ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

# Lipids, apolipoproteins, and prognosis of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis

Caroline Ingre, MD, PhD,\* Lin Chen, MSc,\* Yiqiang Zhan, MD, PhD, Jet Termorshuizen, MSc, Li Yin, PhD, and Fang Fang, MD, PhD

Neurology<sup>®</sup> 2020;94:e1835-e1844. doi:10.1212/WNL.00000000009322

# Abstract

#### Objective

To determine whether lipids and apolipoproteins predict prognosis of patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis in a cohort study of 99 patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis who were diagnosed during 2015 to 2018 and followed up until October 31, 2018, at the Neurology Clinic in Karolinska University Hospital in Stockholm, Sweden.

#### Methods

Total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, apolipoprotein AI, apolipoprotein B, and lipid ratios were measured at the time of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis diagnosis or shortly thereafter. Death after amyotrophic lateral sclerosis diagnosis was used as the main outcome. The Cox model was used to estimate hazard ratios with 95% confidence intervals of death after amyotrophic lateral sclerosis diagnosis, after controlling for sex, age at diagnosis, site of symptom onset, diagnostic delay, body mass index, Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Functional Rating Scale–Revised score, and progression rate.

#### Results

A 1-SD increase of total cholesterol (hazard ratio 0.60, 95% confidence interval 0.41–0.89, p = 0.01), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (hazard ratio 0.64, 95% confidence interval 0.44–0.92, p = 0.02), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol/high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio (hazard ratio 0.65, 95% confidence interval 0.46–0.92, p = 0.02), apolipoprotein B (hazard ratio 0.62, 95% confidence interval 0.44–0.88, p = 0.01), or apolipoprotein B/apolipoprotein AI ratio (hazard ratio 0.61, 95% confidence interval 0.43–0.86, p < 0.01) was associated with a lower risk of death after amyotrophic lateral sclerosis diagnosis. A dose-response relationship was also noted when these biomarkers were analyzed as categorical variables.

#### Conclusions

Lipids and apolipoproteins are important prognostic indicators for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and should be monitored at the diagnosis of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis.

#### MORE ONLINE

• CME Course NPub.org/cmelist

Go to Neurology.org/N for full disclosures. Funding information and disclosures deemed relevant by the authors, if any, are provided at the end of the article.

**Correspondence** Dr. Fang fang.fang@ki.se

<sup>\*</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work

From the Department of Clinical Neuroscience (C.I.), and Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics (L.C., Y.Z., J.T., L.Y., F.F.), Karolinska Institutet; and Neurology Clinic (C.I.), Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden.

The Article Processing Charge was funded by Swedish Research Council.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# Glossary

ALS = amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; ALSFR-R = Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Functional Rating Scale–Revised; Apo = apolipoprotein; BMI = body mass index; GWAS = genome-wide association studies; HDL-C = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; PSMA = progressive spinal muscular atrophy; TC = total cholesterol; TG = triglycerides.

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is nowadays increasingly recognized as a systemic disease affecting not only the CNS but also the whole-body physiology.<sup>1</sup> Various hypotheses have been proposed concerning the potential contributions to its etiology from outside of the nervous system.<sup>2</sup> Specifically, a growing body of evidence has shown dysregulated energy metabolism in ALS, which is both clinically distinct and targetable for therapeutic interventions.<sup>3</sup>

Increased levels of total cholesterol (TC), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), triglycerides (TG), and LDL-C/ high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) ratio have been shown to be more prevalent in patients with ALS than in controls, although results are not always consistent.<sup>4</sup> In a previous study, we found that compared to controls, patients with ALS had higher levels of LDL-C, LDL-C/HDL-C, apolipoprotein (Apo) B, and ApoB/ApoAI ratio already during the 20 years before diagnosis.<sup>4</sup> Using results of genome-wide association studies (GWAS) on blood lipids and ALS, in a mendelian randomization analysis, we also demonstrated evidence for a causal relationship between dyslipidemia and ALS.<sup>5</sup> A causal relationship is also suggested by another 2 recent mendelian randomization analyses,<sup>6,7</sup> although the inclusion of prevalent patients in the GWAS of ALS and its resultant survival bias remain a concern for these results.<sup>8</sup> The potential role of lipids on ALS prognosis has also been studied, however with largely conflicting results.9-22 We summarize findings of the existing studies in table 1. No study has, on the other hand, examined the association of ApoB or ApoAI with ALS prognosis, however.

To this end, we constructed a cohort study of 99 patients with ALS in Stockholm, Sweden, and correlated different lipids and apolipoproteins with the risk of death after ALS diagnosis, taking into account other known prognostic indicators of ALS, namely sex, age at diagnosis, site of symptom onset, diagnostic delay, body mass index (BMI), Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Functional Rating Scale–Revised (ALSFRS-R) score, and progression rate.

# Methods

### Study design

We enrolled 99 patients with ALS (including 2 patients with progressive spinal muscular atrophy [PSMA]), diagnosed from September 2015 to October 2018 at the Neurology Clinic in Karolinska University Hospital in Stockholm, Sweden, for the present study. All patients were individually followed up from the date of diagnosis until death or October 31,

2018, whichever came first, through the Swedish Motor Neuron Disease Registry.<sup>23</sup>

We measured TC (millimoles per liter), LDL-C (millimoles per liter), HDL-C (millimoles per liter), LDL-C/HDL-C ratio, TG (millimoles per liter), ApoAI (grams per liter), ApoB (grams per liter), and ApoB/ApoAI ratio of the enrolled patients using blood samples collected after overnight fasting. The laboratory tests were conducted on fresh blood samples by the Laboratory of Karolinska University Hospital. These measurements were performed at the time of diagnosis or shortly thereafter. The mean time interval between diagnosis and lipid and apolipoprotein measurements was 1.12 months, and 87 of the patients (87.88%) had their measurements within 2 months after diagnosis.

