

BINARY LINEAR CODES WITH NEAR-EXTREMAL MAXIMUM DISTANCE*

ANDRÁS PONGRÁCZ[†]

Abstract. Let C denote a binary linear code with length n all of whose coordinates are essential, i.e., for each coordinate there is a codeword that is not zero in that position. Then the maximum distance D is strictly bigger than $n/2$, and the extremum $D = (n + 1)/2$ is attained exactly by punctured Hadamard codes. In this paper, we classify binary linear codes with $D = n/2 + 1$. All of these codes can be produced from punctured Hadamard codes in one of essentially three different ways, each having a transparent description.

Key words. code, anticode, support, maximum distance

AMS subject classifications. 94B05, 94B65, 20B10

1. Introduction. The present paper is a follow-up to [16], where binary linear codes with near extremal maximum distance were analyzed to obtain classification results for an extremal problem about finite permutation groups. More precisely, the size S of the support of a finite permutation group G is at most $2s - 2$, where s denotes the maximum degree of elements in the permutation group G , and a description was given to those G such that S is $2s - 2$, $2s - 3$ or $2s - 4$. The dual notion $\mu(G)$, the minimum degree of non-identity elements, is also a central notion in permutation group theory. It was particularly well-studied for primitive permutation groups, see [13] for a recent improvement on the lower bound. Often the results are phrased for the fixity $S - \mu(G)$ of G , see [17, 19, 20].

The main direct motivation is a recent paper [1]. It was shown that an upper estimation to S in terms of s can be applied to obtain results about the asymptotic probability that a finite structure over a given finite relational language has an automorphism group isomorphic to some permutation group H , provided that the automorphism group contains a given permutation group G . It follows that only finitely many H occurs with positive asymptotic probability, and that the probability for any such H is a rational number. This generalizes the well-known theorem that, given a finite relational vocabulary, asymptotically almost all finite structures are rigid; see [4, 6, 7, 10] for further details. In order to compute the family of possible H corresponding to a given G , it is crucial to refine the upper bound on S in terms of s , and study the near extremal cases.

In [16] the cases $S = 2s - 2$ and $S = 2s - 3$ were fully characterized. The proof relies on a refinement of Burnside's lemma [14], and mainly on the following classification of punctured Hadamard codes up to equivalence in terms of the maximum distance of the code. We say that a coordinate is essential in a code if not all codewords are zero in that position.

*Submitted to the editors on September 19, 2019.

Funding: This work is supported by the EFOP-3.6.2-16-2017-00015 project, which has been supported by the European Union, co-financed by the European Social Fund. The paper was also supported by the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund of Hungary, financed under the FK 124814 and PD 125160 funding schemes, the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, and by the ÚNKP-18-4 and the ÚNKP-19-4 New National Excellence Program of the Ministry of Human Capacities.

[†]Department of Algebra and Number Theory, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, 4032 Hungary (pongracz.andras@science.unideb.hu, <http://math.unideb.hu/pongracz-andras/en>).

38 **THEOREM 1.1.** *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that a binary linear code C of length n has*
 39 *maximum distance $D \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$. Assume that all coordinates of the code are essential.*
 40 *Then $D = \frac{n+1}{2} = 2^{k-1}$ for some $k \geq 1$, and the code is equivalent to the punctured*
 41 *Hadamard code H_k with parameters $[2^k - 1, k, 2^{k-1}]_2$.*

42 The case $S = 2s - 4$ hinges on a partial result about binary linear codes with
 43 length n and maximum distance $D = \frac{n}{2} + 1$ all of whose coordinates are essential (see
 44 Theorem 2.2). Some further preliminary results were shown in [16] about codes with
 45 these properties, and the description to the above extremal problem $S = 2s - 4$ was
 46 reduced to a classification of such codes. The main contribution of the present paper
 47 is the complete description of such codes, see Theorem 2.6. Many of these codes are
 48 two- or three-weight binary linear codes (and give rise to further constructions like
 49 that), a concept actively studied lately, see [5, 11, 12, 23]. We recommend [3, 18] for
 50 an introduction to linear codes. An upper bound on the maximum distance is in [2].

51 **2. Constructions and the main result.** We recall a construction from [16].

