Chapter Title	The Microbiome as a Component of the Tumor Microenvironment		
Copyright Year	2020		
Copyright Holder	Springer Nature Switzerland AG		
Author	Family Name	Kovács	
	Particle		
	Given Name	Tünde	
	Suffix		
	Division	Department of Medical Chemistry, Faculty of Medicine	
	Organization/University	University of Debrecen	
	Address	Debrecen, Hungary	
	Division		
	Organization/University	MTA-DE Lendület Laboratory of Cellular Metabolism	
	Address	Debrecen, Hungary	
Author	Family Name	Mikó	
	Particle		
	Given Name	Edit	
	Suffix		
	Division	Department of Medical Chemistry, Faculty of Medicine	
	Organization/University	University of Debrecen	
	Address	Debrecen, Hungary	
	Division		
	Organization/University	MTA-DE Lendület Laboratory of Cellular Metabolism	
	Address	Debrecen, Hungary	
Author	Family Name	Ujlaki	
	Particle		
	Given Name	Gyula	
	Suffix		
	Division	Department of Medical Chemistry, Faculty of Medicine	
	Organization/University	University of Debrecen	
	Address	Debrecen, Hungary	

Metadata of the chapter that will be visualized online

	Division	
	Organization/University	MTA-DE Lendület Laboratory of Cellular Metabolism
	Address	Debrecen, Hungary
Author	Family Name	Sári
	Particle	
	Given Name	Zsanett
	Suffix	
	Division	Department of Medical Chemistry, Faculty of Medicine
	Organization/University	University of Debrecen
	Address	Debrecen, Hungary
	Division	
	Organization/University	MTA-DE Lendület Laboratory of Cellular Metabolism
	Address	Debrecen, Hungary
Corresponding Author	Family Name	Bai
	Particle	
	Given Name	Péter
	Suffix	
	Division	Department of Medical Chemistry, Faculty of Medicine
	Organization/University	University of Debrecen
	Address	Debrecen, Hungary
	Division	
	Organization/University	MTA-DE Lendület Laboratory of Cellular Metabolism
	Address	Debrecen, Hungary
	Division	Research Center for Molecular Medicine, Faculty of Medicine
	Organization/University	University of Debrecen
	Address	Debrecen, Hungary
	Email	baip@med.unideb.hu

Abstract

by " - ")

Microbes, which live in the human body, affect a large set of pathophysiological processes. Changes in the composition and proportion of the microbiome are associated with metabolic diseases (Fulbright et al., PLoS Pathog 13:e1006480, 2017: Maruvada et al., Cell Host Microbe 22:589–599, 2017), psychiatric disorders (Macfabe, Glob Adv Health Med 2:52-66, 2013; Kundu et al., Cell 171:1481–1493, 2017), and neoplastic diseases (Plottel and Blaser, Cell Host Microbe 10:324–335, 2011; Schwabe and Jobin, Nat Rev Cancer 13:800-812, 2013; Zitvogel et al., Cell 165:276-287, 2016). However, the number of directly tumorigenic bacteria is extremely low. Microbial dysbiosis is connected to cancers of the urinary tract (Yu, Arch Med Sci 11:385–394, 2015), cervix (Chase, Gynecol Oncol 138:190-200, 2015), skin (Yu et al., J Drugs Dermatol 14:461-465, 2015), airways (Gui et al., Genet Mol Res 14:5642-5651, 2015), colon (Garrett, Science 348:80-86, 2015), lymphomas (Yamamoto and Schiestl, Int J Environ Res Public Health 11:9038-9049, 2014; Yamamoto and Schiestl, Cancer J 20:190–194, 2014), prostate (Yu, Arch Med Sci 11:385–394, 2015), and breast (Flores et al., J Transl Med 10:253, 2012; Fuhrman et al., J Clin Endocrinol Metab 99:4632-4640, 2014; Xuan et al., PLoS One 9:e83744, 2014; Goedert et al., J Natl Cancer Inst 107:djv147, 2015; Chan et al., Sci Rep 6:28061, 2016; Hieken et al., Sci Rep 6:30751, 2016; Urbaniak et al., Appl Environ Microbiol 82:5039-5048, 2016; Goedert et al., Br J Cancer 118:471-479, 2018). Microbial dysbiosis can influence organs in direct contact with the microbiome and organs that are located at distant sites of the body. The altered microbiota can lead to a disruption of the mucosal barrier (Plottel and Blaser, Cell Host Microbe 10:324–335, 2011) or promote or inhibit tumorigenesis through the modification of immune responses (Kawai and Akira, Int Immunol 21:317-337, 2009; Dapito et al., Cancer Cell 21:504–516, 2012) and microbiome-derived metabolites, such as estrogens (Flores et al., J Transl Med 10:253, 2012; Fuhrman et al., J Clin Endocrinol Metab 99:4632-4640, 2014), secondary bile acids (Rowland, Role of the gut flora in toxicity and cancer, Academic Press, London, p x, 517 p., 1988; Yoshimoto et al., Nature 499:97-101, 2013; Xie et al., Int J Cancer 139:1764–1775, 2016; Shellman et al., Clin Otolaryngol 42:969–973, 2017; Luu et al., Cell Oncol (Dordr) 41:13-24, 2018; Miko et al., Biochim Biophys Acta Bioenerg 1859:958–974, 2018), short-chain fatty acids (Bindels et al., Br J Cancer 107:1337–1344, 2012), lipopolysaccharide (Dapito et al., Cancer Cell 21:504–516, 2012), and genotoxins (Fulbright et al., PLoS Pathog 13:e1006480, 2017). Thus, altered gut microbiota may change the efficacy of chemotherapy and radiation therapy (McCarron et al., Br J Biomed Sci 69:14-17, 2012; Viaud et al., Science 342:971-976, 2013; Montassier et al., Aliment Pharmacol Ther 42:515–528, 2015; Buchta Rosean et al., Adv Cancer Res 143:255–294, 2019). Taken together, microbial dysbiosis has intricate connections with neoplastic diseases; hereby, we aim to highlight the major contact routes. Keywords (separated Microbiome - Breast cancer - Tumor microenvironment - Bacterial metabolite - Bacterial metabolism - Antitumor immunity - Tumor metabolism - Epithelialmesenchymal transition - Tumorigenesis - Metastasis - Chemotherapy

The Microbiome as a Component of the Tumor Microenvironment

Tünde Kovács, Edit Mikó, Gyula Ujlaki, Zsanett Sári, and Péter Bai

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

Abstract Microbes, which live in the human body, affect a large set of pathophysiological processes. Changes in the composition and proportion of the microbiome are associated with metabolic diseases (Fulbright et al., PLoS Pathog 13:e1006480, 2017; Maruvada et al., Cell Host Microbe 22:589-599, 2017), psychiatric disorders (Macfabe, Glob Adv Health 13 Med 2:52-66, 2013; Kundu et al., Cell 171:1481-1493, 2017), and neoplastic diseases (Plottel and Blaser, Cell Host Microbe 10:324-335, 2011; Schwabe and Jobin, Nat Rev Cancer 13:800-812, 2013; Zitvogel et al., Cell 165:276-287, 2016). However, the num-

> T. Kovács · E. Mikó · G. Ujlaki · Z. Sári Department of Medical Chemistry, Faculty of Medicine, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

MTA-DE Lendület Laboratory of Cellular Metabolism, Debrecen, Hungary

P. Bai (🖂) Department of Medical Chemistry, Faculty of Medicine, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

MTA-DE Lendület Laboratory of Cellular Metabolism, Debrecen, Hungary

Research Center for Molecular Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary e-mail: baip@med.unideb.hu

ber of directly tumorigenic bacteria is 20 extremely low. Microbial dysbiosis is con-21 nected to cancers of the urinary tract (Yu, Arch 22 Med Sci 11:385-394, 2015), cervix (Chase, 23 Gynecol Oncol 138:190-200, 2015), skin (Yu 24 AU2 et al., J Drugs Dermatol 14:461-465, 2015), 25 airways (Gui et al., Genet Mol Res 14:5642-26 5651, 2015), colon (Garrett, Science 348:80-27 86, 2015), lymphomas (Yamamoto and 28 Schiestl, Int J Environ Res Public Health 29 11:9038–9049, 2014; Yamamoto and Schiestl, 30 Cancer J 20:190-194, 2014), prostate (Yu, 31 Arch Med Sci 11:385-394, 2015), and breast 32 (Flores et al., J Transl Med 10:253, 2012; 33 Fuhrman et al., J Clin Endocrinol Metab 34 99:4632-4640, 2014; Xuan et al., PLoS One 35 9:e83744, 2014; Goedert et al., J Natl Cancer 36 Inst 107:djv147, 2015; Chan et al., Sci Rep 37 6:28061, 2016; Hieken et al., Sci Rep 6:30751, 38 2016; Urbaniak et al., Appl Environ Microbiol 39 82:5039-5048, 2016; Goedert et al., Br J 40 Cancer 118:471-479, 2018). Microbial dys-41 biosis can influence organs in direct contact 42 Awith the microbiome and organs that are 43 located at distant sites of the body. The altered 44 microbiota can lead to a disruption of the 45 mucosal barrier (Plottel and Blaser, Cell Host 46 Microbe 10:324-335, 2011) or promote or 47 inhibit tumorigenesis through the modifica-48 tion of immune responses (Kawai and Akira, 49 Int Immunol 21:317-337, 2009; Dapito et al., 50 Cancer Cell 21:504-516. 2012) and 51

