



1 Article

# Case study: Spiking neural network hardware system for structural health monitoring

# Lili Pang <sup>1,\*</sup>, Junxiu Liu <sup>2,\*</sup>, Jim Harkin <sup>2</sup>, George Martin <sup>2</sup>, Malachy McElholm <sup>2</sup>, Aqib Javed <sup>2</sup>, and Liam McDaid <sup>2</sup>

- Industrial Center/School of Innovation and Entrepreneurship, Nanjing Institute of Technology, Nanjing
   211167, China; panglili@njit.edu.cn
- School of Computing, Engineering and Intelligent Systems, Ulster University, UK; {j.liu1, jg.harkin, gs.martin, m.mcelholm, javed-a, lj.mcdaid}@ulster.ac.uk
- 10 \* Correspondence: panglili@njit.edu.cn (LP), j.liu1@ulster.ac.uk (JL)
- 11 Received: date; Accepted: date; Published: date

12 Abstract: This case study provides feasibility analysis of adapting Spiking Neural Networks (SNN) 13 based Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) system to explore low-cost solution for inspection of 14 structural health of damaged buildings which survived after natural disaster i.e., earthquakes or 15 similar activities. Various techniques are used to detect the structural health status of a building for 16 performance benchmarking, including different feature extraction methods and classification 17 techniques (e.g. SNN, K-means and artificial neural network etc.). The SNN is utilized to process 18 the sensory data generated from full-scale seven-story reinforced concrete building to verify the 19 classification performances. Results show that the proposed SNN hardware has high classification 20 accuracy, reliability, longevity, and low hardware area overhead.

Keywords: Structural Health Monitoring; Damage State Classification; Spiking Neural Networks;
 Feature Extraction; Artificial Neural Networks

23

#### 24 1. Introduction

25 Earthquake is an oscillatory movement caused by abrupt release of strain energy stored in in the 26 rocks within the crust of earth surface. Natural disasters are always vulnerable which leads to 27 extreme damages in nearby population in terms of fatality, communication and infrastructure loss. 28 Flood, earthquake, cyclones etc. are among most common occurring natural disasters across world. 29 The impact of these disasters differs in geological and geographic location of an area. These disasters 30 come with no advance warning but an effective, well prepared and maintained infrastructure will 31 decrease potential impact of future disasters. The structural health of buildings and other 32 infrastructures suffers degradation due to environmental catastrophes caused by ageing, hazards and 33 natural disasters [1]. In any area, public infrastructures like school, hospital, fire station, 34 administrative buildings, bridges, treatment plants are more prone to be highly affected by these 35 disasters. Therefore, regular structural health monitoring is required to ensure heath and endurance 36 from these mega structures. In an event of disaster, it is particularly important i). to detect and 37 quantify the severity of damage caused by environmental disasters at an early stage, ii). to assess the 38 current structural health and reliability of buildings to ensure its safe use, and iii) to estimate 39 repairing cost for damage to minimize economic losses [2]. Traditional monitoring methods rely on 40 an inspection and assessment of the buildings and requires experienced inspectors. Many structures 41 are not convenient for on-site monitoring due to the terrain obstacles i.e., lack of access to such 42 buildings and which sometimes makes it too late due to the retrospective nature of inspections [3]. 43 An automated process such as installation of a Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) system on 44 vulnerable structures, e.g. buildings, bridges and even special launch vehicles, to periodically detect 45 and notify structural damages [4]. An advance SHM systems should include current health profile of 46 the structure, the functions of damage detection, structural life prediction etc. [5]. The lifespan of 47 typical structure lasts for decades whereas sensory instruments and microprocessors used by SHM 48 systems comes with limited lifespan, e.g. in an ideal operating environment the three-axis 49 accelerometer of IIS3DHHC from the STMicroelectronics has ten-year production life which further 50 shrinks in harsh outdoor environments. Therefore, after installation and regular use for several years 51 SHM systems may fatigue and fail. Due to technical and economic difficulties for secondary 52 deployment, the longevity and reliability of SHM systems are key challenges that must be considered.

53 Considering these issues, SHM Systems should offer three characteristics. Firstly, the system 54 should be adaptive, robust, and capable to learn quickly. Secondly, the data analysis of the SHM 55 system should be fast, efficient and accurate. Finally, the longevity and reliability of the systems 56 hardware should be enhanced as the SHM system may be deployed in harsh conditions. The SHM 57 system must has protection capabilities to resist the hazardous effect of external environment. Recent 58 research suggested that we can build human brain like fault-tolerant energy-efficient system with 59 learning capability to enhance the robustness, productivity and endurance of the electronic hardware 60 systems [6,7]. Spiking neural network (SNN) are referred as the 3rd generation of artificial neural 61 network (ANN). Contrary to conventional ANNs, SNNs are more realistic mathematical 62 representation of the human brain that mimics biological spike-based event-driven processes to 63 communicate between neurons. SNNs are computationally complex and powerful than conventional 64 ANNs [8]. On an embedded processor, this digital systems like spike-driven communication 65 capability makes SNNs i.e., astrocyte-neural network model more energy-efficient and reliable than 66 deep neural network [9]. Therefore, this paper proposes an SHM system that based on SNN hardware 67 to address the challenges of longevity and reliability of the monitoring system. The acceleration data 68 collected from a full-scale seven-story reinforced concrete building are analyzed and severity of 69 damage in the building are subsequently classified. The proposed system can monitor and detect 70 the structure health damage levels under different environmental conditions, and provides a 71 high detection accuracy and relatively low hardware overhead for implementation.

