# **METALLOPORPHYRINS BASED SEMICONDUCTING THIN FILMS DEPOSITION AND CHARACTERIZATION FOR ORGANIC FIELD EFFECT TRANSISTOR**

**TAN PI LIN**

**UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA 2017**

### **METALLOPORPHYRINS BASED SEMICONDUCTING THIN FILMS**

# **DEPOSITION AND CHARACTERIZATION FOR**

# **ORGANIC FIELD EFFECT TRANSISTOR**

**by**

**TAN PI LIN**

**Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements**

**for the Degree of**

**Doctor of Philosophy**

**February 2017**

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor and mentors, Professor Ir. Dr Cheong Kuan Yew, Professor Dr. Chow Wen Shyang and Professor Yeap Guan Yeow for their understanding, perseverance, guidance, courage and kindness. They inspired me and their wide knowledge has been of great value to me. Without their advice and knowledge, this research would be impossible.

Thanks to the Dean of School of Material and Mineral Resources Engineering, Professor Dr. Zuhailawati Bt. Hussain for her permission to let me used all the facilities and equipment in the school laboratories in completing my research project. I would like to extend my appreciation to Professor Rupert Schreiner for spending time with me sharing his knowledge regarding the research during my attachment time in Ostbayerische Technische Hochschule Regensburg, Germany. I would like to thanks Professor Zainal Arifin Bin Ahmad, Profesor Dr. Zainal Arifin Bin Mohd. Ishak, Professor Hanafi Bin Ismail, Professor Dr. Azlan Bin Ariffin, Professor Madya Dr. Azura Bt. A. Rashid, Associate Professor Dr. Azhar B. Abu Bakar, Associate Professor Dr. Pung Swee Yong and Dr. Balasauniv, and others for their precious advice on this research work.

In addition, I am in debt with Universiti Sains Malaysia, USM for granting me research fund which are the Research University Postgraduate Research Grant Scheme to support this research project and USM Fellowship for financing me for the first and second year of my research. Thank you USM for the support. Not to forget, Malaysia Toray Science Foundation (MTSF) for providing the funding of this research.

To the school technical staffs especially Mr. Mokhtar, Mr Mohd. Azam, Mr. Azrul, Pn. Haslina, Encik Mohd. Faizal, Encik Abdul Rashid, Encik Muhammad Khairi, En. Helmi, Mr. Zaini, Mr. Zulkarnain, and Mr. Meor, I wish to extend my warmest thanks to all technicians in Ostbayerische Technische Hochschule Regensburg, Germany whom have helped me with my research. They are Ms Maria Komainda, Madam Dagmar Hornik, Madam Hannelore Siegl-Ertl and Madam Daniela Knott.

I owe my loving thanks to my family members especially my parent who is supportive and generous with their encouraging words that help me to keep up with my research work. Without them, I would probably do not have the chance to proceed to this level of academic achievements. To my pals Mr. Teoh Boon Thong, Mr. Teoh Boon Sow, Mr. Alex Phay Chun Keat, Mr. Tan Che Yong, Mr. Hoe, Mr. Tan Teik Cheng, Mr. Lim Tat Wei, Mr. Kang Chew Gan, Mr. Ong Teik Siang, Mr. 王霆遥, Mr. Koek, Mr. Tan Wan Nian, Ms. Khun May, and Mr. Ong. This research work would not be completed without the helping hand from my friends Madam Fong, Dr. Khe Cheng Seong and Mrs. Khe, Dr. Liu Wei Wen, Dr. Tan Kim Seah, Dr. Ann, Dr. Nilar Lwin, Dr. Sam Sun Ting, Dr. Vemal, Dr. Wong Yew Hoong, Dr. Quah Hock Jin, Dr. Lim Way Fong, Mr. Chow Teik Koon, Li Qian, Lim Zhe Xi, Azhar, Lian Na, Ratna, Shazlin and my fellow research colleagues. Therefore, I again would like to express my gratitude to them all who have made this thesis possible.

