



Conference or Workshop Item

Beresford, Nicholas A.; Vives i Batlle, Jordi; Beaugelin-Seiller, Karine; Johansen, Mathew P.; Goulet, Richard; Wood, Michael D.; Ruedig, Elizabeth; Stark, Karolina; Bradshaw, Clare; Andersson, Pal; Copplestone, David; Vandenhove, Hildegarde; Yankovich, Tamara L.; Fesenko, Sergey. 2014. Modelling the exposure of wildlife to radiation: key findings and activities of IAEA working groups. [Extented abstract] In: 3rd International Conference on Radioecology and Environmental Radioactivity, Barcelona, 7-12 Sept 2014.

This version available at http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/508398

NERC has developed NORA to enable users to access research outputs wholly or partially funded by NERC. Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at

http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access

Contact CEH NORA team at noraceh@ceh.ac.uk

The NERC and CEH trademarks and logos ('the Trademarks') are registered trademarks of NERC in the UK and other countries, and may not be used without the prior written consent of the Trademark owner.

Modelling the exposure of wildlife to radiation: key findings and activities of IAEA working groups.

Nicholas A. Beresford^{1,6}, Jordi Vives i Batlle², Karine Beaugelin-Seiller³, Mathew P. Johansen⁴, Richard Goulet⁵, Michael D. Wood⁶, Elizabeth Ruedig⁷, Karolina Stark⁸, Clare Bradshaw⁸, Pål Andersson⁹, David Copplestone¹⁰, Hildegarde Vandenhove², Tamara L. Yankovich¹¹ and Sergey Fesenko¹¹

¹NERC Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, LancasterEnvironment Center, Library Av., Bailrigg, Lancaster, LA1 4AP, UK;²Belgian Nuclear Research Centre, Belgian Nuclear Research Centre, Boeretang 200, 2400 Mol, Belgium; ³Institut de Radioprotection et de Sûreté Nucléaire (IRSN), PRP-ENV, SERIS, LM2E, Cadarache, France; ⁴ANSTO Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation, New Illawarra Rd, Menai, NSW, Australia; ⁵Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission, Environmental Risk Assessment Division, 280 Slater, Ottawa, Canada, K1A0H3; ⁶School of Environment & Life Sciences, University of Salford, Manchester, M4 4WT, UK; ⁷Department of Environmental and Radiological Health Sciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, USA; ⁸Department of Ecology, Environment and Plant Sciences, Stockholm University, SE-10691, Sweden; ⁹Swedish Radiation Safety Authority, SE-171 16, Stockholm, Sweden; ¹⁰Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling, FK9 4LA, UK; ¹¹International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna International Centre, 1400, Vienna, Austria.

INTRODUCTION

The International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) established the *Biota Working Group* (BWG) as part of its *Environmental Modelling for Radiation Safety* (EMRAS) programme in 2004 (http://www-ns.iaea.org/projects/emras/emras-biota-wg.htm). At that time both the IAEA and the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) were addressing environmental protection (i.e. protection of non-human biota or wildlife) within the on-going revisions to the Basic Safety Standards and Recommendations respectively. Furthermore, some countries (e.g. the USA, UK) were already conducting assessments in accordance with national guidelines. Consequently, a number of assessment frameworks/models had been or were being developed. The BWG was established recognising these developments and the need to improve Member State's capabilities with respect to protection of the environment from ionizing radiation. The work of the BWG was continued within the IAEA's EMRAS II programme by the Biota Modelling Group (http://www-

ns.iaea.org/projects/emras2/working-groups/working-group-four.asp).

The objective of both groups was: "To improve Member State's capabilities for protection of the environment by comparing and validating models being used, or developed, for biota dose assessment (that may be used) as part of the regulatory process of licensing and compliance monitoring of authorised releases of radionuclides". Here we summarise the main finding of these two working groups and outline the ongoing activities of their successor under the IAEAs Modelling and Data for Radiological Impact Assessments (MODARIA; http://www-ns.iaea.org/projects/modaria/default.asp?l=116) programme. Approaching 100 scientists from >20 IAEA member States have participated in the activities of the WGs using a range of

assessment models/approaches. The complete list of refereed publications is listed at the end of this abstract.

