

False Positive Cultures in Shoulder Surgery

1 The Incidence and Incubation Period of False Positive Cultures in Shoulder Surgery

2

3 Tyler R. McCarroll, MD

4 Indiana University School of Medicine

5

6 Ryan R. Jagers, MD

7 Methodist Sports Medicine

8

9 Robert A. Cagle, MD

10 OrthoNorCal

11

12 Thomas E. Davis, MD, PhD

13 Indiana University School of Medicine

14

15 Brenda L. Easton, LPN

16 Methodist Sports Medicine Research and Education Foundation

17

18 Chris T. Curless, MHA, ATC, LAT

19 Methodist Sports Medicine Research and Education Foundation

20

21 Gary W. Misamore, MD

22 Methodist Sports Medicine

23

201 Pennsylvania Pkwy, Suite 100

24

Indianapolis, IN 46280, USA

This is the author's manuscript of the article published in final edited form as:

McCarroll, T. R., Jagers, R. R., Cagle, R. A., Davis, T. E., Easton, B. L., Curless, C. T., & Misamore, G. W. (2020). The Incidence and Incubation Period of False Positive Cultures in Shoulder Surgery. *Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2020.06.030>

False Positive Cultures in Shoulder Surgery

25 gmisamore@methodistsports.com

26

27 Disclaimer: None

28 Indiana University Office of Research Compliance granted institutional review board approval

29 (IRB# 1407463823).

30 Grant Support: Thomas A. Brady Trust, Methodist Sports Medicine Research and Education

31 Foundation

32 Acknowledgments: The authors thank Anietra Butler, MS.

False Positive Cultures in Shoulder Surgery

1 ABSTRACT

2 **Background:** Postoperative shoulder infection (PSI) is a significant complication requiring
3 timely identification and treatment. Indolent infections such as those involving *Cutibacterium*
4 *acnes* (*C. acnes*, recently reclassified from *Propionibacterium acnes*¹) provide a diagnostic
5 dilemma as they present differently without the acute symptoms associated with most
6 postoperative bone and joint infections. Furthermore, *C. acnes* is thought to be a common
7 contaminant isolated from intraoperative cultures. With no consensus algorithm, long hold
8 cultures play a major role in guiding management decisions in potential PSI. Our study seeks to
9 determine the incidence of positive cultures in both open and arthroscopic procedures in non-
10 infected patients as well as clarify whether or not an increase in the incubation time frame leads
11 to an increased rate of culture growth.

12 **Methodology:** One hundred patients were prospectively enrolled into either an open and
13 arthroscopic procedure group. Patients with abnormal inflammatory labs, history of previous
14 shoulder surgery, or corticosteroid injection within six months of surgery were excluded from the
15 study. Three cultures were obtained for each patient (1superficial tissue culture, 2- tissue culture,
16 and 3- “sterile” control swab). Cultures were held for 28 days and checked on regular intervals.
17 All patients were followed clinically for 6 months to ensure no signs of postoperative infection.

18 **Results:** Ultimately ninety-five patients were included in the final analysis. The false-positive
19 rate in open shoulder surgery was 17.02% and arthroscopic shoulder surgery was 10.4%. The
20 incidence of positive *C. acnes* cultures was 6.4% in the open group while *C. acnes* was not
21 isolated in the arthroscopic group. All positive bacterial cultures were reported within seven days
22 of collection. One culture was positive for “mold” at 26 days.

False Positive Cultures in Shoulder Surgery

23 **Conclusion:** A relatively high false-positive culture rate occurred in both open and arthroscopic
24 shoulder surgery. *C. acnes* was the most commonly identified bacteria in cultures in the open
25 surgery group. Knowledge of one's own institutional false-positive culture rate could be
26 important in avoiding potentially inappropriate treatment. Additionally, we found that holding
27 cultures longer than 14 days did not lead to an increased rate of false positive culture results.

28

29 **Level of Evidence:** Basic Science Study; Descriptive Epidemiology Study; Microbiology

30 **Keywords:** *Cutibacterium acnes* (*C. acnes*), postoperative shoulder infection, false-positive rate,
31 incubation time, open shoulder surgery, arthroscopic shoulder surgery.