In addition to vital status (date of death), we obtained information on sex, date of birth, age at symptom onset, site of symptom onset, age at diagnosis, BMI at diagnosis, and ALSFRS-R score at diagnosis from the Swedish Motor Neuron Disease Registry. We calculated diagnostic delay as the time interval between the time of symptom onset and date of diagnosis (in months) and progression rate as (48 – ALSFRS-R score at diagnosis)/diagnostic delay. In addition to the known prognostic indicators for ALS, we collected information on standard bicarbonate, which was measured at the same time as lipids and apolipoproteins, to assess whether the associations of lipids and apolipoproteins with ALS survival could be attributable to altered respiratory function. Overall survival time was calculated as the time interval between the date of diagnosis and date of death for deceased patients or the end of the study for the patients who were still alive at the end of 2018.

To assess the representativeness of the study sample, we compared the main clinical characteristics between the enrolled 99 patients and the remaining 118 patients who were diagnosed with ALS or PSMA during 2015 to 2018 in Stockholm according to the Swedish Motor Neuron Disease Registry but had no measurements on lipids and apolipoproteins. No clear difference was noted between these 2 groups in terms of sex, age at diagnosis, site of symptom onset, diagnostic delay, ALSFRS-R score, and progression rate (data available from Dryad, supplementary table 1, doi.org/10. 5061/dryad.df02h35). Although patients included in the present study appeared to have a slightly higher BMI and mortality rate compared to patients not included, the difference was only statistically significant for BMI.

Article	Setting	Sample size, n	Main findings
Reference 9	Patients with ALS, France	369	Low LDL-C/HDL-C ratio (≤2.99) was associated with 35% increased risk of death.
Reference 10	Patients with ALS consecutively enrolled during 2000–2006 in 2 ALS centers, Italy	658	TC, TG, LDL-C, HDL-C, and LDL-C/HDL-C ratio were not associated with ALS survival in a multivariate analysis. However, TC, TG, HDL- C, and LDL-C/HDL-C ratio levels were lower in patients with FVC <70% compared to patients with FVC $\geq$ 90%.
Reference 11	Patients with ALS enrolled through the outpatient clinic during 1999–2009, Germany	488	LDL-C, HDL-C, and LDL-C/HDL-C ratio were not associated with ALS survival. However, univariate analysis showed that patients with TG >1.47 mmol/L and TC >5.23 mmol/L had a longer survival compared to patients with TG <1.47 mmol/L and TC <5.23 mmol/L.
Reference 12	Patients with ALS enrolled at University Medical Centre Utrecht during July 2007–July 2009, the Netherlands	334	In univariate analysis, LDL-C/HDL-C ratio was positively associated with survival. After adjustment for age, sex, and FVC, no difference was observed. TC and LDL-C were not associated with survival in either unadjusted or multivariable analysis.
Reference 15	Patients with ALS enrolled in 3 clinical trial databases	427	After adjustment for BMI, FVC, and age, the LDL-C/HDL-C ratio was not associated with survival.
Reference 16	Patients with ALS in Japan	92	LDL-C and TC levels measured at diagnosis were inversely associated with the speed of decline in ALSFR-R score and FVC after diagnosis.
Reference 17	Patients with ALS residing in the Belgrade area who were diagnosed during 2006–2009	82	A slightly longer survival time was seen for patients with hyperlipidemia (TC >5.2 mmol/L, HDL-C <1.6 mmol/L, LDL-C >3.4 mmol/L, and/or TG >1.7 mmol/L) compared to other patients. The difference was not statistically significant, however.
Reference 13	Patients with ALS enrolled through the Piemonte and Valle d'Aosta Register for ALS who were diagnosed during 2007–2011, Italy	638	In univariate analysis, higher levels of TC and LDL-C in men but lower LDL-C/HDL-C ratios in women were associated with better survival. Multivariable analysis showed no association of any lipid measurement (TC, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C, or LDL-C/HDL-C ratio) with survival.
Reference 18	Patients with ALS recruited in Umeå, Sweden	52	With normalization for diet using the spouses, a positive correlation was found between survival and TC, VLDL, and LDL-C.
Reference 14	Patients with ALS from 15 European centers who were enrolled in the clinical trial TRO19622 (Olesoxime)	512	In univariate analysis, TC, LDL-C, and LDL-C/HDL-C ratio were found to be inversely associated with the risk of death. In analysis adjusted for age, weight, sex, site of onset, disease duration, and FVC, none of the lipid measures were found to be associated with the risk of death.
Reference 19	Patients with sporadic ALS during 2009–2013 in West China Hospital, China	413	Patients with ALS with higher TG levels had longer survival time compared to patients with lower TG levels. A median prolonged life expectancy of 5.8 months was seen for patients with serum TG levels above the median of 127.5 mg/dL. No difference was seen for TC, LDL-C, and HDL-C.
Reference 20	Patients with ALS diagnosed during 2000–2013 in Modena, Italy	275	Higher TG level was associated with a lower risk of death. TC, HDL- C, and LDL-C did not show an association with risk of death.
Reference 21	Patients with ALS recruited in the ForeFront clinics, Sydney, Australia	37	A lower TC level was associated with an increased risk of death. No association was noted for TG. No report for HDL-C and LDL-C.
Reference 22	Patients with ALS treated at the Onofre Lopes University Hospital in Natal, Brazil, between March 2016 and December 2016	27	In univariable analysis, TC was inversely correlated with ALSFRS-R score, whereas LDL-C, HDL-C, and TG levels were positively associated with the ALSFRS-R score.