MAIN FINDINGS

- 1. Through model-model and model-data intercomparisons we established that:
- Assuming unitary activity concentrations in environmental media and organisms, the approaches being used generally estimate comparable dose rates even though different assumptions are made in their parameterisation. Where differences in predicted outputs occur, these can generally be easily understood (e.g. different soil density assumption) or would have a minimal impact on an assessment (e.g. differences in the approach to estimating external dose rates from ³H and ¹⁴C due to their low contribution to dose). The assumed mass/size of an organism had little influence on the estimated dose rate except for very small organisms (e.g. fish eggs) and relatively high-energy (beta or gamma) radionuclide emissions.
- The estimation of organism activity concentration can be highly variable (often three or more orders of magnitude) between models, most especially for poorly-studied organisms.
- Predictions of simple concentration ratio (CR) based approaches and more complex foodchain models under equilibrium conditions were generally comparable. However, parameterisation of the diet for food-chain models could lead to potentially large uncertainty.
- Decisions on the how to include decay products can greatly influence an assessment outcome.
- 2. Homogenous distribution of radionuclides in the environment is generally assumed in assessment models. However, radionuclide activity concentrations in the environment vary vertically within soil and sediment profiles. After assessing the effect of heterogonous radionuclide distribution in sediment profiles we concluded that, in the case of initial screening tier assessments, conservatism is likely to be preserved by assuming a homogeneously contaminated volume and inputting the maximal activity concentration available for any layer.
- 3. The freely available assessment models/approaches consider a limited number of organisms-exposure scenarios. However, by understanding the main factors influencing the dose calculation and thinking 'outside the box' it is possible for additional exposure scenarios to be modelled (e.g. scenarios have called for amongst other things: a tree dwelling reptile to be assessed some participants achieved this by modelling it as a flying bird geometry; trees growing over waste trenches to be assessed some participants achieved this by modelling it as a flying bird geometry; trees growing over waste trenches to be assessed some participants achieved this by modelling the direct exposure to roots (by creating representative geometries) within the trenches as well as the exposure to the above-ground biomass).

ON-GOING ACTIVITIES

The work of the group continues within the framework of the IAEAs MODARIA programme, which was initiated in 2012 and will conclude November 2015. The work plan of the

MODARIA working group has largely been defined by the findings and recommendations of the previous EMRAS programmes. On-going activities of the working group include:

- The development of a database of biological half-life values for application in wildlife dose assessments (the database currently contains >1000 entries and the compilation will be made freely available).
- A comparison of dynamic models to predict radionuclide activity concentrations in, and dose rates to, marine organisms. This exercise used modelled seawater and sediment activity concentrations for the coastal environment near the Fukushima Dai-ichi nuclear complex supplied by the MODARIA aquatic modelling working group (<u>http://www-ns.iaea.org/projects/modaria/default.asp?l=116#3</u>).
- In the area of dosimetry, the group is comparing dose rates estimated assuming simple ellipsoid geometries (the standard approach in wildlife assessment) with those generated by realistic geometry simulations (i.e. voxel phantoms). Our aim is to determine if the simple assumptions made in models used for regulatory purposes are fit for purpose. The effect of soil moisture on external dose rate is also being evaluated.
- An evaluation to assess if current simplistic assessment approaches conservatively account for spatial heterogeneity (of radionuclide contamination, habitat etc.) in the environment.
- Guidance on conducting assessments based upon the experience of the group during the three IAEA programmes is being prepared.

Getting involved

The group welcomes the involvement of interested participants from IAEA Member States. To register on our emailing list see <u>http://bit.ly/1zdu38Z</u>. Current members cover a range of expertise, from model developers through to those wanting to learn about developments in this field, and we actively encourage participation by young scientists (including PhD. students).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank all those scientists who participated in the EMRAS I and II programmes and who are currently active in our MODARIA WG.

REFEREED PUBLICATIONS BY THE IAEA WILDLIFE MODELLING GROUPS

Beaugelin-Seiller, K., in-press. Heterogeneous vs. homogeneous assumption of radioactive contamination in soil/sediment: does it matter in terms of external exposure of fauna? J. Environ. Radioactivity.

Beresford, N.A., M. Balonov, J. Brown D. Copplestone, J.L. Hingston, J. Horyna, A. Hosseini, B.J. Howard, S. Kamboj T. Nedveckaite, G. Olyslaegers, T. Sazykina, J. Vives i Batlle, T.L. Yankovich and C. Yu, 2008. An international comparison of models and approaches for the estimation of the radiological exposure of non-human biota. Appl. Radiat. Isotopes, 66: 1745-1749. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apradiso.2008.04.009</u>

Beresford, N.A., C.L. Barnett, J. Brown, J-J. Cheng, D. Copplestone, V. Filistovic, A. Hosseini, B.J. Howard, S.R. Jones, S. Kamboj, A. Kryshev, T. Nedveckaite, G. Olyslaegers, R. Saxén, T. Sazykina, J.Vives i Batlle, S. Vives-Lynch and Yankovich, T. and Yu, C. 2008. Inter-comparison of models to estimate radionuclide activity

concentrations in non-human biota. Radiat. Environ. Biophysics, 47: 491–514. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00411-008-0186-8</u>

