#### PHYSICAL REVIEW E 91, 052201 (2015)

### Hydrodynamics for a model of a confined quasi-two-dimensional granular gas

J. Javier Brey, V. Buzón, P. Maynar, and M. I. García de Soria

*Física Teórica, Universidad de Sevilla, Apartado de Correos 1065, E-41080, Sevilla, Spain* (Received 28 January 2015; published 5 May 2015)

(Received 28 January 2015, published 5 Way 2015)

The hydrodynamic equations for a model of a confined quasi-two-dimensional gas of smooth inelastic hard spheres are derived from the Boltzmann equation for the model, using a generalization of the Chapman-Enskog method. The heat and momentum fluxes are calculated to Navier-Stokes order, and the associated transport coefficients are explicitly determined as functions of the coefficient of normal restitution and the velocity parameter involved in the definition of the model. Also an Euler transport term contributing to the energy transport equation is considered. This term arises from the gradient expansion of the rate of change of the temperature due to the inelasticity of collisions, and it vanishes for elastic systems. The hydrodynamic equations are particularized for the relevant case of a system in the homogeneous steady state. The relationship with previous works is analyzed.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.91.052201

PACS number(s): 45.70.Mg, 05.20.Jj, 51.10.+y

## I. INTRODUCTION

Granular gases frequently exhibit flows similar to those of normal gases, and for practical purposes these flows are often successfully described by phenomenological hydrodynamic equations [1,2]. The basis for such macroscopic balance equations are in the more fundamental statistical mechanics and kinetic theory descriptions of granular gases. In this context, the idealized model of a granular gas as a monodisperse system of smooth inelastic hard spheres or disks with a constant coefficient of normal restitution has been employed extensively [3,4]. For this system, hydrodynamic equations to Navier-Stokes order have been derived with expressions for the parameters appearing in them. Starting from the Boltzmann equation for inelastic hard spheres or disks [5,6] and also from the revised Enskog theory [7], the transport coefficients have been evaluated by using an extension of the Chapman-Enskog method. The predictions from the Boltzmann equation have been found to be in good agreement with the values obtained by particle simulation methods in the dilute limit [6]. Using linear-response theory, formal Green-Kubo-like expressions for the transport coefficients have been derived for low-density granular gases [8,9], and also for arbitrary densities [10,11]. The latter are not tied to any specific kinetic equation, but their explicit evaluation requires the introduction of some approximations [10].

In normal fluids, nonequilibrium steady states can be generated by imposing appropriate boundary conditions. Moreover, the control of the boundary conditions permits the gradients of the hydrodynamic fields to remain small, so that the steady state can be studied in the Navier-Stokes domain of the hydrodynamic equations. In granular gases, a new class of steady states shows up. In this new class of states, stationarity is reached by an autonomous balance between external constraints and the internal cooling. A typical example is a system under shear flow. There is viscous heating due to the work done on the system at the boundaries. If the system is a granular gas, stationarity is possible when the viscous heating is compensated by the dissipation due to the inelasticity of collisions. The steady state has uniform density and temperature, and a flow velocity with a linear profile. Due to its macroscopic simplicity, it has been studied extensively

[12–16]. This particular steady state exemplifies two features that are characteristic of hydrodynamic steady states of granular gases. First, to compensate the energy dissipation in collisions, the system must develop spatial gradients generating an energy flux, i.e., it must be inhomogeneous. Second, the energy balance leads to a coupling between gradients and inelasticity, so that the limit of small gradients also implies the quasielastic limit. Even more, the above coupling is often nonanalytic [14], implying that the macroscopic description of the steady state can never be brought within the range of validity of the Navier-Stokes hydrodynamics in those cases.

An interesting alternative to the kind of steady states of granular gases described above has being attracting increasing interest in recent years [17-21]. A granular gas is confined to a quasi-two-dimensional geometry by placing it between two large parallel plates in the horizontal directions, while the distance between the two plates is smaller than two particle diameters, so the system is actually a monolayer since the particles cannot jump on one another. The container is vertically vibrated to inject energy through the collisions of the particles with the top and bottom walls. The twodimensional dynamics of the system when seen from above is considered. It has been observed that it corresponds to that of a two-dimensional granular fluid. Moreover, the system remains homogeneous under a large range of parameters, and it eventually reaches a steady homogeneous state. Very recently [22], an idealized model has been proposed trying to describe the horizontal dynamics in the above experiment, assuming that the particles are smooth inelastic hard spheres. Then, the projections of the particles on the horizontal plane are described as inelastic hard disks, whose collision rule is modified in order to incorporate a mechanism to transfer the energy injected vertically to the horizontal degrees of freedom. In this sense, it can be classified as a collisional model. The new collision rule has a constant coefficient of normal restitution  $\alpha$ , and it contains a characteristic velocity  $\Delta$  that is added to each particle in a collision so that the normal component of the relative velocity is increased by  $2\Delta$ in the collision, independently of the effect of the coefficient of normal restitution. The methods of nonequilibrium statistical mechanics and kinetic theory developed for inelastic hard spheres and disks [23,24] have been applied to the model [25].

The system exhibits a homogeneous steady state whose properties have been analyzed in detail. Also, the Boltzmann equation and the revised Enskog theory have been formulated. Moreover, the existence of homogeneous hydrodynamics has been analyzed [26]. There is a time regime over which the granular temperature of a homogeneous system obeys a closed (hydrodynamic) equation. In the long-time limit, the temperature tends to its steady value. Moreover, it has been shown that the homogeneous relaxation of the temperature of the system presents nonlinear memory effects [27], which can be considered as reminiscent of the Kovacs memory effect occurring in the relaxation toward equilibrium of molecular fluids [28]. The homogeneous hydrodynamics as well as the Kovacs-like effect have also been observed in a model of a homogeneously driven granular gas [29,30].

The aim of this paper is to derive the hydrodynamic equations to Navier-Stokes order for a dilute confined granular gas as described by the collisional model proposed by Brito et al [22]. The starting point will be the Boltzmann kinetic equation, and the method to be used is a generalization of the Chapman-Enskog procedure. The derivation is based on a special "normal" solution of the kinetic equation expanded to low order in the gradients of the hydrodynamic fields. The zeroth-order approximation is not a local version, both in space and time, of the distribution function of the homogeneous steady state, but it is based on the distribution describing the homogeneous hydrodynamics. This is an important conceptual and practical difference with the standard application of the Chapman-Enskog method to molecular systems. Of course, it is also possible to consider states close to a stationary one and carry out, for instance, linear-response theory around that state to compute transport properties associated with that particular state. The ranges of applicability of the results obtained by both methods are clearly different, although there can be a common limit for the simultaneous validity of both. In particular, the Navier-Stokes shear viscosity of a confined dilute granular gas described by the collisional model in a stationary and uniform Couette flow has been computed by employing linear-response theory [31]. The results obtained here for the shear viscosity will be related with those reported in Ref. [31].

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section, the Boltzmann equation for the model is given, and the exact balance equations for mass, momentum, and energy are derived from it. The Chapman-Enskog method for obtaining a "normal" solution of the kinetic equation as an expansion in the gradients of the hydrodynamic fields is described. Results through Navier-Stokes order for the pressure tensor and the heat flux are given. The associated transport coefficients are shown to obey a complete set of firstorder differential equations. Some details of the calculations are given in Appendixes A and B, while the explicit results for the transport coefficients are presented in Sec. III. The theory is not restricted to any range of values of the coefficient of normal restitution  $\alpha$  nor of the characteristic velocity of the model  $\Delta$ , other that  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $\Delta > 0$ . The contributions to the transport equations coming from the energy sink term due to the nonconservation of kinetic energy in collisions are also discussed. It is shown that there is a first order in the gradient contribution, i.e., an Euler term, which is proportional to the divergence of the velocity field. The associated transport

coefficient is evaluated explicitly. Appendix C provides a sketch of the calculation of this coefficient. The Euler term does not exist in molecular systems, and it is peculiar to inelastic collisions [32–34], although it vanishes in the low-density limit of granular gases composed of smooth inelastic hard spheres or disks [5]. The expressions of the transport coefficients are particularized for the steady homogeneous state in Sec. III. The peculiarity of this state, in which the temperature is a function of the parameters defining the system [22,25], leads to much simpler expressions of the coefficients. Further comments and conclusions, as well as the relationship with some previous work for the viscosity transport coefficient, are given in Sec. IV.