32

33

34 Infection following shoulder surgery, particularly in shoulder arthroplasty, is a major
35 cause of morbidity and economic burden requiring timely identification and treatment^{1,9,13}.

36 Indolent postoperative shoulder infections (PSI) provide a diagnostic dilemma. Persistent pain
37 and stiffness are often the only presenting symptoms without other local, systemic, or

38 radiographic findings commonly associated with most postoperative bone and joint infections.

39 Inflammatory laboratory studies such as erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and C-3 reactive
40 protein (CRP) are often equivocal with reported sensitivities and specificities below 50% in *C.*

41 *acnes* shoulder infections^{13,21}. Blood biomarkers have not been found to be reliable²¹. Currently,
42 there exists no consensus algorithm to aid in diagnosis of PSI.

43 *Cutibacterium acnes* (*C. acnes*, recently reclassified from *Propionibacterium acnes*²⁰) is an

44 indolent, aero-tolerant anaerobic, gram-positive bacillus considered normal flora in the sebum

45 containing hair follicles within the dermis around the shoulder and axilla. Once considered

False Positive Cultures in Shoulder Surgery

46 merely a contaminant, *C. acnes* is now considered a pathogenic organism commonly isolated in
47 both open and arthroscopic postoperative shoulder infections^{2, 5, 9, 11, 13, 18, 20}. *C. acnes* has been
48 isolated in up to 70% of periprosthetic shoulder infections¹⁴. Previous studies suggested a
49 commensal nature between *C. acnes* and the shoulder joint; however, recent data suggests *C.*
50 *acnes* is localized to the dermis¹⁶. As a result, infections due to *C. acnes* are thought to derive
51 from skin contamination during surgery. Recent studies have suggested a high rate of positive
52 cultures from intraoperative samples^{3, 4, 7, 10, 12, 17}. In their 2015 study, Mook et al found an overall
53 incidence of positive cultures as high as 18.3% in patients undergoing open shoulder surgery
54 with a greater propensity for those patients with a history of two or more preoperative
55 corticosteroid injection and male¹².

56 Without a reliable diagnostic test or diagnostic algorithm, intraoperative cultures play a
57 major role in guiding management decisions in patients with potential PSI. The diagnostic
58 strength of intraoperative cultures is further confounded by the fastidious nature of *C. acnes* or
59 other indolent bacteria. While the majority of positive cultures for *C. acnes* are typically
60 identified between seven and ten days^{3, 5, 6, 19} some have advocated for longer incubation times^{4, 17}.
61 Theoretically, prolonged maintenance of cultures could be associated with an increased
62 likelihood of contamination, which could result in the potential for unnecessary treatment^{3, 4, 7, 17}.

63 An understanding of the false-positive rate of intraoperative cultures is critical in the
64 workup of PSI in order to avoid potentially inappropriate treatment. The purpose of the
65 following study was to determine the incidence of false positive cultures in non-infected patients
66 (clear mechanical source of pain, no suspicion of infection, negative inflammatory labs, no
67 corticosteroid injection within six months of surgery) undergoing a primary open or arthroscopic

False Positive Cultures in Shoulder Surgery

68 shoulder surgery. Additionally, the study seeks to clarify whether an increase in the incubation
69 time frame leads to an increased rate of culture growth.

70

71 Materials and Methods

72 Study Design and Cohort

73 This single surgeon, prospective cohort study was approved by the local institutional
74 review board.

75 This study sought to enroll 100 consecutive patients, 50 into each the arthroscopic and
76 open repair groups. Patients were enrolled from May 2015 to November 2017 in from a private
77 practice clinic prior to surgery. Informed consent was obtained for those patients eligible for the
78 study.

79 Eligible study subjects included adult (>18 years old) patients undergoing open and
80 arthroscopic shoulder surgery for a clearly identified mechanical source of primary shoulder
81 symptoms, including rotator cuff tear, labral tear, instability, tendinosis, and osteoarthritis.
82 Patients with prior shoulder surgery, prior glenohumeral injection within six months of
83 enrollment, systemic or shoulder inflammatory disorder, and clinical or imaging findings raising
84 suspicion of infection were excluded from the study. Additionally, preoperative erythrocyte
85 sedimentation rate (ESR), C-reactive protein (CRP), and procalcitonin (PCT) were obtained as
86 screening tools to further assess underlying infectious or inflammatory process.

