Graphs and Combinatorics (2020) 36:1391–1399 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00373-020-02225-1

**ORIGINAL PAPER** 



# **On Specific Factors in Graphs**

Csilla Bujtás<sup>1</sup> · Stanislav Jendrol<sup>2</sup> · Zsolt Tuza<sup>3,4</sup>

Received: 22 February 2019/Revised: 22 July 2020/Published online: 25 August 2020  $\circledast$  The Author(s) 2020

#### Abstract

It is well known that if G = (V, E) is a connected multigraph and  $X \subset V$  is a subset of even order, then G contains a spanning forest H such that each vertex from X has an odd degree in H and all the other vertices have an even degree in H. This spanning forest may have isolated vertices. If this is not allowed in H, then the situation is much more complicated. In this paper, we study this problem and generalize the concepts of even-factors and odd-factors in a unified form.

**Keywords** Spanning subgraph  $\cdot$  Positive factor  $\cdot$  Parity factor  $\cdot$  Strong parity property

#### 1 Notation and Terminology

Let us first present some of the basic definitions, notation and terminology used in this paper. Other terminology will be introduced as it naturally occurs in the text or is used according to West's book [14]. We denote the vertex set and the edge set of a graph G by V(G) and E(G), respectively.

Throughout this paper we use the term *graph* in the general sense where both loops and multiple edges are allowed, hence cycles of length one (loop) or two (a pair of parallel edges) may also occur. A *simple graph* is a graph having no loops or multiple edges.

The *degree* of a vertex v, denoted by  $\deg_G(v)$  or simply by  $\deg(v)$  when the underlying graph is understood, is the number of edges incident with the vertex, where any loop is counted twice. The minimum degree in a graph G will be denoted

Zsolt Tuza tuza@dcs.vein.hu

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

<sup>2</sup> Institute of Mathematics, P. J. Šafárik University, Jesenná 5, 040 01 Košice, Slovakia

<sup>3</sup> Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Budapest, Hungary

<sup>4</sup> University of Pannonia, Veszprém, Hungary

by  $\delta(G)$  and the maximum degree by  $\Delta(G)$ . A graph is *r*-regular if the degree of each vertex in G is r, and the graph is *regular* if it is *r*-regular for some r. A set of edges in G is a *matching* if no two of them share a vertex. A *perfect matching* (or *l*-*factor*) in G is a matching the edges of which span G.

### 2 Introduction

Given a graph *G*, we shall use the term *positive factor* for a subgraph  $H \subseteq G$  if *H* is a spanning subgraph and has minimum degree  $\delta(H) \ge 1$ . A positive factor will also be referred to as a set of edges from *G* that cover all the vertices of *G*. We emphasize that in a positive factor all degrees are required to be positive, as opposed to the standard terms of factors and spanning subgraphs.

There is a very rich literature concerning factors of graphs, starting with the famous work of Petersen [10]. Several nice survey papers on this subject written by Chung and Graham [3], Akiyama and Kano [1], Volkmann [13], and Plummer [11], and the book of Akiyama and Kano [2] together cover results of over one thousand papers. Beyond the study of 1-factors and 2-factors in regular graphs as initiated in [10], generalizations include *k*-factors, path-factors, even-factors, odd-factors, and more, culminating in the 'Parity (*g*, *f*)-Factor Theorem' proved by Lovász [7].

The most general notion dealing with prescribed degrees for the vertices independently of each other is *B*-factor, where a graph G = (V, E) is given together with sets  $B_v$  of nonnegative integers for its vertices v, and one asks for a spanning subgraph F such that  $\deg_F(v) \in B_v$  holds for all  $v \in V$ . Regarding the algorithmic complexity of this problem, Cornuéjols [4] proved the following important result.

**Theorem 1** There is an algorithm of running time  $O(n^4)$  which solves the B-factor problem for any instance  $(G, \{B_v \mid v \in V\})$  on graphs G of order n, provided that each  $B_v$  satisfies the following property: if an integer  $k \notin B_v$  is in the range  $\min(B_v) < k < \max(B_v)$ , then both k - 1 and k + 1 are in  $B_v$ .

Connected factors, especially spanning trees, of specific properties have been extensively studied as well; see e.g. Chapter 8 in [2] and surveys in the papers [6, 9], and [12]. From that area we will employ the following result of Thomassen [12].