## II. CHAPMAN-ENSKOG SOLUTION OF THE BOLTZMANN EQUATION

The Boltzmann equation obeyed by the one-particle distribution function,  $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, t)$ , of the model reads [25]

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}} \right) f(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{v}, t)$$
  
=  $\int d\boldsymbol{v}_1 \overline{T}_0(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{v}_1) f(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{v}, t) f(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{v}_1, t).$ (1)

Here,  $\overline{T}_0$  is the binary collision operator defined by

$$\overline{T}_{0}(\boldsymbol{v}_{1},\boldsymbol{v}_{2}) \equiv \sigma^{d-1} \int d\boldsymbol{\widehat{\sigma}} \left[\Theta(\boldsymbol{v}_{12}\cdot\boldsymbol{\widehat{\sigma}}-2\Delta)(\boldsymbol{v}_{12}\cdot\boldsymbol{\widehat{\sigma}}-2\Delta) \times \alpha^{-2}b_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}^{-1}(1,2) - \Theta(\boldsymbol{v}_{12}\cdot\boldsymbol{\widehat{\sigma}})(\boldsymbol{v}_{12}\cdot\boldsymbol{\widehat{\sigma}})\right],$$
(2)

where  $\sigma$  is the diameter of the particles, *d* is the dimension of the system [35],  $\hat{\sigma}$  is the unit vector in *d* dimensions joining the center of the two particles at contact,  $v_{12} \equiv v_1 - v_2$  is the relative velocity,  $\Theta(x)$  is the Heaviside step function,  $\alpha$  is the coefficient of normal restitution defined in the interval  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $\Delta$  is some positive characteristic speed, and  $b_{\sigma}^{-1}(i, j)$  is an operator changing all the velocities  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  to its right into the precollisional values corresponding to a collision between them defined by  $\hat{\sigma}$ , i.e.,

$$b_{\sigma}^{-1}(i,j)\boldsymbol{v}_{i} = \boldsymbol{v}_{i}^{*} = \boldsymbol{v}_{i} - \frac{1+\alpha}{2\alpha}\,\boldsymbol{v}_{ij}\cdot\boldsymbol{\widehat{\sigma}}\boldsymbol{\widehat{\sigma}} + \frac{\Delta\boldsymbol{\widehat{\sigma}}}{\alpha},\qquad(3)$$

$$b_{\sigma}^{-1}(i,j)\boldsymbol{v}_{j} = \boldsymbol{v}_{j}^{*} = \boldsymbol{v}_{j} + \frac{1+\alpha}{2\alpha}\,\boldsymbol{v}_{ij}\cdot\widehat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\,\widehat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} - \frac{\Delta\widehat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}}{\alpha}.$$
 (4)

For arbitrary velocity functions,  $a(v_i, v_j)$  and  $b(v_i, v_j)$ , it is [25]

$$\int d\boldsymbol{v}_i \int d\boldsymbol{v}_j \, b(\boldsymbol{v}_i, \boldsymbol{v}_j) \overline{T}_0(\boldsymbol{v}_i, \boldsymbol{v}_j) a(\boldsymbol{v}_i, \boldsymbol{v}_j)$$
$$= \int d\boldsymbol{v}_i \int d\boldsymbol{v}_j \, a(\boldsymbol{v}_i, \boldsymbol{v}_j) T_0(\boldsymbol{v}_i, \boldsymbol{v}_j) b(\boldsymbol{v}_i, \boldsymbol{v}_j), \quad (5)$$

where

$$T_0(\boldsymbol{v}_i, \boldsymbol{v}_j) \equiv \sigma^{d-1} \int d\widehat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \,\Theta(-\boldsymbol{v}_{ij} \cdot \widehat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}) |\boldsymbol{v}_{ij} \cdot \widehat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}| [b_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(i, j) - 1].$$
(6)

The operator  $b_{\sigma}(i,j)$  is the inverse of  $b_{\sigma}^{-1}(i,j)$ , i.e., it changes  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  into their postcollisional values,  $v'_i$  and  $v'_j$ ,

given by

$$b_{\sigma}(i,j)\boldsymbol{v}_{i} = \boldsymbol{v}_{i}' = \boldsymbol{v}_{i} - \frac{1+\alpha}{\frac{1}{2}}\boldsymbol{v}_{ij}\cdot\widehat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} + \Delta\widehat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}, \qquad (7)$$

$$b_{\sigma}(i,j)\boldsymbol{v}_{j} = \boldsymbol{v}_{j}' = \boldsymbol{v}_{j} + \frac{1+\alpha}{2}\boldsymbol{v}_{ij}\cdot\boldsymbol{\widehat{\sigma}}\boldsymbol{\widehat{\sigma}} - \Delta\boldsymbol{\widehat{\sigma}}.$$
 (8)

The kinetic energy change in a collision is

$$e_{ij}' - e_{ij} = m \bigg[ \Delta^2 - \alpha \Delta \boldsymbol{v}_{ij} \cdot \widehat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} - \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{4} (\boldsymbol{v}_{ij} \cdot \widehat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}})^2 \bigg], \quad (9)$$

with m being the mass of a particle. Using the identity (5), it is easily found that

$$\int d\boldsymbol{v} \int d\boldsymbol{v}_1 \,\overline{T}_0(\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{v}_1) f(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{v},t) f(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{v}_1,t) = 0, \qquad (10)$$

$$\int d\boldsymbol{v} \int d\boldsymbol{v}_1 \, \boldsymbol{v} \overline{T}_0(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{v}_1) f(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{v}, t) f(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{v}_1, t) = 0, \qquad (11)$$

reflecting the conservation of the number of particles and the momentum, respectively. On the other hand, it is

$$\int d\boldsymbol{v} \int d\boldsymbol{v}_1 \frac{mv^2}{2} \overline{T}_0(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{v}_1) f(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{v}, t) f(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{v}_1, t) = \omega[f, f].$$
(12)

The term  $\omega[f, f]$  provides the rate of energy change due to the inelasticity of collisions, and the functional  $\omega[f,h]$  is

$$\omega[f,h] \equiv \frac{\pi^{(d-1)/2} m \sigma^{d-1}}{2} \int d\boldsymbol{v}_1 \int d\boldsymbol{v}_2 f(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{v}_1,t) h(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{v}_2,t) \\ \times \left[ \frac{\Delta^2 v_{12}}{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})} + \frac{\pi^{1/2} \alpha \Delta v_{12}^2}{2\Gamma(\frac{d+2}{2})} - \frac{(1-\alpha^2) v_{12}^3}{4\Gamma(\frac{d+3}{2})} \right].$$
(13)

The macroscopic number of particle density,  $n(\mathbf{r},t)$ , flow velocity,  $u(\mathbf{r},t)$ , and granular temperature,  $T(\mathbf{r},t)$ , are defined from the one-particle distribution function in the usual way,

$$n(\mathbf{r},t) \equiv \int d\mathbf{v} f(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v},t), \qquad (14)$$

$$n(\mathbf{r},t)\boldsymbol{u}(\mathbf{r},t) \equiv \int d\boldsymbol{v} \, \boldsymbol{v} f(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{v},t), \qquad (15)$$

$$\frac{d}{2}n(\boldsymbol{r},t)T(\boldsymbol{r},t) \equiv \int d\boldsymbol{v} \,\frac{mV^2}{2} \,f(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{v},t),\qquad(16)$$

where  $V(\mathbf{r},t) = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r},t)$  is the velocity of the particle relative to the flow field. Balance equations for the above fields follow by taking velocity moments in the Boltzmann equation, Eq. (1),

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (n\boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{0}, \tag{17}$$

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u} + (mn)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathsf{P}} = \boldsymbol{0}, \tag{18}$$

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} T + \frac{2}{nd} \left( \boldsymbol{\mathsf{P}} : \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{J}_q \right) = -\zeta T. \quad (19)$$

In the above equations, the pressure tensor, P, and the heat flux,  $J_q$ , are defined by

$$\mathsf{P}(\boldsymbol{r},t) \equiv m \int d\boldsymbol{v} \, \boldsymbol{V}(\boldsymbol{r},t) \boldsymbol{V}(\boldsymbol{r},t) f(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{v},t)$$
(20)

and

$$\boldsymbol{J}_{q}(\boldsymbol{r},t) \equiv \frac{m}{2} \int d\boldsymbol{v} \, V^{2}(\boldsymbol{r},t) \boldsymbol{V}(\boldsymbol{r},t) f(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{v},t), \qquad (21)$$

respectively. In addition, Eq. (19) contains the rate of change of the temperature,  $\zeta(\mathbf{r},t)$ , due to the inelasticity of collisions, whose expression is

$$\zeta(\mathbf{r},t) \equiv -\frac{2}{n(\mathbf{r},t)T(\mathbf{r},t)d} \,\omega[f,f]. \tag{22}$$

The minus sign has been introduced by analogy with a system of smooth inelastic hard spheres or disks, but in the present context it does not presuppose that  $\zeta$  is (semi)defined positive [1].

