### Marine baseline and monitoring strategies for Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage (CCS)

3 4

13

Jerry Blackford<sup>a\*</sup>, Jonathan M. Bull<sup>b</sup>, Melis Cevatoglu<sup>b</sup>, Douglas Connelly<sup>c</sup>, Chris Hauton<sup>b</sup>, Rachael H.

- James<sup>b</sup>, Anna Lichtschlag<sup>c</sup>, Henrik Stahl<sup>d</sup>, Steve Widdicombe<sup>a</sup>, Ian C. Wright<sup>c</sup>
- <sup>a</sup>Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Prospect Place, Plymouth, PL1 3DH, UK.
- <sup>b</sup>Ocean and Earth Science, University of Southampton, National Oceanography Centre Southampton,
   Southampton, SO14 3ZH, UK.
- <sup>c</sup>National Oceanography Centre, University of Southampton Waterfront Campus, Southampton SO14
   3ZH, UK.
- <sup>d</sup>Scottish Association for Marine Science, Oban, Argyll, PA37 1QA, UK.
- 14 \*Corresponding author. E: <u>icb@pml.ac.uk</u>; T: +44(0)1752 633468
- 1516 Abstract

17 The QICS controlled release experiment demonstrates that leaks of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) gas can be

- 18 detected by monitoring acoustic, geochemical and biological parameters within a given marine
- 19 system. However the natural complexity and variability of marine system responses to (artificial)
- 20 leakage strongly suggests that there are no absolute indicators of leakage or impact that can
- 21 unequivocally and universally be used for all potential future storage sites. We suggest a
- 22 multivariate, hierarchical approach to monitoring, escalating from anomaly detection to attribution,
- 23 quantification and then impact assessment, as required. Given the spatial heterogeneity of many
- 24 marine ecosystems it is essential that environmental monitoring programmes are supported by a
- 25 temporally (tidal, seasonal and annual) and spatially resolved baseline of data from which changes
- 26 can be accurately identified. In this paper we outline and discuss the options for monitoring
- 27 methodologies and identify the components of an appropriate baseline survey.
- 28

29 **Keywords:** Carbon dioxide capture and storage, monitoring, baselines, marine.

30

#### 31 Highlights

- Development of a marine monitoring system suitable for operational CCS is achievable.
- Monitoring should be hierarchical, starting with anomaly detection.
- Comprehensive baselines are required to support monitoring.
- 35
- 36
- 50
- 37
- 38
- 39

40	1. Introduction, the regulatory environment and other drivers for monitoring.				
41	Carbon dioxide capture and storage (CCS) in deep, sub-surface geological reservoirs has been				
42	proposed as a credible mitigation approach to climate change. The success of this mitigation				
43	approach, beyond the demonstration stage, depends on establishing that storage is long-term and				
44	integral for the vast majority of sequestered carbon dioxide, as well as environmentally safe.				
45	Regulations governing CCS vary internationally (see: <u>http://www.iea.org/ccsdatabase/</u> ). Taking				
46	European regulations as an example, the EU directive (European Union, 2009) states that:				
47					
48	" member states must ensure that the operator monitors the injection facilities, the storage				
49	complex and where appropriate the surrounding environment, for a number of specified				
50	purposes, including:				
51	1. comparison of actual and modelled behaviour of $CO_2$ and formation water;				
52	2. detecting significant irregularities, migration or leakage; and				
53	3. detecting significant adverse effects for the environment."				
54	and				
55	"Provisions are required concerning liability for damage to the local environment and the				
56	climate, resulting from any failure of permanent containment of CO <sub>2</sub> . Liability for				
57	environmental damage (damage to protected species and natural habitats, water and land)				
58	should be applied to the operation of storage sites Liability for climate damage as a				
59	result of leakages requires surrender of emissions trading allowances for any leaked				
60	emissions."				
61					
62	A secondary driver for monitoring, especially for proposed onshore storage, stems from public				
63	concern regarding human health and ecosystems. Public opposition to CCS has led to costly delays				
64	and cancellations of some onshore projects (Feenstra et al., 2010; Van Noorden, 2010; Monastersky,				
65	2013), whilst erroneous claims of leakage, (Beaubien et al., 2013), similarly generate adverse public				
66	responses. Scientifically credible and robust monitoring programmes at storage sites will provide				
67	public assurance and ensure an evidence based assessment of this greenhouse gas control strategy.				
68	Monitoring, whilst effectively detecting any leakage must minimise the incidence of false positives,				
69	ensuring that commercial operators are not subjected to regulatory sanction for leaking $\rm CO_2$ or				
70	causing 'impacts' that are, in reality, the consequence of natural changes.				
71					
72	The QICS (Quantifying and Monitoring Potential Ecosystem Impacts of Geological Carbon Storage)				
73	project used a novel controlled release of $CO_2$ into shallow subsea sediments, coupled with				

- 74 modelling approaches to examine the detectability of moderate amounts of "leaking"  $CO_2$  in
- rs sediments and water column, using a variety of methods (Blackford & Kita, 2013). Whilst we do not
- repeat the detailed results here (see Blackford et al. 2014 and other papers in this special issue), in
- summary CO<sub>2</sub> was detectable using acoustic, chemical and biological methods, but the signal was
- 78 spatially restricted, moderated by ambient conditions, and sometimes only visible above certain
- thresholds. In particular only 15% of injected CO<sub>2</sub> was detectable at the seafloor, the remainder
- 80 remains in the sediments as dissolved inorganic carbon, mineral phases or as a gas phase.
- 81

In this paper we use the insight gained during the QICS project (Blackford & Kita, 2013; Blackford et
al., 2014; Taylor et al., this issue;), other associated studies and previously published work to assess
and discuss the potential approaches to monitoring the marine environment at offshore storage
sites, beyond that previously discussed (IEAGHG, 2012; Shitashima et al, 2013). Further, we propose
a set of generic requirements for baseline surveys. Whilst we use the North Sea as a case study
region, our findings can be generally applied to the majority of marine sites proposed for CCS,
especially those on continental shelves with a water depth not exceeding ~300m.