The following section (section 2) explores related works and briefly reviews current SHM solutions and methodologies used to assess the structural health of buildings and structures. Section defines the proposed SHM system, discusses feature analysis and classification methods for the sensor data. Section 4 provides the experimental results to demonstrate the feasibility and accuracy of the proposed system through actual building sensor data. Finally, section 5 concludes the paper and gives the directions for future work.

#### 78 2. Related works

79 SHM systems need to provide a framework for the damage classification using a continuous 80 record of structural health monitoring data. This classification framework requires categorization of 81 many datasets relating to different states of structural health [10]. Damage identification in SHM 82 involves four main steps: signal acquisition, signal processing, feature extraction and classification. 83 The acquired data are then analyzed by signal processing techniques to extract, identify and classify 84 key features which are used for assessing the health condition of the structure. Feature extraction and 85 classification techniques are very critical for assessment of the structural health condition in an 86 automated system. Feature extraction method focuses on extracting features which may indicate 87 damage state 'hidden' in recorded sensor data, e.g. the orthogonal decomposition method is used for 88 feature extraction and analysis [11]. Feature extraction relies on empirical data. As the structure is affected by the environmental conditions, sensor data includes noises which affects damage levelassessment [12]. Therefore, feature extraction is a foremost and critical step for the SHM system.

91 Another challenge of SHM systems is the damage classification method. Previous research work 92 proposed various damage classification methods for different structures. Conventional classification 93 methods include clustering algorithms [13] i.e., k-means (KM) which is widely used in SHM. 94 However, KM is sensitive to the extracted data features and the initial choice of cluster centres [14] 95 that may lead to erroneous classifications [13]. ANNs has shown to be a promising technique for 96 SHM classification [9]. It includes a set of computational models inspired by the interconnected 97 neurological structure of the human brain for learning and solving problems such as pattern 98 recognitions. Taking into account the different classification rules of different structures and the use 99 of different types of sensors [15] (e.g. sensors for measuring mechanical properties [16,17] and sensors 100 for measuring environmental properties [18–20]), neural networks have the ability to extract features 101 from the data automatically [21], which can meet the requirements of applications. However, existing 102 systems are not suitable for detecting and analysing the structural characteristics in real applications 103 such as SHM, as the system cannot meet practical needs in terms of hardware cost and power 104 consumption.

105 Unlike traditional ANN, Spiking Neural Networks (SNNs) have a smaller hardware overhead 106 and are more reliable and power efficient. It has been reported that SNN hardware such as 107 neuromorphic systems consume two orders of magnitude less energy than ANNs [22]. In brain-108 inspired intelligence research, SNNs demonstrate a low power consumption and high performance 109 for the deployment of artificial intelligence technology. In addition, if considering the glial cell such 110 as astrocyte, spiking neural astrocyte networks have shown the self-repairing capability by using a 111 novel learning rule [23]. Therefore, this work proposed an SHM solution based on SNN hardware 112 system with self-repairing capability that will improves the electronic system reliability and life-span 113 in harsh environments. To the best of the authors' knowledge, conventional ANN and Probabilistic 114 Neural Networks (PNN) are widely used for structural damage detection [24–26], but no structural 115 health monitoring application of SNN has been reported in the literature. Therefore, by combining 116 the energy-efficient SNN classification algorithm and the highly compact neural network hardware, 117 the performance and lifetime of the SHM system can be improved. Results in section 4 will 118 demonstrate the proposed work makes SHM a viable option with low energy consumption, anti-119 noise capability, and an efficient data processing capability.

#### 120 3. SHM system based on SNN

This section explores architectural components of proposed SNN based SHM system including
 data acquisition (sensors) and decision-making mechanism (damage level classification).
 Furthermore, benchmarks of K-means and ANN algorithms are also briefly introduced in this section.