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020

A. Birbrair (ed.), Tumor Microenvironment, Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology 1225, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-35727-6_10

52 microbiome-derived metabolites, such as estrogens (Flores et al., J Transl Med 10:253, 53 2012; Fuhrman et al., J Clin Endocrinol Metab 54 99:4632-4640, 2014), secondary bile acids 55 (Rowland, Role of the gut flora in toxicity and 56 cancer, Academic Press, London, p x, 517 p., 57 1988; Yoshimoto et al., Nature 499:97-101, 58 2013; Xie et al., Int J Cancer 139:1764-1775, 59 2016; Shellman et al., Clin Otolaryngol 60 42:969-973, 2017; Luu et al., Cell Oncol 61 (Dordr) 41:13-24, 2018; Miko et al., Biochim 62 Biophys Acta Bioenerg 1859:958–974, 2018), 63 short-chain fatty acids (Bindels et al., Br J 64 Cancer 107:1337-1344, 2012), lipopolysac-65 charide (Dapito et al., Cancer Cell 21:504-66 516, 2012), and genotoxins (Fulbright et al., 67 PLoS Pathog 13:e1006480, 2017). Thus, 68 altered gut microbiota may change the effi-69 cacy of chemotherapy and radiation therapy 70 (McCarron et al., Br J Biomed Sci 69:14-17, 71 2012; Viaud et al., Science 342:971-976, 72 2013; Montassier et al., Aliment Pharmacol 73 Ther 42:515-528, 2015; Buchta Rosean et al., 74 Adv Cancer Res 143:255-294, 2019). Taken 75 76 together, microbial dysbiosis has intricate connections with neoplastic diseases; hereby, 77 we aim to highlight the major contact routes. 78

79	Keywords
<u>A80</u> 3	Microbiome · Breast cancer · Tumor microen-
81	vironment · Bacterial metabolite · Bacterial
82	metabolism · Antitumor immunity · Tumor
83	metabolism · Epithelial-mesenchymal
84	transition · Tumorigenesis · Metastasis ·
85	Chemotherapy

86 10.1 The Human Microbiome

The human body harbors different kinds of sym-A874 biotic, commensal, and pathogenic bacteria that 88 live on the surface and the cavities of the body. 89 Microbiota is a collective term that refers to the 90 group of microbes colonizing the human body, 91 and the collection of genes they encode is known 92 as our microbiome [36]. The number of coloniz-93 ing microbial cells $(>10^{14})$ is 10 times more than 94

the total sum of human somatic and germ cells.95Therefore, their collective genome—called the96metagenome—contains a large number of genes97that exceed the human genome by 150 times.98This metagenome performs key functions rele-99vant to human health [37].100

Each anatomical niche possesses a unique 101 mixture of microbial populations (gut, skin, 102 vagina, mouth, nose, and conjunctiva) that have 103 important and functionally relevant individual 104 variability (at the levels of genus, species, and 105 strain) [5]. The great majority of microorganisms 106 live in the gastrointestinal (GI) lumen. These 107 microbes compete and collaborate with other 108 organisms in this niche, resulting in a function-109 ally and genetically plastic metagenome [5]. The 110 GI microbiota plays a crucial role in digestion, 111 maturation, immune response, protection against 112 pathogen overgrowth, maintenance of intestinal 113 barrier function, regulation of intestinal endo-114 crine functions, neurologic signaling, bone den-115 sity, biosynthesis of vitamins, neurotransmission, 116 metabolism of bile salts, reaction or modification 117 of drugs, elimination of exogenous toxins, and 118 maintenance of the energy homeostasis of the 119 host [38]. 120

10.2 Bidirectional Microbiome-Host Connection 121

There is increasing evidence for complex and 123 dynamic microbial interactions with hosts. The 124 microbe-human symbiotic connection is a result 125 of millions of years of coevolution, coadaptation, 126 and codependence. Bacterial colonization begins 127 at birth and progresses through childhood to 128 adulthood. The adaptation process is nonrandom 129 [39] and depends on the body habitat, lifestyle, 130 physiological conditions, genotype of the host, 131 and presence of other microbes in the niche [40]. 132 The function and composition of the microbiome 133 are determined by the diet of the host, probiotic 134 or antibiotic consumption, stress, and short- or 135 long-term travel. Besides these external factors, 136 the host can affect the dynamics of the microbi-137 ome through its genetics, immune system, and 138 personal hygiene [38]. Given the diverse func-139

140 tional repertoire of the microbiome, it is not surprising that dysbiosis is associated with a broad 141 range of diseases from neurological disorders to 142 metabolic diseases and cancer [12]. Numerous 143 studies highlight the relationship between 144 changes in the function, composition, and pro-145 146 portion of microbes-also called microbial dysbiosis-and the progression of certain diseases. 147 Koch's concept that one microbe is responsible 148 for the formation of one disease ("one microbe-149 one disease hypothesis") was shown to be an 150 oversimplification. Recent advances have shown 151 152 that the loss of balance in microbial communities and the global change in our microbiome are 153 directly or indirectly connected to carcinogene-154 155 sis, rather than the presence of a single causative microbe [41]. Nevertheless, there are directly 156 tumorigenic bacteria, although their number is 157 158 extremely low, including about 10 species (e.g., Helicobacter pylori promote the development of 159 gastric cancer). Dysbiosis is associated with can-160 161 cers of the urinary tract, cervix, skin, airways, colon, lymphomas, prostate, and breast [42]. 162 However, it is still unclear whether cancer is the 163 164 product of alterations of the microbiota or modifications in the "normal" microbiome are the 165 consequences of cancer progression. 166

16710.3The Tumor168Microenvironment

Cancers are not just masses of homogenous 169 malignant cells. Tumors have been recognized as 170 complex organs, whose complexity may exceed 171 that of normal healthy tissues. Interactions 172 173 between malignant and recruited non-transformed cells create the tumor microenvironment (TME). 174 Nonmalignant cells include immune cells, cells 175 176 of the vasculature and lymphatic system, cancerassociated fibroblasts, pericytes, and adipocytes 177 [43]. The role of nonmalignant cells in the TME 178 179 is to support cancer growth. Nonmalignant cells have a dynamic tumor-promoting function at all 180

stages of carcinogenesis. The communication 181 between cell types is driven by an extremely 182 complex network of cytokines, chemokines, 183 growth factors, other inflammatory mediators, 184 and matrix remodeling enzymes [44]. Cancer cell 185 metabolism is strictly regulated by the tumor 186 microenvironment. The microbiome is a new 187 component of the tumor microenvironment that 188 impairs tumor cell metabolism by maintaining a 189 healthy barrier, inducing inflammation, and pro-190 ducing genotoxins and bacterial metabolites with 191 different features. Below, we review the modali-192 ties of how dysbiosis interferes with carcinogen-193 esis (Fig. 10.1). 194

10.4Bacteria-Driven195Carcinogenesis196Through Physical Interaction197

The most relevant pathomechanism for 198 microbiome-derived carcinogenesis is barrier 199 failure. In healthy humans, numerous commensal 200 bacteria are found in the intestinal lumen, where 201 some bacteria are in direct association with the 202 epithelium. The microbiota is vital in preserving 203 the functional luminal barrier, by maintaining 204 epithelial cell turnover, facilitating mucin pro-205 duction, and competing for resources and, 206 thereby, suppressing the growth of pathogens 207 [45]. The physical and chemical barrier of gut 208 epithelial cells prevents microbial translocation 209 to the underlying connective tissue. Defects in 210 protein-coding genes (e.g., laminin) that are 211 essential for the maintenance of a normal barrier. 212 infections, inflammation, carcinogenesis, or 213 microbial dysbiosis may induce barrier failure. 214 Inflammation and carcinogenesis may trigger 215 barrier failure, but barrier failure also promotes 216 inflammation and carcinogenesis, suggesting a 217 forward-amplifying loop [6]. Breakdown of the 218 intestinal barrier leads to translocation of bacteria 219 and the development of a systemic inflammatory 220 response [46]. 221



Fig. 10.1 Schematic picture of the classification of microbiota-associated human malignancies. Class A is defined by the involvement of the immune response, Class B requires direct microbial interactions with parenchymal cells, Class C covers distant effects from local interactions, and Class D shows the consequences of altered microbiome composition. (Modified figure from [5])

22210.5Microbiome-Immune System223Interactions224in Tumorigenesis

Microbiome-immune system interactions play 225 226 multifaceted roles in tumorigenesis. The microbiome may promote tumorigenesis by inducing 227 chronic inflammation, disrupting the balance 228 between cell proliferation and cell death, and 229 triggering immune responses. The physical loss 230 of the natural gut epithelial barrier-barrier fail-231 232 ure-or the loss of the antibacterial defense system enables the movement of cellular components 233 and microbes across the barrier, where they cause 234 an innate inflammatory response. The mamma-235 lian immune system detects the presence of 236 microbial infection through pattern recognition 237 238 receptors (PRRs). Toll-like receptors (TLRs) and NOD-like receptors (NLR) belong to the PRR 239 family and recognize different but overlapping 240 microbial components. They are expressed in dif-241 ferent cellular compartments (cell surface, cyto-242 plasm, lysosome, and endosome) and activate 243 specific signaling pathways that promote inflam-244 mation, tumor proliferation, or resistance to cell 245 death [23]. 246

