A Comparative Study of the Antioxidant Profiles of Olive Fruit and Leaf		
Extracts against Five Reactive Oxygen Species as Measured with a		
Multiple Free-Radical Scavenging Method		
Yoshimi Sueishi and Risako Nii		
JFDS-000-000 Submitted 12/00/2019, Accepted 00/00/00. Authors Dept. of Chemistry,		
Faculty of Science, Okayama Univ., 3-1-1 Tsushima-naka, Kita-ku, Okayama 700-8530,		
Japan. Direct inquiries to authors Sueishi (E-mail: ysueishi@okayama-u.ac.jp).		
Running Head: Antioxidant Profiles of Olives		

19 Abstract: Olive fruits and leaves are recognized to have great potential as natural sources 20 of antioxidants. The major phenolic antioxidant component in these plant tissues is oleuropein. 21 The antioxidant activity of olive fruits and leaves was evaluated in this study using multiple 22 free-radical scavenging (MULTIS) methods, wherein we determined the scavenging abilities 23 of different extracts against five reactive oxygen species (ROS: HO[•], O^{2[•]}, RO[•], *t*-BuOO[•], and 24 ¹O₂). Raw olive fruits taste bitter and are inedible without undergoing a debittering treatment. 25 Following the NaOH-debittering process, the radical scavenging activity of olives decreased by 90%. The MULTIS measurements indicated that oleuropein and hydroxytyrosol are 26 27 responsible for the radical scavenging activity of olive fruits. Furthermore, we evaluated the 28 radical scavenging profiles of olive leaf extracts against five ROS and found significant 29 seasonal variations in their antioxidant activities. Leaves picked in August possessed greater 30 radical scavenging abilities (180% to 410% for different ROS) than those picked in the cold season (December and February). In roasted olive leaves, we found marked increases (230% 31 32 to 300% and 180% to 220%) in the antioxidant activities of Maillard reaction products against 33 RO[•] and *t*-BuOO[•], respectively. This study presented a useful comparative analysis of the antioxidant capacities of food against various types of ROS. 34 35

36 Keywords: olive, fruit extract, leaf extract, antioxidant capacity, MULTIS

37

38 Practical Application: In this study, we evaluated the natural antioxidant activity of olive 39 fruits and leaves against five reactive oxygen species (ROS). We found characteristic 40 differences in the antioxidant profiles of different olive tissues, which varied after different 41 treatments (debittering (fruit), drying (leaf), and roasting (leaf)). Comparative studies of the 42 antioxidant capacities of foods against various ROS are useful to improve the functionality of 43 food products.

44

45

47 Introduction

48 Olive (Olea europaea L.) fruits and leaves have traditionally been used as food additives, 49 functional foods, and pharmaceutical purposes (Bouaziz, Grayer, Simmonds, Damak, & 50 Sayadi, 2005; Granato, Nunes, & Barba, 2017; Soussi, Hfaiedh, Sakly, & Rhouma, 2019). 51 Olives contain considerably high amounts of phenolic antioxidant compounds, including 52 oleuropein and hydroxytyrosol. Antioxidant compounds are not only important due to their 53 nutritional properties but also because of their abilities to scavenge reactive oxygen species 54 (ROS). Oleuropein, the major antioxidant in fresh olive fruits, is very bitter, and must be 55 removed to make olive pulp edible (Marsilio, Campestre, & Lanza, 2001). The removal of the 56 bitter taste (debittering) from olive fruits is generally achieved through alkaline hydrolysis, 57 resulting in the decomposition of the phenolic antioxidant compounds in the olive fruit (Brenes & Castro, 1998; Charoenprasert & Mitchell, 2012). Further, olive leaves have 58 59 received much attention from researchers owing to their distinctive phenolic compounds 60 related to various biological activities (Goulas et al., 2009). Olive leaves have been widely 61 used for health purposes in the form of extracts, herbal teas, and powders. In many previous studies, the ability of a substance to quench the stable free radical 2,2-62 63 diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) has been used as an index of its antioxidant capacity. The antioxidant activities of olive fruit and leaf extracts have been evaluated by the DPPH method 64 65 (Kuo, Liu, Hsu, Lin, & Chen, 2015; Orak, Karamac, Amarowicz, Orak, & Penkacik, 2019; Nicoli et al., 2019). However, the reactivity of antioxidant compounds with the stable radical 66 DPPH is thought to differ from their reactivity with real biological ROS, and the scavenging 67 68 abilities of antioxidants vary among different ROS and/or other reactive species. Therefore, it is important to determine the antioxidant activities of a substance of interest against various 69 70 types of ROS. However, direct and quantitative determination of the radical scavenging 71 abilities of foods against various ROS has been hampered by experimental difficulties. 72 Olive fruits and leaves have scavenging abilities against multiple ROS. A number of studies 73 have been conducted on the antioxidant activities of olive fruits, leaves, and oil phenolic 74 components against several types of ROS. The multiple free-radical scavenging (MULTIS)

