

Site fidelity and movement patterns of short-finned pilot whales within the Canary Islands: evidence for resident and transient populations

SERVIDIO A.*^{1,2,3}; PÉREZ-GIL E.^{1,3}; PÉREZ-GIL M.^{1,3}; CAÑADAS A.⁴; HAMMOND P.S.²; MARTÍN V.¹

¹ Society for the Study of Cetacean in the Canary Archipelago (SECAC); mailing box 49, 35500 Arrecife, Lanzarote, Canary Islands, Spain.

² Sea Mammal Research Unit, Scottish Oceans Institute, University of St Andrews, Fife, KY16 8LB, Scotland, UK.

³ CEAMAR, Cetacean and Marine Research Institute of the Canary Islands; 35509 Playa Honda, Lanzarote, Canary Islands, Spain.

⁴ Alnilam Research and Conservation, 28491 Navacerrada, Madrid, Spain

1 ABSTRACT

2 1. The geographic location and oceanographic, physical and chemical water properties make the
3 Canary Islands one of the planet's biodiversity hotspots. The short-finned pilot whale (SFPW,
4 *Globicephala macrorhynchus*) is one of the archipelago's most commonly encountered species and
5 is potentially vulnerable to a range of anthropogenic pressures including habitat degradation,
6 acoustic pollution, fishing, whale-watching operations and shipping. Assessment of impact has not
7 been possible because of a lack of even basic information about occurrence and distribution.

8 2. Spatial and temporal distributions, ranging behaviour and residence patterns of short-finned pilot
9 whales were explored for the first time using survey and photo-identification data collected in the
10 Canary Islands between 1999 and 2012. In total, 1,081 pilot whale sightings were recorded during
11 70,620 km of search effort over 1,782 survey days.

12 3. Pilot whales were detected year-round and distributed non-uniformly within the archipelago, with
13 greater densities concentrated in patchy areas mainly on the leeward side of the main islands. In
14 total, 1,320 well-marked individuals were identified, which exhibited a large degree of variability in
15 site fidelity.

16 4. Different but not isolated sub-populations of pilot whales that share ranges and maintain social
17 interactions are apparently present in the Canary Islands. Strong evidence of an island-associated
18 sub-population was found, with a group of 50 “core resident” individuals associated particularly
19 with Tenerife. There are also “transient” individuals or temporary migrants, which, probably driven
20 by inter- and intraspecific competition, may travel long distances whilst using the archipelago as
21 part of a larger range.

22 5. These findings fill a major gap in the knowledge of this species occurrence, distribution,
23 movements and site fidelity in the archipelago and provide much needed data to allow the initiation
24 of informed conservation assessments and management actions.

25 **Keywords:** Archipelago, ocean, island, distribution, survey, environmental impact assessment,
26 mammals

27 ***Corresponding author:** Dr. A. Servidio; Tel.: +39 3935674353; E-mail: antonella@ceamar.org

28 1. INTRODUCTION

29 The analysis and description of space-use patterns have been crucial in understanding the ecology
30 of many mammalian populations (Adams & Davis, 1967; Inglis, Hood, Brown, & DeYoung, 1979),
31 providing important insights into animals' residency (T. H. Clutton-Brock, 1989), social
32 organization (Ostfeld, 1990), demography (T. Clutton-Brock & Albon, 1985) and distribution of
33 animals' resources in space and time (Brown & Orians, 1970). Studies to increase our
34 understanding of the drivers and scale of animal movement are integral components of the
35 information needed to manage human impacts on wild cetaceans (Hastie et al., 2003; Hooker,
36 Whitehead, & Gowans, 1999; Ingram & Rogan, 2002; Wilson, Thompson, & Hammond, 1997).

37 Individuals of most species are not distributed randomly and do not use all parts of their range with
38 the same intensity. Instead, some areas are used more frequently (Adams & Davis, 1967; Dixon &
39 Chapman, 1980) or differently (feeding, calving, etc.; Burt, 1943), forming characteristic patterns of
40 distribution, grouping, ranging, and association (Crook, Ellis, & Goss-Custard, 1976). Intra- or
41 inter-specific competition for the same resources in the same niche is one factor that may lead to
42 differential space use among individuals (Milinski & Parker, 1991).

43 In general, an animal's range should be large enough to provide adequate food resources to satisfy
44 energetic requirements (McNab, 1963) and there is a strong correlation between range size and the
45 way space within range is used, and the abundance, distribution, and predictability of food
46 resources (Davies & Houston, 1994). Where food resources are abundant and no aggressive
47 territorial neighbours are present, animals tend to use only as much as space as they need (King,
48 2002), and to stay in familiar areas rather than risk moving to other areas, even if they are more
49 productive (Switzer, 1993). If resources are uniformly spread throughout an area, animals also tend
50 to be uniformly distributed and, if the resources are defendable, often show territoriality behaviour
51 (Emlen & Oring, 1977). In less productive habitat and in areas characterised by patchy or limited
52 resources, animals tend to have larger and overlapping ranges (Harestad & Bunnell, 1979) because
53 they must travel farther to obtain enough food (T. Clutton-Brock, 1975; Schoener, 1968).

54 Marine mammals live in fluid, open environments with few boundaries, feed on mobile prey, and
55 have low transport costs per unit weight (Williams, 1999). Consequently, they are highly mobile
56 and tend to have larger ranges than terrestrial mammals of similar size (Tucker, Ord, & Rogers,
57 2014). Delphinids show a wide variety of site fidelity and ranging patterns; individuals may occupy
58 large ranges or be restricted in smaller areas; some display year-round residency patterns while

59 others are seasonal or “transient” visitors (Hunt et al., 2017; McGuire & Henningsen, 2007; Parra,
60 Corkeron, & Marsh, 2006; Silva et al., 2008; Zanardo, Parra, & Möller, 2016)

61 Pilot whales (genus *Globicephala*) are highly mobile and have been described as generally
62 nomadic, without defined migrations (Olson, 2009). Some north-south and seasonal inshore-
63 offshore movements related to prey movements or incursions of warm water have been, anyhow,
64 described for long-finned pilot whales (*Globicephala melas*) (Abend & Smith, 1999; Cañadas &
65 Sagarminaga, 2000; De Stephanis, Verborgh, et al., 2008).

66 The strong genetic differentiation between short-finned pilot whales (SFPWs, *Globicephala*
67 *macrorhynchus*) found in different oceans, between populations in Japan (Oremus et al., 2009) and
68 within the Pacific Ocean, suggest regional population structure and restricted movements within
69 ocean basins (e.g. Van Cise et al., 2016). Areas of continental shelf break with steep bathymetric
70 features and submarine canyons represent important feeding habitat for coastal short-finned pilot
71 whales, which have restricted ranges in the context of the basin-wise distribution of the species
72 (Thorne et al., 2017).

73 The stable presence of this species has been described in the oceanic and volcanic archipelagos of
74 Hawaii (Mahaffy, Baird, McSweeney, Webster, & Schorr, 2015), Madeira (Alves et al., 2013) and
75 the Canary Islands (Carrillo, Pérez-Vallazza, & Álvarez-Vázquez, 2010; Heimlich-Boran, 1993). A
76 considerable variability in residency patterns has been found, with some animals showing little site
77 fidelity but others exhibiting long-term fidelity to the main islands (Alves et al., 2013; Mahaffy et
78 al., 2015).

79 Previous studies of this species have found a stable presence in southwest Tenerife (Escorza,
80 Heimlich-Boran, & Heimlich-Boran, 1992; Heimlich-Boran, 1993; Montero & Arechavaleta, 1996)
81 but there is very little information about spatial and temporal distribution patterns in that area or
82 about their occurrence in other parts of the archipelago.

83 The waters around the Canary Islands include localised areas rich in nutrients (Arístegui et al.,
84 2006; Arístegui et al., 1989; Arístegui et al., 1997), which may provide suitable habitat and
85 sufficient resources to support one or more “resident” populations of SFPWs. Such populations
86 would be expected to show high fidelity to local areas and limited ranging behaviour (Habel, Hillen,
87 Schmitt, & Fischer, 2016; King, 2002). Conversely, areas where resources are limited or available
88 intermittently may only be suitable for whales that range over greater distances utilising a wider
89 range of areas/habitats (Harestad & Bunnell, 1979; Silva et al., 2008).

90 SFPWs are subject to a range of pressures from human activities in the Canary Islands. Habitat
91 degradation, deliberate and accidental discharge of pollutants into the sea, coastal development,
92 acoustic pollution, fishing activity, whale-watching excursions, and intensification of maritime
93 traffic are all potential threats to the conservation of this species and other cetaceans that inhabit
94 these waters year-round (Carrillo & Ritter, 2010). This lack of even basic information about
95 occurrence and distribution hampers assessment of the impact of human activities on local
96 populations of this species and, therefore, conservation and management efforts.

97 In this study we use information on the distribution, occurrence patterns, individual sighting
98 frequencies, movements and area use of SFPWs over 13 years in the Canary Islands to investigate
99 whether there are coastal resident populations that can be distinguished from a more oceanic
100 population that uses the waters of the archipelago less intensively. An improved understanding of
101 spatio-temporal movements of SFPWs among the islands and the identification of any particularly
102 important areas will help to describe this species ecology in the archipelago leading to a better
103 understanding of its conservation status in the context of the multiple human pressures and
104 facilitating assessment of the need for and development of management actions.