52 **DEFINITION 2.1.** *Let H_k be the $[2^k - 1, k, 2^{k-1}]_2$ punctured Hadamard code, and*
 53 *let $m \leq k$. We define $H_{k \times m} := H_k \times H_m$, i.e., producing all concatenations of*
 54 *codewords in H_k and H_m . The code $H_{k|m}$ can be obtained from H_k by picking $2^m - 1$*
 55 *coordinates such that the restriction of H_k to those is isomorphic to H_m , and repeating*
 56 *those coordinates simultaneously. Any code C with $H_{k|m} \leq C \leq H_{k \times m}$ has length*
 57 *$n = 2^k + 2^m - 2$ and maximum distance $D = 2^{k-1} + 2^{m-1} = \frac{n}{2} + 1$, and moreover,*
 58 *all coordinates of C are essential.*

59 For example, a generating matrix of $H_{3|2}$ is $M_{3|2}$ below.

$$M_{3|2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

60 We also provide a generating matrix $M_{3 \times 2}$ of $H_{3 \times 2}$.

$$M_{3 \times 2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

61 It was noted in [16] that the list of codes in Definition 2.1 is not exhaustive.
 62 However, the following positive result was shown in [16].

63 **THEOREM 2.2.** *Let C be a binary linear code all of whose coordinates are essential*
 64 *with length $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and maximum distance $D = \frac{n}{2} + 1$. Then there exist $1 \leq m \leq k$*
 65 *such that $n = 2^k + 2^m - 2$, $D = 2^{k-1} + 2^{m-1}$, and $H_{k|m} \leq C$.*

66 To obtain a full classification of codes with $D = \frac{n}{2} + 1$, we present some further
 67 constructions.

68 **DEFINITION 2.3.** *As usual, we say that two coordinates i, j are equivalent with*
 69 *respect to a code C , if for all codewords $c \in C$ we have $c_i = c_j$. The equivalence*
 70 *classes of $H_{m|m}$ are pairs. We say that a partition $X \cup X'$ of the coordinates of $H_{m|m}$*
 71 *is symmetrical if X intersects all these pairs in exactly one element. More generally,*
 72 *for any $k \geq m$ we can talk about symmetrical partitions $X \cup Y \cup X'$ of the set of*
 73 *coordinates of $H_{k|m}$: Y consists of the non-repeated coordinates, and $X \cup X'$ is a*
 74 *symmetrical partition of the code restricted to $X \cup X'$ (which is isomorphic to $H_{m|m}$).*

75 Note that there are 2^m symmetrical partitions of the coordinates of $H_{k|m}$. In
 76 Definition 2.1 we somewhat loosely put $H_{k|m} \leq C \leq H_{k \times m}$. In order to represent
 77 the codes $H_{k|m}$ and $H_{k \times m}$, we need to fix a symmetrical partition $X \cup Y \cup X'$ of the
 78 set of coordinates of $H_{k|m}$, so that the supports of H_k and H_m are specified, namely
 79 these are $X \cup Y$ and X' , respectively. This problem is going to cause some difficulties
 80 later on. E.g., if we are looking for nontrivial examples for codes C with $H_{k|m} \leq C$
 81 and $D = \frac{n}{2} + 1$, i.e., not of the form $H_{k|m} \leq C \leq H_{k \times m}$, then we need to make sure
 82 that such a containment does not hold with respect to any symmetrical partition.

83 DEFINITION 2.4. Let $X \cup X'$ be a symmetrical partition of the coordinates of
 84 $H_{m|m}$. We say that a vector c is $H_{m|m}$ -balanced (with respect to the partition $X \cup X'$),
 85 if there exist $1 \leq \ell \leq m$ and ℓ independent codewords $c_1, \dots, c_\ell \in H_{m|m}$ such that
 86 $\text{supp}(c) = X' \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \text{supp}(c_i)$. Later on (cf. Lemmas 3.1 and 3.5), we are going to see
 87 that these are exactly the vectors such that $\langle H_{m|m}, c \rangle$ has the same maximum distance
 88 $D = 2^m$ as $H_{m|m}$. Thus it is natural for a code C with $H_{m|m} < C \leq H_{m \times m}$ to say
 89 that a vector c be C -balanced if $\langle C, c \rangle$ has maximum distance $D = 2^m$.