To close the balance equations (17)–(19), it is necessary to express the fluxes and the temperature change rate in terms of the macroscopic fields by means of some constitutive relations. To accomplish this, the Chapman-Enskog theory [36] assumes the existence of a normal solution of the Boltzmann equation, i.e., a solution in which all the dependence of the distribution function on position and time occurs through its functional dependence on the macroscopic fields *n*, *u*, and *T*,

$$f(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{v},t) = f[\boldsymbol{v}|n,\boldsymbol{u},T].$$
(23)

Next, it is assumed that the space and time variations of the fields are small, so that the functional dependence of the distribution function on the fields can be localized in space and time by means of an expansion in gradients. Then, the distribution function is expressed as a power series expansion in a formal uniformity parameter  $\epsilon$ ,

$$f = f^{(0)} + \epsilon f^{(1)} + \epsilon^2 f^{(2)} + \cdots .$$
 (24)

Since the aim is to generate a gradient expansion, a factor of  $\epsilon$  is assigned to every gradient operator. Moreover, the Chapman-Enskog method uses the multiple-scale perturbation theory [37]. In practice, this is done by using the expansion in Eq. (24) into the definition of the fluxes and the dissipation rate  $\zeta$ . Then the resulting expansions are introduced into the macroscopic balance equations to get an identification of the time derivatives of the macroscopic fields in the form of an expansion in the uniformity parameter,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \partial_t^{(0)} + \epsilon \partial_t^{(1)} + \epsilon^2 \partial_t^{(2)} + \cdots .$$
 (25)

Details of the application of the method are given in Appendixes A, B, and C. To first order in the gradients, the pressure tensor and heat flux are given by

$$\mathbf{P} = nT\mathbf{I} - \eta \bigg[ \nabla \boldsymbol{u} + (\nabla \boldsymbol{u})^{+} - \frac{2}{d} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} \mathbf{I} \bigg], \qquad (26)$$

$$\boldsymbol{J}_q = -\kappa \boldsymbol{\nabla} T - \mu \boldsymbol{\nabla} n, \qquad (27)$$

where I is the unit tensor in *d* dimensions,  $(\nabla u)^+$  is the transposition of  $\nabla u$ ,  $\eta$  is the coefficient of shear viscosity,  $\kappa$  is the heat conductivity, and  $\mu$  is a new coefficient coupling the heat flux and the density gradient, which is peculiar to inelastic collisions [38]. To distinguish between the two energy transport coefficients, sometimes  $\kappa$  is referred to as the thermal heat conductivity and  $\mu$  as the diffusive heat conductivity. The transport coefficients are determined by the normal solutions

of the first-order differential equations,

$$\frac{\overline{\zeta}^{(0)}\Delta^*}{2}\frac{\partial\overline{\eta}}{\partial\Delta^*} + \left(\overline{\nu}_{\eta} - \frac{\overline{\zeta}^{(0)}}{2}\right)\overline{\eta} = \frac{2^{5/2}\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{(d+2)\Gamma(d/2)}, \quad (28)$$

$$\frac{\overline{\zeta}^{(0)}\Delta^{*}}{2} \frac{\partial \overline{\kappa}}{\partial \Delta^{*}} + \left(\overline{\nu}_{\kappa} + \frac{\Delta^{*}}{2} \frac{\partial \overline{\zeta}^{(0)}}{\partial \Delta^{*}} - 2\overline{\zeta}^{(0)}\right) \overline{\kappa} \\
= \frac{2^{5/2}(d-1)\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{d(d+2)\Gamma(d/2)} \left(1 + 2a_{2} - \frac{\Delta^{*}}{2} \frac{\partial a_{2}}{\partial \Delta^{*}}\right), \quad (29)$$

$$\frac{\overline{\zeta}^{(0)}\Delta^{*}}{2}\frac{\partial\overline{\mu}}{\partial\Delta^{*}} + \left(\overline{\nu}_{\mu} - \frac{3\overline{\zeta}^{(0)}}{2}\right)\overline{\mu} - \overline{\zeta}^{(0)}\overline{\kappa} \\
= \frac{2^{5/2}(d-1)\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}a_{2}}{d(d+2)\Gamma(d/2)}.$$
(30)

In these equations,  $\Delta^* \equiv \Delta (m/2T)^{1/2}$  and dimensionless transport coefficients have been introduced. They are defined by

$$\overline{\eta} \equiv \frac{\eta}{\eta_0}, \quad \overline{\kappa} \equiv \frac{\kappa}{\kappa_0}, \quad \overline{\mu} \equiv \frac{n\mu}{T\kappa_0},$$
 (31)

where

I

$$\eta_0 = \frac{2+d}{8} \Gamma(d/2) \pi^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} (mT)^{1/2} \sigma^{-(d-1)}$$
(32)

and

$$\kappa_0 = \frac{d(d+2)^2}{16(d-1)} \,\Gamma(d/2) \pi^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} \left(\frac{T}{m}\right)^{1/2} \sigma^{-(d-1)} \tag{33}$$

are the shear viscosity and the (thermal) heat conductivity, respectively, of a molecular gas described by the Boltzmann equation, with the Boltzmann constant set equal to unity. In general, the transport coefficients depend on position and time through the temperature, although it has not been explicitly shown, for the sake of simplicity. Moreover, dimensional analysis implies that the dimensionless transport coefficients only can depend on the temperature, and therefore on space and time, through  $\Delta^*$ . The dimensionless functions introduced in Eqs. (28)–(30) are

$$\overline{\zeta}^{(0)} \equiv \frac{\zeta^{(0)}}{n\sigma^{d-1}} \left(\frac{m}{2T}\right)^{1/2},$$

$$\overline{\nu}_{\eta} \equiv \frac{\nu_{\eta}}{n\sigma^{d-1}} \left(\frac{m}{2T}\right)^{1/2},$$

$$\overline{\nu}_{\kappa} = \overline{\nu}_{\mu} \equiv \frac{\nu_{\kappa}}{n\sigma^{d-1}} \left(\frac{m}{2T}\right)^{1/2}.$$
(34)

The expression of the zeroth-order rate of change of the temperature,  $\zeta^{(0)}$ , is given in Eq. (A12), while the frequencies  $\nu_{\eta}$  and  $\nu_{\kappa}$  are given in Eqs. (B5) and (B6), respectively. Some details of the calculations are shown in Appendixes A and B. Finally, Eqs. (28)–(30) have been obtained by considering the distribution function in the first Sonine approximation, in which the deviation of the one-particle distribution function of the gas from the Gaussian is characterized by the coefficient  $a_2$ , given by the normal solution of the ordinary differential equation (A13). Moreover, the same kind of approximation has been considered upon evaluating the hydrodynamic fluxes.

This is the usual approximation to obtain explicit expressions for the transport coefficients of a gas with elastic collisions, and there is no reason to question its accuracy here as well. Actually, it has been shown to lead to quantitatively right approximations in the case of a system of smooth inelastic hard spheres or disks [6]. On the other hand, it is worth mentioning that other approximation schemes have been considered in the literature for the case of the inelastic hard spheres or disks. For instance, the Maxwell-Boltzmann weight distribution used in the Sonine expansion has been replaced by the time-dependent zeroth-order distribution  $f^{(0)}$  [39].

### III. EULER AND NAVIER-STOKES TRANSPORT COEFFICIENTS

As a consequence of the confinement of the fluid and its description by means of a modified hard collision, there is a contribution to the hydrodynamic equations of the rate of change of the temperature  $\zeta(\mathbf{r},t)$  of first order in the gradients, namely it is [see Eq. (A31)]

$$\zeta^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r},t) = \zeta_1 \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}. \tag{35}$$

The Euler transport coefficient  $\zeta_1$  represents dissipation due to the inelastic character of collisions proportional to  $\nabla \cdot u$ . It has no analog for elastic fluids, where the Euler hydrodynamics (first order in the gradients of the fields) is referred to as the "perfect fluid" equations, since there is no dissipation in that limit. The expression derived here vanishes in the limit  $\Delta^* \rightarrow$ 0, as a consequence of symmetry considerations [5], i.e., there is no dissipation to Euler order in a dilute gas of smooth inelastic hard spheres or disks. On the other hand, a term of the form given in Eq. (35) is present in the hydrodynamic equations even in systems of smooth particles if density effects are considered [7,11,32]. The calculation of  $\zeta_1$  using the Chapman-Enskog procedure requires us to determine  $f^{(1)}$ . The details of the calculation are given in Appendix C, where the first Sonine polynomial expansion is again employed. The same approximation was used above to compute the Navier-Stokes transport coefficients. In Fig. 1, the dimensionless coefficient



FIG. 1. (Color online) Dimensionless Euler transport coefficient  $\zeta_1$  as a function of dimensionless characteristic speed  $\Delta^*$  in a twodimensional system. The (red) dashed line is for a coefficient of normal restitution  $\alpha = 0.8$  and the (black) solid line is for  $\alpha = 0.9$ . The (blue) dots indicate the values of the transport coefficient in each of the two steady states.

