- 1 This is an accepted revised copy for full article please visit the
- 2 Science of the Total Environment website or click:
- 3 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141624 for a published copy
- 4
- 5 The importance of over-the-counter-sales and product format in the
- 6 environmental exposure assessment of active pharmaceutical
- 7 ingredients
- 8 Tom J. Austin<sup>\*1</sup>, Sean Comber<sup>2</sup>, Emma Forrester<sup>2</sup>, Mike Gardner<sup>3</sup>, Oliver R. Price<sup>1</sup>, Rik
- 9 Oldenkamp<sup>4</sup>, Ad M. J. Ragas<sup>4</sup>, Jan Hendriks<sup>4</sup>
- <sup>1</sup>RB, Dansom Lane, Hull, HU8 7DS, United Kingdom
- <sup>2</sup>Plymouth University, Drake Circus, Plymouth PL4 8AA, UK
- <sup>3</sup>Atkins Limited, 500, Park Avenue, Aztec West, Almondsbury, Bristol BS32 4RZ, UK
- <sup>4</sup>Department of Environmental Science, Radboud University Nijmegen, 6500GL, Nijmegen,
   The Netherlands
- 15 \*tom.austin@rb.com
- 16 Abstract

When assessing the environmental exposure of active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs), the 17 mass contributed from over the counter (OTC) sales and topical formats are typically not 18 19 included. A data gathering exercise was performed to obtain UK per capita API usage for 20 ibuprofen, diclofenac and ranitidine, combining all relevant sources to assess their relative importance as inputs. The calculated releases to wastewater compared well with influent 21 22 concentrations measured at several UK wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs), although consistent overestimation was observed, attributed to a number of factors, including in-sewer 23 removal. OTC sales were found to make up a large proportion of the mass of ibuprofen (76%) 24 25 and diclofenac (35%) consumed and are important to include in exposure assessment. Product format should also be considered, as compared to oral applications, topical applications of 26 ibuprofen and diclofenac contribute disproportionately to wastewater loadings per unit mass 27

used (43% and 99% of the total mass released, respectively). Options to reduce releases from
these sources are highlighted. Releases of all three APIs did not vary significantly over time,
but variation in releases from different regions in the UK were significant. The importance of
several under-addressed aspects of API exposure assessment are therefore highlighted.

### 32 **1. INTRODUCTION**

Active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) are vital in the treatment of many ailments in a 33 medical setting and are a cornerstone of modern-day life. Increasingly, the use of 34 pharmaceuticals has been put in the hands of the consumer, allowing easier access to relief 35 from common ailments via self-care.<sup>1</sup> Over the counter (OTC) products containing 36 pharmaceuticals aiding in the relief of cold or flu-like symptoms, pain or heartburn are 37 38 particularly commonplace and are a significant portion of the market. Along with the benefits to consumers of immediate access to symptom relief, the burden on healthcare systems is 39 reduced and the OTC market has and continues to grow.<sup>2</sup> An inevitable downside to the 40 improved access to self-care is the uncontrolled consumption and excretion of pharmaceuticals 41 to wastewater and the environment, with APIs being detected around the globe.<sup>3</sup> Within Europe. 42 in acknowledgement of this, and in addition to other water quality issues, the European Union 43 produced the Water Framework Directive (WFD)<sup>4</sup> and Priority Substance Directives.<sup>5,6</sup> 44 Combined, these directives provide a framework to identify substances that potentially pose a 45 risk to surface waters, to define environmental quality standards (EQSs) for those deemed to, 46 and to provide a legal basis with which member state compliance with these EQSs can be 47 ensured. Member states, where concentrations in surface waters exceed EQSs, may take a 48 49 number of different actions to reduce the concentrations of priority substances in surface waters. These actions depend on various factors, including the socioeconomic value of the substance. 50

51 Waste water treatment plants (WWTPs) have been identified as important sources of used 52 substances, with increasing pressure put on owners to identify source inputs and to reduce 53 effluent concentrations.<sup>7</sup> The Chemicals Investigation Programme (CIP) is a project being 54 undertaken by UK Water Utility providers, coordinated by United Kingdom Water Industry 55 Research (UKWIR) in response to these pressures.<sup>8</sup> The implementation of this project, 56 including the substance selection criteria, and some of its results, have been described in previous publications.<sup>9–12</sup> The project consists of three parts, CIP1-C1 – investigations to assess
risk from chemicals discharged to receiving waters, CIP1-C2 – Investigations to assess
WWTPs performance, CIP1-C3 – Urban sources of chemicals to sewer investigations.<sup>9</sup> As part
of the CIP2 project, influent concentrations for ibuprofen, diclofenac and ranitidine were
recorded alongside 16 other APIs across 45 WWTPs between 2015-2017.

The investigation of sources of APIs release to the environment is an important facet in 62 63 ensuring no environmental harm comes from their use. Assessing the risk these sources are likely to have on their surrounding environments requires the determination of their subsequent 64 concentration in surface waters and other environmental compartments. In this vein, models 65 66 such as ePiE (exposure to Pharmaceuticals in the Environment) have been developed as part of the wider Innovative Medicines Initiative iPiE work scheme for the intelligent assessment of 67 pharmaceuticals in the environment.<sup>13</sup> Whilst not necessarily developed for assessing 68 69 pharmaceuticals specifically, other exposure models exist such as PhATE, iSTREEM, GWAVA, GREAT-ER and LF2000-WOX.14-19 70

As summarised by Kapo et al. (2017),<sup>20</sup> various studies have highlighted the importance of 71 considering the pre-WWTP sewer system when estimating chemical exposure to the 72 environment (including for APIs)<sup>21</sup>, failure to do so leading to the overestimation of WWTP 73 influent concentrations for certain chemicals. GREAT-ER and LF2000-WQX both consider 74 the removal of substances during sewer transport.<sup>14–16</sup> However, currently, ePiE, PhATE, 75 iSTREEM and GWAVA do not explicitly consider in-sewer removal.<sup>13,17–19</sup> It is important to 76 consider the impact, or lack-thereof, that in-sewer removal might have on the inputs to these 77 78 models when performing an exposure assessment.

OTC sales are a significant route by which certain APIs might be purchased and consumed.
Burns *et al.* (2017)<sup>22</sup> highlighted the need for new approaches that incorporate OTC sales. The

81 lack of consideration of all routes of consumption identified as the reason that predicted environmental concentrations (PECs) underpredict measured environmental concentrations 82 (MECs) in their own study And other studies such as Carballa et al. (2008)<sup>23</sup> and Oosterhuis et 83 al. (2013)<sup>24</sup> only considering prescription data. A running theme is that OTC data is less 84 accessible than prescription data.<sup>25–30</sup> Indeed, a few studies have incorporated aspects of OTC 85 data into the prediction of environmental releases, however, the methods to obtain and use 86 these data are country specific and no study that has considered OTC sales has also considered 87 the topical applications of the APIs being investigated.<sup>24,31–33</sup> For example, He et al. (2020)<sup>31</sup> 88 89 analysed data on OTC sales in Japan using data gathered by the ministry of Health Labour and Welfare, however only calculated emissions using the excretion factor of orally taken ibuprofen 90 and diclofenac, not considering unabsorbed topically applied product. Azuma et al.  $(2015)^{32}$ 91 92 used a handbook detailing pharmaceutical sales in Japan to include OTC sales of diclofenac 93 (although the other APIs investigated were prescription usage only), however this data was limited to pharmaceuticals sold by major pharmaceutical companies only and did not account 94 95 for the volume of pharmaceuticals sold as generics by smaller companies. In addition, the use of topical products and the variation in absorption does not appear to have been considered in 96 97 their methods either. Unfortunately, the methods to incorporate OTC data used are not applicable outside of Japan and in many countries, for example the UK, government agencies 98 do not track data on over the counter sales. 99