90 Clearly, C -balanced vectors for $H_{m|m} < C \leq H_{m \times m}$ are $H_{m|m}$ -balanced, thus
 91 they are as described in Definition 2.4. It is not hard to find such vectors for a given C ,
 92 e.g., by solving a system of linear equations over \mathbb{Q} . We provide a non-trivial example.
 93 The following matrix is a generating matrix of a code C with $H_{3|3} < C \leq H_{3 \times 3}$.

$$M_{3|3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

94 Then $(0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ is a C -balanced vector.
 95 Finally, we present an infinite family of codes of the form $\langle H_{m+1|m}, c \rangle$.

96 DEFINITION 2.5. Let $X \cup Y \cup X'$ be a symmetrical partition of the coordinates
 97 of $H_{m+1|m}$. Let $a, b \in H_{m+1|m}$ be two codewords such that $\text{supp}(a) \cap \text{supp}(b) \cap Y$ is
 98 nonempty and the restriction of a and b to X are different nonzero vectors. Let c be the
 99 vector whose support is $\text{supp}(c) = ((\text{supp}(a) \cup \text{supp}(b)) \cap X') \cup (\text{supp}(a) \cap \text{supp}(b) \cap Y)$.
 100 Then we say that c is $H_{m+1|m}$ -balanced (with respect to the partition $X \cup Y \cup X'$).

101 As an example, the second and third rows in $M_{3|2}$ can be chosen as a and b . (Here,
 102 X and X' are the set of first three and last three coordinates, respectively.) Then the
 103 matrix is extended by the row $(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1)$. Note that as the construction
 104 requires two different nonzero vectors in H_m , such $H_{m+1|m}$ -balanced vectors exist iff
 105 $2 \leq m$. Also note that the definition of $H_{m|m}$ - and $H_{m+1|m}$ -balanced vectors depend
 106 on the symmetrical partition of the coordinates, an issue that causes some difficulties
 107 in proofs to come. We are now ready to state the main theorem of the paper.

108 THEOREM 2.6. Let C be a binary linear code all of whose coordinates are essential
 109 with length $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and maximum distance D . Then the following are equivalent.

- 110 1. The equation $D = \frac{n}{2} + 1$ holds.
- 111 2. For some $1 \leq m \leq k$ we have either
 - 112 (a) $H_{k|m} \leq C \leq H_{k \times m}$ (with respect to some symmetrical partition), or
 - 113 (b) $k = m$, $C = \langle C_0, c \rangle$ with $H_{m|m} \leq C_0 \leq H_{m \times m}$ and a C_0 -balanced c not
 114 in $H_{m \times m}$ (with respect to any symmetrical partition), or
 - 115 (c) $2 \leq m$, $k = m + 1$, $C = \langle H_{m+1|m}, c \rangle$, and c is $H_{m+1|m}$ -balanced.

116 **3. Correctness of the constructions and minimal examples.** We show the
 117 implication 2. \Rightarrow 1. in Theorem 2.6 in the next two lemmas (3.1 and 3.2).

118 LEMMA 3.1. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

- 119 1. Let c be an $H_{m|m}$ -balanced vector. Then $C = \langle H_{m|m}, c \rangle$ has the same length
 120 and maximum distance as $H_{m|m}$ (and all coordinates are essential in C).
 121 2. Let $X \cup X'$ be a symmetrical partition of the coordinates of $H_{m|m}$. Then
 122 a vector c such that $\text{supp}(c) \cap X' \neq \emptyset$ is $H_{m|m}$ -balanced with respect to the
 123 partition $X \cup X'$, iff $\text{supp}(c) = X'$ or the restriction of $H_{m|m}$ to $X' \setminus \text{supp}(c)$
 124 is equivalent to a punctured Hadamard code. In particular, there exists a
 125 $0 \leq m' \leq m - 1$ such that for all codewords $c' \in \langle H_{m|m}, c \rangle \setminus H_{m|m}$, the
 126 number of $H_{m|m}$ -equivalent pairs of coordinates (x, x') such that the value of
 127 c' in x and x' coincides is $2^{m'} - 1$.

128 *Proof.* We use the notations of Definition 2.4.