- 89
- 90

#### 91 **2. The challenges for monitoring**

92 Comparatively little quantified data has been published describing possible leakage scenarios due to 93 high degrees of uncertainty, especially in predicting geological flow mechanisms and rates. Further, 94 whilst there are some analogues (in the form of reservoir blowouts and leakage events) from 95 offshore oil and gas exploration, there is no direct evidence of leakage from existing offshore storage 96 sites. Given this, risk assessments have tended to investigate a range of theoretical leakage 97 scenarios, starting from a minimum inconsequential leak up to a plausible maximum, providing that 98 operational or geological mechanisms of leakage can be invoked in each case. Leakage from transportation can be easily constrained as pipeline flow rates are known (e.g., ~3 kilotonnes per 99 100 day at the North Sea, Sleipner field), and it is assumed that such leaks could be operationally 101 controlled in a matter of hours to days. Leakage from storage is more speculative, with fluxes 102 estimated from <1 tonne per day, to 10-100 tonnes per day, to >1 kilotonne per day being associated with seepage, abandoned wells, geological discontinuities and catastrophic operational 103 104 failures respectively (IEA, 2008; Klusman, 2003).

105

The footprint of an active gas release for these scenarios at the sea floor may range from a point
source to focused flows characterised by radii of 10-500 metres, to elongated narrow fractures of

108 several hundreds of metres. It is generally agreed that the epicentre of leakage will be easily 109 detectable; however, successful monitoring may depend on the ability to distinguish diffuse low flux 110 leaks away from the epicentre of the leak. The experimental release, as well as CO<sub>2</sub> dispersion modelling of various scenarios (Dewar et al., 2013; Blackford et al., 2008; Blackford et al., 2013; 111 112 Phelps et al., this issue), have revealed that chemical detectability directly scales with leakage flux, 113 progressing from a few tens of metres radius in the case of one tonne per day to several kilometres 114 in the case of one kilotonne per day. However, as marine systems are naturally dynamic, physically, 115 chemically, and biologically, these perturbed signals will be increasingly difficult to recognise against 116 the background heterogeneity at increasing distance from the leakage epicentre. This heterogeneity 117 is manifest at many scales and is due to many processes (see section 3). Detectability is further compounded by the natural ocean circulation such that entrained CO<sub>2</sub> plumes would be highly 118 119 mobile (Blackford et al., 2013; Mori et al., this issue). This is especially the case for tidally influenced 120 coastal and shelf seas where the majority of global offshore storage is planned. Further, on cessation 121 of leakage, mixing in shelf seas is such that the detectable signal is advected away from the leakage 122 location and dispersed rapidly, reducing to below detection limits within hours for low level fluxes 123 and weeks for worst case scenarios (Blackford et al., 2008; Blackford et al., 2014; Phelps et al., this 124 issue).

125

126 Although  $CO_2$  is highly soluble in seawater, initial seepage of  $CO_2$  across the seafloor interface is 127 observed to be in a non-hydrated gas bubble phase in shallow shelf sea environments. Individual 128 bubble plumes cause small pockmarks (<25cm in radius), whilst concerted long term flow can cause 129 large pockmarks (>10m in radius) (Cathles et al., 2010). The QICS experiment, in very shallow water, 130 showed that only a relatively small proportion (<15%) of gas injected below the sea bed manifested 131 as bubble plumes at the sea floor, at least in the initial stages of leakage, and showed that bubble 132 size and rise height was highly sensitive to hydrostatic pressure (Blackford et al., 2014; Sellami et al., 133 this issue; Dewar et al., this issue). Modelling (Dewar et al., 2013) shows that bubble size and plume 134 dimensions reduce significantly at increasing water-depth. Consequently, direct leakage of dissolved 135 CO<sub>2</sub> from seafloor sediments into the water column cannot be ruled out and should be considered 136 by any monitoring strategy.

137

Primary monitoring of the robustness of geological storage will likely be based on time-lapse seismic monitoring of the deep geological storage complex, of the order of one kilometre or more below the sea floor, augmented by 'down-hole' sensors as appropriate. This will be used to monitor the dispersal and evolution of the CO<sub>2</sub> within the storage reservoir, and would detect large leakage

- 142 fluxes beyond the reservoir formation. However, deep seismic monitoring may not resolve smaller,
- 143 low flux leakage pathways through the cap rock and overburden, which would only be visible near or
- 144 at the sea floor. This suggests that monitoring at the seabed (or land surface) during and after
- 145 injection will be required for complete assurance. Hence, marine monitoring should be optimised to
- 146 detect the smaller range of leakage, well under 100 tonnes per day, either from storage or from non-
- 147 catastrophic transportation seeps.
- 148

#### 149 **3. Monitoring strategies**:

- 150 The manifestation of leakage can be multifaceted, e.g., by gas flow in dynamic bubble streams, 151 potential fluxes in a dissolved phase, numerous spatial distributions related to geological structures 152 or well-head infrastructure, with finite potential for geochemical mitigation (e.g., carbonate 153 buffering, Blackford et al., 2014; Lichtschlag et al., this issue) depending on sediment type. Further, it 154 has been shown that detectable leakage footprints could be relatively small compared with the area 155 requiring assessment (Dewar et al., 20013). Consequently a tiered and multivariate approach to 156 monitoring, comprising some combination of geophysical, acoustic, chemical and biological 157 observation is highly desirable. Given that natural background variability of sediment morphology, 158 marine acoustics, chemistry and biology, is significant, especially in shelf seas, each monitoring 159 approach will need to be supported by robust baseline surveys that characterise the spatial and 160 temporal dynamics of all relevant processes in the region. 161 A hierarchical approach to monitoring in which the initial stage aims to detect anomalies using a 162 163 minimum number of techniques across a wide area would be most cost effective. Only once an
- anomaly is detected are more detailed and resource intensive surveys required to confirm abnormal
- 165 levels of CO<sub>2</sub>, attribute the CO<sub>2</sub> to a source and subsequently if leakage is confirmed, quantify
- 166 leakage and assess impacts. The stages for monitoring could be summarised thus:
- 167
- For detection of anomalies, deep time-lapse seismic monitoring of the storage reservoir
   along with monitoring of reservoir pressure will need to be supported by seafloor based
   monitoring which may include passive acoustic and chemical monitoring, deployed on both
   site specific seafloor landers and Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (AUV) performing
   spatially resolving surveys.
- 173
- 174 II. For attribution, once an anomaly is detected, a full assay of carbonate chemistry (DIC, total
   175 alkalinity, pH, pCO<sub>2</sub>, calcium ion concentration) for dissolved phase and/or direct sampling of

- 176gas bubbles can confirm if CO2 is present. The carbon isotope composition of the CO2 plume177may help attribute the source of the CO2, alternatively consideration is being given to178tracers, both natural (Gilfillan et al., 2008) or added to the CO2 injection stream prior to179storage, that would uniquely identify the source. Otherwise detailed seismic imaging may be180used to identify a leakage pathway and consider shallow natural gas deposits as an181alternative source of the anomaly.
- 182

183 III. To quantify leakage, fluxes of dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> across the sediment-seawater interface can be 184 estimated using benthic chambers (Tengberg et al., 2004), but several would need to be 185 deployed to account for small scale heterogeneity. As sensor technologies improve, in situ measurements of carbonate system parameters combined with eddy correlation methods 186 187 could also be used to provide direct flux measurements, with improved spatial coverage (IEAGHG, 2012). Passive acoustic techniques also show great promise in quantifying bubble 188 189 streams (Blackford et al, 2014; Berges et al, submitted). Some direct sampling of gas by 190 remote vehicles may be necessary to verify estimates. The legal and economic consequences 191 of leakage suggest that quantification will require a high degree of accuracy, suggesting that 192 multiple methods may need to be combined to reduce uncertainty.