### 124 3.1. System architecture

125 SHM is a multi-layered hardware system that comprises up of multiple sensors for data 126 acquisition, communication and processing architecture to assess health of structural integrity. Figure 127 1 shows the structure of the proposed SHM system. System is equipped with Wired or wireless 128 sensors such as accelerometers to collect the data from under observation structure. Through the 129 analysis of the raw data, appropriate features can be selected and extracted from the time domain or 130 frequency domain. After feature extraction, the data is fed into the SNN hardware system for the 131 structure damage level assessment. The SNN encodes the pre-processed data into input spiking 132 signals. This work proposed two SNN models to explore an efficient and cost-effective solution for 133 SHM system. A fully connected SNN network based on Leaky Integrate and Fire (LIF) neurons with 134 SpikeProp as learning algorithm for feature classification. Second model is based on Neucube 135 framework [27] using the Spike Timing Dependent Plasticity (STDP) rule for the unsupervised

- 136 training and deSNN [28] algorithm for supervised learning. Both models can classify the level of 137 structure damage to identify structural health status.
- SNNs use time as an input dimension and records valuable information in a spatial domain. The information received by the spiking neuron is a pulsed time series, so the analogue sensory data needs to be encoded into spatial dimension for input to the spiking neural network. spiking neuron membrane changes upon arrival of input spike and each postsynaptic neuron fires an action potential or spike at the time when the membrane potential exceeds the firing threshold [29]. The event-driven neurons in an SNN are only active when they receive or emit spikes, which can contribute to energy efficiency over time.
- Hardware systems that implement neuronal and synaptic computations through spike-driven communication may enable energy-efficient machine intelligence [30]. Compared with the traditional neuron model, the spiking neuron model has lower power consumption and is also suitable for parallel computing. Therefore, using a spiking neural hardware system can speed up the computation power.



Figure 1. An SNN-based SHM system

#### 152 *3.2. Feature extraction*

153 Considering different sensors used in the structure, the selection of damage-sensitive features is 154 generally based on multiple tests, so as to determine which features can indicate the health state of 155 the structure accurately and are robust to the influence of the structural conditions and environments. 156 These features can be extracted from the time domain (e.g. mean, variance, peak to peak amplitude, 157 Zero crossing rate, energy, maximum amplitude, etc.), and frequency domain such as Fourier 158 transform. Mean, variance and zero crossing rate are defined as:

$$mean(a) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i \tag{1}$$

$$variance(a) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (a_i - mean(a))^2$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

$$zcr(a) = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \Pi\{a_i a_{i-1} < 0\}, \ \Pi\{A\} = \begin{cases} 1 & A \text{ is true} \\ 0 & A \text{ is faulse} \end{cases}$$
(3)

where *a* is the input sensor data, *N* is the number of the samples. After feature extraction, supervised
or unsupervised learning methods can be used for data analysis and structure health status
classification.

#### 162 3.3. Structure damage classification

Temporal coding schemes such as Address event representation (AER), Bens spike algorithm (BSA) and step forward (SF) are used to represent information as an input to SNNs. Figure 2 shows different encoding results for the same temporal input data. The spike trains will carry key information of the original signals. Different spike encoding algorithms have distinct characteristics when representing input data. BSA, shown in Figure 2 (c), is suitable for high frequency signals, so there are few spikes encode from the low frequency signals, while AER and SF are better to represent the signal intensity.

Different spiking neuron models can be used to model spike generations at different description
levels of biology [9], such as leaky integrate-and-fire (LIF), Izhikevich and Hodgkin–Huxley. The LIF
neuron is one of the simplified models, which can be modelled as:

$$\tau_{\rm m} \frac{dV_{mem}}{dt} = -(V_{mem} - V_{eq}) + RI^{ext} \tag{4}$$

173 where  $V_{mem}$  is the membrane potential of the neuron,  $I^{ext}$  is the external driving current,  $\tau_m$  is the

- 174 membrane time constant, R is the input resistance, and  $V_{eq}$  is the equilibrium potential of the leakage
- 175 conductance.



Figure 2. Spike trains generated by three different coding schemes. (a) Data stream of a channel; (b)
Encoding with AER; (c) Encoding with BSA; (d) Encoding with SF. Note that spikes in (b), (d) are
positive or negative, but there are only positive spikes in (c).

Figure 3 shows the state of the neuron updated by the membrane potential under the synaptic
stimuli. When the membrane potential of the neuron crosses the threshold, the neuron then generates
an output spike, which acts as an input stimulus for subsequent layer neurons.



Figure 3. SNN neuron and computation model

184 SNN can be trained using unsupervised and supervised approaches. An unsupervised SNN
185 using the Spike Timing Dependent Plasticity (STDP) learning rule was demonstrated with a
186 competitive accuracy [31]. The weight update in STDP learning rule [32] can be described as:

$$\Delta w = \begin{cases} \alpha_+ e^{-\Delta t/\tau_+} & \Delta t \ge 0\\ \alpha_- e^{\Delta t/\tau_-} & \Delta t < 0 \end{cases}$$
(5)

187 where  $\Delta w$  is the weight change rate,  $\tau_+$  and  $\tau_-$  are STDP time constants,  $\alpha_+(>0)$  and  $\alpha_-(<0)$ 188 are constant coefficients, and  $\Delta t$  is the time difference between a post-neuron and a pre-neuron 189 spike. When  $\Delta t \ge 0$ , the synaptic plasticity is a long-term potentiation (LTP) process; otherwise it's a 190 long-term depression process. Two different SNN structures are adopted in this study, where one is 191 a fully connected SNN, and the other one is a model based on NeuCube [27].