105 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

106 **Study area**

107 The study was conducted in the warm-temperate waters around the Canary Islands (area = 109,000
108 km²), an archipelago formed by seven independent volcanic islands (Carracedo, 2001) and various
109 islets, with a total coastline of 1,500 km. The archipelago is located in subtropical latitudes 27° - 30°
110 N, on the continental rise off Cape Juby, next to the northwest African coast, and belongs to the
111 insular arc known as Macaronesia (Figure 1).

112 **Field procedures**

113 Surveys in the waters around the islands of the archipelago were conducted between 1999 and
114 2012. Survey transects were not systematic but were designed to cross depth contours in a zigzag
115 pattern to provide homogeneous coverage of the whole bathymetric range (Figure 1). A wide
116 variety of vessels was used, including small semi-rigid inflatable boats (survey length 41,218.5 km),
117 opportunistic vessels (whale-watching; survey length 4,566.6 km), sailing boats (survey length
118 8,064.6 km), research vessels (survey length 43,559 km). Eight ferryboats “Naviera Armas” were

119 also used as opportunistic platforms (survey length 12,361.3 km) between the islands of La
120 Gomera, Tenerife, Gran Canaria, and Fuerteventura (Figure 1).

121 Each research platform was always provided with the minimum required set of research equipment
122 (GPS recorder, 7x50 binoculars, digital cameras high definition video camera, hydrophone, and a
123 125 lb power crossbow), and had a minimum of two trained researchers. From different platform
124 heights, depending on the vessel, two observers simultaneously scanned the horizon covering a
125 180° sector in front of the boat (90° each). When a cetacean or a group of cetaceans was detected,
126 searching effort was suspended and data were collected from the group. A "group" was defined as a
127 spatial aggregation of animals, within 1,000 m of each other, that showed similar behavioural
128 activity and interacted with one another over timescales sufficiently short that there were few (or no)
129 changes in group membership (Karczmarski, Würsig, Gailey, Larson, & Vanderlip, 2005). For each
130 encounter, time, GPS position, species, estimated radial distance to the detected group, and group
131 size were recorded. On dedicated research vessels, behavioural observations and group composition
132 were also recorded, and photo-identification and biopsy sample collection conducted.

133 A photographic coverage percentage was assigned in the field, based on the estimated approximate
134 proportion of animals photographed that were observed at sea. Only sightings where the data
135 collection protocol was considered completed at sea were included in the group size
136 estimation and group composition analyses. Based on the data provided by Kasuya and Marsh
137 (1984) and following Heimlich-Boran (1993) interpretations, age/sex classes were defined as: adult
138 male (never found in association with a calf, with a minimum length of 4 m at maturity and a
139 maximum length of 5.8 m), indeterminate (adult female or sub-adult male which did not have a
140 consistent association with a specific immature animal), female (individuals with the physical
141 characteristics of an indeterminate whale and in association with a calf for the entire duration of a
142 sighting), juvenile (animals between 2.5 and 3 m in length, with little developed dorsal muscle);
143 calf (whale with a length between one third and half of an indeterminate animal) neonate (calves for
144 which it was possible to distinguish foetal marks on the body).

145 **Data analysis**

146 **Photo-identification**

147 The photographic material included both colour slides and digital images. All photographic
148 sorting/matching was done visually using Adobe Bridge CS5 software. Focus, contrast, parallax,
149 and relative size of dorsal fin (used for identification - see below) in the picture were used to grade

150 picture quality (Arnbom, 1987; Dufault & Whitehead, 1993, 1995) from “poor” (1) to “excellent”
151 (4) (Baird, Gorgone, et al., 2008; Baird, Webster, et al., 2008; McSweeney, Baird, Mahaffy,
152 Webster, & Schorr, 2009). Individual SFPWs were identified from photographs of their dorsal fins
153 showing naturally occurring marks and notches that allowed left- and right-side photographs to be
154 matched.
155 Dorsal fin silhouettes and scars on the dorsal fin (Bernd Würsig & Thomas A. Jefferson, 1990;
156 Würsig & Würsig, 1977) were used as secondary features to confirm matches and to sort
157 individuals without notches. All the principal matching was conducted by the same person (AS) and
158 an experienced researcher reviewed the final photo-identification catalogue to minimise the chance
159 of false-positive and false-negative matches.

160 Each identified individual was classified for its overall distinctiveness based on its dorsal fin
161 characteristics: number, size and shape of nicks, notches and scars on the leading and the trailing
162 edge of the dorsal fin. The rating followed the ranking scale of 1 to 4, from “not distinctive” to
163 “very distinctive” (Mahaffy et al., 2015). Photo-identification catalogues with the best picture of
164 each animal (from one or both sides) associated with each island were compiled and compared. As
165 many features as possible were used to confirm matches and reduce the possibility of false positives
166 (Scott, Wells, Irvine, & Mate, 1989; Williams, Friedl, & Haun, 1993; Bernd Würsig & Thomas A
167 Jefferson, 1990). The rate of mark change and mark acquisition was assessed by examining images
168 of fins for new notches or changes in notch shape in all re-sightings of individuals. Only good
169 quality photographs (categories 3 and 4) and well-marked animals (distinctiveness 3 and 4) were
170 used in the residency analyses because an assumption of equal capture probability was required.
171 Multiple photographs of the identified individuals were examined to confirm the age-sex
172 classification. In encounters for which the group size estimate at sea was found to be smaller than
173 the number of individuals identified, the sample size obtained by photo-analyses was used as the
174 approximate encounter group size.

175 **Occurrence patterns**

176 Occurrence patterns and distribution were described by considering the number of pilot whale
177 sightings observed and their distribution in relation to the survey location and frequency. To
178 account for the uneven geographical distribution of the survey effort, the study area was divided
179 into a grid of 160 x 61 cells in the range 27° 28' N to 29° 30' N latitude and 12° 58' W to 18° 18' W
180 longitude. Cell area varied between 11.89 and 12.14 square kilometres. The average encounter rate
181 was calculated by dividing the total number of sightings made on effort in each grid cell by the sum

182 of the distance in kilometres covered on effort in each cell. Cells with a total survey effort less than
183 1.414 km (diagonal of a 1 km²) in any given year were excluded, resulting in a set of 1,598 grid
184 cells used for the analyses.

185 The non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test was used to check if significant differences in group size
186 existed among islands, seasons, and type of groups (male/female and residency patterns).

187 **Residency and site fidelity**

188 Four different residency categories were created based on individual re-sighting rates across the
189 whole archipelago, with sampling intervals defined by month and year: “core resident” (SFPWs
190 sighted at least once during each of the four different seasons of at least four different years),
191 “resident” (individuals seen in three or four years and at least two seasons), “occasional”
192 (temporary migrant seen less frequently than “residents”, but more than twice), “transient” (whales
193 sighted once or twice in only one season in only one year).

194 **Lagged identification rate**

195 SFPWs residence rate was measured by calculating the lagged identification rate (LIR), which
196 represents the probability that an individual identified at any particular time will be identified again
197 in the study area t time units later (Whitehead, 2001). LIR computation and model fitting were
198 applied to all sightings of all animals and were carried out using the computer software SOCPROG
199 2.4. (Whitehead, 2009) in MATLAB. Models were fitted to the data using maximum likelihood and
200 binomial loss (Whitehead, 2001), and the quasi Akaike Information Criterion (QAIC) was used to
201 select the best-fitting model (Burnham & Anderson, 2003). The analysis was conducted using a
202 sampling period of 1 day. Jack-knife techniques with 1,000 bootstrap replications were used to
203 calculate confidence intervals and standard errors for each model parameter. Three residency
204 models were fitted to the residency rate data: “closed” (no changes in the individuals present in the
205 area), “emigration/mortality” (individuals could leave the area but never return), “emigration and
206 re-immigration” (individuals could leave and then re-enter the area; Whitehead, 2009; Whitehead &
207 Waters, 1990; Supplementary Material Table S1). Plots of LIR against time were produced for all
208 identified whales in the archipelago and also focusing only on waters off Tenerife to provide
209 indications of the temporal use of the area by individual animals. Movements between Tenerife and
210 La Gomera waters were also analysed.

211 **Ranging patterns**

212 Movements represented by re-sightings of identified whales within the archipelago were
213 documented. Linear distance between consecutive re-sightings of photo-identified individuals was
214 measured in GIS software using the “shortest straight swim” distance.

215 GIS software ArcView 3.2 with the Animal Movement extension (Hooge & Eichenlaub, 1997) was
216 used to determine the size of individual ranges and to examine individual ranging patterns. The
217 analysis was performed using the kernel estimator (B. J. Worton, 1989), a probabilistic non-
218 parametric method that attempts to assess the animal’s use distribution (UD) within an area
219 (Kernohan, Gitzen, & Millspaugh, 2001) by quantifying the likelihood of finding the animal at any
220 particular location within its range (B. J. Worton, 1989). To decide the minimum number of
221 sightings required in the ranging analysis, bootstrap tests on Minimum Convex Polygon (MCP)
222 range size were run and the shape of the curve relating the size of the ranging area to the number of
223 locations was inspected for each whale. Least-squares cross-validation (LSCV) was used to
224 calculate the bandwidth value (which determines the amount of smoothing applied to the data), and
225 the harmonic mean outlier removal method (White & Garrott, 2012) was applied to identify and
226 remove outlying locations. To minimise autocorrelation (Swihart & Slade, 1985; B. Worton, 1987)
227 multiple sightings of the same individual on the same date were eliminated from the datasets used
228 to determine individual range size and ranging patterns. Schoener’s ratio (Schoener, 1981) was
229 calculated for each individual to assess the amount of autocorrelation remaining in the data and the
230 potential effect on the estimates of range size.

