
- investigated by micro-raman spectroscopy. Spectrosc Lett. 41, 19-28.
- De Gussem, K., Vandenabeele, P., Verbeken, A., Moens, L., 2007. Chemotaxonomical
- 267 identification of spores of macrofungi: possibilities of Raman spectroscopy. Anal Bioanal
- 268 Chem. 387, 2823-2832.
- Driskell, J.D., Zhu, Y., Kirkwood, C.D., Zhao, Y., Dluhy, R.A., Tripp, R.A., 2010. Rapid and
- 270 sensitive detection of rotavirus molecular signatures using surface enhanced Raman
- 271 spectroscopy. Plos One. 5, e10222.

- 272 Escoriza, M.F., VanBriesen, J.M., Stewart, S., Maier, J., 2007. Raman spectroscopic
- 273 discrimination of cell response to chemical and physical inactivation. Appl Spectrosc. 61, 812-
- 274 823.
- 275 Gazi, E., Dwyer, J., Lockyer, N.P., Miyan, J., Gardner, P., Hart, C., Brown, M., Clarke, N.W.,
- 276 2005. Fixation protocols for subcellular imaging by synchrotron-based Fourier transform
- infrared microspectroscopy. Biopolymers. 77, 18-30.
- 278 Huang, W.E., Griffiths, R.I., Thompson, I.P., Bailey, M.J., Whiteley, A.S., 2004. Raman
- 279 microscopic analysis of single microbial cells. Anal Chem. 76, 4452-4458.
- 280 Huang, W.E., Li, M., Jarvis, R.M., Goodacre, R., Banwart, S.A., 2010. Shining light on the
- 281 microbial world the application of Raman microspectroscopy. Adv Appl Microbiol. 70, 153-
- 282 186.
- Huang, W.E., Stoecker, K., Griffiths, R., Newbold, L., Daims, H., Whiteley, A.S., Wagner, M.,
- 284 2007. Raman-FISH: combining stable-isotope Raman spectroscopy and fluorescence in situ
- 285 hybridization for the single cell analysis of identity and function. Environ Microbiol. 9, 1878-
- 286 1889.
- 287 Huang, Y.Y., Beal, C.M., Cai, W.W., Ruoff, R.S., Terentjev, E.M., 2010. Micro-Raman
- 288 spectroscopy of algae: composition analysis and fluorescence background behavior.
- 289 Biotechnol Bioeng. 105, 889-898.
- 290 Kniggendorf, A.K., Gaul, T.W., Meinhardt-Wollweber, M., 2011. Effects of ethanol,
- 291 formaldehyde, and gentle heat fixation in confocal resonance Raman microscopy of purple
- 292 nonsulfur bacteria. Microsc Res Tech. 74, 177-183.
- 293 Konorov, S.O., Schulze, H.G., Piret, J.M., Turner, R.F.B., Blades, M.W., 2011. Evidence of
- 294 marked glycogen variations in the characteristic Raman signatures of human embryonic stem
- 295 cells. J Raman Spectrosc. 42, 1135-1141.

- 296 Lichstein, H.C., Soule, M.H., 1944. Studies of the effect of sodium azide on microbic growth
- and respiration: IV. The effect of sodium azide on glucose fermentation and lactic acid
- 298 production by Streptococci and Lactobacilli. Journal of Bacteriology. 47, 253-257.
- 299 Mariani, M.M., Lampen, P., Popp, J., Wood, B.R., Deckert, V., 2009. Impact of fixation on in
- 300 vitro cell culture lines monitored with Raman spectroscopy. Analyst. 134, 1154-1161.
- 301 Meade, A.D., Clarke, C., Draux, F., Sockalingum, G.D., Manfait, M., Lyng, F.M., Byrne, H.J.,
- 302 2010. Studies of chemical fixation effects in human cell lines using Raman microspectroscopy.
- 303 Anal Bioanal Chem. 396, 1781-1791.
- Palchaudhuri, S., Rehse, S.J., Hamasha, K., Syed, T., Kurtovic, E., Kurtovic, E., Stenger, J., 2011.
- Raman spectroscopy of xylitol uptake and metabolism in Gram-positive and Gram-negative
- 306 bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol. 77, 131-137.
- R Core Team, 2013. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. , R Foundation
- 308 for Statistical Computing Vienna, Austria.
- Read, D.S., Woodcock, D.J., Strachan, N.J., Forbes, K.J., Colles, F.M., Maiden, M.C., Clifton-
- Hadley, F., Ridley, A., Vidal, A., Rodgers, J., Whiteley, A.S., Sheppard, S.K., 2013. Evidence for
- 311 phenotypic plasticity among multihost Campylobacter jejuni and C. coli lineages, obtained
- using ribosomal multilocus sequence typing and Raman spectroscopy. Appl Environ Microbiol.
- 313 79, 965-973.
- 314 Schie, I.W., Huser, T., 2013. Methods and applications of Raman microspectroscopy to single-
- 315 cell analysis. Appl Spectrosc. 67, 813-828.
- 316 Scholtes-Timmerman, M., Willemse-Erix, H., Schut, T.B., van Belkum, A., Puppels, G.,
- 317 Maquelin, K., 2009. A novel approach to correct variations in Raman spectra due to photo-
- 318 bleachable cellular components. Analyst. 134, 387-393.