87 Procedure and Follow-up

88 All study participants pre-washed the surgical area with chlorhexidine soap the night
89 before surgery. For all open surgeries, patient's were placed into a semi-sitting position. For all
90 arthroscopic surgeries, patients were placed into a lateral decubitus position. Hair was shaved

False Positive Cultures in Shoulder Surgery

91 from the shoulder with electric clippers immediately prior to prepping and draping if the hair
92 interfered with incisions, draping, or postoperative dressings. Pre-surgical skin cleansing was
93 performed over the entire shoulder and down the operative extremity to the wrist. Skin was
94 painted with 100% isopropyl alcohol which was allowed to completely dry. The skin was then
95 painted with provodine iodine 10% which was allowed to completely dry prior to draping.
96 Adhesive edged drapes were applied circumferentially about the shoulder and a sterile
97 stockinette was placed over the upper extremity to near the axilla. For open surgeries, all
98 exposed skin about the shoulder was covered and sealed with an occlusive adhesive dressing.
99 Prophylactic antibiotics were delayed until all cultures were obtained. All cultures were taken as
100 quickly as possible after initiation of surgery so that antibiotics were not unduly delayed.

101 At the time of surgery, three cultures (superficial, deep, and “sterile” control) were
102 obtained. A superficial specimen for an open surgery was a tissue biopsy comprised of a small
103 piece of fat/connective tissue (approximately 1 x 1 x 0.5 cm) harvested immediately below the
104 dermal layer. A superficial specimen for an arthroscopic surgery was obtained by using an
105 arthroscopic punch to harvest 5-6 bites of subacromial bursal tissue. A deep specimen was a
106 tissue biopsy of glenohumeral synovial/capsular tissue. The “sterile” control specimen was
107 handled using all of the same procedures, except that the swab never made contact with the
108 patient. The surgical assistant passed the swab to the surgeon, who moved the swab through the
109 air around the patient’s shoulder without contacting any surface for three seconds, and then
110 returned it to the culture vial in the standard fashion.

111 All samples were tested with gram stain and cultures were done in chocolate agar, blood
112 agar, Maconkey agar, CNA agar, and thioglycolate broth. Cultures were monitored daily for

False Positive Cultures in Shoulder Surgery

113 twenty-eight days for colony growth, opening the plates only if growth was observed. A culture
114 was considered to be positive if any microbial growth occurred.

115 Since all included study patients had a clear mechanical source of primary shoulder pain,
116 no previous shoulder surgery, negative inflammatory labs, no recent injections, and appropriate
117 imaging findings, all positive cultures were considered for the purposes of this study to be false
118 positive due to contamination during sampling, transport, and/or laboratory handling errors.

119 Patients were followed clinically for six months postoperative to ensure preoperative
120 pain resolved postoperatively consistent with an effectively treated mechanical disorder and
121 there was no clinical suspicion of infection (shoulder/wound redness, swelling, warmth,
122 tenderness, or drainage; fever; unexplained malaise).

123

124 **Results**

125 In total, 128 patients were screened for the study. Twenty-two (17.1%) were excluded
126 due to elevated inflammatory labs and six patients did not receive the planned surgery. Of the
127 100 participants enrolled in the study, five patients were removed postop due to laboratory error
128 in which cultures were either not held for the entire twenty-eight-day protocol or culture
129 specimens were lost. Ultimately, ninety-five patients were included in the final analysis, forty-
130 seven patients in the open group and forty-eight in the arthroscopic group. In the open group,
131 surgeries included 22 replacement arthroplasties, 22 rotator cuff repairs, and 3 instability repairs.
132 In the arthroscopy group, surgeries included 24 acromioplasties, 17 rotator cuff repairs, 4 distal
133 clavicle resections, and 3 instability repairs. At six months postoperative, all patients had
134 progressed through the postoperative rehabilitation protocol as expected with no signs of

False Positive Cultures in Shoulder Surgery

135 infection. However one patient, treated for multidirectional instability, did have some residual
136 pain without clinical signs or concerns for infection.