231 3. RESULTS

232 Between January 1999 and October 2012, 1,782 day-surveys were conducted around the different
233 islands of the archipelago. In total, 109,770 km were covered (10,307 hours), within which 70,620
234 km were spent on effort (5,436 hours) by dedicated survey vessels (Figure 1). Searching effort over
235 the whole Canary archipelago did not vary significantly over years (Kruskal-Wallis test, $H_2 =$
236 15.985 , $p = 0.192$) or seasons (Kruskal-Wallis test, $H_2 = 6.093$; $p = 0.107$). However, the amount of
237 searching effort was not evenly spread amongst different islands in terms of time, distance or area
238 searched (Table 1; Figure 1). The downwind areas of Lanzarote and Fuerteventura were surveyed
239 for 13 years, Tenerife and Gran Canaria for 11 years, La Gomera for 6 years and La Palma and El
240 Hierro for 3 years. Searching effort covered mainly the area around the island of Gran Canaria
241 (42% of the total time); the remainder was mostly spread evenly around the islands of
242 Fuerteventura, Lanzarote, Tenerife and La Gomera (Table 1).

243 In total, 4,242 sightings of 23 cetacean species were recorded. The SFPW was the most frequently
244 seen species, with 1,081 sightings (Figure 2, Table 1). SFPWs were encountered during every day-
245 survey conducted in the waters off Tenerife, with a mean encounter rate (sightings per grid cell) of
246 2.16 (SE = 0.38) sightings 100 km⁻¹ ($n = 150$) surveyed (Supplementary Material Table S2).
247 Significantly fewer sightings were recorded around the other islands (Kruskal-Wallis test, $H_2 =$
248 172.044, $p < 0.001$), from a mean of 0.64 ± 0.19 sightings 100 km⁻¹ off La Gomera ($n = 54$) to 0 off
249 El Hierro (Supplementary Material Table S2). On 167 occasions they were found associated with
250 other delphinid species, especially with *Tursiops truncatus* (147 sightings) and *Stenella frontalis*
251 (15 sightings). SFPWs were sighted in a wide range of depths, mostly between the 900 m and 1200
252 m isobaths (1077.5 ± 16.9 m, $n = 621$), and were found in shallower waters in La Gomera compared
253 to Tenerife and Lanzarote–Fuerteventura (Mann-Whitney U test, $U=7,162$, $p=0.006$; $U = 90$,
254 $p<0.001$, respectively). The distance of sightings from the coast (5.76 ± 0.14 km, $n = 625$) varied
255 among islands, with greater distances in the eastern islands of Lanzarote-Fuerteventura and Gran
256 Canaria, compared to Tenerife (Mann-Whitney U test, $U = 1,572$, $p < 0.001$; $U = 1,432$, $p < 0.001$,
257 respectively) and to La Gomera and La Palma (Mann-Whitney U test, $U = 114$, $p < 0.001$; $U = 111$,
258 $p = 0.002$, respectively).

259 Initial behaviour recorded during the study period included all behavioural categories, which were
260 all observed year-round with no marked differences in frequency, except for socialising which was
261 observed for 77% of the time from June to October.

262 **Group size and composition**

263 Group size ranged from one to 80 individuals, with an overall mean group size of 16 ± 0.4
264 individuals ($n = 810$). Significantly larger groups were observed in La Gomera (19 ± 1.5) than in
265 Tenerife (16 ± 0.5) (Mann-Whitney, $U=21861.5$, $p=0.015$), and in Gran Canaria (Mann-Whitney,
266 $U=1022.5$, $p=0.013$), and smaller group sizes were seen in Gran Canaria (13 ± 1.3) compared to
267 Lanzarote-Fuerteventura (20 ± 2.4 ; Mann-Whitney, $U=443.5$, $p=0.033$; Supplementary Material
268 Figure S1a). Seasonal differences in group size were detected only in Tenerife, where observed
269 group sizes were larger in summer and autumn than in spring (Mann-Whitney U test, $U = 11,535$, p
270 $= 0.015$; $U = 11,631.5$, $p = 0.003$, respectively, Supplementary Material Figure S1b). Group
271 composition showed that SFPWs commonly travel in groups of mixed sex and age. A high
272 frequency of sightings with calves and/or neonates was recorded throughout the archipelago; 82%
273 of the groups encountered included immature animals, with a mean per sighting of 1.3 ± 0.06
274 neonates/calves ($n = 803$). In Tenerife, where the majority of data were collected, the presence of

275 neonates was highest during the summer months (52%) followed by autumn (31%). Neonates were
276 present in the same groups as presumed adult males in 58.9% of the recorded sightings. Group sizes
277 of sightings with only males (7 ± 0.9 , $n = 33$) were significantly smaller than sightings with no adult
278 males (19 ± 0.92 , $n = 170$; Mann-Whitney U test, $U = 774.500$, $p < 0.001$), and when also adult
279 female were present (17 ± 0.7 , $n = 256$; Mann-Whitney U test, $U = 7,118$, $p < 0.001$;
280 Supplementary Material Figure S2a). Groups with immature animals were significantly larger ($19 \pm$
281 0.8 , $n = 382$) than groups in which only mature whales (9 ± 0.8 , $n = 33$) were present (Mann-
282 Whitney U test, $U = 26,675.5$, $p < 0.001$; Supplementary Material Figure S2b).

283 **Photo-identification**

284 During the 629 encounters where photo-identification sessions were conducted, 234,350
285 photographs were taken, of which 190,340 were considered suitable for photo-identification
286 analysis, based on the photographic quality criteria. The overall catalogue of all identified SFPWs
287 in the whole archipelago contained 14,469 dorsal fin photographs belonging to 3,275 unique
288 individuals, within which 1,310 were well-marked individuals identified from good and excellent
289 quality pictures (Table 2). A discovery curve constructed from the overall database (Figure 3)
290 showed continual recruitment of new individuals to the marked population throughout most of the
291 study period (1999-2012), with a temporal plateau reached during 2008. Some levelling off was
292 present in the discovery curve of well-marked individuals in La Gomera, although new well-marked
293 individuals were sighted until the end of the study period in all areas (Supplementary Material
294 Figure S3). A total of 617 (50%) well-marked individuals were seen only once, 191 (15%) twice,
295 and 433 (35%) whales three to 36 (one animal) times (Figure 4). Re-sighting intervals ranged from
296 one day to 11.26 years (112 ± 3.6 days, $n = 971$): 37.7% of the individuals were recaptured within
297 one year, 25.3% in the following year, and 7.3% over a longer time span (four animals seen in ten
298 years, and five in 11 years between two and seven times).

299 **Distinctiveness and mark change**

300 Considering only the mature population, the proportion of re-captured individuals increased as the
301 level of distinctiveness increased, and the mean number of times an individual was re-captured also
302 increased with distinctiveness: 1.5 for non-distinctive, 2.7 for slightly distinctive, 3.1 for distinctive,
303 and 3.8 for very distinctive SFPWs. In total, eighty-two mark-acquisition events or mark-changes
304 were recorded for 64 individuals, but only in seven cases did they result in a change in
305 distinctiveness category. The mark-change rate was estimated to be once every 3.4 to 4.2 years. The

306 low mark-change rate, along with the long-lasting presence of scars persisting over ten years
307 (Servidio 2014), allowed the effect of mark-change to be considered negligible.

308 **Site fidelity and movement patterns**

309 In total, 1,241 well-marked mature SFPWs were classified based on their residency pattern
310 analysis: 255 whales (21%) were considered “residents”, and 50 (4%) “core residents”, mainly
311 photo-identified in Tenerife (Table 3). Lanzarote and Fuerteventura had almost exclusively
312 “transient” whales, ten SFPWs were recaptured between two and three times in different years in
313 the area (“occasionals”), and only two animals were recaptured between these western islands and
314 Gran Canaria, 11 years apart. Two hundred and eighteen whales were detected at more than one
315 island, of which 27 were identified in Tenerife, La Gomera and Gran Canaria. The “resident” and
316 “core resident” SFPWs in La Gomera ($n = 102$) and Gran Canaria ($n = 35$) were all, except for
317 three animals, also seen in Tenerife, a reflection of the high number of recaptures among these
318 three islands (Table 3 and Supplementary Material Table S3). The highest within-area rate of re-
319 sighting was recorded in the two sub-areas off southwest Tenerife and La Gomera, where some
320 animals ($n = 23$) were documented as moving back and forth, with six animals showing such
321 movements up to four times. Most of the re-captures of the animals moving between Tenerife and
322 La Gomera (96%) and between Tenerife and Gran Canaria (60%) occurred during the warmer
323 months of the year. All residency categories were observed throughout the year but “transient”
324 frequencies were double during warmer months than in the rest of the year. Groups with a mixed
325 composition of residency patterns were also encountered mostly (75%) during summer and autumn.
326 The size of groups formed only by “core residents” and “residents” were significantly smaller (15.6
327 ± 0.5 , $n = 170$) than groups containing individuals with a mix of residency patterns (22.8 ± 1.9 , $n =$
328 80); Mann-Whitney U test, $U = 17,469.5$, $p = 0.01$).