 $\zeta_1$  is plotted as a function of the speed parameter for two values of the coefficient of normal restitution, namely  $\alpha = 0.8$  and 0.9. The value of the transport coefficient in the homogeneous steady state corresponding to each value of  $\alpha$  is indicated in the figure. To find the values of the transport coefficient, a couple of first-order differential equations have to be solved, namely Eqs. (A13) and (C3). The curves reported in the figure correspond to the hydrodynamic solutions of the equations, i.e., they are identified independently of the initial conditions. The method is described below in detail for the shear viscosity coefficient, and it will not be discussed now.

The coefficient  $\zeta_1$  is the contribution of the energy source in collisions to what would physically constitute the effects of the hydrostatic pressure at the Euler order. If a small element of the confined granular gas is considered, then the pressure that the fluid element exerts on its boundaries is decreased or increased by the energy lost locally in collisions. At the level of linear hydrodynamics, the pressure and the Euler dissipative term are indistinguishable in their physical implications [11].

To compute the Navier-Stokes transport coefficients, Eqs. (28)-(30) have to be solved. In the equations, the hydrodynamic expression of the second Sonine coefficient,  $a_2$ , has to be used. The latter is obtained by numerically solving Eq. (A13) as a function of  $\Delta^*$  for a fixed value of  $\alpha$ , and a given initial condition  $a_2(\alpha, \Delta_0^*) = a_{2,0}$ . It is seen that all the trajectories converge quite fast toward a universal curve, identified as the hydrodynamic expression of the second Sonine coefficient [26]. A similar method has been employed here to generate the hydrodynamic transport coefficients. Actually, what has been done is to simultaneously solve the equation for  $a_2$  and those for the transport coefficients. Since the rate of variation of the temperature vanishes in the steady state by definition [25], the equations for the transport coefficients have a singularity at the steady value  $\Delta^* = \Delta^*_{st}$ . Therefore, in the numerical simulations, trajectories have been generated starting from both  $\Delta_0^* > \Delta_{st}^*$  and with  $\Delta_0^* < \Delta_{st}^*$ . The hydrodynamic solution giving the expression of the transport coefficient is the common part of all the numerical solutions. As an example, the numerical results obtained for the dimensionless coefficient  $\overline{\eta}$  in a two-dimensional system with  $\alpha = 0.9$  are shown in Fig. 2. All the numerical trajectories converge toward the same curve, then forgetting the initial conditions used to generate them. This is consistent with the existence of a hydrodynamic shear viscosity being a function of only the local hydrodynamic fields, but not of the previous history or some initial values. In the particular case shown in Fig. 2, several initial values of the viscosity parameter corresponding to  $\Delta^* = 0.005$  and 10 have been employed. The curves tend to converge quite fast in the range  $0 \le \Delta^* \lesssim 0.2$ . For  $\Delta^* \gtrsim 0.2$ , the dependence of the solution of the differential equation on the initial value of  $\overline{\eta}$  used for  $\Delta^* = \Delta_0^*$  is rather strong, and much more intensive numerical simulations would be needed to identify the value of the hydrodynamic shear viscosity. The two particular solutions drawn in Fig. 2 for  $\Delta^* >$  $\Delta_{st}^*$  correspond to  $\overline{\eta}(\Delta_0^* = 10) = 100$  and  $\overline{\eta}(\Delta_0^* = 10) = 0$ , respectively, while the third plotted particular solution has been obtained with the initial condition  $\overline{\eta}(\Delta_0^* = 0.005) =$ 10. Results obtained with other initial conditions cannot be



FIG. 2. (Color online) Adimensionalized quantity  $\overline{\eta}$  as a function of the dimensionless characteristic speed  $\Delta^*$  in a two-dimensional system with  $\alpha = 0.9$ . The (red) dashed lines correspond to numerical solutions of Eq. (28) obtained by using different initial conditions, i.e., different values for the pair  $\Delta_0^*, \overline{\eta}(\Delta_0^*)$ . The (black) solid line is the universal curve to which all the solutions converge. This is precisely the function identified as the dimensionless hydrodynamic shear viscosity. Also indicated in the figure is the steady value of  $\Delta^*$ , denoted by  $\Delta_{st}^*$ , and the shear viscosity of the steady state,  $\overline{\eta}_{st}$ .

distinguished from the normal curve on the scale of the figure.

In Figs. 3–5, the coefficients of shear viscosity,  $\overline{\eta}$ , (thermal) heat conductivity,  $\overline{\kappa}$ , and diffusive heat conductivity,  $\overline{\mu}$ , are plotted as a function of the dimensionless characteristic speed for a two-dimensional system. Two values of the coefficient of normal restitution have been considered, namely  $\alpha = 0.8$  and 0.9. The reported curves correspond to the hydrodynamic transport coefficients and have been obtained by the same method as described above for the shear viscosity. The values of several transport coefficients in the steady state are indicated.

It is observed that the three Navier-Stokes transport coefficients are monotonically decreasing functions of the speed



FIG. 3. (Color online) Adimensionalized shear viscosity  $\overline{\eta}$  of a two-dimensional system as a function of the dimensionless speed parameter  $\Delta^*$ . The (red) dashed curve is for  $\alpha = 0.8$  and the (black) solid line is for  $\alpha = 0.9$ . The (blue) dots indicate the steady-state values in each case.



FIG. 4. (Color online) Adimensionalized (thermal) heat conductivity  $\bar{\kappa}$  of a two-dimensional system as a function of the dimensionless speed parameter  $\Delta^*$ . The (red) dashed curve is for  $\alpha = 0.8$ and the (black) solid line is for  $\alpha = 0.9$ . The (blue) dots indicate the steady-state values in each case.

parameter  $\Delta^*$  for the two values of the restitution coefficient  $\alpha$  considered in the figures. A similar behavior was found for other values of  $\alpha$ . The coefficient of diffusive heat conductivity,  $\mu$ , becomes even negative for large enough values of  $\Delta^*$ . Notice that this does not seem to imply the violation of any fundamental physical law or be incompatible with any physical symmetry. Nevertheless, it is quite possible that the exact value of  $\Delta^*$  at which the change in sign of  $\mu$  occurs is a consequence of the approximations made and, in particular, of the first Sonine approximation. When the prediction for  $\mu$  in this approximation is rather small, it is evident that higher-order corrections might become relevant. In any case, the whole range of the coefficient of normal restitution,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , as well as a quite wide interval of values of  $\Delta > 0$  have been explored, and no anomalous behavior of the solutions of Eqs. (28)–(30) has been found.



FIG. 5. (Color online) Adimensionalized diffusive heat conductivity  $\overline{\mu}$  as a function of the dimensionless speed parameter  $\Delta^*$  for a two-dimensional system. The (red) dashed curve is for  $\alpha = 0.8$ and the (black) solid line is for  $\alpha = 0.9$ . The (blue) dots indicate the steady-state values in each case.

### IV. TRANSPORT COEFFICIENTS IN THE HOMOGENEOUS STEADY STATE

A particularly relevant state of the confined granular gas is the homogeneous steady state. Stationarity of the temperature implies that the rate of change of the temperature vanishes, i.e., it is

$$\overline{\zeta}^{(0)}(\Delta_{\rm st}^*) = 0.$$
 (36)

Then, particularization of Eq. (C3) for the steady state yields

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{1,\text{st}} &= 2\Delta_{\text{st}}^* \left(\frac{\partial a_2}{\partial \Delta^*}\right)_{\Delta^* = \Delta_{\text{st}}^*} \overline{\zeta}_1(\Delta_{\text{st}}^*) \bigg\{ \frac{8\chi(\Delta_{\text{st}}^*)}{d(d+2)} \\ &+ d\overline{\zeta}_1(\Delta_{\text{st}}^*) \bigg[ 4(a_{2,\text{st}}+1) - \Delta_{\text{st}}^* \bigg(\frac{\partial a_2}{\partial \Delta^*}\bigg)_{\Delta^* = \Delta_{\text{st}}^*} \bigg] \bigg\}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(37)$$

Similarly, particularization of Eqs. (28)–(30) for the steady state leads to explicit expressions for the Navier-Stokes transport coefficients for this state,

$$\overline{\eta}_{\rm st} = \frac{2^{5/2} \pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{(d+2)\Gamma(d/2)} \, \overline{\nu}_{\eta}^{-1}(\Delta_{\rm st}^*, a_{2,\rm st}),\tag{38}$$

$$\overline{\kappa}_{\text{st}} = \frac{2^{5/2}(d-1)\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{d(d+2)\Gamma(d/2)} \left[ 1 + 2a_{2,\text{st}} - \frac{\Delta_{\text{st}}^*}{2} \left( \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial \Delta^*} \right)_{\Delta^* = \Delta_{\text{st}}^*} \right] \\ \times \left[ \overline{\nu}_{\kappa}(\Delta_{\text{st}}^*, a_{2,\text{st}}) + \frac{\Delta_{\text{st}}^*}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \overline{\zeta}^{(0)}}{\partial \Delta^*} \right)_{\Delta^* = \Delta_{\text{st}}^*} \right]^{-1}, \quad (39)$$

$$\overline{\mu}_{\rm st} = \frac{2^{5/2}(d-1)\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}a_{2,\rm st}}{d(d+2)\Gamma(d/2)\overline{\nu}_{\mu}(\Delta_{\rm st}^*, a_{2,\rm st})}.$$
(40)

The frequencies  $\overline{\nu}_{\eta}$  and  $\overline{\nu}_{\kappa} = \overline{\nu}_{\mu}$  are defined in Eqs. (34). Moreover, the calculation of  $a_2(\Delta^*)$  for  $\Delta^*$  in the vicinity of  $\Delta_{st}^*$  can be carried out in an efficient and quite accurate way by noting that near  $\Delta_{st}^*$  it is  $|\partial a_2/\partial \Delta^*| \ll 1$  (see, for instance, Fig. 9 in Ref. [27]). Then, near the steady state, Eq. (A13) yields

$$a_2 \approx -\frac{B_0 + 4A_0}{B_1 + 4(A_0 + A_1)}.$$
 (41)

The expressions of  $A_0$ ,  $A_1$ ,  $B_0$ , and  $B_1$  are given in Eqs. (A14)–(A17).