100 Whilst not applicable for all APIs, topical formulations are also overlooked and the 101 consideration of their different pathway to wastewater missed. There are a number of examples 102 of this in the recent literature <sup>23,24,31–33</sup> despite the fact that a large proportion of topical 103 application is not absorbed and metabolised by the human body.<sup>34</sup>

This study presents a holistic approach, investigating the significance of OTC and topicalapplications in addition to temporal and subnational variation in use. To the authors knowledge,

106 no studies in the existing literature have investigated all these aspects together, and consider topical applications. In the present study, we assess the importance of including OTC sales and 107 topical applications, as well as any potential removal en route to WWTPs, when performing 108 109 environmental exposure assessment. Due to practical time limitations, and the labour-intensive process involved in making use of the OTC dataset, a subset of pharmaceuticals was chosen as 110 a proof of concept for this study, covering the main routes of emission and acquisition in the 111 UK, namely, ibuprofen (available via prescription, OTC, both oral and topical), diclofenac 112 (prescription, oral and topical, OTC topical), and ranitidine (prescription and OTC, oral only). 113 All three APIs were identified by Comber et al. (2018)<sup>11</sup> as APIs having a high potential to be 114 considered as candidate priority substances under the WFD. Since that publication, both 115 diclofenac and ibuprofen are currently being considered by the EU commission as candidates 116 for the priority substances list under the WFD <sup>35</sup>. The mass released to individual WWTPs 117 based on these data is calculated and compared with influent concentrations measured during 118 the CIP1-C2 project to validate the approach taken. Differences in regional and temporal 119 releases are assessed, as well as whether high temporal or regional resolution is required given 120 the extra effort to attain information to that level. The data sources and methods to use OTC 121 sales data identified in this paper can be used in many countries globally, including countries 122 where OTC sales data are not tracked by government agencies and could be used as an 123 alternative data source to government data in countries in which it is tracked. In addition, two 124 125 of the substances investigated are currently of high relevance to the EU commission.

#### 127 **2. METHODS**

Monthly prescription data for ibuprofen, diclofenac and ranitidine were obtained via 128 subscription, covering a 12-month period from April 2016 – March 2017, from the IQVIA 129 Prescription Service. IQVIA are an American multinational company serving industries of 130 health information technologies and clinical research. In the UK, they work with 131 pharmaceutical companies and the majority of NHS Trusts.<sup>36</sup> Weekly OTC sales for all 132 products in the UK containing ibuprofen, diclofenac and ranitidine covering the same period 133 were obtained via subscription from Nielsen Holdings, an American global information data 134 and measurements company who specialises in providing data on consumer goods.<sup>37</sup> 135

## 136 2.1 IMS (IQVIA) Prescription Data

The data obtained from IQVIA contained monthly post code level information on the number 137 138 of 'sales' of an individual product per postcode in the UK (excluding Ireland). In some cases, only the National Health Service (NHS) authority area was given. In these cases, a Google 139 search of the entire authority name + post code gave a list of postcodes within that authorities' 140 area. An online document was provided with the data providing the definitions of the 141 nomenclature (Health and Social Care Information Centre (HSCIC)).<sup>38</sup> The British National 142 Formulary (BNF)<sup>39</sup> name of each product gave information on the active ingredient and the 143 mass of the API per tablet or per dose in millilitres (this was converted to mg.ml<sup>-1</sup>). The 144 milligram per tablet and milligram per millilitre values were multiplied by the 'quantity' value 145 given in the data. The quantity value given was equal to the number of tablets or millilitres sold 146 (as defined by HSCIC). The resultant value was divided by 1,000,000 to give the amount of 147 API in kilograms per month per postcode. 148

In a number of cases the BNF name contained a brand instead of the name of the API. Toidentify the products containing the APIs of interest (ibuprofen, diclofenac or ranitidine), a

search of each product was performed using the electronic Medicines Compendium (eMC)<sup>40</sup>
website which contains up to date, easily accessible information about medicines licensed for
use in the UK. Products not containing one of the three APIs were removed from the data.

154 2.2 Nielsen Over the Counter Sales

The data obtained from Nielsen were treated in a similar fashion to the prescription data. The 155 same method as above was repeated to isolate products containing APIs of interest (ibuprofen, 156 diclofenac or ranitidine). As well as identifying the API, a search of the eMC database was 157 necessary to identify the mass of API in the specific products as this information was not given 158 in the dataset. Only some of the products were present within the eMC database, a combination 159 of other checks was used to confirm the amount of API per sale. Firstly, manufacturer's 160 websites product information pages were checked to confirm dosage. In some cases, products 161 were not present on manufacturer's current product range pages, presumably because that 162 particular product had been discontinued. In these cases, a Google search of the product name 163 164 or barcode given in the Nielsen dataset was performed and the API strength for products 165 appearing for sale within the UK with an exact name or barcode match were added to the Nielsen data. On occasion bar codes were essential, for example, one brand of product 166 containing ibuprofen had the same range of pack sizes for both 200 mg and 400 mg strength 167 tablets, it was not clear from the name which strength tablets corresponded to which sales data. 168 In this case the bar code information allowed confirmation and correct matching of API 169 strength with sales data. 170

171 The product strength was multiplied by the pack size (number of tablets, mls or grams). The 172 total API per pack was converted to kg and multiplied by the unit sales per week per product 173 to get the mass of API sold that week. The Nielsen data are comprehensive, although there are some limitations. Nielsen obtain sales data from collaborators and non-collaborators. Larger collaborators (86% of total coverage), provide census information on sales, providing every sale, every week for every store. Smaller collaborators provide every sale, every week for some stores, this representative sample is extrapolated for non-contributing stores appropriately. Smaller collaborators and noncollaborators (for which data are projected from larger collaborators) make up 14% of total coverage. This introduces some error into the OTC data which is not easily quantified.

# 181 2.3 Combining Mass Data for comparison with CIP2 data

The OTC and prescription data sets differed in their granularity with respect to time and 182 location. The Nielsen data were recorded weekly compared with the prescription data being 183 monthly. For location, the prescription data were recorded to post code level, whereas Nielsen 184 data were available for larger defined regions: England & Wales, Central, East of England, 185 Lancashire and English Border, London, North East, South & South East, South West, Wales 186 187 & West, and Yorkshire. To combine the data spatially, the postcodes making up each region 188 as defined by Nielsen were obtained with the rest of the Nielsen data. The relevant prescription data for those postcodes was pulled from the larger prescription datasets for each region 189 investigated and the total kg per region was calculated. 190

Prescription, OTC and CIP data were also not temporally aligned. For instance, the prescription data were measured from the 1<sup>st</sup> to the last of each month, the OTC data were given at sevenday intervals, which did not align with the beginning and end of each month, the CIP data were obtained at irregular time points across the months. To allow the combining of the OTC and prescription data and subsequent comparison with the CIP data, totals were obtained for each time period, per month for prescription and per week for OTC. The weekly totals for the OTC data were then divided by seven allowing this data to then be matched with each month of the 198 prescription data i.e. weeks that crossed monthly boundaries were split and added to the 199 relevant month.