329 The distance between consecutive sightings of photo-identified SFPWs was mostly less than 10 km,
330 but 1% ($n = 38$) of inter-sighting distances were between 100 and 170 km, illustrating extensive
331 movements of animals within the archipelago.

332 There was no sex-specific difference in the distances travelled by SFPWs (Mann-Whitney U test, U
333 $= 537,187$, $p = 0.725$). However, differences were found among SFPWs of different residency
334 categories (Kruskal-Wallis test, $H_2 = 86.350$, $p < 0.001$), with the exception of “occasional” and
335 “transient” animals that did not differ statistically (Mann-Whitney U test, $U = 2,028.5$; $p = 0.775$).
336 Shorter distances were recorded for “core resident” animals (8.33 ± 0.65 km, $n = 530$), followed by

337 “resident” animals (13.76 ± 0.62 km, $n = 1,317$), and then by “transient” (26.11 ± 6.01 km, $n = 43$)
338 and “occasional” animals (31.78 ± 2.37 km, $n = 191$).

339 **Lagged Identification Rates (LIR)**

340 The best fitting model for the LIR within the entire archipelago was the “emigration +
341 reimmigration” model (Figure 5). An estimated 254 ± 10 of the 1,241 identified whales used in this
342 analysis spent an average of 531 ± 24 days in the Canary Islands before leaving for an average of
343 $4,087 \pm 941$ days (Table 4), i.e. beyond the 4.5 years covered by the dataset used for the analysis.
344 Nevertheless, analyses indicated strong over-dispersion in the data (variance inflation factor =
345 4.82), so results should be interpreted with caution; in particular, the variances of the parameter
346 estimates were likely underestimated.

347 For south-west Tenerife, the best-fitting model was “emigration/mortality” (Table 4), which
348 estimated that 279 of the 717 identified animals had a high level of residency to the area, staying
349 around the island for an average of 2,049 days, a time that was also beyond the 4.5 years of the
350 study. These data were also over-dispersed (variance inflation factor = 3.67) and the results should
351 be interpreted cautiously.

352 For movements between La Gomera and Tenerife, in both directions, the best model was “fully
353 mixed” (Table 4), which is supported by the high number of recaptures recorded between the two
354 areas (Supplementary Material Table S3).

355 **Ranging patterns**

356 Based on the asymptote of the area observation curve, a minimum number of 10 sightings was
357 determined to be an adequate sample size to estimate the size of an individual’s range, resulting in a
358 total of 193 animals being selected for this analysis. The small sample size did not allow temporal
359 changes in range size and location to be examined. The mean Schoener’s ratio was 1.54 ± 0.03
360 (Supplementary Material Table S4), which can be considered acceptable according to Kenward et
361 al. (2001). The extent of temporal autocorrelation in the data was not investigated. The kernel
362 method gave a mean 95% UD area of 355.90 ± 39.86 km², ranging from 46.13 to 3,673.79, and a
363 50% UD core area of 76.95 ± 8.62 km² (Supplementary Material Table S4). Even though great
364 variability was found in the estimated core areas (50% UD) among animals, as shown by the high
365 standard error, 71% of all core areas overlapped in a limited area of 57.7 km² in southwest Tenerife

366 and La Gomera (Figure 6a). While most of the individual ranges were limited only to southwest
367 Tenerife (99% of the 50% UD areas and 75% of the 95% UD), the 95% UD showed multiple
368 centres of activity between this area and the southwest areas of La Gomera (26 whales), northeast
369 Tenerife (13 whales), and Gran Canaria (six whales), and three whales had centres of activity in
370 three different areas Figure 6b). The most frequently seen animals seemed to share their range with
371 “transient” animals, which were seen not only when associated with “residents” but also when in
372 isolated groups (Figure S4). There were no significant differences in the ranges (Kernel 50% and
373 95% UD) between males and females (Mann-Whitney U test, $U = 1,334$, $p = 0.551$, $N = 120$; $U =$
374 $1,276$, $p = 0.349$, $N = 120$, respectively).

375 4. DISCUSSION

376 SFPWs in the Canary Islands showed significant temporal and spatial variation in patterns of
377 occurrence with higher encounter rates recorded during the warmer months and high variability in
378 island-associated encounter rates. Residency patterns in the archipelago were characterized by a
379 mixture of “residents”, “transients”, and “occasional” temporary migrants, in agreement with
380 findings for other SFPW populations around oceanic islands (Alves et al., 2013; Mahaffy et al.,
381 2015). “Resident” groups of whales that had ranging areas of limited size and that overlapped
382 considerably were mostly located in southwest Tenerife and La Gomera. The majority (62%) of
383 whales, however, were seen no more than twice and in only one season (defined as “transients”).

384 The inclusion of each individual SFPW in a resident or non-resident category is not definitive
385 because categorization was based on resighting rates which are samples and may therefore change
386 with additional data. However, the “transient” and “temporary migrant” categories that are based on
387 few resightings are most susceptible to this; animals currently categorised as resident are unlikely to
388 change category with additional data.

389 It is not known whether some animals occurring in the study area are part of a population that uses
390 or moves through it only at certain times. Animals sighted only once could be “transients” passing
391 through the archipelago, have ranges that barely overlap the study area, be behaviourally less likely
392 to be captured, have died or permanently emigrated or simply not have been re-sighted during the
393 study period in the area (Alves et al., 2013). The high percentage of “transients” recorded might
394 also be a consequence of the large size of the study site (109,000 km²) that did not allow the whole
395 area to be completely and homogeneously covered. Most of the northern windward areas of the
396 islands were excluded, and most of the effort was concentrated in southern coastal leeward waters.

397 This might have resulted in the failure to detect some high use areas, either extensions to identified
398 areas or new areas.

399 Extending the study area to the northern windward areas might result in capturing new previously
400 uncaptured whales, possibly lower the number of whales classified as “transients” and extend the
401 resident distribution. However, long-distance movements for this species should be expected
402 (Aguilar Soto et al., 2008; Sakai et al., 2011; Servidio, 2014), so the size of the study area alone is
403 unlikely to account for the large number of transient whales identified during the study. Indeed, the
404 individuals recaptured between Madeira and the Canary Islands 590.3 km apart (Servidio, 2014)
405 appears not to be an isolated event (Alves et al., 2019)

406 The factors that influence residency patterns of pilot whales and their movements among the islands
407 and archipelagos are poorly understood. They may not be determined by a single factor but instead
408 could be a result of the combination of several and simultaneous variables (McLoughlin &
409 Ferguson, 2000), such as the availability and distribution of resources (food, mates, and shelter; e.g.
410 Abend & Smith, 1999; Alves et al., 2013; De Stephanis, García-Tíscar, et al., 2008).

411 Oceanic islands can be considered generators of biological patchiness (Barton et al., 2000). This
412 and the highly dynamic oceanic ecosystem, in contrast to more productive coastal and inshore areas
413 (Arístegui et al., 2006; Barton et al., 1998), might be responsible for attracting SFPWs from
414 neighbouring ocean regions to the Canary Islands to use the area as a foraging ground on a
415 temporary basis. Conversely, they may also force whales either to travel between familiar and
416 already established feeding sites or to explore larger areas and to venture outside their typical range
417 in search of new food patches, leading to between-island and more pelagic movements. At the same
418 time, the presence of this species year-round, with individuals characterised by a high fidelity to the
419 Canary Islands, indicates that some parts of the archipelago might also offer enough resources to
420 hold a resident population of SFPW.

421 In the western part of the archipelago, the islands offer protection from the prevailing currents,
422 whilst the effect of the anticyclonic gyre, the upwelling filaments and the general pattern of ocean
423 currents increase nutrients and promote organism retention and thus potentially increase the base of
424 the food web supporting increased biodiversity quite close to the coast (Arístegui et al., 2006;
425 Arístegui et al., 1989; Arístegui et al., 1997; Hernández-León, Gomez, & Arístegui, 2007). These
426 deep, productive, and sheltered waters close to the coast thus make these areas especially suitable
427 for raising calves and feeding able to support “resident” insular populations but also visiting
428 “transients”. Many movements of the “resident” animals seen in Tenerife were also observed

429 outside their estimated core ranges, with multiple inter-island movements to and from La Gomera
430 and, in some cases, Gran Canaria. Whales were observed to travel repeatedly back and forth
431 between these areas, indicating that these movements were likely ranging rather than dispersal.

432 The eastern islands, conversely, which were visited almost exclusively by “transient” SFPWs in
433 large groups, are characterised by wider continental shelves, unsheltered waters generally open to
434 the effects of the main northerly currents and several mesoscale physical features. It can be
435 speculated that these habitats, including Lanzarote and Fuerteventura, may provide only temporary
436 or less abundant or available prey resources that cannot support resident populations and may
437 therefore be visited infrequently.