129 For item 1. we need to show that for all $u \in H_{m|m}$ we have $w(c + u) \leq 2^m$. This
 130 clearly holds for $u = 0$. Assume that $u \in H_{m|m}$ is not zero. Then u is a concatenation
 131 aa' , where a and a' are identical maximum weight codewords in the two copies of H_m .
 132 If $\text{supp}(a') \subseteq \text{supp}(c)$, then $w(c + u) < w(u) = 2^m$.

133 Hence, assume that $\text{supp}(a') \not\subseteq \text{supp}(c)$. In particular, $\ell \leq m - 1$. By using
 134 induction on ℓ , it is easy to show that $\text{supp}(a') \setminus \text{supp}(c) = \text{supp}(a') \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \text{supp}(c_i)$ has
 135 size $2^{m-1-\ell}$ (we note that this fails for $\ell = m$). Consequently, $|\text{supp}(a') \cap \text{supp}(c)|$ is
 136 $2^{m-1} - 2^{m-1-\ell}$. It is also clear by using induction on ℓ that $w(c) = 2^m - 2^{m-\ell}$. Thus
 137 $w(c + u) = 2^{m-1} + ((2^m - 2^{m-\ell}) + 2^{m-1} - 2 \cdot (2^{m-1} - 2^{m-1-\ell})) = 2^m$.

138 The only if part in item 2. is trivial by induction on ℓ , as the cancellation of the
 139 support of a nonzero codeword from a punctured Hadamard code H_r yields H_{r-1} .

140 We use induction on m for the if part. It clearly holds if $\text{supp}(c) = X'$ by the
 141 definition of an $H_{m|m}$ -balanced vector, hence we may assume that $\text{supp}(c) \neq X'$.
 142 In particular, the initial step $m = 1$ is trivial. Hence, assume that $m \geq 2$ and the
 143 assertion holds for $m - 1$.

144 Let H_r be the punctured Hadamard code obtained as the restriction of $H_{m|m}$
 145 to $X' \setminus \text{supp}(c)$. Then $1 \leq r \leq m - 1$ by assumption. Restriction of codewords
 146 to $X' \setminus \text{supp}(c)$ is a homomorphism, and as every coordinate of $H_{m|m}$ is essential,
 147 the kernel of this homomorphism is nontrivial. Thus there is a nonzero codeword
 148 $c_1 \in H_{m|m}$ whose support is disjoint from $X' \setminus \text{supp}(c)$. Let us puncture the code
 149 $H_{m|m}$ by omitting $\text{supp}(c_1)$. Then we obtain the code $H_{m-1|m-1}$ with the same
 150 properties (the punctured version of c takes the role of c), and then we are done by
 151 the induction hypothesis. \square

152 We denote the characteristic vector of Y by 1_Y . Note that $1_Y \in H_{m+1|m}$.

153 LEMMA 3.2. Let $2 \leq m$ and let $a, b, c \in H_{m+1|m}$ as in Definition 2.5. Then
 154 $w(c) = w(c + a) = w(c + b) = w(c + a + b + 1_Y) = 2^m$, and $w(c + u) = 3 \cdot 2^{m-1}$ for all
 155 other codewords $u \in H_{m+1|m}$. In particular, the code $C = \langle H_{m+1|m}, c \rangle$ has the same
 156 length and maximum distance as $H_{m+1|m}$ (and all coordinates are essential in C).

157 *Proof.* It is easy to see that if $u \in \langle a, b, 1_Y \rangle$, then we have $w(c + u) = 2^m$ if
 158 $u \in \{0, a, b, a + b + 1_Y\}$, and $w(c + u) = 3 \cdot 2^{m-1}$ for the other four vectors $u \in \langle a, b, 1_Y \rangle$.
 159 So assume that $u \in H_{m+1|m} \setminus \langle a, b, 1_Y \rangle$. Then both $\text{supp}(c) \cap X'$ and $\text{supp}(c) \cap Y$ are
 160 cut in half by $\text{supp}(u)$. As $w(c) = 2^m$, $\text{supp}(c) \cap X$ is empty, $|\text{supp}(u) \cap (X' \cup Y)| = 2^m$
 161 and $|\text{supp}(u) \cap X| = 2^{m-1}$, we have $w(c + u) = 2^{m-1} + (2^m + 2^m - 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2^m) = 3 \cdot 2^{m-1}$. \square

162 Now we turn our attention to the implication 1. \Rightarrow 2. in Theorem 2.6. According
 163 to Theorem 2.2, all codes C that satisfy item 1. of Theorem 2.6 contain some $H_{k|m}$.
 164 It is a natural idea to first understand the minimal examples.