137 Open Surgery Group

138 In the open group, positive culture results were reported for eight of the forty-seven
139 patients (17.02%) with one patient yielding positive superficial and deep culture specimens, each
140 growing a different organism. Nine of the 141 cultures (6.3%) obtained were positive for
141 bacterial growth. Five positive cultures were from superficial tissue, two positive cultures were
142 isolated from deep tissue, and two positive cultures were isolated from the control “sterile” swab
143 group. All positive bacterial cultures were reported within seven days.

144 *C. acnes* was isolated in three of the forty-seven patients (6.4%), twice from a superficial
145 tissue specimen and once from a deep tissue specimen. *C. acnes* was the most common isolated
146 organism (33% of positive cultures) in the open group followed by Coagulase-negative
147 *Staphylococcus aureus* (CoNS, 22% of positive cultures). All three patients yielding positive *C.*
148 *acnes* growth were men.

149 Arthroscopic Shoulder Group

150 In the arthroscopic group, positive culture results were reported five of forty-eight
151 patients (10.4%). Eight of the 144 cultures (5.5%) were positive for bacterial growth and one of
152 the 144 cultures (0.7%) was positive for “mold”. Of the nine positive cultures, three were
153 obtained from superficial tissue, three were obtained from deep tissue, and three were obtained
154 from the “control” swab. Two patients had more than one positive culture with one of the
155 patients growing Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) from the superficial,
156 deep, and “sterile” control cultures. The patient showed no signs of infection postoperatively.
157 The patient was not treated and progressed through the postoperative protocol as expected with

False Positive Cultures in Shoulder Surgery

158 no sequelae. All positive bacterial culture results were reported within seven days. Only one
159 specimen was isolated beyond one week, which was positive with “mold” at twenty-six days.

160 No specimens from the arthroscopic group were positive for *C. acnes*. The most common
161 isolated organism was MRSA (50% of positive cultures) followed by CoNS (25% of positive
162 cultures). (Table I)

163 Statistical Analysis

164 We determined, using 1-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), there was no statistically
165 significant difference between control and tissue sample positive culture ($P = 0.35$) and positive
166 *C. acnes* ($P = 0.78$) rates in the open surgery group. Similarly, in the arthroscopic group, there
167 was no difference between control and tissue sample positive culture ($P = 1$) and positive *C.*
168 *acnes* ($P = 1$) rates. Statistical significance was considered for P -values $< .05$.

169

170 **Discussion**

171 Previous studies have shown high rates of positive cultures in operative shoulders when
172 no infection was suspected preoperatively. However, those studies included patients who had had
173 previous shoulder surgery or who had received a recent preoperative cortical steroid injection,
174 either of which could have been a possible source of contamination resulting in an indolent,
175 undiagnosed infection^{5, 10, 12, 20}.

176 For this study, we excluded any patient with a history of any prior shoulder surgery or
177 who had received a corticosteroid injection within 6 months of surgery. We also excluded any
178 patient with elevated serum inflammatory markers. Still, those measures do not absolutely rule
179 out the possibility of an indolent, undiagnosed infection in our patients. Therefore, we also
180 performed a “sterile” control culture on each patient by doing cultures from swabs that never had

False Positive Cultures in Shoulder Surgery

181 contact with the patient. Thus, any positive control culture would clearly have to be considered
182 to be a false-positive.

183 We identified 9 positive cultures in each of the open and arthroscopic groups. Two of the
184 9 positive cultures for the open surgery group and 3 of the 9 positive cultures for the arthroscopy
185 group occurred in control specimens. Since the rate of positive cultures for control specimens did
186 not statistically differ from the rate of positive cultures which were actually taken from patients,
187 we surmise that all positive cultures were false-positive for the purpose of this study.

188 Overall, the rate of positive cultures was similar for both open and arthroscopic surgery
189 groups. There were 9 positive cultures from 141 specimens in the open surgery group (6.4%) and
190 9 positive cultures from 144 specimens in the arthroscopic surgery group (6.3%). Our study's
191 overall incidence of positive cultures for open surgery (17%: 8 of 47 patients) and arthroscopic
192 surgery (10%: 5 of 48 patients) is consistent with the high false positive rates quoted in previous
193 studies, but the positive culture rate of *C. acnes* (6% open group and 0% arthroscopic group) was
194 lower than in previous reports.

195 *C. acnes* was the most commonly isolated organism in the open surgical group.