# **TABLES OF CONTENTS**

**Page**



# **CHAPTER ONE – INTRODUCTION**



# **CHAPTER TWO – LITERATURE REVIEW**





# **CHAPTER THREE – MATERIALS AND METHODS**











# **CHAPTER FIVE – CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**



# **APPENDICES**

**LIST OF PUBLICATIONS**

# **LIST OF TABLES**





### **LIST OF FIGURES**

- Figure 2.1 Schematic diagram of OFET device geometry with (a) Bottom gate–top contact, (b) Bottom gate – bottom contact, (c) Top gate– bottom contact, and (d) Top gate–top contact. 11
- Figure 2.2 Schematic representation of electron and hole transport in bottomgate top-contact thin film transistors (Facchetti, 2007). 13
- Figure 2.3 Figure 2.3 (a) Output plot of the source–drain current versus the source–drain voltage at a given VG, and (b) transfer plot of the source–drain current versus the gate voltage at different VDS's (Facchetti, 2007). 14
- Figure 2.4 Energy band diagram of an (a) intrinsic semiconductor, and extrinsic semiconductor (b) n-type and (c) p-type. 20
- Figure 2.5 The energy levels and filled/empty states for (a) a band-transport semiconductor, (b) a metal, and (c) an organic semiconductor in the absence of thermal excitation or doping (Kymissis, 2008). 21
- Figure 2.6 Molecular structure of organic semiconductor materials (a) poly(p-phenylenevinylene) (PPV), (b) polyfluorene (PFO), (c) poly(3-alkylthiophene), (d) Cu-phthalocyanine (CuPc), (e) fullerene (C60),(f) tris(8-hydroxyquinolinato)aluminium (Alq3), (g) pentacene, chains of thiophene rings ( (h)  $\alpha$ -4T and (i)  $\alpha$ -6T), (j) F16CuPc and (k) tetracene (Wang et al., 2009). 26
- Figure 2.7 Derivations of BCB (Burdeaux et al., 1990). 32
- Figure 2.8 Silane structure with (a) one-sided silane molecule, and (b) organosilane with multiple silane functionalities (Abel, 2011). 34







- Figure 2.12 Comet inhomogeneity in the resist which is caused by aparticle in the resist resulting in non-uniformity in film thickness (Arjmandi, 2013). 47
- Figure 2.13 Optical micrograph of striation defects and the radial ridges (Birnie III, 2004(a)). 48
- Figure 2.14 Coating thickness variations related to physical contact with the vacuum chuck (Birnie III, 2004(a)). 49
- Figure 2.15 Illustration of the third stage of spin coating when the resist is flung off the wafer in very small amounts and an edge bead forms (Arjmandi, 2013). 49
- Figure 2.16 Illustration of edge beads and backside contamination (Arjmandi, 2013). 50
- Figure 2.17 Structure of the porphyrin core and its functionalization sites (Huang et al., 2000). 54



