

**POLY METHYL METHACRYLATE DENTURE
BASE FILLED WITH NITRILE BUTADIENE
RUBBER AND CERAMIC PARTICLES**

AHMED OMRAN SALEM ALHAREB

UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA

2017

Peningkatan Sifat-sifat Tapak Gigi Palsu PMMA melalui Gabungan Partikel-partikel NBR dan Keramik sebagai Bahan Pengisi

Oleh

AHMED OMRAN SALEM ALHAREB

**Tesis yang diserahkan untuk memenuhi keperluan ijazah
Doktor Falsafah**

September 2017

**POLY METHYL METHACRYLATE DENTURE BASE FILLED WITH
NITRILE BUTADIENE RUBBER AND CERAMIC PARTICLES**

by

AHMED OMRAN SALEM ALHAREB

**Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

September 2017

Dedication

*To the spirit of my father dear, my beloved
mother and my family*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, The Most Beneficent, The Most Merciful

First of all, all praises and gratitude to Allah Almighty, the beneficent, the merciful, without whose will and help it was impossible for me to accomplish this research work.

At the onset I would like express my sincere and heartfelt gratitude to Professor Dr. Hj. Zainal Arifin Ahmad for his supervision, understanding, support and generosity in sharing his expertise. I would also like to express my warm and sincere thanks to my co-supervisor Professor Dr. Hazizan Bin Md Akil for his important support throughout his research.

My special thanks to Dean of School of Materials And Mineral Resource Engineering, USM, for her kind assistance and support in providing all the facilities and in conduct of experiments in my research area.

I am also thankful to all the colleagues who have made my life easier during the hard times of this work, to the school of materials and mineral resource engineering, and lab technicians for their tireless cooperation.

I want to express my love and gratitude to for my mother, God have mercy and forgive her and also my dear father who made it possible for me to overcome all the obstacles throughout this research work and their continuous support and constant encouragement that filled me with enthusiasm. My heartfelt thanks to my lovely wife “Sana Alrawimi” her enduring support and great patience during my study. Also, my deep love for my two kids; Janat & Aisha and Alharith for personal support for their presence with me.

May Allah bless all of you, thank you,

AHMED OMRAN ALHAREB

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|------------------------------|-------------|
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | ii |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | iii |
| LIST OF TABLES | ix |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xii |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xxiii |
| LIST OF SYMBOLS | xxvi |
| ABSTRAK | xxviii |
| ABSTRACT | xxx |

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 1.1 | Research background | 1 |
| 1.2 | Criteria success of ideal denture base material | 4 |
| 1.3 | Problem statement | 7 |
| 1.4 | Research Objectives | 10 |
| 1.5 | Structure of the thesis | 11 |

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 2.1 | Introduction of dental materials | 13 |
| 2.2 | Definition of dental prosthetics (Dentures) | 15 |
| 2.3 | Classifications of removable denture bases | 19 |
| 2.4 | Historical of Prosthodontics (Denture Base) Materials | 21 |
| 2.5 | Materials in dental applications | 26 |
| 2.5.1 | Metals as denture materials | 26 |
| 2.5.2 | Ceramics as denture materials | 30 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|----|
| 2.5.3 | Polymers as denture materials | 33 |
| 2.5.4 | Composites as denture materials | 36 |
| 2.6 | Denture base polymer composites | 40 |
| 2.6.1 | Denture base matrix | 41 |
| 2.6.2 | Reinforcement fillers in denture-based composite | 43 |
| 2.6.2 (a) | Alumina | 44 |
| 2.6.2 (b) | Yttrium stabilized zirconia | 46 |
| 2.6.2 (c) | NBR | 48 |
| 2.6.3 | Coupling agent | 53 |
| 2.7 | Mixing and curing of denture base materials | 54 |
| 2.7.1 | Physical stages of mixing and curing | 56 |
| 2.8 | Polymerization Method | 57 |
| 2.8.1 | Classification of polymerization methods | 60 |
| 2.9 | Mechanical properties of denture base materials | 62 |
| 2.9.1 | Impact strength | 62 |
| 2.9.2 | Fracture toughness | 64 |
| 2.9.3 | Environmental properties | 67 |
| 2.9.4 | Radiopacity property | 69 |
| 2.9.5 | Surfaces roughness | 72 |
| 2.9.6 | Effect of residual MMA monomer content | 76 |
| 2.10 | Previous studies on the PMMA denture base materials | 80 |

CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODOGY

| | | |
|-----------|-----------------------------|----|
| 3.1 | Introduction | 84 |
| 3.2 | Materials | 84 |
| 3.2.1 | Matrix | 84 |
| 3.2.2 | Reinforcement fillers | 86 |
| 3.2.2 (a) | Alumina | 86 |
| 3.2.2 (b) | Yttrium stabilizer zirconia | 86 |
| 3.2.2 (c) | Nitrile butadiene rubber | 86 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| 3.2.3 | Coupling Agent | 87 |
| 3.2.4 | Artificial saliva compositions | 88 |
| 3.3 | Characterization of fillers particles (raw materials) | 89 |
| 3.3.1 | Particles size and distribution of fillers | 89 |
| 3.3.2 | Morphology of filler particles | 89 |
| 3.3.3 | Elements analysis of fillers particles by XRF | 90 |
| 3.3.4 | Identification of fillers particles by XRD | 90 |
| 3.4 | Surface treatment Process of fillers powder | 91 |
| 3.4.1 | Silane Treatment of Al ₂ O ₃ and YSZ filler particles | 91 |
| 3.4.2 | FTIR | 92 |
| 3.5 | Preparation of artificial saliva | 93 |
| 3.6 | Preparation of the PMMA Denture Base Composite | 96 |
| 3.6.1 | First stage: Effects of NBR and ceramic fillers particles on the properties of PMMA denture base composites | 96 |
| 3.6.1 (a) | Mixing process | 101 |
| 3.6.1 (b) | Packing | 102 |
| 3.6.1 (c) | Pressing | 103 |
| 3.6.1 (d) | Curing process | 103 |
| 3.6.1 (e) | Cooling | 103 |
| 3.6.1 (f) | Finishing and polishing | 105 |
| 3.6.1 (g) | Testing | 105 |
| | 3.6.1 (g) (i) Density analysis | 105 |
| | 3.6.1 (g) (ii) Impact strength | 106 |
| | 3.6.1 (g) (iii) Fracture toughness | 108 |
| | 3.6.1 (g) (iv) Morphology of microstructure observation by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) | 110 |
| 3.6.2 | Second stage: Effect of different ratios of ceramic fillers together with particles on the properties of PMMA denture | 110 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| base composites | |
| 3.6.3 Third stage: Effect of filler loading of ceramic fillers together with NBR particles on the properties of PMMA denture base composite | 113 |
| 3.6.3 (a) Vickers hardness test (VHN) | 115 |
| 3.6.3 (b) Thermal analysis | 117 |
| 3.6.3 (b) (i) Thermo Gravimetric Analysis (TGA) | 117 |
| 3.6.3 (b) (ii) Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) | 117 |
| 3.6.3 (c) Environmental Characterizations | 118 |
| 3.6.3 (c) (i) Absorption of artificial saliva (AS) | 118 |
| 3.6.3 (c) (ii) Absorption of water | 120 |
| 3.6.3 (c) (iii) Effect of AS exposure on the fracture toughness and microstructure morphology by FESEM | 120 |
| 3.6.3 (d) Radiopacity property test | 121 |
| 3.6.4 Fourth stage: Comparison between the optimum PMMA denture base composite with commercial PMMA | 123 |
| 3.6.4 (a) Surface roughness test (R_a) | 124 |
| 3.6.4 (a) (i) Surface roughness (R_a) measurements | 127 |
| 3.6.4 (b) High-performance liquid chromatography test (HLPC) | 129 |
| 3.7 Statistical Analysis of Data | 134 |
| CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISSCUSION | |
| 4.1 Characterization of raw materials | 136 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| 4.1.1 | Mineralogical identification of fillers particles | 136 |
| 4.1.2 | Quantitative analysis of fillers | 138 |
| 4.1.3 | Particles size of fillers and distribution analysis | 139 |
| 4.1.4 | Field emission scanning electron microscopy and chemical microanalysis of fillers particles | 142 |
| 4.2 | FTIR analysis of fillers particles | 147 |
| 4.2.1 | NBR particles | 147 |
| 4.2.2 | Confirmation of the effect of silane coupling agent (γ -MPS) treatment of ceramic Filler | 148 |
| 4.3 | First stage: Effects of NBR and ceramic fillers particles on the properties of PMMA denture base composites | 158 |
| 4.3.1 | Density analysis of PMMA composites | 158 |
| 4.3.2 | Impact strength | 160 |
| 4.3.3 | Fracture toughness | 166 |
| 4.3.4 | Morphology of fracture surfaces | 174 |
| 4.4 | Second stage: Effect of different ratios of ceramic fillers together with NBR particles on the properties of PMMA denture base composites | 188 |
| 4.4.1 | Impact strength | 188 |
| 4.4.2 | Fracture toughness | 192 |
| 4.4.3 | Morphology of fracture surface | 196 |
| 4.5 | Third stage: Effect of filler loading of ceramic fillers together with NBR particles on the properties of PMMA denture base composites | 201 |
| 4.5.1 | Impact strength | 201 |
| 4.5.2 | Fracture toughness | 205 |
| 4.5.3 | Morphology of fracture surface | 209 |
| 4.5.4 | Vickers Hardness | 216 |
| 4.5.5 | Thermal properties | 218 |
| 4.5.5 (a) | Thermo gravimetric analysis (TGA) | 219 |
| 4.5.5 (b) | Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) | 222 |
| 4.5.6 | Environmental properties | 226 |
| 4.5.6 (a) | Water absorption | 227 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| 4.5.6 (b) | Artificial saliva (AS) absorption | 231 |
| 4.5.6 (c) | Artificial saliva (AS) solubility | 234 |
| 4.5.6 (d) | Effect of AS exposure on the fracture toughness | 237 |
| 4.5.6 (e) | Morphology of fracture surface by FESEM | 240 |
| 4.5.7 | Radiopacity property | 246 |
| 4.6 | Fourth stage: Comparison between the optimum PMMA denture base composite with commercial PMMA | 250 |
| 4.6.1 | Comparison of surface roughness | 251 |
| 4.6.2 | Comparison of roughness surfaces morphology | 255 |
| 4.6.3 | Leaching of residual MMA monomer | 261 |

CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------------|-----|
| 5.1 | Conclusions | 266 |
| 5.2 | Recommendations for future work | 268 |

| | |
|-------------------|-----|
| REFERENCES | 270 |
|-------------------|-----|

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

LIST OF TABLES

| | | Page |
|-----------|--|-------------|
| Table 2.1 | Some of properties for conventional PMMA compared to SBR rubber reinforced PMMA | 35 |
| Table 2.2 | Classification of Denture Base Polymers According to ISO 1567:2005 | 38 |
| Table 2.3 | Composition of acrylic denture base materials type 1 and type 2 (McCabe and Walls, 2013) | 39 |
| Table 2.4 | Requirements of denture base polymers are set out in 1567:2005 | 39 |
| Table 2.5 | Filler particles size classifications according to Deb (1995), Bhat and Nandish (2006) and Noort (2013) | 44 |
| Table 2.6 | Radiopaque denture base materials (Abboud et al., 2000; McCabe and Walls, 2002; Elshereksi et al., 2009; Okuda et al., 2010) | 72 |
| Table 2.7 | Previous researches for the improvement of PMMA denture base properties using various additives as reinforcement | 81 |
| Table 3.1 | The materials and ingredients of the artificial saliva (AS - grams per liter) as according to Macknight-Hane and Whitford (1992) | 94 |
| Table 3.2 | The powder mixture components ratios (wt.%) between nitrile rubber (NBR) and ceramic (fixed at 5 wt.%) particles as fillers in PMMA denture base | 98 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| Table 3.3 | Sample compositions for stage 2 containing different ratios of treated ceramic fillers together with fixed amount of NBR particles (7.5 wt.%) in PMMA matrix | 111 |
| Table 3.4 | Sample compositions for stage 3 containing filler loading of treated ceramic fillers together with fixed amount of NBR particles (7.5 wt.%) in PMMA matrix | 113 |
| Table 3.5 | Different polishing systems applied to PMMA denture base materials according to Kuhar and Funduk (2005), Kim et al. (2009), Gungor et al. (2014) and Govindswamy et al. (2014) | 127 |
| Table 4.1 | The quantitative analysis (wt.%) of the chemical composition of filler elements | 138 |
| Table 4.2 | Shows the particles Size and Surface Area of Al ₂ O ₃ , YSZ, NBR, PMMA Matrix and Conventional denture base material | 156 |
| Table 4.3 | Various functional groups present in the ceramic fillers (Al ₂ O ₃ and YSZ) treated by silane as the coupling agent | 152 |
| Table 4.4 | The effect of different ratios of NBR particles together with ceramic fillers on the density (ρ_c) compared to PMMA matrix and commercial PMMA | 159 |
| Table 4.5 | Glass transition temperature (T _g), degradation temperature and residual filler of PMMA matrix compared to reinforced PMMA denture base composite | 221 |
| Table 4.6 | Shows the WA values of Al ₂ O ₃ /YSZ with NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix compared to PMMA matrix and conventional denture base material during 28 days of immersion at 37 °C | 228 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| Table 4.7 | Shows the AS absorption values of Al ₂ O ₃ /YSZ with NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix compared to PMMA matrix and conventional denture base material during 28 days of immersion at 37 °C | 232 |
| Table 4.8 | AS solubility values of Al ₂ O ₃ /YSZ together with NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix compared to PMMA matrix and conventional denture base material during 28 days of immersion at 37 °C | 236 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | Page |
|-------------|--|-------------|
| Figure 2.1 | The types of the denture base; complete denture; (a) upper, (b) lower; partial denture; (c) upper, (d) lower and (e) transmission complete denture (Vanable and LoPresti, 2004; Singh et al., 2011; Rama et al., 2015) | 18 |