165 DEFINITION 3.3. *Throughout the rest of the paper, we call a binary linear code*
 166 *C with $H_{k|m} \leq C$ for some $1 \leq m \leq k$ (making every coordinate of C essential*
 167 *automatically) a minimal example, if $|C : H_{k|m}| = 2$ and $D = \frac{n}{2} + 1$, where $n =$
 168 $2^k + 2^m - 2$ is the length of C and $D = 2^{k-1} + 2^{m-1}$ is the maximum distance of C .
 169 Recall that the union of singleton $H_{k|m}$ equivalence classes is denoted by Y .*

170 The next proposition classifies minimal examples as a special case of Theorem 2.6.

171 PROPOSITION 3.4. *Let $1 \leq m \leq k$ and let $H_{k|m} \leq C$ be a minimal example (cf.*
 172 *Definition 3.3). Then either*

- 173 • $H_{k|m} \leq C \leq H_{k \times m}$ (with respect to some symmetrical partition), or
- 174 • $k = m$, $C = \langle H_{m|m}, c \rangle$ with some $H_{m|m}$ -balanced c not in $H_{m \times m}$ (with respect
 175 to any symmetrical partition), or
- 176 • $2 \leq m$, $k = m + 1$, $C = \langle H_{m+1|m}, c \rangle$, and c is $H_{m+1|m}$ -balanced.

177 For the sake of transparency, we break the proof of Proposition 3.4 down into two
 178 cases: $k = m$ and $k > m$. If $k = m$, then the first two items can be merged: note
 179 that vectors in $H_{m \times m}$ are $H_{m|m}$ -balanced (with $\ell = 1$ in Definition 2.4).

180 LEMMA 3.5. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $H_{m|m} \leq C$ be a minimal example (cf. Defini-*
 181 *tion 3.3). Then $C = \langle H_{m|m}, c \rangle$ for some $H_{m|m}$ -balanced vector c .*

182 *Proof.* Assume that a codeword $c \in C \setminus H_{m|m}$ is one in a pair of repeated coordi-
 183 nates. We can pick $c_1, \dots, c_{m-1} \in H_{m|m}$ so that their supports cover all coordinates
 184 except for that pair. Thus all coordinates of $C' = \langle c_1, \dots, c_{m-1}, c \rangle$ are essential, and
 185 $\dim C' = m$. Clearly, the length of C' is $n = 2^{m+1} - 2$, and its maximum distance is
 186 $D = 2^m$. Hence, according to Theorem 2.2, C' is equivalent to $H_{m|m}$. In particular,
 187 $w(c) = D > \frac{n}{2}$. As the average weight in $C \setminus H_{m|m}$ is $\frac{n}{2}$, this cannot hold for all
 188 $c \in C \setminus H_{m|m}$. Thus we can pick a $c \in C \setminus H_{m|m}$ that is zero in at least one posi-
 189 tion within each pair of repeated coordinates. Then there is a symmetrical partition
 190 $X \cup X'$ such that $\text{supp}(c) \subseteq X'$. Let $Z := X' \setminus \text{supp}(c)$ and $r = |Z|$. If $r = 0$ then c
 191 is indeed an $H_{m|m}$ -balanced vector (with $\ell = m$ in Definition 2.4).

192 Assume that $r \geq 1$, and pick a codeword $c' \in H_{m|m}$. If c' has t ones in Z , then
 193 $w(c + c') = 2^{m-1} + t + ((2^m - 1 - r) - (2^{m-1} - t)) = 2t - (r + 1) + 2^m \leq D = 2^m$, thus
 194 $t \leq \frac{r+1}{2}$. By Theorem 1.1, the restriction of $H_{m|m}$ to Z is equivalent to the punctured
 195 Hadamard code H_r , and the assertion follows from Lemma 3.1. \square

