

Available online at: www.mbai.org.in

doi:10.6024/jmbai.2020.62.1.2145-07

# Report on the landing of the critically endangered Rhinobatid *Acroteriobatus variegatus* (Nair & Lal Mohan, 1973) with some insights into its reproductive biology

Livi Wilson<sup>1\*</sup>, P. U. Zacharia<sup>1</sup>, Shoba Joe Kizhakudan<sup>2</sup>, T. M. Najmudeen<sup>1</sup>, B. Santhosh<sup>3</sup>, Ambarish P. Gop<sup>3</sup>, M. Radhakrishnan<sup>1</sup>, K. T. S. Sunil<sup>1</sup> and S. Pakkri Muthu<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>ICAR-Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Kochi-682 018, Kerala, India. <sup>2</sup>Research Centre of ICAR-Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Chennai-600 028, Tamil Nadu, India. <sup>3</sup>Research Centre of ICAR-Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Vizhinjam-695 001, Kerala, India

\*Correspondence e-mail: liviwilson@gmail.com

Received: 05 Dec 2019 Accepted: 20 July 2020 Published: 25 July 2020

#### **Original Article**

# Abstract

A single specimen of a gravid female of the stripenose guitarfish, *Acroteriobatus variegatus* was landed as by-catch by a tuna hook and line at Sakthikulangara, Kerala from a depth of 110-130 m. The species is categorized as 'critically endangered' by IUCN. The morphometric characteristics of *A. variegatus* are described for the first time since its original description by Nair and Lal Mohan (1973) from Gulf of Mannar. The present study provides preliminary insights into its reproductive biology. The mode of reproduction in *A. variegatus* is aplacental yolk sac viviparity with low uterine fecundity.

*Keywords*: Stripenose guitarfish, morphometric characteristics, aplacental yolk sac viviparity, low uterine fecundity

# Introduction

Guitarfishes are mainly landed along the Kerala coast as bycatch in commercial fishing activities like trawling and gill netting, as well as in artisanal gears. The estimated landing of guitarfishes along the Kerala coast in 2019 was 32.8 t which recorded a major slump of 72.20% compared to the previous year. More than 50% of the species of elasmobranchs within the Arabian Sea region were considered as threatened due to fishing pressure from the artisanal and industrial sector with bycatch considered to be the biggest menace (Jabado *et al.*, 2017). Unfortunately, lack of species-specific information and an increase in fishing effort within this region is a cause of concern for fishery management (Jabado *et al.*, 2017). Limited species-specific reporting combined with unregulated fishing has led to the depletion of many chondrichthyan fishes throughout the worlds' oceans (Dulvy and Reynolds, 2002; Sulikowski *et al.*, 2007) and continues to hamper the progress of management plans (Hoff and Musick, 1990; Leaman, 1991; Munk, 2001).

The genus Acroteriobatus, earlier known as Rhinobatos, is represented in the western Indian Ocean by eight species (Last et al., 2016a): Acroteriobatus annulatus (Müller and Henle, 1841), A. blochii (Müller and Henle, 1841), A. leucospilus (Norman, 1926), A. ocellatus (Norman, 1926), A. salalah (Randall & Compagno, 1995), A. variegatus (Nair and Lal Mohan, 1973) A. zanzibarensis (Norman, 1926) and A. omanensis Last, Henderson and Naylor, 2016. The stripenose guitarfish, Acroteriobatus variegatus is a small to medium-sized guitarfish that occurs on the continental shelf at depths between 10 and 40 m along the Northern Indian Ocean, commonly occurring in the south-west and south-east coast of India (Last et al., 2016b; Kizhakudan et al., 2018). Even though the habitat reported

is primarily inshore, the holotype specimen was reportedly collected from 366 m (Nair and Lal Mohan, 1973). There is also some information that relates its association with the coral reef environment (Jabado et al., 2017). Owing to intensive fishing pressure and decline in the quality of coral reefs, a population slump is expected over the next three generations (2017-2032) which led to its designation by the IUCN (International Union for the Conservation of Nature) as critically endangered. It is the only Rhinobatid species endemic to the Arabian Sea region which is considered as critically endangered (Jabado et al., 2017). Since its original description from Gulf of Mannar (Nair and Lal Mohan, 1973), information pertaining to this species has been limited to systematic, distribution studies and assessing conservation status (Last et al., 2016; Jabado et al., 2017; Kizhakudan et al., 2018; Fernando et al., 2019). This article describes some reproductive characteristics of the species.