It is now a simple task to evaluate the Euler and Navier-Stokes transport coefficients in the steady state. They are plotted in Figs. 6–9 as a function of the coefficient of normal restitution  $\alpha$ . The four coefficients present a clear dependence with the inelasticity. The Euler transport coefficient is a monotonic decreasing function of the coefficient of normal restitution, while the dimensionless shear viscosity monotonically increases with the value of  $\alpha$ . This latter behavior is consistent with molecular-dynamics simulation results reported both for dilute [31] and moderately dense systems [22]. Moreover, the dependence of the viscosity on the coefficient of normal restitution is clearly nonlinear, again in agreement with the simulations for dilute systems. It is worthwhile to remember that in (nonconfined) dilute granular gases of smooth inelastic hard spheres [5,6], the viscosity decreases as the coefficient of normal restitution increases,



FIG. 6. Dimensionless Euler transport coefficient of the twodimensional confined granular gas in the homogeneous steady state,  $\zeta_{1,st}$ , as a function of the coefficient of normal restitution,  $\alpha$ .

and that in a stochastic thermostat model it has been found to be a nonmonotonic function of the inelasticity [33,34]. On the other hand, the dependence on the restitution coefficients of the two transport coefficients associated with the heat flux is not monotonic in the homogeneous steady state of the model discussed here, both exhibiting a minimum. Moreover, the coefficient of diffusive heat conductivity  $\mu_{st}$ is negative in the whole range of values of  $\alpha$ , while it is always positive in a dilute nonconfined gas of inelastic hard spheres or disks. Notice that the dependence of the steady transport coefficients on the inelasticity of the system is quite strong. In particular, the (thermal) heat conductivity for  $\alpha = 0.8$  is about 25% smaller than its elastic limit value.

In any case, when interpreting the results in Figs. 6–9, it must be kept in mind that the bare transport coefficients have been scaled with a function of the temperature of the steady state, and that this temperature is in turn a function of the coefficient of normal restitution (and the velocity parameter of the model  $\Delta$ ). As a consequence, it is not possible to deduce the general expressions of the transport coefficients of the model to Navier-Stokes order from their form in the steady homogeneous state.



FIG. 8. Dimensionless (thermal) heat conductivity of the twodimensional confined gas in the homogeneous steady state,  $\overline{\kappa}_{st}$ , as a function of the coefficient of normal restitution,  $\alpha$ .

### V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The objective of this work has been to derive the hydrodynamic equations to Navier-Stokes order for a model of confined granular gas from an underlying kinetic theory, with all the parameters given explicitly. For clarification and context, the following comment must be taken into account. When applying the Chapman-Enskog procedure, the distribution function has been computed up to first order in the gradients of the hydrodynamic field density, flow velocity, and granular temperature. Consequently, the heat and momentum fluxes are also determined to the same order. Since they occur as divergences in the balance equations, they lead to terms of second order in the gradients in those equations, which is usually referred to as the Navier-Stokes approximation for the fluxes. In addition, the rate of change of the temperature,  $\zeta$ , has been computed to first order, but it appears without any gradient operator in front of it in the balance equation for the energy. It follows that consistency of the Navier-Stokes order would require, in principle, computing  $\zeta$  up to second order in gradients, i.e., going an order further in the Chapman-Enskog expansion of the distribution function, i.e., the Burnett order. Such a calculation is rather involved and lengthy. The only case in which we are aware that second-order contributions from



FIG. 7. Dimensionless shear viscosity of the two-dimensional confined granular gas in the homogeneous steady state,  $\overline{\eta}_{1,\text{st}}$ , as a function of the coefficient of normal restitution,  $\alpha$ .



FIG. 9. Dimensionless diffusive heat conductivity of the twodimensional confined granular gas in the homogeneous steady state,  $\overline{\mu}_{st}$ , as a function of the coefficient of normal restitution,  $\alpha$ .

 $\zeta$  have been analyzed deals with the linear contributions in a low density gas of smooth inelastic hard spheres [5]. There, it is found that the terms are very small as compared with the similar ones arising from the fluxes. A similar behavior is likely to occur here with all the second order in the gradient contributions from  $\zeta$ .

The derived hydrodynamic equations are general, in the sense that they have no restriction with regard to the values of the coefficient of normal restitution  $\alpha$  or the velocity parameter of the model  $\Delta$ . In particular, they hold in principle arbitrarily far from the homogeneous steady state, as long as the system is near a homogeneous hydrodynamic state. In the steady state, both parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\Delta$  determine the temperature of the system, which is not an arbitrary parameter anymore. Of course, the general hydrodynamic equations can be particularized for the steady state, as has been actually done here, but it must be emphasized that the general form of the hydrodynamic equations cannot be inferred from the equations derived for the steady situation.

The shear viscosity of the model in the steady state has been measured by using event-driven molecular-dynamics simulations. The transport coefficient was obtained from the decay rate of the transverse current [22,31]. In a dense system  $(n\sigma^2 = 0.4)$ , it was found that a linear fit in  $(1 - \alpha)$  gives

$$\overline{\eta} \simeq 1.0512 \sqrt{\pi} [1 - 0.28(1 - \alpha)].$$
 (42)

An expansion of Eq. (38) in powers on  $\alpha$  to first order gives

$$\overline{\eta} \simeq 1 - \frac{17}{64}(1 - \alpha). \tag{43}$$

As expected, the low-density theory developed here is not able to predict the prefactor in Eq. (42). In dense systems, the collisional contributions to momentum transfer, and consequently to the shear viscosity, play a fundamental role, and those effects are neglected at the level of the Boltzmann equation. However, if the lowest-order inelasticity correction is considered, the results obtained in this paper are in good agreement with the simulation results for dense systems. A similar conclusion was reached in Ref. [31]. Also, the dependence of the viscosity on the coefficient of normal restitution as reported in Fig. 7 agrees qualitatively and even quantitatively with the simulation results [31].

The transport properties derived here present the peculiarity that the diffusive heat conductivity  $\mu$  is negative for some values of the parameters defining the model, i.e., inelasticity and characteristic speed. In particular, the transport coefficient is always negative in the homogeneous steady states. This fact implies relevant differences, as compared with unconfined systems of inelastic hard spheres or disks. One of them refers to the stability diagram. This issue will be discussed in detail elsewhere.

A relevant and recurrent question when deriving hydrodynamic equations from kinetic theory is to determine the context in which the equations apply. The small parameter in the Chapman-Enskog expansion is the ratio of the mean free path relative to the wavelength of the variation of the hydrodynamic fields. The mean free path is independent of the time for the homogeneous hydrodynamics. Consequently, it seems sensible to conclude that the conditions for the Navier-Stokes order hydrodynamics of the model are the same as for usual granular gases of inelastic hard spheres or disks and also for elastic collisions, i.e., for sufficiently large space and time scales as compared with the mean free path and the inverse collision frequency.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (Spain) through Grant No. FIS2014-53808-P (partially financed by FEDER funds).