Data were totalled before and after being transformed by absorption and metabolism data. This exercise resulted in totals for each API for each region per month and per year in addition to England and Wales. Subdivisions of the totals were calculated so the contribution of each subtype could be accounted for e.g. OTC topical vs prescription topical.

# 204 2.4 Calculating per person usage and release

To calculate region-specific per capita prescription and OTC consumption, we obtained 205 population data from the UK Office for National Statistics website.<sup>41</sup> We aggregated these 206 population counts to the level of Nielsen regions, based on the main post code areas included 207 in them, as defined by the first two letters and number.<sup>42</sup> Because population data were not 208 209 available at the same resolution, some minor errors might have been introduced. For example, Breckland is made up of postcodes IP24, IP25 and IP26. IP24 and IP25 are included in the 210 'East of England' Nielsen region, however IP26 falls within the 'Yorkshire' Nielsen region. 211 Since the population information for these specific areas was not broken out these were simply 212 included in the prevailing region, in this case 'East of England'. There were six of these 213 incidences overall and the error contribution was not found to be large, for example, the total 214 population of Breckland is 137,032, assuming equal distribution across post codes, 215 approximately one third is assigned to the incorrect region (<0.08 % of the UK population). 216 Monthly and yearly per person release rates were calculated for each region and England and 217 218 Wales and temporal and regional release patterns were statistically compared.

219 2.5 Calculating actual masses released after adsorption, metabolism and excretion

Once the total amounts of prescription and sales data had been tallied, we accounted for the amount of parent API excreted. The amount of API excreted after metabolism was the key factor for products taken orally and was relevant for ibuprofen, diclofenac and ranitidine. Ibuprofen and diclofenac were also found in many topically applied products, here there were two pathways to wastewater to consider. First, API absorbed through the skin, metabolised and excreted like the orally taken form and second, API not absorbed or metabolised (as shown in eq 1).

227 (1) 
$$E_t = M_t \cdot f_a \cdot f_{met} + M_t \cdot (1 - f_a)$$

where  $E_t$  is the emission to wastewater for a topical product;  $M_t$  is the mass of API in the topical product;  $f_a$  is the absorption of the topical product and  $f_{met}$  is the fraction of the parent API released after metabolism.

We assumed that 100% of the product that is not absorbed is released to wastewater. After 231 product use, we assumed that consumers will wash the remaining product off using water in a 232 sink as per the usage instructions. Product not fully absorbed into the skin will be transferred 233 234 to clothes or bedding and will be subsequently washed. Whilst it is possible a consumer may 235 use tissue paper to remove excess product and dispose via solid waste streams, we anticipated that most will wash hands due to the medicinal nature of the product and attempt to avoid 236 applying gel to other parts of the body accidentally (as per the usage instructions). Some of the 237 applied product may enter the environment via skin cell turnover, and we assumed that the 238 majority of skin cells with product on or in them will be lost either whilst wearing clothes, 239 washing or sleeping (with subsequent washing of clothes and bedding). Additionally, any 240 remaining on the skin at the site of application that is not adsorbed into clothing or bedding is 241 likely to be lost when bathing or showering.<sup>34</sup> 242

243 Ibuprofen undergoes significant metabolism in humans and is predominantly excreted via urine (~99%).<sup>43,44</sup> Data identified for the excretion of ibuprofen from human urine, as conjugate and 244 free, is presented in the supplementary information (SI table 1). Due to the wide range of values 245 found in the literature, we used the median value of 10.7% as the fraction of free and conjugated 246 ibuprofen excreted. A number of studies in the literature show that it is necessary to consider 247 releases of the conjugates as it appears that these may be readily converted back to the parent 248 249 molecule in the environment or waste water treatment process via hydrolysation or enzymes present in treatment plants.<sup>22,45–47</sup> 250

A number of studies have investigated the bioavailability of topically applied ibuprofen 251 252 compared with the orally taken drug, both in vivo and in vitro. Most studies performed in this area were not focussed on skin kinetics and do not provide clarity on the total mass of the active 253 ingredient entering the body. Instead, the focus was on the amount of ibuprofen systemically 254 bioavailable in the blood plasma as a percentage of what is available via the oral route. These 255 studies do not factor in the importance of skin pharmacokinetics, including the ability of skin 256 metabolism to affect how topically applied drugs enter the body as discussed by Nair et al. 257 (2013).<sup>43,48–50</sup> Hadgraft, Whitefield, and Rosher (2003)<sup>51</sup> provide values more suitable for use 258 in this work; they performed *in vitro* testing on six different types of formulations including 259 260 gels, providing percentage values for the amount of applied active ingredient passing into and through the skin. The data are summarised in the supplementary information in SI Table 2 and 261 show that the form of delivery is a key factor in the total absorption. We found that there were 262 only three variations of gel formulation in the data sold under different brands. Absorption 263 percentages (4.27-25.22%) were assigned based on the Hadgraft, Whitefield, and Rosher 264  $(2003)^{51}$  data. 265

Diclofenac is metabolised to a large extent before excretion. According to Davies and
 Anderson (1997)<sup>52</sup>, approximately 2% is excreted unchanged in urine, whilst diclofenac only

268 leaves the body via the faeces after it has been metabolised. We assumed that anything in faeces does not contribute to the influent concentrations measured during the CIP project (samples 269 were filtered and only the dissolved fraction measured). Thus, the value for urine excretion is 270 271 used, along with the percentage absorbed topically, to calculate the total diclofenac being excreted into the environment. Two recently published studies give conflicting results on the 272 absorption of different diclofenac formulations through the skin ex vivo. Haltner-Ukomadu et 273 al. (2019)<sup>53</sup> give absorptions between 12.5 to 35.1% using parafilm occlusion, known to 274 enhance absorption. Pradal et al. (2019)<sup>54</sup> found relatively low values in comparison, with 275 276 absorption fractions between 0.077% and 0.54% for two of the same formulations with no occlusion, but over a shorter time period. Both studies compared the rate of absorption between 277 emulsion and hydrogel diclofenac formulations. The eMC website contains regulated and 278 approved information on medicines available in the UK<sup>40</sup>, information on pharmacokinetics is 279 given by pharmaceutical companies in 'Summaries of Product Characteristics'. The total 280 absorption value given for the most representative diclofenac formulation is 6%, which appears 281 to be based on Reiss *et al.*  $(1986)^{55-57}$ . This value is used for the absorption of topical diclofenac 282 in this study due to both the extreme variation in the more recent studies, and its publication 283 by eMC. 284

Ranitidine is an orally taken drug, therefore only the excretion of unchanged drug is of interest in this study. Kortejärvi *et al.*  $(2005)^{58}$  summarise the literature on the pharmacokinetics of ranitidine, concluding between 25 - 30% can be excreted as unchanged drug. A conservative value of 30% has been used in calculating the release to wastewater of the total mass of ranitidine used.