# Material and methods

A single gravid female specimen of the stripenose guitarfish, A. variegatus (Nair and Lal Mohan, 1973) was collected from the Sakthikulangara Fishing Harbour (08° 56' N; 76° 32' E), Kerala in November 2019. It was landed as by-catch from a tuna hook and line, operated at a depth of 110-130 m. The guitarfish measured 672 mm in total length (TL), 202 mm in disc width (DW) and weighed 1.12 kg. The morphometric measurements of the pregnant female were measured with a Mitutoyo Absolute digital vernier caliper with an accuracy of 0.01 mm. Morphometric characteristics were compared with the published literature of the holotype specimen (Accession No.GA.11.14.2.3) from the Gulf of Mannar (Nair and Lal Mohan, 1973). The ovaries and uteri were removed and embryos from the uteri were preserved in 10% formaldehyde for further studies. Fecundity was estimated from the number of mature ova and also the number of embryos present (Devadoss, 1998). Morphometric characteristics of the recovered embryos were studied. The embryos have been deposited in the National Marine Biodiversity Referral Museum at CMFRI, Cochin, India (accession number CMFRI. DNR. No.MISC.39).

#### **Results and discussion**

The stripenose guitarfish, *A. variegatus* is identified by the variegated markings (yellow with bluish lines) in the pectoral and pelvic fin margins with a characteristically flattened wedge-shaped disc (Fig. 1). The pale translucent snout is triangular with yellow bars and the anterior nasal flaps extend well into the internasal space. Ventral surface is pale with a distinct pigmentation on the snout tip. The dorsal fins are broadly spaced and the first and second dorsal fin bases are of equal size. Dorsal fins are subtriangular in shape and interdorsal space is about 2.4 times the length of first dorsal fin base. Eye diameter



Fig. 1. Dorsal view of A. variegatus (Nair & Lal Mohan, 1973)

Table 1. Morphometric comparison (% of total length in mm) of *A. variegatus* (Nair & Lal Mohan, 1973) captured off Sakthikulangara, Kerala (present study) with the holotype specimen from Gulf of Mannar (mm)