196 Consistent with the literature, all of our *C. acnes* cultures were isolated from male patients and
197 the majority were taken from superficial tissues. The one deep tissue sample positive for *C.*
198 *acnes* could be to sampling error or contamination from the dermis during surgery^{7, 10, 11, 12, 16}.

199 While patient preoperative preparation, sampling, and laboratory handling play a more obvious
200 role, previous seeding via previous surgery and/or recent (<6 months) corticosteroid injections
201 might play a small role in the differences in our study's results. Further higher-powered studies
202 with controls would be needed to determine whether previous seeding truly increases the rate of
203 false-positive cultures.

False Positive Cultures in Shoulder Surgery

204 Despite a low overall incidence of PSI in arthroscopic surgery of ~0.27%, the false-
205 positive rate of cultures in our study was surprisingly high (approximately 19% of all patients
206 had a positive culture)⁵. The high false-positive rate highlights the need to minimize
207 contamination secondary to sampling, transport, or handling errors. Additionally, clinical
208 judgment should not be based solely off culture results, as exemplified by our patient with
209 MRSA-positive cultures from the superficial, deep, and control samples. Given no clinical
210 symptomology combined with resolution of pain postoperatively, the patient avoided
211 inappropriate antibiotic therapy.

212 *C. acnes* was not isolated in the arthroscopic group in our study, which differed from the
213 positive *C. acnes* rate reported by Chuang et al [Deep tissue rate 19.6% and Sethi et al (21.8% at
214 14 days, 25.1% positive rate 28 days)^{5,20}. An absence of positive *C. acnes* cultures was not
215 expected given superficial tissue samples and prolonged culture incubation times. Patient pre-
216 surgical preparation, sampling, and laboratory handling differences are thought to account for the
217 majority of our differing results. Perhaps more important than the reason for the differences is
218 the discrepancy in results present in the literature, which further supports the need for consensus
219 algorithm and/or more specific diagnostic test.

220 Holding cultures beyond fourteen days has been suggested in the literature but has been
221 questioned by some authors^{7,12}. Recent studies suggest incubation times from seven to thirteen
222 days decrease the false-positive rate of *C. acnes*. Our results demonstrate that all positive
223 bacterial cultures were identified within seven days (one culture positive to “mold” at twenty six
224 days) and that holding cultures longer than 14 days did not lead to increase rate of false positive
225 culture results.

False Positive Cultures in Shoulder Surgery

226 Our study does have limitations. We are not able to prove the positive cultures are truly
227 false positives. Our study does include patients with history of remote glenohumeral injection,
228 which has been associated with an increased rate of positive cultures¹². We tried to reduce the
229 potential impact of that factor by excluding all patients who had received an injection within six
230 months of surgery. Still, we did not definitively eliminate the possibility of preoperative seeding
231 by injection since one could appropriately argue that any injection at any time frame prior to
232 surgery could cause contamination of the joint.

233 Another limitation of the study was the short clinical follow-up period. A six month
234 follow-up period may not allow for enough time to reliably determine whether positive cultures
235 were inconsequential, given PSI secondary to *C. acnes* can first present as late as two years post
236 operatively¹. However, all but one patient experienced complete resolution of preoperative pain
237 and none of the patients exhibited clinical symptomology consistent with infection. Still, one
238 could reasonably argue that multi-year follow-up would be necessary to be absolutely sure that
239 none of our patients eventually would present with a shoulder infection.

240 Another important limitation of this study is the fact that the results reflect an experience
241 in a single health system. Our results may not be generalizable to other microbiology labs,
242 surgeons, or surgery centers. It would certainly be important for all clinicians to understand the
243 false positive risk for their health systems. The clinical and economic implications of treating a
244 patient based on an inaccurate diagnosis for infection, when no infection was actually present,
245 due to a false positive culture could be quite significant.

246 There are disturbing findings when reviewing the results of our study. One concern, was
247 the high rate of lab errors. We had to exclude five patients due to blatant errors, including lost
248 samples and removing cultures prior to 28 days. Additionally, five of the eighteen positive

False Positive Cultures in Shoulder Surgery

249 cultures were from the “sterile” control swabs, which suggest a high rate of contamination during
250 sampling, transport, or laboratory handling. The high rate of errors was considered a significant
251 finding in our study and highlights the need for implementing institutional regulations to limit
252 culture mishandling in the future.