438 Different ecological requirements may be responsible for differences in habitat use and for the
439 development of different diving and feeding strategies (Aguilar Soto et al., 2008; Baird, Borsani,
440 Hanson, & Tyack, 2002; Baird et al., 2006; Watwood, Miller, Johnson, Madsen, & Tyack, 2006).
441 Species that are members of the same ecological guild with similar diets may use particular
442 resources in different ways or, more generally, exploit more or fewer resource types, by having a
443 wide or narrow niche range, respectively (generalists or specialists) (Whitehead, 2003), thereby
444 avoiding competition for resources. Niche separation and geographic segregation have been
445 proposed in beaked whale species with similar dietary preferences (MacLeod, Santos, & Pierce,
446 2003). Differences in foraging tactics and therefore in targeted resources at depth have been
447 hypothesised as explaining the spatial segregation of the resident populations of short-finned pilot
448 whales in Tenerife and Blainville’s beaked whales in El Hierro (Aguilar de Soto, 2006a). SFPWs
449 seem to feed on prey with more muscle mass rather than on less mobile prey with lower individual
450 caloric value, as do beaked whales (Aguilar de Soto, 2006b). In Tenerife, although there is a high
451 cetacean biodiversity in terms of the number of species found, species other than SFPWs occur only
452 occasionally or in confined areas (Carrillo et al., 2010; SECAC, unpubl data) with almost no
453 overlap with the core range of SFPWs.

454 Ranging behaviour is believed to influence cetacean social structure by limiting the number of
455 potential interactions of each individual to those animals that share analogous ranges (Lusseau et
456 al., 2006). Even though southwest Tenerife represents the area of greatest usage for all the most
457 frequently seen SFPW in the Canary Islands, the “residents” were here often observed (32% of the
458 sightings) interacting with “occasional” and “transient” individuals, which suggests that they might
459 be sharing extensive areas of their ranges.

460 SFPW social structure is believed to be characterised by long term, stable social bonds (Alves et al.,
461 2013; Mahaffy et al., 2015). The similarity in ranging patterns of individuals within the resident
462 groups and their multiple interactions may indicate that these individuals comprise a social
463 community (individuals with long-term site fidelity, nearly all in association with each other; Urian,
464 Hofmann, Wells, & Read, 2009; Whitehead, 2008), but the hypothesis that the common ranges
465 simply result from aggregative behaviour as a response to higher prey availability cannot be ruled
466 out. Although it is impossible to determine if breeding actually takes place during these encounters
467 in the southwest Tenerife, resident SFPWs do not seem to constitute a closed and isolated unit,
468 because they often interact with other animals and share extensive areas of their ranges with non-
469 resident whales. Rather, it seems more likely that there is genetic interchange among whales with
470 different group residency patterns preventing genetic divergence of geographic-based populations,
471 in accordance with results found in Madeira (Alves et al., 2013).

472 Seasonal fluctuation of group size has been described for both short- and long-finned pilot whales
473 (Alves et al., 2013; Cañadas & Sagarminaga, 2000; De Stephanis, Verborgh, et al., 2008; Felleman,
474 Heimlich-Boran, & Osborne, 1991), and can be related to breeding behaviour, with sub-groups
475 regrouping to mate (De Stephanis, Verborgh, et al., 2008). Carrillo et al. (2010) identified Tenerife
476 as an important calving ground for the SFPW, based on the year-round presence of neonates in the
477 area, with a higher frequency between June and October. The seasons when larger group sizes were
478 detected correspond to mating seasons observed in Tenerife by Heimlich-Boran (1993). In this
479 study, in Tenerife a higher frequency of neonates in groups (83%) was recorded during summer and
480 autumn. The gestation period has been estimated for this species to last 14.9 months (Kasuya &
481 Marsh, 1984), so breeding likely occurs mostly during the warmer months, when a high proportion
482 of “transient” and “occasional” whales enter the archipelago and mix with the resident population,
483 forming larger groups of adults of both sexes. Although sex differentiation in this study was based
484 only on qualitative assessment and not supported by genetic studies, observations showed no
485 evidence that sex influenced movement patterns or core range size. In most cases, mixed sex
486 groups, including groups with calves, travelled the greatest distances between the islands providing
487 opportunities for animals associated with different islands to mix (at least among Tenerife, La
488 Gomera and Gran Canaria). Thus, males would have ready access to potential mates and this may
489 explain the lack of evidence for males moving more than females.

490 The southwestern waters of Tenerife, where most of the resident individuals spend most of their
491 time and which results indicate are important for feeding and calving, is also the area with the
492 greatest exposure to human activities that pose a potential risk to SFPWs.

493 As a result of its climatic and oceanographic characteristics, with warm temperatures and calm and
494 productive waters year-round (Aristegui et al., 1994; Barton et al., 2000), the southwestern coast of
495 Tenerife has become a highly developed urban area. Large-scale coastal developments, a growing
496 number of marinas and other coastal infrastructure have been direct consequences of the steadily
497 increasing tourism to this area. Moreover, the majority of the artisanal fisheries of the island operates
498 in the same waters as recreational activities and where boat and ferry traffic are concentrated. The
499 high predictability of observing SFPW in this area has led to this species becoming the main target
500 of a prospering whale-watching industry (in 2008, there were 37 vessels licensed, 625,000 visitors,
501 generating 19.8 million euro of direct gross income; Elejabeita & Urquiola, 2009). It is also one of
502 the species most affected by ship-strikes (Arbelo, 2007; Carrillo & Ritter, 2010; De Stephanis &
503 Urquiola, 2006), making the SFPW the species under most pressure from anthropogenic activity in
504 the archipelago. The importance of southwestern Tenerife waters for resident SFPWs combined with
505 the multiple and high pressure human activities there, makes this a priority area for conservation
506 assessment. The social structure of this population and how this may affect its vulnerability is the
507 subject of another study (Servidio et al, in prep), which will also provide important information for
508 management bodies to take into account when considering mitigation strategies.

509 More widely, the new information presented here can be used to support a more complete
510 assessment of the status of short-finned pilot whale population(s) throughout the entire Canary
511 Islands archipelago. Specifically, this study has established the first and necessary scientific basis
512 required for this species for criterion D1C4 (Range and Distribution pattern) established by OSPAR
513 (OSPAR, 2011) to design and implement an Action Plan with the aim of contributing to Good
514 Environmental Status (GES) of the marine habitat by 2020, as required by the Marine Strategy
515 Framework Directive (MSFD –Directive 2008/56/EC). In this context, the MISTIC SEAS I and II
516 projects (11.0661/2015/712629/SUB/ENV.C2 and 11.0661/2017/750679/SUB/ENV.C2), initiated
517 in 2015 in the Macaronesia Northeast Atlantic sub-region, had the objective to establish a common
518 roadmap to monitor the status of marine mammals, sea turtles, and seabirds and to obtain baseline
519 information for better coordination and implementation of the MSFD. In the Canary Islands the
520 short-finned pilot whales of Tenerife and La Gomera were treated as a management unit (animals of
521 a particular species in a geographical area to which management of human activities is applied)
522 within the archipelago, and were considered a very good potential indicator of GES because of the
523 long and comprehensive data series generated by this study. As a result of the new information on
524 the spatial and temporal distribution of “transients” and island-associated animals highlighted by
525 this study, two Management Units for short-finned pilot whales were identified in the Canary
526 Islands, namely: I – all short-finned pilot whales using coastal waters of the Canary archipelago

527 (transients, occasional visitors and island-associated animals); II – island-associated animals of
528 Tenerife and La Gomera. Thus, it will be possible to monitor the range and distribution of transients
529 using the area as well as island-associated animals, possibly better focus and differentiate pressures
530 impacting the two MUs, and understand the contribution of local factors as drivers of changes in
531 site fidelity.

532 We conclude that different populations of pilot whales seem to be simultaneously present in the
533 Canary Islands. There is a SFPW resident population with a strong site-fidelity to multiple core
534 areas that are characterised by sufficient resources to support its year-round presence. Conversely,
535 there are “transient” animals which, probably driven by inter- and intraspecific competition may
536 travel long distances and may use the archipelago as part of a larger range, within which areas are
537 used temporarily for resting-mating-feeding. The resident population does not seem to be isolated;
538 rather “resident” individuals share ranges and come into contact with other animals from within and
539 outside the archipelago.

540 Further studies supported by genetic analysis and telemetry studies are needed to verify the
541 differences (genetic and behavioural) among individuals with different residency patterns in the
542 Canary Islands. This additional information would strengthen the scientific basis for conservation
543 assessments of SFPWs and allow any management actions to be most effectively focussed.

544

545 **COMPLIANCE WITH ETHICAL STANDARDS**

546 **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare they have no conflict of interest.

547 **Ethical approval:** All applicable international, national, and/or institutional guidelines for the care
548 and use of animals were followed and all necessary approvals were obtained. The official research
549 permission was given by the Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Environment.

550 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:**

551 For helpful comments and suggestions, we thank Silvana Neves, Erika Urquiola, Antonio
552 Fernández, Manuel Arbelo, Ricardo Antunes, Luis Freitas, Filipe Alves, Renaud de Stephanis and
553 two anonymous reviewers. Thanks to Nuria Varo, Cristina Lorenzo, Rocío Díaz Bacallado,
554 Mercedes Reyes, María del Mar Cañados, Marisa Tejedor, Leire Ruiz, Sonía García, Saioa
555 Talavera, Bernd Braderlau, Silvia Hildebrant, Arquímedes Bermúdez, María Ovando, and José
556 Antonio Vázquez for their field work assistance. We are also grateful to all the volunteers who
557 helped us. The field work was conducted by SECAC and was co-funded by the Canary Government
558 (Consejería de Política Territorial, Sostenibilidad y Seguridad), the Spanish Government
559 (Fundación Biodiversidad and Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, Medio Rural y Marino), Fundación
560 La Caixa, and by a number of international projects funded by EU programmes MACETUS
561 (FEDER/INTERREG III-B MAC/4.2/M10), EMECETUS (FEDER/INTERREG III-B
562 05/MAC/4.2/M10), LIFE (LIFE03NAT0062), INDEMARES LIFE+ (LIFE07/NAT/E/00732).

