Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, Vol. 7, 2013, no. 30, 1451 - 1459 HIKARI Ltd, www.m-hikari.com http://dx.doi.org/10.12988/ijma.2013.3373

Harmonic Mappings Related to Janowski Convex Functions of Complex Order b

Melike Aydoğan

Department of Mathematics Işık University, Meşrutiyet Koyu, Şile İstanbul, Turkey

Yaşar Polatoğlu

Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences İstanbul Kültür University, İstanbul, Turkey

Yasemin Kahramaner

Department of Mathematics İstanbul Ticaret University, İstanbul, Turkey

Copyright © 2013 Melike Aydoğan et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Let S_H be the class of all sense-preserving harmonic mappings in the open unit disc $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | |z| < 1\}$.

In the present paper the authors investigate the properties of the class of harmonic mappings which is based on the generalized of R. J. Libera Theorem [7].

Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C55

Keywords: Harmonic Mappings, distortion theorem, growth theorem, coefficient inequality

series

1 Introduction

Let F be the class of analytic functions in D, and let S denote those functions in F that are univalent and normalized by h(0) = 0, h'(0) = 1. Furthermore, let Ω be the family of functions $\phi(z)$ regular in D and satisfying the conditions $\phi(0) = 0$, $|\phi(z)| < 1$ for every $z \in D$.

Next, for arbitrary fixed numbers $A, B, -1 < A \le 1, -1 \le B < A$ denote by P(A, B), the family of functions $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + ...$ regular in D and such that p(z) is in P(A, B) if and only if

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + A\phi(z)}{1 + B\phi(z)} \tag{1}$$

for some $\phi(z) \in \Omega$ and every $z \in D$. This class was introduced by Janowski [6].

Moreover, let $S^*(A, B, b)$ be denote by the family of functions $h(z) \in S$ such that h(z) is in $S^*(A, B, b)$ if and only if $(\frac{f(z)}{z}) \neq 0$,

$$1 + \frac{1}{b}\left(z\frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} - 1\right) = p(z), z \in D, (b \neq 0, complex)$$
 (2)

for some function $p(z) \in P(A, B)$ and all $z \in D$. Let C(A, B, b) denote the family of functions which are regular, such that h(z) is in C(A, B, b) if and only if

$$1 + \frac{1}{b}z\frac{h''(z)}{h'(z)} = p(z), z \in D, (b \neq 0, complex)$$
(3)

for some function $p(z) \in P(A, B)$ and all $z \in D$. Let $s_1(z) = z + d_2 z^2 + ...$ and $s_2(z) = z + e_2 z^2 + ...$ be elements of F. If there exists $\phi(z) \in \Omega$ such that $s_1(z) = s_2(\phi(z))$, then we say that $s_1(z)$ is sunbordinate to $s_2(z)$ and we write $s_1(z) \prec s_2(z)$. Specially if $s_2(z)$ is univalent in D, then $s_1(z) \prec s_2(z)$ if and only if $S_1(D) \subset S_2(D)$ and $S_1(0) = S_2(0)$ implies $S_1(D_r) \subset S_2(D_r)$ where $D_r = \{z | |z| < r \ 0 < r < 1$. (Subordination and Lindelof principle [1], [5]) Finally, a planar harmonic mapping in the open disc D is a complex-valued harmonic function f, which maps D onto some planar domain f(D). Since D is simply-connected domain, the mapping f has a canonical decomposition $f = h + \overline{g}$, where h(z) and g(z) are analytic in D and have the following power

$$h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n,$$

where a_n , $b_n \in \mathbb{C}$, n = 0, 1, 2, 3, ... as usual we call h(z) analytic part of f(z) and g(z) co-analytic part of f, an elegant and complete treatment of the

theory of harmonic mapping in given Duren's monograph [4]. Lewy proved in 1936 [4] that the harmonic mapping f locally univalent in D if and only if its Jacobian $J_f = |h'(z)|^2 - |g'(z)|^2$ is different from zero in . In view of this result locally univalent harmonic mapping in the oopen unit disc D are either sense-preserving if |h'(z)| > |g'(z)| in D, or sense-reversing if |g'(z)| > |h'(z)| in . Through this paper we will restrict ourselves to the study sense-preserving harmonic mappings. We also note that $f = h(z) + \overline{g(z)}$ is sense-preserving in D if and only if h'(z) does not vanish in D, and the second dilatation $w(z) = (\frac{h'(z)}{g'(z)})$ has the property |w(z)| < 1 for all $z \in D$. Therefore the class of all sense-preserving harmonic mappings in the open unit disc wit $a_0 = b_0 = 0$, and $a_1 = 1$ will be denoted by S_H . Thus S_H contains standard class S of univalent functions. The family of all mappings $f \in S_H$ with the additional property g'(0) = 0, i.e, $b_1 = 0$ is denoted by S_H^0 . Thus it is clear that $S \subset S_H^0 \subset S_H$. The aim of this paper is to inveastigate some properties of the subclass