196 The rest of this section is all about minimal examples with $k > m$.

197 LEMMA 3.6. *Let $1 \leq m < k$ and let $H_{k|m} \leq C$ be a minimal example (cf. Def-*
 198 *inition 3.3). Assume that there is a symmetrical partition $X \cup Y \cup X'$ of the co-*
 199 *ordinates of $H_{k|m}$ such that for some $c \in C \setminus H_{k|m}$ we have $\text{supp}(c) \subseteq X'$. Then*
 200 *$H_{k|m} \leq C \leq H_{k \times m}$ (with respect to some symmetrical partition).*

201 *Proof.* We need to show that the restriction c_0 of c to X' is in the punctured
 202 Hadamard code H_m obtained as the restriction of $H_{m+1|m}$ to X' . Assuming this is
 203 not the case, by Theorem 1.1 the code $\langle c_0, H_m \rangle$ contains a codeword that has bigger
 204 weight than 2^{m-1} . This codeword cannot be c_0 , as otherwise $w(c + c') > D$ for some
 205 nonzero $c' \in H_{k|m}$ with $\text{supp}(c') \subseteq Y$, as all such c' have weight 2^{k-1} . Thus such a
 206 codeword in H_m is obtained as the restriction of $c + c'$ with some maximum weight
 207 $c' \in H_{k|m}$. But then the weight of the restriction of c' , and also of $c + c'$ to $X \cup Y$ is
 208 $D - 2^{m-1}$, making $w(c + c') > D$, a contradiction. \square

209 LEMMA 3.7. *Let $1 \leq m < k$ and let $H_{k|m} \leq C$ be a minimal example (cf. Defini-*
 210 *tion 3.3). Assume that there is a codeword $c \in C \setminus H_{k|m}$ such that $\text{supp}(c) \cap Y = \emptyset$.*
 211 *Then $H_{k|m} \leq C \leq H_{k \times m}$ (with respect to some symmetrical partition).*

212 *Proof.* We have $w(c) \leq 2^{m-1}$, as otherwise $w(c + c') > D$ for some nonzero
 213 $c' \in H_{k|m}$ with $\text{supp}(c') \subseteq Y$. If we puncture the code by omitting Y , then we obtain
 214 $H_{m|m}$. The punctured version c_0 of c has the same weight as c , and thus $c_0 \notin H_{m|m}$.

215 If the maximum distance of $\langle H_{m|m}, c_0 \rangle$ is larger than 2^m , then there is a nonzero
 216 $c' \in H_{k|m}$ such that $|\text{supp}(c + c') \setminus Y| > 2^m$. The support of c' intersects Y in
 217 $2^{k-1} - 2^{m-1}$ coordinates, thus $w(c + c') > D$, a contradiction.

218 Hence, $\langle H_{m|m}, c_0 \rangle$ is a minimal example, and then it contains an $H_{m|m}$ -balanced
 219 vector u_0 with respect to a symmetrical partition $X \cup Y \cup X'$ by Lemma 3.5. By
 220 Lemma 3.6 we have $u_0 \neq c_0$, thus u_0 must be the punctured version of $c + c'$ for some
 221 nonzero $c' \in H_{k|m}$ with $\text{supp}(c') \not\subseteq Y$. Hence, $\text{supp}(c + c') \cap X = \text{supp}(u_0) \cap X = \emptyset$,
 222 which means that c and c' agree on X , and consequently, $|\text{supp}(c) \cap X| = 2^{m-1}$. As
 223 $w(c) \leq 2^{m-1}$, we have $\text{supp}(c) \subseteq X$, and then we are done by Lemma 3.6. \square

224 LEMMA 3.8. *Let $1 \leq m < k$ and let $H_{k|m} \leq C$ be a minimal example (cf. Defi-*
 225 *nition 3.3). If $\text{supp}(c) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ for some $c \in C \setminus H_{k|m}$, then either $w(c) = D$ or*
 226 *$w(c) = D - 2^{m-1}$.*

227 *Proof.* As c is one in a coordinate of the H_k -component, there are $k - 1$ inde-
 228 pendent vectors in H_k such that together with the H_k -component of c their supports
 229 cover every coordinate of H_k . Let c_1, \dots, c_{k-1} be the corresponding $k - 1$ indepen-
 230 dent vectors in $H_{k|m}$. As the H_m -component is produced by repetition, the supports
 231 of c_1, \dots, c_{k-1}, c cover every coordinate of $H_{k|m}$. Then the code C' generated by
 232 these k vectors has dimension k , length $n = 2^k + 2^m - 2$ and maximum distance
 233 $D = 2^{k-1} + 2^{m-1}$, and all coordinates of C' are essential. According to Theorem 2.2,
 234 C' is equivalent to $H_{k|m}$, all of whose nonzero codewords have weight D or $D - 2^{m-1}$. \square