Total length 672 645   Disc width 30.06 30.8   Pre-orbital length 13.01 12.8   Orbit- horizontal diameter 2.68 2.6   Corneal eye length 1.19 -   Inter-orbital space 3.72 3.2   Pre-spiracular length 16.14 -   Spiracle length 1.2 1.2   Spiracle width 1.79 1.9   Inter-spiracular space 4.76 4.8   Eye spiracle space 0.89 -   Nostrils length 2.81 2.8   Inter-narial space 2.68 -   Anterior nasal flap length 1.34 -   Distance between inner extension of flaps - 1   Nostril to snout edge 12.65 -   Mouth-breadth 5.95 6.2   First gill slit height 1.34 1.2   Third gill slit height 1.48 1.4   Fourth gill slit height 1.48 1.4   Fourth gill slit height 0	Parameter	Present study Arabian Sea (off Sakthikulangara)	(Nair & Lal Mohan, 1973) Gulf of Mannar	
Disc width 30.06 30.8   Pre-orbital length 13.01 12.8   Orbit- horizontal diameter 2.68 2.6   Corneal eye length 1.19 -   Inter-orbital space 3.72 3.2   Pre-spiracular length 16.14 -   Spiracle length 1.2 1.2   Spiracle length 1.79 1.9   Inter-spiracular space 0.89 -   Nostrils length 2.81 2.8   Eye spiracle space 0.89 -   Nostrils length 1.34 -   Distance between inner - -   extension of flaps - 1   Nostril to snout edge 1.2 1.4   Second gill slit height 1.34 1.2   First gill slit height 1.34 1.2   First gill slit height 1.2 1.4   Second gill slit height 1.34 1.2   First gill slit height 1.34 1.2   First dorsal length 6.71 <	Total length	672	645	
Pre-orbital length 13.01 12.8   Orbit- horizontal diameter 2.68 2.6   Corneal eye length 1.19 -   Inter-orbital space 3.72 3.2   Pre-spiracular length 16.14 -   Spiracle length 1.2 1.2   Spiracle width 1.79 1.9   Inter-spiracular space 4.76 4.8   Eye spiracle space 0.89 -   Nostrils length 2.81 2.8   Inter-narial space 2.68 -   Anterior nasal flap length 1.34 -   Distance between inner - 1   extension of flaps - 1   Nostril to snout edge 12.65 -   Mouth-breadth 5.95 6.2   First gill slit height 1.34 1.2   Third gill slit height 1.34 1.2   Third gill slit height 1.34 1.2   First gill slit height 1.34 1.2   First dorsal length 60.71	Disc width	30.06	30.8	
Orbit- horizontal diameter 2.68 2.6   Corneal eye length 1.19 -   Inter-orbital space 3.72 3.2   Pre-spiracular length 16.14 -   Spiracle length 1.2 1.2   Spiracle width 1.79 1.9   Inter-spiracular space 4.76 4.8   Eye spiracle space 0.89 -   Nostrils length 2.81 2.8   Inter-narial space 2.68 -   Anterior nasal flap length 1.34 -   Distance between inner extension of flaps - 1   Nostril to snout edge 12.65 -   Mouth-breadth 5.95 6.2   First gill slit height 1.2 1.4   Second gill slit height 1.34 1.2   Third gill slit height 1.4 1.2   First gill slit height 1.4 1.2   First gill slit height 1.4 1.2   First dirsal fin length 9.52 7   First dorsal fin length<	Pre-orbital length	13.01	12.8	
Corneal eye length 1.19 -   Inter-orbital space 3.72 3.2   Pre-spiracular length 16.14 -   Spiracle length 1.2 1.2   Spiracle width 1.79 1.9   Inter-spiracular space 4.76 4.8   Eye spiracle space 0.89 -   Nostrils length 2.81 2.8   Inter-narial space 2.68 -   Anterior nasal flap length 1.34 -   Distance between inner extension of flaps - 1   Nostril to snout edge 12.65 -   Mouth-breadth 5.95 6.2   First gill slit height 1.2 1.4   Second gill slit height 1.34 1.2   Third gill slit height 1.34 1.2   First gill slit height 1.4 Second gill slit height 1.4   Fourth gill slit height 1.04 0.9 Prefirst dorsal length 60.71   First dorsal fin length 9.52 7 First dorsal fin base 4.7	Orbit- horizontal diameter	2.68	2.6	
Inter-orbital space 3.72 3.2   Pre-spiracular length 16.14 -   Spiracle length 1.2 1.2   Spiracle width 1.79 1.9   Inter-spiracular space 4.76 4.8   Eye spiracle space 0.89 -   Nostrils length 2.81 2.8   Inter-narial space 2.68 -   Anterior nasal flap length 1.34 -   Distance between inner extension of flaps - 1   Nostril to snout edge 12.65 -   Mouth-breadth 5.95 6.2   First gill slit height 1.34 1.2   Third gill slit height 1.34 1.2   Second gill slit height 1.4 Second gill slit height 1.4   Fourth gill slit height 1.34 1.2 1.4   First dorsal length 60.71 - -   First dorsal length 9.52 7 -   First dorsal fin length 9.52 7 -   First dors	Corneal eye length	1.19	-	
Pre-spiracular length 16.14 -   Spiracle length 1.2 1.2   Spiracle width 1.79 1.9   Inter-spiracular space 4.76 4.8   Eye spiracle space 0.89 -   Nostrils length 2.81 2.8   Inter-narial space 2.68 -   Anterior nasal flap length 1.34 -   Distance between inner extension of flaps - 1   Nostril to snout edge 12.65 -   Mouth-breadth 5.95 6.2   First gill slit height 1.34 1.2   Third gill slit height 1.34 1.2   Third gill slit height 1.4 Second gill slit height 1.34   Fourth gill slit height 1.48 1.4 Fourth gill slit height 1.04   Frist dorsal length 9.52 7 First dorsal fin length 9.52 7   First dorsal fin length 9.52 7 First dorsal fin length 5.89 -   Second dorsal fin length 8.48	Inter-orbital space	3.72	3.2	
Spiracle length 1.2 1.2   Spiracle width 1.79 1.9   Inter-spiracular space 4.76 4.8   Eye spiracle space 0.89 -   Nostrils length 2.81 2.8   Inter-narial space 2.68 -   Anterior nasal flap length 1.34 -   Distance between inner extension of flaps - 1   Nostril to snout edge 12.65 -   Mouth-breadth 5.95 6.2   First gill slit height 1.34 1.2   Third gill slit height 1.34 1.2   Third gill slit height 1.4 Second gill slit height 1.4   Second gill slit height 1.34 1.2 1.4   Fifth gill slit height 1.48 1.4 1.2   Fifth gill slit height 1.48 1.4 1.2   Fifth gill slit height 1.04 0.9 9   Pre first dorsal length 60.71 - 5   First dorsal fin length 9.52 7	Pre-spiracular length	16.14	-	