## 290 2.6 Chemical Investigations Programme (CIP1-C2) Data

Comber et al. (2018)<sup>11</sup> provides great detail on the methods and their reliability pertaining to 291 the data generated during the CIP 2 project. Briefly, samples were collected by 292 stratified/random spot sampling with sampling at approximately monthly intervals. A 293 294 minimum of 15% of samples were taken during non-working hours (evenings and weekends) to ensure coverage of variation occurring during the day. The samples were filtered, collected 295 in stainless steel samplers, stored in glass containers and transported at 4 °C to the analysis 296 laboratories. The samples were stored a maximum of 5 days prior to analysis. All analysis was 297 by laboratories with ISO17025 accreditation. Methods used for the determination of 298 299 pharmaceuticals were all based on variants of High Performance Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry or Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry.<sup>11</sup> 300

Under the CIP scheme, not all WWTPs were measured over the same time period. OTC sales
data could only be obtained back to the beginning of 2016, therefore, WWTPs with influent
measurements taken throughout 2016-2017 were selected for this study. A range of plant sizes
were selected with generated loads ranging from 7,901 to 168,863 population equivalent (PE).
For confidentiality purposes, the names of the plants are not given. However, relevant details
are provided in the results section.

Measurements of influent concentrations were taken throughout the year, in some cases 307 308 multiple measurements were taken in a month, whilst others may have had one or none. Multiple values were taken in 63% of the months measured. To allow comparison with the 309 310 monthly API mass data, means and standard deviations were calculated for months with multiple measurements and used in the comparisons for each plant. For comparison with yearly 311 totals, the mean concentration and standard deviation across the year was calculated for each 312 plant. Using Tukey's IQR method a number of extreme outliers were removed from the influent 313 measurements, detailed information on this process and values removed can be found in the 314 315 supplementary information under 'Anomaly removal'.

Within the EU, a per capita wastewater contribution of 200 l.d<sup>-1</sup> is recommended in ECHA 317 guidance<sup>59,60</sup>. Greater amounts of water entering WWTPs will result in lower API 318 concentrations, which will be further diluted in surface waters. The default of 200 l.d<sup>-1</sup> is likely 319 on the high side for the UK, a lower value of 150 l.d<sup>-1</sup> has been previously suggested as an 320 average per capita usage<sup>7</sup>. A more recent in depth analysis of water usage was conducted across 321 322 the UK by DiscoverWater.co.uk, a grouping together of multiple bodies concerned with water management within the UK including amongst others, Water UK, Ofwat, and the Environment 323 agency<sup>61</sup>. This website shows up to date information on UK water usage, however data for 324 previous years is better presented elsewhere. Love2Laundry.com has linked to and displays 325 more detailed information from the Discoverwater dataset, including historic data from 326 previous years. Data include water usage across the different regions as well as the average 327 yearly per capita water usage across the whole UK which was 141 l.d<sup>-1</sup> in 2016-17<sup>62</sup>. This value 328 is significantly lower than defaults assumed in EU guidance. Influent water flows may contain 329 330 contributions from runoff and industry, however it was difficult to account for these in a meaningful way based on the data available. In an effort to highlight or make visible how any 331 industry contribution might affect the data, WWTPs were selected from urban (presumed to 332 333 have industrial inputs), suburban and rural (presumed to have low or no industrial inputs) settings. The assumption that those in suburban and rural settings would have minimal 334 industrial input (if any) was deemed reasonable based on inspection of these areas using 335 Google<sup>TM</sup> Maps. It was assumed that there is no API in runoff or manufactured in industry near 336 the plants selected although it is acknowledged that the dilution is a significant source of 337 variability in this work. 338

To allow a comparison of the mass of each API released with the influent data, we performedthe following actions. To obtain an expected mass heading to a specific WWTP, the regional

341 per person per month mass was multiplied by the PE (as a proxy for the population served) of the respective WWTP. The influent concentration data was transformed to a mass by 342 multiplying the average UK water usage per person per day by the PE to account for dilution, 343 the previously discussed value of 141 l.p<sup>-1</sup>.d<sup>-1</sup> was used in this calculation. The use of a constant 344 dilution is a significant source of error, however data on flow that coincide with the measured 345 influent concentrations were not available. Regression analysis was performed on monthly 346 predictions to assess how well the expected mass released predicted the actual mass in the 347 influent. 348

349 2.8 Statistical Analysis

Using Tukey's IQR method a number of extreme outliers were removed from the influent measurements, detailed information on this process and values removed can be found in the supplementary information under 'Anomaly removal'.

353 One-way ANOVA was performed to look for statistical differences across the months and 354 across the regions for each the per capita release of each API. Where a statistical difference 355 was found a *post hoc* Tukey test was performed.

356 Data processing was performed in Microsoft Excel 2016 with more detailed statistical analysis
357 being performed in JASP (version 0.11.1).

#### 358 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### 359 *3.1 Contribution of prescription, OTC, oral and topical consumption to regional use*

The total mass of each API sold or prescribed in 2016-17 can be found in Table 1. For ibuprofen 360 and diclofenac, OTC sales make up a significant portion of the total mass of API used by the 361 populace per year. This is most significant for ibuprofen, where OTC sales make up 76.16% 362 of the total mass. Prescriptions are more important for ranitidine, with just 4.88% of the total 363 mass coming from OTC sales. With regards to OTC sales, orally taken forms of ibuprofen 364 made up a significantly higher portion of the total mass in 2016 at 98.13%. This was in contrast 365 to diclofenac where the mass contributed from topical OTC sales was nearly 99.99%. The sale 366 of oral diclofenac OTC was actually banned in the UK in January 2015<sup>63</sup>, the small amount of 367 sales data showing oral OTC sales is therefore likely an artefact introduced by the information 368 369 gathering techniques used by Nielsen described in the methods section. Combining prescription and OTC data, topical applications of ibuprofen made up 7.9% of the total mass in 2016. 370 371 Diclofenac topical applications were more significant with 63.1% of the mass contribution, when considering prescription and OTC uses. 372

Overall, 409.5 tonnes of ibuprofen, 44 tonnes of ranitidine and 8.5 tonnes of diclofenac were 373 released to the UK public through prescriptions and OTC sales in 2016. SI Table 3 shows the 374 mass of API used per capita in each region across England and Wales in detail. The data 375 376 demonstrate that regional preferences for self-medication (with respect to pain relief and heart 377 burn) vary. For example, the OTC per person usage of ibuprofen is higher in the 'London' and 378 'South & South East' regions when compared with the average across England and Wales. However, the amount prescribed is lower than the average across England and Wales. This is 379 380 in contrast to the 'North East' region, where total usage is fairly representative of England and Wales as a whole. However, in this region the prescription rates per person are higher than the 381

average across England and Wales with OTC sales being lower than average when compared
with England and Wales. Similar patterns can be observed across the data for both diclofenac
and ranitidine.