#### Table 1 Summary of previous studies on blood biomarkers of lipid metabolism and ALS prognosis

Abbreviations: ALS = amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; ALSFR-R = Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Functional Rating Scale–Revised; BMI = body mass index; FVC = forced vital capacity; HDL-C = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TC = total cholesterol; TG = triglycerides; VLDL = very-low-density lipoprotein.

#### **Statistical analyses**

We first described the characteristics, either as categorical or continuous variables, of the enrolled patients. Associations of lipids and apolipoproteins with the risk of death after diagnosis were assessed by hazard ratios and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) using Cox proportional hazard regression models. In these models, we adjusted for sex (men vs women), age at diagnosis (continuous variable), site of symptom onset (bulbar vs nonbulbar), diagnostic delay (continuous variable, in months), BMI at diagnosis (continuous variable), ALSFRS-R score at diagnosis, and progression rate. Time since diagnosis was used as the underlying time scale. Because not all patients

	No. of patients <sup>a</sup>	Mean (SD) or median (IQR)
Sex		
Men	52	
Women	47	
Age at diagnosis, mean (SD), y	99	65.72 (10.09)
Site of symptom onset		
Bulbar	38	
Nonbulbar	61	
Diagnostic delay, median (IQR), mo	99	13.00 (8.60–19.10)
BMI at diagnosis, median (IQR), kg/m²	99	24.38 (22.22–26.67)
ALSFRS-R score at diagnosis, median (IQR)	96	39.00 (33.00-43.50)
Progression rate, median (IQR)	96	0.57 (0.29–1.05)
Biomarkers of lipid metabolism, mean (SD)		
TC, mmol/L	94	5.46 (0.95)
LDL-C, mmol/L	92	3.14 (0.88)
HDL-C, mmol/L	94	1.64 (0.51)
LDL-C/HDL-C ratio	91	2.05 (0.77)
TG, mmol/L	94	1.54 (0.98)
Biomarkers of apolipoprotein metabolism, mean (SD)		
ApoB, g/L	96	1.08 (0.25)
ApoAl, g/L	96	1.61 (0.28)
ApoB/ApoAl ratio	96	0.69 (0.21)

Table 2 Characteristics of patients with ALS

Abbreviations: ALS = amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; ALSFR-R = Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Functional Rating Scale–Revised; Apo = apolipoprotein; HDL-C = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; IQR = Interquartile range; LDL-C = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TC = total cholesterol; TG = triglycerides. <sup>a</sup> Varying number of patients with missing information on some of the characteristics.

had their lipid and apolipoprotein measurements precisely at the time of diagnosis, we fitted all survival models with delayed entry at the actual time of blood sample collection, calculated as the time interval between the date of diagnosis and the date of blood sampling (in months).

We first used the studied biomarkers as continuous variables and assessed the effect of a 1-SD increase of each biomarker on the risk of death after ALS diagnosis. We then categorized these variables according to their quartiles and estimated the effect of per quartile increase of the studied biomarkers on the risk of death after ALS diagnosis. We examined the proportional hazard assumption of the Cox model by using statistics based on Schoenfeld residuals. A slight deviation of the assumption was noted for site of symptom onset and progression rate (p < 0.1) but not other variables. After stratification of the Cox models by these 2 covariables, the hazard ratios of other variables were nearly the same as those generated from models without such stratification. For simplicity and consistency, we chose to report findings from the original models without stratifying on these 2 variables. In the main analysis, we omitted the small number of patients with missing data in the analysis.<sup>15</sup> In a first sensitivity analysis, we additionally adjusted for the analysis by standard bicarbonate, and in several other sensitivity analyses, we replaced missing data with the lowest 25th percentile, the mean, or the highest 25th percentile value of the entire cohort and compared the results of the sensitivity analyses to those of the main analysis. In another sensitivity analysis, we restricted the analysis to patients with lipids and apolipoproteins measured within 1 month after diagnosis to assess the impact of delayed blood sampling on the results. We also performed a sensitivity analysis restricting to patients with ALS alone because we included both patients with ALS and 2 patients with PSMA in the main analysis. Finally, we performed another sensitivity analysis using time since symptom onset, instead of time since diagnosis, as the underlying time scale to assess the potential influence of different time scales on the study results.

To demonstrate the relative risk of death comparing a high level to a low level of a specific biomarker, as a secondary analysis, we also dichotomized the lipids and apolipoproteins at the lowest 25th percentile for TC, HDL-C, LDL-C, ApoAI, and ApoB and at the highest 25th percentile for LDL-C/HDL-C ratio, TG, and ApoB/ApoAI ratio. These cutoffs are generally in agreement with published guidelines in cardiovascular prevention<sup>24,25</sup> and apolipoprotein literature.<sup>26</sup> Patients with a higher level of a specific biomarker than the cutoff were classified as having a high level of the biomarker; patients with a lower level than the cutoff were classified as having a low level of the biomarker. Kaplan-Meier survival curves were used to compare median survival time between patients of high and low levels of the studied biomarkers, and log-rank tests were used to assess the between-curve differences.