Spiracle width 1.79 1.9   Inter-spiracular space 4.76 4.8   Eye spiracle space 0.89 -   Nostrils length 2.81 2.8   Inter-narial space 2.68 -   Anterior nasal flap length 1.34 -   Distance between inner extension of flaps - 1   Nostril to snout edge 12.65 -   Mouth-breadth 5.95 6.2   First gill slit height 1.2 1.4   Second gill slit height 1.34 1.2   Third gill slit height 1.48 1.4   Fourth gill slit height 1.34 1.2   Fifth gill slit height 1.48 1.4   Fourth gill slit height 1.48 1.4   Fourth gill slit height 1.04 0.9   Pre first dorsal length 60.71 -   First dorsal length 9.52 7   First dorsal fin length 9.59 -   Second dorsal length 75.89 -   Second dors	Spiracle length	1.2	1.2	
Inter-spiracular space4.764.8Eye spiracle space0.89-Nostrils length2.812.8Inter-narial space2.68-Anterior nasal flap length1.34-Distance between inner extension of flaps-1Nostril to snout edge12.65-Mouth-breadth5.956.2First gill slit height1.21.4Second gill slit height1.341.2Third gill slit height1.341.2First gill slit height1.341.2Third gill slit height1.341.2First gill slit height1.341.2First gill slit height1.481.4Fourth gill slit height1.040.9Pre first dorsal length60.71-First dorsal fin length9.527First dorsal fin length9.59-Second dorsal fin length75.89-Second dorsal fin length8.486.8Second dorsal fin length35.37-Pelvic fin length6.7-Dorsal-caudal space7.077.1Pelvic-caudal space7.077.1Pelvic-caudal space46.58-Caudal peduncle length16.07-Caudal peduncle width1.91-	Spiracle width	1.79	1.9	
Eye spiracle space0.89-Nostrils length2.812.8Inter-narial space2.68-Anterior nasal flap length1.34-Distance between inner extension of flaps-1Nostril to snout edge12.65-Mouth-breadth5.956.2First gill slit height1.21.4Second gill slit height1.341.2Third gill slit height1.341.2First gill slit height1.341.2First gill slit height1.481.4Fourth gill slit height1.040.9Pre first dorsal length60.71-First dorsal fin length9.527First dorsal fin length9.527First dorsal fin length75.89-Second dorsal fin length8.486.8Second dorsal fin length35.37-Peepelvic length35.37-Pelvic fin length6.7-Dorsal-caudal space7.077.1Pelvic-caudal space46.58-Caudal peduncle length16.07-Caudal peduncle width1.91-	Inter-spiracular space	4.76	4.8	
Nostrils length2.812.8Inter-narial space2.68-Anterior nasal flap length1.34-Distance between inner extension of flaps-1Nostril to snout edge12.65-Mouth-breadth5.956.2First gill slit height1.21.4Second gill slit height1.341.2Third gill slit height1.341.2First gill slit height1.341.2First gill slit height1.341.2First gill slit height1.341.2First gill slit height1.040.9Pre first dorsal length60.71-First dorsal fin length9.527First dorsal fin length9.527First dorsal fin length75.89-Second dorsal fin length8.486.8Second dorsal fin length8.4765Inter-dorsal space11.1813Pre-pelvic length35.37-Pelvic-caudal space7.077.1Pelvic-caudal space7.07-Caudal peduncle length16.07-Caudal peduncle width1.91-	Eye spiracle space	0.89	-	
Inter-narial space2.68-Anterior nasal flap length1.34-Distance between inner extension of flaps-1Nostril to snout edge12.65-Mouth-breadth5.956.2First gill slit height1.21.4Second gill slit height1.341.2Third gill slit height1.341.2First gill slit height1.341.4Fourth gill slit height1.341.2Fifth gill slit height1.040.9Pre first dorsal length60.71-First dorsal fin length9.527First dorsal fin length9.527First dorsal fin length75.89-Second dorsal length75.89-Second dorsal fin length8.486.8Second dorsal fin length35.37-Pelvic fin length35.37-Pelvic fin length6.7-Dorsal-caudal space7.077.1Pelvic-caudal space46.58-Caudal peduncle width1.91-	Nostrils length	2.81	2.8	
Anterior nasal flap length1.34-Distance between inner extension of flaps-1Nostril to snout edge12.65-Mouth-breadth5.956.2First gill slit height1.21.4Second gill slit height1.341.2Third gill slit height1.341.2Fifth gill slit height1.341.2Fifth gill slit height1.040.9Pre first dorsal length60.71-First dorsal fin length9.527First dorsal fin length75.89-Second dorsal fin length8.486.8Second dorsal fin length8.486.8Second dorsal fin base4.765Inter-dorsal space11.1813Pre-pelvic length35.37-Pelvic-caudal space7.077.1Pelvic-caudal space46.58-Caudal peduncle length16.07-Caudal peduncle width1.91-	Inter-narial space	2.68	-	
Distance between inner extension of flaps-1Nostril to snout edge12.65-Mouth-breadth5.956.2First gill slit height1.21.4Second gill slit height1.341.2Third gill slit height1.341.2First gill slit height1.341.2First gill slit height1.341.2First gill slit height1.040.9Pre first dorsal length60.71-First dorsal fin length9.527First dorsal fin length9.527First dorsal fin length75.89-Second dorsal fin length8.486.8Second dorsal fin length8.4765Inter-dorsal space11.1813Pre-pelvic length6.7-Dorsal-caudal space7.077.1Pelvic-caudal space46.58-Caudal peduncle width1.91-	Anterior nasal flap length	1.34	-	
Nostril to snout edge12.65-Mouth-breadth5.956.2First gill slit height1.21.4Second gill slit height1.341.2Third gill slit height1.481.4Fourth gill slit height1.341.2Fifth gill slit height1.040.9Pre first dorsal length60.71-First dorsal fin length9.527First dorsal fin length9.527First dorsal fin length75.89-Second dorsal fin length8.486.8Second dorsal fin base4.765Inter-dorsal space11.1813Pre-pelvic length35.37-Pelvic fin length6.7-Dorsal-caudal space7.077.1Pelvic-caudal space46.58-Caudal peduncle width1.91-	Distance between inner extension of flaps		1	