- 319 Schuster, K.C., Urlaub, E., Gapes, J.R., 2000. Single-cell analysis of bacteria by Raman
- 320 microscopy: spectral information on the chemical composition of cells and on the
- heterogeneity in a culture. J Microbiol Methods. 42, 29-38.
- 322 Singer, A.C., Huang, W.E., Helm, J., Thompson, I.P., 2005. Insight into pollutant bioavailability
- and toxicity using Raman confocal microscopy. J Microbiol Methods. 60, 417-422.
- 324 St-Laurent, J., Boulay, M.E., Prince, P., Bissonnette, E., Boulet, L.P., 2006. Comparison of cell
- fixation methods of induced sputum specimens: an immunocytochemical analysis. J Immunol
- 326 Methods. 308, 36-42.
- 327 Stockel, S., Schumacher, W., Meisel, S., Elschner, M., Rosch, P., Popp, J., 2010. Raman
- 328 spectroscopy-compatible inactivation method for pathogenic endospores. Appl Environ
- 329 Microbiol. 76, 2895-2907.
- Tao, Z., Wang, G., Xu, X., Yuan, Y., Wang, X., Li, Y., 2011. Monitoring and rapid quantification
- of total carotenoids in *Rhodotorula glutinis* cells using laser tweezers Raman spectroscopy.
- 332 Fems Microbiol Lett. 314, 42-48.
- Venables, W.N., Ripley, B.D., 2002. Modern Applied Statistics with S, Springer.
- Walter, A., Kuhri, S., Reinicke, M., Bocklitz, T., Schumacher, W., Rosch, P., Merten, D., Buchel,
- 335 G., Kothe, E., Popp, J., 2012. Raman spectroscopic detection of Nickel impact on single
- 336 Streptomyces cells possible bioindicators for heavy metal contamination. J Raman Spectrosc.
- 337 43*,* 1058-1064.
- Wehrens, R., 2012. Chemometrics With R: Multivariate Data Analysis in the Natural Sciences
- 339 and Life Sciences.
- Weihs, C., Ligges, U., Luebke, K., Raabe, N., 2005. klaR Analyzing German Business Cycles. In:
- D. Baier, R. Decker, L. Schmidt-Thieme (Eds.), Data Analysis and Decision Support, Springer
- 342 Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 335-343.

343 Wharfe, E.S., Winder, C.L., Jarvis, R.M., Goodacre, R., 2010. Monitoring the effects of chiral pharmaceuticals on aquatic microorganisms by metabolic fingerprinting. Appl Environ 344 Microbiol. 76, 2075-2085. 345 346 Woods, A.E., Ellis, R.C., 1994. Laboratory Histopathology: A Complete Reference, Churchill 347 Livingstone. 348 Wu, H., Volponi, J.V., Oliver, A.E., Parikh, A.N., Simmons, B.A., Singh, S., 2011. In vivo 349 lipidomics using single-cell Raman spectroscopy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 108, 3809-3814. 350 Yeni, Y.N., Yerramshetty, J., Akkus, O., Pechey, C., Les, C.M., 2006. Effect of fixation and

embedding on Raman spectroscopic analysis of bone tissue. Calcif Tissue Int. 78, 363-371.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Representative Raman spectra for each species of bacteria used in this study; Escherichia coli (Ec), Janthinobacterium lividum (JI), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Pa), Bacillus subtilis (Bs), Micrococcus luteus (MI) and Pseudomonas fluorescens (Pf). Major peaks are highlighted with grey bars.

Figure 2. Difference spectra (average control spectra minus the average treatment spectra) for each species of bacteria used in this study; *Escherichia coli* (Ec); *Janthinobacterium lividum* (JI), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Pa), *Bacillus subtilis* (Bs), *Micrococcus luteus* (MI) and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (Pf) for each of the five fixation treatments used; 70% ethanol (EtOH), Glutaraldehyde (Glut), Sodium azide (NaN₃), Formaldehyde (Form), Formaldehyde and Glutaraldehyde (Form+Glut).

Figure 3. Principle Component Analysis (PCA) plots showing the relationship between the control and fixation treatment spectra for each of the six species of bacteria used in this study; Escherichia coli (A), Janthinobacterium lividum (B), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (C), Bacillus subtilis (D), Micrococcus luteus (E), Pseudomonas fluorescens (F).

Figure 4. Hierarchical Cluster Analysis (HCA) plots showing the relationship between Raman derived phenotypes from each fixation treatment; Unfixed (A), 70% Ethanol (B), Glutaraldehyde (C), Sodium azide (D), Formaldehyde (E), Formaldehyde and Glutaraldehyde (F). Colors represent species of bacteria used in this study, including *Escherichia coli* (Ec - blue),

- 375 Janthinobacterium lividum (JI green), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Pa yellow), Bacillus subtilis
- 376 (Bs red), Micrococcus luteus (MI orange), Pseudomonas fluorescens (Pf purple).