75 method for the determination of antioxidant activities utilizes photochemically generated ROS 76 and the antioxidant activities of substances of interest against multiple ROS were quantified 77 (Oowada, Endo, Kameya, Shimmei, & Kotake, 2012). Recently, we expanded the MULTIS 78 assay for application in analyses of food samples, such as herbs (Sueishi, Sue, & Masamoto, 79 2018) and ginger root (Sueishi, Masamoto, & Kotake, 2019), and demonstrated its usefulness for studying the comparative antioxidant profiles of foods against various ROS. Analysis of 80 81 the antioxidant profiles of olives against various ROS is important for the development and 82 promotion of healthy olive food products.

In this study, we determined the radical scavenging abilities of olive fruits and leaves against five ROS using the MULTIS method. The MULTIS method was used to address the following questions: 1) What is the effect of debittering on the antioxidant activity of olive fruit? 2) Is there a seasonal dependence of the antioxidant capacity of olive leaves against five ROS? 3) How does roasting and drying impact the antioxidant activity of olive leaves?

88

89 Materials and methods

90 **Reagents**

91 The detection of hydroxyl (HO[.]) and alkoxyl (RO[.]) radicals utilized spin-trap 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline N-oxide (DMPO), purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, 92 93 Japan). 5-Diethoxyphosphoryl-5-methyl-1-pyrroline N-oxide (DEPMPO; Focus 94 Biomolecules, Plymouth Meeting, PA. USA) was used for detection of superoxide (O_2^{-}) and 95 alkylperoxyl radical (t-BuOO). Spin-trap DEPMPO has a better ability to trap O_2^{-} and t-96 BuOO[•] than DMPO (Kamibayashi et al., 2006). High-purity 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4-piperidone (TMPD) was generously supplied by Mikuni Pharmaceutical Industrial Co., Ltd. (Osaka 97 Japan) and used to quantify singlet oxygen (¹O₂) levels. The commercial precursors and 98 99 sensitizers used for the formation of reactive species are listed in Table 1 (Oowada, Endo, Kameya, Shimmei, & Kotake, 2012; Sueishi, Sue, & Masamoto, 2018; Sueishi et al., 2014). 100 101 Olive-related antioxidant compounds (oleuropein, hydroxytyrosol, luteolin 7-O-glucoside, and caffeic acid (purity > 98%)) were purchased from Cayman Chemical Company (Ann Arbor, 102

MI, USA), Carbosynth (Berkshire, UK), Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA),
and Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd., respectively. Acetonitrile (Wako Pure Chemical
Industries, Ltd., Osaka, Japan) and distilled water were combined and used as the mixture
solvent (1:1, v/v) in electron spin resonance (ESR) spin-trapping analysis because of the
solubility of reagents for MULTIS measurements (free radical precursors/photosensitizers and
olive-related antioxidant compounds (hydrophilic and lipophilic)) and the formation of various
reactive species.

110

111 **Preparations of olive fruit and leaf extracts**

112 Olive fruit extract samples

113 Experiments were carried out using Spanish-style Nevadillo Blanco olives. The olive fruits 114 were harvested at the ripening stage, corresponding to when the fruit surface was green, purple, or black in color, in Okayama City, Japan. Green, purple, and black olives were 115 116 harvested in mid-September, late-September, and mid-October, respectively, in 2017. Olive 117 fruits were immediately packed and sealed in polyethylene bags and stored at -12 °C. The 118 antioxidant capacity of olives was maintained for 6 weeks. The olive pulp (3 g) was chopped 119 into small pieces and agitated in 30 mL of acetonitrile at room temperature (25 °C) for 1 h. 120 After filtration, the extract solution was stored at 5 °C for analysis. In the NaOH-debittering 121 process, cut olive fruits were immersed in a 2% NaOH solution for 12 h and in water for 3 122 days at 5 °C. The treated olive fruits were washed with water to remove the debittering 123 solution, and then subjected to the same procedures for extraction as outlined above.