TLRs are one of the most powerful proinflammatory stimuli. These structures recognize
microbe-associated molecular patterns, such as

lipopolysaccharides (LPS), peptidoglycan, fla-250 gella, or microbial DNA/RNA. TLR2 recognizes 251 peptidoglycan and lipoteichoic acid (bacterial 252 cell wall components) and promotes gastric can-253 cer, while TLR4 detects LPS (Gram-negative cell 254 wall component) and contributes to skin, pan-255 creas, liver, and colon cancer development [6]. 256 Carcinogenesis is promoted through TLRs of 257 epithelial cells, macrophages, and fibroblasts. 258 TLR induction leads to the production of pro-259 inflammatory cytokines, such as interleukins and 260 TNFα. Downstream effectors of TLR signaling 261 induce cell survival and suppress apoptosis 262 through NF-κB (nuclear factor-κB) and STAT3 263 signaling, which is in line with the role of MYD88 264 mutations that induce NF-kB and STAT3 in many 265 human lymphomas [24]. Tumor formation is 266 reduced by pharmacologic inhibition of interleu-267 kins (IL-17 and IL-23), antibiotic treatment, or 268 MYD88 inactivation [6]. 269

Although a direct link between endogenous 270 bacteria and tumor-associated angiogenesis has 271 not been shown, the microbiome is required for 272 normal development of the vasculature. LPS, 273 produced by the microbiome, may promote 274 angiogenesis through TLRs. IL-17 is produced 275 by T-helper-17 (Th17), suggesting that bacteria 276 also impact the tumor microenvironment by stim-277 ulating Th17 lymphocytes. A connection between 278 breast cancer and immunoglobulins has been
established. Secretory immunoglobulin A (IgA)
helps to maintain the integrity of the mucosal
barrier, attenuates the host immune response, and
regulates the composition of the gut microbial
community.

285 Several bacterial species induce immunity in tumor development. Lactococcus species help 286 maintain the cytotoxic activity of natural killer 287 (NK) cells, while Sphingomonas yanoikuyae 288 have an important role in maintaining breast tis-289 sue health. Cytotoxic immune cells (cytotoxic T 290 291 lymphocytes) are essential for identifying and destroying precancerous and cancerous cells; 292 Fusobacterium nucleatum destroy this protective 293 294 mechanism and enable tumor progression, while immunity. others stimulate anticancer 295 Bifidobacterium, Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron, 296 297 and Bacteroides fragilis enhance dendritic cell function and antitumor cytotoxic T cell immunity 298 [1]. TLRs may also promote cancer cell prolifer-299 300 ation through different growth factor receptor ligands (amphiregulin, epiregulin, and hepato-301 cyte growth factors), which exert both local and 302 303 long-distance effects.

In carcinogenesis, the microbiota induce acti-304 vation of NOD-like receptors (NLRs) as well. 305 Many studies focus on NOD2, because loss of 306 NOD2 activity is connected with Crohn's dis-307 ease. NOD2 has a key role in the activation of 308 309 NF-κB signaling and the formation of a bacterial community. Thus, NOD2 loss-of -function muta-310 tions may lead to intestinal dysbiosis and an 311 enhanced risk of developing colorectal carci-312 noma (CRC). Genetically induced CRC is also 313 evoked by NOD1 deficiency, which plays an 314 315 important role in intestinal defense against bacteria. NLRP6, another NLR, is important in 316 microbiota-tumorigenesis interactions. NRRP6 317 318 is a component and key activator of inflammasomes (multiprotein oligomers responsible for 319 the activation of inflammatory responses), which 320 321 are downregulated in dysbiosis-driven carcinogenesis, together with decreased IL-18 produc-322 tion [6]. 323

Immunotherapy is used to eliminate residual
cancer cells after chemotherapy or radiation therapy. In therapy, monoclonal antibodies target

molecules, such as anti-T-lymphocyte-associated 327 antigen 4 (CTLA-4) and anti-programmed death 328 1 (PD-1) or its ligand anti-PD-L1. The advantage 329 of immunotherapy is that it stimulates and sup-330 ports the immune system of the host to fight can-331 cer cells. The gut microbiome can stimulate the T 332 cell response and improve inflammatory signal-333 ing through PRRs that potentiate the immune 334 system to directly eliminate cancer cells. 335 Antibodies against immune checkpoints improve 336 T cell function and proliferation and, thereby, 337 improve the anticancer immune response, pro-338 viding an effective therapeutic approach in 339 patients with various types of cancers, such as in 340 advanced melanoma [47], renal cell carcinoma 341 [48], or non-small cell lung cancer [49]. 342 Alterations in commensal gut bacteria influence 343 therapeutic responses to inhibition of CTLA-4 344 and PD-1. Following CTLA-4 therapy, the micro-345 bial composition shifts; Bacteroidales and 346 Burkholderiales abundance decreases and 347 Bacteroides and Clostridiales are enriched [50]. 348 Bacteroides fragilis is capable of promoting 349 T-helper 1 (Th1) responses and activating 350 antigen-presenting cells (dendritic cells) through 351 the induction of IL-12. Thus, an improvement in 352 anti-CTLA-4 effectiveness may be partially due 353 to the enrichment of Bacteroides fragilis. 354 Improved effectiveness of anti-CTLA-4 therapy 355 was observed in melanoma patients with 356 increased abundance of Bacteroides. Bacteroides 357 thetaiotaomicron, and Bacteroides fragilis [50]. 358 The main bacterial component driving these pro-359 cesses was found to be the LPS of Bacteroides 360 species. Thus, inhibition of CTLA-4 can alter the 361 composition of the gut microbiome that in turn 362 influences responsiveness to immunotherapy. 363 Studies on anti-PD-1 or anti-PD-L1 therapy 364 showed similar bacteria-driven differences in 365 tumor outgrowth. In a mouse model of mela-366 noma, increased effectiveness of anti-PD-L1 367 therapy was associated with enhanced 368 Bifidobacterium (Bifidobacterium longum and B. 369 breve) abundance in the gut and a consequent 370 activation of dendritic cells [51]. In metastatic 371 melanoma patients receiving anti-PD-1 and anti-372 PD-L1 treatment, patients with greater alpha 373 diversity with an enrichment of Clostridiales, 374 375 Faecalibacterium, and Ruminococcaceae species and decrement in Bacteroidales had longer sur-376 vival. These beneficial effects were partly due to 377 an enhanced T cell response (connected mainly 378 to CD8⁺ T lymphocytes) and the upregulation of 379 antigen-presenting pathways [52]. Increased 380 381 CD8⁺ T cell activation was shown in another study in advanced melanoma patients. Patients 382 that responded to anti-PD-L1 therapy had 383 elevated levels of Bifidobacterium longum, 384 Collinsella aerofaciens, and Enterococcus fae-385 cium. Moreover, all patients that responded to 386 387 treatment carried Akkermansia muciniphila [53]. Better survival was shown in urothelial carci-388 noma, renal cell carcinoma, or non-small cell 389 lung carcinoma patients undergoing anti-PD-1 390 treatment who did not receive antibiotics during 391 or after treatment and carried elevated levels of 392 393 Akkermansia and Alistipes species. These findings were mainly connected to CD4+ T cell acti-394 demonstrated vation [54] and that 395 antibiotic-induced dysbiosis could negatively 396 influence responses to immunotherapy. 397

However, the mechanisms that contribute to 398 399 dysbiosis and changes in the microbial community are not well understood. Host-driven immune 400 and inflammatory responses are important driv-401 402 ing factors that shape the bacterial community composition. The composition of the microbi-403 ome, innate immunity, and inflammation deter-404 405 mine the outgrowth of different types of specific bacteria by changing the production of metabo-406 lites, such as nitrate. Nitrate may provide a unique 407 energy source for facultative anaerobic bacteria 408 (e.g., Enterobacteriaceae). Inflammation may 409 promote bacterial fitness and adaptation by 410 411 inducing the expression of stress-response genes in bacteria (e.g., *Escherichia coli*) [6]. 412

41310.6Genotoxins and Microbiota-414Driven Genomic Instability

Inflammation enhances tumorigenesis by inducing DNA damage and altering the mechanism of
DNA repair. Macrophage release of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in response to inflammatory
cytokines directly induces DNA breakage and

mutations, and their downstream pathways stim-420 ulate transcription factors (NRF2, NF- κ B) that 421 impair cellular growth to produce cancer [36]. 422 Enterococcus faecalis can generate large amounts 423 of superoxide, while Fusobacteria species and 424 Deltaproteobacteria produce hydrogen sulfide; 425 both Fusobacteria species and 426 Deltaproteobacteria are associated with CRC. 427

