1

Preoperative TIPS

1	Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS) creation prior to abdominal operation: a
2	retrospective analysis
3	Adam Schmitz, Paul Haste, MD, Matthew S. Johnson, MD
4	Indiana University School of Medicine, Department of Radiology
5	550 N University Blvd Indianapolis, IN 46202
6	
7	Adam Schmitz
8	7424 Samuel Drive Indianapolis, IN 46259
9	Phone: 260-433-4955
10	Fax: N/A
11	Email: awschmit@iupui.edu
12	
13	Dr. Paul Haste
14	Indiana University School of Medicine, Department of Radiology
15	550 N. University Blvd Indianapolis, IN 46202
16	Phone: 317-944-5005
17	Fax: N/A
18	Email: phaste@iupui.edu
19	
20	Dr. Matthew S. Johnson
21	³ Indiana University School of Medicine, Department of Radiology
22	550 N. University Blvd Indianapolis, IN 46202
23	Phone: 317-948-0849
24	Fax: N/A
25	Email: matjohns@iupui.edu
26	
27	<u>Support</u>
28	NIH T35 Research Fellowship
29	

This is the author's manuscript of the article published in final edited form as:

Schmitz, A., Haste, P., & Johnson, M. S. (2019). Transjugular Intrahepatic Portosystemic Shunt (TIPS) Creation Prior to Abdominal Operation: A Retrospective Analysis. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery: Official Journal of the Society for Surgery of the Alimentary Tract. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11605-019-04384-w

30	Conflicts of Interest
31	Adam Schmitz: None declared
32	Dr. Paul Haste: None declared
33	Dr. Matthew S. Johnson: Dr. Johnson is a paid consultant to Cook Medical
34	
35	Previous Presentations
36 37	This paper was presented in abstract form at the Society of Interventional Radiology 2019 Annual Scientific Meeting, Austin, TX March 25 2019
38	
39	Authorship
40 41 42	Adam Schmitz made substantial contributions to the design of this study, analyzed the data, and wrote and approved the final manuscript form. In addition Mr. Schmitz agrees to be accountable for the information presented in this manuscript.
43 44 45	Dr. Paul Haste made substantial contributions to the design of this study, aided in the drafting process, and approved the final manuscript form. In addition Dr. Haste agrees to be accountable for the information presented in this manuscript.
46 47 48	Dr. Matthew S. Johnson made substantial contributions to the design of this study, aided in the drafting process, and approved the final manuscript form. In addition Dr. Johnson agrees to be accountable for the information presented in this manuscript.
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
67	
57	

Purpose: Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS) creation is most commonly
performed for patients with refractory ascites or variceal hemorrhage. While TIPS have also been
created prior to planned abdominal operation to decrease morbidity related to portal
hypertension, there are limited data supporting its effectiveness in that indication. The goal of
this study was to determine if preoperative TIPS creation allows for successful abdominal
operation with limited morbidity.

Methods: A retrospective review of records of 22 consecutive patients who underwent TIPS 64 creation for the specific indication of improving surgical candidacy, between 2011 and 2016, 65 66 was performed. Clinical and serologic data were obtained for 21 patients (one patient was excluded since she was completely lost to follow up after TIPS creation). The primary endpoint 67 was whether patients underwent planned abdominal operation following TIPS. Operative 68 69 outcomes and reasons that patients failed to undergo planned operation were examined as 70 secondary endpoints. The mean age was 56.4 ± 8.8 years, and the mean Child-Pugh and Model for End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD) scores were 7.2 ± 1.5 and 11.9 ± 4.3 , respectively. 71 Results: TIPS creation was performed in all 21 patients with a thirty-day mortality rate of 9.5%. 72 Eleven patients (52.4%) subsequently underwent abdominal operation after which the thirty-day 73 74 postoperative mortality rate was 0%. One patient (9.1%) had major perioperative morbidity related to portal hypertension and presented with surgical wound dehiscence and infection 75 requiring drain placement and antibiotic therapy. 76

Conclusions: In this population, TIPS allowed successful abdominal operation in the majority of
patients, with thirty-day TIPS mortality of 9.5%, no perioperative mortality, and 9.1% major
postoperative morbidity attributable to portal hypertension.

