

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263888275

Some Inequalities which hold for Starlike Logharmonic Mappings of order alpha

ARTICLE *in* JOURNAL OF COMPUTATIONAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS · JUNE 2014 Impact Factor: 0.48

reads **41**

2 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



S. Melike Aydogan

State University of New York College at Bro...

68 PUBLICATIONS 23 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

All in-text references underlined in blue are linked to publications on ResearchGate, letting you access and read them immediately.

Available from: S. Melike Aydogan Retrieved on: 08 January 2016

SOME INEQUALITIES WHICH HOLD FOR STARLIKE LOG-HARMONIC MAPPINGS OF ORDER α

H. Esra Özkan¹, Melike Aydoğan²

Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Istanbul Kültür University, 34156, Bakirkoy, Turkey

Department of Mathematics, Isik University, 34980, Sile, Istanbul, Turkey

 $^{1}\underline{e.ozkan@iku.edu.tr}$

 $^{2}melike.aydogan@isikun.edu.tr$

Abstract

Let H(D) be the linear space of all analytic functions defined on the open disc $D = \{z | |z| < 1\}$. A log-harmonic mappings is a solution of the nonlinear elliptic partial differential equation

$$\overline{f_{\overline{z}}} = w \frac{\overline{f}}{\overline{f}} f_z$$

where $w(z) \in H(D)$ is second dilatation such that |w(z)| < 1 for all $z \in D$. It has been shown that if f is a non-vanishing log-harmonic mapping, then f can be expressed as

$$f(z) = h(z)\overline{g(z)}$$

where h(z) and g(z) are analytic function in D. On the other hand, if f vanishes at z = 0 but it is not identically zero then f admits following representation

$$f(z) = z |z|^{2\beta} h(z)\overline{g(z)}$$

where $Re\beta > -\frac{1}{2}$, h and g are analytic in D, g(0) = 1, $h(0) \neq 0$. Let $f = z |z|^{2\beta} h\overline{g}$ be a univalent log-harmonic mapping.

2000 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification 30C45.

Keywords and phrases: Starlike log-harmonic functions, univalent functions, distortion theorem, Marx-Strohhacker inequality We say that f is a starlike log-harmonic mapping of order α if

$$\frac{\partial(\arg f(re^{i\theta}))}{\partial\theta} = Re\frac{zf_z - \overline{z}f_{\overline{z}}}{f} > \alpha \ , \ 0 \le \alpha < 1. \ (\forall z \in U)$$

and denote by $S_{lh}^*(\alpha)$ the set of all starlike log-harmonic mappings of order α .

The aim of this paper is to define some inequalities of starlike log-harmonic functions of order α ($0 \le \alpha \le 1$).

I. Introduction

Let Ω be the family of functions $\phi(z)$ regular in the unit disc D and satisfying the conditions $\phi(0) = 0$, $|\phi(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in D$.

Next, denote by $P(\alpha)(0 \le \alpha < 1)$ the family of functions

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + \dots$$

regular in D and such that p(z) in $P(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha) \phi(z)}{1 - \phi(z)}$$

for some functions $z \in \Omega$ and every $z \in D$.

Let $S_1(z)$ and $S_2(z)$ be analytic functions in the open unit disc, with $S_1(0) = S_2(0)$, if $S_1(z) = S_2(\phi(z))$ then we say that $S_1(z)$ is subordinate to $S_2(z)$, where $\phi(z) \in \Omega([4])$, and we write $S_1(z) \prec S_2(z)$.

Let H(D) be the linear space of all analytic functions defined on the open disc $D = \{z | |z| < 1\}$. A log-harmonic mappings is a solution of the nonlinear elliptic partial differential equation

$$\overline{f_{\overline{z}}} = w \frac{\overline{f}}{\overline{f}} f_z$$

where $w(z) \in H(D)$ is second dilatation such that |w(z)| < 1 for all $z \in D$.

It has been shown that if f is a non-vanishing log-harmonic mapping, then f can be expressed as

$$f(z) = h(z)g(z)$$

where h(z) and g(z) are analytic function in D.