Beresford, N.A., C.L. Barnett, K. Beaugelin-Seiller, J.E. Brown, J-J. Cheng, D. Copplestone, S. Gaschak, J.L. Hingston, J. Horyna, A. Hosseini, B.J. Howard S. Kamboj, A. Kryshev, T. Nedveckaite, G. Olyslaegers, T. Sazykina, J.T. Smith, D. Telleria, J. Vives i Batlle, T.L. Yankovich, R. Heling, M.D. Wood and C. Yu, 2009. Findings and recommendations from an international comparison of models and approaches for the estimation of radiological exposure to non-human biota. Radioprotection, 44: 565–570.http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/radiopro/20095104

Beresford, N.A., C.L. Barnett, J.E. Brown, J-J. Cheng, D. Copplestone, S. Gaschak, A. Hosseini, B.J. Howard, S. Kamboj, T. Nedveckaite, G. Olyslaegers, J.T. Smith, J. Vives i Batlle, S. Vives-Lynch and C. Yu, 2010. Predicting the radiation exposure of terrestrial wildlife in the Chernobyl exclusion zone: an international comparison of approaches. J. Radiol. Protection, 30: 341-373. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0952-4746/30/2/S07</u>

Beresford, N.A. and M.D. Wood, Submitted. A new simplified allometric approach for predicting the biological half-life of radionuclides in reptiles. J. Environ. Radioactivity.

Johansen, M.P., C.L. Barnett, N.A. Beresford , J.E. Brown, M. Cerne, B.J. Howard, S. Kamboj, S.,D-K. Keum, B. Smodiš, J.R. Twining, H. Vandenhove, J. Vives i Batlle, M.D. Wood and C Yu, 2012. Assessing doses to terrestrial wildlife at a radioactive waste disposal site: inter-comparison of modelling approaches. Sci. Tot. Environmental, 427-428, 238-246. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.04.031

Johansen, M.P., E. Ruedig, K. Tagami, N.A. Beresford, S. Uchida and K. Higley, Submitted. Radiological dose rates to marine fish from the Fukushima accident: the first three years across the North Pacific. Environ. Sci. Technology.

Ruedig, E., M.E. Gomez Fernandez, K. Higley and N.A. Beresford, Submitted. A comparison of the ellipsoidal and voxelized dosimetric methodologies for internal, heterogeneous radionuclide sources. Radiat. Environ. Biophysics.

Stark K., P. Andersson, N.A. Beresford, T.L. Yankovich, M. Wood, M.P. Johansen, J. Vives i Batlle, J. Twining, D-K, Keum, A. Bollhöfer, C. Doering, B. Ryan, M. Grzechnik and H. Vandenhove, Submitted. Predicting exposure of wildlife in radionuclide contaminated wetland ecosystems. Environ. Pollution.

Vives i Batlle, J., M. Balonov, K. Beaugelin-Seiller, N.A. Beresford, J. Brown, J-J. Cheng, D. Copplestone, M. Doi, V. Filistovic, V. Golikov, V., J. Horyna, A. Hosseini, B.J. Howard, S.R. Jones, S. Kamboj, A. Kryshev, T. Nedveckaite, G. Olyslaegers, G. Pröhl, T. Sazykina, A. Ulanovsky, S. Vives Lynch, T. Yankovich and C. Yu, 2007. Inter-comparison of absorbed dose rates for non-human biota. Radiat. Environ. Biophysics, 46: 349-373. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00411-007-0124-1

Vives i Batlle, J., K. Beaugelin-Seiller, N.A. Beresford, D. Copplestone, J. Horyna, A. Hosseini, M. Johansen, S. Kamboj, D-K. Keum, N. Kurosawa, L. Newsome, G. Olyslaegers, H. Vandenhove, S. Ryufuku, S. Vives Lynch, M.D. Wood and C. Yu, 2011. The estimation of absorbed dose rates for non-human biota: an extended intercomparison. Radiat. Environ. Biophysics, 50: 231–251. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00411-010-0346-5</u>

Yankovich, T.L., J. Vives i Batlle, S. Vives-Lynch, N.A. Beresford, C.L. Barnett, K. Beaugelin-Seiller, J.E. Brown, J-J. Cheng, D. Copplestone, R. Heling, A. Hosseini, B.J. Howard, S. Kamboj. A.I. Kryshev, T. Nedveckaite, J.T. Smith and M.D. Wood, 2010. An International model validation exercise on radionuclide transfer and doses to freshwater biota. J. Radiol. Protection, 30: 299-340. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0952-4746/30/2/S06</u>