192 For performance comparisons, commonly used classification algorithms of K-means and ANNs 193 are also used in this work for benchmarking. A supervised learning algorithm of ANN is used in this 194 work, where the network weights are adjusted in every iteration by comparing difference between 195 actual output and the targeted output. A multi-layer feedforward architecture with input layer for 196 sensory input, hidden layer for learning and an output layer to generate spiking output. The number 197 of input neurons equals to the number of sensors whereas output layer neurons represent number of 198 structure level classifiers. For K-means, the unsupervised K-means algorithm for SHM can be 199 described with the following steps where k is the number of desired clusters: (a). Given features' 200 matrix as input, find the k centroids (random or select); (b). Calculate the distances between features' 201 vectors and centroids; (c). Group the features' vectors based on their intra-cluster distance; and (d). 202 Iterate the algorithm and update the centroids for a better clustering result.

#### 203 4. Experiments

This section explains experimental setup to generate damage level report for SHM system.
 Furthermore, this case study analyses and compares results of three classification methods, K-means,
 ANN and SNN to identify best performing SHM system.

#### 207 4.1. Dataset

This case study used a full-scale seven-story reinforced concrete building dataset for experimentation [1]. The building is installed with 45 accelerometers operating at sampling rate of 210 240Hz. A sequence of dynamic tests was applied to the building in several months, including ambient 211 vibration tests, free vibration tests, and forced vibration tests using the UCSD-NEES shake table. A 212 0.03g root-mean-square (RMS) acceleration white noise base excitation and an ambient vibration tests 213 were performed on the structure before and between earthquake shake-table tests. For 45 channels, signal to noise ratios (SNR) are -36.97db~22.81db. The building was damaged progressively through 214 215 several historical earthquake ground motions, and damage states of the building can be described as 216 shown in Table 1. In 1st to 3rd earthquakes, the roof drift ratio, defined as the ratio between the 217 maximum lateral displacement at the roof level of the building and the height of the roof relative to 218 the base of the building, was measured as 0.28, 0.75 and 0.83%, respectively. The maximum tensile 219 strain in the longitudinal reinforcing steel was measured close to the base of the wall as 0.61, 1.73 and 220 1.78%, respectively [1].

2	ſ	1
<i>L</i> .	L	L

Table 1. Dynamic tests used in this study

Damage state	Description
State-0	8 min white noise base excitation process & 3 min ambient vibration
State-1	After the 1 <sup>st</sup> earthquake excitation, with 8 min white noise base excitation process & 3 min ambient vibration
State-2	After the 2 <sup>nd</sup> earthquake excitation, with 8 min white noise base excitation process & 3 min ambient vibration
State-3	After the 3 <sup>rd</sup> earthquake excitation, with 8 min white noise base excitation process & 3 min ambient vibration

# 222 4.2. Feature extraction

The raw data collected from 45 channels in the building at different health states are shown in Figure 4. Raw accelerometer data of different structure states show different features, such as maximum amplitude and mean value etc. By considering the building physical movements in different states [33], the deformation degree of buildings can result in large differences in the mean and fluctuation range of accelerometer data. Based on these analysis, zero-crossing rate, mean and variance are used for feature extractions.





Figure 4. Row data from 45-channel accelerometers

After the data has been pre-processed, three methods (including zero-crossing rate, variance and mean value) are used to extract data in order to select the damage-sensitive features. The features are presented in Figure 5. The zero-crossing rate, which is the rate of sign-changes along a signal, is weak to separate the different damage states (indicated by colors). Among them, calculating mean value of sensor data has the potential to differentiate the four damage states.



	Cluster number Distance Initial centroid positions Replicates
244	Table 2. Parameters in k-means
242 243	A 50 step-length sliding window with 100 sample points is used to get more mean samples, which are used as input for the k-means algorithm. K-means parameters are shown in table 2.
241	4.3.1. K-means
239 240	For different classification methods, 70%~80% samples (including mean samples and raw data) are used for training, and the rest for validation and testing.
238	4.3. SHM classification results
237	Figure 5. Results of the features extracted from raw data

Parameters setting	Cluster number	Distance	Initial centroid positions	Replicates
	4	L1 distance	Random	8

It can be seen from Figure 6 (a) that using the mean value of the data as an input of the k-means algorithm can classify the health status of the building. The dots represent historical records and the circles represent new data inputs. The classification accuracy of structural health status is 100%. In Figure 6 (b), the raw data are used directly as the input of the k-means algorithm. In the case of overlapped data, including State-0, State-1 and State-3, the k-means algorithm cannot separate these data. There are 45 channels in total and only two of them are used for the demonstration in Figure 6.



251 Figure 6. SHM classification using K-means. (a) Clustering of mean samples; (b) Clustering of raw data.

By incorporating hardware design process [34] to implement K-means, the input data dimension area will be about 3.46 **mm**<sup>2</sup> and 1.23 **mm**<sup>2</sup> for parallel mode and multiplexed architecture respectively.