Mouth-breadth5.956.2First gill slit height1.21.4Second gill slit height1.341.2Third gill slit height1.481.4Fourth gill slit height1.341.2Fifth gill slit height1.341.2Fifth gill slit height1.040.9Pre first dorsal length60.71-First dorsal fin length9.527First dorsal fin length9.527First dorsal fin length75.89-Second dorsal fin length8.486.8Second dorsal fin length8.4765Inter-dorsal space11.1813Pre-pelvic length6.7-Dorsal-caudal space7.077.1Pelvic-caudal space46.58-Caudal peduncle width1.91-	Nostril to snout edge	12.65	-	
First gill slit height1.21.4Second gill slit height1.341.2Third gill slit height1.481.4Fourth gill slit height1.341.2Fifth gill slit height1.040.9Pre first dorsal length60.71-First dorsal fin length9.527First dorsal fin length9.527Second dorsal length75.89-Second dorsal fin length8.486.8Second dorsal fin base4.765Inter-dorsal space11.1813Pre-pelvic length35.37-Pelvic fin length6.7-Dorsal-caudal space7.077.1Pelvic-caudal space46.58-Caudal peduncle width1.91-	Mouth-breadth	5.95	6.2	
Second gill slit height1.341.2Third gill slit height1.481.4Fourth gill slit height1.341.2Fifth gill slit height1.040.9Pre first dorsal length60.71-First dorsal fin length9.527First dorsal fin base4.724.5Pre second dorsal length75.89-Second dorsal fin length8.486.8Second dorsal fin base4.765Inter-dorsal space11.1813Pre-pelvic length35.37-Pelvic fin length6.7-Dorsal-caudal space7.077.1Pelvic-caudal space46.58-Caudal peduncle length1.91-	First gill slit height	1.2	1.4	
Third gill slit height1.481.4Fourth gill slit height1.341.2Fifth gill slit height1.040.9Pre first dorsal length60.71-First dorsal fin length9.527First dorsal fin length9.527First dorsal fin length75.89-Second dorsal fin length8.486.8Second dorsal fin base4.765Inter-dorsal space11.1813Pre-pelvic length35.37-Pelvic fin length6.7-Dorsal-caudal space7.077.1Pelvic-caudal space46.58-Caudal peduncle length1.91-	Second gill slit height	1.34	1.2	
Fourth gill slit height1.341.2Fifth gill slit height1.040.9Pre first dorsal length60.71-First dorsal fin length9.527First dorsal fin base4.724.5Pre second dorsal length75.89-Second dorsal fin length8.486.8Second dorsal fin base4.765Inter-dorsal space11.1813Pre-pelvic length35.37-Pelvic fin length6.7-Dorsal-caudal space7.077.1Pelvic-caudal space16.07-Caudal peduncle length1.91-	Third gill slit height	1.48	1.4	
Fifth gill slit height1.040.9Pre first dorsal length60.71-First dorsal fin length9.527First dorsal fin length9.527First dorsal fin base4.724.5Pre second dorsal length75.89-Second dorsal fin length8.486.8Second dorsal fin base4.765Inter-dorsal space11.1813Pre-pelvic length35.37-Pelvic fin length6.77.1Pelvic-caudal space7.077.1Pelvic-caudal space46.58-Caudal peduncle length1.91-	Fourth gill slit height	1.34	1.2	
Pre first dorsal length60.71-First dorsal fin length9.527First dorsal fin length9.527First dorsal fin base4.724.5Pre second dorsal length75.89-Second dorsal fin length8.486.8Second dorsal fin base4.765Inter-dorsal space11.1813Pre-pelvic length35.37-Pelvic fin length6.77.1Dorsal-caudal space7.077.1Pelvic-caudal space46.58-Caudal peduncle length1.91-	Fifth gill slit height	1.04	0.9	
First dorsal fin length9.527First dorsal fin base4.724.5Pre second dorsal length75.89-Second dorsal fin length8.486.8Second dorsal fin base4.765Inter-dorsal space11.1813Pre-pelvic length35.37-Pelvic fin length6.7-Dorsal-caudal space7.077.1Pelvic-caudal space46.58-Caudal peduncle length1.91-	Pre first dorsal length	60.71	-	
First dorsal fin base4.724.5Pre second dorsal length75.89-Second dorsal fin length8.486.8Second dorsal fin base4.765Inter-dorsal space11.1813Pre-pelvic length35.37-Pelvic fin length6.7-Dorsal-caudal space7.077.1Pelvic-caudal space46.58-Caudal peduncle length1.91-	First dorsal fin length	9.52	7	
Pre second dorsal length75.89-Second dorsal fin length8.486.8Second dorsal fin base4.765Inter-dorsal space11.1813Pre-pelvic length35.37-Pelvic fin length6.7-Dorsal-caudal space7.077.1Pelvic-caudal space46.58-Caudal peduncle length1.07-Caudal peduncle width1.91-	First dorsal fin base	4.72	4.5	
Second dorsal fin length8.486.8Second dorsal fin base4.765Inter-dorsal space11.1813Pre-pelvic length35.37-Pelvic fin length6.7-Dorsal-caudal space7.077.1Pelvic-caudal space46.58-Caudal peduncle length16.07-Caudal peduncle width1.91-	Pre second dorsal length	75.89	-	
Second dorsal fin base4.765Inter-dorsal space11.1813Pre-pelvic length35.37-Pelvic fin length6.7-Dorsal-caudal space7.077.1Pelvic-caudal space46.58-Caudal peduncle length16.07-Caudal peduncle width1.91-	Second dorsal fin length	8.48	6.8	
Inter-dorsal space11.1813Pre-pelvic length35.37-Pelvic fin length6.7-Dorsal-caudal space7.077.1Pelvic-caudal space46.58-Caudal peduncle length16.07-Caudal peduncle width1.91-	Second dorsal fin base	4.76	5	
Pre-pelvic length35.37-Pelvic fin length6.7-Dorsal-caudal space7.077.1Pelvic-caudal space46.58-Caudal peduncle length16.07-Caudal peduncle width1.91-	Inter-dorsal space	11.18	13	
Pelvic fin length6.7-Dorsal-caudal space7.077.1Pelvic-caudal space46.58-Caudal peduncle length16.07-Caudal peduncle width1.91-	Pre-pelvic length	35.37	-	
Dorsal-caudal space7.077.1Pelvic-caudal space46.58-Caudal peduncle length16.07-Caudal peduncle width1.91-	Pelvic fin length	6.7	-	
Pelvic-caudal space46.58-Caudal peduncle length16.07-Caudal peduncle width1.91-	Dorsal-caudal space	7.07	7.1	
Caudal peduncle length16.07-Caudal peduncle width1.91-	Pelvic-caudal space	46.58	-	
Caudal peduncle width 1.91 -	Caudal peduncle length	16.07	-	
	Caudal peduncle width	1.91	-	