193

194IV.During and after confirmed leakage the **impact on the marine environment** and the195timescale of its recovery will need to be assessed. Measurements of biological impactors196such as pH or heavy metal mobilisation in sediment pore waters and the overlying water197column can indicate severity of impact. Community composition analysis and microbial198assays can be used directly to estimate the impact and recovery of the ecosystem. These199methods would require direct sampling of the sediment, possibly augmented by video200surveys for changes in faunal community structure.

201

#### 4. Techniques for monitoring CO<sub>2</sub> leakage in the marine environment and their baseline

203 requirements.

204

#### 205 4.1 Deployment options

Given the need to cover the area of the storage reservoir, as well as accounting for possible lateral migration of CO<sub>2</sub> into the storage complex and further lateral movement as CO<sub>2</sub> passes through the overburden (amounting to a footprint of potentially several hundreds of square kilometres in area), an unmanned system that can be deployed for long durations is necessary. A candidate vehicle for 210 primary marine monitoring is an AUV, (e.g. figure 1) which can be programmed to follow a predetermined survey pattern at high resolution with an ambition for deployment to last up to 6 211 212 months (Wynn et al., 2014). AUVs can house a range of sensors relevant to CCS leakage monitoring (e.g., chemical, acoustic, imaging) but there is a trade-off between sensor power load and survey 213 214 duration. Currently, passive sensing (e.g. chemical sensors and passive hydrophones) would allow 215 deployments in order of months, whereas active sensing (e.g., acoustic sonar imaging on either the 216 seafloor or sub-surface), would only allow deployments in the order of days. Real-time data 217 telemetry is improving, with the imminent use of un-manned surface vehicles as "data gateways" 218 with acoustic transmission from the AUV to the surface platform, and onward satellite telemetry 219 transmission to shore station, offshore platform, or ship. Alternatively both Gliders and Float based systems are already configured for long term deployment and are being tested for use in offshore 220 221 monitoring.

222



223

Figure 1, a) an example of an autonomous underwater vehicle system, with its associated power, navigationand sensor systems; b and c) examples of surface support unmanned vessels.

226

227 Other deployment options, for more detailed and site specific surveys, especially for relatively high

- risk leakage locations such as abandoned well bores, include seafloor lander based systems, ship
- 229 based benthic core sampling and other instrumentation, remotely operated vehicles, and if the
- 230 water is sufficiently shallow, diver sampling. All of these methods, whilst providing more
- technological capacity are resource intensive, limited in spatial and temporal range, and in the case
- 232 of landers, vulnerable to accidental trawling.
- 233

#### 234 **4.2** Active acoustic methods

235 Acoustic methods that use active sonar (e.g., seismic reflection for the sub-surface; multibeam sonar 236 for the water column and sea floor) are effective in detecting free gas in the surface sediments and 237 for imaging the migration of  $CO_2$  through those sediments to the sea floor (Cevatoglu et al., this 238 issue), and in the water column. Seismic reflection methods are particularly sensitive to gas 239 accumulation in the sub-surface as small increases in gas content lead to enhanced seismic 240 reflectivity (Best et al., 2004; Hovland and Judd, 1988; Petersen et al., 2010; Rajan et al., 2012; Zhang 241 et al., 2012; Cevatoglu et al., this issue) due to the large acoustic impedance contrast between gas-242 charged and non-gassy sediments. The presence of gas can also lead to characteristic acoustic 243 turbidity and poor penetration on high frequency seismic reflection profiles (Fleischer et al., 2001; 244 Cevatoglu et al., this issue).

245

Whilst active acoustic methods can efficiently survey a considerable area, there is uncertainty as to
whether relatively small scale features will be detected in the sub-surface, and methods for inverting
seismic reflection data to determine physical property information (e.g. gas concentration) are still
being developed. Nonetheless, water column acoustic techniques are effective at identifying small
pockmarks caused by individual bubble streams (Cevatoglu et al., this issue).

251

252 In terms of a baseline, sediments and sea floor systems in shelf seas can be spatially complex. Many 253 features that could be used to identify leakage are commonly present as functions of natural 254 phenomena. For example natural seabed fractures or pockmarks with or without gas release, 255 resulting from shallow sediment biogenic gas production, could readily be mistakenly interpreted as 256 evidence of storage leakage. Shallow gas (methane or hydrogen sulphide) is often naturally present 257 in shallow sediments, and its geophysical manifestation may vary seasonally (Wever et al., 1988). A 258 baseline survey is needed to broadly identify the seismic attributes of gassy sediments that may 259 already be present within a proposed storage site.

260

Given that the spatial extent of the possible leakage area can be predicted by geological modelling, a systematic, spatially complete survey of the storage region is recommended, recording fissures, pock marks and geological discontinuities predating storage. Whilst spatial coverage is paramount, the possibility for variability driven by season, weather, tidal variation or biological activity should not be discounted.

266

#### 267 4.3 Passive acoustic methods

Bubbles, when released from the sediments produce a sound whose pitch relates to the bubble size, and inversion of bubble streams recorded on calibrated hydrophones can be used to determine gas flux (Leifer & Tang, 2007; Leighton & White, 2012; Berges et al., this issue). If bubble streams exist, hydrophones may provide a detection distance significantly greater than achievable with chemical signals although it is currently not clear what the effective sensing distance is, given different bubble discharge rates through different seafloor substrate types.

274

275 However, shelf seas are acoustically complex domains containing both man-made noise (e.g. from 276 marine traffic, oil/gas platforms, or even active sound-based seal deterrents) and natural noise from 277 storms/waves and natural seeps (principally of methane), all potentially contributing to masking a 278 specific acoustic signal. Indeed AUV surveys create their own acoustic noise, which need to be 279 known when using them as a platform for passive hydrophone sensing. Furthermore the frequency 280 range generated by bubbles can be sizeable. Anomaly detection with passive acoustics may be less 281 impaired by background noise, however quantification of flow could be compromised by substantive 282 noise pollution. Generating a baseline imparts some challenges as these sound generators may be 283 fixed, mobile and/or intermittent and unpredictable. Thus, a sufficient baseline would require a 284 spatially and temporally detailed survey of marine noise, across the range of frequencies associated 285 with bubble streams.