We also calculated the areas under the receiver operator characteristic curves from Cox models to select a biomarker with the greatest potential to improve the prediction of ALS prognosis.

From the findings of this analysis, we continued with a survival prediction and classified patients with ALS according to sex, age at diagnosis (below or above the mean), site of symptom onset, diagnostic delay (below or above the median), BMI (below or above the median), ALSFRS-R score (below or above the median), and the selected biomarker (dichotomized as high or low).

Statistical Analysis Software (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC) version 9.4 was used for data analysis.

# Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents

The study was approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board in Stockholm, Sweden.

#### **Data availability**

Researchers can apply for access to data from the present study for well-defined research questions that are in line with the overall research agenda for the cohort. Please contact the corresponding author.

## Results

Among the 99 patients, 52 were men and 47 were women (table 2). The mean age at diagnosis was 65.72 years, and the median diagnostic delay was 13 months. At the time of diagnosis, patients had a median BMI of 24.38 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, median ALSFRS-R score of 39, and median progression rate of 0.57. The vast majority (91.92%) of these patients used riluzole.

Up to October 31, 2018, 54 patients died. The mean survival time from diagnosis to death was 13.72 months for the deceased patients. After adjustment for sex, age at diagnosis, site of symptom onset, diagnostic delay, BMI, ALSFRS-R score, and progression rate, a 1-SD increase of TC, LDL-C, LDL-C/HDL-C, ApoB, or ApoB/ApoAI ratio was statistically significantly associated with a lower risk of death after ALS diagnosis (table 3). Among the 99 patients, 95 patients had an available measurement of standard bicarbonate. Further adjustment for standard bicarbonate rendered largely similar results (data available from Dryad, supplementary table 2, doi.org/10.5061/dryad.df02h35). Sensitivity analyses using the lowest 25th percentile, mean, or highest 25th percentile values to replace missing values generated very similar results (data available from Dryad, supplementary table 3). Restricting the analysis to patients with lipid and apolipoprotein measurements within 1 month

**Table 3** Associations of lipids and apolipoproteins (per 1-SD increase) with risk of mortality after ALS diagnosis with<br/>adjustment for sex, age at diagnosis, site of symptom onset, diagnostic delay, BMI at diagnosis, ALSFRS-R score at<br/>diagnosis, and progression rate

	Person-months	No. of deceased patients	HR (95% CI)	p Value
Biomarkers of lipid metabolism				
тс	1,355	47	0.60 (0.41-0.89)	0.01
HDL-C	1,355	47	1.12 (0.78–1.61)	0.54
LDL-C	1,316	46	0.64 (0.44–0.92)	0.02
LDL-C/HDL-C ratio	1,295	46	0.65 (0.46-0.92)	0.02
TG	1,355	47	0.88 (0.58–1.34)	0.55
Biomarkers of apolipoprotein metabolism				
АроАІ	1,390	50	1.18 (0.85–1.65)	0.33
АроВ	1,390	50	0.62 (0.44–0.88)	0.01
ApoB/ApoAl ratio	1,390	50	0.61 (0.43-0.86)	<0.01

Abbreviations: ALS = amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; ALSFR-R = Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Functional Rating Scale–Revised; Apo = apolipoprotein; BMI = body mass index; CI = confidence interval; HDL-C = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HR = hazard ratio; LDL-C = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TC = total cholesterol; TG = triglycerides.

**Table 4** Associations of lipids and apolipoproteins (per<br/>quartile increase) with risk of mortality after ALS<br/>diagnosis with adjustment for sex, age at<br/>diagnosis, site of onset, diagnostic delay, BMI at<br/>diagnosis, ALSFRS-R score at diagnosis, and<br/>progression rate

	Person- months	No. of deceased patients	HR (95% CI)	p Values
Biomarkers of lipid metabolism				
TC, mmol/L				
<4.9	247	9	1.00 (Ref)	
≥4.9, <5.5	305	11	0.37 (0.14–1.02)	0.05
≥5.5, <6.1	365	14	0.35 (0.12–1.02)	0.05
≥6.1	438	13	0.26 (0.09–0.72)	0.01
HDL-C, mmol/L				
<1.3	349	8	1.00 (Ref)	
≥1.3, <1.6	297	11	1.38 (0.49–3.88)	0.55
≥1.6, <1.9	375	14	1.61 (0.57–4.53)	0.37
≥1.9	333	14	1.77 (0.59–5.28)	0.31
LDL-C, mmol/L				
<2.6	191	10	1.00 (Ref)	
≥2.6, <3.1	329	9	0.23 (0.08–0.62)	<0.01
≥3.1, <3.8	378	13	0.37 (0.15–0.92)	0.03
≥3.8	418	14	0.19 (0.07–0.54)	<0.01
LDL-C/HDL-C ratio				
<1.6	246	12	1.00 (Ref)	
≥1.6, <2.1	295	12	0.77 (0.32–1.85)	0.46
≥2.1, <2.6	349	11	0.60 (0.24–1.52)	0.21
≥2.6	404	11	0.37 (0.14–0.98)	0.02
TG, mmol/L				
<0.97	257	9	1.00 (Ref)	
≥0.97, <1.30	323	13	0.45 (0.16–1.26)	0.13
≥1.30, <1.90	387	15	0.49 (0.20–1.22)	0.12
≥1.90	388	10	0.48 (0.18–1.25)	0.13