is 72% of interorbital space and interorbital space is 28.6% of preorbital length. Spiracle length is 25.2% of interspiracle width and interspiracle width is 36.6% of preorbital length. Nostrils are oblique with nostril length nearly equal to inter-narial space. Mouth is medium sized with teeth arranged in close-set, rhombic pattern. Teeth are wide based with short smooth-edged cusp. The morphometric characteristics of the present specimen matches with the holotype specimen described from the Gulf of Mannar by Nair and Lal Mohan (1973) (Table 1). A slight disparity was observed in the dorsal fin morphometrics, which may be due to geographical variation and size difference.

Biological information pertaining to this species is limited. The present specimen was a gravid female with five uterine embryos; 2 in the left lobe and 3 in the right lobe (Fig. 2). There was no placental connection between the embryo and the mother; the embryo was nourished by egg yolk which suggests aplacental yolk sac viviparity in this species. A pair of ovaries and uteri were present and the uteri were characterized by numerous longitudinal folds that support rich vascularization. Uterine capsules appeared to be enclosed in a brownish translucent case. Large rounded eggs were also present, packed with viscous yellow yolk and the number of ova recorded was five. Simultaneous development of ova in the ovary and embryos in the uteri (Fig. 3) indicates a guick succession of ovulation and fertilization after parturition (Devadoss, 1998). The embryos lay closely packed, one over the other and there was no uterine compartmentalization in which uterine flaps approach each other to form a separate uterine compartment for each embryo as seen in the case of some sand tiger sharks and requiem sharks. Similar observations on low uterine fecundity in Rhynchobatus djiddensis from the north-west coast of India was reported by Das et al. (2011) and Purushottama et al. (2018). Uteri were thin and embryos were visible through the transparent walls even before dissection. The total length and weight of the embryo ranged between 167.93 to 175.91 mm and 15.4 to 20.9 g respectively. Amongst the five embryos, three had completely filled yolk sac and the other two had shriveled yolk sacs. The two embryos with