Hydrogen sulfide is a product of sulfate reduc-428 tion from dietary taurine and sulfur-containing 429 amino acids and has a wide effect on the host. 430 Hydrogen sulfide is highly inflammatory and 431 toxic to colonocytes. Furthermore, hydrogen sul-432 fide can enhance colonocyte proliferation through 433 the ERK1/2 pathway [55], inhibit mucus synthe-434 sis and butyrate oxidation while impairing the 435 activity of cytochrome oxidase, and generate free 436 radicals that lead to genotoxicity. 437

Although the ability of microorganisms to 438 produce ROS [56] contributes to tumorigenesis, 439 bacteria can also release specific toxins that 440 induce DNA damage responses, which also con-441 tribute to tumorigenesis (Fig. 10.2). Damaged 442 barrier function may also allow the bacteria to 443 transfer or deliver toxins, including cytolethal 444 distending toxin (CDT), colibactin, cytotoxic 445 necrotizing factor 1 (CNF1), and Bacteroides fra-446 gilis toxin. CDT and colibactin are true genotox-447 ins, which directly damage the DNA and activate 448 the ataxia signaling pathway and histone phos-449 phorylation, which lead to G2/M cell cycle arrest 450 [6]. CDT is created by Gram-negative bacteria 451 (E. coli, Helicobacter species, and Salmonella 452 typhi) and is relevant to colorectal, gastric, and 453 gallbladder cancer. Colibactin is produced by E. 454 coli, Enterobacteriaceae, Proteus mirabilis, and 455 Klebsiella pneumoniae and is important in the 456 development of CRC. Colibactin produced by E. 457 coli induces DNA double-strand brakes, cell 458 cycle arrest, and improper cell division [1]. 459 Bacteroides fragilis toxin activates the Wnt/β--460 catenin signaling pathway, which promotes epi-461 thelial proliferation, by promoting the cleavage 462 of the adhesion molecule, E-cadherin. The cleav-463 age of E-cadherin leads to β-catenin translocation 464 to the nucleus and enables the transcription of 465 proto-oncogene c-myc, leading to colonic epithe-466 lial hyperplasia [1]. 467



Fig. 10.2 The intestinal microbiota can modulate several hallmarks of cancer through different mechanisms

46810.7Bacterial Metabolites469in Carcinogenesis

A major pathway in microbiome-host signaling 470 471 is the production of bacterial metabolites. These metabolites, which are synthesized by the 472 microbiome, enter the circulation at the site of 473 production and travel to distant organs, where 474 they exert their biological effects [57]. Bacterial 475 metabolites behave like human hormones in the 476 sense that they are synthesized by an "organ" (the 477 microbiome) and are then transferred to the site 478 of action by the circulation [57]. 479

480 Microbiota have the potential to metabolize
481 hormones, such as *estrogen*. The gut microbiome
482 is a key determinant of estrogen levels in the

body. β-Glucuronidases are the enzymes respon-483 sible for estrogen deconjugation. Deconjugation 484 of excreted estrogen is important in estrogen 485 reuptake and, thus, modulation of systemic estro-486 gen availability and the regulation of estrogen-487 associated pathways. Numerous bacterial species 488 express β-glucuronidases, including can 489 Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes: Alistipes, 490 Bacteroides. Bifidobacterium. Citrobacter. 491 Clostridium. Collinsella, Dermabacter, 492 Edwardsiella. Escherichia, Faecalibacterium, 493 Lactobacillus, Marvinbryantia, 494 Propionibacterium, Roseburia, and Tannerella. 495 Thus, these bacterial species affect circulating 496 and excreted estrogen levels. Reactivated estro-497 gen increases the serum estrogen levels and act 498

499 through estrogen receptors (ER α and ER β) to modulate the expression of several genes, includ-500 ing mitochondrial genes. Elevated oxidative 501 phosphorylation was shown to support metastasis 502 [58], contribute to therapy failure [59], and, 503 thereby, render the tumors more aggressive. 504 505 Taken together, bacterial estrogen deconjugation promotes breast cancer progression and changes 506 the risk for development and progression of 507 estrogen-dependent cancers [6, 57]. 508

The fermentation of nondigestible carbohy-509 drates is beneficial for the host due to the genera-510 511 tion of short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), such as acetate, butyrate, formate, lactate, and propio-512 nate. SCFAs are novel potential targets for the 513 management of obesity, metabolic disorders, and 514 lipomas, due to their ability to influence adipo-515 cyte differentiation [60]. SCFAs have known 516 anti-inflammatory, antiproliferative, and antineo-517 plastic effects. In addition, SCFAs can regulate 518 autophagy. Thus, SCFAs have a protective effect 519 on the colonic mucosa and play a significant role 520 in the protection against colon and liver cancer 521 [6]. In the gut, acetate, butyrate, and propionate 522 523 production are associated with a large group of bacteria. Acetate production is widespread, while 524 the production of butyrate is connected to 525 Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, Eubacterium hal-526 lii, Eubacterium rectale, Roseburia faecalis, 527 Odoribacter, and Anaerotruncus species. The 528 529 majority of propionate production is associated with Bacteroidetes, Lachnospiraceae, and 530 Negativicutes species, as well as to Roseburia 531 inulinivorans and Ruminococcus obeum. In line 532 with this, the abundance of Akkermansia 533 muciniphila, a propionate-producing bacterium, 534 535 is associated with the richness of the gut microbiome [61]. SCFAs have both positive and negative 536 effects on breast cancer. Stroma and cancer cells 537 have free fatty acid receptors, through which 538 SCFAs modulate several hallmarks of cancer: 539 cell proliferation, invasion, apoptosis, metabo-540 lism, and the expression level of certain genes. 541 Lactate can be used as a direct energy substrate; 542 thus, the inhibition of lactate metabolism reduces 543 544 cancer cell viability. Butyrate enhances mitochondrial ROS level, induces apoptosis, and 545

inhibits histone deacetylases, which lead to elevated anticancer activity [57]. 547

The intestinal microbiota regulate bile acid 548 metabolism and are involved in producing the 549 secondary bile acids, deoxycholic acid (DCA) 550 and lithocholic acid (LCA), through the deconju-551 gation, oxidation, and dehydroxylation of pri-552 mary bile acids. The enzyme responsible for the 553 conversion of primary bile acids to secondary 554 bile acids is $7\alpha/\beta$ hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase 555 (HSDH). Conversion to secondary bile acids 556 increases the hydrophobicity of bile salts allow-557 ing recovery through the colonic epithelium. 558 Secondary bile acids have both pro- and antican-559 cer activity. The consumption of a high-fat diet 560 changes the gut microbiome and enhances the 561 level of DCA via $7/\alpha$ -dehydroxylase, which is 562 produced by bacteria, mainly clostridia. DCA is a 563 promoter of carcinogenesis in certain cancers. 564 DCA-elicited cell signaling is connected to pro-565 tein kinase C and ERK1/2 signaling through epi-566 dermal growth receptors, resulting in enhanced 567 cell proliferation. DCA is known to increase 568 CRC development and promote colon and esoph-569 ageal cancers [6]. Moreover, bile acids disrupt 570 cell membranes through their amphipathic prop-571 erties and the generation of ROS and reactive 572 nitrogen species. Bile acids also exert antimicro-573 bial activity that changes the composition of the 574 intestinal community. LCA is synthesized 575 through 7α-dehydroxylation of chenodeoxycho-576 lic acid (CDCA) or 7β-dehydroxylation of urso-577 acid (UDCA). deoxycholic The enzyme 578 responsible for LCA synthesis is encoded by the 579 bile acid-inducible (baiH) operon and expressed 580 by aerobic and anaerobic bacteria, including 581 Bacteroides fragilis, Bacteroides intestinalis, 582 Clostridium scindens, Clostridium sordellii, 583 Clostridium hylemonae, and E. coli. These bacte-584 ria belong to the phyla Bacteroides, Firmicutes, 585 and Proteobacteria. LCA inhibits the epithelial-586 to-mesenchymal transition, vascular endothelial 587 growth factor (VEGF) production, and metastasis 588 formation of breast cancer cells, changes the met-589 abolic features of the cells, and enhances antitu-590 mor immunity of the host [30]. In line with these 591 observations, human serum levels of LCA and 592 the ability of the microbiome to produce LCA are 593

594 largely reduced in breast cancer; this is most pronounced in in situ and early stage carcinoma 595 (stages 0 and 1) [30]. LCA can potentially exert 596 597 its effects through the farnesoid X receptor (FXR), liver X receptor (LXR), pregnane X 598 receptor (PXR), constitutive androstane receptor 599 600 (CAR), vitamin D receptor (VDR), and G-protein-coupled bile acid receptor 1 (TGR5). 601 In breast cancer, the main receptor is TGR5. 602 Activation of TGR5 signaling was shown to 603 induce OXPHOS, mitochondrial biogenesis 604 through NRF1, AMPK, and PGC-1β signaling. 605 606 The expression of mitochondrial proteins (cytochrome c, atp5g1, and ndufb5) consequently 607 increases mitochondrial activity and exerts anti-608 Warburg effects in breast cancer models [30]. In 609 supraphysiological concentrations (>1 µM), LCA 610 was shown to inhibit fatty acid production and 611 612 induce cell death and the expression of multidrugresistant proteins [62]. 613