# 255 4.3.2. ANN

The ANN with 45 input neurons, 20 hidden neurons and 4 output neurons can get similar accuracy with different input samples (mean samples and raw data). Table 3 shows that ANN slightly confuse between State-0 and State-1 when trained on raw data samples. The hardware area of the neuron is estimated about 1.347 **mm**<sup>2</sup> based on a 45nm CMOS technology [35]. It can also be calculated from [36] that the total hardware area of ANN is >0.798 **mm**<sup>2</sup>.

# 261

Table 3. Classification matching matrix with different input samples

10	of	16

		· •		
True label Predict label	State-0	State-1	State-2	State-3
State-0	100%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
State-1	0.0%	100%	0.0%	0.0%
State-2	0.0%	0.0%	100%	0.0%
State-3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100%
True Ishel		( <b>b</b> ) Raw data		
Predict label	State-0	State-1	State-2	State-3
State-0	99.7%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
State-1	0.9%	99.1%	0.0%	0.0%
State-2	0.0%	0.0%	100%	0.0%
State-3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100%

(a) Mean samples

# 264 4.3.3. NeuCube

In NeuCube, raw data samples are fed into a dynamic SNN. One channel of an input sample was shown in Figure 2(a). Table 4 shows network parameters used by NeuCube. The model is established with 45 input neurons, 50 hidden neurons and output neurons (the number of samples). Due to the dynamic structure, the overall area overhead of NeuCube SNN is about 4.655×10<sup>-3</sup>mm<sup>2</sup> that is calculated according to neuronal and synaptic hardware area estimation proposed in [37,38]. Results shows that overall classification accuracy of NeuCube SNN is 98.9% (as shown in Figure 7).

27	1
21	T

262

263

Table 4. NeuCube Model Parameter Setting
--

Paramet	Parameter Description		Value
STDP Ra	ate	Defines the learning rate of the STDP learning	0.01
Firing three	shold	Defines the threshold membrane potential beyond which the neuron fires a spike.	0.5
deSNN	Mod	The weight is calculated as a modulation factor (the variable mod) to the power of the order of the incoming spikes.	0.55-0.6
Parameters	Drift	Initial connection weights are further modified to reflect the following spikes, using a drift parameter.	0.015





Figure 7. Classification result by using NeuCube (raw data)

274

280

Table 5 shows breakdown of performance accuracy for classification of damage states observed by NeuCube. Enough samples will contribute to higher probability of making correct decision about the damage states. As a comparison, mean samples are input into NeuCube with the same parameter setting above. The accuracy is not as stable as raw data input, as NeuCube is more sensitive to temporal raw data [39].

Гable 5.	Accuracy	of	each	class
----------	----------	----	------	-------

Damage state	Accuracy
State-0	100%
State-1	100%
State-2	100%
State-3	98.08%

#### 281 4.3.4. Customized SNN

A customized fully connected SNN with LIF neurons and SpikeProp as learning algorithm is developed for the SHM classification based on previous work [40]. The three-layered fully connected SNN is designed and modelled in MATLAB. Table 6 shows network topology, size and hardware area of LIF based SNN model. Mean sensory samples are fed through 45 spiking input neurons to propagate spike towards 10 hidden neurons in order to generate 4 state output at 1 output neuron. The estimated hardware area of the SNN chip shown in Table 6 is calculated using [37,38].

288

 Table 6. SNN setting and result (mean samples)

Network	Topology	Multiplier of synapses	Total neurons	Total synapses
SNN	[45:10:1]	10	56	460

Area of neurons	Area of synapses	Area overhead	Overall Accuracy	Number of iterations
E 04×10-4 mm²	1.10×10-3	$1(1,10^{3},,2)$	99.18%	2500
3.04×10 <sup>-4</sup> <b>mm</b> ²	mm <sup>2</sup>	1.01×10 <sup>-0</sup> <b>mm</b> <sup>2</sup>	99.46%	3000

Damage states are encoded with time of spike of output neuron (SNN output). Experimentation results shows the classification accuracy using mean samples input. Results shows in Table 7 that proposed customized SNN classifies structural damage with 99.18% accuracy for mean dataset. Moreover, the overall accuracy can be higher to 99.46% by increasing number of iterations, as compared to 98.9% NeuCube average accuracy for raw sensory input.

0	O	Λ
L	フ	4

 Table 7. Accuracy of each class

Damage state	SNN output	Accu	ıracy
State-0	16	100%	100%
State-1	18	95.67%	97%
State-2	20	100%	100%
State-3	22	99.8%	99.9%
Overall a	accuracy	99.18%	99.46%

### 295 4.3.5. Discussions

296 A summary of results using K-means, ANN and SNN in SHM applications, is shown in Table 8. 297 ANN used raw data and feature samples as input, and there is little difference in classification 298 accuracy. The final decision making can be the same within a certain confidence interval. Thus, if 299 ANN combines the feature extraction into the learning process, it improves the computing speed, 300 and also reduces the hardware consumption. The structural damage occurrence detection can be 301 assessed as health (State-0) and damage (State-1, State-2 & State-3), then the sensitivity (true positive 302 rate) and specificity (true negative rate) of three typical methods can be obtained with the input of 303 raw data samples, as shown in Table 9. Compared with other two algorithms, SNN can accurately 304 determine whether the structure is healthy. Meanwhile, the hardware area consumption of SNN is 305 much less than ANN, the classification accuracy has a little difference of 0.9%, and the sensitivity and 306 specificity are higher. In summary, the proposed method based on SNNs apparently achieves a good 307 trade-off between classification, reliability, and hardware resource consumption.