563

564 **REFERENCES**

- 565 Abend, A. G., & Smith, T. D. (1999). *Review of distribution of the long-finned pilot whale (*Globicephala**
566 *melas) in the North Atlantic and Mediterranean: US Department of Commerce, National Oceanic*
567 *and Atmospheric Administration*
- 568 Adams, L., & Davis, S. D. (1967). The internal anatomy of home range. *Journal of mammalogy*, 48(4), 529-
569 536.
- 570 Aguilar de Soto, N. (2006a). *Acoustic and diving behaviour of the short finned pilot whale (*Globicephala**
571 *macrorhynchus) and Blainville's beaked whale (*Mesoplodon densirostris) in the Canary Islands.**
- 572 *Implications on the effects of man-made noise and boat collisions. (Ph.D. Thesis Doctor of*
573 *Philosophy), University of La Laguna, La Laguna, España.*
- 574 Aguilar de Soto, N. (2006b). *Acoustic and diving behaviour of the short finned pilot whale (*Globicephala**
575 *macrorhynchus) and Blainville's beaked whale (*Mesoplodon densirostris) in the Canary Islands.**
- 576 *Implications on the effects of man-made noise and boat collisions. Ph. D. thesis, Universidad de La*
577 *Laguna, La Laguna, Spain.*
- 578 Aguilar Soto, N., Johnson, M. P., Madsen, P. T., Díaz, F., Domínguez, I., Brito, A., & Tyack, P. (2008).
579 *Cheetahs of the deep sea: deep foraging sprints in short-finned pilot whales off Tenerife (Canary*
580 *Islands). *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 77(5), 936-947.*
- 581 Alves, F., Alessandrini, A., Servidio, A., Mendonça, A. S., Hartman, K. L., Prieto, R., . . . Santos, R. (2019).
582 *Complex biogeographical patterns support an ecological connectivity network of a large marine*
583 *predator in the north-east Atlantic. *Diversity and Distributions*, 25(2), 269-284.*
- 584 Alves, F., Quéroil, S., Dinis, A., Nicolau, C., Ribeiro, C., Freitas, L., . . . Fortuna, C. (2013). Population
585 *structure of short-finned pilot whales in the oceanic archipelago of Madeira based on photo-*
586 *identification and genetic analyses: implications for conservation. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine**
- 587 *and freshwater ecosystems*, 23(5), 758-776.
- 588 Arbelo, M. (2007). *Patología y causas de la muerte de los cetáceos varados en las Islas Canarias (1999–*
589 *2005). Ph. D. Doctoral thesis, University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Las Palmas*
- 590 Arístegui, J., Alvarez-Salgado, X., Barton, E., Figueiras, F., Hernandez-Leon, S., Roy, C., & Santos, A.
591 (2006). Oceanography and fisheries of the Canary Current/Iberian region of the Eastern North
592 Atlantic (18a, E). *The global coastal ocean: interdisciplinary regional studies and syntheses*, 14,
593 879.

- 594 Arístegui, J., Hernández-León, S., Gómez, M., Medina, L., Ojeda, A., & Torres, S. (1989). Influence of the
595 north trade winds on the biomass and production of neritic plankton around Gran Canaria Island. *Sci.*
596 *Mar.*, 53(2), 223-229.
- 597 Arístegui, J., Sangrá, P., Hernández-León, S., Cantón, M., Hernández-Guerra, A., & Kerling, J. (1994).
598 Island-induced eddies in the Canary Islands. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research*
599 *Papers*, 41(10), 1509-1525.
- 600 Arístegui, J., Tett, P., Hernández-Guerra, A., Basterretxea, G., Montero, M. F., Wild, K., . . . García-Braun,
601 J. (1997). The influence of island-generated eddies on chlorophyll distribution: a study of mesoscale
602 variation around Gran Canaria. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 44(1),
603 71-96.
- 604 Arnbohm, T. (1987). Individual identification of sperm whales. *Report of the International Whaling*
605 *Commission*, 37(20), 1-204.
- 606 Baird, R. W., Borsani, J. F., Hanson, M. B., & Tyack, P. L. (2002). Diving and night-time behavior of long-
607 finned pilot whales in the Ligurian Sea. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 237, 301-305.
- 608 Baird, R. W., Gorgone, A. M., McSweeney, D. J., Webster, D. L., Salden, D. R., Deakos, M. H., . . .
609 Mahaffy, S. D. (2008). False killer whales (*Pseudorca crassidens*) around the main Hawaiian Islands:
610 Long-term site fidelity, inter-island movements, and association patterns. *Marine Mammal Science*,
611 24(3), 591-612.
- 612 Baird, R. W., Schorr, G. S., Webster, D. L., Mahaffy, S. D., Douglas, A. B., Gorgone, A. M., & McSweeney,
613 D. J. (2006). A survey for odontocete cetaceans off Kaua'i and Ni'ihau, Hawai'i, during October and
614 November 2005: evidence for population structure and site fidelity: CASCADIA RESEARCH
615 COLLECTIVE OLYMPIA WA.
- 616 Baird, R. W., Webster, D. L., Mahaffy, S. D., McSweeney, D. J., Schorr, G. S., & Ligon, A. D. (2008). Site
617 fidelity and association patterns in a deep-water dolphin: Rough-toothed dolphins (*Steno*
618 *bredanensis*) in the Hawaiian Archipelago. *Marine Mammal Science*, 24(3), 535-553.
- 619 Barton, E., Arístegui, J., Tett, P., Cantón, M., García-Braun, J., Hernández-León, S., . . . Ballesteros, S.
620 (1998). The transition zone of the Canary Current upwelling region. *Progress in Oceanography*,
621 41(4), 455-504.
- 622 Barton, E., Basterretxea, G., Flament, P., Mitchelson-Jacob, E. G., Jones, B., Arístegui, J., & Herrera, F.
623 (2000). Lee region of gran canaria. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 105(C7), 17173-
624 17193.

- 625 Brown, J. L., & Orians, G. H. (1970). Spacing patterns in mobile animals. *Annual review of ecology and*
626 *systematics*, 1(1), 239-262.
- 627 Burnham, K. P., & Anderson, D. R. (2003). *Model selection and multimodel inference: a practical*
628 *information-theoretic approach*: Springer Science & Business Media.
- 629 Burt, W. H. (1943). Territoriality and home range concepts as applied to mammals. *Journal of mammalogy*,
630 24(3), 346-352.
- 631 Cañadas, A., & Sagarminaga, R. (2000). The northeastern Alboran Sea, an important breeding and feeding
632 ground for the long-finned pilot whale (*Globicephala melas*) in the Mediterranean Sea. *Marine*
633 *Mammal Science*, 16(3), 513-529.
- 634 Carracedo, J. (2001). Volcanismo reciente y riesgo volcánico. *Naturaleza de las Islas Canarias: ecología y*
635 *conservación. Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Turquesa*, 65-76.
- 636 Carrillo, M., Pérez-Vallazza, C., & Álvarez-Vázquez, R. (2010). Cetacean diversity and distribution off
637 Tenerife (Canary Islands). *Marine Biodiversity Records*, 3.
- 638 Carrillo, M., & Ritter, F. (2010). Increasing numbers of ship strikes in the Canary Islands: proposals for
639 immediate action to reduce risk of vessel-whale collisions. *Journal of cetacean research and*
640 *management*, 11(2), 131-138.
- 641 Clutton-Brock, T. (1975). Ranging behaviour of red colobus (*Colobus badius tephrosceles*) in the Gombe
642 National Park. *Animal Behaviour*, 23, 706-722.
- 643 Clutton-Brock, T., & Albon, S. (1985). Competition and population regulation in social mammals.
- 644 Clutton-Brock, T. H. (1989). Review lecture: mammalian mating systems. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*, 236(1285),
645 339-372.
- 646 Crook, J. H., Ellis, J., & Goss-Custard, J. (1976). Mammalian social systems: structure and function. *Animal*
647 *Behaviour*, 24(2), 261-274.
- 648 Davies, N., & Houston, A. (1994). Territory economics. *Behavioural Ecology. An Evolutionary Approach*,
649 148-169.
- 650 De Stephanis, R., García-Tíscar, S., Verborgh, P., Esteban-Pavo, R., Pérez, S., Minvielle-Sébastien, L., &
651 Guinet, C. (2008). Diet of the social groups of long-finned pilot whales (*Globicephala melas*) in the
652 Strait of Gibraltar. *Marine Biology*, 154(4), 603-612.