When undigested dietary compounds reach 614 the large intestine, they are fermented through 615 anaerobic respiration. High protein consumption 616 is associated with elevated colonic fermentation. 617 618 Bioactive products, similar to bile salts, can produce or inhibit carcinogenesis. Cadaverine, a bio-619 genic amine, is synthesized from L-lysine by 620 621 bacterial lysine decarboxylase enzymes (LdcC and CadA). Cadaverine also has a human origin, 622 but it seems that bacterial production is more 623 624 important as it highly exceeds human biosynthesis. The main cadaverine-producing bacteria 625 include Aeromonas veronii, Clostridium perfrin-626 gens, E. coli, Enterobacteriaceae bacteria, 627 Edwardsiella tarda, Hafnia alvei, Raoultella 628 ornithinolytica, Staphylococcus, 629 and 630 Streptomyces species. These species belong to the Acinetobacteria, Bacteroides, Firmicutes, 631 Fusobacteria, and Proteobacteria phyla. Trace 632 633 amine-associated receptors (TAARs) were shown to be responsible for mediating cadaverine-634 elicited effects. Through TAARs, cadaverine 635 636 inhibits epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition, proliferation, movement, and invasion of breast 637 cancer cells. Moreover, cadaverine treatment 638 639 inhibits primary tumor infiltration to the surrounding tissue and reduces the proportion of 640 cancer stem cells [42]. 641

Many bacteria in the GI tract have alcohol 642 dehydrogenase activity, which enables the bacte-643 ria to metabolize ethanol and produce reactive 644 and toxic acetaldehyde. The most important gas-645 tric pathogen, H. pylori, and some skin bacteria 646 have high alcohol dehydrogenase activity. The 647 colonic mucosa has a low aldehyde dehydroge-648 nase activity, resulting in acetaldehyde accumu-649 lation in the colon. High acetaldehyde levels 650 contribute to the pathogenesis of alcohol-induced 651 diarrhea and the increased risk of colon polyps 652 and colon cancer [63] (Fig. 10.3). 653AU5

10.8The Interference654of the Microbiome655with Chemotherapy656

Bacteria of the intestinal microbiome can inter-657 fere with therapeutic agents during cancer treat-658 ment and management. The microbiome can 659 modulate the efficacy of both chemotherapy and 660 radiotherapy. Bacteria can inactivate or activate 661 chemotherapeutic drugs, alter immune responses, 662 or interfere with the side effects of the therapy. 663 The relationship is reciprocal, as tumor therapy 664 can influence the composition and function of the 665 microbiome [57]. 666

Chemotherapeutic compounds, such as cispla-667 tin or oxaliplatin, exert their cytotoxic effects 668 through DNA damage, the upregulation of apop-669 totic pathways, or the promotion of antitumor 670 immune responses (through a TLR4-dependent 671 mechanism). The antitumor effects of *platinum* 672 compounds significantly decrease upon broad-673 spectrum antibiotic treatment or in microbiota-674 deficient mice. In addition, tumor-infiltrating 675 cells show reduced production of ROS after anti-676 biotic treatment [35]. In this scenario, commen-677 sal microbes prime tumor-infiltrating cells for 678 ROS production through the connection to PRRs, 679 with the involvement of MYD88 signaling 680 (described previously) [6, 56]. Lactobacillus aci-681 dophilus supplementation can restore the antitu-682 mor effects of cisplatin in mice [11]. 683 Cyclophosphamides have been used for antican-684 cer therapy for almost 60 years. In high doses, 685 cyclophosphamides are immunosuppressive, 686



Fig. 10.3 Mechanisms by which microbial dysbiosis modulates carcinogenesis

while in low doses, cyclophosphamides promote 687 the antitumor immune response through activa-688 689 tion of cytotoxic T cells and induction of immunogenic cell death [33]. Cyclophosphamides are 690 used in the therapy of breast cancer; however, 691 692 cyclophosphamides cause damage to the gut mucosa, making the gut leaky and allowing gut 693 bacteria to enter the circulation. A rich microbi-694 695 ome and elevated levels of Lactobacillus plantaprotective against 696 rum are cyclophosphamide-induced mucosal injury [57]. 697 Cyclophosphamide treatment causes the overrep-698 resentation of Gram-negative species, such as 699 Barnesiella intestinihominis that enhance effec-700 701 tor T cells (cytotoxic CD8⁺ T cell), and Enterococcus hirae, Gram-positive bacteria that 702 enhance MYD88-dependent CD8+ T cell activa-703 704 tion in a tumor-specific manner. Both bacteria are regulated by intestinal NOD2 receptors that pro-705 mote a pro-inflammatory tumor environment and 706 707 drive antitumor immune responses [35]. T cellmediated immune responses against B. intestini-708 hominis and E. hirae have clinical relevance in 709 710 chemotherapy-treated patients with lung and ovarian cancers. 711

In addition to cyclophosphamides, anthracy-712 clines, selective estrogen receptor modulators 713 (SERMs), taxanes, and antimetabolites have key 714 roles in breast cancer therapy. Anthracyclines are 715 produced by Streptomyces species. Anthracyclines 716 act mainly by intercalating into DNA and inter-717 fering with DNA metabolism and RNA produc-718 tion. excessive or by generating 719 ROS. Anthracyclines can be bacteriostatic; they 720 decrease the abundance of Acinetobacter species 721 [32]. No bacterial drug metabolism was associ-722 ated with SERMs (tamoxifen, raloxifene). 723 Tamoxifen can modulate the composition of the 724 microbiome, while tamoxifen resistance can also 725 be modulated by the microbiome. SERMs are 726 toxic to different species in the GI tract, including 727 Acinetobacter baumannii, Bacillus stearother-728 mophilus, Enterococcus faecium, Klebsiella 729 Porphyromonas 730 pneumoniae, gingivalis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Streptococcus 731 mutans [57]. Taxanes (paclitaxel, docetaxel) are 732 widely used as chemotherapy agents. Taxanes 733 disrupt microtubule formation and, hence, block 734 cell division and proliferation. Taxanes may 735 change the composition of the microbial commu-736 nity or interfere with bacterial LPS, while activat-737

ing the immune system. PARP inhibitors are
drugs used in the treatment of ovarian cancer
with a potential to be used for other neoplasias
(e.g., breast cancer, prostate cancer). PARP
inhibitors were shown to induce the diversity of
the gut microbiome [64].

744 Drugs are often used in combinations to enhance treatment efficacy. Irinotecan is used to 745 treat colon cancer and small cell lung carcinoma. 746 For treating colon cancer, irinotecan is generally 747 used in combination with 5-fluorouracil (5FU). 748 whereas for the treatment of small cell lung can-749 750 cer, irinotecan is combined with cisplatin. Bacterial reactivation of irinotecan by bacterial 751 β-glucuronidase leads to severe side effects, such 752 753 as diarrhea, vomiting, bone marrow suppression, hair loss, shortness of breath, and fever. Antibiotic 754 treatment or β -glucuronidase inhibition prevents 755 756 most of these side effects [6]. When 5FU is used in combination with irinotecan, dysbiosis-757 induced mucositis leads to bacterial translocation 758 759 from the GI tract. Both 5FU and gemcitabine undergo bacterial activation and bacterial deacti-760 vation. In human pancreatic ductal adenocarci-761 762 noma, Gammaproteobacteria was found to be the most important player in deactivating gem-763 citabine. tumors, levels In of 764 Gammaproteobacteria were elevated in tumor 765 patients as compared to healthy individuals, 766 underlining its role in the regulation of gem-767 768 citabine availability. Both 5FU and gemcitabine have bactericidal properties; therefore, they can 769 alter the composition of the GI microbial com-770 771 munity [57].

Chemotherapy is often not specific for one or 772 two bacterial species, but change the proportion 773 774 and diversity of the microbiome. After chemotherapy, both the alpha diversity, which repre-775 sents species richness (the number of different 776 species in a sample), and beta diversity, which 777 refers to the diversity in the microbial community 778 between different environments, are altered as 779 780 compared to samples without chemotherapy. These changes are independent of covariates 781 (age, sex, previous antibiotic consumption, and 782 previous chemotherapeutic treatment) and show 783 increases in *Citrobacter.* Enterococcus. 784 Klebsiella, Megasphaera, and Parabacteroides 785

species, while showing decrements in the abun-786 dance of Adlercreutzia. Anaerostipes, 787 Bifidobacterium, Blautia, Clostridium, 788 Collinsella, Coprococcus, Dorea, Lachnospira, 789 Roseburia, and Ruminococcus species. Some 790 bacteria showed resistance to chemotherapy; thus 791 their abundance did not change upon treatment, 792 including Actinomyces, Erysipelotrichaceae, 793 Mobiluncus, Mitsuokella, Oxalobacter, 794 Prevotella, Scardovia, and Slackia [34]. 795

Besides inducing taxonomic dysbiosis, che-796 motherapy can disrupt microbial function. 797 Several metabolic pathways can be suppressed 798 by chemotherapy, including amino acid, carbo-799 hydrate, and nucleotide metabolism, as well as 800 the metabolism of vitamins and cofactors. Other 801 pathways are enhanced by chemotherapy, includ-802 ing signal transduction, xenobiotic degradation, 803 and glycan metabolism. Glycan metabolism, 804 together with disrupted carbohydrate and amino 805 acid metabolism, contributes to enhanced intesti-806 nal inflammation [65] and upregulation of nitro-807 gen, sulfate, and riboflavin pathways, which is 808 associated with inflammatory diseases, increased 809 ROS production, and bacterial translocation [66]. 810 Moreover, chemotherapy increases bacterial 811 motility proteins and flagella assembly (essential 812 for bacterial pathogenesis, motility, adhesion, 813 and invasion). 814