**Theorem 2** Every 2-edge-connected graph G has a spanning tree T such that, for each vertex v,  $\deg_T(v) \leq \frac{\deg_G(v)+3}{2}$ .

In this paper we introduce a new concept which is the generalization of both, the even-factor and the odd-factor.

Let G = (V, E) be a graph and let  $X \subseteq V$  be a set of an even number of vertices. We say that a positive factor H of G is an *X*-parity-factor of G if  $\deg_H(v) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  for every vertex  $v \in X$ , and  $\deg_H(v) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  for every  $v \in V \setminus X$ . We emphasize that  $\deg_H(v) > 0$  is required for all  $v \in V$ , by definition.

A graph G = (V, E) has the *strong parity property* if for every subset  $X \subseteq V$  of an even number of vertices the graph has an X-parity-factor. We give sufficient conditions for graphs to have this property, and formulate a related conjecture in Sect. 3.

Note that connectivity is an obvious necessary condition for the strong parity property, since an X with |X| = 2, having its two vertices from distinct components does not admit an X-parity-factor. However, not every connected graph has this property, as we shall note at the beginning of the next section. On the other hand, replacing the requirement of 'positive factor' with 'spanning subgraph', the necessary condition of connectivity becomes also sufficient, as shown by the following result<sup>1</sup> of Meigu Guan (whose name is also romanized as Mei-Ko Kwan).

**Theorem 3** If G is a connected graph and  $X \subseteq V(G)$  is an arbitrary subset of 2r vertices of G, then G has a spanning forest H such that

- $\deg_H(v) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  for every vertex  $v \in X$ .
- $\deg_H(v) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  for every vertex  $v \in V(G) \setminus X$ , where  $\deg_H(v) = 0$  is allowed.

Moreover, in those subgraphs H is kind which have minimum size, every cycle  $C \subset G$  has at most half of its edges in H.

#### 3 The Strong Parity Property

It is a challenging problem to establish a nice general characterization for graphs satisfying the strong parity property. Hence, we concentrate on conditions which are necessary or sufficient for it. First we mention some simple local obstructions, and also observe a complexity result. Then we give some sufficient conditions for graphs to have the strong parity property. At the end we formulate a conjecture that can be considered as a strengthening of Theorems 11 and 12 below, and prove it for 3-regular graphs.

**Proposition 4** If a connected graph G = (V, E) contains any of the following, then it does not have the strong parity property:

- (i) a vertex v of degree 1;
- (ii) a path  $v_1v_2v_3$  with  $\deg_G(v_1) = \deg_G(v_2) = \deg_G(v_3) = 2$ , |V| > 3;
- (iii) a path  $v_1v_2v_3$  and a further vertex  $v_4$ , such that  $\deg_G(v_1) = \deg_G(v_3) = 2$ ,  $\deg_G(v_2) = 3$ ,  $v_2v_4$  is a cut-edge of G, and the component containing  $v_2$  in  $G - v_2v_4$  has order at least 4.

**Proof** In each case we prescribe some vertices in and out of the set *X*, which will make it impossible to satisfy the parity conditions with a spanning subgraph of all-positive degrees.

(i) Just require  $v \notin X$ . This would need at least two edges incident with v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The existence of *H* with the required parity properties easily follows by first selecting r = |X|/2 paths whose ends are mutually disjoint pairs of vertices from *X*, and then keeping exactly those edges for *H* which occur in an odd number of the selected paths. If a cycle  $C \subset G$  violates the extra condition, then switching between selection and non-selection of its edges makes |E(H)| decrease, without changing the parity of any deg<sub>H</sub>(v). Theorem 3 later led to the development of the theory of *T*-joins; see e.g. Chapters 6.5 and 6.6 in [8], or the survey [5].