286

#### 287 4.4 Geochemical methods

288  $CO_2$  dissolves rapidly in seawater forming an equilibrium between  $CO_2$ , carbonic acid, bicarbonate 289 ions and carbonate ions and hence acidifying the system. The total of the dissolved components are 290 referred to as dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC). Operational instrumentation that can measure 291 resulting acidity (pH) or the partial pressure of  $CO_2$  in seawater are readily available (e.g. 292 Atamanchuk et al this volume and references therein), although there are still some significant 293 challenges in calibration that require frequent assays against known standards (Dickson et al., 2007). 294 Further, the speciation of  $CO_2$  in seawater is very dependent on pressure, temperature and total 295 alkalinity (TA); TA being simplistically the capacity of the seawater to neutralise acid (Zeebe & Wolf-296 Gladrow, 2005). Highest accuracy can be obtained by measuring at least two of the measurable 297 quantities of the so-called carbonate system (pH, pCO<sub>2</sub>, DIC, TA) along with temperature, depth and 298 salinity. In complex shelf sea environments there is arguably a requirement to measure three of 299 these parameters to quantify the system (Artioli et al., 2012; Kim & Lee, 2009). The most 300 operationally achievable combination, pH and pCO<sub>2</sub>, unfortunately provide the lowest accuracy in 301 deriving the other components of the system, as necessary for quantification. Whilst automated

methodologies for DIC and TA are being developed (e.g. Rérolle et al., 2013), they are not yet fullyoperational.

304

305 The biggest challenge for a sufficient chemical baseline is to record the spatial and temporal 306 heterogeneity that characterises shelf seas driven by biological and physical processes such as 307 respiration, photosynthesis and nutrient supply (figure 2). Depending on size and geographical 308 location, spatial differences over an individual storage site may be limited, but large differences exist 309 along latitudinal and depth gradients with the largest discontinuity being the presence or absence of 310 seasonal stratification. Seasonal signals, whilst following a general pattern, vary between years both 311 in terms of magnitude and timing. Consequently, geochemical data must be collected at least weekly 312 and, at periods corresponding with intense biological activity, daily and even sub-hourly sampling 313 will be necessary to constrain variability completely.

- 314
- 315



Figure 2. Climatology of modelled pH ranges in the North Sea a) northern region which seasonally stratifies, b)
southern region which remains mixed throughout the year (see insets). Whilst any particular shelf sea region
will have a unique pH range and spatial heterogeneity, the causative processes are common and likely to result
in similar complexity.

321

322 Changes in DIC due to biological activities will often be associated with changes in oxygen and 323 physical effects associated with changes in temperature. Synchronous measurements of 324 temperature and oxygen (and possibly some other commonly recorded parameters) have the 325 potential to increase the accuracy of anomaly identification (Romanak et al., 2012; Atamanchuck et 326 al., this issue), although this has yet to be demonstrated for shelf sea environments. Hence, as part 327 of any baseline, covariance relationships between geochemical parameters, established as 328 deviations from these 'normal relationships', may be more powerful indicators than absolute 329 changes from the mean value of a single measurement, especially if detection is dependent on 330 recognising weak signals some distance from the release epi-centre.

331

332 Deep core sampling to assess carbonate content of the unconsolidated sediment layer across the

333 storage complex is an important additional consideration. As noted in the QICS experiment

334 (Blackford et al., 2014; Lichtschlag et al., this issue), the potential for carbonate buffering is

significant, and measurable chemical parameters can be dramatically affected as a result. Carbonate

content across North Sea seafloor sediments, for example, is variable (Pantin, 1991) and its

- 337 quantification would indicate the potential for buffering of leaked  $CO_2$  and pH signals (Tsukasaki et
- al., this issue), at least in the initial stages of a leak.
- 339

Although analyses of carbonate system parameters can be used to identify CO<sub>2</sub> leakage, they do not

- 341 provide any information about the source of the leak. If the stable isotopic composition of the
- leaked CO<sub>2</sub> is significantly different from background seawater (as in the QICS experiment,

Lichtschlag et al., this issue), this may be a useful source tracer. In practice, it may be possible for

- inert tracers to be added to sequestered CO<sub>2</sub> (e.g., Gislason et al., 2010 providing an alternative
- 345 target for chemical monitoring.

346

#### 347 **4.5 Biological methods**

348 Whilst biological monitoring is primarily useful in impact assessment, it may also provide detection

349 utility. For example in assessing ratios of sensitive to non-sensitive species, or observing unusual

350 behaviours, such as the presence on the sea floor of animals (e.g., burrowing sea-urchins) that are

normally buried within the sediments. There is also evidence that microbial populations can be

sensitive to additional CO<sub>2</sub> (Ishida et al., 2013; Tait et al., this issue). Metagenomic analysis of
 microbial communities, which can quantify the abundance of genes relevant to CO<sub>2</sub> fixation
 pathways may also have utility in monitoring, in particular for verification or impact assessment.
 (Håvelsrud et al., 2013; Tait et al., this issue).

356

357 The challenge for biological monitoring of environmental impact lies in the accurate discrimination 358 of human impacts from natural, and potentially long-term, environmental change. Within the 359 context of the North Sea system there is good evidence that the duration of a particular monitoring 360 programme, or the timescale of existing data, can have a powerful influence on the interpretation of 361 recorded changes. In the short term, changes between sites might be interpreted as either the result of anthropogenic impacts (Buchanan & Warwick, 1974) or environmental temperature anomalies 362 363 (Buchanan et al., 1978) that, when viewed in the long term, might be attributed to long term 364 climate-driven changes in the organic flux to the sea bed (Buchanan & Moore, 1986), or a complex 365 combination of changes in organic flux and the impacts of human activity (Frid et al., 1999). Benthic 366 monitoring programmes of potential CCS sites, and associated reference sites, should resolve the 367 long term signal and make use of existent data from similar sites in the region. Irrespective of the 368 overall timespan of available data, any biological baseline programme should consider different 369 temporal scales, employing a mix of quarterly, monthly and weekly repeat sampling during periods 370 of intense biological activity, in order to capture the full nature of variability within the natural 371 assemblage.