Keywords: Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt, TIPS, surgery, portal hypertension

81

Introduction

Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS) creation is most commonly 82 performed for one of two indications: variceal hemorrhage or refractory ascites [1]. A third 83 indication that may lead to TIPS creation is portal decompression prior to planned abdominal 84 operation. Cirrhosis is a widely recognized predictor of operative morbidity and mortality, with a 85 recent systematic review indicating that cirrhotic patients undergoing any surgical procedure 86 have postoperative morbidity and thirty-day mortality rates of 30.1% and 11.6%, respectively 87 [2]. Patients with cirrhosis and concomitant portal hypertension have even greater operative 88 risks, which can be accurately assessed by Child-Pugh and model for end-stage liver disease 89 (MELD) scores [2, 3]. One recent study found that patients with portal hypertension undergoing 90 gastrointestinal surgery had a 6-fold increase in 30-day mortality rates compared to patients 91 without portal hypertension [4]. Some studies have indicated that portal decompression via 92 neoadjuvant TIPS can ameliorate operative risks and improve outcomes, while others describe 93 no benefit [5, 6, 7]. Definitive answers have been difficult to pinpoint due to the relative 94 infrequency of this indication for TIPS and the small sample sizes in the published literature. In 95 96 addition, the practicality of using TIPS to facilitate abdominal operation has yet to be examined in the United States where non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is the most common cause 97 of liver disease [8]. The goal of this study was to determine the percentage of patients who 98 99 underwent abdominal operation following preoperative TIPS creation and to understand the relationship between preoperative TIPS and perioperative outcomes. 100

Materials and Methods

This retrospective study was conducted at a single university medical center, was HIPAA compliant and approved by the institutional review board. Patients who underwent TIPS creation between 2011-2016 were identified through a database search, and these patients were further stratified by indication for TIPS. Twenty-two patients underwent TIPS creation with the specific goal of improving surgical candidacy. One patient for whom no follow up data were available was excluded, yielding a final cohort of 21 patients.

108 Clinical and serologic data were collected for all patients. Patient demographics, liver 109 disease etiology, laboratory values, and physiologic measurements were recorded. Medical 110 history including the presence of varices, ascites, and encephalopathy was also taken into 111 consideration. Liver function was assessed using MELD and Child-Pugh scores. Clinical and 112 serologic data for all patients prior to TIPS is summarized in Table 1.

All patients had manifestations of portal hypertension prior to TIPS (varices, ascites, or 113 both). Patients were referred for TIPS creation specifically to improve their surgical candidacy 114 through decompression of varices (n=11) or reduction of ascites (n=10). Seven of these patients 115 did not have a history of variceal bleeding, but rather had varices noted on pre-operative 116 imaging. The planned abdominal operations included hernia repair (n=10), sleeve gastrectomy 117 118 (n=6), cholecystectomy (n=1), gastrectomy (n=1), esophagectomy (n=1), renal transplant (n=1), and colectomy (n=1). Most of the operations planned to use an open approach (n=15), but 119 120 several operations were to be carried out using laparoscopic methods (n=6).

Records of patients undergoing the planned abdominal operation after TIPS were examined for perioperative complications, and these were then divided into those related to portal hypertension (ascites, variceal bleeding, etc.) and those that were unrelated. All of these perioperative complications were then included in this study.

125	The primary endpoint was whether patients underwent the planned abdominal operation
126	after TIPS, with failure simply being defined as not proceeding to the planned abdominal
127	operation. Reasons for failure to undergo the operation and outcomes of TIPS and abdominal
128	operation were examined as secondary endpoints.
129	Numerical results included in the tables below follow the format: mean \pm standard
130	deviation. Percentages, when relevant, are enclosed in parentheses.
131	Results
132	All 21 patients underwent TIPS creation as a preoperative measure. Patient characteristics
133	prior to TIPS are shown in Table 1. Pressure measurements were recorded during the procedure
134	for all but one patient (due to equipment failure). These values can be seen in Table 2. The mean
135	portosystemic gradient prior to TIPS was 14.3 mmHg; this was reduced to a mean of 4.9 mmHg
136	after TIPS creation.
137	Hepatic encephalopathy was increased in the cohort following TIPS insertion, with 7
138	patients (33.3%) experiencing new-onset symptoms within 30 days of the procedure. Thirty-day
139	mortality after TIPS was 9.5%. One patient died as a result of a transfusion related acute lung
140	injury three days after TIPS. The second patient died 16 days after TIPS from sepsis secondary
141	to complications of advanced sigmoid colon carcinoma. Neither death was directly attributable to
142	the TIPS procedure itself.
143	After a median follow-up time of 705 days, 11 of the 21 patients who underwent TIPS
144	creation had undergone the planned abdominal operation. Mean time between TIPS and
145	operation was 38.7 days (range= 0-156 days). There were no deaths within 30 days of the
146	abdominal operation. One patient (9.1%) had major perioperative morbidity related to portal

7

hypertension and presented with wound dehiscence and infection (felt to be secondary to ascites)following hernia repair.