- (ii) We prescribe  $v_2 \in X$  and  $v_1, v_3 \notin X$ , plus a further vertex  $w \in X$  distinct from  $v_1, v_2, v_3$ . Then an X-parity-factor F would require all the four edges incident with  $v_1$  and  $v_3$ , but then  $v_2$  cannot have odd degree in F.
- (iii) Let *H* be the component of  $G v_2v_4$  containing  $v_4$ . For each  $v \in V(H)$  we prescribe  $v \in X$  if and only if  $\deg_H(v)$  is odd. Further, for the vertices in the component containing  $v_2$  in  $G v_2v_4$  we set the conditions as in the preceding case (*ii*). Suppose for a contradiction that there exists an *X*-parity-factor *F* in *G*. Then  $\deg_{F \cap E(H)}(v) \equiv \deg_H(v) \pmod{2}$  holds for all  $v \in V(H) \setminus \{v_4\}$ . But then, since the number of odd degrees in *H*— as well as in  $F \cap E(H)$ — is even, the same congruence is valid for  $v_4$ , too. Consequently the edge  $v_2v_4$  cannot occur in *F*. This leads to the contradiction that the restriction of *F* to the subgraph induced by  $V(G) \setminus V(H)$  would be a parity factor for (*ii*).

We say that a class  $\mathcal{G}$  of graphs admits a *forbidden induced subgraph characterization* if there is a (finite or infinite) class  $\mathcal{F}$  of graphs such that a graph Gbelongs to  $\mathcal{G}$  if and only if G contains no induced subgraph which is isomorphic to an  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . The notion of *forbidden subgraph characterization* is defined analogously. Proposition 4 shows various possibilities for extending a graph F to a graph F' such that F is an induced subgraph of F' and the latter one does not satisfy the strong parity property. For instance, from any F we can obtain an F' by adjoining a pendant vertex, hence F cannot be a forbidden induced subgraph for the class of graphs without the strong parity property. This directly implies the following statement.

**Corollary 5** The class of graphs not having the strong parity property does not admit a forbidden (induced) subgraph characterization.

A similar statement is true for the complementary class.

**Proposition 6** The class of graphs having the strong parity property does not admit any forbidden (induced) subgraph characterization.

**Proof** Given any candidate *F* for a forbidden induced subgraph, we supplement *F* with |V(F)| new vertices such that every new vertex is a universal vertex (i.e., it is adjacent to all vertices) in the extended graph. Clearly |V(F)| > 2. We claim that this extended graph admits the strong parity property, despite that it contains *F* as an induced subgraph. Let *X* be an arbitrary given set of even size. If a vertex *v* of *F* has the same degree parity in the extended graph as prescribed by *X*, we keep all edges at *v*. For the other vertices of *F* we delete a matching *M* from their set to the set of new vertices. Now consider the new vertices after the removal of *M*. Let *S* be the set of vertices where the parity of current degree differs from what is prescribed by *X*. Note that also *S* has even size, because the removal of each edge changes parity at exactly two vertices, and at the beginning (before the removal of *M*) we had an even number of odd degrees and also an even number of odd prescriptions by *X*, thus the symmetric difference of the two even sets was also even; this was modified by -2 or

0 or +2 by the removal of each matching edge. So, |S| is even, and removing a perfect matching from the complete subgraph induced by S we obtain an X-parity factor. Since we inserted more than two new vertices, the remaining graph after all the edge removals is still connected, and in particular all vertex degrees are positive.

The definition of strong parity property puts a condition on exponentially many distributions of odd and even parities. For this reason, when just the formalization of the problem is considered, it is not trivial whether the corresponding decision problem belongs to any of the complexity classes NP and coNP. By definition, the problem of deciding whether a graph has a property  $\mathcal{P}$  belongs to coNP, if and only if the decision problem of *not* having property  $\mathcal{P}$  belongs to NP.

**Theorem 7** The decision problem, whether a generic input graph has the strong parity property, belongs to the class coNP.

**Proof** If G = (V, E) does not have the strong parity property, then there is a subset  $X \subseteq V$  for which no *X*-parity-factor exists. Calling for an NP-oracle we obtain an *X* of this kind. Setting  $B_v = \{k \mid 1 \le k \le \deg(v), k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}$  for  $v \in X$  and  $B_v = \{k \mid 2 \le k \le \deg(v), k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}$  for  $v \in V \setminus X$ , we can apply Theorem 1 to verify in polynomial time that *X* does not admit an *X*-parity-factor. By the same theorem a false solution can also be recognized efficiently.

**Problem 8** Is the strong parity property checkable in polynomial time, or is it coNP-complete?

The following theorem gives a sufficient condition for a graph to have the strong parity property.