Fig. 2. Embryos recovered from pregnant female



Fig. 3. Ova and uteri of *A. variegatus* 

shriveled yolk sacs were of larger size (175.6 and 175.9 mm TL) and were possibly full-term embryos that have already utilized the nutrient-rich yolk and was about to eclose (emerge). The stomachs of each were distended with yolk. Thus the embryos were exclusively yolk reliant and developed inside the uteri. Yolk sacs of the embryos measured 26.77-33.40 mm in diameter and the cord lengths ranged from 25.03 to 32.83 mm. Out of the five embryos, four were male with the external edge length of claspers varying between 6 and 8 mm (Fig. 4) and the remaining one was female; the embryonic sex ratio (M:F) observed was thus, 4:1 (Table 2). Pigmentation on the ventral side of the snout was distinct in the embryos which is



Fig. 4. Closer view of claspers (pointed arrows) in a recovered male embryo

Table 2. Morphometric measurements of embryos recovered from *A. variegatus*, off Sakthikulangara, south-west coast of India

SI. No.	Total	Disc	Disc width	Weight	Yolk sac	Length of	
	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	(g)	(mm)	(mm)	Sex
1.	175.91	67.04	64.35	17.2	-	29.54	М
2.	175.62	67.62	59.86	15.4	-	32.83	М
3.	175.51	68.55	61.63	20.9	29.44	28.74	М
4.	167.93	67.71	60.61	19.7	26.77	30.57	F
5.	172.58	69.81	60.69	19.3	33.40	25.03	М



Fig. 5. Distinct pigmentation on the ventral side of snout in embryos

characteristic of the species (Fig. 5). Variegated markings on the pectoral and pelvic fin margins had not yet developed in the embryos. During 2014, in the southern tip of south-west coast of India (west coast of Kanyakumari District, Tamil Nadu) similar incidence of landing of a pregnant A. variegatus (Fig. 6) was observed with six full term embryos (Fig. 7). The size of the gravid female then recorded was bigger than the present report. It measured 732 mm in total length (TL) and weighed 2.2 kg (Santhosh and Ambarish pers. comm.). Data on fecundity is very important to understand the reproductive potential of a particular species or population and also for predicting the future stock size (Figueiredo et al., 2008). As Kyne and Bennett (2002) stated, the fecundity of shovelnose guitarfish is generally low, which is reliable with the overall condition found in elasmobranchs. Fecundity of A. variegatus collected from Sakthikulangara, Kerala and west coast of Kanyakumari District, Tamil Nadu was similar; five and six respectively.

The biological observations recorded in the present study for the critically endangered and a poorly known guitarfish *A. variegatus* provides preliminary insights into its reproductive



Fig. 6. Pregnant *A. variegatus* landed on west coast of Kanyakumari District, Tamil Nadu



Fig. 7. Recovered embryos of *A. variegatus* landed at Kanyakumari District, Tamil Nadu

biology. The mode of reproduction in *A. variegatus* is aplacental yolk sac viviparity with low uterine fecundity. A significant decline of 86% was documented in the collective landings of wedgefishes and guitarfishes from 2002-2007 at a landing site in Tamil Nadu. This specifies a decline of 97% for A. variegatus over the past 15 years. The species' entire distribution range in southern India is subjected to intense fishing pressure with large numbers of trawlers as well as other fishing gears (Jabado et al., 2017), besides landings as by-catch in several artisanal gears. Knowledge of embryonic development, fecundity, maturity stages and reproduction will be helpful in understanding the resilience competency of the species that could contribute towards the development of appropriate stock management strategies (Sen et al., 2018). The critically endangered status coupled with evidence of population decline of this endemic species warrants formulation of suitable guidelines for conservation and sustainable exploitation of this resource.

# Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Director, ICAR-Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Cochin, India, for providing necessary support and facilities.