| Figure 2.2 | The 4 Kennedy's classification for the partially edentulous arches of dentures (Silva, 2008; Johnson et al., 2011) | 21 |
| Figure 2.3 | The parts of acrylic –metal partial denture base (McCabe & Walls, 2013; Noort, 2013) | 29 |
| Figure 2.4 | Monomer molecular converts to polymer molecular occurrence during the polymerization reaction (Powers & Sakaguchi, 2012) | 58 |
| Figure 2.5: | The preparation of denture base (a) denture being finishing and (b) denture being polishing (Ulusoy et al., 1986; Ural et al., 2011; Prawez et al., 2015) | 74 |
| Figure 3.1 | Chemical structure of: (a) PMMA, (b) MMA, (c) PBO and (d) EGDMA | 85 |
| Figure 3.2 | Chemical structure of γ -MPS | 88 |
| Figure 3.3 | The treatment process of filler powder silane coupling agent | 92 |
| Figure 3.4 | Preparation steps of artificial Saliva (AS) solution | 95 |
| Figure 3.5 | Flow chart of preparation of PMMA composite reinforced by | 99 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| | NBR particles | |
| Figure 3.6 | Flow chart of preparation of PMMA composite reinforced by a mixing of NBR and ceramic fillers | 100 |
| Figure 3.7 | Moulds used in fabrication of samples; (a) impact, (b) fracture toughness, (c) flexural, and (d) water/artificial saliva absorption and HLPC samples | 102 |
| Figure 3.8 | Laboratory activities of daily routine to preparation of samples to composite PMMA filled with NBR particle with together Al ₂ O ₃ and YSZ powder. (a) mixing; (b) packing; (c) Compressing Test; (d) water bath; (e) heat curing; (f) cooling; (g) sample production and polishing | 104 |
| Figure 3.9 | Schematic diagram of the Charpy impact test | 107 |
| Figure 2.10 | Edgewise impact with single-notched specimen. Schematic illustration of specimen used for Charpy impact test | 107 |
| Figure 3.11 | Diagrammatic representation of SEN-B sample, [a] tapping by a razor blade in the notch of a sample and [b] representing initiation of pre-crack | 109 |
| Figure 3.12 | Flow chart of sample preparation for the PMMA composite reinforced with different ratios of treated ceramic fillers together with 7.5 wt.% of NBR | 112 |
| Figure 3.13 | Flow chart of preparation of PMMA composite reinforced with ceramic fillers as filler loading | 114 |
| Figure 3.14 | Vickers Indenter | 116 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| Figure 3.15 | Sample tested by X-Ray Radiography for radio-opacity phenomena | 122 |
| Figure 3.16 | The calibration curve for the optical density of the aluminium step-wedge (ISO4049-2009) | 123 |
| Figure 3.17 | Show the finishing stage by removing the excess of resin material at the denture border or between the teeth using tungsten carbide bur | 126 |
| Figure 3.18 | Lathe polishing by slurry of pumice, water with bristle brush and soft wheels lathe | 128 |
| Figure 3.19 | Automatic polishing machine with using 600 grit silicon carbide polished by pumice | 129 |
| Figure 3.20 | High-performance liquid chromatography test | 130 |
| Figure 3.21 | Flowchart shows the preparation of samples to HPLC analysis | 132 |
| Figure 3.22 | Flowchart shows preparation of calibration graph of the procedures of HPLC analysis | 133 |
| Figure 4.1 | X-ray diffraction peaks results for Al_2O_3 particles (ICDD reference 00-010-0173) | 137 |
| Figure 4.2 | X-ray diffraction peaks results for YSZ particles (ICDD reference file for tetragonal (red lines) and monoclinic (blue lines) are 89-9068 and 78-1807 respectively) | 137 |
| Figure 4.3 | Graph of Al_2O_3 particles size and its distribution analysis | 140 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Figure 4.4 | Graph of YSZ particles size and its distribution analysis | 140 |
| Figure 4.5 | Graph of NBR particles size and its distribution analysis | 141 |
| Figure 4.6 | Graph of commercial PMMA particles size and its distribution analysis | 141 |
| Figure 4.7 | Graph of PMMA matrix particles size and its distribution analysis | 142 |