**Theorem 9** Let G be a connected graph of minimum degree  $\delta(G) \ge 2$ . If G contains a connected positive factor F with  $\deg_F(v) < \deg_G(v)$  for every vertex v of G, then G has the strong parity property.

Before a proof of this theorem we introduce the concept of binary factor. A sequence, whose elements are from the set  $\{0, 1\}$  is called a *binary sequence*. Let *G* be a connected graph with vertex set  $V(G) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  and degree sequence  $D = \{d_1, \ldots, d_n\}, d_i = \deg_G(v_i)$ . The *binary degree sequence* of *G* is the binary sequence  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ , where  $a_i \equiv d_i \pmod{2}$ . Clearly, the number of ones in *A* is always even.

Let  $B = \{b_1, ..., b_n\}$  be a binary sequence with an even number of ones. A *binary-B-factor* of *G* is a positive factor *F* of *G*, whose binary degree sequence is *B*.

**Lemma 10** Let G be a connected graph with vertex set  $V(G) = \{v_1, ..., v_n\}$ , with degree sequence  $\{d_1, ..., d_n\}$ , and with  $\delta(G) \ge 2$ . Suppose further that G has a connected positive factor H with  $1 \le \deg_H(v_i) < \deg_G(v_i)$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$ . Then, for every binary sequence  $B = \{b_1, ..., b_n\}$  with an even number of ones, G has a binary-B-factor.

**Proof** Determine first the binary degree sequence  $A = \{a_1, ..., a_n\}$  of G. Next, compute the binary sequence  $C = \{c_1, ..., c_n\}$  with  $c_i \equiv (a_i + b_i) \pmod{2}$  and

define the set  $X = \{v_i \mid c_i = 1, i = 1, ..., n\}$ . It is easy to see that *X* has an even number of elements. Now we apply Theorem 3 on the graph *H* with the set *X*. The result is a spanning forest *K* of *H* with the binary sequence *C*. Then a required binary-*B*-factor of *G* is obtained by removing all edges of *K* from the graph *G*. Here the conditions  $K \subseteq H$  and  $\deg_H(v_i) < \deg_G(v_i)$  guarantee that every vertex has a positive degree in G - E(K).

Now the proof of Theorem 9 immediately follows from the lemma. Below we give some classes of graphs for which the existence of a connected positive factor described in Theorem 9 can be proved.

**Theorem 11** If G is a 2-edge-connected graph with  $\delta(G) \ge 4$ , then G has the strong parity property.

**Proof** We apply Theorem 9 with F being a spanning tree T of G as guaranteed by Theorem 2.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 12** If a graph G has a Hamiltonian path and  $\delta(G) \ge 3$ , then it has the strong parity property.

**Proof** We apply Theorem 9 with F being a Hamiltonian path of G.

**Theorem 13** If every vertex of a connected graph G is incident with a 2-cycle or with a 3-cycle, then G has the strong parity property.

**Proof** We start with the same line as in the proof of Theorem 9. Let  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  be the vertices of *G* and let  $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  be the binary degree sequence of *G*. For a subset  $X \subseteq V(G)$  of even cardinality, first define the binary sequence  $B = (b_1, \ldots, b_n)$  where  $b_i = 1$  if and only if  $v_i \in X$ . Then, consider the binary sequence  $C = (c_1, \ldots, c_n)$  with  $c_i \equiv (a_i + b_i) \pmod{2}$  and take the set  $Y = \{v_i \mid c_i = 1, i = 1, \ldots, n\}$ .

For the graph G and for the set Y, we consider a spanning subgraph H which satisfies the parity conditions and has the smallest size |E(H)| under this assumption. By Theorem 3, there exists such a spanning subgraph H. We will prove that  $\deg_H(v) < \deg_G(v)$  holds for every  $v \in V(G)$ . First observe that, by the minimality assumption, H does not contain parallel edges. Now, assume that there is a vertex vsuch that  $\deg_H(v) = \deg_G(v)$ . This vertex cannot be incident with parallel edges in G and hence, there is a triangle uvu' in G. Since  $\deg_H(v) = \deg_G(v)$ , both edges uvand u'v belong to H. If  $uu' \in E(H)$ , consider the spanning subgraph H' with  $E(H') = E(H) \setminus \{uv, u'v, uu'\};$ if  $uu' \notin E(H),$ consider H'with  $E(H') = (E(H) \cup \{uu'\}) \setminus \{uv, u'v\}$ . In either case, H' satisfies the parity conditions and has strictly smaller size than H. This contradiction proves that  $\deg_H(v) < \deg_G(v)$  for every  $v \in V(G)$ .