- 653 De Stephanis, R., & Urquiola, E. (2006). Collisions between ships and cetaceans in Spain. *Int. Whal.*
654 *Commn. Scientific Committee SC/58/BC5.*
- 655 De Stephanis, R., Verborgh, P., Pérez, S., Esteban, R., Minvielle-Sebastia, L., & Guinet, C. (2008). Long-
656 term social structure of long-finned pilot whales (*Globicephala melas*) in the Strait of Gibraltar. *Acta*
657 *Ethologica*, 11(2), 81.
- 658 Dixon, K. R., & Chapman, J. A. (1980). Harmonic mean measure of animal activity areas. *Ecology*, 61(5),
659 1040-1044.
- 660 Dufault, S., & Whitehead, H. (1993). Assessing the stock identity of sperm whales in the eastern equatorial
661 Pacific. *Reports of the International Whaling Commission*, 43, 469-475.
- 662 Dufault, S., & Whitehead, H. (1995). An assessment of changes with time in the marking patterns used for
663 photoidentification of individual sperm whales, *Physeter macrocephalus*. *Marine Mammal Science*,
664 11(3), 335-343.
- 665 Elejabeita, C., & Urquiola, E. (2009). Whale watching in the Canary Islands. *International Whaling*
666 *Commission*.
- 667 Emlen, S. T., & Oring, L. W. (1977). Ecology, sexual selection, and the evolution of mating systems.
668 *Science*, 197(4300), 215-223.
- 669 Escorza, S., Heimlich-Boran, S., & Heimlich-Boran, J. (1992). Bottle-nosed Dolphins off the Canary Islands.
670 *European Research on Cetaceans*, 6, 117-120.
- 671 Felleman, F. L., Heimlich-Boran, J. R., & Osborne, R. W. (1991). The feeding ecology of killer whales
672 (*Orcinus orca*) in the Pacific Northwest. *Dolphin societies: Discoveries and puzzles*, 113-147.
- 673 Habel, J. C., Hillen, J., Schmitt, T., & Fischer, C. (2016). Restricted movements and high site fidelity in
674 three East African cloud-forest birds. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, 32(1), 83-87.
- 675 Harestad, A. S., & Bunnell, F. (1979). Home Range and Body Weight--A Reevaluation. *Ecology*, 60(2), 389-
676 402.
- 677 Hastie, G. D., Barton, T. R., Grellier, K., Hammond, P. S., SwIFT, R. J., Thompson, P. M., & Wilson, B.
678 (2003). Distribution of small cetaceans within a candidate Special Area of Conservation;
679 implications for management. *Journal of cetacean research and management*, 5(3), 261-266.
- 680 Heimlich-Boran, J. R. (1993). *Social organisation of the short-finned pilot whale, Globicephala*
681 *macrorhynchus, with special reference to the comparative social ecology of delphinids*. University
682 of Cambridge.

- 683 Hernández-León, S., Gomez, M., & Arístegui, J. (2007). Mesozooplankton in the Canary Current System:
684 The coastal–ocean transition zone. *Progress in Oceanography*, 74(2-3), 397-421.
- 685 Hooge, P., & Eichenlaub, B. (1997). Animal movement extension to arcview. ver. 1.1. Alaska Science
686 Center-Biological Science Office. *US Geological Survey, Anchorage, AK, USA*.
- 687 Hooker, S. K., Whitehead, H., & Gowans, S. (1999). Marine protected area design and the spatial and
688 temporal distribution of cetaceans in a submarine canyon. *Conservation Biology*, 13(3), 592-602.
- 689 Hunt, T. N., Bejder, L., Allen, S. J., Rankin, R. W., Hanf, D., & Parra, G. J. (2017). Demographic
690 characteristics of Australian humpback dolphins reveal important habitat toward the southwestern
691 limit of their range. *Endangered Species Research*, 32, 71-88.
- 692 Inglis, J. M., Hood, R. E., Brown, B. A., & DeYoung, C. A. (1979). Home range of white-tailed deer in
693 Texas coastal prairie brushland. *Journal of mammalogy*, 60(2), 377-389.
- 694 Ingram, S. N., & Rogan, E. (2002). Identifying critical areas and habitat preferences of bottlenose dolphins
695 *Tursiops truncatus*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 244, 247-255.
- 696 Karczmarski, L., Würsig, B., Gailey, G., Larson, K. W., & Vanderlip, C. (2005). Spinner dolphins in a
697 remote Hawaiian atoll: social grouping and population structure. *Behavioral Ecology*, 16(4), 675-
698 685.
- 699 Kasuya, T., & Marsh, H. (1984). Life history and reproductive biology of the short-finned pilot whale,
700 *Globicephala macrorhynchus*, off the Pacific coast of Japan. *Report of the International Whaling*
701 *Commission, Special*, 6, 259-310.
- 702 Kernohan, B. J., Gitzen, R. A., & Millspaugh, J. J. (2001). Analysis of animal space use and movements
703 *Radio tracking and animal populations* (pp. 125-166): Elsevier.
- 704 King, S. (2002). Home range and habitat use of free-ranging Przewalski horses at Hustai National Park,
705 Mongolia. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, 78(2-4), 103-113.
- 706 Lusseau, D., Wilson, B., Hammond, P. S., Grellier, K., Durban, J. W., Parsons, K. M., . . . Thompson, P. M.
707 (2006). Quantifying the influence of sociality on population structure in bottlenose dolphins. *Journal*
708 *of Animal Ecology*, 75(1), 14-24.
- 709 MacLeod, C. D., Santos, M., & Pierce, G. J. (2003). Review of data on diets of beaked whales: evidence of
710 niche separation and geographic segregation. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the*
711 *United Kingdom*, 83(3), 651-665.

- 712 Mahaffy, S. D., Baird, R. W., McSweeney, D. J., Webster, D. L., & Schorr, G. S. (2015). High site fidelity,
713 strong associations, and long-term bonds: Short-finned pilot whales off the island of Hawai 'i.
714 *Marine Mammal Science*, 31(4), 1427-1451.
- 715 McGuire, T. L., & Henningsen, T. (2007). Movement patterns and site fidelity of river dolphins (*Inia*
716 *geoffrensis* and *Sotalia fluviatilis*) in the Peruvian Amazon as determined by photo-identification.
717 *Aquatic Mammals*, 33(3), 359.
- 718 McLoughlin, P. D., & Ferguson, S. H. (2000). A hierarchical pattern of limiting factors helps explain
719 variation in home range size. *Ecoscience*, 7(2), 123-130.
- 720 McNab, B. K. (1963). Bioenergetics and the determination of home range size. *The American Naturalist*,
721 97(894), 133-140.
- 722 McSweeney, D. J., Baird, R. W., Mahaffy, S. D., Webster, D. L., & Schorr, G. S. (2009). Site fidelity and
723 association patterns of a rare species: pygmy killer whales (*Feresa attenuata*) in the main Hawaiian
724 Islands. *Marine Mammal Science*, 25(3), 557-572.
- 725 Milinski, M., & Parker, G. A. (1991). Competition for resources. *Behavioural ecology: an evolutionary*
726 *approach*, 3, 137-168.
- 727 Montero, R., & Arechavaleta, M. (1996). Distribution patterns: relationships between depths, sea surface
728 temperature, and habitat use of short-finned pilot whales south-west of Tenerife. *European Research*
729 *on Cetaceans*, 10, 193-198.
- 730 Oremus, M., Gales, R., Dalebout, M. L., Funahashi, N., Endo, T., Kage, T., . . . Baker, S. C. (2009).
731 Worldwide mitochondrial DNA diversity and phylogeography of pilot whales (*Globicephala* spp.).
732 *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 98(4), 729-744.
- 733 OSPAR. (2011). Report of the OSPAR workshop on MSFD biodiversity descriptors: comparison of targets
734 and associated indicators. . *OSPAR Commission Publication*, 575, 56 pp.
- 735 Ostfeld, R. S. (1990). The ecology of territoriality in small mammals. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 5(12),
736 411-415.
- 737 Parra, G. J., Corkeron, P. J., & Marsh, H. (2006). Population sizes, site fidelity and residence patterns of
738 Australian snubfin and Indo-Pacific humpback dolphins: Implications for conservation. *Biological*
739 *Conservation*, 129(2), 167-180.
- 740 Pérez-Gil, M., Martín, V., Tejedor, M., Servidio, A., Neves, S., Pérez-Gil, E., . . . Brederlau, B. (2011).
741 Agonistic behaviour of Risso's dolphins towards sperm whales in the SW of Fuerteventura, Canary