$$S_{HC(A,B,b)} = \left\{ f = h(z) + \overline{g(z)} \mid 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)} - b_1 \right) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, b, b_1 \in \mathbb{C}, b \neq 0, |b_1| < 1, h(z) \in C(A,B,b) \right\}.$$

For the aim of this paper we will need the following lemma and theorem.

Lemma 1.1 ([2]) Let $\phi(z)$ be a non-constant analytic function in the unit disc D with $\phi(0) = 0$. If $|\phi(z)|$ attains its maximum value on the circle |z| = r at the point z_0 then $z_0\phi'(z_0) = k\phi(z_0)$, $k \ge 1$.

Theorem 1.2 ([7]) Let h(z) be an element of C(A, B, b), then

$$2[1 + \frac{1}{b}(z\frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} - 1)] - 1 = \frac{1 + A\phi(z)}{1 + B\phi(z)}$$

2 Main Results

Theorem 2.1 Let $f = (h(z) + \overline{g(z)})$ be an element of $S_{HC(A,B,b)}$, then

$$\frac{g(z)}{h(z)} \prec b_1 \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},\tag{4}$$

Proof 2.2 Since $f = (h(z) + \overline{g(z)})$ be an element of $S_{HC(A,B,b)}$, then

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)} - b_1 \right) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \Rightarrow 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)} - b_1 \right) = \frac{1 + A\phi(z)}{1 + B\phi(z)} \Rightarrow \tag{5}$$

$$\frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)} = \frac{b_1 + (b_1 B + b(A - B))\phi(z)}{1 + B\phi(z)} \Rightarrow \frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)} \prec \frac{b_1 + (b_1 B + b(A - B))z}{1 + Bz}$$
 (6)

On the other hand the transformation

$$(\frac{b_1 + (b_1B + b(A - B))z}{1 + Bz})$$

maps |z| = r onto the disc with the centre

$$C(r) = \frac{b_1 + (b(B^2 - AB) - b_1 B^2)r^2}{1 - B^2 r^2}$$

and the radius $\rho(r) = \frac{|b|(A-B)r}{1-B^2r^2}$, therefore using the subordination principle we can write

$$\left| \frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)} - \frac{b_1 + (b(B^2 - AB) - b_1 B^2)r^2}{1 - B^2 r^2} \right| \le \frac{|b|(A - B)r}{1 - B^2 r^2} \tag{7}$$

The inequality (7) shows that all the values of $(\frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)})$ are in the disc

$$W(D_r) = \left\{ z \left| \left| \frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)} - \frac{b_1 + (b(B^2 - AB) - b_1 B^2)r^2}{1 - B^2 r^2} \right| \le \frac{|b|(A - B)r}{1 - B^2 r^2} \right| \right\}. \tag{8}$$

Now, we define the function $\phi(z)$ by,

$$\frac{g(z)}{h(z)} = b_1 \frac{1 + A\phi(z)}{1 + B\phi(z)}$$

then we see that $\phi(z)$ is analytic in D and

$$\frac{g(z)}{h(z)}\Big|_{z=0} = b_1 = b_1 \frac{1 + A\phi(0)}{1 + B\phi(0)} \Rightarrow \phi(0) = \frac{0}{(A - B)} = 0,$$

$$w(z) = \frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)} = b_1 \left(\frac{1 + A\phi(z)}{1 + B\phi(z)} + \frac{(A - B)z\phi'(z)}{(1 + B\phi(z))^2} \frac{h(z)}{zh'(z)} \right)$$
(9)

Using Theorem 1.2 in the equality (9), this equality can be written in the following form:

$$w(z) = \frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)} = b_1 \left(\frac{1 + A\phi(z)}{1 + B\phi(z)} + \frac{2(A - B)z\phi'(z)}{(1 + B\phi(z))(2 + (b(A - B) + 2B))\phi(z)}\right)$$
(10)