Define the spanning subgraph *F* of *G* with  $E(F) = E(G) \setminus E(H)$  and observe that *B* is the binary sequence of *F*. Moreover, for every vertex *v*,  $\deg_H(v) < \deg_G(v)$  implies  $\deg_F(v) \ge 1$ . Thus, *F* is an *X*-parity factor of *G*.

From this theorem we immediately have that all connected claw-free graphs with minimum degree at least 3 have the strong parity property. In a more general form, we conclude the following.

**Corollary 14** If G is a connected  $K_{1,r}$ -free graph with  $\delta(G) \ge r \ge 3$ , then G has the strong parity property.

We think that the following strengthening of Theorems 11 and 12 is also true.

**Conjecture 1** *Every* 2*-edge-connected graph of minimum degree at least three has the strong parity property.* 

To prove the conjecture for a graph *G*, it would be enough to find a positive factor  $F \subset G$  mentioned in Theorem 9. However, the condition  $\delta(G) \ge 3$  is not strong enough to ensure the existence of such a factor. A general counterexample is the class of 3-regular graphs having no Hamiltonian path. Indeed, in those graphs any spanning tree contains a vertex of degree three because the graphs of maximum degree less than 3 are disjoint unions of paths and cycles. On the other hand, for 3-regular graphs we can prove the conjecture, even in a slightly stronger form.

**Theorem 15** *Every connected* 3*-regular graph with a* 1*-factor has the strong parity property.* 

**Proof** Let *M* be a 1-factor in *G*. Removing the edges of *M* we obtain a 2-factor; let the components of G - M be  $H_1, \ldots, H_k$ . Here each  $H_i$  is a cycle, whose length can be any positive integer including 1 (loop) or 2 (two parallel edges) also. Since *G* is connected, one can select a subset  $F \subseteq M$  of k - 1 edges from the perfect matching such that  $H^+ := E(H_1) \cup \ldots \cup E(H_k) \cup F$  is a connected spanning subgraph of *G*.

Instead of X we consider  $Z := V(G) \setminus X$ . Note that also Z has an even number of vertices, say |Z| = 2m, because G is 3-regular, hence |V(G)| is even. We are going to prove that  $H^+$  admits a selection of m paths, which we shall denote by  $P^1, \ldots, P^m$ , such that they are mutually vertex-disjoint, all have both of their endpoints in Z, and all their internal vertices are in X.

We proceed by induction on k. If k = 1, then  $H^+$  is a Hamiltonian cycle in G, which is split into 2m subpaths by the vertices of Z. Selecting every second path we obtain a collection of paths as required.

Assume now k > 1. There exists a cycle in  $H^+$ , say  $H_k$ , which is incident with precisely one edge of F. Let this edge be vw, where  $v \in V(H_k)$  and  $w \in V(H_j)$  for some  $j \neq k$ . We also set  $Z_k := Z \cap V(H_k)$ .

If  $|Z_k|$  is even and positive, then  $Z_k$  splits  $H_k$  into an even number of subpaths. In this case we can select every second subpath, as we did in the case of k = 1, delete  $V(H_k)$  and all its incident edges from  $H^+$ , and apply induction. (For  $|Z_k| = 0$  we just delete  $V(H_k)$  and the incident edges.)

Suppose that  $|Z_k|$  is odd. We now choose a vertex  $z \in Z_k$  which is closest to v along the cycle  $H_k$ . (The case of z = v is also possible.) If  $|Z_k| > 1$ , we consider the shortest subpath P of  $H_k$  which is disjoint from  $\{z, v\}$  and contains all vertices of  $Z_k \setminus \{z\}$ . This P is split into an odd number of subpaths by  $Z_k \setminus \{z\}$ ; we select the first, third, ..., last of them. After that, we apply the induction hypothesis to the

graph obtained by the removal of  $H_k$ , for the modified set  $Z' := (Z \setminus Z_k) \cup \{w\}$ . Note that Z' contains an even number of vertices, say 2m', and the modified graph has a similar tree structure with a 2-factor consisting of k - 1 cycles. Hence it contains a collection of m' paths whose set of endpoints is identical to Z'. One of those paths ends in w; we extend it until z using the shortest v-z path in  $H_k$ . This procedure proves that the required collection  $P^1, \ldots, P^m$  of m paths exists indeed.