## APPENDIX A: CHAPMAN-ENSKOG SOLUTION

To zeroth order in the gradients, the Chapman-Enskog expansion leads to

$$\partial_t^{(0)} f^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \int d\boldsymbol{v}_1 \,\overline{T}_0(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{v}_1) f^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{v}) f^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{v}_1), \qquad (A1)$$

while the balance equations to this order become

$$\partial_t^{(0)} n = 0, \quad \partial_t^{(0)} \boldsymbol{u} = 0, \quad \partial_t^{(0)} T = -\zeta^{(0)} T.$$
 (A2)

The lowest-order rate of change of the temperature is given by

$$\zeta^{(0)}(\mathbf{r},t) = -\frac{2}{n(\mathbf{r},t)T(\mathbf{r},t)d}\,\omega[f^{(0)},f^{(0)}].\tag{A3}$$

It is worthwhile to stress that the macroscopic fields are not expanded in the Chapman-Enskog method, so that the zeroth-order distribution function already provides the exact actual macroscopic fields. Using Eqs. (A2), Eq. (A1) can be transformed into

$$-\zeta^{(0)}T\frac{\partial f^{(0)}}{\partial T} = \int d\boldsymbol{v}_1 \,\overline{T}_0(\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{v}_1) f^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{v}) f^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{v}_1). \tag{A4}$$

Since  $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, t)$  and, therefore,  $f^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, t)$  are normal, the latter must have the scaled form

$$f^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, t) = n v_0^{-d}(T) f^{(0)*}(\mathbf{c}, \Delta^*),$$
(A5)

where

$$v_0(T) \equiv \left[\frac{2T(\boldsymbol{r},t)}{m}\right]^{1/2} \tag{A6}$$

is a characteristic local thermal velocity. The dimensionless function  $f^{(0)*}$  only depends on the temperature through the scaled velocity,

$$\boldsymbol{c} \equiv \frac{\boldsymbol{V}(\boldsymbol{r},t)}{v_0(\boldsymbol{r},t)},\tag{A7}$$

and the dimensionless speed parameter,

$$\Delta^* \equiv \frac{\Delta}{v_0(\boldsymbol{r},t)} \,. \tag{A8}$$

As a consequence, Eq. (A4) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\zeta^{(0)}}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial V} \cdot (V f^{(0)}) + \Delta^* \frac{\partial}{\partial \Delta^*} f^{(0)} \right]$$
$$= \int d\boldsymbol{v}_1 \, \overline{T}_0(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{v}_1) f^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{v}) f^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{v}_1). \tag{A9}$$

This equation formally coincides with the one describing the homogeneous hydrodynamics of the system in the low-density limit [26]. It is important to realize that the zeroth-order approximation in the Chapman-Enskog method is not a local

version, both in space and time, of the distribution function of the homogeneous steady state eventually reached by the system [22,25], but rather it is a local distribution generated from that describing the time-dependent homogeneous hydrodynamics. This is a relevant general issue when dealing with hydrodynamics around a nonequilibrium state [40]. In the second Sonine approximation, the zeroth-order distribution function is approximated by

$$f^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{v}, t) \simeq \frac{n}{(\pi^{1/2} v_0)^d} e^{-c^2} [1 + a_2(\Delta^*) S^{(2)}(c^2)], \quad (A10)$$

where

$$S^{(2)}(c^2) \equiv \frac{d(d+2)}{8} - \frac{d+2}{2}c^2 + \frac{c^4}{2}.$$
 (A11)

Neglecting terms nonlinear in  $a_2$ , substitution of Eq. (A10) into Eq. (A3) yields

$$\zeta^{(0)}(T) \approx \frac{2^{3/2} \pi^{(d-1)/2} n \sigma^{d-1} v_0(T)}{\Gamma(d/2) d} \left[ \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{3a_2}{16} \right) - \alpha \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^{1/2} \Delta^* - \left( 1 - \frac{a_2}{16} \right) \Delta^{*2} \right].$$
(A12)

An equation for  $a_2(\Delta^*)$  is obtained by using this expression in the Boltzmann equation (A9), multiplication of the equation by  $c^4$ , and later integration over the velocity c. If the quadratic terms in  $a_2$ , as well as a term proportional to  $a_2\partial a_2/\partial \Delta^*$ , are neglected, one gets [26]

$$\frac{\partial a_2}{\partial \Delta^*} = \left[\frac{4}{\Delta^*} + \frac{4A_1 + B_1}{A_0 \Delta^*}\right] a_2 + \frac{4}{\Delta^*} + \frac{B_0}{A_0 \Delta^*}, \quad (A13)$$

with several coefficients given by

$$A_0(\alpha, \Delta^*) = (d+2) \left[ \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2} - \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{1/2} \alpha \Delta^* - \Delta^{*2} \right],$$
(A14)

$$A_1(\alpha, \Delta^*) = \frac{(d+2)}{16} \left[ \frac{3(1-\alpha^2)}{2} + \Delta^{*2} \right], \qquad (A15)$$

$$B_0(\alpha, \Delta^*) = (2\pi)^{1/2} (1 + 2d + 3\alpha^2 + 4\Delta^{*2}) \alpha \Delta^*$$
  
- 3 + 4\Delta^{\*4} + \alpha^2 + 2\alpha^4 - 2d(1 - \alpha^2 - 2\Delta^{\*2})  
+ 2\Delta^{\*2}(1 + 6\alpha^2), (A16)

$$B_{1}(\alpha, \Delta^{*}) = \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{1/2} [2 - 2d(1 - \alpha) + 7\alpha + 3\alpha^{3}]\Delta^{*}$$
$$- \frac{1}{16} \{85 + 4\Delta^{*4} - 18(3 + 2\alpha^{2})\Delta^{*2}$$
$$- (32 + 87\alpha + 30\alpha^{3})\alpha$$
$$- 2d[6\Delta^{*2} - (1 + \alpha)(31 - 15\alpha)]\}. \quad (A17)$$

The normal solution of Eq. (A13) has been analyzed in Ref. [26] by solving numerically the differential equation for different initial conditions, and identifying the common part of all the generated solutions. Independently of the approximation used to calculate it, the solution of Eq. (A9) is isotropic in velocity space, i.e., it only depends on *V*. It

follows that the lowest-order pressure tensor and heat flux are

$$\mathsf{P}^{(0)}(\mathbf{r},t) = p(\mathbf{r},t)\mathsf{I}, \quad \mathbf{J}_{q}^{(0)}(\mathbf{r},t) = 0,$$
 (A18)

where I is the unit pressure tensor in *d* dimensions, and  $p(\mathbf{r},t)$  is the hydrodynamic pressure,

$$p(\mathbf{r},t) = n(\mathbf{r},t)T(\mathbf{r},t).$$
(A19)

To first order in  $\epsilon$ , the expansion of the Boltzmann equation gives

$$\partial_t^{(0)} f^{(1)} + \mathcal{L} f^{(1)} = -\partial_t^{(1)} f^{(0)} - \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f^{(0)}}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}}, \qquad (A20)$$

with the linear operator  $\mathcal{L}$  defined by

$$\mathcal{L}f^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{v},t) \equiv -\int d\boldsymbol{v}_1 \,\overline{T}_0(\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{v}_1)[f^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{v},t)f^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{v}_1,t) + f^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{v},t)f^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{v}_1,t)].$$
(A21)

The macroscopic balance equations to this order are

$$\partial_t^{(1)} n + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} n + n \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{0},$$
 (A22)

$$\partial_t^{(1)} \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u} + (mn)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\nabla} p = \boldsymbol{0}, \qquad (A23)$$

$$\partial_t^{(1)}T + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}T + \frac{2T}{d} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = -\zeta^{(1)}T, \qquad (A24)$$

with the first order in  $\epsilon$  cooling rate being a linear functional of  $f^{(1)}$ ,

$$\zeta^{(1)} \equiv -\frac{4}{n(\mathbf{r},t)T(\mathbf{r},t)d}\omega[f^{(0)},f^{(1)}].$$
 (A25)

By using Eqs. (A22)–(A24), and because  $f^{(0)}$  and  $f^{(1)}$  are both normal distributions, Eq. (A20) is seen to be equivalent to

$$\partial_t^{(0)} f^{(1)} + \mathcal{L} f^{(1)} - \frac{\partial f^{(0)}}{\partial T} \zeta^{(1)} T$$
  
=  $\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \ln T + \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \ln n + \mathbf{C} : \nabla \mathbf{u},$  (A26)

where

$$A(V|n,T) = -\frac{T}{m} \frac{\partial f^{(0)}}{\partial V} + \frac{V}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial V} \cdot (Vf^{(0)}) + \frac{V}{2} \Delta^* \frac{\partial f^{(0)}}{\partial \Delta^*},$$
(A27)

$$\boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{V}|\boldsymbol{n},T) = -\boldsymbol{V}f^{(0)} - \frac{T}{m}\frac{\partial f^{(0)}}{\partial \boldsymbol{V}}, \qquad (A28)$$

$$\mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{V}|\boldsymbol{n},T) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{V}}(\boldsymbol{V}f^{(0)}) - \frac{1}{d} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{V}} \cdot (\boldsymbol{V}f^{(0)}) + \Delta^* \frac{\partial f^{(0)}}{\partial \Delta^*} \right] \mathbf{I}.$$
(A29)

Because of the presence of the term involving  $\Delta^*$  on the righthand side of Eq. (A29), the tensor C is not traceless, contrary to what happens in a system of elastic particles [36] and also in a system of inelastic hard spheres or disks [5]. The solution of the linear equation (A26) must have the form

$$f^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{V}|n,T) = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \ln T + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \ln n + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}} : \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u}. \quad (A30)$$