Dysregulated microbiota plays a significant 815 role in the development of GI mucositis. 816 Mucositis is a painful inflammation of the 817 mucous membranes of the digestive system, usu-818 ally as an unpleasant side effect of chemotherapy 819 and radiotherapy for cancer. In the first step of 820 this process, the microbiome enhances the activa-821 tion of NF- κ B and TNF α signaling, leading to 822 long-lasting inflammation. Several bacteria are 823 reduced after chemotherapy, including 824 Bifidobacterium, Coprococcus, Clostridium, 825 Dorea. Faecalibacterium. Lachnospira. 826 Roseburia, and Ruminococcus, which inhibit 827 inflammation through blocking NF-kB and pro-828 duce mucosa-protecting metabolites (SCFAs), 829 whereas Citrobacter and other species, which 830 participate in LPS biosynthesis and enhance 831 intestinal inflammation, are increased during 832 chemotherapy [34]. Subsequently, GI mucositis 833 834 barrier dysfunction develops, leading to increased intestinal permeability, which coincides with a 835 decrease in the amount of the previously men-836 tioned protective bacteria. The microbiome may 837 modulate the composition of the mucus layer, as 838 the terminal step of mucositis induction. 839 840 *Citrobacter*, which increases after chemotherapy, may participate in the degradation of the mucosal 841 barrier through the expression of mucus-842 degrading enzymes (mucinase, glycosidase), and 843 Enterobacteriaceae can disrupt the mucus layer. 844 Butyrate-producing bacteria protect the mucin 845 846 layer, as butyrate increase mucin synthesis. A decrement in cysteine, proline, and methionine 847 metabolism, which occurs during chemotherapy, 848 849 can also be responsible for altered mucin composition and the development of GI mucositis after 850 chemotherapy [34]. 851

852 Radiation therapy is used as a primary treatment in cancers that are localized to one area of 853 the body to prevent tumor recurrence after sur-854 855 gery or applied together with chemotherapeutic agents. Radiation itself is genotoxic, resulting in 856 cancer cell death. However, radiation can also 857 858 abolish nontarget cells due to the activation of the immune system by radiation-induced inflamma-859 tion. The microbiota is known to be involved in 860 these off-target effects due to intestinal mucosa 861 damage and toxicity. Radiotherapy decreases 862 both the diversity and the total amount of gut bac-863 864 teria. particularly Bacteroidetes. Enterobacteriaceae, Firmicutes. and 865 Lactobacillus species, while enriching 866 Fusobacterium and Proteobacteria, which are 867 connected with increased production of pro-868 inflammatory cytokines [35]. 869

870 10.9 Modulation 871 of the Microbiome 872 to Enhance the Efficacy 873 of Chemotherapy

Probiotics and prebiotics are widely used to shift
the composition of the microbiome, and these
interventions are potentially useful in restoring
the microbiome after chemotherapy. Probiotics
contain live bacteria that can be administered

orally, while prebiotics (dietary prebiotics) are 879 compounds in food, which provide substrates 880 that stimulate the growth or activity of advanta-881 geous bacteria colonizing the gut. Prebiotics and 882 probiotics prevent infection and moderate the 883 side effects of cancer treatment. Administration 884 of various strains of Lactobacillus, such as 885 Lactobacillus acidophilus, is associated with 886 enhanced cisplatin sensitivity and longer survival 887 in lung cancer [35]. Bifidobacterium bifidum, 888 Lactobacillus acidophilus, Lactobacillus casei. 889 and Lactobacillus rhamnosus decrease the toxic-890 ity associated with 5FU chemotherapy and, con-891 sequently, reduce abdominal discomfort and 892 diarrhea. In addition, Bifidobacterium and 893 Lactobacillus species in combination were able 894 to moderate the side effects after radiation treat-895 ment. Current clinical trials are focused on the 896 efficacy of probiotic treatment for colorectal, kid-897 ney, breast, gynecologic, and lung cancer [35]. 898

Fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT), also 899 known as stool transplantation, is the process of 900 transplanting fecal bacteria from a healthy indi-901 vidual into a diseased subject. FMT is an effec-902 tive therapy to shift the composition of the 903 microbiome. FMT is effective in the treatment of 904 Clostridium difficile, where FMT is curative 905 through enhancement of the diversity of the 906 microbiome [67]. FMT could be potentially 907 effective after chemotherapy or radiotherapy in 908 cancer patients by avoiding gut toxicity or pre-909 venting infections. However, FMT has numerous 910 side effects (fever, diarrhea, vomiting), including 911 serious side effects, such as GI bleeding or perfo-912 ration, that limit its applicability in cancer 913 patients [35]. 914

As a developing future therapy, bacterial engi-915 neering offers the opportunity to treat cancer 916 without reconfiguring the gut microbiome. 917 Biologically engineered bacteria could be applied 918 effectively to target cancer cells or to deliver ther-919 apeutic agents, thereby avoiding serious side 920 effect-eliciting anticancer therapies. Bacterial 921 cells can be easily and rapidly transfected with 922 vectors encoding interfering RNAs, cytokines, 923 toxins, antiangiogenic factors, or antibodies. 924 Listeria and Shigella species could invade 925 hypoxic tumor tissues, and, given their quick rep-926



Fig. 10.4 Targeting the microbiome for modulation of carcinogenesis

lication rate, these bacteria could amplify their
transgene(s) within the tumor microenvironment.
Upon the application of bacteria, finding a good
balance is necessary; one must seed a sufficient
number of bacteria to elicit therapeutic effect but
should avoid suppressing the immune system at
the same time [35] (Fig. 10.4).

93410.10Type of Cancers Related935to Microbial Dysbiosis

Besides the GI tract, other organs are colonized
by a unique microbial community, such as the
skin, oral cavity, and germinal tracts. Growing
evidence confirms a significant relevance of bacterial microbiota in the carcinogenesis of the
colon, liver, breast, lung, oral cavity, and
pancreas.

The liver receives 70% of its blood supply 943 from the intestinal vein. This close functional 944 relationship between the liver and GI tract results 945 in constant exposure to nutrients, toxins, micro-946 bial metabolites, and microbes. Various types of 947 immune cells (NK cells, macrophages, lympho-948 cytes) defend this organ against harmful agents 949 derived from the intestine. An altered microbi-950 ome may contribute to the development of hepa-951 tocellular carcinoma (HCC), which is preceded 952 by chronic liver disease, fibrosis, and cirrhosis 953 [68]. The disrupted microbiome may drive this 954 process through the loss of intestinal barrier func-955

tion, the activation of the NF-κB pathway, the 956 production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, and 957 increased anti-apoptotic signals. 958

Pancreatic cancer is an aggressive cancer type 959 with low therapeutic success and survival rate. 960 Periodontal disease, low oral hygiene, obesity, 961 smoking, and alcohol consumption are well-962 known risk factors for pancreatic cancer, because 963 they facilitate the translocation of bacteria 964 through disrupted barrier layers. Bacteria can 965 reach the pancreas through the circulation. 966 Furthermore, although the pancreas does not 967 have a microbiome, carcinogenesis of this organ 968 is enhanced by distant dysbiotic microbiota [6], 969 through the involvement of inflammatory 970 responses, LPS expression, and TLR4 activation 971 [**69**]. 972

About 90% of all lung cancer cases are attrib-973 uted to smoking, while only 15% of smokers 974 develop lung cancer, suggesting other mecha-975 nisms and influences. The interface of the lung is 976 continuously connected to the outside environ-977 ment, and the microbiota of the lung reflect the 978 microaspiration of oral microbiota. The lung has 979 a unique microbiome with different species of 980 Proteobacteria. The connection between lung 981 cancer and chronic pulmonary disease is assigned 982 to toxic pro-inflammatory and neoplasia-causing 983 compounds. Different bacteria species, such as 984 Moraxella catarrhalis, Haemophilus influenza, 985 and Streptococcus pneumoniae, are associated 986 with 50% of chronic pulmonary disease, and 987

their presence can elicit chronic inflammatoryresponses [70].

The oral cavity harbors diverse individual 990 microbiota. Moreover, the composition of the 991 microbiota differs between microenvironments 992 within the oral cavity; the lateral and dorsal 993 994 tongue and tooth surface all have unique microbial communities. The normal oral microbiome 995 includes Actinobacteria. Bacteroidetes, 996 Fusobacteria, Firmicutes, Haemophilus, 997 Neisseria. Prevotella. Proteobacteria. 998 Streptococcus, and Veillonella species. 999 Capnocytophaga gingivalis, Prevotella melanin-1000 ogenica, and Streptococcus mitis are found in 1001 oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) and are 1002 considered biomarkers of this disease. Risk fac-1003 tors for OSCC, which are connected to anaero-1004 bic. Gram-negative bacteria that liberate 1005 inflammatory markers, include smoking, heavy 1006 alcohol consumption, poor oral hygiene, and 1007 periodontal disease [71]. 1008

Genetic factors, infection, inflammation, and 1009 diet are well-known risk factors for colorectal 1010 carcinoma (CRC). CRC is associated with other 1011 1012 diseases, such as inflammatory bowel disease, autoimmune, allergic reactions, obesity, and dia-1013 betes. Despite the great diversity of bacterial spe-1014 cies of the GI tract, CRC is closely related to 1015 changes in the diversity and activity of microbes. 1016 Microbes produce metabolically active mole-1017 cules that alter homeostasis or carcinogenesis 1018 [72]. The microbiota may contribute to CRC 1019 through different mechanisms that result in an 1020 imbalance between cellular proliferation and 1021 apoptosis pathways, such as PRR signaling and 1022 inflammation, metabolites that induce DNA dam-1023 1024 age and chromosome instability, or the loss of protective metabolites (due to microbial dysbio-1025 sis), such as SCFAs, secondary bile acids, or bio-1026 1027 active amines [73].