Table 4Associations of lipids and apolipoproteins (per<br/>quartile increase) with risk of mortality after ALS<br/>diagnosis with adjustment for sex, age at<br/>diagnosis, site of onset, diagnostic delay, BMI at<br/>diagnosis, ALSFRS-R score at diagnosis, and<br/>progression rate (continued)

	Person- months	No. of deceased patients	HR (95% CI)	p Values
Biomarkers of apolipoprotein metabolism				
ApoAl, g/L				
<1.41	413	12	1.00 (Ref.)	
≥1.41, <1.61	252	8	2.42 (0.87–6.69)	0.09
≥1.61, <1.80	344	12	2.06 (0.75–5.68)	0.10
≥1.80	380	18	2.36 (0.93–5.96)	0.07
ApoB, g/L				
<0.90	196	11	1.00 (Ref)	
≥0.90, <1.09	331	9	0.54 (0.21–1.39)	0.20
≥1.09, <1.2 <b>9</b>	413	15	0.31 (0.12–0.77)	0.01
≥1.29	450	15	0.21 (0.08–0.56)	<0.01
ApoB/ApoAl ratio				
<0.56	261	12	1.00 (Ref)	
≥0.56, <0.67	329	12	0.76 (0.32–1.82)	0.58
≥0.67, <0.82	395	11	0.64 (0.27–1.56)	0.31
≥0.82	404	15	0.47 (0.19–1.15)	0.10

Abbreviations: ALS = amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; ALSFR-R = Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Functional Rating Scale–Revised; Apo = apolipoprotein; BMI = body mass index; CI = confidence interval; HDL-C = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HR = hazard ratio; LDL-C = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; Ref = referent; TC = total cholesterol; TG = triglycerides.

after diagnosis rendered very similar results, although with slightly limited statistical power (data available from Dryad, supplementary table 4). Restricting the analysis to patients with ALS alone similarly led to largely unchanged results (data available from Dryad, supplementary table 5). Using time since symptom onset, instead of time since diagnosis, as the underlying time scale provided results similar to those of the main analyses (data available from Dryad, supplementary table 6). Finally, using the biomarkers as categorical variables according to their quartile distributions demonstrated a similar pattern of results (table 4).

Figure 1 Kaplan-Meier survival curves



(A) Total cholesterol  $\leq 4.6 \text{ vs} > 4.6 \text{ mmol/L}$ . Median survival 19.5 vs 21.5 months (p = 0.26). (B) High-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C)  $\leq 1.4 \text{ vs} > 1.4 \text{ mmol/L}$ . Median survival 27.9 vs 20.6 months (p = 0.06). (C) Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C)  $\leq 2.6 \text{ vs} > 2.6 \text{ mmol/L}$ . Median survival 10.5 vs 24.4 months (p < 0.01). (D) LDL-C/HDL-C ratio  $< 2.5 \text{ vs} \geq 2.5$ . Median survival 19.5 vs 25.5 months (p = 0.04). (E) Triglycerides  $< 1.8 \text{ vs} \geq 1.8 \text{ mmol/L}$ . Median survival 18.4 vs 28.2 months (p = 0.14). (F) Apolipoprotein (Apo) AI  $\leq 1.4 \text{ vs} > 1.4 \text{ g/L}$ . Median survival 25.5 vs 18.7 months (p = 0.11). (G) ApoB  $\leq 0.9 \text{ vs} > 0.9 \text{ g/L}$ . Median survival 11.2 vs 21.7 months (p = 0.45). Blue lines show patients with a low level of the biomarker.

Patients with high levels of LDL-C, LDL-C/HDL-C ratio, and ApoB had a statistically significantly longer survival compared to patients with low levels of these biomarkers (figure 1). Patients with a high level of LDL-C lived 13.9 months longer than patients with a low level of LDL-C (median survival 24.4 vs 10.5 months, p < 0.01). Patients with a high LDL-C/HDL-C ratio lived 6 months longer compared to patients with a low LDL-C/HDL-C ratio (median survival 25.5 vs 19.5 months, p = 0.04). Patients with a high level of ApoB lived 10.5 months longer compared to patients with a low ApoB level (median survival 21.7 vs 11.2 months, p < 0.01).

Among the Cox models including any of the lipid or apolipoprotein variables, the model with LDL-C had the largest area under the curve value at 0.8853, whereas the Cox model without adding any lipid or apolipoprotein variable had an area under the curve value of 0.8303 (data available from Dryad, supplementary