Consider the first-order cooling rate  $\zeta^{(1)}$  given by Eq. (A25). It is a scalar and, therefore, the only nonvanishing contribution

to it has the form

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta}^{(1)} = \boldsymbol{\zeta}_1 \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{u} \tag{A31}$$

with the Euler transport coefficient  $\zeta_1$  given by

$$\zeta_1 = -\frac{4}{nTd^2}\,\omega[f^{(0)},\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{C}],\tag{A32}$$

where Tr C denotes the trace of the tensor C. When Eqs. (A2), (A30), and (A31) are substituted into Eq. (A26), equations for A, B, and C are found by equating coefficients of the various gradients of the hydrodynamic fields,

$$-\zeta^{(0)}T\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}}{\partial T} - T\frac{\partial \zeta^{(0)}}{\partial T}\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{L}\mathcal{A} = A, \qquad (A33)$$

$$-\zeta^{(0)}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}-\zeta^{(0)}T\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}}{\partial T}+\mathcal{L}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}=\boldsymbol{B},\qquad(A34)$$

$$-\zeta^{(0)}T\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial T} + \mathcal{L}\mathcal{C} - T\frac{\partial f^{(0)}}{\partial T}\zeta_1 \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{C}.$$
 (A35)

Next, let us analyze the contribution to the fluxes of first order in the gradients. The expression for the pressure tensor contribution can be expressed as

$$\mathsf{P}^{(1)} = m \int dV \, VV f^{(1)}(V) = m \int dV \, \mathsf{D}(V) f^{(1)}(V),$$
(A36)

where

$$\mathsf{D}(\mathbf{V}) \equiv m \left( \mathbf{V}\mathbf{V} - \frac{1}{d} \, \mathbf{V}^2 \mathsf{I} \right),\tag{A37}$$

since  $f^{(0)}$  gives, by construction, the correct exact value of the hydrodynamic fields and, consequently, the contributions to them from  $f^{(i)}$ , i = 1, 2, ..., must vanish. Then, taking into account the isotropy of the tensors and that D is traceless, it follows that

$$\mathsf{P}^{(1)} = \int dV \,\mathsf{D}(V)\mathcal{C}(V) : \nabla u$$
$$= -\eta \bigg[ \nabla u + (\nabla u)^{+} - \frac{2}{d} \,\nabla \cdot u \mathsf{I} \bigg], \qquad (A38)$$

with  $(\nabla u)^+$  being the transposition of  $\nabla u$ , and

$$\eta = -\frac{1}{d^2 + d - 2} \int dV \,\mathsf{D}(V) : \mathcal{C}(V). \tag{A39}$$

Proceeding in a similar way, it is seen that the heat flux to first order in gradients reads

$$\boldsymbol{J}_{q}^{(1)} = -\kappa \boldsymbol{\nabla} T - \mu \boldsymbol{\nabla} n. \tag{A40}$$

The coefficients in this expression are given by

$$\kappa = -\frac{1}{Td} \int dV \, S(V) \cdot \mathcal{A}(V), \qquad (A41)$$

$$\mu = -\frac{1}{nd} \int dV \, S(V) \cdot \mathcal{B}(V), \qquad (A42)$$

where

$$S(V) \equiv \left(\frac{mV^2}{2} - \frac{d+2}{2}T\right)V.$$
 (A43)

Define the frequencies

$$\nu_{\eta} \equiv \frac{\int d\mathbf{V} \,\mathsf{D}(\mathbf{V}) : \mathcal{LC}(\mathbf{V})}{\int d\mathbf{V} \,\mathsf{D}(\mathbf{V}) : \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{V})},\tag{A44}$$

$$\nu_{\kappa} \equiv \frac{\int d\mathbf{V} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{V}) \cdot \mathcal{L} \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{V})}{\int d\mathbf{V} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{V}) \cdot \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{V})},$$
(A45)

$$\nu_{\mu} \equiv \frac{\int d\mathbf{V} \, \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{V}) \cdot \mathcal{L} \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{V})}{\int d\mathbf{V} \, \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{V}) \cdot \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{V})} \,. \tag{A46}$$

By using these definitions and Eqs. (A33)–(A35), it is easy to obtain first-order differential equations obeyed by the transport coefficients,

$$\left(\zeta^{(0)}T\frac{\partial}{\partial T} - \nu_{\eta}\right)\eta$$

$$= -\frac{1}{d^{2} + d - 2}\int dV \mathsf{D}(V) : \mathsf{C}(V) = -nT, \quad (A47)$$

$$\left[\zeta^{(0)}T\frac{\partial}{\partial T} - \nu_{\kappa} + \frac{\partial(T\zeta^{(0)})}{\partial T}\right]\kappa$$

$$= \frac{1}{Td}\int dV S(V) \cdot A(V)$$

$$= -\frac{(d+2)nT}{2m}\left(1 + 2a_{2} + T\frac{\partial a_{2}}{\partial T}\right), \quad (A48)$$

$$\left(\zeta^{(0)}T\frac{\partial}{\partial T} - \nu_{\mu}\right)\mu + \frac{\zeta^{(0)}T\kappa}{n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{nd} \int d\boldsymbol{V} \boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{V}) \cdot \boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{V}) = -\frac{(d+2)T^2}{2m} a_2. \quad (A49)$$

Upon deriving the last equalities in each of the above three equations, the second Sonine approximation for  $f^{(0)}$ , Eq. (A10), has been employed.

#### **APPENDIX B: EVALUATION OF THE FREQUENCIES**

Approximated expressions for the functions  $\nu_{\eta}$ ,  $\nu_{\kappa}$ , and  $\nu_{\mu}$  have been obtained using a Sonine expansion, truncated to lowest order. Since the collision operator commutes with the rotation operator, it follows from Eqs. (A33)–(A35) that  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  must be isotropic functions of the velocity times S(V). Moreover, only the traceless part of  $\mathcal{C}$  is needed, and it must be the product of an isotropic function times D(V). Then, to lowest order in a Sonine expansion,

$$\mathcal{A}(V) \propto f_M(V) \mathcal{S}(V),$$
  
$$\mathcal{B}(V) \propto f_M(V) \mathcal{S}(V),$$
  
$$\mathcal{C}(V) - \frac{1}{d} \operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{C} \propto f_M(V) \mathsf{D}(V),$$
  
(B1)

where  $f_M$  is the Maxwellian distribution,

$$f_M(V) = n \left(\frac{m}{2\pi T}\right)^{d/2} \exp\left(-\frac{mV^2}{2T}\right).$$
(B2)

With this approximation,

$$\nu_{\eta} = \frac{\int d\mathbf{V} \, \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{V}) : \mathcal{L}[f_M(V)\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{V})]}{\int d\mathbf{V} \, f_M(V)\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{V}) : \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{V})}$$
$$= \frac{\int d\mathbf{V} \, D_{ij}(\mathbf{V}) \mathcal{L}[f_M(\mathbf{V})D_{ij}(\mathbf{V})]}{(d-1)(d+2)nT^2}, \tag{B3}$$

$$\nu_{\kappa} = \nu_{\mu} = \frac{\int d\mathbf{V} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{V}) \cdot \mathcal{L}[f_M(V)\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{V})]}{\int d\mathbf{V} f_M(\mathbf{V})\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{V}) \cdot \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{V})}$$
$$= \frac{2m \int d\mathbf{V} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{V}) \cdot \mathcal{L}[f_M(\mathbf{V})\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{V})]}{d(d+2)nT^3}.$$
(B4)

The evaluation of the integrals in the above expressions is straightforward, and it is facilitated by using symbolic computer programs. Since similar calculations have been reported many times in the literature, we merely report here the final results,

$$\nu_{\eta} = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}^{\frac{d-1}{2}} n \sigma^{d-1} \nu_{0}(T)}{d(d+2) \Gamma(d/2)} \left[ (1+\alpha)(2d+3-3\alpha) \left( 1 - \frac{a_{2}}{32} \right) + \sqrt{2\pi}(d-2\alpha) \Delta^{*} - 2 \left( 1 + \frac{3a_{2}}{32} \right) \Delta^{*2} \right], \quad (B5)$$

$$\nu_{\kappa} = \nu_{\mu} = \frac{\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}} n \sigma^{d-1} \nu_{0}(T)}{\sqrt{2} d(d+2) \Gamma(d/2)} \\ \times \left\{ \frac{(1+\alpha)[512+352d-96\alpha(d+8)]}{2^{6}} + \frac{(1+\alpha)[5d+4-3(4-d)\alpha]}{2^{6}} a_{2} - \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{1/2} [2(1-d)+(d+8)\alpha] \Delta^{*} \\ - \frac{32(d+8)-3(4-d)a_{2}}{2^{5}} \Delta^{*2} \right\}.$$
(B6)

For  $\Delta^* = 0$ , the above expressions reduce to those obtained in Refs. [5] and [6].