Recent research showed a strong correlation between gut microbiome dysbiosis and *breast cancer*. In addition to the gut microbiome, the breast has a unique microbiome that shows drastic changes in breast cancer. The microenvironment of breast cancer cells is modulated by bacterial metabolites (SCFAs, secondary bile acids, amino acid degradation products, and 1035 estrogen derivatives) that are produced in the 1036 intestine and reach cancer cells of the breast via 1037 the circulatory system. In breast cancer, various 1038 pathways are disrupted or altered in addition to 1039 the general changes in glycolysis and mitochon-1040 drial function, including glutamine, fatty acid, 1041 cholesterol metabolism, protein translation, and 1042 glutamine-serine pathways in cancer cells. These 1043 changes are the consequence of the rearrange-1044 ment of a complex homeostatic system and 1045 energy sensors and lead to changes in cell prolif-1046 eration and angiogenesis. Microbial dysbiosis 1047 occurs in both the fecal flora and the breast 1048 microbiome in breast cancer [20]. Fecal samples 1049 of breast cancer patients contain increased levels 1050 of Clostridiaceae. Faecalibacterium. and 1051 Ruminococcaceae and decreased levels of Dorea 1052 and Lachnospiraceae species [18]. Moreover, the 1053 microbiota composition differs not only between 1054 cancerous persons and healthy volunteers but 1055 also between breast cancer stages and grades and 1056 according to different tumor subtypes (triple-1057 negative breast cancer associated with unique 1058 microbiome) [74]. For example, patients with 1059 grade III cancer have an increased number of 1060 Blautia species, compared with grade I patients, 1061 and samples from stage II/III showed elevated 1062 absolute numbers of Bacteroidetes, Clostridium, 1063 and *Blautia* species [75]. 1064

10.11 Future Prospects

The recent emergence of studies on the microbi-1066 ome in various diseases highlights the impor-1067 tance of bacterial dysbiosis in different cancers. 1068 Despite the increasing literature on colorectal 1069 cancer, the data and observations on those can-1070 cers that are not in direct contact with the (gut) 1071 microbiome are limited and the available studies 1072 are often restricted to observational studies. 1073 Hence, mechanistic studies are largely missing. 1074 Minor microbiome compartments are understud-1075 ied, in terms of the number of bacteria (e.g., 1076 lower airways). These caveats will need to be 1077 filled in the future. 1078

1079 The currently available data suggest that prebiotics and probiotics may have beneficial effects 1080 in restoring/preventing the microbiome dysbio-1081 sis, but these findings will have to be assessed in 1082 well-controlled clinical studies. Along those 1083 same lines, the use of antibiotics in cancer 1084 1085 patients will need to be assessed in detail. Finally, the microbiome-drug interactions, a key element 1086 in cancer-related personalized medicine, will 1087 need to be precisely mapped. 1088

Acknowledgments Our work is supported by grants 1089 NKFIH (K123975, PD124110, FK128387, 1090 from GINOP-2.3.2-15-2016-00006) 1091 and the Hungarian 1092 Academy of Sciences (NKM-26/2019). EM is supported by a Bolyai Fellowship from the Hungarian Academy of 1093 1094 Sciences. We are grateful to Dr. Karen Uray (Department 1095 of Medical Chemistry, University of Debrecen) for the revision of the text. 1096

References 1097

- 1. Fulbright LE, Ellermann M, Arthur JC (2017) The 1098 microbiome and the hallmarks of cancer. PLoS 1099 1100 Pathog 13(9):e1006480
- 2. Maruvada P et al (2017) The human microbiome 1101 1102 and obesity: moving beyond associations. Cell Host Microbe 22(5):589-599 1103
- 3. Macfabe D (2013) Autism: metabolism, mitochon-1104 dria, and the microbiome. Glob Adv Health Med 1105 1106 2(6):52-66
- 4. Kundu P et al (2017) Our gut microbiome: the evolv-1107 1108 ing inner self. Cell 171(7):1481–1493
- 1109 5. Plottel CS, Blaser MJ (2011) Microbiome and malignancy. Cell Host Microbe 10(4):324-335 1110
- 6. Schwabe RF, Jobin C (2013) The microbiome and 1111 1112 cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 13(11):800-812
- 7. Zitvogel L et al (2016) Microbiome and anticancer 1113 immunosurveillance. Cell 165(2):276-287 1114
- 1115 8. Yu H et al (2015) Urinary microbiota in patients with prostate cancer and benign prostatic hyperplasia. Arch 1116 Med Sci 11(2):385-394 1117
- 1118 9. Chase D et al (2015) The vaginal and gastrointestinal microbiomes in gynecologic cancers: a review 1119 1120 of applications in etiology, symptoms and treatment. 1121 Gynecol Oncol 138(1):190-200
- 10. Yu Y et al (2015) The role of the cutaneous micro-1122 biome in skin cancer: lessons learned from the gut. 1123 1124 J Drugs Dermatol 14(5):461-465
- 11. Gui QF et al (2015) Well-balanced commensal micro-1125
- 1126 biota contributes to anti-cancer response in a lung can-
- cer mouse model. Genet Mol Res 14(2):5642-5651 1127

- 12. Garrett WS (2015) Cancer and the microbiota. 1128 Science 348(6230):80-86 1129
- 13. Yamamoto ML, Schiestl RH (2014) Lymphoma 1130 caused by intestinal microbiota. Int J Environ Res 1131 Public Health 11(9):9038-9049 1132
- 14. Yamamoto ML, Schiestl RH (2014) Intestinal 1133 microbiome and lymphoma development. Cancer 1134 J 20(3):190-194 1135
- 15. Flores R et al (2012) Fecal microbial determinants of 1136 fecal and systemic estrogens and estrogen metabo-1137 lites: a cross-sectional study. J Transl Med 10:253 1138
- 16. Fuhrman BJ et al (2014) Associations of the fecal 1139 microbiome with urinary estrogens and estro-1140 gen metabolites in postmenopausal women. J Clin 1141 Endocrinol Metab 99(12):4632-4640 1142

1143

1144

1155

1156

1157

1158

1161

1166

1167

1168

1169

1170

1171

1172

- 17. Xuan C et al (2014) Microbial dysbiosis is associated with human breast cancer. PLoS One 9(1):e83744
- 18. Goedert JJ et al (2015) Investigation of the associa-1145 tion between the fecal microbiota and breast cancer 1146 in postmenopausal women: a population-based case-1147 control pilot study. J Natl Cancer Inst 107(8):djv147 1148
- 19. Chan AA et al (2016) Characterization of the microbi-1149 ome of nipple aspirate fluid of breast cancer survivors. 1150 Sci Rep 6:28061 1151
- 20. Hieken TJ et al (2016) The microbiome of aseptically 1152 collected human breast tissue in benign and malignant 1153 disease. Sci Rep 6:30751 1154
- 21. Urbaniak C et al (2016) The microbiota of breast tissue and its association with breast cancer. Appl Environ Microbiol 82(16):5039-5048
- 22. Goedert JJ et al (2018) Postmenopausal breast cancer and oestrogen associations with the IgA-coated 1159 and IgA-noncoated faecal microbiota. Br J Cancer 1160 118(4):471-479
- 23. Kawai T, Akira S (2009) The roles of TLRs, RLRs 1162 and NLRs in pathogen recognition. Int Immunol 1163 21(4):317-337 1164
- 24. Dapito DH et al (2012) Promotion of hepatocellular 1165 carcinoma by the intestinal microbiota and TLR4. Cancer Cell 21(4):504-516
- 25. Rowland IR (1988) Role of the gut flora in toxicity and cancer. Academic Press, London, p x, 517 p
- 26. Yoshimoto S et al (2013) Obesity-induced gut microbial metabolite promotes liver cancer through senescence secretome. Nature 499(7456):97-101
- 27. Xie G et al (2016) Dysregulated hepatic bile acids 1173 collaboratively promote liver carcinogenesis. Int 1174 J Cancer 139(8):1764-1775
- 28. Shellman Z et al (2017) Bile acids: a potential role 1176 in the pathogenesis of pharyngeal malignancy. Clin 1177 Otolaryngol 42(5):969-973 1178
- 29. Luu TH et al (2018) Lithocholic bile acid inhibits 1179 lipogenesis and induces apoptosis in breast cancer 1180 cells. Cell Oncol (Dordr) 41(1):13-24 1181
- 30. Miko E et al (2018) Lithocholic acid, a bacterial 1182 metabolite reduces breast cancer cell proliferation 1183 and aggressiveness. Biochim Biophys Acta Bioenerg 1184 1859(9):958-974 1185