To complete the proof of the theorem we consider the graph  $H^*$  with vertex set V(G) and edge set  $E(G) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=i}^m E(P^i)\right)$ . If a vertex u is the endpoint of some  $P^i$ , then it has degree 2 in  $H^*$ ; if it is an internal vertex of some  $P^i$ , then it has degree 1 in  $H^*$ ; and if it is outside of  $\left(\bigcup_{i=i}^m V(P^i)\right)$ , then it has degree 3 in  $H^*$ . This fact verifies the validity of the theorem because a vertex is an endpoint of some  $P^i$  if and only if it belongs to Z.

The most famous form of Petersen's theorem [10] states that every 2-connected 3-regular graph contains a 1-factor. However, the result proved in the original paper is stronger; namely, if a 3-regular graph does not admit a 1-factor, then it has at least three end-blocks.<sup>2</sup> It means that the cut-edges cannot be included in a single path. Hence we can derive the following sufficient condition.

**Corollary 16** If G is a connected 3-regular graph such that the cut-edges of G are contained in a path, then G has the strong parity property.

Acknowledgements The first author acknowledges the financial support from the Slovenian Research Agency under the project N1-0108. This work of the second author was supported by the Slovak Research and Development Agency under the Contract No. APVV-19-0153. Research of the third author was supported in part by the National Research, Development and Innovation Office – NKFIH under the grant SNN 129364. The authors would like to thank Július Czap for his helpful comments.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicate otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Funding Open access funding provided by ELKH Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics.

## References

1. Akiyama, J., Kano, M.: Factors and factorizations of graphs—a survey. J. Graph Theory 9, 1–42 (1985)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> An end-block *H* in a graph *G* is a block (inclusionwise maximal 2-connected subgraph) in extremal position in the sense that if *H* is attached to the other part of *G* with cut-vertex say *x*, then removing H - x from *G* the graph remains connected. It follows that if *G* is 3-regular, then there is a cut-edge between *H* and G - H.

- 2. Akiyama, J., Kano, M.: Factors and Factorizations of Graphs. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin (2011)
- Chung, F., Graham, R.: Recent Results in Decompositions. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, pp. 103–123. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1981)
- 4. Cornuéjols, G.: General factors of graphs. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 45, 185-198 (1988)
- Frank, A.: A survey on T-joins, T-cuts, and conservative weightings. In: Miklós, D., Sós, V.T., Szőnyi, T. (eds.) Combinatorics, Paul Erdős is Eighty, vol. 2. Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies, vol. 2, pp. 213–252. J. Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest (1996)
- Hasanvand, M.: Spanning trees and spanning Eulerian subgraphs with small degrees. Discrete Math. 338, 1317–1321 (2015)
- 7. Lovász, L.: Subgraphs with prescribed valencies. J. Combin. Theory 8, 391-416 (1970)
- Lovász, L., Plummer, M.D.: Matching Theory. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest; Annals of Discrete Mathematics, vol. 29, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 121. Elsevier Sci. Publ., Amsterdam (1986)
- 9. Ozeki, K., Yamashita, T.: Spanning trees: a survey. Graphs Combin. 27, 1-26 (2011)
- 10. Petersen, J.: Die Theorie der regulären Graphen. Acta Math. 15, 193-220 (1891)
- Plummer, M.D.: Graphs factors and factorization: 1985–2003: a survey. Discrete Math. 307, 791–821 (2007)
- 12. Thomassen, C.: Decomposition of highly connected graphs into paths of length 3. J. Graph Theory 58, 286–292 (2008)
- Volkmann, L.: Regular graphs, regular factors, and the impact of Petersen's theorems. Jahresber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein. 97, 19–42 (1995)
- 14. West, D.B.: Introduction to Graph Theory. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River (2001)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.