Table 1. Total mass of each API sold OTC or prescribed from 01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017 in
England and Wales

387 *3.2 Wastewater releases of prescription, OTC, oral and topical APIs* 

Table 2 displays the totals for each API released to wastewater, calculated after topical absorption (where applicable) and metabolism. For both diclofenac and ibuprofen, OTC contributions make up over 50% of the API mass released. As can be seen from these data, a significant proportion of API mass comes from OTC sales. In agreement with previous work, depending on the API, not accounting for contributions from OTC sales could lead to significant underprediction of exposure when comparing with MECs.<sup>22</sup>

The large releases from OTC diclofenac (where prescription usage accounts for a larger portion 394 395 of the mass being used) is explained by the relative contributions of topical and oral applications. OTC sales for diclofenac are nearly all attributable to topical application. Based 396 on absorption and release percentages, 1.99% of the oral mass of diclofenac used is released to 397 wastewater compared with 94.1% of the topical mass used. It is a similar story for ibuprofen, 398 94.4% of the total topical mass used is released to wastewater compared with 10.7% of the 399 orally taken drug. This means that despite the use of orally taken ibuprofen being over 10-fold 400 greater (376,996 vs 32,465 kg year<sup>-1</sup>), the amount released to the environment is less than 1.5-401 fold greater (40,338 vs 30,643 kg year<sup>-1</sup>). These values are of course subject to the assumptions 402 that any unabsorbed API is emitted to wastewater for topical applications. This assumption is 403 404 discussed in the methods section and is based on previous work on so-called secondary routes of environmental exposure in Daughton et al. (2009).<sup>34</sup> Here it is shown that topical 405

406 applications contribute a disproportionally high environmental loading and are clearly an important source of releases to wastewater for certain APIs. Depending on skin absorption, 407 topical applications have the potential to contribute much greater quantities per unit mass used 408 409 compared with oral because the unabsorbed fraction is not metabolised. Steps to mitigate environmental loadings of topically applied APIs have previously been discussed by Daughton 410 and Ruhoy (2009),<sup>34</sup> who suggest a number of pollution reducing measures for topical 411 applications, including providing absorbent wipes to remove excess product after application, 412 or the development of more accurate dispensers preventing wastage. Recent trends for 413 414 ibuprofen products include topical patches, with any remaining unabsorbed API left in the patch to be discarded in the solid waste stream. These might be a more environmentally friendly 415 alternative to topical gels for similar reasons. It is clear that exposure estimates of APIs can be 416 417 improved by incorporating OTC consumption but that it is equally important to consider product format and all routes of exposure beyond oral prescription when assessing the 418 environmental exposure of APIs. The contribution of each route of exposure and acquisition is 419 key in a regulatory context. Where APIs become priority substances under the WFD, EU 420 member states have a legal obligation to comply with set EQS values and where these are not 421 422 met, must take action to reduce environmental concentrations. Identifying contributing factors and balancing them with human benefits is a key consideration. 423

Table 2. Total mass of API released to the environment after absorption and metabolism from
01/04/2016 to 31/03/2017

426 *3.3 Variation in regional and temporal releases* 

Monthly and annual per capita release rates after absorption and metabolism are shown in SI
Table 4, for each API at both the national level (England & Wales) and at the level of individual
regions. The per capita usage for England and Wales was calculated by dividing up the total

430 mass by population, rather than being a mean of the other per capita values. One-way ANOVA was performed to look for statistical differences across the months and across the regions for 431 each API. No statistical differences were found between the monthly release rates. A statistical 432 433 difference was found between the regional releases so a *post hoc* Tukey test was performed. Most regions were statistically different from each other (statistically different regions can be 434 viewed in SI table 4). A large variation was found between regions, the range in yearly per 435 436 capita usage, as a percentage of the national per capita use, was 43% for ibuprofen, 50% for diclofenac and 76% for ranitidine. For ibuprofen, the 'North East', 'South West', 'Wales & 437 438 West' and 'Yorkshire' were all significantly different to the national per capita usage of 'England and Wales'. A lower number of regions were considered statistically similar to the 439 national region for the other two APIs. Only the 'Central', 'London', 'South and South East' 440 441 and 'South West' were statistically similar to national usage for diclofenac, and only 'South 442 and South East' and 'South West' regions were similar for ranitidine.

It is difficult to explain or postulate the reasons for the large differences between regions in the 443 444 context of this study alone. These numbers could be indicative of the overall health of a region, 445 linked to age demographics or could be down to differences in the culture relating to self-care or medicine use. An analysis of the data against other epidemiological data might help to shed 446 447 light on these differences. For the purposes of this study, it can be concluded that using a per capita use rate for a whole country in a region or site-specific exposure assessment could 448 introduce significant error in any modelling exercise as suggested by He et al. (2020).<sup>31</sup> There 449 is a clear benefit to using region-specific use data where possible as shown by the statistically 450 significant differences between a number of regions when compared with the total per capita 451 usage for the 'England and Wales' national region. 452

453 3.4 Comparison of mass released with mass in influent

454 The influent masses of all three APIs, back-calculated from the influent concentrations measured, are predicted reasonably well by the mass released, as calculated from sales and 455 prescription data (Figure 1). However, there is a consistent overestimation of the mass in 456 influent for all three APIs. This overestimation is greater for diclofenac, for which a larger 457 proportion of values fall outside of the two-fold and five-fold lines. The factor differences 458 between the expected mass and the mass in influent for each API can be seen in the 459 supplementary information. For ibuprofen, the median factor difference was 1.46 with a 95<sup>th</sup> 460 percentile value of 3.63. The median factor difference for diclofenac was 3.16 with a 95<sup>th</sup> 461 462 percentile of 12.14, and for ranitidine the values were 2.03 and 5.69 respectively.

463 Whilst there might be multiple factors leading to the overestimation of the influent mass, it is common to all three APIs and appears to be independent of API format or route of acquisition 464 and the size or location type of the WWTPs. It was expected that the urban WWTPs included 465 466 in the study might have significant industrial wastewater contributions which would lead to a greater overestimation of influent mass relative to the suburban and rural WWTPs, however no 467 clear patterns are visible across the data suggesting that the industrial inputs are either not as 468 high as anticipated for the urban WWTPs, or contribute wastewater that is of similar structure 469 470 to that produced by resident populations and is therefore taken into account in the PE capacity 471 of each WWTP (which is calculated based on an assumed BOD load per person). Overall this suggests an additional factor needs to be considered when predicting influent concentrations. 472 Multiple studies have identified that a significant amount of removal via biodegradation and 473 other processes can occur during sewer transport.<sup>20,21</sup> To assess whether in-sewer removal 474 could reasonably explain the overestimation for each API, the mean overestimation of the 475 influent mass was divided by a range of sewer retention times (one to six hours) to give a range 476 of hypothetical in-sewer removal rates. These removal rates were compared to WWTP removal 477 rates identified in recent literature.<sup>12,13</sup> Theoretical removal rates appear within reason for 478

ibuprofen (0.05 - 0.32 h<sup>-1</sup> compared to 0.15 - 1.5 h<sup>-1</sup>), however the theoretical levels of insewer removal for diclofenac (0.1 – 0.62 h<sup>-1</sup> compared to 0 – 0.1 h<sup>-1</sup>) and ranitidine (0.08 – 0.49 h<sup>-1</sup> compared to 0.09 h<sup>-1</sup>) were only realistic for the longest theoretical sewer residence time of six hours.