Ten of the original 21 patients had not undergone the planned abdominal operation by the median follow-up time of 705 days. Two of these patients died within 30 days of TIPS creation, as mentioned previously. Two other patients that had TIPS placement for ascites reduction prior to hernia repair did not proceed to the planned operation due to resolution of hernia symptoms after the ascites resolved.

After TIPS, one patient had persistent hepatic encephalopathy requiring multiple hospitalizations. This required a downsize of the TIPS, which unfortunately lead to recurrence of the ascites. As a consequence, the patient was never able to be optimized for hernia repair.

Another patient was found to have multiple myeloma after TIPS creation and was no longer considered a candidate for the initially planned surgery. One patient lived several hours from the medical center and did not undergo operation due to documented transportation concerns. In three cases it was unknown why the patient failed to undergo the planned operation.

161

Discussion

162 Cirrhotic patients with portal hypertension present a unique challenge and often have 163 comorbidities that complicate management. Operative intervention in this population has been 164 associated with higher incidence of hemorrhage, wound dehiscence, infection, and renal 165 dysfunction [9]. TIPS creation has been used as a method to improve surgical candidacy via 166 portal decompression but data regarding the risks and benefits of that intervention are limited.

167 Only a few studies have examined the effects of preoperative TIPS placement. Vinet et 168 al. found no benefit to preoperative TIPS placement when comparing a group of 18 patients who

underwent TIPS to a group of 17 matched controls. It is possible that this was an anomaly,
however, because the patients undergoing TIPS were generally more ill and had higher baseline
Child-Pugh scores [7]. Fares et al. indicated a benefit with preoperative TIPS placement in a
retrospective study involving 28 patients. Of the 28 patients with dedicated preoperative TIPS
placement, 24 were able to undergo the planned operation with a thirty-day mortality of 0% and
a one-year mortality of 22% [6].

Eleven of the 21 patients (52.4%) in this study proceeded to the planned abdominal 175 operation after undergoing preoperative TIPS creation. This is lower than the completion rate 176 177 observed by Fares et al. (86%) but this could be explained by differences in the patient 178 population. Our study included a significant number of patients with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) as the cause of liver disease, while the vast majority (93%) of patients in 179 180 the Fares et al. study had liver disease related to alcohol use or viral hepatitis [6]. Since NASH has a strong association with obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia 181 it is possible that our patient population was already less fit to undergo operation [10]. 182

Currently, both Child-Pugh and MELD scores are used in the preoperative evaluation of 183 cirrhotic patients, since they have been shown to predict operative mortality. One frequently 184 185 cited statistic regarding Child-Pugh scores is that patients in classes A, B, and C have operative mortality rates of 10%, 30%, and 76%, respectively, when undergoing major abdominal 186 operation [11]. Although these figures have withstood the test of time and are consistent across 187 studies, they are not particularly descriptive since each Child-Pugh class encompasses several 188 different scores. The mean Child-Pugh score for patients undergoing abdominal operation in our 189 cohort was 7.3, which is included in the range for Child-Pugh class B (scores of 7-9). However, 190 it is unlikely that the operative mortality in these patients would be predicted to be as high as 191

30%, since 7.3 represents the low side of that range. MELD scores are another important 192 predictor of 30-day operative mortality in cirrhotic patients. In a large retrospective study, 193 MELD score of 8-11 predicted a 30-day operative mortality rate of 10.3%, while scores of 12-15 194 195 predicted a 30-day operative mortality rate of 25.4% [12]. When applying these rules to a group of patients, one encounters the same difficulties that occur with using Child-Pugh classes to 196 predict operative mortality; namely that these percentages describe ranges and not individual 197 198 scores. A simple heuristic described by Northup et. al is that each 1-point increase in the MELD score up to 20 points corresponds to a 1% increase in 30-day operative mortality rate [3]. For the 199 patients in our cohort that underwent abdominal operation, the mean MELD score was 11.7, 200 which would predict a 30-day operative mortality rate of approximately 11.7%. 201