- Figure 4.1 Schematic diagram of bottom contact structure of thin film device. 85
- Figure 4.2 Current density of (a) OEP and (b) OEP-Cu as a function of voltage. (Note: Technique= drop casting; gap size= 50μm) 89
- Figure 4.3 Current density of (a) OEP and (b) OEP-Cu as a function of voltage. (Note: Technique= drop casting; gap size= 150 μm) 90
- Figure 4.4 Current density of (a) OEP and (b) OEP-Cu as a function of voltage. (Note: Technique= drop casting; gap size=  $750 \mu m$ ) 91
- Figure 4.5 Current density as a function of voltage of (a) OEP and (b) OEP-Cu measured at various gap sizes in µm. (Note: Technique= drop casting; Solution concentration  $= 0.5$  mg/ml) 92
- Figure 4.6 Stereo zoom microscopy images of the drop cast OEP films on the aluminium source and drain at various concentrations (a) 0.1 mg/ml, (b) 0.5 mg/ml, (c) 1.0 mg/ml, (d) 5.0 mg/ml and (e) 10.0 mg/ml of OEP at the magnification of 100x. 94
- Figure 4.7 Stereo zoom microscopy images of drop cast OEP-Cu films on the aluminium source and drain at various concentrations (a) 0.1 mg/ml, (b) 0.5 mg/ml, (c) 1.0 mg/ml, (d) 5.0 mg/ml and (e) 10.0 mg/ml of OEP-Cu at the magnification of 100x. 95
- Figure 4.8 SEM micrograph shows OEP-Cu with the concentration of a) 0.1 mg/ml; b) 0.5 mg/ml; c) 1.0 mg/ml and d) 5.0 mg/ml was drop casted on top of a glass substrate. 97
- Figure 4.9 SEM micrograph showing OEP with the concentration of a) 0.5 mg/ml and b) 1.0 mg/ml was drop casted on top of a glass substrate. 98
- Figure 4.10 Schematic diagram of top contact structure of thin film device. 100
- Figure 4.11 Thin film devices fabricated via the spin coating technique on the aluminium source and drain using (a) OEP and (b) OEP-Cu with a gap size of 50 µm. 101
- Figure 4.12 FTIR spectra of the OEP and OEP-Cu powder measured using transmission mode. 104
- Figure 4.13 FTIR spectra of the OEP, OEP-Ni and OEP-Zn coated on the glass slides, measured using reflectance mode. 106
- Figure 4.14 FTIR spectral of the OEP, OEP-Ni, and OEP-Zn coated on top of silane treated glass slide, measure through reflectant mode. 108
- Figure 4.15 *UV-Vis* absorption spectra of the porphyrins (concentration = 0.01mg/ml). 111
- Figure 4.16 *UV-Vis* absorption spectra of Proto-Co (concentration = 0.01mg/ml). 112
- Figure 4.17 The current density-voltage plot of OEP at different solution concentrations. (Note: Gap distance  $= 50 \,\mu m$ ) 113
- Figure 4.18 Current density-voltage plot of the OEP-Cu at different solution concentrations. (Note: Gap distance =  $50 \mu m$ ) 114
- Figure 4.19 Current density-voltage plot of the OEP-Ni at different solution concentrations. (Note: Gap distance  $= 50 \,\mu m$ ) 115
- Figure 4.20 Current density-voltage plot of the OEP-Zn at different solution concentrations. (Note: Gap distance  $= 50 \,\mu m$ ) 117
- Figure 4.21 Current density-voltage plot of the Proto-Zn at different solution concentrations. (Note: Gap distance  $= 50 \,\mu m$ ) 118
- Figure 4.22 Current density-voltage plot of the Proto-Co at different solution concentrations. (Note: Gap distance  $= 50 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ) 120
- Figure 4.23 SEM micrographs of the thin film coated on top of ITO glass substrate fabricated via spin coating technique at varying OEP solution concentrations of (a)  $0.05$  mg/ml, (b)  $0.10$  mg/ml, (c) 0.50 mg/ml, (d) 1.0 mg/ml, (e) 2.0 mg/ml and (b) 3.0 mg/ml. 122
- Figure 4.24 SEM micrographs of thin films coated on the ITO glass substrate fabricated via spin coating technique at varying OEP-Cu solution concentrations of (a)  $0.05$  mg/ml, (b)  $0.10$  mg/ml, (c)  $0.50$  mg/ml, (d) 1.0 mg/ml, (e) 2.0 mg/ml and (f) 3.0 mg/ml. 123
- Figure 4.25 SEM micrographs of thin films coated on the ITO glass substrate fabricated via spin coating technique with varying OEP-Ni solution concentrations of (a)  $0.05$  mg/ml, (b)  $0.10$  mg/ml, (c) 0.50 mg/ml, (d) 1.0 mg/ml, (e) 2.0 mg/ml and (b) 3.0 mg/ml. 124
- Figure 4.26 SEM micrographs of thin films coated on the ITO glass substrate fabricated via spin coating technique with varying OEP-Zn solution concentrations of (a)  $0.05$  mg/ml, (b)  $0.10$  mg/ml, (c) 0.50 mg/ml, (d) 1.0 mg/ml, (e) 2.0 mg/ml and (b) 3.0 mg/ml. 125
- Figure 4.27 SEM micrographs of thin film coated on the ITO glass substrate fabricated via spin coating technique with varying Proto-Zn solution concentrations of (a) 0.05 mg/ml, (b) 0.10 mg/ml, (c) 0.50 mg/ml, (d) 1.0 mg/ml, (e) 2.0 mg/ml and (b) 3.0 mg/ml. 127