124

125 Olive leaf extract samples

Olive leaves were collected from branches with mature leaves from December 2018 to December 2019 in Okayama City, Japan. The olive leaves (3 g) were chopped into small pieces, suspended in 30 mL of acetonitrile, and heated at 80 °C for 1 h. The olive leaf extract solutions, which included antioxidant compounds, were then transferred to brown glass bottles. The sample solutions were cooled with ice water and brought to room temperature. 131 The extract sample was then kept at 5 $^{\circ}$ C. To examine the influence of drying and roasting on 132 the antioxidant activities of olive leaves, leaf samples were dried at room temperature for 14 133 days, and then the olive leaf powder was roasted at 200 $^{\circ}$ C for 90 s. The dried and roasted 134 olive leaves (3 g) were agitated in 30 mL of acetonitrile at 80 $^{\circ}$ C for 1 h. After filtration, the 135 extract samples were stored at 5 $^{\circ}$ C.

136

137 ESR measurements of spin-trapping adducts

138 The concentrations of five ROS in the presence and absence of antioxidants (olive fruit 139 and leaf extracts) were quantified using the ESR spin-trapping method. The olive extract 140 sample was added to the spin-trap and precursors/sensitizers solution, and the resulting 141 solution was loaded into an ESR flat cell. Five ROS were independently generated with 142 ultraviolet/visible (UV/Vis) light illumination (UV illuminator: RUVF-203S, Radical Research Inc., Tokyo, Japan). The experimental conditions used for the evaluation of 143 144 antioxidant abilities herein are listed in Table 1. The detailed procedures used for ESR 145 measurements have been previously described by Sueishi, Sue, and Masamoto (2018). The 146 reactive species HO[.] and RO[.] were generated from the photo-decomposition of H₂O₂ and 147 AAPH, respectively, and $^{1}O_{2}$ and O_{2}^{-} were formed from the photosensitizers rose bengal (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.) and riboflavin (Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd.), 148 149 respectively. Peroxide t-BuOO[•] was generated from the photolysis of t-butylhydroperoxide 150 (Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd.) (Bors, Michel, & Stettmaier, 1992). A JEOL FA200 X-151 band ESR spectrometer (JEOL Ltd., Akishima, Japan) was used to record the ESR spectra of 152 ROS adducts, and the ESR signal intensity was used to obtain measurements of reactive 153 species' concentrations. Typical ESR spectrometer settings were as follows: a center magnetic 154 field of 337 mT, sweep time of 1 min, modulation width of 0.06 mT, time constant of 0.1 s, 155 and microwave power of 5 mW.

156

157 Determination of ROS scavenging rates (antioxidant activities)

158 Radical scavenging rates and rate constants were determined from the ESR signal

intensities of spin adducts measured with or without the addition of antioxidants. The ROS scavenging activities of foods result from the activities of multiple antioxidants. The antioxidants $(AOx(1), \dots AOx(n))$ in the olive extracts and spin trap (ST) were assumed to undergo competitive scavenging reactions against reactive species. The total scavenging activities can be calculated as the sum of the scavenging rates of all antioxidant components in a sample. The relative ROS scavenging rate ($v_{olive extract}/v_{ST}$) can then be determined according to the following equation (Sueishi, Sue, & Masamoto, 2018) :

$$\frac{v_{\text{olive extract}}}{v_{\text{ST}}} = \frac{I_0 - I}{I} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i [\text{AOX}(i)] [\text{RO·}]}{k_{\text{ST}} [\text{ST}] [\text{RO·}]} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i \alpha_i [\text{AOX\%}]_0}{k_{\text{ST}} [\text{ST}]_0}$$
(1)

where I and I₀ denote the ESR signal heights in the presence and absence of antioxidant 169 170 compounds, respectively; k_i and k_{ST} denote the rate constants of the ROS scavenging reactions of the antioxidant AOx(i) and ST, respectively; α_i is a constant; and the []₀ and [%]₀ 171 172 symbols express the initial concentration (M) of the spin trap and the concentration of olive 173 extract in a given volume (%), respectively. The relative scavenging rates were determined from the slope of a plot of $(I_0 - I)/I$ against $[AOx\%]_0/[ST]_0$, which was generated using Eq. (1) 174 175 and the relative antioxidant activities of the olive pulp and leaf extracts (100%) for a 1 mM ST solution, calculated as: *v*olive extract (100%)/*v*ST(1 mM) (where *v*olive extract (100%) denotes the scavenging 176 177 rate of the extract).