Whilst the literature supports the hypothesis that in-sewer removal is contributing to the over 483 estimation of influent mass, other factors appear to be playing a role, particularly for diclofenac 484 and ranitidine. Bound et al. (2005)<sup>64</sup> performed a survey in England finding that just over 50% 485 of respondents finished their medication, a third kept their pharmaceuticals until the expiration 486 date (disposing of the left-overs at that point), with the remainder disposing of their 487 488 pharmaceuticals once treatment was complete. Approximately 70% of respondents disposed of used pharmaceuticals via the solid waste stream. Some of the variation could be accounted for 489 by differences in how consumers use OTC vs prescription drugs with presumably less variation 490 491 in the correct amount of drug being prescribed by doctors, and patient conformity to taking the full course of treatment. Another factor might be the method of delivery, for example, there are 492 493 less variety in pack sizes for topical applications compared with oral, potentially leading to 494 more frequent over-prescribing or purchasing. Topical application makes up a larger proportion of use for diclofenac, therefore an over assumption in the amount of API washed off might 495 cause a larger overestimation of API release compared to ibuprofen. Repeating this exercise 496 with oral and prescription only APIs measured in the CIP influent data might eliminate a 497 significant proportion of the variability and could allow reasonably accurate sewer removal 498 rates for APIs to be derived. However, Johnson et al. (2004)<sup>15</sup> have demonstrated that 499 accounting for the in-sewer removal of different API metabolites is complex. There is limited 500 data collected on APIs or other chemicals in this regard. 501

502 Figure 1. Scatter plot with a logarithmic scale (base 10) comparing absolute values of the

total daily mass of ibuprofen, diclofenac and ranitidine released to the sewer (x-axis) with the

back calculated mass measured in influent (y-axis) across all WWTPs. Lines show 0, 2- and
5-fold differences. Each point represents the comparison of a measured and predicted influent
value.

507

508 *3.5 Influence of sewer retention time* 

As the mass calculation for release is the per capita use rate multiplied by the PE of each 509 WWTP and the influent mass is calculated using the per capita dilution, normalised per capita 510 residual plots were made to identify any trends in the overestimation of the influent mass as 511 512 plant size increases (residual plots can be found in the supplementary information SI figures 1-6). Figure 1 (in addition to SI Figure 1-3) shows that for each API, there is no increasing over 513 estimation, and therefore in-sewer removal, as plant size increases. This is in contrast to Kapo 514 et al. (2017)<sup>20</sup> who suggest median sewer residence times differ based on treatment facility size 515 in the USA. Other data in the literature indicate that sewer retention time does not necessarily 516 follow a predictable pattern. Holt et al. (1998)<sup>65</sup> quote a mean measured sewer retention time 517 of two hours based on six WWTPs in Yorkshire (UK), although no explanation is given on 518 where this value came from (e.g. whether it was obtained by company survey). A survey of 519 wastewater treatment plant operators across Europe by Ort et al. (2014)<sup>66</sup> gives a median sewer 520 retention time of approximately four hours.<sup>20</sup> The residence times were provided in response 521 to a questionnaire given to WWTP managers, the approaches with which the surveyed 522 treatment plants determined their sewer residence time in each case are unfortunately not 523 stated.<sup>66</sup> During the work performed here a short exercise was performed to assess whether the 524 median sewer residence times defined in Kapo et al. (2017) were able to predict the sewer 525 residence times given in Ort et al. (2014)<sup>66</sup> based on the design capacity and population served 526 census data given in their supplementary information. Residence times were assigned based on 527

528 the plant capacity and plotted against the residence times given in the survey, a poor relationship was observed ( $R^2 = 0.057$ ). The census population and design capacity were also 529 plotted against the residence times, however poor relationships ( $R^2 = 0.059$  and 0.06) 530 respectively) were observed here too. These data indicate that it may be necessary to assess 531 sewer retention time on an individual site basis, or that other factors may need consideration, 532 such as when and how the sewer system was designed and built. Whilst sewer retention time 533 534 may not vary in a predictable way, the data here appear to agree with recent literature suggesting that in-sewer removal should be considered in exposure modelling exercises, 535 536 however further study is required to separate the amount of in-sewer removal from other sources of overestimation. 537

### 538 *3.6 Conclusions*

The results show that OTC sales and topical product formats can contribute significantly to the 539 mass of APIs released to wastewater with topical formats contributing more per unit mass used 540 541 than oral formats (for the APIs included here). This is of great significance to the current 542 science surrounding the environmental risk assessment of pharmaceuticals given the lack of consideration previously given to topical formats and their emissions. Exposure estimates of 543 APIs clearly need to incorporate all routes of acquisition and product format types to be truly 544 representative of the API under consideration. In addition to improving exposure science, these 545 findings are of regulatory importance with regards to the future assessment of APIs which end 546 up being regulated under the WFD and the subsequent legal obligation EU member states will 547 have in complying with EQS values. 548

549 Significant regional differences in API per capita usage were found, although no significant 550 month to month temporal variation was observed. It is therefore concluded that assessing the 551 exposure of an API using a per capita use rate for a whole country could introduce significant error at the region or site-specific level and there is a clear benefit to using region-specific usedata where possible.

Mass to wastewater releases were predicted well when compared with the mass in influent back calculated from the CIP data. A consistent overestimation of the mass in influent was observed, however. The overestimation was attributed to a number of potential factors, including consumer habits e.g. not using all of the medication purchased, assumptions made in mass calculations and in-sewer removal, however further work to assess the importance of each factor is recommended and is required to increase the accuracy of environmental exposure assessments for APIs.

The study provides methods for incorporating OTC API data into environmental exposure assessments that can be used in a wide range of countries. Nielsen gather data globally, in 100+ countries, the methods used herein are therefore applicable to any country where government agencies do not gather data on OTC sales (such as the UK and many others) and could allow for the incorporation of OTC data more widely. The authors encourage the use of the methods detailed herein to investigate the OTC contribution of other APIs where this data is available.

567

Supporting information. One excel file is provided as supplementary information containing;
SI tables 1-4, anomaly removal method description, volume data on ibuprofen, diclofenac and
ranitidine.

571 Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the Annette Blackman of IQVIA and Ryan Milburn of Nielsen, for their patience and help in responding to requests for prescription and OTC datasets, the coordinator of the CIP programme UK Water Industry Research (UKWIR) for authorising the use of the information reported here, and the UK Water Utility companies Anglian, Dwr Cymru,

576 Northumbrian, Scottish, Severn Trent, Southern, South West, Thames, United Utilities,

577 Wessex and Yorkshire Water for their considerable efforts in generating it.

578

579 Funding:

580 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,581 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

#### 582 **References**

583 1. Bennadi, D. Self-medication: A current challenge. J. Basic Clin. Pharm. 5, 19 (2014).

584 2. IQVIA. The Global Use of Medicine in 2019 and Outlook to 2023.

- https://www.iqvia.com/insights/the-iqvia-institute/reports/the-global-use-of-medicinein-2019-and-outlook-to-2023 (2019).
- aus der Beek, T., Weber, F. A., Bergmann, A., Hickmann, S., Ebert, I., Hein, A. &
   Küster, A. Pharmaceuticals in the environment-Global occurrences and perspectives.
   *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 35, 823–835 (2016).
- 5904.EC. Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23
- 591 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water
  592 policy. Official Journal L 327 P. 0001-0073 (2000).
- 593 5. EC. DIRECTIVE 2008/105/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE
- 594 *COUNCIL of 16 December 2008 on environmental quality standards in the field of*
- 595 *water policy, amending and subsequently repealing Council Directives* 82/176/EEC,
- 596 *83/513/EEC*, *84/156/EEC*, *84/491/EEC*, *86/280/EEC* and amending Directive
- 597 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council. (2008).
- 598 6. EC. European Commission, European Parliament legislative resolution of 2 July 2013
- 599 *on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council*
- 600 *amending Directives 2000/60/EC and 2008/105/EC as regards priority substances in*
- 601 *the field of water policy.* (2013).
- Comber, S., Gardner, M., Jones, V. & Ellor, B. Source apportionment of trace
  contaminants in urban sewer catchments. *Environ. Technol. (United Kingdom)* 36,
  573–587 (2015).