372

373 As argued (Underwood, 1994), it is insufficient to survey only one injection reservoir and one 374 reference site before and during any injection project, as advocated in a: ' Before, After, Control, 375 Impacted 'BACI' design' (Green, 1979). Natural clinal changes within an entire system (e.g. shelf sea 376 area) are inevitably not uniform and multiple reference sites are necessary to describe the mean 377 field change across that system. Asymmetrical monitoring programmes, with multiple 378 reference/control sites, are required to constrain the full variability within a system. Only by using 379 large scale and replicated surveys with multiple reference sites will a monitoring programme be able 380 to reliably discriminate anthropogenic pulse or press effects in the benthos that might result from 381 CCS reservoir failure.

382

In response to recent EC legislative drivers, particularly the Marine Strategy Framework Directive
 (MFSD), a variety of numerical indices (e.g. Borja et al., 2009; Somerfield et al., 2008), based on
 faunal identity, abundances and biomass have been developed. These indices are proposed to be

indicative of whether benthic communities are potentially compromised and in poor condition as a
 result of an anthropogenic stress (Rogers et al., 2008). Whilst the application of these indices could
 prove useful with respect to CCS, additional validation will be required using data from real or
 simulated leakage events. With growing understanding of the underlying physiological and
 ecological impacts of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on marine organisms, it is becoming possible to develop novel
 indices of sensitivity, specific to CCS leakage and test these indices against existing community
 response data from mesocosm experiments and field release studies.

For a fully comprehensive baseline against which to perform an impact assessment, it would be advisable to fully constrain the biological system of the potential CCS reservoir, enabling a robust statistical assessment of suspected change. This would require an initial mapping of the benthic habitats found within the area of interest followed by the characterisation of the mega-, macro- and potentially meio- and micro- biota within each habitat. This approach could require a mixture of acoustic and visual sea bed imagery supported with appropriate faunal sampling.

400

401 Regarding monitoring for leakage detection, rather than impact assessment, it will not be 402 economically feasible to conduct repeated physical sampling at multiple sites to the required level of 403 detail. Automated monitoring platforms such as AUVs have demonstrated their potential for such 404 large scale and long term repeat monitoring via collection of high definition still imagery. However, 405 the use of autonomous platforms for data collection is not without its challenges. Using existing 406 technologies a single AUV mission can generate of the order of 70000 still images of surficial macro-407 and megafauna. The complete biological interpretation of such a volume of raw data would 408 represent a very significant resource commitment; though the emerging development of automated 409 processing methodologies of such imagery will reduce cost.

410

#### 411 4.6 Remote sensing of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>

412 During the QICS project, which for practical reasons was situated in very shallow waters, CO<sub>2</sub> gas 413 bubbles reached the sea surface. The resulting increase in atmospheric  $CO_2$  could be clearly mapped 414 using sensors deployed just above the sea surface, and could potentially be detected remotely via LiDAR technologies with some efficiency. However, it is well established that due to the high 415 416 solubility of CO<sub>2</sub> in seawater, CO<sub>2</sub> bubbles, of the size generally predicted to be emitted from 417 sediments, would dissolve within a few metres of leaving the sea floor (Dewar et al., 2013). The 418 depth of water at sites ear-marked for CO<sub>2</sub> storage is certain to prevent free gas reaching the sea 419 surface, and with relatively fast hydrodynamic mixing via tidal circulation and storm events

- 420 spreading the dissolved plume, compared to the relatively slow equilibration across the air sea
- 421 interface, this technique is viewed as impracticable for most shelf sea storage sites.
- 422

#### 423 **5. Baseline observation strategy**,

424 Each property of the marine system relevant to monitoring varies on largely different temporal and 425 spatial scales. Although some biological events can be measured in days, the dominant biological 426 timescale is the seasonal evolution of communities. Chemical properties vary over the diurnal cycle 427 as well as seasonally. Ambient noise is often random, depending on shipping traffic, while sediment 428 physical properties may have little temporal variability. Inter-annual variability and decadal scale 429 trends need also be considered. Spatially there is metre scale patchiness associated with benthic 430 systems in terms of the biology, which would be beyond scope of CCS monitoring. Re-suspension, 431 deposition and sediment characteristics tend to vary on scales of 10's of kilometres, such that the 432 characterisation of sediments across a storage site is entirely tractable. Optimal spatial and temporal 433 criteria for baseline surveys relating to each category of monitoring approach are detailed in table 1. 434 The particular choice of approaches will have some site specificity. We suggest that passive acoustics 435 and geochemical methods will be the primary detection methodologies and therefore identify the 436 most pressing aspects of baseline generation.

437

Methodology	Variables	Temporal sampling interval	Spatial sampling scale	Notes
Active acoustics	Sea floor bathymetry, including pockmarks.	In shallow waters where the seafloor sediments are exposed to storm driven resuspension and biological sedimentation a seasonal discrimination, in the first instance. In deeper waters where sediments are disconnected from weather driven events an initial survey, followed by a repeat survey 1-2 years later.	The spatial extent of the storage reservoir in addition to allowing for lateral movement of migrating CO <sub>2</sub> .	Assists identification of existent natural seeps.
	Free gas in surface sediments.	An initial survey, followed by a repeat survey 1-2 years later.	-	Useful for attribution.
Passive	All noise at relevant frequencies.	Seasonal in addition to targeted short term deployments to assess event driven noise.	Targeted to known fixed installations or shipping routes.	Necessary for quantification, not essential for detection.
acoustics	Acoustics of existent natural gas seeps.	Seasonal and targeted short term deployments to account for intermittent gas flow.	Spatial extent of the storage reservoir as well as allowing for lateral movement of migrating $\rm CO_2$	Required for detection.
Geochemistry	Water column pH, pCO <sub>2</sub> , temperature, salinity, pressure. TA or DIC and O <sub>2</sub> if possible.	Hourly measurements for at least part of the seasonal cycle, corresponding with periods of biological or physical activity. Weekly for entire annual cycle. Repeated for at least one subsequent year to assess inter-annual variability and then on an approximately decadal repeat to assess longer term trends.	For high frequency data, if the storage site is large or includes significant changes in water depth or other hydrodynamic properties, at least a pair of landers deployed across the site. Spatial extent of the storage site via AUV deployment.	Required for detection.
	Isotope composition ratios: e.g. C <sup>13</sup> :C <sup>12</sup>	Occasional (not dynamic)	Occasional (not dynamic)	Addresses attribution
Biology	Community structure, indicator species and related indices.	Weekly during periods of intense biological activity, otherwise monthly. Repeated for at least one subsequent year to assess inter-annual variability and then on an approximately decadal repeat to assess longer term trends.	Significant differences in water depth and-or different sediment types within the complex would need separate characterisation. Multiple replicates are required for statistical certainty.	Principally for impact assessment.

Table 1. An overview of the spatial and temporal criteria for baseline data acquisition, for the proposed range of monitoring methodologies, that could be considered.