To evaluate the relative scavenging rate constants of olive-related components, the
following competitive relationship between the antioxidant compounds and the spin trap was
assumed (Oowada, Endo, Kameya, Shimmei, & Kotake, 2012; Kohri et al., 2009):

181 AOx + RO·
$$\rightarrow$$
 Product, rate constant k_{AOx}
182 ST + RO· \rightarrow ST-OR, rate constant k_{ST}
183 $\frac{I_0 - I}{I} = \frac{k_{AOx}}{k_{ST}} \frac{[AOx]_0}{[ST]_0}$
(2)

185 The relative scavenging rate constant was determined from the slope (k_{AOx}/k_{ST}) of a plot of 186 $(I_0 - I)/I$ against $[AOx]_0/[ST]_0$. A straight-line relationship passing through the origin was 187 obtained for the tested antioxidant components, suggesting that the above competitive

188 mechanism was reasonable. Trolox was selected as the standard scavenger, and the relative

189 radical scavenging rate constant of each component was expressed as its antioxidant capacity

190 value in trolox equivalent units (TEU) (Kohri et al., 2009; Prior et al., 2003).

191

192 Total phenols content

The content of total phenols (TPC) in the extract samples was determined using Folin-Ciocalteu assay (Skerget et al., 2005). The extract solution (0.5 mL) was mixed with 2.5 mL of the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corp., Osaka, Japan), and the reaction was terminated using 2.0 mL of 7.5% sodium carbonate. After 1 h of incubation at room temperature, the absorbance at 760 nm was measured on a Hitachi U-3900 spectrophotometer (Hitachi High-Tech Corp., Tokyo, Japan). The results were expressed in units of milligrams of gallic acid equivalents per milliliter of sample (mg GA mL⁻¹).

200

201 Statistical analyses

The same series of measurements was repeated five times for the three independent extracts obtained from the same treatment. The variation in the reactive species scavenging rates measured in various dilutions of olive extracts was always within 5%, and thus these measurements had high reproducibility. All data are expressed as means \pm standard deviation (SD). The statistical significance of differences among groups was evaluated using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). $P \le 0.05$ was defined as the threshold for statistical significance.

209

210 **Results and discussion**

211 ROS scavenging ability of olive fruits

In raw olive fruit extracts, the relative scavenging rates (*v*_{olive extract (100%)}/*v*_{ST(1 mM)}, with olive

213 extract (100%) denoting the olive extract solution) were determined using the MULTIS

214 method. The results obtained for green, purple, and black olive fruits are listed in Table 2. As

215 the color of the olive fruit changed from green to purple and black, the scavenging activities of 216 the fruit extracts against all five ROS decreased (Fig. 1). The scavenging activity diminished 217 as a function of fruit maturity, as was expected from the observed decrease in TPC values of 218 fruit with maturity (Table 2). In our extraction conditions, the decrease in the fruit extracts' 219 radical scavenging activities with olive fruit maturity was similar for all five tested ROS, 220 suggesting that similar antioxidant components were present throughout fruit maturation. 221 Compared with the radical scavenging ability of green fruits, that of purple fruits decreased by 35%, and that of black fruits by 59% on average. Mature olive fruits are less bitter than young 222 223 green ones (Charoenprasert & Mitchell, 2012). Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that the 224 bitter component, oleuropein, possesses high ROS scavenging activity. Trolox has been 225 customarily used as a standard radical scavenger. In Table 2, the MULTIS values found 226 relative to that of 10 mM trolox solution (acetonitrile-water mixture) are expressed as 10 mM trolox equivalent units (TEU10). 227

228

229 Antioxidant activities of NaOH-debittered olive fruits

230 Green olive fruits were treated by immersing them in a NaOH solution. Using the 231 MULTIS measurements of treated and non-treated olive fruits, antioxidant activities of olive 232 fruits were quantified and could be compared before and after debittering (Table 2). The 233 TEU10 values of fruit extracts in Table 2 were calculated relative to the MULTIS value found 234 for 10 mM trolox solution. The relative scavenging rates (antioxidant activities v(treated 235 $_{olive}/v_{(green olive)}$) found are further illustrated in Fig. 1. Radical scavenging abilities were 236 significantly decreased by the debittering treatment. The reduction in the radical scavenging 237 abilities of the extracts resulting from the NaOH-debittering process averaged 90% for all five 238 ROS compared to those of fresh green olive fruits. This was consistent with the dramatic 239 decrease in TPC values observed after debittering. This effect of debittering by NaOH (i.e., a decrease in ROS scavenging activity) suggests that the bitter components in raw olive fruits 240 241 play significant roles in their ROS scavenging activities.