On the other hand, if f vanishes at z = 0 but it is not identically zero then f admits following representation

$$f(z) = z \left| z \right|^{2\beta} h(z) \overline{g(z)}$$

where $Re\beta > -\frac{1}{2}$, h and g are analytic in D, g(0) = 1, $h(0) \neq 0$. Let $f = z |z|^{2\beta} h\overline{g}$ be a univalent log-harmonic mapping. We say that fis a starlike logharmonic mapping of order α if

$$\frac{\partial(\arg f(re^{i\theta}))}{\partial\theta} = Re\frac{zf_z - \overline{z}f_{\overline{z}}}{f} > \alpha \ , \ 0 \leq \alpha < 1. \ (\forall z \in U)$$

and denote by $S_{lh}^*(\alpha)$ the set of all starlike log-harmonic mappings of order $\alpha([3]).$

If $\alpha = 0$, we get the class of starlike log-harmonic mappings. Also, let

$$ST(\alpha) = \{f \in S^*_{lh}(\alpha) \text{ and } f \in H(U)\}.$$

If $f \in S_{lh}^*(0)$ then $F(\varsigma) = \log(f(e^{\varsigma}))$ is univalent and harmonic on the half plane $\{\varsigma \mid Re\{\varsigma\} < 0\}$. It is known that F is closely related with the theory of nonparametric minimal surfaces over domains of the form $-\infty < u < u_0(v)$, $u_0(v + 2\pi) = u_0(v)$, see ([1],[2]).

In this paper, we obtain Marx-Strohhacker Inequality and new distortion theorems using the subordination principle for the starlike log-harmonic mappings of order α , previously studied by Z. Abdulhadi and Y. Abu Muhanna [3] who obtained the representation theorem and a different distortion theorem for the same class.

II. Main Results

Theorem 2.1.Let $f(z) = zh(z)\overline{g(z)}$ be an analytic logaritmic harmonic function in the open unit disc U. If f(z) satisfies the condition

$$z\frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} - z\frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} \prec \frac{2(1-\alpha)z}{1-z} = F(z)$$
(1)

then $f \in S_{lh}^*(\alpha)$.

Proof. We define the function by

$$\frac{h}{g} = (1 - \phi(z))^{-2(1-\alpha)}$$
(2)

where $(1 - \phi(z))^{-2(1-\alpha)}$ has the value 1 at z = 0. Then w(z) is analytic and $\phi(0) = 0$. If we take the logarithmic derivative of (2) and the after brief calculations, we get

$$z \frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} - z \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} \prec \frac{2(1-\alpha)z\phi'(z)}{1-\phi(z)}$$

Now it is easy to realize that the subordination (1) is equivalent to $|\phi(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in U$. Indeed assume the contrary: then there is a $z_1 \in U$ such that $|\phi(z_1)| = 1$, so by I.S. Jack Lemma $z_1\phi'(z_1) = k\phi(z_1)$ for some $k \geq 1$ and for such $z_1 \in U$, we have

$$z_1 \frac{h'(z_1)}{h(z_1)} - z_1 \frac{g'(z_1)}{g(z_1)} \prec \frac{2(1-\alpha)k\phi(z_1)}{1-\phi(z_1)} = F(\phi(z_1)) \notin F(U)$$

but this contradicts (1); so our assumption is wrong, i.e, $|\phi(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in u$. By using condition (1) we get

$$1 + z\frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} - z\frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)\phi(z)}{1 - \phi(z)} \quad .$$
(3)

The equality (3) shows that $f(z) \in S_{lh}^*(\alpha)$.

Corollary 2.2. For the starlike logharmonic functions of order α , we have Marx-Strohhacker Inequality is

$$\left|1 - \left(\frac{g}{h}\right)^{\frac{1}{2(1-\alpha)}}\right| < 1$$

g and hare analytic in u and $0 \notin hg(u)$. **Proof.** Using theorem 2.1 we have

$$(1 - \phi(z))^{\frac{1 - 2\alpha + 1}{-1}} = \frac{h}{g} \implies (1 - \phi(z))^{-2(1 - \alpha)} = \frac{h}{g} \implies \frac{1}{(1 - \phi(z))^{2(1 - \alpha)}} = \frac{h}{g} \implies \frac{1}{1 - \phi(z)} = \left(\frac{h}{g}\right)^{\frac{1}{2(1 - \alpha)}} \implies (1 - \phi(z)) = \left(\frac{g}{h}\right)^{\frac{1}{2(1 - \alpha)}} \implies (1 - \phi(z)) = (\frac{g}{h})^{\frac{1}{2(1 - \alpha)}} \implies (1 - \phi(z)) = (\frac{g}{h})^{\frac{1$$

Theorem 2.3. If $f \in S^*_{lh}(\alpha)$ then

$$\frac{1}{(1+r)^{2(1-\alpha)}} \le \left|\frac{h}{g}\right| < \frac{1}{(1-r)^{2(1-\alpha)}} \tag{4}$$

Proof. The set of the values of the function $\left(\frac{2(1-\alpha)z}{(1-z)}\right)$ is the closed disc with the centre C and the radius ρ , where

$$C = C(r) = \left(\frac{2(1-\alpha)r^2}{1-r^2}, 0\right) \quad , \quad \rho = \rho(r) = \frac{2(1-\alpha)r}{1-r^2}.$$