235 LEMMA 3.9. *Let $1 \leq m < k$ and let $H_{k|m} \leq C$ be a minimal example (cf. Defini-*
 236 *tion 3.3). If the support of a codeword $c \in C \setminus H_{k|m}$ contains a pair of $H_{k|m}$ -equivalent*
 237 *coordinates (x, x') , then $w(c) = D$.*

238 *Proof.* There exist $m - 1$ independent vectors in the H_m -component with set of
 239 coordinates X' whose total support is $X' \setminus \{x'\}$. Pick extensions $c_1, \dots, c_{m-1} \in H_{k|m}$
 240 of these vectors. Then the supports of c_1, \dots, c_{m-1}, c cover $X \cup X'$. There are
 241 $k - m$ independent vectors $c_{m+1}, \dots, c_k \in H_{k|m}$ whose total support is Y . Hence, the
 242 code $C' := \langle c_1, \dots, c_{m-1}, c, c_{m+1}, \dots, c_k \rangle$ has dimension k , length $n = 2^k + 2^m - 2$
 243 and maximum distance $D = 2^{k-1} + 2^{m-1}$, and all coordinates of C' are essential.
 244 According to Theorem 2.2, C' is equivalent to $H_{k|m}$. As the support of c contains a
 245 pair of equivalent coordinates in C' , it must be a maximum weight codeword. \square

246 In order to finish the proof of Proposition 3.4, we need the following lemma.

247 LEMMA 3.10. *Let $1 \leq m < k$ and let $H_{k|m} \leq C \not\leq H_{k \times m}$ (with respect to any*
 248 *symmetrical partition) be a minimal example (cf. Definition 3.3). Then $2 \leq m$,*
 249 *$k = m + 1$ and $C = \langle H_{m+1|m}, c \rangle$ with some $H_{m+1|m}$ -balanced vector c .*

250 *Proof.* Let C_0 denote the index 2 subcode in C isomorphic to $H_{k|m}$. For all
 251 $c \in C \setminus C_0$ we have $\text{supp}(c) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ according to the assumption and Lemma 3.7, and
 252 $w(c) = 2^{k-1}$ or $w(c) = 2^{k-1} + 2^{m-1}$ by Lemma 3.8. As the average weight in $C \setminus C_0$
 253 is $\frac{n}{2}$, there are 2^{k-m+1} codewords in $C \setminus C_0$ with weight 2^{k-1} and $2^k - 2^{k-m+1}$ with
 254 weight $2^{k-1} + 2^{m-1}$. Pick a $c \in C \setminus C_0$ with $w(c) = 2^{k-1}$. By Lemma 3.9 there is a
 255 symmetrical partition $X \cup Y \cup X'$ such that $\text{supp}(c) \cap X = \emptyset$.

256 Let $y \in \text{supp}(c) \cap Y$ be arbitrary, and let $c_1, \dots, c_{k-1} \in C_0$ be such that their
 257 supports cover all coordinates except for y . Then with respect to $\langle c_1, \dots, c_{k-1} \rangle$ there
 258 are $2^m - 1$ equivalence classes of the coordinates with size three, $2^{k-1} - 2^m$ with size
 259 two and 1 with size one. The three-element $\langle c_1, \dots, c_{k-1} \rangle$ -classes are obtained from
 260 the pairs in $X \cup X'$ by adjoining an element from Y . By Theorem 2.2 we have that
 261 $C' := \langle c_1, \dots, c_{k-1}, c \rangle$ is equivalent to $H_{k|m}$, a code with no three-element equivalence
 262 classes. Thus c splits all three-element $\langle c_1, \dots, c_{k-1} \rangle$ -classes into one with size two
 263 and one with size one, and since $w(c) = 2^{k-1}$, the support of c is contained in the
 264 singleton coordinates of C' . Thus a three-element $\langle c_1, \dots, c_{k-1} \rangle$ -class $\{x, x', z\}$ with
 265 $x \in X, x' \in X', z \in Y$ is split by c so that the two-element class obtained is outside
 266 $\text{supp}(c)$, and the singleton class obtained is inside $\text{supp}(c)$. As $x \notin \text{supp}(c)$, we have
 267 that x is a repeated coordinate in C' , and its pair with respect to C' is either x' or
 268 z , hence it is outside X . Consequently, if we puncture C' by omitting X , then we
 269 obtain a code isomorphic to H_k .