Now, it is easy to realize that the subordination (4) is equivalent to $|\phi(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in D$. Indeed we assume the contrary; then

there is a $z_0 \in D$ such that $|\phi(z_0)| = 1$ so by I. S. Jack's Lemma (Lemma 1.1), $z_0\phi'(z_0) = k\phi(z_0)$ for some $k \ge 1$ and for such z_0 we have

$$w(z) = \frac{g'(z_0)}{h'(z_0)} = b_1 \left(\frac{1 + A\phi(z_0)}{1 + B\phi(z_0)} + \frac{2k(A - B)\phi(z_0)}{(1 + B\phi(z_0))(2 + (b(A - B) + 2B))\phi(z_0)}\right)$$
$$= w(\phi(z_0)) \notin w(D_r)$$

but this contradicts to (4); so our assumption is wrong, i. e, $|\phi(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in D$.

Lemma 2.3 Let h(z) be an element of C(A, B, b) then

$$rF(A, B, |b|, Reb, -r) \le |h(z)| \le rF(A, B, |b|, Reb, r), B \ne 0;$$

$$re^{-A|b|r} \le |h(z)| \le re^{A|b|r}, B = 0.$$

$$G(A, B, |b|, Reb, -r) \le |h'(z)| \le G(A, B, |b|, Reb, r), B \ne 0;$$

$$e^{-Abr} \le |h'(z)| \le e^{Abr}, B = 0.$$

where

$$F(A, B, |b|, Reb, r) = \frac{(1 + Br)^{\frac{A-B}{4B}(|b|+Reb)}}{(1 - Br)^{\frac{A-B}{4B}(|b|-Reb)}}$$

$$G(A, B, |b|, Reb, r) = \frac{(1 + Br)^{\frac{A-B}{2B}(|b|+Reb)}}{(1 - Br)^{\frac{A-B}{2B}(|b|-Reb)}}$$

These inequalities are sharp.

Proof 2.4 Using Theorem 1.2 and subordination principle, then we write

$$\left| (2\left(1 + \frac{1}{b}(z\frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} - 1)\right) - 1) - \frac{1 + ABr^2}{1 - B^2r^2} \right| \le \frac{(A - B)r}{1 - B^2r^2}, B \ne 0;$$

$$\left| (2\left(1 + \frac{1}{b}(z\frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} - 1)\right) - 1 \right| \le Ar, B = 0.$$

After the straightforward calculations, we obtain

$$\left| z \frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} - \frac{2 - (2B^2 - b(B^2 - AB))r^2)}{2(1 - B^2 r^2)} \right| \le \frac{|b| (A - B)r}{2(1 - B^2 r^2)}, B \ne 0;$$

$$\left| z \frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} - 1 \right| \le \frac{|b| Ar}{2}, B = 0.$$

These inequalities can be written in the following form,

$$\frac{2 - |b| (A - B)r - (M - Nx)r^2}{2r(1 + Br)(1 - Br)} \le \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log |h(z)| \le \frac{2 + |b| (A - B)r + (M - Nx)r^2}{2r(1 + Br)(1 - Br)}, B \ne 0;$$

$$\frac{1}{r} - \frac{A|b|}{2} \le \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log |h(z)| \le \frac{1}{r} + \frac{A|b|}{2}, B = 0.$$

where $M = 2B^2$, $N = (B^2 - AB)$, x = Reb. Then after integration from the last inequality, we get the result.

Similarly, using subordination principle nad the definition of the class C(A, B, b), then we write

$$\left| \left(1 + \frac{1}{b} \frac{h''(z)}{h'(z)} \right) - \frac{1 - ABr^2}{1 - Br^2} \right| \le \frac{(A - B)r}{1 - B^2 r^2}, B \ne 0;$$

$$\left| \frac{1}{b} \frac{h''(z)}{h'(z)} \right| \le Ar, B = 0.$$

These inequalities can be written in the following form,

$$\frac{rK_1 - L_1}{(1 - Br)(1 + Br)} \le \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log |h'(z)| \le \frac{rK_1 + L_1}{(1 - Br)(1 + Br)}, B \ne 0;$$
$$-|b| A \le \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log |h'(z)| \le |b| A, B = 0.$$

where $K_1 = (B^2 - AB)Reb$, $L_1 = |b|(A - B)$. After the integration from here, we get the result.