One of the most common complications following TIPS creation is the development of 202 203 hepatic encephalopathy (HE). The incidence of HE after TIPS is reported to be between 25-45%, 204 although if only new and worsening cases of HE are considered this range drops to 13-36% [13]. Since many patients with severe liver disease have some symptoms of encephalopathy at 205 206 baseline, this can be a difficult problem to quantify. Within 30 days of TIPS creation, 7 patients (33.3%) in this cohort experienced new-onset HE. Patients that developed HE more than thirty 207 days after TIPS were not included in this calculation because of the difficulty in assessing 208 209 whether the HE was due to TIPS creation or overall worsening of hepatic function. It is worth noting that only one patient in this cohort experienced severe, refractory HE that required TIPS 210 downsizing. The remainder of the patients were able to be managed with medical therapy which 211 largely consisted of lactulose, rifaximin, and zinc. 212

One of the 11 patients undergoing abdominal operation experienced grade IIIa
postoperative complications related to portal hypertension as defined by the Clavien-Dindo

classification system [14]. This patient originally underwent preoperative TIPS to decrease 215 ascites prior to hernia repair but experienced recurrent ascites, wound dehiscence, and infection 216 in the postoperative period. This was unexpected since this patient had a portosystemic gradient 217 pressure of 3 mmHg after TIPS. This eventually required drain placement and antibiotic therapy. 218 Reasons for failure to undergo the planned abdominal operation were diverse and 219 220 multifactorial. While 10 of the 21 patients (47.6%) did not undergo the planned abdominal operation, it is worth noting that two of these patients no longer required surgical intervention 221 because of the TIPS creation. Both of these patients underwent TIPS creation in preparation for 222 223 hernia operation and had resolution of their hernia symptoms due to the decrease in ascites 224 following TIPS. Resolution of hernia symptoms following TIPS is a somewhat unexpected finding since hernia incarceration and complications have been a reported outcome of TIPS [15]. 225 226 Because all of the patients underwent TIPS specifically to improve their candidacy for a planned 227 operation, it was surprising that reasons for failure could not be found for three patients. Additionally, another patient did not undergo the planned operation due to concerns regarding 228 229 transportation. These outcomes highlight both the difficulty and importance of selecting patients who are likely to complete and benefit from this two-step process. 230

The main limitation of this study is its retrospective nature. This limits the ability to collect a more robust data set to allow for more in-depth analysis. Another limitation is the relatively small size of the series with only 22 patients undergoing preoperative TIPS creation during this time frame. Lastly, the authors recognize that a comparative arm of patients who underwent surgery without TIPS creation would be ideal. However, the patients in this series were not surgical candidates prior to the TIPS creation so no such comparative arm exists as all patients with these demographics required TIPS creation prior to operation.

238	Conclusion
239	In our population, TIPS creation allowed successful abdominal operation in the majority
240	of patients, with thirty-day post-TIPS mortality of 9.5%, no thirty-day operative mortality, and
241	9.1% major postoperative morbidity related to portal hypertension.
242	
243	
244	Acknowledgements
245	This study was funded, in part, by Award Number T35HL110854 from the National Institutes of
246	Health. Special thanks to the Department of Radiology and Imaging Sciences at Indiana
247	University School of Medicine for aiding in the development of this study.
248	
249	
250	
251	
252	
253	
254	
255	
256	