438

439 Given the resource limitations, a risk-based approach to monitoring efficacy, and the overall 440 economics of CCS deployment as a climate change mitigation strategy, the extent of monitoring 441 programmes and initial baseline surveys are inevitably limited. Even in well sampled regions such as 442 the North Sea there is a dearth of specific  $CO_2$ -related observations taken near the sea floor and at 443 the higher frequencies necessary to fully characterise physically and biologically induced 444 heterogeneity. To some extent regional modelling systems (e.g. Siddorn et al., 2007; Wakelin et al., 445 2012; Artioli et al., 2013), if they include the processes that impact the natural variability of  $CO_2$  can 446 provide interpolation between sparse chemical data. However the quality of such projections 447 depends on having sufficient data with which to evaluate any model system. As individual storage 448 sites are not independent of their wider environmental setting, in regions where multiple storage 449 operations are planned, a regionally conceived, potentially international baseline survey approach 450 could either save costs and/or improve baseline quality. The alternative – stand-alone baseline 451 surveys specific to each and every storage site will increase cost, although geophysical 452 characterisation of the storage complex must necessarily be site specific. Additionally it is likely that 453 a comprehensive baseline acquisition will provide a resource of wider benefit to the marine 454 community, beyond its utility for CCS, for example in support of the Marine Strategy Framework 455 Directive in Europe (de-Jonge et al., 2006).

456

457

458

#### 459 **6. Summary**

460 This paper offers some insights into the challenges facing an effective marine environment 461 monitoring system for geological carbon storage and suggests potential strategies that may address 462 these challenges. Primarily we suggest that monitoring needs to be multivariate, e.g. based on some 463 combination of physical, chemical, acoustic and biological observations but also hierarchical. Due to 464 the large areas that will require monitoring, a primary survey strategy to detect anomalies followed 465 by more in depth surveys to confirm, attribute and assess impact from potential leakage is likely to 466 be cost effective. For all monitoring approaches a detailed baseline is essential, otherwise the 467 potential for false positive and false negative signals is high, given the natural heterogeneity of the 468 marine system. The development of monitoring strategies and the acquisition of baseline data are 469 both urgent, should ambition to bring CCS on-stream by 2020 be met. 470

This paper is focussed on sub-sea geological storage in relatively shallow shelf environments with
water depths up to 300 m, sometimes significantly less. In deeper off shelf environments the phase

473 chemistry of CO<sub>2</sub> is affected by temperature and pressure such that hydrate covered droplets rather
474 than bubbles of CO<sub>2</sub> occur; in this case the physical, chemical, acoustic and biological responses
475 typical of shallow seas would be unlikely to fully translate to the deep-sea.

476

477 In this discussion we make no comment on the likelihood of leakage, save to note that good 478 monitoring practice will decrease the likelihood of events that significantly undermine storage or 479 damage the environment. Of the technological developments required for anomaly detection, 480 perhaps the most pressing is that of AUVs that can be deployed for long periods, along with sensors 481 robust enough to deliver reliable data for several months, efficient data pipelines and strategies 482 that can process and analyse these volumes of data efficiently and with low cost. As discussed, 483 instrumentation for anomaly detection should be optimised to detect the smaller range of leakage, 484 well under 100 tonnes per day, either from storage or from non-catastrophic transportation seeps. 485 486 Attribution is achievable via the application of tracer technology or by imaging leakage pathways 487 from the storage complex. Quantification will be challenging (IEAGHG, 2012), especially given the

legal and economic ramifications of leakage. It is unlikely that absolute quantification will be

achievable; however applying a variety of methods to obtain a best estimate should reduce errors,

490 as would repeat measurements across a range of environmental conditions. Impact assessment is

491 not trivial but is relatively more established in the context of other impactors such as trawling,

492 dumping and other pollution events. However, despite the outstanding challenges we suggest that

the development of monitoring systems and a sufficient baseline is achievable within the time

494 constraints required for effective mitigation of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and subsequent climate change.

#### 495 Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the funding provided by NERC for the QICS project under grant numbers:
NE/H013962/1, NE/H013881/1, NE/H013873/1 and NE/H013911/1 and the additional funding
provide by the Scottish Government. We also acknowledge the EU framework 7 grants ECO2 (project
number 265847) and RISCS (project number 240837). We thank Dr Lee de Mora for figure 2.

- number 265847) and RISCS (project number 240837). We thank Dr Lee de Mora for figure 2.
- 501 References
- 502
- Artioli, Y., Blackford, J. C., Butenschön, M., Holt, J. T., Wakelin, S. L., Allen, J. I., 2012. The carbonate
  system of the NW European shelf: sensitivity and model validation. Journal of Marine
  Systems, 102-104, 1–13.
- Atamanchuk D., Tengberg A., Aleynik D., Fietzek P., Shitashima, K., Lichtschlag, A., Hall, Per O.J., Stahl
   H. Detection of CO<sub>2</sub> leakage from a simulated sub-seabed storage site using three different
   types of pCO<sub>2</sub> sensors. IJGGC submitted (this volume).
- Beaubien S.E., Jones D.G., Gal F., Barkwith A.K.A.P., Braibant G., Baubron J.-C., Ciotoli G., Graziani S.,
   Lister T.R., Lombardi S., Michel K., Quattrocchi F., Strutt M.H., 2013. Monitoring of near surface gas geochemistry at the Weyburn, Canada, CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR site, 2001–2011. International
   Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 16S, S236–S262.
- 513 Berges, B.J.P., Leighton, T.G., White, P.R. Passive acoustic quantification of gas fluxes during 514 controlled gas release experiments. IJGGC submitted (this volume).
- Best, A.I., Tuffin, M.D.J., Dix, J.K., Bull, J.M., 2004. Tidal height and frequency dependence of acoustic
  velocity and attenuation in shallow gassy marine sediments. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 109 (B8).
- Blackford, J.C., Jones, N., Proctor, R. Holt, J., 2008. Regional scale impacts of distinct CO₂ additions in
   the North Sea, Marine pollution bulletin, vol. 56, 1461-1468.
- Blackford, J.C., Torres R., Cazanave P., Artioli Y., 2013. Modelling Dispersion of CO<sub>2</sub> Plumes in Sea
   Water as an Aid to Monitoring and Understanding Ecological Impact. *Energy Procedia*, 37,
   3379-3386.
- Blackford, J.C., Kita J., 2013. A Novel Experimental Release of CO<sub>2</sub> in the Marine Environment to Aid
   Monitoring and Impact Assessment *Energy Procedia*, *37*, *3387-3393*.
- Blackford J., Stahl H., Bull J.M., Bergès B.J.P., Cevatoglu M., Lichtschlag A., Connelly D., James R.H.,
  Kita J., Long D., Naylor M., Shitashima K., Smith D., Taylor P., Wright I., Akhurst M., Chen B.,
  Gernon T.M., Hauton C., Hayashi M., Kaieda H., Leighton T.G., Sato T., Sayer M.D.J.,
  Suzumura M., Tait K., Vardy M.E., White P.R., Widdicombe S., 2014. Detection and impacts
  of leakage from sub-seafloor Carbon Dioxide Storage, Nature Climate Change,
  10.1038/nclimate2381.
- Borja A., Rodriguez J.G., Black K., Bodoy A., Emblow C., Fernandes T.F., Forte J., Karakassis I., Muxika
  I., Nickell T.D., Papageorgiou N., Pranovi F., Sevastou K., Tomassetti P., Angel D., 2009.
  Assessing the suitability of a range of benthic indices in the evaluation of environmental
  impact of fin and shellfish aquaculture located in sites across Europe. Aquaculture 293: 231240.
- Buchanan, J. B., Sheader, M., Kingston, P. F., 1978. Sources of variability in the benthic macrofauna
  off the south Northumberland coast. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the UK
  58: 191-209.
- Buchanan, J. B., Moore, J. J., 1986. A broad review of variability and persistence in the
  Northumberland benthic fauna: 1971–85. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the
  UK 66: 641-657.