253 Our study supports previous findings of high positive culture rates associated with
254 shoulder surgery. While our results may not be generalizable outside of our health system, it
255 highlights the importance of determining one’s own institutional false-positive rate. While the
256 utility of prolonged incubation times beyond fourteen days is debatable, our study did not find an
257 increase in false positive cultures beyond fourteen days.

258

259 **Conclusion**

260 Our study’s primary objective was to determine the incidence of positive cultures in open
261 and arthroscopic shoulder surgery in patients who were deemed (based on the inclusion and
262 exclusion criteria of this study) to have no preoperative infection. The false-positive rate in open
263 shoulder surgery was 17.02% and arthroscopic shoulder surgery was 10.4%. The incidence of
264 positive *C. acnes* cultures was 6.4% in the open group while *C. acnes* was not isolated in the
265 arthroscopic group.

266 Our study’s second objective was to determine if there was an increased rate of positive
267 cultures with prolonged incubation time of cultures in the microbiology laboratory. All positive
268 bacterial cultures were reported within seven days of collection. One culture positive for “mold”
269 was isolated at twenty-six days. Thus, this study did not find an increasing rate of false positive
270 cultures by prolonging incubation times to 28 days.

271

False Positive Cultures in Shoulder Surgery

272 References

- 273 1. Athwal GS, Sperling JW, Rispoli DM, Cofield RH. Deep infection after rotator cuff
274 repair. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2007;16(3):306-11. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2006.05.013
- 275 2. Boileau, P. Complications and revision of reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. *Orthop*
276 *Traumatol Surg Res.* 2016;102(1 Suppl):S33-43. doi:10.1016/j.otsr.2015.06.031
- 277 3. Bossard DA, Ledergerber B, Zingg PO, Gerber C, Zinkernagel AS, Zbinden R, et al.
278 Optimal length of cultivation time for isolation of *Propionibacterium acnes* in suspected
279 bone and joint infections is more than 7 Days. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2016;54(12):3043-9.
280 doi:10.1128/JCM.01435-16
- 281 4. Butler-Wu SM, Burns EM, Pottinger PS, Magaret AS, Rakeman JL, Matsen FA III, et al.
282 Optimization of periprosthetic culture for diagnosis of *Propionibacterium acnes*
283 prosthetic joint infection. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2011;49(7):2490-5.
284 doi:10.1128/JCM.00450-11
- 285 5. Chuang, MJ, Jancosko JJ, Mendoza V, Nottage WM. The incidence of
286 *Propionibacterium acnes* in shoulder arthroscopy. *Arthroscopy.* 2015;31(9):1702-7.
287 doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2015.01.029
- 288 6. Dodson CC, Craig EV, Cordasco FA, Dines DM, Dines JS, DiCarlo E, et al.
289 *Propionibacterium acnes* infection after shoulder arthroplasty: a diagnostic challenge. *J*
290 *Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2010;19(2):303-7. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2009.07.065
- 291 7. Frangiamore SJ, Saleh A, Grosso MJ, Alolabi B, Bauer TW, Iannotti JP, et al. Early
292 versus late culture growth of *Propionibacterium acnes* in revision shoulder arthroplasty. *J*
293 *Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2015;97(14):1149-58. doi:10.2106/JBJS.N.00881
- 294 8. Horneff JG, Hsu JE, Voleti PB, O'Donnell J, Huffman GR. *Propionibacterium acnes*
295 infection in shoulder arthroscopy patients with postoperative pain. *J Shoulder Elbow*
296 *Surg.* 2015;24(6):838-43. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2015.03.008
- 297 9. Kurtz SM, Lau E, Watson H, Schmier JK, Parvizi J. Economic burden of periprosthetic
298 joint infection in the United States. *J Arthroplasty.* 2012;27(8 Suppl):61-5.e1. doi:
299 10.1016/j.arth.2012.02.022
- 300 10. Levy O, Iyer S, Atoun E, Peter N, Hous N, Cash D, et al. *Propionibacterium acnes*: an
301 underestimated etiology in the pathogenesis of osteoarthritis? *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.*
302 2013;22(4):505-11. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2012.07.0078