- 742 Islands, with a discussion on trophic competence in cetaceans. *European Cetacean Society*,
743 *Cambridge*.
- 744 Sakai, M., Aoki, K., Sato, K., Amano, M., Baird, R. W., Webster, D. L., . . . Miyazaki, N. (2011). Swim
745 speed and acceleration measurements of short-finned pilot whales (*Globicephala macrorhynchus*) in
746 Hawai'i. *Mammal study*, 36(1), 55-59.
- 747 Scott, M. D., Wells, R. S., Irvine, A. B., & Mate, B. R. (1989). Tagging and marking studies on small
748 cetaceans *The bottlenose dolphin* (pp. 489-514): Elsevier.
- 749 Schoener, T. W. (1968). Sizes of feeding territories among birds. *Ecology*, 49(1), 123-141.
- 750 Schoener, T. W. (1981). An empirically based estimate of home range. *Theoretical Population Biology*,
751 20(3), 281-325.
- 752 Servidio, A. (2014). *Distribution, social structure and habitat use of short-finned pilot whale, Globicephala*
753 *macrorhynchus, in the Canary Islands*. University of St Andrews.
- 754 Silva, M. A., Prieto, R., Magalhães, S., Seabra, M. I., Santos, R. S., & Hammond, P. S. (2008). Ranging
755 patterns of bottlenose dolphins living in oceanic waters: implications for population structure.
756 *Marine Biology*, 156(2), 179.
- 757 Swihart, R. K., & Slade, N. A. (1985). Influence of sampling interval on estimates of home-range size. *The*
758 *Journal of Wildlife Management*, 1019-1025.
- 759 Switzer, P. V. (1993). Site fidelity in predictable and unpredictable habitats. *Evolutionary Ecology*, 7(6),
760 533-555.
- 761 Thorne, L. H., Foley, H. J., Baird, R. W., Webster, D. L., Swaim, Z. T., & Read, A. J. (2017). Movement and
762 foraging behavior of short-finned pilot whales in the Mid-Atlantic Bight: importance of bathymetric
763 features and implications for management. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 584, 245-257.
- 764 Tucker, M. A., Ord, T. J., & Rogers, T. L. (2014). Evolutionary predictors of mammalian home range size:
765 body mass, diet and the environment. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 23(10), 1105-1114.
- 766 Urian, K. W., Hofmann, S., Wells, R. S., & Read, A. J. (2009). Fine-scale population structure of bottlenose
767 dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) in Tampa Bay, Florida. *Marine Mammal Science*, 25(3), 619-638.
- 768 Van Cise, A. M., Morin, P. A., Baird, R. W., Lang, A. R., Robertson, K. M., Chivers, S. J., . . . Martien, K.
769 K. (2016). Redrawing the map: mt DNA provides new insight into the distribution and diversity of
770 short-finned pilot whales in the Pacific Ocean. *Marine Mammal Science*, 32(4), 1177-1199.

- 771 Watwood, S. L., Miller, P. J., Johnson, M., Madsen, P. T., & Tyack, P. L. (2006). Deep-diving foraging
772 behaviour of sperm whales (*Physeter macrocephalus*). *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 75(3), 814-825.
- 773 White, G. C., & Garrott, R. A. (2012). *Analysis of wildlife radio-tracking data*: Elsevier.
- 774 Whitehead, H. (2001). Analysis of animal movement using opportunistic individual identifications:
775 application to sperm whales. *Ecology*, 82(5), 1417-1432.
- 776 Whitehead, H. (2003). *Sperm whales: social evolution in the ocean*: University of Chicago press.
- 777 Whitehead, H. (2008). *Analyzing animal societies: quantitative methods for vertebrate social analysis*:
778 University of Chicago Press.
- 779 Whitehead, H. (2009). SOCPROG programs: analysing animal social structures. *Behavioral Ecology and*
780 *Sociobiology*, 63(5), 765-778.
- 781 Whitehead, H., & Waters, S. (1990). Social organization and population structure of sperm whales off the
782 Galapagos Islands, Ecuador (1985 and 1987). *Rep. Int. Whal. Comm. Spec. Issue*(12), 249-257.
- 783 Wilson, B., Thompson, P., & Hammond, P. (1997). Habitat use by bottlenose dolphins: seasonal distribution
784 and stratified movement patterns in the Moray Firth, Scotland. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 1365-
785 1374.
- 786 Williams, T. M. (1999). The evolution of cost efficient swimming in marine mammals: limits to energetic
787 optimization. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*,
788 354(1380), 193-201.
- 789 Williams, T. M., Friedl, W., & Haun, J. (1993). The physiology of bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*):
790 heart rate, metabolic rate and plasma lactate concentration during exercise. *Journal of Experimental*
791 *Biology*, 179(1), 31-46.
- 792 Worton, B. (1987). A review of models of home range for animal movement. *Ecological Modelling*, 38(3-4),
793 277-298.
- 794 Worton, B. J. (1989). Kernel methods for estimating the utilization distribution in home-range studies.
795 *Ecology*, 70(1), 164-168.
- 796 Würsig, B., & Jefferson, T. A. (1990). Methods of photo-identification for small cetaceans. Use of Photo-
797 Identification and Other Techniques to Estimate Population Parameters. *Report of the International*
798 *Whaling Commission, Special Issue*, 12, 43-52.

- 799 Würsig, B., & Jefferson, T. A. (1990). Methods of photoidentification for small cetaceans. *Rep. Int. Whal.*
800 *Commn.(Spec. Iss. 12)*, 43-52.
- 801 Würsig, B., & Würsig, M. (1977). The photographic determination of group size, composition, and stability
802 of coastal porpoises (*Tursiops truncatus*). *Science*, 198(4318), 775-756.
- 803 Zanardo, N., Parra, G. J., & Möller, L. M. (2016). Site fidelity, residency, and abundance of bottlenose
804 dolphins (*Tursiops sp.*) in Adelaide's coastal waters, South Australia. *Marine Mammal Science*,
805 32(4), 1381-1401.

806 **Table 1** Total km travelled and surveyed on effort per island, with on and off effort sightings
 807 of short-finned pilot whale (SFPW, *Globicephala macrorhynchus*)

Island	At sea km	Day	On effort km	Hr:min	Sightings on effort	off effort
Gran Canaria	42,375.69	1,113	29,529.86	2,278:41	27	25
Fuerteventura	17,672.51	145	10,145.68	694:11	14	12
Tenerife	18,667.42	317	9,976.02	819:40	621	238
La Gomera	12,793.86	162	9,853.16	776:40	69	38
Lanzarote	14,236.27	146	8,190.53	648:46	23	10
La Palma	2,875.69	45	2,084.02	146:10	3	1
El Hierro	1,073.46	18	841.10	72:47	0	0
Total	109,694.89	1,655	70,620.37	5,436:55	757	324

808

809 **Table 2** Photo-identified SFPWs: picture quality 3 and 4; distinctiveness 3 and 4. Age
 810 classes: A=adults, Indet=indeterminates, J=juveniles, C=calves; and N=neonates

Island	Total	A	Indet	J	C	N
Tenerife	760	588	123	35	12	2
La Gomera	271	212	46	11	2	0
Lanzarote-Fuerteventura	282	161	112	7	2	0
Gran Canaria	190	146	31	9	3	1
La Palma	10	9	1	0	0	0
Total	1,310	952	289	57	9	3

811

812 **Table 3.** Number of SFPWs in different residency categories seen in the whole archipelago
 813 (top row) and the number in each category seen around each island. Individuals in each
 814 category may be included in more than one island because of movement between islands.

Island	Core Resident	Resident	Occasional	Transient	Tot Ind
Canary Archipelago	50	255	156	780	1,241
Tenerife	50	246	128	287	711
La Gomera	14	88	56	100	258
Lanzarote Fuerteventura	0	0	10	263	273
Gran Canaria	3	22	14	138	177

815


816 **Table 4** Diagnostics and estimated parameters for the best fitting models of LIRs applied to
 817 all individual SFPWs identified in the Canary Islands (1999-2012), and in southwestern
 818 Tenerife (2004-2009). Models were also applied to Tenerife and La Gomera to test how
 819 SFPWs move between these two islands. td = time lag (τ); a_1, a_2, a_3 = parameters of the
 820 models. Values inside the brackets indicate the standard errors of the parameters calculated
 821 using bootstrap replications

822

Dataset	Model	Parameters			
		a1	a2	a3	
Canary Islands	Emigrati on+	$(1/a_1) * ((1/a_3) + (1/a_2) * \exp((1/a_3 + 1/a_2) * td))$	253.84	530.96	4086.95
	Re-immigrati on	$1/(1/a_3 + 1/a_2)$	(9.93)	(23.82)	(941.43)
	Emigrati on/	$a_2 * \exp(-a_1 * td)$	0.0004808	0.00358	
	Mortality	$(1/a_1) * \exp(-td/a_2)$	(7.1123e-005)	(0.00019)	
Southwest Tenerife			279.45	2048.60	
			(14.47)	(268.28)	
From Tenerife to La Gomera	Fully Mixed	$1/a_1$	2718.52		
			(361.05)		
From La Gomera to Tenerife	Fully Mixed	$1/a_1$	1686.18		
			(221.54)		

823


824



825

826 **Fig 1** Transects surveyed (1999 - 2012) on board different vessel types: semi-rigid inflatable
 827 boats (red), dedicated motor boats (blue), sailing boats (black), and opportunist vessels such
 828 as whale-watching boats and ferries (green). Off-effort track-lines are indicated in grey.

829




830

831 **Fig 2** Short-finned pilot whale (SFPW, *Globicephala macrorhynchus*) sightings (1999-2012)

832

833




834

835 **Fig 3** Discovery curve showing the number of new well-marked SFPWs identified each day.

836 Vertical lines divide years

837


838



839

840 **Fig 4** Frequency histogram of the number of times that uniquely marked SFPWs were
 841 captured in the Canary Islands


842



843

844 **Fig 5** LIRs for all individual SFPWs identified. Data points are represented as circles and the
 845 best fitting model (Emigration + Re-immigration) is displayed as a line

846



847

848 **Fig 6a-b** Ranging patterns of the 193 well-marked, adult and indeterminate SFPWs sighted at
849 least ten times, estimated by fixed kernel a) at 50% UD and b) at 95% UD

850