#### References

- Das, T., S. Sundaram, P. Khandagale and V. Mhatre. 2011. Observations on the fecundity of *Rhynchobatus djiddensis* (Forskal, 1775). *Fish. Chime*, 31 (8): 28–29. Devadoss, P. 1998. Observations on the breeding and development in some batoid
- fishes. Indian J. Fish., 45(3): 271–283. Dulvy, N. K. and J. D. Reynolds. 2002. Predicting extinction vulnerability in skates.
- Conserv. Biol., 16: 440-450.
- Fernando, D., R. M. K., Bown, A. Tanna, R. Gobiraj, H. Ralicki, E. L. Jockusch, D.A. Ebert, K. Jensen and J. N. Caira. 2019. New insights into the identities of the elasmobranch fauna of Sri Lanka. *Zootaxa*, 4585(2): 201–238.
- Figueiredo, J., G. Penha-Lopes, J. Anto, L. Narciso and J. Lin. 2008. Fecundity, brood loss and egg development through embryogenesis of *Armases cinereum* (Decapoda: Grapsidae). *Mar Biol.*, 154: 287–294.
- Hoff, T. B. and J. A Musick. 1990. Western North Atlantic shark-fishery management problems and informational requirements. In: Elasmobranchs as living resources: advances in the biology, ecology, systematics, and the status of fisheries. H. L. Pratt Jr, S. H. Gruber, T. Taniuchi (Eds). US Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Report NMFS 90, Seattle, USA, 472 pp.
- Jabado, R. W., P. M. Kyne, R. A. Pollom, D. A. Ebert, C. A. Simpfendorfer, G. M. Ralph and N. K. Dulvy (eds.) 2017. *The Conservation Status of Sharks, Rays, and Chimaeras in the Arabian Sea and Adjacent Waters*. Environment Agency – Abu Dhabi, UAE and IUCN Species Survival Commission Shark Specialist Group, Vancouver, Canada, 236 pp.
- Kizhakudan, S. J., K. V. Akhilesh, S. Thomas, K. S. S. M. Yousuf, K. S. Sobhana, G. B. Purushottama, M. Menon, S. S. Dash, P. P. Manojkumar, R. J. Nair, T. M. Najmudeen and P. U. Zacharia. 2018. *Field identification of batoids—a guide to Indian species.* CMFRI special publication no.132. ICAR-Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Kochi, India. 104 pp

- Kyne, P. M. and M. B. Bennett. 2002. Reproductive biology of the eastern shovelnose ray, *Aptychotrema rostrata* (Shaw & Nodder, 1794), from Moreton Bay, Queensland, Australia. *Mar. Freshw. Res.* 53:583–589.
- Last, P. R., A. C. Henderson and G. J. P. Naylor. 2016a. Acroteriobatus omanensis (Batoidea: Rhinobatidae), a new species of guitarfish from the Gulf of Oman. Zootaxa, 4144(2): 276-286.
- Last, P. R., T. White, M. R. de Carvalho, B. Seret, M. F. W. Stehmann and G. J. P. Naylor (Eds.). 2016b. *Rays of the World*. CSIRO Publishing, Clayton South, Australia. 790 pp.
- Leaman, B. M. 1991. Reproductive styles and life history variables relative to exploitation and management of Sebastes stocks. *Environ. Biol. Fish.*, 30: 253-271.
- Munk, M. K. 2001. Maximum ages of groundfishes in waters off Alaska and British Colombia and considerations of age determination. *Alaska Fish. Res. Bull.*, 8: 12-21.
- Nair, R.V. and R. S. Lal Mohan. 1973. On a new deep sea skate, *Rhinobatos variegatus*, with notes on the deep sea sharks *Halaelurus bispidus*, *Eridacnis radcliffei* and *Eugaleus omanensis* from the Gulf of Mannar. *Senckenb. Biol.*, 54 (1/3): 71-80.
- Purushottama, G. B., S. S. Thakurdas, Tandel, V. D. Mhatre and V. V. Singh. 2018. Records of rare elasmobranchs and their biological observation from the northeastern Arabian Sea off Mumbai. *Indian J. Mar. Sci.*, 47(8): 1566-1573.
- Sen, S., S. K. Chakraborty, V. Elayaperumal, P. U. Zacharia, A. K. Jaiswar, Gyanaranjan Dash, Shoba J. Kizhakudan, Sangita A. Bharadiya and Jayshree K. Gohel. 2018. Reproductive strategy of milk shark, *Rhizoprionodon acutus* (Ruppell 1837), along north-eastern Arabian Sea. *Ichthyol Res.*, 65: 324-333.
- Sulikowski, J. A., S. B. Irvine, K. C. DeValerio and J. K. Carlson. 2007. Age, growth and maturity of the roundel skate, *Raja texana*, from the Gulf of Mexico, USA. *Mar. Freshw. Res.*, 58: 41-53.