False Positive Cultures in Shoulder Surgery

- 303 11. Matsen FA III, Russb SM, Bertelsen A, Butler-Wu S, Pottinger PS. *Propionibacterium*
304 can be isolated from deep cultures obtained at primary arthroplasty despite intravenous
305 antimicrobial prophylaxis. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2015;24(6):844-7.
306 doi:10.1016/j.jse.2014.10.0169
- 307 12. Mook WR, Klement MR, Green CL, Hazen KC, Garrigues GE. The incidence of
308 *Propionibacterium acnes* in open shoulder surgery: a controlled diagnostic study. J Bone
309 Joint Surg Am. 2015;97(12):957-63. doi:10.2106/JBJS.N.00784
- 310 13. Padegimas EM, Lawrence C, Narzikul AC, Zmistowski BM, Abboud JA, Williams GR,
311 et al. Future surgery after revision shoulder arthroplasty: the impact of unexpected
312 positive cultures. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2017;26(6):975-81.
313 doi:10.1016/j.jse.2016.10.023
- 314 14. Piper KE, Fernandez-Sampedro M, Steckelberg KE, Mandrekar JN, Karau MJ,
315 Steckelberg JM, et al. C-Reactive protein, erythrocyte sedimentation rate and orthopedic
316 implant infection. PLoS One. 2010;5(2):e9358. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.00093589
- 317 15. Pottinger P, Butler-Wu S, Neradilek MB, Merritt A, Bertelsen A, Jette JL, et al.
318 Prognostic factors for bacterial cultures positive for *Propionibacterium acnes* and other
319 organisms in a large series of revision shoulder arthroplasties performed for stiffness,
320 pain, or loosening. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2012;94(22):2075-83.
321 doi:10.2106/JBJS.K.00861
- 322 16. Qiu B, Al K, Pena-Diaz AM, Athwal GS, Drosdowech D, Faber KJ, et al. *Cutibacterium*
323 *acnes* and the shoulder microbiome. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2018;27(10):1734-9.
324 doi:10.1016/j.jse.2018.04.019
- 325 17. Schafer P, Fink B, Sandow D, Margull A, Berger I, Frommelt L. Prolonged bacterial
326 culture to identify late periprosthetic joint infection: a promising strategy. Clin Infect Dis.
327 2008;47(11):1403-9. doi:10.1086/592973
- 328 18. Scholz, CF, Kilian M. The natural history of cutaneous propionibacteria, and
329 reclassification of selected species within the genus *Propionibacterium* to the proposed
330 novel genera *Acidipropionibacterium* gen. nov., *Cutibacterium* gen. nov. and
331 *Pseudopropionibacterium* gen. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2016;66(11):4422-32.
332 doi:10.1099/ijsem.0.001367

False Positive Cultures in Shoulder Surgery

- 333 19. Schwotzer N, Wahl P, Fracheboud D, Gautier E, Chuard C. Optimal culture incubation
334 time in orthopedic device-associated infections: a retrospective analysis of prolonged 14-
335 day incubation. J Clin Microbiol. 2014;52(1):61-6. doi:10.1128/JCM.01766-13
- 336 20. Sethi PM, Sabetta JR, Stuek SJ, Horine SV, Vadasdi KB, Greene RT, et al. Presence of
337 *Propionibacterium acnes* in primary shoulder arthroscopy: results of aspiration and tissue
338 cultures. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2015;24(5):796-803. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2014.09.042
- 339 21. Shields MV, Abdullah L, Namdari S. The challenge of *Propionibacterium acnes* and
340 revision shoulder arthroplasty: a review of current diagnostic options. J Shoulder Elbow
341 Surg. 2016;25(6):1034-40. doi:10.1016/j.jse.2016.01.009

342

343

344 **Table Legend**

345 Table I. Results

TABLE I
Open surgery

Total	47 subjects		141 specimens
+ cultures	9 (19.1%)		9 (6.4%)
	Deep	Superficial	Control
+ culture	2	5	2
+ C acnes	1	2	0

9 positive cultures

Arthroscopic surgery
9 positive cultures

Total	48 subjects		144 specimens
+ cultures	9 (18.7%)		9 (6.2%)
	Deep	Superficial	Control
+ cultures	3	3	3
+ C acnes	0	0	0