Figure 2 Survival prediction curves



Group 1: men, bulbar onset, age at diagnosis  $\geq$ 66.92 years, diagnostic delay <12.97 months, body mass index (BMI) <24.38 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, progression rate  $\geq$ 0.57, Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Functional Rating Scale–Revised (ALSRFS-R) score <39, and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C)  $\leq$ 2.6 mmol/L. Group 2: women, bulbar onset, age at diagnosis  $\geq$ 66.92 years, diagnostic delay <12.97 months, BMI <24.38 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, progression rate  $\geq$ 0.57, ALSFRS-R score <39, and LDL-C >2.6 mmol/L. Group 3: men, bulbar onset, age at diagnosis  $\geq$ 66.92 years, diagnostic delay  $\geq 12.97$  months, BMI <24.38 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, progression rate  $\geq$ 0.57, ALSFRS-R score  $\geq$ 39, and LDL-C >2.6 mmol/L. Group 4: men, not bulbar onset, age at diagnosis <66.92 years, diagnostic delay  $\geq 12.97$  months, BMI  $\geq 24.38$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>, progression rate <0.57, ALSFRS-R score  $\geq 39$ , and LDL-C <2.6 mmol/L. Group 5: women, not bulbar onset, age at diagnosis <66.92 years, diagnostic delay  $\geq 12.97$  months, BMI  $\geq 24.38$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>, progression rate <0.57, ALSFRS-R score  $\geq 39$ , and LDL-C <2.6 mmol/L. Group 5: women, not bulbar onset, age at diagnosis <66.92 years, diagnostic delay  $\geq 12.97$  months, BMI  $\geq 24.38$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>, progression rate <0.57, ALSFRS-R score  $\geq 39$ , and LDL-C >2.6 mmol/L. Group 5: women, not bulbar onset, age at diagnosis <66.92 years, diagnostic delay  $\geq 12.97$  months, BMI  $\geq 24.38$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>, progression rate <0.57, ALSFRS-R score  $\geq 39$ , and LDL-C >2.6 mmol/L.

table 7, datadryad.org/review?doi=doi:10.5061/dryad.df02h35). We therefore decided to add LDL-C to the survival prediction model, in addition to sex, age at diagnosis, site of symptom onset, diagnostic delay, BMI, ALSFRS-R score, and progression rate. Figure 2 shows 5 exemplary survival profiles after ALS diagnosis. A male patient with a bulbar onset, age at diagnosis  $\geq$ 66.92 years, diagnostic delay <12.97 months, BMI <24.38 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, progression rate  $\geq$ 0.57, ALSFRS-R score <39, and level of LDL-C  $\leq$ 2.6 mmol/L at diagnosis had the shortest predicted median survival time, whereas a female patient with a nonbulbar onset, age at diagnosis <66.92 years, diagnostic delay  $\geq$ 12.97 months, BMI  $\geq$ 24.38 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, progression rate <0.57, ALSFRS-R score  $\geq$ 39, and level of LDL-C >2.6 mmol/L at diagnosis had the longest estimated survival time.

#### Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, no study has previously examined both lipids and apolipoproteins as potential prognostic indicators for ALS. Using a cohort of 99 patients with ALS who were representative of all patients with ALS diagnosed during 2015 to 2018 in Stockholm, Sweden, we found that higher levels of TC, LDL-C, LDL-C/HDL-C ratio, ApoB, and ApoB/ApoAI ratio were statistically significantly associated with a lower risk of mortality, after adjustment for all other known prognostic indicators of ALS.

Several studies using diverse study populations have been conducted to examine the associations of blood lipids with the

survival of patients with ALS.<sup>9-22</sup> While some of the studies reported that higher lipid levels were indicative of longer survival after ALS diagnosis,<sup>19-21</sup> which is in line with the present findings, other studies reported a null association between lipids and ALS survival after multivariable adjustments.<sup>10-12,14,15</sup> The notable discrepancies among these studies may be attributable to the different study designs used, the varying timing of lipids measurement, and the choice of multivariable adjustments. For example, most studies recruited patients with ALS from neurology clinics for 2 distinct purposes, namely to investigate the putative risk factors for ALS using a case-control design<sup>9,10,12,18,19,21</sup> or to identify and evaluate potential predictive factors for the prognosis of ALS using a prospective cohort design.<sup>11,13–15,17,20</sup> In the case-control design settings, it is relatively common that not only incident cases but also prevalent cases of ALS were recruited. Because prevalent cases had to survive until study enrollment, they would have a longer survival on average compared to incident cases. Furthermore, the exposure of interest, circulating lipid levels in this case, might differ between prevalent and incident cases because lipid levels could be affected by ALS treatment or the altered lifestyle factors (e.g., diet) after ALS diagnosis. Using a prospective cohort design with incident cases of ALS only and measuring lipid levels at the time of diagnosis or immediately thereafter could largely alleviate such concerns. An additional concern for both case-control and cohort studies is the representativeness of the patients enrolled. While population-based studies are more likely to include patients of the entire spectrum of disease characteristics, clinic-based studies are more likely to include patients with specific characteristics such as younger age at onset or slower disease progression.<sup>27</sup>

The choice of multivariable adjustment contributed further to the conflicting results. Most of the previous studies reported a significantly improved survival of ALS diagnosis in relation to a higher level of TG, TC, LDL-C, or LDL-C/HDL-C ratio in the univariable models (e.g., nonparametric Kaplan-Meier survival curve).<sup>9,11–15,17–21</sup> However, several of these studies reported that these associations diminished or disappeared after further controlling for other prognostic indicators of ALS, and the timing of the measurements of such covariables is sometimes unclear.<sup>12,14,15</sup> Although it is justifiable to adjust for such covariables measured at the same time as lipids, as we did in the present study, adjustment of these variables measured later during the disease process is likely overadjustment. For example, a few studies found that LDL-C and TC levels measured at ALS diagnosis were inversely associated with the speed of decline in ALSFRS-R score and forced vital capacity after diagnosis.<sup>16,22</sup> The prognostic indicators measured after lipids measurement might therefore be potential mediators that connect lipids to the survival of ALS and should not be controlled for in the multivariable-adjusted models. In our study, adjusting for standard bicarbonate concentrations measured at the same time as the studied lipids did not change the results. In addition to the above-mentioned methodologic

considerations, true biological variance might also contribute to the conflicting results noted in the literature. A result pattern noted in one population, even if valid internally, does not necessarily extrapolate to an independent population of different characteristics.