243 Scavenging abilities of antioxidant components in olive fruits

244 Oleuropein and hydroxytyrosol are the major antioxidant components in olive fruit pulp 245 (Marsilio, Campestre, & Lanza, 2001). The structures of oleuropein and hydroxytyrosol are 246 shown in Fig. 2. Oleuropein is responsible for the bitter taste of raw olive fruits, and the 247 oleuropein levels in fruits are decreased by the debittering process. This decrease occurs 248 because the alkaline treatment promotes the hydrolytic cleavage of oleuropein's ester bond, 249 forming hydroxytyrosol and oleoside-11-methyl ester (Charoenprasert & Mitchell, 2012). 250 The relative ROS scavenging rate constants of extracts against those of spin traps were 251 determined using the MULTIS method, and the resulting TEU values are listed in Table 3. Oleuropein and hydroxytyrosol were found to be effective scavengers of O_2^{-} and *t*-BuOO[.]. 252 253 We generated radar charts (Fig. 2) to visualize the antioxidant profiles of the antioxidant 254 components of olives against various ROS (Oowada, Endo, Kameya, Shimmei, & Kotake, 2012). The resulting pentagonal MULTIS profiles (relative MULTIS values of green olive 255 256 extract, oleuropein, and hydroxytyrosol) are illustrated in Fig. 2. The hydroxyl radical 257 scavenging abilities of oleuropein and hydroxytyrosol were adjusted to values of 1.0 because of their lack of specificity for this ROS (Sueishi et al., 2014). Notably, there was marked 258 259 similarity between the pentagonal antioxidant profiles of the fruit extract and oleuropein. The 260 profile of hydroxytyrosol also showed a moderate resemblance to the other profiles produced. 261 This suggests that the antioxidant capacity of olive fruits when consumed as food has an 262 oleuropein-like antioxidant profile, although other antioxidant components have also been 263 reported in olive fruits (Marsilio, Campestre, & Lanza, 2001; Charoenprasert & Mitchell, 264 2012). The similarity of the radar chart shapes found provides strong support for the 265 conclusion that oleuropein is primarily responsible for the radical scavenging activity of raw 266 olive fruits. This is supported by the fact that oleuropein was previously identified as a major 267 antioxidant component of Intosso olives (Marsilio, Campestre, & Lanza, 2001).

The antioxidant profiles of the debittered olive fruit extracts are displayed as additional radar charts, together with those of different olive antioxidant components (oleuropein and hydroxytyrosol), in Fig. 2b. The radical scavenging ability profiles found for the extracts of

NaOH-treated olives against all five ROS resembled the profile found for hydroxytyrosol,
indicating that hydroxytyrosol is likely responsible for the radical scavenging activity in
debittered fruit. The treatment of olive fruits with NaOH causes the formation of
hydroxytyrosol from the decomposition of oleuropein, as was suggested by Charoenprasert
and Mitchell (2012). However, the low MULTIS values found for debittered olive fruits
indicated that the products of oleuropein hydrolysis diffuse into the surrounding medium
during the debittering process, and thus their activities are largely lost from the treated fruit.

278

279 Antioxidant activities of olive leaf extracts

Using the ESR signal heights I0 and I, the relative ROS scavenging rates ($v_{\text{leaf extracts (100%)}}$ / 281 $v_{\text{ST(1 mM)}}$) of olive leaf extracts against 1 mM ST were determined according to Eq. (1), and the 282 results of these calculations are listed in Table 4. Further, the radical scavenging rates of olive 283 leaf extracts relative to those of 10 mM trolox were calculated and expressed in TEU10 (Table 284 4). The relative scavenging rates (antioxidant activities) for the two extracts could be 285 expressed using Eq. (1), as follows:

286

$$\frac{v_{\text{leaf extract}}}{v_{\text{leaf extract (Dec. 2018)}}} = \frac{(I_0 / I) - I}{(I_0 / I_{(\text{Dec. 2018})}) - 1}$$
(3)

288

Figure 3a shows the relative scavenging rates (antioxidant abilities) measured over the period of 1 year, taking the December 2018 value as a unit, and in relation to the hours of sunlight per month across seasons in Okayama, Japan (Fig. 3b).