## Buchanan, J. B., Warwick, R. M., 1974. An estimate of benthic macrofaunal production in the offshore mud of the Northumberland coast. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the UK 54: 197-222.

- 545 Cathles L.M., Su Z., Chen D., 2010. The physics of gas chimney and pockmark formation, with
   546 implications for assessment of seafloor hazards and gas sequestration. Marine and
   547 Petroleum Geology 27, 82–91.
- 548 Cevatoglu, M., Bull, J.M., Vardy, M.E., Gernon, T.M., and Wright, I.C., and Long, D., submitted. Gas
   549 migration pathways, controlling mechanisms and changes in sediment physical properties
   550 observed in a controlled sub-seabed CO<sub>2</sub> release experiment. IJGGC submitted (this volume).
- Dewar, M., Wei, W., McNeil, D., Chen, B., 2013. Small scale modelling of the physiochemical impacts
   of CO<sub>2</sub> leaked from sub-seabed reservoirs or pipelines within the North Sea and surrounding
   waters. *Mar Prod Bull*, 73, 504-515.
- Dewar M., Sellami N., Chen B.. Dynamics of rising CO<sub>2</sub> bubbles in the QICS field experiment, Part 2 –
   Modelling. IJGGC submitted (this volume).
- Dickson, A.G., Sabine, C.L., Christian, J.R., 2007. Guide to Best Practices for Ocean CO<sub>2</sub>
   Measurements Special Publication 3. PICES, p. 191.
- European Union, 2009. Directive 2009/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23
  April 2009 on the geological storage of carbon dioxide and amending Council Directive
  85/337/EEC, European Parliament and Council Directives 2000/60/EC, 2001/80/EC,
  2004/35/EC, 2006/12/EC, 2008/1/EC and Regulation (EC) No 1013/2006 (EU CO<sub>2</sub> Storage

562 Directive).

- Feenstra, C.F.J., Mikunda T., and Brunsting S., 2010. What happened in Barendrecht? Case study on
  the planned onshore carbon dioxide storage in Barendrecht, the Netherlands. ECN and
  Global CCS Institute. http://www.globalccsinstitute.com/publications/what-happenedbarendrecht.
- Fleischer, P., Orsi T. H., Richardson M. D., Anderson A. L., 2001. Distribution of free gas in marine
   sediments: A global overview, *Geo Mar. Lett.*, 21, 103–122.
- Frid, C.L.J., Clark, R.A., Hall, J.A., 1999. Long-term changes in the benthos on a heavily fished ground
   off the NE coast of England. Marine Ecology Progress Series 188: 13-20
- Gilfillan, S.M.V., Wilkinson, M., Haszeldine, R.S., Shipton, Z., Nelson, S.T. and Poreda, R.J., 2011. He
   and Ne as tracers of natural CO<sub>2</sub> migration up a fault from a deep reservoir, *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, 5, no. 6, p. 1507-1516.
- Gislason, S.R., Wolff-Boenisch, D., Stefansson, A., Oelkers, E.H., Gunnlaugsson, E., Sigurardottir, H.,
  Sigfusson, B., Broecker, W.S., Matter, J.M., Stute, M., Axelsson, G. & Fridriksson, T. 2010.
  Mineral sequestration of carbon dioxide in basalt: A pre-injection overview of the CarbFix
  project. Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control 4(3), 537-545.
- Green, R. H., 1979. Sampling design and statistical methods for environmental biologists. Wiley
   Interscience, Chichester, England.
- Håvelsrud O.E., Haverkamp T.H.A., Kristensen T., Jakobsen K.S., and Rike A.G., 2013. Metagenomics
   in CO<sub>2</sub> monitoring. Energy Procedia 37, 4215 4233.
- Hovland, M., and Judd, A.G., 1988. Seabed pockmarks and seepages: impact on geology, biology and
   the marine environment, 293, pp, Graham and Trotman, London.
- 584 IEAGHG, "Quantification Techniques For CO<sub>2</sub> Leakage", 2012/02, January 2012.
- IEA Greenhouse Gas R&D programme (IEA GHG) Assessment of Sub-sea ecosystem impacts, 2008/8,
   August 2008.
- Ishida, H., Golmen L., West J., Krüger M., Coombs P., Berge J., Magi M. Kita J., 2013. The Benthic
   Chamber experiment in Storfjorden (Norway) 2005 effects of CO<sub>2</sub> on microbes,
   nanobenthos and meiofauna. Mar Poll Bull. 2013.
- Jonge V.N. de-, Elliott, M., Brauer V.S., 2006. Marine monitoring: Its shortcomings and mismatch
   with the EU Water Framework Directive's objectives, Marine Pollution Bulletin, 53, 5-19.
- Kim, H.-C., Lee, K., 2009. Significant contribution of dissolved organic matter to seawater alkalinity.
   Geophys. Res. Lett. 36, L20603.