- Figure 4.28 SEM micrographs of thin film coated on the ITO glass substrate fabricated via spin coating technique with varying Proto-Co solution concentrations of (a) 0.05 mg/ml, (b) 0.10 mg/ml, (c) 0.50 mg/ml, (d) 1.0 mg/ml, (e) 2.0 mg/ml and (b) 3.0 mg/ml. 128
- Figure 4.29 Thin film thickness at varying concentrations of OEP, OEP-Cu, OEP-Ni, OEP-Zn, Proto-Zn and Proto-Co thin films fabricated via the spin coating technique. 129
- Figure 4.30 Surface roughness observed by varying the concentrations of OEP, OEP-CU, OEP-Ni, OEP-Zn, Proto-Zn and Proto-Co thin films fabricated via spin coating technique. 130
- Figure 4.31 Surface energy at varying concentrations of OEP, OEP-Cu, OEP-Ni, OEP-Zn, ProtoZn and ProtoCo thin films fabricated via spin coating technique. 133
- Figure 4.32 Current density of (a) OEP, OEP-Cu and OEP-Ni (b) OEP-Zn, Proto-Zn and Proto-Co thin films fabricated on top of ITO glass substrate. 135
- Figure 4.33 Images of square-pyramidal of (a) octahedral structures and (b) enclose nitrogen (N), metal (M) and extra ligand L (Giovannetti, 2012). 137
- Figure 4.34 Current density of OEP, OEP-Cu and OEP-Ni thin films coated with silane on the ITO glass substrate. 141
- Figure 4.35 Current density of OEP-Zn, Proto-Zn and Proto Co thin films coated with silane on the ITO glass substrate. 141
- Figure 4.36 SEM photomicrograph of thin films coated on the ITO glass substrate treated with silane, adhesion promoter fabricated via spin coating technique with different porphyrin materials (a) OEP (b) OEP-Cu (c) OEP-Ni, (d) OEP-Zn, (e) Proto-Zn and (b) Proto-Co. (Note: Porphyrins concentration: 1.00 mg/ml) 143
- Figure 4.37 Surface energy at varying concentrations of the porphyrins spin coated on the silane thin films. 145
- Figure 4.38 TGA curve of OEP material. 146
- Figure 4.39 TGA curve of OEP-Cu material. 147
- Figure 4.40 Current density of the annealed (a) OEP, OEP-Cu and OEP-Ni and (b) OEP-Zn, ProtoZn and ProtoCo thin films treated with silane. 149
- Figure 4.41 SEM micrographs of the annealed thin film coated on the ITO glass substrate treated with silane. The adhesion promoter fabricated via a spin coating technique with different porphyrins materials (a) OEP (b) OEP-Cu (c) OEP-Ni, (d) OEP-Zn, (e) Proto-Zn and (b) Proto-Co. 151
- Figure 4.42 AFM topography of (a) OEP, (b) OEP-Cu, (c) OEP-Ni, (d) OEP-Zn, (e) Proto-Zn and (f) Proto-Co thin films with adhesives ITO coated glass substrate. 153
- Figure 4.43 AFM topography of annealed (a) OEP, (b) OEP-Cu, (c) OEP-Ni, (d) OEP-Zn, (e) Proto-Zn and (f) Proto-Co thin films with adhesives ITO coated glass substrate. 154
- Figure 4.44 Bar chart representing the surface roughness of the annealed and non-annealed, 1.00 mg/ml porphyrin thin films, spin coated on the ITO coated glass substrates. 155
- Figure 4.45 Current density of the undiluted BCB spin coated on the ITO coated glass substrate. 156
- Figure 4.46 Current density of the diluted BCB spin coated on the ITO coated glass substrate. 157
- Figure 4.47 SEM micrographs showing the dielectric layer of (a) diluted BCB, (b) diluted cured BCB and (c) undiluted cured BCB spin coated on the ITO-coated glass substrate. 158
- Figure 4.48 Bar chart showing the surface roughness of the undiluted BCB thin film, diluted BCB (5.0%) thin film and the ITO-coated glass. 161
- Figure 4.49 AFM phase diagrams of ITO coated glass substrate (a) without BCB (b) diluted BCB (5.0%) and (c) undiluted BCB spin coated on ITO coated glass substrate. 161
- Figure 4.50 I<sub>DS</sub> versus  $V_{DS}$  results of (a) OEP and (b) OEP-Cu spin coated on 162 the BCB thin film device with a varying constant gate voltage.
- Figure 4.51 I<sub>DS</sub> versus V<sub>DS</sub> of (a) OEP-Ni and (b) OEP-Zn spin coated on the 163 BCB thin film device with a varying constant gate voltage.
- Figure 4.52 I<sub>DS</sub> versus  $V_{DS}$  of (a) Proto-Zn and (b) Proto-Co spin coated on 164 the BCB thin film device with a varying constant gate voltage.
- Figure 4.53 I<sub>DG</sub> versus  $V_G$  of (a) OEP and (b) OEP-Cu spin coated on the 166 BCB thin film device with the gate voltage sweeping from -40.0 to 40.0 V and from 40.0 to -40.0 V.
- Figure 4.54 I<sub>DG</sub> versus  $V_G$  of (a) OEP-Ni and (b) OEP-Zn spin coated on the 167 BCB thin film device with the gate voltage sweeping from -40.0 to 40.0 V and from 40.0 to -40.0 V.
- Figure 4.55 I<sub>DG</sub> versus  $V_G$  of (a) Proto-Zn and (b) Proto-Co spin coated on the 168 BCB thin film device with the gate voltage sweeping from -40.0 to 40.0 V and from 40.0 to -40.0 V.
- Figure 4.56 IDS versus VDS of (a) OEP-Zn and (b) Proto-Zn spin coated on the diluted BCB thin film device with a varying constant gate voltage. 169

# **LIST ABBREVIATIONS**