| Figure 4.8 | FESEM images expose the fillers particles size; (a) alumina particles, (b) YSZ particles and (c) NBR particles | 144 |
| Figure 4.9 | FESEM morphology and EDX analysis; (a) for Al ₂ O ₃ particles, (b) for YSZ and (c) for NBR particles | 146 |
| Figure 4.10 | FTIR spectra shown of nitrile butadiene rubber (NBR) particles analyzed | 148 |
| Figure 4.11 | Confirmation of silane treatment by FESEM and EDX of Al ₂ O ₃ particles; (a) before silane treatment, (b) after silane treatment | 149 |
| Figure 4.12 | Confirmation of silane treatment by FESEM and EDX of YSZ particles; (a) before silane treatment, (b) after silane treatment | 150 |
| Figure 4.13 | FTIR spectra of Al ₂ O ₃ particles for both (a) untreated and (b) treated by silane treatment | 151 |
| Figure 4.14 | FTIR spectra of YSZ particles for both; (a) untreated and (b) treated by silane treatment | 153 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Figure 4.15 | Schematic diagram representing the possible interaction mechanism reaction of silane coupling agent interlinked with inorganic ceramic surface treatment and PMMA matrix (Tham et al., 2010) | 157 |
| Figure 4.16 | Effect of NBR particles content on the impact strength of PMMA composite compared to PMMA matrix | 161 |
| Figure 4.17 | Comparison of Effect of γ -MPS silane on the impact strength of 5 wt.% Al_2O_3 together with different concentrations ratios of NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix compared to unreinforced PMMA Matrix | 163 |
| Figure 4.18 | Comparison of Effect of γ -MPS silane on the impact strength of 5 wt.% YSZ together with different concentrations ratios of NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix compared to unreinforced PMMA Matrix | 165 |
| Figure 4.19 | Effect of NBR particles content on the fracture toughness of PMMA composite compared to PMMA matrix | 167 |
| Figure 4.20 | Comparison of Effect of γ -MPS on the fracture toughness of 5 wt.% Al_2O_3 together with different concentrations ratios of NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix compared to unreinforced PMMA Matrix | 171 |
| Figure 4.21 | Comparison of effect of γ -MPS on the fracture toughness of 5 wt.% YSZ together with different concentrations ratios of NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix compared to unreinforced PMMA Matrix | 174 |
| Figure 4.22 | FESEM micrograph of fracture surface of PMMA matrix | 176 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Figure 4.23 | FESEM micrograph of the fracture surface morphology of (a) 5 % NBR, (b) 7.5 % NBR and (c) 10% NBR | 177 |
| Figure 4.24 | FESEM micrograph of fracture surface; (a) untreated 5 wt.% of Al ₂ O ₃ together with 5% NBR and (b) treated 5 wt.% of Al ₂ O ₃ together with 5% NBR filled PMMA matrix | 180 |
| Figure 4.25 | FESEM micrograph of fracture surface; (a) untreated 5 wt.% of Al ₂ O ₃ together with 7.5% NBR and (b) treated 5 wt.% of Al ₂ O ₃ together with 7.5% NBR filled PMMA matrix | 181 |
| Figure 4.26 | FESEM micrograph of fracture surface; (a) untreated 5 wt.% of Al ₂ O ₃ together with 10% NBR and (b) treated 5 wt.% of Al ₂ O ₃ together with 10% NBR filled PMMA matrix | 182 |
| Figure 4.27 | Figure 4.27: FESEM micrograph of fracture surface; (a) untreated 5 wt.% of YSZ together with 5% NBR and (b) treated 5 wt.% of YSZ together with 5% NBR filled PMMA matrix | 185 |
| Figure 4.28 | FESEM micrograph of fracture surface; (a) untreated 5 wt.% of YSZ together with 7.5% NBR and (b) treated 5 wt.% of YSZ together with 7.5% NBR filled PMMA matrix | 186 |
| Figure 4.29 | FESEM micrograph of fracture surface; (a) untreated 5 wt.% of YSZ together with 10% NBR and (b) treated 5 wt.% of YSZ together with 10% NBR filled PMMA matrix | 187 |
| Figure 4.30 | Effect of NBR particles together with different ratios of Al ₂ O ₃ and YSZ particles on the IS of PMMA composites compared to PMMA matrix | 190 |