- Klusman, R.W., 2003. A geochemical perspective and assessment of leakage potential for a mature
   carbon dioxide-enhanced oil recovery project and as a prototype for carbon dioxide
   sequestration; Rangely field, Colorado. AAPG Bulletin 87, 1485–1507.
- Leifer, I., Tang, D., 2007. The acoustic signature of marine seep bubbles. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 121,
   EL35–EL40.
- Leighton, T.G. and White, P.R., 2012. Quantification of undersea gas leaks from carbon capture and
   storage facilities, from pipelines and from methane seeps, by their acoustic emissions,
   Proceedings of the Royal Society A, 468, 485-510.
- Lichtschlag A., James R.H., Stahl H., Connelly D. Effect of a controlled sub-seabed release of CO<sub>2</sub> on
   the biogeochemistry of shallow marine sediments, their pore waters, and the overlying
   water column. IJGGC submitted (this volume).
- 605 Monastersky, R., 2013. Seabed scars raise questions over carbon-storage plan. *Nature* 504, 339–340.
- Pantin, H M., 1991. The sea-bed sediments around the United Kingdom: their bathymetric and
   physical environment: grain size, mineral composition and associated bedforms. *British Geological Survey Research Report*, SB/90/1.
- Petersen, C.J., Bünz, S., Hustoft, S., Mienert, J., Klaeschen, D., 2010. High-resolution P-Cable 3D
   seismic imaging of gas chimney structures in gas hydrated sediments of an Arctic sediment
   drift. Marine and Petroleum Geology 27, 1981-1994.
- Phelps J.J.C., Blackford J.C., Holt J.T., Polton J.A. Modelling Large-Scale CO<sub>2</sub> Leakages in the North
   Sea. IJGGC submitted (this volume).
- Rajan, A., Mienert, J., Bünz, S., 2012. Acoustic evidence for a gas migration and release system in
   Arctic glaciated continental margins offshore NW-Svalbard. Marine and Petroleum Geology
   32, 36-49.
- 617 Rérolle, V.M.C.; Floquet, C.F.A.; Harris, A.J.K.; Mowlem, M.C.; Bellerby, R.R.G.J.; Achterberg, E.P.,
  618 2013. Development of a colorimetric microfluidic pH sensor for autonomous seawater
  619 measurements. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 786. 124-131.
- Rogers S.I., Somerfield P.J., Schratzberger M., Warwick R., Maxwell T.A.D., Ellis J.R., 2008. Sampling
   strategies to evaluate the status of offshore soft sediment assemblages. Marine Pollution
   Bulletin, 56, 880-894.
- Romanak, K.D., Bennett, P.C., Yang, C.B., Horvorka, S.D., 2012. Process-based approach to CO<sub>2</sub>
  leakage detection by vadose zone gas monitoring at geologic CO<sub>2</sub> storage sites. Geophys.
  Res. Lett. 39, L15405.
- Sellami N., Dewar M., Stahl H., Chen B. Dynamic of rising CO<sub>2</sub> bubbles from the QICS project in
   Ardmucknish bay, Part 1 Experimental Data Analysis. IJGGC submitted (this volume).
- Shitashima, K., Maeda, Y., Ohsuki, T., 2013. Development of detection and monitoring techniques of
   CO<sub>2</sub> leakage from seafloor in sub-seabed CO<sub>2</sub> storage. Appl. Geochem. 30, 114-124.
- Siddorn, J.R., Allen, J.I., Blackford, J.C., Gilbert, F.J., Holt, J.T., Holt, M.W., Osborne, J.P., Proctor, R.,
   Mills, D.K., 2007, Modelling the hydrodynamics and ecosystem of the North-West European
   continental shelf for operational oceanography, Journal of Marine Systems, 65, 417-429.
- Somerfield P.J., Clarke K.R., Warwick R.M., Dulvy N.K., 2008. Average functional distinctness as a
   measure of the composition of assemblages. ICES Journal of Marine Science 65, 1462-1468.
- Tait K., Stahl H., Taylor P., Widdicombe S. Rapid response of the active microbial community to CO<sub>2</sub>
   exposure from a controlled sub-seabed CO<sub>2</sub> leak in Ardmucknish Bay, Oban, Scotland. IJGGC
   submitted (this volume).

# Taylor P., Stahl H., Blackford J., Vardy M.E., Bull J.M., Akhurst M., Hauton C., James R., Lichtschlag A., Long D., Montgomery J., Aleynik D., Toberman M., Naylor M., Connelly D., Smith D., Sayer M.D.J., Widdicombe S., Wright I.C. . Introduction to a novel in situ sub-seabed CO<sub>2</sub> release experiment for quantifying and monitoring potential ecosystem impacts from geological carbon storage. IJGGC submitted (this volume).

- Tengberg A., Stahl H., Gust G., Müller V., Arning U., Andersson H., Hall P.O.J., 2004. Intercalibration
   of benthic flux chambers I. Accuracy of flux measurements and influence of chamber
   hydrodynamics. Progress in Oceanography 60, 1–28.
- Tsukasaki A., Suzumura M., Lichtschlag A., Stahl H. and James R.H. Phosphorus behaviour in
   sediments during a controlled sub-seabed CO<sub>2</sub> release experiment. IJGGC submitted (this
   volume).
- 649 Underwood, A.J., 1994. On Beyond BACI: Sampling Designs that Might Reliably Detect Environmental
   650 Disturbances. Ecological Applications, 4, 3-15.
- Van Noorden, R., 2010. Carbon sequestration: Buried trouble *Nature* 463, 871-873.
- Wakelin, S. L., Holt, J. T., Blackford, J. C., Allen, J. I., Butenschön, M., Artioli, Y., 2012. Modelling the
   carbon fluxes of the northwest European continental shelf: validation and budgets. Journal
   of Geophysical Research, 117, C05020.
- 655 Wever, T.F., Abegg, F., Fielder, H.M, Fechner, G., and Stender, I.H., 1998. Shallow gas in the marine 656 sediments of Eckernforde Bay, Germany, Continental Shelf Research, 18, 1715-1740.
- Wynn, R.B., Huvenne, V.A.I., Le Bas, T.P., Murton, B.J., Connelly, D.P., Bett, B.J., Ruhl, H.A., Morris,
  K.J., Peakall, J., Parsons, D.R., Sumner, E.J., Darby, S.E., Dorrell, R.M., Hunt, J.E.,2014.
  Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (AUVs): their past, present and future contributions to
  the advancement of marine geoscience. Mar. Geol., 352, 451-468.
- Zeebe, R.E., Wolf-Gradow, D., 2001. CO<sub>2</sub> in Seawater: Equilibrium, Kinetics, Isotopes. Elsevier Science
   Publishers.
- Zhang, Z., McConnell, D.R., Han, D.-H., 2012. Rock physics-based seismic trace analysis of
  unconsolidated sediments containing gas hydrate and free gas in Green Canyon 955,
  Northern Gulf of Mexico. Marine and Petroleum Geology 34, 119-133.

666 667

668