605	8.	UK Water Industry Research (UKWIR). The UKWIR Chemicals Investigation
606		Programme – A Mid-programme Update. https://ukwir.org/site/web/news/news-
607		items/ukwir-chemicals-investigation-programme (2012).
608	9.	Gardner, M., Comber, S., Scrimshaw, M. D., Cartmell, E., Lester, J. & Ellor, B. The
609		significance of hazardous chemicals in wastewater treatment works effluents. Sci.
610		<i>Total Environ.</i> <b>437</b> , 363–372 (2012).
611	10.	Gardner, M., Jones, V., Comber, S., Scrimshaw, M. D., Coello-Garcia, T., Cartmell,
612		E., Lester, J. & Ellor, B. Performance of UK wastewater treatment works with respect
613		to trace contaminants. Sci. Total Environ. 456-457, 359-369 (2013).
614	11.	Comber, S., Gardner, M., Sörme, P., Leverett, D. & Ellor, B. Active pharmaceutical
615		ingredients entering the aquatic environment from wastewater treatment works: A
616		cause for concern? Sci. Total Environ. 613–614, 538–547 (2018).
617	12.	Comber, S., Gardner, M., Sörme, P. & Ellor, B. The removal of pharmaceuticals
618		during wastewater treatment: Can it be predicted accurately? Sci. Total Environ. 676,
619		222–230 (2019).
620	13.	Oldenkamp, R., Hoeks, S., Čengić, M., Barbarossa, V., Burns, E. E., Boxall, A. B. A.
621		& Ragas, A. M. J. A High-Resolution Spatial Model to Predict Exposure to
622		Pharmaceuticals in European Surface Waters: EPiE. Environ. Sci. Technol. 52, 12494–
623		12503 (2018).
624	14.	Koormann, F., Rominger, J., Schowanek, D., Wagner, J. O., Schröder, R., Wind, T.,
625		Silvani, M. & Whelan, M. J. Modeling the fate of down-the-drain chemicals in rivers:
626		An improved software for GREAT-ER. Environ. Model. Softw. 21, 925–936 (2006).
627	15.	Johnson, A. C. & Williams, R. J. A model to estimate influent and effluent

- 628 concentrations of estradiol, estrone, and ethinylestradiol at sewage treatment works.
  629 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 38, 3649–3658 (2004).
- 630 16. Williams, R. J., Keller, V. D., Johnson, A. C., Young, A. R., Holmes, M. G., Wells, C.,
- 631 Gross-Sorokin, M. & Benstead, R. A national risk assessment for intersex in fish
- arising from steroid estrogens (Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry (2009) 28
- 633 (220-230)). Environ. Toxicol. Chem. **28**, 220–230 (2009).
- 17. Anderson, P. D., D'Aco, V. J., Shanahan, P., Chapra, S. C., Buzby, M. E.,
- 635 Cunningham, V. L., Duplessie, B. M., Hayes, E. P., Mastrocco, F. J., Parke, N. J.,
- 636 Rader, J. C., Samuelian, J. H. & Schwab, B. W. Screening Analysis of Human
- 637 Pharmaceutical Compounds in U.S. Surface Waters. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 38, 838–
  638 849 (2004).
- Bumont, E., Williams, R., Keller, V., Voß, A. & Tattari, S. Modélisation d'indicateurs
  de sécurité de l'eau, de pollution de l'eau, et de biodiversité aquatique en Europe. *Hydrol. Sci. J.* 57, 1378–1403 (2012).
- Kapo, K. E., Deleo, P. C., Vamshi, R., Holmes, C. M., Ferrer, D., Dyer, S. D., Wang,
  X. & White-Hull, C. iSTREEM®: An approach for broad-scale in-stream exposure
  assessment of 'down-the-drain' chemicals. *Integr. Environ. Assess. Manag.* 12, 782–
  792 (2016).
- Kapo, K. E., Paschka, M., Vamshi, R., Sebasky, M. & McDonough, K. Estimation of
  U.S. sewer residence time distributions for national-scale risk assessment of down-thedrain chemicals. *Sci. Total Environ.* 603–604, 445–452 (2017).
- Lindberg, R. H., Östman, M., Olofsson, U., Grabic, R. & Fick, J. Occurrence and
  behaviour of 105 active pharmaceutical ingredients in sewage waters of a municipal

651

sewer collection system. Water Res. 58, 221–229 (2014).

- Burns, E. E., Thomas-Oates, J., Kolpin, D. W., Furlong, E. T. & Boxall, A. B. A. Are
  exposure predictions, used for the prioritization of pharmaceuticals in the environment,
  fit for purpose? *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 36, 2823–2832 (2017).
- 655 23. Carballa, M., Omil, F. & Lema, J. M. Comparison of predicted and measured
- concentrations of selected pharmaceuticals, fragrances and hormones in Spanish
  sewage. *Chemosphere* 72, 1118–1123 (2008).
- Oosterhuis, M., Sacher, F. & ter Laak, T. L. Prediction of concentration levels of
  metformin and other high consumption pharmaceuticals in wastewater and regional
  surface water based on sales data. *Sci. Total Environ.* 442, 380–388 (2013).
- 661 25. Riva, F., Zuccato, E. & Castiglioni, S. Prioritization and analysis of pharmaceuticals
  662 for human use contaminating the aquatic ecosystem in Italy. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.*663 106, 71–78 (2015).
- 664 26. Saunders, L. J., Mazumder, A. & Lowe, C. J. Pharmaceutical concentrations in
- screened municipal wastewaters in Victoria, British Columbia: A comparison with
  prescription rates and predicted concentrations. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 35, 919–929
  (2016).
- 668 27. Ort, C., Lawrence, M. G., Reungoat, J., Eaglesham, G., Carter, S. & Keller, J.
- Determining the fraction of pharmaceutical residues in wastewater originating from a
  hospital. *Water Res.* 44, 605–615 (2010).
- 671 28. Guo, J., Sinclair, C. J., Selby, K. & Boxall, A. B. A. Toxicological and
- 672 ecotoxicological risk-based prioritization of pharmaceuticals in the natural
- 673 environment. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **35**, 1550–1559 (2016).

674	29.	Verlicchi, P., Al Aukidy, M., Jelic, A., Petrović, M. & Barceló, D. Comparison of
675		measured and predicted concentrations of selected pharmaceuticals in wastewater and
676		surface water: A case study of a catchment area in the Po Valley (Italy). Sci. Total
677		Environ. 470–471, 844–854 (2014).
678	30.	Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., Dinsdale, R. M. & Guwy, A. J. The removal of
679		pharmaceuticals, personal care products, endocrine disruptors and illicit drugs during
680		wastewater treatment and its impact on the quality of receiving waters. Water Res. 43,
681		363–380 (2009).
682	31.	He, K., Borthwick, A. G., Lin, Y., Li, Y., Fu, J., Wong, Y. & Liu, W. Sale-based
683		estimation of pharmaceutical concentrations and associated environmental risk in the
684		Japanese wastewater system. Environ. Int. 139, 105690 (2020).
685	32.	Azuma, T., Nakada, N., Yamashita, N. & Tanaka, H. Evaluation of concentrations of
686		pharmaceuticals detected in sewage influents in Japan by using annual shipping and
687		sales data. Chemosphere 138, 770–776 (2015).
688	33.	Tauxe-Wuersch, A., De Alencastro, L. F., Grandjean, D. & Tarradellas, J. Occurrence
689		of several acidic drugs in sewage treatment plants in Switzerland and risk assessment.
690		Water Res. 39, 1761–1772 (2005).
691	34.	Daughton, C. G. & Ruhoy, I. S. Environmental footprint of pharmaceuticals: The
692		significance of factors beyond direct excretion to sewers. Environmental Toxicology

- 693 *and Chemistry* vol. 28 2495–2521 (2009).
- 694 35. DG Env. Priority Substances Review-next steps.

https://circabc.europa.eu/sd/a/97910629-048e-4b2b-90a2-fe520aab2adc/WG Chem

696 2020 Jan (7) Priority Substances Review - next steps.pdf (2020).