| | | |
|--------------|--|-----|
| Figure 4.31: | Effect of NBR particles together with different ratios of Al ₂ O ₃ and YSZ particles on the K _{IC} of PMMA composites compared to PMMA matrix | 193 |
| Figure 4. 32 | Fracture surface morphology FESEM of PMMA matrix | 197 |
| Figure 4.33 | Fracture surface morphology FESEM of PMMA matrix reinforced with 7.5% NBR- 4% Al ₂ O ₃ /1% YSZ | 189 |
| Figure 4.34 | Fracture surface morphology FESEM of PMMA matrix reinforced with 7.5% NBR- 3% Al ₂ O ₃ /2% YSZ | 189 |
| Figure 4.35 | Fracture surface morphology FESEM of PMMA matrix reinforced with 7.5% NBR- 2.5% Al ₂ O ₃ /2.5% YSZ | 199 |
| Figure 4.36 | Fracture surface morphology FESEM of PMMA matrix reinforced with 7.5% NBR- 2% Al ₂ O ₃ /3% YSZ | 200 |
| Figure 4.37 | Fracture surface morphology FESEM of PMMA matrix reinforced with 7.5% NBR- 1% Al ₂ O ₃ /4% YSZ | 201 |
| Figure 4.38 | Effect of filler loading of ceramic filler reinforced PMMA matrix on IS compared to PMMA matrix and commercial PMMA | 202 |
| Figure 4.39 | Effect of filler loading of ceramic filler reinforced PMMA matrix on K _{IC} compared to PMMA matrix and commercial PMMA | 207 |
| Figure 4.40 | FESEM micrograph of fracture surface of commercial denture base material | 210 |
| Figure 4.41 | FESEM micrograph of fracture surface of PMMA matrix | 210 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Figure 4.42 | FESEM micrograph of fracture surface of treated 1 wt.% Al ₂ O ₃ /YSZ together with 7.5 wt.% NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix | 212 |
| Figure 4.43 | FESEM micrograph of fracture surface of treated 3 wt.% Al ₂ O ₃ /YSZ together with 7.5 wt.% NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix | 212 |
| Figure 4.44 | FESEM micrograph of fracture surface of treated 5 wt.% Al ₂ O ₃ /YSZ together with 7.5 wt.% NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix | 213 |
| Figure 4.45 | FESEM micrograph of fracture surface of treated 7 wt.% Al ₂ O ₃ /YSZ together with 7.5 wt.% NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix | 214 |
| Figure 4.46 | FESEM micrograph of fracture surface of treated 10 wt.% Al ₂ O ₃ /YSZ together with 7.5 wt.% NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix | 215 |
| Figure 4.47 | Effect of PMMA composite reinforced Al ₂ O ₃ /YSZ with together NBR particles as fillers compared to PMMA matrix and commercial PMMA on the VH values | 217 |
| Figure 4.48 | TGA curves illustrating the thermal degradation temperature of the PMMA composites compared to PMMA matrix and commercial PMMA | 220 |
| Figure 4.49 | Shows the DSC curves unreinforced PMMA denture base material by heat curing; (a) commercial PMMA and (b) PMMA matrix; represent, 1 st run (blue color line) and 2 nd run | 223 |