Table 8. Performance comparison of three methods in SHM application

Mathad	Classification accuracy		Technology	Hardware area
wiethou	Raw data	Feature		Thatuwate area
K-means	80%	100%	TSMC 90nm	1.23 <b>mm</b> <sup>2</sup> ~3.46 <b>mm</b> <sup>2</sup>
ANN	99.8%	100%	CMOS 45nm	1.347 <b>mm</b> <sup>2</sup> (neurons only)
SNN	98.9%	99.46%	CMOS 90nm	4.655 ×10 <sup>-3</sup> <b>mm</b> <sup>2</sup> (NeuCube)
				1.61 ×10 <sup>-3</sup> <b>mm</b> <sup>2</sup> (Customized SNN)

Method	Sensitivity	Specificity	
K-means	92.97%	73.87%	
ANN	99.94%	99.15%	
SNN	100%	100%	

#### 310 5. Conclusions

311 The structural health state detection in this study involves the feature extraction from 312 periodically observation measurements of a structure, where these features are analysed to determine 313 the current health state of the structure. Based on the detected states, appropriate repair and 314 strengthening of structures can keep the structure operational and longeval. Through the analysis of 315 ZCR, Mean and Variance of the raw sensor data, it is found by experiments that mean value is more 316 sensitive to the structure state. Therefore, mean values and raw data were used as inputs, and several 317 classification methods, including K-means, conventional ANN and SNN, were used to detect the 318 health state of the structure. Analysis and comparison results show that the SNN algorithm proposed 319 in this study has advantages including (a). High classification accuracy can be obtained by directly 320 using the raw data as input without manual feature extraction; (b). The small part of misclassification 321 (1.92%) only exists in State-3, where the output health states can be clearly distinguished; (c). The 322 hardware area of SNN is lower compared to ANN or K-means. In summary, the proposed SNN 323 hardware solution for SHM has a stronger survivability and reliability than conventional approaches. 324 Further work will further optimize the SNN for SHM systems from two aspects including a). to 325 develop multi-layer (deep) SNNs to improve the accuracy, and b). to further analyze the sensor data 326 to enhance the system functionalities, such as reporting the location of damage or life forecast of the 327 structure.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Jim Harkin and Junxiu Liu; Methodology, Jim Harkin and Junxiu Liu; Investigation, George Martin; Software, Lili Pang and Aqib Javed; Validation, Lili Pang, Aqib Javed, Malachy McElholm and Liam McDaid; Formal analysis, Malachy McElholm; Writing—original draft preparation, Lili Pang; Writing—review and editing, Lili Pang, Junxiu Liu, Jim Harkin, George Martin, Malachy McElholm, Aqib Javed and Liam McDaid. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

334 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### 335 References

- Moaveni, B.; He, X.; Conte, J.P.; Restrepo, J.I.; Panagiotou, M. System identification study of a 7-story full scale building slice tested on the UCSD-NEES shake table. *J. Struct. Eng.* 2011, doi:10.1061/(ASCE)ST.1943-
- 338 541X.0000300.
- 339 2. Park, S.W.; Park, H.S.; Kim, J.H.; Adeli, H. 3D displacement measurement model for health monitoring of 340 structures using a motion capture system. Meas. J. Int. Meas. Confed. 2015. 341 doi:10.1016/j.measurement.2014.09.063.
- 342 3. Hernandez, E.; Roohi, M.; Rosowsky, D. Estimation of element-by-element demand-to-capacity ratios in
  instrumented SMRF buildings using measured seismic response. *Earthq. Eng. Struct. Dyn.* 2018,
  doi:10.1002/eqe.3099.
- 345 4. Hsu, T.Y.; Yin, R.C.; Wu, Y.M. Evaluating post-earthquake building safety using economical MEMS
  346 seismometers. *Sensors (Switzerland)* 2018, doi:10.3390/s18051437.
- 347 5. Abdo, M. Structural Health Monitoring, History, Applications and Future. A Review Book; 2014; ISBN 978-1348 941926-07-9.