We found seasonal variations in the ROS scavenging abilities of olive leaf extracts. The olive leaves harvested in August 2018 showed higher scavenging abilities against all tested ROS than those of olives harvested in other months. The scavenging abilities calculated against ROS increased by 1.4 to 2.1 fold from December to August, which was consistent with the observed increases in TPC values of olive fruits. Using the DPPH scavenging method, Blasi et al. (2016) examined seasonal variations in the antioxidant activities of olive leaves and reported that olive leaves collected in March exhibited the highest antioxidant activity. The difference between the findings of that study and our current study may be due todifferences in the characteristics of the olive-growing area.

301 Oleuropein, hydroxytyrosol, luteolin 7-O-glucoside, verbascoside, and caffeic acid are the 302 major antioxidant components of olive leaves (Pereira et al., 2007; Xie et al., 2015). 303 Hydroxytyrosol is a component of oleuropein and verbascoside, and caffeic acid is a component of verbascoside. The MULTIS values found showed that luteolin 7-O-glucoside 304 305 has low antioxidant ability against HO⁻, and caffeic acid has remarkably high antioxidant abilities against HO^{\cdot}, O₂^{$-\cdot$}, and ¹O₂ (Table 3). Therefore, the increase in the ROS scavenging 306 307 abilities of olive leaves collected in August against RO⁻, *t*-BuOO⁻, and ¹O₂ suggests that oleuropein undergoes thermal- and/or photo-decomposition reactions leading to the production 308 309 of hydroxytyrosol, which has high antioxidant abilities against these ROS. The scavenging 310 activity of olive leaves against various ROS was correlated with the hours of sunlight received in a season (Fig. 3b). Therefore, it is possible that the amounts of antioxidant compounds in 311 olive leaves change and/or their antioxidant components decompose due to irradiation by 312 313 sunlight. This is supported by the photochemical reaction results reported by Longo, 314 Morozova, and Scampicchio (2017).

315

316 Antioxidant activities of dried and roasted olive leaves

317 For the dried and roasted olive leaves collected in December 2018 and August 2019, the 318 radar charts of their antioxidant profiles (Figs. 4a and 4b) demonstrated that the drying 319 treatment decreased the antioxidant ability of the leaf extract depending on the month, in 320 which the leaves were collected, while the roasting treatment increased the leaf extract's 321 radical scavenging abilities against t-BuOO[•] and RO[•]. It is likely that the drying treatment 322 leads to the oxidation of some phenolic compounds to form their corresponding quinones, 323 resulting in a decrease in the total phenols content (Bahloul et al., 2009). We also observed a 324 decrease in TPC values of dried olive leaves (Table 4). In contrast, in the roasted treatment, 325 various antioxidant compounds were generated in the Maillard reaction, which is a chemical 326 reaction occurring between amino and carbonyl compounds. Roasting has been reported to

enhance the antioxidant activities of almonds and coffee (Lin et al., 2016; Perrone, Farah, &
Donangelo, 2012). In roasted olive leaves, a considerable increase in TPC values was also
observed, and the products of the Maillard reaction may have helped to enhance the
antioxidant abilities of olive components against *t*-BuOO[.] and RO[.] (Table 4 and Fig. 4).

331

332 Conclusions

We evaluated the radical scavenging abilities of fresh and debittered olive fruits against five ROS using the MULTIS method. The NaOH-treatment (debittering) of olive fruits markedly decreased their overall ROS scavenging abilities. By comparing the scavenging activities of fresh and debittered olive extracts with those of the antioxidant components of olives, such as oleuropein and hydroxytyrosol, we revealed the influence of debittering on these components.

In olive leaves, we found significant seasonal variation in the antioxidant activities against various types of ROS. Furthermore, we showed that there are differences in the antioxidant activities of olive leaves following different treatments (drying and roasting). In the roasting treatment, the increase in phenol content generated from the Maillard reaction enhanced the radical scavenging abilities of olive leaf extracts against *t*-BuOO[•] and RO[•]. The use of the MULTIS method facilitates detailed analysis of antioxidant activities of foods against various types of ROS.

346

347 Acknowledgements We thank Dr. Yashige Kotake for helpful discussion and critical
348 reading of the manuscript.

349

350 Author Contributions

Y. Sueishi and R. Nii designed and performed experiments. R. Nii performed data analysis. Y.
Sueishi and R. Nii interpreted results and wrote the manuscript. Y. Sueishi revised the
manuscript.