In contrast to the literature on lipids and ALS prognosis, the role of apolipoproteins on ALS prognosis has rarely been described. The influence of *APOE* on the prognosis of ALS has been studied to some extent, but the findings so far did not support a strong influence of *APOE* on disease duration or overall survival.<sup>28</sup> We are the first to report that high levels of ApoB and ApoB/ApoAI ratio are indicative of longer survival independently of other known prognostic indicators of ALS. Together with our recent findings of high levels of ApoB and ApoB/ApoAI ratio as potential risk factors for ALS,<sup>4</sup> more research is needed to verify the present findings in independent populations and to understand the underlying mechanisms.

The strengths of the present study include the use of a study population that was highly representative of all patients with ALS diagnosed during the study period in Stockholm, the enrollment of only incident patients, the measurement of studied biomarkers at diagnosis or shortly thereafter, the rich information on clinical characteristics, and the complete follow-up for all patients included in the analysis. The comprehensive systemic review of all previously existing literature on this topic adds another layer to our study. Our study has also limitations, including the relatively small sample size, the fact that we did not include all patients diagnosed during the study period in Stockholm, and the fact that not all biomarkers were measured precisely at the time of diagnosis. We studied 99 of the total 217 patients with ALS who were eligible to participate. However, these patients did not differ clearly from the remaining 118 patients in terms of demographic and clinical characteristics (data available from Dryad, supplementary table 1, doi.org/ 10.5061/dryad.df02h35), suggesting a satisfactory representativeness of study sample. The similar results obtained from sensitivity analyses argue against a strong influence of slightly delayed biomarker measurements on the study results. Another limitation of the study is the lack of genetic testing for the enrolled patients, which precludes the possibility of examining the role of lipids for patients with ALS of known genetic causes.

The underlying mechanisms for the noted associations of lipids and apolipoproteins with risk of death after ALS diagnosis remain unknown. Although we had no information on forced vital capacity, the similar results obtained after further adjustment for standard bicarbonate measured at the time of diagnosis suggest that the associations are not likely confounded by respiratory function. We adjusted for BMI measured at diagnosis in all analyses, but we had no information on weight change between symptom onset and diagnosis. The contribution of weight change before diagnosis to the studied associations therefore remains to be examined. Whether the noted associations are causal is unknown. For instance, it is possible that patients with severe and more rapidly progressing ALS, because of unknown reasons, debut already lower levels of TC, LDL-C, LDL-C/HDL-C ratio, ApoB, and ApoB/ApoAI ratio at the time of diagnosis compared to other patients. The prognostic values of the studied lipids and apolipoproteins, as shown by the area under the curve values, are modest compared to other known prognostic indicators for ALS. However, for patients with specific values of other prognostic indicators, a different profile of the specific lipids and apolipoproteins at the time of diagnosis might still indicate differential prognosis. Furthermore, because of the high statistical correlations between lipids and apolipoproteins, it is difficult to disentangle the roles of apolipoproteins from the roles of lipids in the present study. Mechanistic studies are therefore needed to better understand the potential roles of apolipoproteins, especially ApoB and ApoB/ApoAI ratio, on the prognosis of ALS independently of or in interaction with lipids. For example, the brain is the most lipid-rich organ in the body, and apolipoproteins play a well-established role in the transport and metabolism of lipids within the CNS.<sup>29</sup> Evidence is also emerging that apolipoproteins fulfill a number of functions beyond lipid transportation that are critical for healthy brain function.<sup>29</sup> Finally, the underlying reasons for lipids (e.g., LDL-C) and apolipoproteins (e.g., ApoB) to be on the one hand potential risk factors for ALS occurrence<sup>4-7</sup> but on the other hand potential protective factors for survival after ALS diagnosis, as shown in the present study, need to be studied further. If the present findings are indeed true, the protective effect on survival could have contributed partly to the noted positive association between LDL-C, ApoB, and ALS risk, assuming that patients with longer survival have higher levels of LDL-C or ApoB, are more likely to be captured and diagnosed clinically, and are more likely to be recruited in a research study for ALS (e.g., GWAS).

Lipids and apolipoproteins are important prognostic indicators for ALS and should be monitored at the diagnosis of ALS.

#### **Study funding**

This work was supported by a European Research Council Starting Grant (No. 802091), the Swedish Research Council (No. 2015-03170), the Karolinska Institutet (Senior Researcher Award and Strategic Research Area in Epidemiology), the Bjorklunds Fund, Neuro Sweden, and the Ulla-Carin Lindquist Foundation.

#### Disclosure

The authors report no disclosures relevant to the manuscript. Go to Neurology.org/N for full disclosures.

#### **Publication history**

Received by *Neurology* June 16, 2019. Accepted in final form November 7, 2019.