References

258 1. Loffroy R, Favelier S, Pottecher P, Estivalet L, Genson PY, Gehin S, et al. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt for acute variceal gastrointestinal bleeding: Indications, 259 techniques and outcomes. Diagnostic and interventional imaging. 2015;96(7-8):745-55. 260 de Goede B, Klitsie PJ, Lange JF, Metselaar HJ, Kazemier G. Morbidity and mortality 2. 261 related to non-hepatic surgery in patients with liver cirrhosis: a systematic review. Best practice 262 & research Clinical gastroenterology. 2012;26(1):47-59. 263 3. Northup PG, Wanamaker RC, Lee VD, Adams RB, Berg CL. Model for End-Stage Liver 264 Disease (MELD) predicts nontransplant surgical mortality in patients with cirrhosis. Annals of 265 266 surgery. 2005;242(2):244-51. De Stefano F, Garcia CR, Gupta M, Marti F, Turcios L, Dugan A, et al. Outcomes in 267 4. patients with portal hypertension undergoing gastrointestinal surgery: A propensity score 268 matched analysis from the NSOIP dataset. The American Journal of Surgery. 2018. 269 Azoulay D, Buabse F, Damiano I, Smail A, Ichai P, Dannaoui M, et al. Neoadjuvant 270 5. transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt: a solution for extrahepatic abdominal operation in 271 272 cirrhotic patients with severe portal hypertension. Journal of the American College of Surgeons. 2001;193(1):46-51. 273 274 Fares N, Robic MA, Peron JM, Muscari F, Otal P, Suc B, et al. Transjugular intrahepatic 6. 275 portosystemic shunt placement before abdominal intervention in cirrhotic patients with portal hypertension: lessons from a pilot study. European journal of gastroenterology & hepatology. 276 2018;30(1):21-6. 277 278 7. Vinet E, Perreault P, Bouchard L, Bernard D, Wassef R, Richard C, et al. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt before abdominal surgery in cirrhotic patients: a retrospective, 279 comparative study. Canadian journal of gastroenterology = Journal canadien de 280 gastroenterologie. 2006;20(6):401-4. 281 Corey KE, Kaplan LM. Obesity and liver disease: the epidemic of the twenty-first 282 8. century. Clinics in liver disease. 2014;18(1):1-18. 283 Kim JJ, Dasika NL, Yu E, Fontana RJ. Cirrhotic patients with a transjugular intrahepatic 284 9. portosystemic shunt undergoing major extrahepatic surgery. Journal of clinical gastroenterology. 285 2009;43(6):574-9. 286 Wong RJ, Ahmed A. Obesity and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: Disparate associations 10. 287 among Asian populations. World journal of hepatology. 2014;6(5):263-73. 288 O'Leary JG, Yachimski PS, Friedman LS. Surgery in the patient with liver disease. 289 11. 290 Clinics in liver disease. 2009;13(2):211-31. Teh SH, Nagorney DM, Stevens SR, Offord KP, Therneau TM, Plevak DJ, et al. Risk 291 12. Factors for Mortality After Surgery in Patients With Cirrhosis. Gastroenterology. 292 2007;132(4):1261-9. 293 Riggio O, Nardelli S, Moscucci F, Pasquale C, Ridola L, Merli M. Hepatic 294 13. encephalopathy after transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt. Clinics in liver disease. 295 2012;16(1):133-46. 296 297 14. Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien PA. Classification of surgical complications: a new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. Annals of surgery. 298 2004;240(2):205-13. 299

257

Smith MT, Rase B, Woods A, Trotter J, Gipson M, Kondo K, et al. Risk of hernia
 incarceration following transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt placement. Journal of
 vascular and interventional radiology : JVIR. 2014;25(1):58-62.

303

304

Tables

Table 1. Patient characteristics prior to TIPS (n=21)

Age in years	56.4 ± 8.8
Sex	
Male	13 (61.9)
Female	8 (38.1)
Liver disease etiology	
Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH)	9 (42.8)
Alcohol	6 (28.6)
Hepatitis C virus	4 (19.0)
Autoimmune hepatitis	1 (4.8)
Primary biliary cirrhosis	1 (4.8)
Child-Pugh class	
А	8 (38.1)
В	12 (57.1)
С	1 (4.8)
Child-Pugh score	7.2 ± 1.5
MELD score	12.0 ± 3.7
MELD-Na score	11.9 ± 4.3
Varices	18 (85.7)

History of variceal bleeding	5 (23.8)
History of ascites	13 (61.9)
Ascites present at time of TIPS	11 (52.4)
History of encephalopathy	7 (33.3)
Uncontrolled encephalopathy present at time	0 (0.0)
of TIPS	
Beta-blocker in use	9 (42.9)
WBC	5.2 ± 2.5
Hemoglobin	11.7 ± 2.0
Platelets	126.5 ± 70.5
INR	1.3 ± 0.2
Prothrombin time	14.2 ± 2.5
Sodium	135.9 ± 3.0
Creatinine	1.4 ± 1.6
Total bilirubin	1.0 ± .6
ALT	24.1 ± 11.0
Alkaline phosphatase	87.8 ± 25.7
Albumin	3.7 ± 1.1

306

Table 2. Outcomes of TIPS and pressure measurements in mmHg (n=20)

Pre-TIPS	
Portosystemic gradient	14.3 ± 4.6

Post-TIPS	
Portosystemic gradient	4.9 ± 1.7
Hepatic encephalopathy (new-onset)	7 (33.3)
30-day mortality	2 (9.5)