# APPENDIX C: THE EULER TRANSPORT COEFFICIENT

The transport coefficient  $\zeta_1$  is given by Eq. (A32). An equation for it can be obtained from Eq. (A35),

$$-\zeta^{(0)}T\frac{\partial \operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{C}}{\partial T} + \mathcal{L}\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{C} - T\frac{\partial f^{(0)}}{\partial T}\zeta_{1}d$$
  
=  $\operatorname{Tr}\mathbf{C} = -\Delta^{*}\frac{\partial f^{(0)}}{\partial a_{2}}\frac{\partial a_{2}}{\partial \Delta^{*}}.$  (C1)

Since  $\operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{C}$  must have vanishing velocity moments up to second degree, its lowest-order Sonine approximation reads

$$\operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{C} \simeq \frac{b_2 e^{-c^2}}{\pi^{d/2} \sigma^{d-1} v_0^{d+1}} S^{(2)}(c^2).$$
(C2)

To determine the dimensionless coefficient  $b_2$ , we substitute the above expression into Eq. (C1), and afterward we multiply it by  $v^4$  and integrate over v. After some lengthy but trivial algebra, a differential equation is obtained,

$$\overline{\zeta}^{(0)} \Delta^* \frac{\partial b_2}{\partial \Delta^*} - \left[ 3\overline{\zeta}^{(0)} + \frac{8\chi(\Delta^*)}{d(d+2)} + 4d\zeta_1(a_2+1) - d\zeta_1 \Delta^* \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial \Delta^*} \right] b_2 = -2\Delta^* \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial \Delta^*}.$$
(C3)

Here,

$$\overline{\zeta}_{1} \equiv \frac{\zeta_{1}}{b_{2}} = -\frac{4\pi^{-\frac{d+1}{2}}}{d^{2}} \int d\boldsymbol{c}_{1} \int d\boldsymbol{c}_{2} e^{-c_{1}^{2}-c_{2}^{2}} \\ \times \left[1 + a_{2}S^{(2)}(c_{1}^{2})\right]S^{(2)}(c_{2}^{2}) \\ \times \left[\frac{\Delta^{*2}c_{12}}{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})} + \frac{\pi^{1/2}\alpha\Delta c_{12}^{2}}{2\Gamma(\frac{d+2}{2})} - \frac{(1-\alpha^{2})c_{12}^{3}}{4\Gamma(\frac{d+3}{2})}\right]$$
(C4)

and

$$\chi(\Delta^*) \equiv -\frac{1}{b_2 v_0^4 n} \int d\boldsymbol{v} v^4 \mathcal{L} \operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{C}$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{b_2 v_0^4 n} \int d\boldsymbol{v} \int d\boldsymbol{v}_1 f^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{v}, t) \operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{v}_1)$   
 $\times T_0(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{v}_1) (v^4 + v_1^4).$  (C5)

The integrals in the above expressions of  $\zeta_1$  and  $\chi$  can be carried out getting

$$\overline{\zeta}_{1} = \frac{\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{2^{\frac{17}{2}}d^{2}\Gamma(d/2)}[96 + 9a_{2} - 3\alpha^{2}(32 + 3a_{2}) + \Delta^{*2}(64 + 30a_{2})],$$
(C6)

$$\chi = \frac{\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{2^{11}\Gamma(d/2)} (\sqrt{2}\{30\alpha^4(32 - a_2) - 5(544 + 7a_2) - 4\Delta^{*2}(32 + 15a_2) - 64(d - 1)\alpha(16 + a_2) - 2d(992 + 17a_2) + 3\alpha^2[928 + 43a_2 + 12\Delta^{*2}(32 + 3a_2) + 10d(32 - a_2)] + 6\Delta^{*2}(288 - 45a_2 + 64d + 6da_2)\} + 512\sqrt{\pi}\Delta^{*}[2 + 7\alpha + 3\alpha^3 - 2d(1 - \alpha)]).$$
(C7)

Now, the dimensionless coefficient  $b_2$  is obtained by solving numerically the set of equations (A13) and (C3), and identifying the hydrodynamic part of the solution as discussed in the main text for the shear viscosity. Afterward, the Euler transport coefficient  $\zeta_1$  follows by using Eq. (C4).

[1] P. K. Haff, J. Fluid Mech. **134**, 401 (1983).

[3] J. W. Dufty, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 12, A47 (2000).

<sup>[2]</sup> C. S. Campbell, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 22, 57 (1990).

<sup>[4]</sup> I. Goldhirsch, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 35, 267 (2003).

- [5] J. J. Brey, J. W. Dufty, C. S. Kim, and A. Santos, Phys. Rev. E 58, 4638 (1998).
- [6] J. J. Brey and D. Cubero, in *Granular Gases*, edited by T. Pöschel and S. Luding, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001).
- [7] V. Garzó and J. W. Dufty, Phys. Rev. E 59, 5895 (1999).
- [8] J. W. Dufty and J. J. Brey, J. Stat. Phys. 109, 433 (2002).
- [9] J. J. Brey and M. J. Ruiz-Montero, Phys. Rev. E 70, 051301 (2004).
- [10] J. W. Dufty, J. J. Brey, and J. Lutsko, Phys. Rev. E 65, 051303 (2002).
- [11] A. Baskaran, J. W. Dufty, and J. J. Brey, Phys. Rev. E 77, 031311 (2008).
- [12] C. K. K. Lun, S. B. Savage, D. J. Jeffrey, and N. Chepurniy, J. Fluid Mech. 140, 223 (1984).
- [13] J. T. Jenkins and M. W. Richman, J. Fluid Mech. 192, 313 (1988).
- [14] N. Sela, I. Goldhirsch, and S. H. Noskowitz, Phys. Fluids 8, 2337 (1996).
- [15] J. J. Brey, M. J. Ruiz-Montero, and F. Moreno, Phys. Rev. E 55, 2846 (1997).
- [16] J. M. Montanero, V. Garzó, A. Santos, and J. J. Brey, J. Fluid Mech. 389, 391 (1999).
- [17] J. S. Olafsen and J. S. Urbach, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 098002 (2005).
- [18] N. Rivas, S. Ponce, B. Gallet, D. Risso, R. Soto, P. Cordero, and N. Mújica, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 088001 (2011).
- [19] G. Castillo, N. Mújica, and R. Soto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 095701 (2012).
- [20] G. Gradenigo, A. Sarracino, D. Villamaina, and A. Puglisi, Europhys. Lett. 96, 14004 (2011).
- [21] A. Puglisi, A. Gnoli, G. Gradenigo, A. Sarracino, and D. Villamaina, J. Chem. Phys. 136, 014704 (2012).
- [22] R. Brito, D. Risso, and R. Soto, Phys. Rev. E 87, 022209 (2013).

- [23] J. J. Brey, J. W. Dufty, and A. Santos, J. Stat. Phys. 87, 1051 (1997).
- [24] T. P. C. van Noije, M. H. Ernst, and R. Brito, Physica A 251, 266 (1998).
- [25] J. J. Brey, M. I. García de Soria, P. Maynar, and V. Buzón, Phys. Rev. E 88, 062205 (2013).
- [26] J. J. Brey, P. Maynar, M. I. García de Soria, and V. Buzón, Phys. Rev. E 89, 052209 (2014).
- [27] J. J. Brey, M. I. García de Soria, P. Maynar, and V. Buzón, Phys. Rev. E 90, 032207 (2014).
- [28] A. J. Kovacs, Adv. Polym. Sci. (Fortschr. Hochpolym. Forsch.) 3, 394 (1964).
- [29] M. I. García de Soria, P. Maynar, and E. Trizac, Phys. Rev. E 85, 051301 (2012).
- [30] A. Prados and E. Trizac, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 198001 (2014).
- [31] R. Soto, D. Risso, and R. Brito, Phys. Rev. E 90, 062204 (2014).
- [32] J. W. Dufty, A. Baskaran, and J. J. Brey, Phys. Rev. E 77, 031310 (2008).
- [33] M. I. García de Soria, P. Maynar, and E. Trizac, Phys. Rev. E 87, 022201 (2013).
- [34] V. Garzó, M. G. Chamorro, and F. Vega Reyes, Phys. Rev. E 87, 032201 (2013).
- [35] Although for the particular system considered here it is d = 2, we formulate the theory for both d = 2 and 3, because of the possible applications of similar models to other contexts.
- [36] J. A. McLennan, Introduction to Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics (Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1989).
- [37] P. P. J. M. Schram, *Kinetic Theory of Gases and Plasmas* (Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1991).
- [38] J. J. Brey, F. Moreno, and J. W. Dufty, Phys. Rev. E 54, 445 (1996).
- [39] V. Garzó, A. Santos, and J. M. Montanero, Physica A 376, 94 (2007).
- [40] J. F. Lutsko, Phys. Rev. E 73, 021302 (2006).