697 36. IQVIA. About IQVIA United Kingdom. https://www.iqvia.com/locations/uk-and-698 ireland.

- 699 37. Nielsen Company. About Us, What Consumers Watch and Buy.
- 700 http://www.nielsen.com/uk/en/about-us.html.
- 701 38. Health and Social Care Information Centre (hscic). General Practice Prescribing Data
- 702 (Presentation Level). Glossary of Term.
- https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20180328130852tf\_/http:/content.digital.n
- hs.uk/media/10686/Download-glossary-of-terms-for-GP-prescribing---presentation-
- 705 level/pdf/GP\_Prescribing\_Presentation\_Level\_Glossary\_of\_Terms.pdf/.
- 706 39. BNF. About | BNF Publications. https://www.bnf.org/about/.
- 40. Datapharm. About the eMC electronic Medicines Compendium (eMC).
- 708 https://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/about-the-emc.
- 41. Office for National Statistics. Population estimates for UK, England and Wales,
- 710 Scotland and Northern Ireland: mid-2016 Office for National Statistics.
- https://www.ons.gov.uk/releases/populationestimatesforukenglandandwalesscotlandan
  dnorthernirelandmid2016.
- 42. Doogal. UK Postcode Districts. https://www.doogal.co.uk/PostcodeDistricts.php.
- 43. Davies, N. M. Clinical pharmacokinetics of ibuprofen. The first 30 years. *Clin.*
- 715 *Pharmacokinet.* **34**, 101–154 (1998).
- 716 44. Mazaleuskaya, L. L., Theken, K. N., Gong, L., Thorn, C. F., Fitzgerald, G. A., Altman,
- 717 R. B. & Klein, T. E. PharmGKB summary: Ibuprofen pathways. *Pharmacogenet*.
- 718 *Genomics* **25**, 96–106 (2015).

- 719 45. Ternes, T. A. Occurrence of drugs in German sewage treatment plants and rivers.
  720 *Water Res.* 32, 3245–3260 (1998).
- 46. Ternes, T. A., Kreckel, P. & Mueller, J. Behaviour and occurrence of estrogens in
  municipal sewage treatment plants II. Aerobic batch experiments with activated
  sludge. *Sci. Total Environ.* 225, 91–99 (1999).
- Topp, E., Monteiro, S. C., Beck, A., Coelho, B. B., Boxall, A. B. A., Duenk, P. W.,
  Kleywegt, S., Lapen, D. R., Payne, M., Sabourin, L., Li, H. & Metcalfe, C. D. Runoff
  of pharmaceuticals and personal care products following application of biosolids to an
  agricultural field. *Sci. Total Environ.* **396**, 52–59 (2008).
- 48. Nair, A., Jacob, S., Al-Dhubiab, B., Attimarad, M. & Harsha, S. Basic considerations
  in the dermatokinetics of topical formulations. *Brazilian J. Pharm. Sci.* 49, 423–434
  (2013).
- 49. Kleinbloesem, C., Ouwerkerk, M., Spitznagel, W., Wilkinson, F. & Kaiser, R.
- Pharmacokinetics and Bioavailability of Percutaneous Ibuprofen. *Drug Res.* 11, (1995).
- 50. Barkin, R. L. Topical Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs: The Importance of
  Drug, Delivery, and Therapeutic Outcome. *Am. J. Ther.* 22, 388–407 (2015).
- Find Find Strategy Fi
- 52. Davies, N. M. & Anderson, K. E. Clinical Pharmacokinetics of Diclofenac. *Clin. Pharmacokinet.* 33, 184–213 (1997).

741	53.	Haltner-Ukomadu, E., Sacha, M., Richter, A. & Hussein, K. Hydrogel increases
742		diclofenac skin permeation and absorption. Biopharm. Drug Dispos. (2019)
743		doi:10.1002/bdd.2194.
744	54.	Pradal, J., Vallet, C., Frappin, G., Bariguian, F. & Lombardi, M. S. Importance of the
745		formulation in the skin delivery of topical diclofenac: not all topical diclofenac
746		formulations are the same. J. Pain Res. Volume 12, 1149–1154 (2019).
747	55.	GlaxoSmithKline Consumer Healthcare (UK) Trading Limited. Voltarol Back and
748		Muscle Pain Relief 1.16% Gel - Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC) - (emc).
749		https://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/product/8773/smpc (2018).
750	56.	GlaxoSmithKline Consumer Healthcare (UK) Trading Limited. Voltarol Medicated
751		Plaster - Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC) - (emc).
752		https://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/product/6992/smpc (2019).
753	57.	Riess, W., Schmid, K., Botta, L., Kobayashi, K., Moppert, J., Schneider, W., Sioufi,
754		A., Strusberg, A. & Tomasi, M. [The percutaneous absorption of diclofenac].
755		Arzneimittelforschung. 36, 1092–6 (1986).
756	58.	Kortejärvi, H., Yliperttula, M., Dressman, J. B., Junginger, H. E., Midha, K. K., Shah,
757		V. P. & Barends, D. M. Biowaiver monographs for immediate release solid oral
758		dosage forms: Ranitidine hydrochloride. J. Pharm. Sci. 94, 1617–1625 (2005).
759	59.	Struijs, J. SimpleTreat 4.0: a model to predict fate and emission of chemicals in
760		wastewater treatment plants Background report describing the equations SimpleTreat
761		4.0: a model to predict the fate and emission of chemicals in wastewater treatment
762		plants. Background . www.rivm.nl/en (2014).
763	60.	ECHA. Guidance on information requirements and Chemical Safety Assessment

764 *Chapter R.16: Environmental exposure assessment.* (2016).

- 765 61. Discoverwater. The amount we use. https://discoverwater.co.uk/amount-we-use766 (2020).
- 767 62. Love2Laundry. Water consumption in the UK.
- 768 https://www.love2laundry.com/blog/water-consumption-in-the-uk/ (2020).
- 63. GOV.UK. Diclofenac tablets now only available as a prescription medicine.

770 https://www.gov.uk/government/news/diclofenac-tablets-now-only-available-as-a-

- 771 prescription-medicine (2015).
- Bound, J. P. & Voulvoulis, N. Household disposal of pharmaceuticals as a pathway for
  aquatic contamination in the United Kingdom. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 113, 1705–
  1711 (2005).
- 775 65. Holt, M. S., Fox, K. K., Burford, M., Daniel, M. & Buckland, H. UK monitoring study
- on the removal of linear alkylbenzene sulphonate in trickling filter type sewage
- treatment plants. Contribution to GREAT-ER project # 2. *Sci. Total Environ.* 210–211,
   255–269 (1998).
- Ort, C. *et al.* Spatial differences and temporal changes in illicit drug use in Europe
  quantified by wastewater analysis. *Addiction* 109, 1338–1352 (2014).