Corollary 2.5 Let $f = (h(z) + \overline{g(z)})$ be an element of $S_{HC(A,B,b)}$, then

$$rF(A,B,|b|,Reb,-r)\frac{|b_{1}|-|b|(A-B)r-|b_{1}B^{2}-bB^{2}+bAB|r^{2}}{1-B^{2}r^{2}} \leq |g(z)| \leq rF(A,B,|b|,Reb,r)\frac{|b_{1}|+|b|(A-B)r+|b_{1}B^{2}-bB^{2}+bAB|r^{2}}{1-B^{2}r^{2}}, B \neq 0;$$

$$re^{-A|b|r}[|b_{1}|-|b|Ar] \leq |g(z)| \leq re^{A|b|r}[|b_{1}|+|b|Ar], B = 0.$$

$$G(A,B,|b|,Reb,-r)\frac{|b_{1}|-|b|(A-B)r-|b_{1}B^{2}-bB^{2}+bAB|r^{2}}{1-B^{2}r^{2}} \leq |g'(z)| \leq G(A,B,|b|,Reb,r)\frac{|b_{1}|+|b|(A-B)r+|b_{1}B^{2}-bB^{2}+bAB|r^{2}}{1-B^{2}r^{2}}, B \neq 0;$$

$$G(A,B,|b|,Reb,-r)[|b_{1}|-|b|Ar] \leq |g'(z)| \leq G(A,B,|b|,Reb,r)[|b_{1}|+|b|Ar], B = 0.$$

Proof 2.6 This corollary is a simple consequence of Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.7 Let $f = (h(z) + \overline{g(z)})$ be an element of $S_{HC(A,B,b)}$, then

$$\frac{|b_1| - r}{1 + |b_1| r} \le |w(z)| = \left| \frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)} \right| \le \frac{|b_1| + r}{1 + |b_1| r} \tag{11}$$

$$\frac{(1-r^2)(1-|b_1|^2)}{(1+|b_1|r)^2} \le (1-|w(z)|^2) \le \frac{(1-r^2)(1-|b_1|^2)}{(1-|b_1|r)^2}$$
(12)

$$\frac{(1-r)(1+|b_1|)}{(1-|b_1|r)} \le (1+|w(z)|) \le \frac{(1+r)(1+|b_1|)}{(1+|b_1|r)} \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{(1-r)(1-|b_1|)}{(1+|b_1|r)} \le (1-|w(z)|) \le \frac{(1+r)(1-|b_1|)}{(1-|b_1|r)} \tag{14}$$

Proof 2.8 Since $f = (h(z) + \overline{g(z)}) \in S_{HC(A,B,b)}$, then

$$w(z) = \frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)} = \frac{b_1 + 2b_2z + \dots}{1 + 2a_2z + \dots} \Rightarrow w(0) = b_1, |w(z)| < 1$$

so the function

$$\phi(z) = \frac{w(z) - w(0)}{1 - \overline{w(0)}w(z)} = \frac{w(z) - b_1}{1 - \overline{b_1}w(z)}$$

satisfies the conditions of Schwarz Lemma. Therefore we have

$$w(z) = \frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)} = \frac{b_1 + \phi(z)}{1 + \overline{b_1}\phi(z)}$$
(15)

This shows that,

$$w(z) = \frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)} \prec \frac{b_1 + z}{1 + \overline{b_1}z}$$

On the other hand, the linear transformation $(\frac{b_1+z}{1+\overline{b_1}z})$ maps |z|=r onto the disc with the center

$$C(r) = (\frac{(1-r^2)Reb_1}{1-r^2}, \frac{(1-r^2)Imb_1}{1-r^2})$$

at the radius

$$\rho(r) = \frac{(1 - |b_1|)^2 r}{1 - r^2}$$

then we have the results, easily.

Corollary 2.9 Let $f = (h(z) + \overline{g(z)})$ be an element of $S_{HC(A,B,b)}$, then

$$(G(A, B, |b|, Reb, -r))^{2} \frac{(1 - r^{2})(1 - |b_{1}|^{2})}{(1 + |b_{1}|r)^{2}} \leq J_{f} \leq (G(A, B, |b|, Reb, r))^{2} \frac{(1 - r^{2})(1 - |b_{1}|^{2})}{(1 - |b_{1}|r)^{2}},$$

$$e^{-2A|b|r} \frac{(1 - r^{2})(1 - |b_{1}|^{2})}{(1 + |b_{1}|r)^{2}} \leq J_{f} \leq e^{2A|b|r} \frac{(1 - r^{2})(1 - |b_{1}|^{2})}{(1 - |b_{1}|r)^{2}}, B = 0$$

Proof 2.10 Since

$$J_f = |h'(z)|^2 - |g'(z)|^2 = |h'(z)|^2 - |h'(z)|^2 |w(z)|^2$$
$$= |h'(z)|^2 (1 - |w(z)|^2)$$

Using Lemma 2.7, we get the result.