- Liu, J.; McDaid, L.J.; Harkin, J.; Karim, S.; Johnson, A.P.; Millard, A.G.; Hilder, J.; Halliday, D.M.; Tyrrell,
   A.M.; Timmis, J. Exploring Self-Repair in a Coupled Spiking Astrocyte Neural Network. *IEEE Trans. Neural* Networks Learn. Syst. 2019, doi:10.1109/TNNLS.2018.2854291.
- Liu, J.; McDaid, L.J.; Harkin, J.; Wade, J.J.; Karim, S.; Johnson, A.P.; Millard, A.G.; Halliday, D.M.; Tyrrell,
   A.M.; Timmis, J. Self-repairing learning rule for spiking astrocyte-neuron networks. In Proceedings of the
   Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture
   Notes in Bioinformatics); 2017.
- Lee, J.H.; Delbruck, T.; Pfeiffer, M. Training deep spiking neural networks using backpropagation. *Front. Neurosci.* 2016, doi:10.3389/fnins.2016.00508.
- Roy, K.; Jaiswal, A.; Panda, P. Towards spike-based machine intelligence with neuromorphic computing.
   *Nature* 2019, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1677-2.
- Bull, L.A.; Rogers, T.J.; Wickramarachchi, C.; Cross, E.J.; Worden, K.; Dervilis, N. Probabilistic active
  learning: An online framework for structural health monitoring. *Mech. Syst. Signal Process.* 2019, doi:10.1016/j.ymssp.2019.106294.
- 363 11. Eftekhar Azam, S.; Rageh, A.; Linzell, D. Damage detection in structural systems utilizing artificial neural
   364 networks and proper orthogonal decomposition. *Struct. Control Heal. Monit.* 2019, doi:10.1002/stc.2288.
- Worden, K.; Manson, G. The application of machine learning to structural health monitoring. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 2007, doi:10.1098/rsta.2006.1938.
- 367 13. Amezquita-Sanchez, J.P.; Adeli, H. Feature extraction and classification techniques for health monitoring
  368 of structures. *Sci. Iran.* 2015.

369 14. Bouzenad, A.E.; Mountassir, M. El; Yaacoubi, S.; Dahmene, F.; Koabaz, M.; Buchheit, L.; Ke, W. A semi370 supervised based k-means algorithm for optimal guided waves structural health monitoring: A case study.
371 *Inventions* 2019, doi:10.3390/inventions4010017.

- 372 15. Amezquita-Sanchez, J.P.; Valtierra-Rodriguez, M.; Adeli, H. Wireless smart sensors for monitoring the
  373 health condition of civil infrastructure. *Sci. Iran.* 2018.
- Karayannis, C.G.; Chalioris, C.E.; Angeli, G.M.; Papadopoulos, N.A.; Favvata, M.J.; Providakis, C.P.
  Experimental damage evaluation of reinforced concrete steel bars using piezoelectric sensors. *Constr. Build. Mater.* 2016, doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.12.019.
- 377 17. Oh, B.K.; Kim, K.J.; Kim, Y.; Park, H.S.; Adeli, H. Evolutionary learning based sustainable strain sensing
  378 model for structural health monitoring of high-rise buildings. *Appl. Soft Comput. J.* 2017,
  379 doi:10.1016/j.asoc.2017.05.029.
- Jang, S.; Jo, H.; Cho, S.; Mechitov, K.; Rice, J.A.; Sim, S.H.; Jung, H.J.; Yun, C.B.; Spencer, B.F.; Agha, G.
  Structural health monitoring of a cable-stayed bridge using smart sensor technology: Deployment and
  evaluation. *Smart Struct. Syst.* 2010, doi:10.12989/sss.2010.6.5\_6.439.
- Wang, J.F.; Xu, Z.Y.; Fan, X.L.; Lin, J.P. Thermal Effects on Curved Steel Box Girder Bridges and Their
  Countermeasures. J. Perform. Constr. Facil. 2017, doi:10.1061/(ASCE)CF.1943-5509.0000952.