354

355 **Conflict of Interest** No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

356

357 **References**

- Bahloul, N., Boudhrioua, N., Kouhila, M., & Kechaou, N. (2009). Effect of convective solar
 drying on colour, total phenols and radical scavenging activity of olive leaves (*Olea*
- 360 *europaea* L.). *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 44, 2561-2567.
- Blasi, F., Urbani, E., Simonetti, M. S., Chiesi, C., & Cossignani, L. (2016). Seasonal
 variations in antioxidant compounds of *Olea europaea* leaves collected from different
 Italian cultivars. *Journal of Applied Botany and Food Quality*, *89*, 202-207.

Bors, W., Michel, C., & Stettmaier, K. (1992). Radical species produced from the photolytic

and pulse-radiolytic degradation of *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide. An EPR spin trapping
investigation. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 2*, 1513–1517.

367 Bouaziz, M., Grayer, R. J., Simmonds, M. S. J., Damak, M., & Sayadi, S. (2005).

368 Identification and antioxidant potential of flavonoids and low molecular weight phenols

in olive cultivar Chemlali growing in Tunisia. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 53, 236–241.

- Brenes, M., & Castro, A. (1998). Transformation of oleuropein and its hydrolysis products
 during Spanish-style green olive processing. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*77, 353-358.
- 374 Charoenprasert, S. & Mitchell, A. (2012). Factors influencing phenolic compounds in table
 375 olives (*Olea europaea*). *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry 60*, 7081-7095.
- 376 Goulas, V., Exarchou, V., Troganis, A. N., Psomiadou, E., Fotsis, T., Briasoulis, E., &
- 377 Gerothanassia, I. P. (2009). Phytochemicals in olive-leaf extracts and their antiproliferative
- activity against cancer and endothelial cells. *Molecular Nutrition Food Research*, *53*, 600608.
- 380 Granato, D., Nunes, D. S., & Barba, F. J. (2017). An integrated strategy between food
- 381 chemistry, biology, nutrition, pharmacology, and statistics in the development of functional
- 382 foods: A proposal. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, *62*, 13-22.

383 Kamibayashi, M., Oowada, S., Kamada, H., Okada, T., Inanami, O., Ohta, S., Ozawa, T., 384 Makino, K., & Kotake, Y. (2006). Synthesis and characterization of a practically better 385 DEPMPO-type spin trap, 5-(2,2-dimethyl-1,3-propoxy cyclophosphoryl)-5-methyl-1-386 pyrroline N-oxide (CYPMPO). Free radical Research, 40, 1166-1172. Kohri, S., Fujii, H., Oowada, S., Endo, N., Sueishi, Y., Kusakabe, M., Shimmei, M., & 387 388 Kotake, Y. (2009). An oxygen radical absorbance capacity-like assay that directly 389 quantifies the antioxidant's scavenging capacity against AAPH-derived free radicals. 390 Analytical Biochemistry, 386, 167-171. 391 Kuo, C-T., Liu, T-H., Hsu, T-H., Lin, F-Y., & Chen, H-Y. (2015). Antioxidant and 392 antiglycation properties of different solvent extracts from Chinese olive (Canarium album 393 L.) fruit. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine, 8, 1013-1021. 394 Lin, J. T., Liu, S. C., Hu, C. C., Shyu, Y. S., Hsu, C. Y., & Yang, D. J. (2016). Effects of 395 roasting temperature and duration on fatty acid composition, phenolic composition, 396 Maillard reaction degree and antioxidant attribute of almond (Prunus dulcis) kernel. Food 397 Chemistry, 190, 520-528. 398 Longo, E., Morozova, K., & Scampicchio, M. (2017). Effect light irradiation on the 399 antioxidant stability of oleuropein. Food Chemistry, 237, 91-97. 400 Marsilio, M., Campestre, C., & Lanza, B. (2001). Phenolic compounds change during 401 California-style ripe olive processing. Food Chemistry, 74, 55-60. Nicoli, F., Negro, C., Vergine, M., Aprile, A., Nutricati, E., et al. (2019). Evaluation of 402 403 phytochemical and antioxidant properties of 15 Italian Olea europaea L. cultivar leaves. 404 Molecules, 24,1998. 405 Oowada, S., Endo, N., Kameya, H., Shimmei, M., & Kotake, Y. (2012). Multiple free-radical 406 scavenging capacity in serum. Journal of Clinical Biochemistry and Nutrition, 51, 117-121. 407 Orak. H. H., Karamac, M., Amarowicz, R., Orak, A., & Penkacik, K. (2019). Genotype-408 related differences in the phenolic compound profile and antioxidant activity of extracts from olive (Olea europaea L.) leaves. Molecules, 24, 1130. 409 410 Pereira, A. P., Ferreira, I. C. F. R., Marcelino, F., Valentao, P., Andrade, P. B. et al. (2007). 15