Using the subordination, we can write

$$\left| \left(z \frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} - z \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} \right) - \frac{2(1-\alpha)r^2}{1-r^2} \right| \le \frac{2(1-\alpha)r}{1-r^2}.$$
 (5)

Therefore we have

$$-\frac{2(1-\alpha)r}{1+r} \le Re\left(z\frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} - z\frac{g'(z)}{g(z)}\right) \le \frac{2(1-\alpha)r}{1-r}.$$
 (6)

On the other hand

_

$$Re\left(z\frac{h'}{h}\right) - Re\left(z\frac{g'}{g}\right) = r\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\log|h| - \log|g|\right).$$
(7)

If we consider the relations (5), (6), (7) together we obtain

$$-\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{1+r} \le \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\log|h| - \log|g| \right) \le \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{1-r}$$
(8)

After the integrating we obtain (4).

Theorem 2.4. If $f \in S_{lh}^*(\alpha)$ then

$$\frac{|b_1| - |a_1|r}{|a_1| - |b_1|r} (1 - r)^{2(1 - \alpha)} \le \frac{|g'(z)|}{|h'(z)|} \le \frac{|b_1| + |a_1|r}{|a_1| + |b_1|r} (1 + r)^{2(1 - \alpha)}.$$
 (9)

Proof. Using theorem 2.3 we can write

$$(1-r)^{2(1-\alpha)} \le \frac{|g(z)|}{|h(z)|} \le (1+r)^{2(1-\alpha)}$$
(10)

On the other hand, since f is solution of the non-linear elliptic partial differential equation

$$\overline{f_{\overline{z}}} = w \frac{\overline{f}}{\overline{f}} f_z$$

then we obtain

$$w(z) = \frac{\frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)}}{\frac{g(z)}{h(z)}} = \frac{b_1}{a_1} + \dots$$
(11)

Now we define the function

$$\phi(z) = \frac{w(z) - w(0)}{1 - \overline{w(0)}w(z)}, z \in D.$$
(12)

Therefore $\phi(z)$ satisfies the condition of Schwarz lemma. Using the estimate the Schwarz lemma $|\phi(z)| \leq r$, which given

$$|\phi(z)| = \left|\frac{w(z) - w(0)}{1 - \overline{w(0)}w(z)}\right| \le r \tag{13}$$

The inequality (13) can be written in the following form

$$\left|\frac{w(z) - \frac{b_1}{a_1}}{1 - \frac{\overline{b_1}}{\overline{a_1}}}w(z)\right| \le r \Rightarrow \left|w(z) - \frac{b_1}{a_1}\right| \le r \left|1 - \frac{\overline{b_1}}{\overline{a_1}}w(z)\right| \tag{14}$$

The inequality (14) is equivalent

$$\left| w(z) - \frac{(1-r^2) \left| \frac{b_1}{a_1} \right|}{1 - \left(\frac{b_1}{a_1} \right)^2 r^2} \right| \le \frac{\left(1 - \left| \frac{b_1}{a_1} \right|^2 \right) r}{1 - \left| \frac{b_1}{a_1} \right|^2 r^2}$$
(15)

The equality holds in the inequality (15) only for the function

$$w(z) = \frac{\frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)}}{\frac{g(z)}{h(z)}}$$
(16)

From the inequality (15) we have

$$\frac{\left|\frac{b_{1}}{a_{1}}\right| - r}{1 - \left|\frac{b_{1}}{a_{1}}\right| r} \le |w(z)| \le \frac{\left|\frac{b_{1}}{a_{1}}\right| + r}{1 + \left|\frac{b_{1}}{a_{1}}\right| r}$$
(17)

Considering the relation (10), (17) together, end after the simple calculations,

$$\frac{|b_1| - |a_1| r}{|a_1| - |b_1| r} \left| \frac{g(z)}{h(z)} \right| \le \left| \frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)} \right| \le \frac{|b_1| + |a_1| r}{|a_1| + |b_1| r} \left| \frac{g(z)}{h(z)} \right|$$
(18)

using inequality (4) in the inequality (18) we get (8).

References

[1] Abdulhadi, Z., Bshouty, D. : Univalent functions in $H.\overline{H}(D)$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 305, pp. 841-849, 1988.

[2] Abdulhadi, Z., Hengartner, W.: One pointed univalent log-harmonic mappings, J. Math. Anal. Apply. 203(2), pp. 333-351, 1996.

[3] Abdulhadi, Z., Abu Muhanna, Y. : Starlike log-harmonic mappings of order α , JIPAM.Vol.7, Issue 4, Article 123, 2006.

[4]Goodman, A. W. : Univalent functions, Vol I, Mariner Publishing Company, Inc., Washington, New Jersey, 1983.