(red color line)

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| Figure 4.50 | Shows the DSC thermograms for reinforced PMMA denture base material; (a) 1% ceramic filler, (b) 3% ceramic filler, (c) 5% ceramic filler, (d) 7% ceramic filler and (e) 10% ceramic filler; represent, 1 st run (blue color line) and 2 nd run (red color line) | 225 |
| Figure 4.51 | WA curves of Al ₂ O ₃ /YSZ with NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix compared to PMMA matrix and commercial denture base material during 28 days of immersion at 37 °C | 227 |
| Figure 4.52 | AS absorption curves of Al ₂ O ₃ /YSZ with NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix compared to PMMA matrix and commercial denture base material during 28 days of immersion at 37 °C | 232 |
| Figure 4.53 | AS solubility graph of Al ₂ O ₃ /YSZ with NBR particles reinforced PMMA matrix compared to PMMA matrix and commercial denture base material during 28 days of immersion at 37 °C | 235 |
| Figure 4.54 | Effect of AS exposure on fracture toughness of PMMA matrix filled Al ₂ O ₃ /YSZ with NBR particles composite filler compared to PMMA matrix and commercial PMMA after immersion with different duration in AS at 37°C | 240 |
| Figure 4.55 | FESEM micrograph of K _{IC} fracture surface of commercial denture base material after 28 days immersion in AS at 37 °C | 241 |
| Figure 4.56 | FESEM micrograph of K _{IC} fracture surface of unreinforced PMMA matrix after 28 days immersion in AS at 37 °C | 242 |