- 411 Phenolic compounds and antimicrobial activity of olive (*Olea europaea* L. *Cv*.
- 412 Cobarancosa) leaves. *Molecules*, *12*, 1153-1162.
- 413 Perrone, D., Farah, A., & Donangelo, C. M. (2012). Influence of coffee roasting on the
- 414 incorporation of phenolic compounds into melanoidins and their relationship with
- 415 antioxidant activity of the brew. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 60, 4265-
- 416 4275.
- 417 Prior, R. L., Hoang, H., Gu, L., Wu, X., Bacchiocca, M., Howard, L., Hampsh-Woodill, M.,

418 Huang, D., Ou, B., & Jacob, R. (2003). Assays for hydrophilic lipophilic antioxidant

419 capacity (oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC_{FL})) of plasma and other biological

- 420 and food samples. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *51*, 3273-3279.
- 421 Skerget, M., Kotnik, P., Hadolin, M., Hras, A.R., Simonic, M., & Knez, Z. (2005). Phenols,
 422 proanthocyanidins, flavones and flavonols in some plant materials and their antioxidant
 423 activities. *Food Chemistry 89*, 191-198.
- 424 Soussi, R., Hfaiedh, N., Sakly, M., & Rhouma, K. B. (2019). The aqueous extract of *Olea*
- *europaea* leaves protects from haematotoxicity and kidney damage induced by diclofenac
 in Swiss albino mice. *Royal Society of Chemistry Advances*, *9*, 23352-23361.
- 427 Sueishi, Y., Hori, M., Ishikawa, M., Matsu-ura, K., Kamogawa, E., Honda, Y., Kita, M., &
- 428 Ohara, K. (2014). Scavenging rate constants of hydrophilic antioxidants against multiple
 429 reactive oxygen species. *Journal of Clinical Biochemistry and Nutrition*, *54*, 67-74.
- 430 Sueishi, Y., Sue, M., & Masamoto, H. (2018). Seasonal variation of oxygen radical
- 431 scavenging ability in rosemary leaf extract. *Food Chemistry*, 245, 270-274.
- Sueishi, Y., Masamoto, H., & Kotake, Y. (2019). Heat treatments of ginger root modify but
 not diminish its antioxidant activity as measured with multiple free radical scavenging
 (MULTIS) method. *Journal of Clinical Biochemistry and Nutrition, 64*, 143-147.
- 435 Xie, P J., Huang, L. X., Zhang, C. H., & Zhang, Y. L. (2015). Phenolic compositions, and
- 436 antioxidant performance of olive leaf and fruit (*Olea europaea* L.) exacts and their
- 437 structure-activity relationships. *Journal of Functional Foods, 16*, 460-471.

438	Figure	captions
100	1 1 5 0 1 0	• ap nome

439

- 440 Figure 1 Bar graph of relative scavenging rates (v(green, purple, black, NaOH-treated
- 441 olive)/v(green olive)) for five ROS scavenging: (\square) green, (\square) purple, (\square) black olive,
- 442 and (\square) NaOH-treated olive extracts. Broken lines show the average values. Significant
- 443 differences $p \le 0.05$.

444

- 445 Figure 2 Radar chart for relative scavenging rates of olive antioxidant components
- 446 (oleuropein and hydroxytyrosol) against (a) fresh green olive fruit and (b) NaOH-debittered
- 447 olive fruit extracts, together with the structures of antioxidant oleuropein and hydroxytyrosol.
- 448 The HO[•] scavenging ability is adjusted to 1.0.
- 449
- 450 Figure 3 (a) Antioxidant activities of olive leaf extracts against five ROS for 1 year and (b)
 451 hours of sunlight in Okayama, Japan.
- 452
- Figure 4 Radar charts for relative scavenging rates (MULTIS values) of dried and roasted
 olive leaves collected in (a) December 2018 and (b) August 2019. The MULTIS values of
 fresh olive leaves are set to 1.0.

456