Corollary 2.11 Let $f = (h(z) + \overline{g(z)})$ be an element of $S_{HC(A,B,b)}$, then

$$\int_{0}^{r} G(A, B, |b|, Reb, -r) \frac{(1-r)(1-|b_{1}|)}{(1+|b_{1}|r)} dr \leq |f| \leq \int_{0}^{r} G(A, B, |b|, Reb, r) \frac{(1+r)(1+|b_{1}|)}{(1+|b_{1}|r)},$$

$$\int_{0}^{r} e^{-A|b|r} \frac{(1-r)(1-|b_{1}|)}{(1+|b_{1}|r)} \leq |f| \leq \int_{0}^{r} e^{A|b|r} \frac{(1+r)(1+|b_{1}|)}{(1+|b_{1}|r)}, B = 0.$$

Proof 2.12 Since

$$(|h'(z)| - |g'(z)|) |dz| \le |df| \le (|h'(z)| + |g'(z)|) |dz| \Rightarrow$$

$$|h'(z)| (1 - |w(z)|) |dz| \le |df| \le |h'(z)| (1 + |w(z)|) |dz|$$
 (16)

Using Lemma 2.7 and after integration we obtain the result.

Theorem 2.13 Let $f = (h(z) + \overline{g(z)})$ be an element of $S_{HC(A,B,b)}$, then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n} k^{2} |b_{k} - b_{1} a_{k}|^{2} \leq \left| 1 - b_{1}^{2} \right|^{2} + \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} k^{2} |a_{k} - b_{1} k|^{2}$$
(17)

Proof 2.14 Using Lemma 2.7 we can write

$$w(z) = \frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)} \prec \frac{b_1 + z}{1 + \overline{b_1}z} \Rightarrow \frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)} = \frac{b_1 + \phi(z)}{1 + b_1\phi(z)} \Rightarrow$$

$$g'(z)(1 + \overline{b_1}\phi(z)) = h'(z)(b_1 + \phi(z)) \Rightarrow (g'(z) - b_1h'(z)) = (h'(z) - \overline{b_1}g'(z))\phi(z) \Rightarrow$$

$$(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n)' - b_1(z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n)' = [(z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n)' - b_1(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n)']\phi(z) \Rightarrow$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n} k(b_k - b_1 a_k) z^{k-1} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} d_k z^{k-1} = \left[(1 - b_1^2) + \sum_{k=2}^{n} k(a_k - b_1 b_k) z^{k-1} \right] \phi(z)$$
(18)

Since the last inequality has the form $f_1(z) = f_2(z)\phi(z)$ with $|\phi(z)| < 1$, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| f_1(re^{i\theta}) \right|^2 d\theta \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| f_2(re^{i\theta}) \right|^2 d\theta \tag{19}$$

for each r, (0 < r < 1). Expressing (19) in terms of coefficient in (17) we obtain the inequality,

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n} k |b_k - b_1 a_k|^2 r^{2k} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |d_k|^2 r^{2k} \le \left[\left| 1 - b_1^2 \right|^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} k^2 |a_k - b_1 b_k|^2 \right] r^{2k}$$
(20)

By letting $r \to 1^-$ in (20), we obtain desired result. The Proof of this method is due to Clunie [1].

References

- [1] J. Clunie, On Meromorphic Schlicht Functions, J. London Math. Soc. 34(1959), 215-216.
- [2] P. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 259, Springer Verlag, 1983, New York.
- [3] P. Duren, *Harmonic Mappings in the Plane*, Vol. 156 of Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK, (2004).
- [4] Goodman A. W., *Univalent Functions*, Volume I, Mariner publishing Company INC, Tampa Florida, (1983).
- [5] I. S. Jack, Functions starlike and convex of order α , J. London Math. Soc. 3(197), no 2., 469 474.
- [6] W. Janowski, Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions, I. Ann. Polon. Math. 28 (1973), pp. 297-326.
- [7] R. J. Libera, Some classes of regular univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1965), pp. 755-758.
- [8] Y. Polatoglu, M. Bolcal and A. Sen, *The radius of Starlikeness for convex functions*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 2004. No 45, 2423-2428.

Received: March 5, 2013