#### Appendix Authors

Name	Location	Role	Contribution
Caroline Ingre, MD, PhD	Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden	Author	Performed literature review; designed and conceptualized study; acquired and interpreted data; revised the manuscript for intellectual content
Lin Chen, MSc	Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden	Author	Analyzed and interpreted data; drafted the manuscript
Yiqiang Zhan, MD, PhD	Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden	Author	Analyzed and interpreted data; drafted the manuscript
Jet Termorshuizen, MSc	Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden	Author	Performed literature review; revised the manuscript for intellectual content
Li Yin, PhD	Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden	Author	Statistical advice and analysis
Fang Fang, MD, PhD	Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden	Corresponding author	Designed and conceptualized study; analyzed and interpreted the data; drafted the manuscript for intellectual content

#### References

- Baumer D, Talbot K, Turner MR. Advances in motor neurone disease. J R Soc Med 2014;107:14–21.
- Turner MR, Hardiman O, Benatar M, et al. Controversies and priorities in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Lancet Neurol 2013;12:310–322.
- Dupuis L, Pradat PF, Ludolph AC, et al. Energy metabolism in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Lancet Neurol 2011;10:75–82.
- Mariosa D, Hammar N, Malmstrom H, et al. Blood biomarkers of carbohydrate, lipid, and apolipoprotein metabolisms and risk of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a more than 20-year follow-up of the Swedish AMORIS cohort. Ann Neurol 2017;81:718–728.
- Chen X, Yazdani S, Piehl F, et al. Polygenic link between blood lipids and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Neurobiol Aging 2018;67:202 e1–02 e6.
- Zeng P, Zhou X. Causal effects of blood lipids on amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a mendelian randomization study. Hum Mol Genet 2019;28:688–697.

- Bandres-Ciga S, Noyce AJ, Hemani G, et al. Shared polygenic risk and causal inferences in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Ann Neurol 2019;85:470–481.
- Armon C. Smoking is a cause of ALS: high LDL-cholesterol levels? Unsure. Ann Neurol Epub 2019 Mar 18.
- Dupuis L, Corcia P, Fergani A, et al. Dyslipidemia is a protective factor in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Neurology 2008;70:1004–1009.
- Chio A, Calvo A, Ilardi A, et al. Lower serum lipid levels are related to respiratory impairment in patients with ALS. Neurology 2009;73:1681–1685.
- Dorst J, Kuhnlein P, Hendrich C, et al. Patients with elevated triglyceride and cholesterol serum levels have a prolonged survival in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. J Neurol 2011;258:613–617.
- Sutedja NA, van der Schouw YT, Fischer K, et al. Beneficial vascular risk profile is associated with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2011;82: 638–642.
- Chio A, Calvo A, Bovio G, et al. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis outcome measures and the role of albumin and creatinine: a population-based study. JAMA Neurol 2014;71: 1134–1142.
- Rafiq MK, Lee E, Bradburn M, et al. Effect of lipid profile on prognosis in the patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: insights from the olesoxime clinical trial. Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal Degener 2015;16:478–484.
- Paganoni S, Deng J, Jaffa M, et al. Body mass index, not dyslipidemia, is an independent predictor of survival in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Muscle Nerve 2011; 44:20–24.
- Ikeda K, Hirayama T, Takazawa T, et al. Relationships between disease progression and serum levels of lipid, urate, creatinine and ferritin in Japanese patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a cross-sectional study. Intern Med 2012;51: 1501–1508.
- 17. Dedic SI, Stevic Z, Dedic V, et al. Is hyperlipidemia correlated with longer survival in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis? Neurol Res 2012;34:576–580.
- Wuolikainen A, Acimovic J, Lovgren-Sandblom A, et al. Cholesterol, oxysterol, triglyceride, and coenzyme Q homeostasis in ALS: evidence against the hypothesis that elevated 27-hydroxycholesterol is a pathogenic factor. PLoS One 2014;9:e113619.
- Huang R, Guo X, Chen X, et al. The serum lipid profiles of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis patients: a study from south-west China and a meta-analysis. Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal Degener 2015;16:359–365.
- Mandrioli J, Rosi E, Fini N, et al. Changes in routine laboratory tests and survival in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Neurol Sci 2017;38:2177–2182.
- Ahmed RM, Highton-Williamson E, Caga J, et al. Lipid metabolism and survival across the frontotemporal dementia-amyotrophic lateral sclerosis spectrum: relationships to eating behavior and cognition. J Alzheimers Dis 2018;61:773–783.
- Barros A, Dourado MET Jr, Pedrosa LFC, et al. Association of copper status with lipid profile and functional status in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. J Nutr Metab 2018;2018:5678698.
- Longinetti E, Regodon Wallin A, Samuelsson K, et al. The Swedish motor neuron disease quality registry. Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal Degener 2018;19: 528–537.
- Millan J, Pinto X, Munoz A, et al. Lipoprotein ratios: physiological significance and clinical usefulness in cardiovascular prevention. Vasc Health Risk Manag 2009;5: 757–765.
- Expert Panel on Detection Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults. Executive summary of the Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). JAMA 2001;285: 2486–2497.
- Walldius G, Jungner I, Holme I, et al. High apolipoprotein B, low apolipoprotein A-I, and improvement in the prediction of fatal myocardial infarction (AMORIS study): a prospective study. Lancet 2001;358:2026–2033.
- Hardiman O, Al-Chalabi A, Brayne C, et al. The changing picture of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: lessons from European registers. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2017;88:557–563.
- Gonzalez De Aguilar JL. Lipid biomarkers for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Front Neurol 2019;10:284.
- Elliott DA, Weickert CS, Garner B. Apolipoproteins in the brain: implications for neurological and psychiatric disorders. Clin Lipidol 2010;51:555–573.