- 385 20. Mesquita, E.; Arêde, A.; Pinto, N.; Antunes, P.; Varum, H. Long-term monitoring of a damaged historic
  386 structure using a wireless sensor network. *Eng. Struct.* 2018, doi:10.1016/j.engstruct.2018.02.013.
- Notley, S.; Magdon-Ismail, M. Examining the Use of Neural Networks for Feature Extraction: A
   Comparative Analysis using Deep Learning, Support Vector Machines, and K-Nearest Neighbor Classifiers
   2018.
- Zhang, Y.Z.; Hu, X.F.; Zhou, Y.; Duan, S.K. A Novel Reinforcement Learning Algorithm Based on
  Multilayer Memristive Spiking Neural Network With Applications. *Zidonghua Xuebao/Acta Autom. Sin.*2019, doi:10.16383/j.aas.c180685.
- 393 23. Naeem, M.; McDaid, L.J.; Harkin, J.; Wade, J.J.; Marsland, J. On the Role of Astroglial Syncytia in Self394 Repairing Spiking Neural Networks. *IEEE Trans. Neural Networks Learn. Syst.* 2015,
  395 doi:10.1109/TNNLS.2014.2382334.
- 396 24. Gonzalez, I.; Khouri, E.; Gentile, C.; Karoumi, R. Novel AI-based railway SHM, its behaviour on simulated
  397 data versus field deployment. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of the 7th Asia-Pacific Workshop on
  398 Structural Health Monitoring, APWSHM 2018; 2018.
- 399 25. Medhi, M.; Dandautiya, A.; Raheja, J.L. Real-Time Video Surveillance Based Structural Health Monitoring
   400 of Civil Structures Using Artificial Neural Network. *J. Nondestruct. Eval.* 2019, doi:10.1007/s10921-019-0601 401 x.
- 402 26. de Oliveira, M.A.; Araujo, N.V.S.; da Silva, R.N.; da Silva, T.I.; Epaarachchi, J. Use of Savitzky-Golay filter
  403 for performances improvement of SHM systems based on neural networks and distributed PZT sensors.
  404 Sensors (Switzerland) 2018, doi:10.3390/s18010152.
- 405 27. Kasabov, N.K.; Doborjeh, M.G.; Doborjeh, Z.G. Mapping, learning, visualization, classification, and
  406 understanding of fMRI Data in the NeuCube evolving spatiotemporal data machine of spiking neural
  407 networks. *IEEE Trans. Neural Networks Learn. Syst.* 2017, doi:10.1109/TNNLS.2016.2612890.
- 408 28. Kasabov, N.; Dhoble, K.; Nuntalid, N.; Indiveri, G. Dynamic evolving spiking neural networks for on-line
  409 spatio- and spectro-temporal pattern recognition. *Neural Networks* 2013, doi:10.1016/j.neunet.2012.11.014.
- 410 29. Ghosh-Dastidar, S.; Adeli, H. Spiking neural networks. Int. J. Neural Syst. 2009,
   411 doi:10.1142/S0129065709002002.
- 30. Benjamin, B.V.; Gao, P.; McQuinn, E.; Choudhary, S.; Chandrasekaran, A.R.; Bussat, J.M.; Alvarez-Icaza,
  R.; Arthur, J. V.; Merolla, P.A.; Boahen, K. Neurogrid: A mixed-analog-digital multichip system for largescale neural simulations. *Proc. IEEE* 2014, doi:10.1109/JPROC.2014.2313565.
- 415 31. Diehl, P.U.; Cook, M. Unsupervised learning of digit recognition using spike-timing-dependent plasticity.
   416 *Front. Comput. Neurosci.* 2015, doi:10.3389/fncom.2015.00099.
- 417 32. Higgins, I.; Stringer, S.; Schnupp, J. Unsupervised learning of temporal features for word categorization in
  418 a spiking neural network model of the auditory brain. *PLoS One* 2017, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0180174.
- 419 33. Moaveni, B.; He, X.; Conte, J.P.; Restrepo, J.I. Damage identification study of a seven-story full-scale
  420 building slice tested on the UCSD-NEES shake table. *Struct. Saf.* 2010, doi:10.1016/j.strusafe.2010.03.006.

- 421 34. Chen, T.W.; Chien, S.Y. Bandwidth adaptive hardware architecture of K-Means clustering for intelligent
  422 video processing. In Proceedings of the ICASSP, IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and
  423 Signal Processing Proceedings; 2009.
- 424 35. Sun, Y.; Cheng, A.C. Machine learning on-a-chip: A high-performance low-power reusable neuron
  425 architecture for artificial neural networks in ECG classifications. *Comput. Biol. Med.* 2012,
  426 doi:10.1016/j.compbiomed.2012.04.007.
- Wang, L.; Liu, S.; Lu, C.; Zhang, L.; Xiao, J.; Wang, J. Stable matching scheduler for single-ISA
  heterogeneous multi-core processors. In Proceedings of the Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including
  subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics); 2015.
- 430 37. Harkin, J.; Morgan, F.; McDaid, L.; Hall, S.; McGinley, B.; Cawley, S. A Reconfigurable and Biologically
  431 Inspired Paradigm for Computation Using Network-On-Chip and Spiking Neural Networks. *Int. J.*432 *Reconfigurable Comput.* 2009, doi:10.1155/2009/908740.
- 433 38. Liu, J.; Harkin, J.; McElholm, M.; McDaid, L.; Jimenez-Fernandez, A.; Linares-Barranco, A. Case study: Bio434 inspired self-adaptive strategy for spike-based PID controller. In Proceedings of the Proceedings IEEE
  435 International Symposium on Circuits and Systems; 2015.
- 436 39. Kasabov, N.; Scott, N.M.; Tu, E.; Marks, S.; Sengupta, N.; Capecci, E.; Othman, M.; Doborjeh, M.G.; Murli, 437 N.; Hartono, R.; et al. Evolving spatio-temporal data machines based on the NeuCube neuromorphic 438 Design methodology selected framework: and applications. Neural Networks 2016, 439 doi:10.1016/j.neunet.2015.09.011.
- 440 40. Javed, A.; Harkin, J.; McDaid, L.J.; Liu, J. Exploring Spiking Neural Networks for Prediction of Traffic
  441 Congestion in Networks-on-Chip.; 2020; pp. 1–5.
- 442



© 2020 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

443