1249

1250

1251

1252

1253

1254

1256

1257

1258

1259

1260

1261

1262

1263

1264

1266

1267

1268

1269

1270

1271

1272

1286

1293

1294

1295

- 31. Bindels LB et al (2012) Gut microbiota-derived pro-1186 pionate reduces cancer cell proliferation in the liver. 1187 1188 Br J Cancer 107(8):1337-1344
- 32. McCarron AJ et al (2012) Antibacterial effects on aci-1189 netobacter species of commonly employed antineo-1190 1191 plastic agents used in the treatment of haematological
- malignancies: an in vitro laboratory evaluation. Br 1192 J Biomed Sci 69(1):14-17 1193
- 1194 33. Viaud S et al (2013) The intestinal microbiota modulates the anticancer immune effects of cyclophospha-1195 mide. Science 342(6161):971-976 1196
- 1197 34. Montassier E et al (2015) Chemotherapy-driven dysbiosis in the intestinal microbiome. Aliment 1198 Pharmacol Ther 42(5):515-528 1199
- 35. Buchta Rosean C et al (2019) Impact of the micro-1200 biome on cancer progression and response to anti-1201 cancer therapies. Adv Cancer Res 143:255-294 1202
- 1203 36. Garcia-Castillo V et al (2016) Microbiota dysbiosis: a new piece in the understanding of the carcinogenesis 1204 puzzle. J Med Microbiol 65(12):1347-1362 1205
- 1206 37. Arslan N (2014) Obesity, fatty liver disease and intestinal microbiota. World J Gastroenterol 1207 20(44):16452-16463 1208
- 1209 38. Lynch SV, Pedersen O (2016) The human intestinal microbiome in health and disease. N Engl J Med 1210 375(24):2369-2379 1211
- 1212 39. Khan AA, Shrivastava A, Khurshid M (2012) Normal to cancer microbiome transformation and its impli-1213 cation in cancer diagnosis. Biochim Biophys Acta 1214 1826(2):331-337 1215
- 40. Walsh CJ et al (2014) Beneficial modulation of the gut 1216 microbiota. FEBS Lett 588(22):4120-4130 1217
- 1218 41. Petersen C, Round JL (2014) Defining dysbiosis and its influence on host immunity and disease. Cell 1219 Microbiol 16(7):1024-1033 1220
- 42. Kovacs T et al (2019) Cadaverine, a metabolite of the 1221 microbiome, reduces breast cancer aggressiveness 1222 through trace amino acid receptors. Sci Rep 9(1):1300 1223
- 1224 43. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA (2011) Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell 144(5):646-674 1225
- 44. Balkwill FR, Capasso M, Hagemann T (2012) The 1226 1227 tumor microenvironment at a glance. J Cell Sci 125(23):5591-5596 1228
- 45. Fernandez MF et al (2018) Breast cancer and its rela-1229 1230 tionship with the microbiota. Int J Environ Res Public Health 15(8):E1747 1231
- 46. Hackam DJ, Good M, Sodhi CP (2013) Mechanisms 1232 1233 of gut barrier failure in the pathogenesis of necrotizing enterocolitis: toll-like receptors throw the switch. 1234 Semin Pediatr Surg 22(2):76-82 1235
- 1236 47. Brahmer JR et al (2012) Safety and activity of anti-PD-L1 antibody in patients with advanced cancer. N 1237 Engl J Med 366(26):2455-2465 1238
- 1239 48. Yang JC et al (2007) Ipilimumab (anti-CTLA4 antibody) causes regression of metastatic renal cell 1240 cancer associated with enteritis and hypophysitis. 1241 J Immunother 30(8):825-830 1242

- 49. Topalian SL et al (2012) Safety, activity, and immune 1243 correlates of anti-PD-1 antibody in cancer. N Engl 1244 J Med 366(26):2443-2454 1245
- 50. Vetizou M et al (2015) Anticancer immunotherapy 1246 by CTLA-4 blockade relies on the gut microbiota. 1247 Science 350(6264):1079-1084 1248
- 51. Sivan A et al (2015) Commensal Bifidobacterium promotes antitumor immunity and facilitates anti-PD-L1 efficacy. Science 350(6264):1084-1089
- 52. Gopalakrishnan V et al (2018) Gut microbiome modulates response to anti-PD-1 immunotherapy in melanoma patients. Science 359(6371):97-103
- 53. Matson V et al (2018) The commensal microbiome is 1255 associated with anti-PD-1 efficacy in metastatic melanoma patients. Science 359(6371):104-108
- 54. Routy B et al (2018) Gut microbiome influences efficacy of PD-1-based immunotherapy against epithelial tumors. Science 359(6371):91–97
- 55. Ridlon JM, Kang DJ, Hylemon PB (2006) Bile salt biotransformations by human intestinal bacteria. J Lipid Res 47(2):241-259
- 56. Louis P, Hold GL, Flint HJ (2014) The gut microbiota, bacterial metabolites and colorectal cancer. Nat 1265 Rev Microbiol 12(10):661-672
- 57. Miko E et al (2019) Microbiome-microbial metabolome-cancer cell interactions in breast cancerfamiliar, but unexplored. Cell 8(4):E293
- 58. Gandhi N, Das GM (2019) Metabolic reprogramming in breast cancer and its therapeutic implications. Cell 8(2):E89
- 59. Sansone P et al (2017) Packaging and transfer of mito-1273 chondrial DNA via exosomes regulate escape from 1274 dormancy in hormonal therapy-resistant breast can-1275 cer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114(43):E9066-E9075 1276
- 60. Ivan J et al (2017) The short-chain fatty acid propionate 1277 inhibits adipogenic differentiation of human chorion-1278 derived mesenchymal stem cells through the free fatty 1279 acid receptor 2. Stem Cells Dev 26(23):1724-1733 1280
- 61. Fruge AD et al (2018) Fecal Akkermansia muciniph-1281 ila is associated with body composition and micro-1282 biota diversity in overweight and obese women with 1283 breast Cancer participating in a presurgical weight 1284 loss trial. J Acad Nutr Diet. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. 1285 jand.2018.08.164
- 62. Swales KE et al (2006) The farnesoid X recep-1287 tor is expressed in breast cancer and regulates 1288 apoptosis and aromatase expression. Cancer Res 1289 66(20):10120-10126 1290
- 63. Salaspuro M (1997) Microbial metabolism of ethanol 1291 and acetaldehyde and clinical consequences. Addict 1292 Biol 2(1):35-46
- 64. Vida A et al (2018) Deletion of poly(ADPribose) polymerase-1 changes the composition of the microbiome in the gut. Mol Med Rep 18(5):4335-4341
- 65. Morgan XC et al (2012) Dysfunction of the intestinal 1297 microbiome in inflammatory bowel disease and treat-1298 ment. Genome Biol 13(9):R79 1299

- 1300 66. Giles GI, Jacob C (2002) Reactive sulfur species:
 1301 an emerging concept in oxidative stress. Biol Chem
 1302 383(3-4):375-388
- 1303 67. Khoruts A et al (2010) Changes in the composition of
 1304 the human fecal microbiome after bacteriotherapy for
 1305 recurrent Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea.
 1306 J Clin Gastroenterol 44(5):354–360
- 1307 68. Roh YS, Seki E (2013) Toll-like receptors in alcoholic liver disease, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis and carcinogenesis. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 28(Suppl 1310 1):38–42
- 1311 69. Zambirinis CP et al (2014) Pancreatic cancer, inflammation, and microbiome. Cancer J 20(3):195–202
- 1313 70. Houghton AM (2013) Mechanistic links between
- 1314 COPD and lung cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 13(4):233–245

- 71. Schmidt BL et al (2014) Changes in abundance of oral microbiota associated with oral cancer. PLoS One 9(6):e98741
 1317
- 72. Zackular JP et al (2013) The gut microbiome modulates colon tumorigenesis. MBio 4(6):e00692–e00613 1319
- 73. Arthur JC, Jobin C (2011) The struggle within: microbial influences on colorectal cancer. Inflamm Bowel 1321 Dis 17(1):396–409
 1322
- 74. Banerjee S et al (2015) Distinct microbiological signatures associated with triple negative breast cancer.
 1324 Sci Rep 5:15162
 1325
- 75. Luu TH et al (2017) Intestinal proportion of Blautia
 sp. is associated with clinical stage and histoprognostic grade in patients with early-stage breast cancer.
 Nutr Cancer 69(2):267–275
 1329

Rected

Author Queries

Chapter No.: 10 479427_1_En_10_Chapter

Queries	Details Required	Author's Response
AU1	Please check and confirm if the author names and affiliations are presented correctly.	
AU2	Reference citations in Abstract have been expanded as per style. Please provide in-text citation for Refs. [1–35] in sequential order.	
AU3	Please check if the presentation of keywords is appropriate.	
AU4	Please check the hierarchy of the section headings and confirm if correct.	
AU5	Please check if inserted citation of Figs. 10.3 and 10.4 is appropriate.	