270 If there is a coordinate $y \in Y$ where some $c' \in C_0$ is zero and c is one, then in
 271 the above argument c' can be chosen as one of the generators of C' . In particular, if
 272 $w(c') = D$, then $w(c + c') = D$, as the weight of $c + c'$ is 2^{k-1} in the restriction of C'
 273 to $X' \cup Y$ (isomorphic to H_k), and inside X the weight of $c + c'$ is 2^{m-1} . Similarly,
 274 if $w(c') = 2^{k-1}$, then $w(c + c') = 2^{k-1}$, provided that $c' \in C_0$ has a zero in Y where
 275 c is one. As there are $2^{k-m} - 1$ codewords in C_0 with weight 2^{k-1} and there are
 276 2^{k-m+1} codewords in $C \setminus C_0$ with weight 2^{k-1} , there exists a codeword $a \in C_0$ such
 277 that $w(a) = 2^{k-1} + 2^{m-1}$ and $w(c + a) = 2^{k-1}$. Then $\text{supp}(c) \cap Y \subseteq \text{supp}(a) \cap Y$,
 278 and moreover, as $a \in C_0$ is a maximum weight codeword, we have $|\text{supp}(a) \cap Y| =$
 279 $2^{k-1} - 2^{m-1}$, and $|\text{supp}(a) \cap X| = |\text{supp}(a) \cap X'| = 2^{m-1}$.

280 Let K denote the set $\{c_1 \in C_0 \mid w(c_1) = 2^{k-1}\}$. Assume that for all $c_1 \in K$
 281 we have $w(c + c_1) = 2^{k-1}$. Let $C_1 := \langle \{c\} \cup K \rangle$, and let n_1 be the number of
 282 essential coordinates of C_1 . The average weight in C_1 is $\frac{n_1}{2} = \frac{2^{k-m+1}-1}{2^{k-m+1}} \cdot 2^{k-1}$,
 283 thus $n_1 = 2^k - 2^{m-1}$. Note that $\bigcup_{c_1 \in K} \text{supp}(c_1) = Y$ with size $2^k - 2^m$. Hence,

284 $|\text{supp}(c) \cap X'| = 2^{m-1}$, and then $|\text{supp}(c) \cap Y| = 2^{k-1} - 2^{m-1} = |\text{supp}(a) \cap Y|$. As
 285 $\text{supp}(c) \cap Y \subseteq \text{supp}(a) \cap Y$, we have $\text{supp}(c) \cap Y = \text{supp}(a) \cap Y$, and then $c + a \in C \setminus C_0$
 286 is all zero in Y , a contradiction by Lemma 3.7.

287 Thus there is a $c_1 \in C_0$ with $w(c_1) = 2^{k-1} = w(c)$ and $w(c + c_1) = 2^{k-1} + 2^{m-1}$,
 288 and consequently, $|\text{supp}(c) \setminus \text{supp}(c_1)| = 2^{k-2} + 2^{m-2}$. We have shown above that
 289 $w(c_1) = 2^{k-1}$ and $w(c + c_1) = 2^{k-1} + 2^{m-1}$ is not possible if there is a coordinate
 290 in Y where c_1 is zero and c is one, thus $\text{supp}(c) \cap Y \subseteq \text{supp}(c_1) \cap Y$. In particular,
 291 $\text{supp}(c) \setminus \text{supp}(c_1) \subseteq X'$, thus $2^{k-2} + 2^{m-2} \leq 2^m - 1$, and then $k = m + 1$. Moreover,
 292 as $c_1 \in K$, we have $\text{supp}(c_1) \subseteq Y$. Hence, $\text{supp}(c) \setminus \text{supp}(c_1) = \text{supp}(c) \cap X'$. Thus
 293 $|\text{supp}(c) \cap X'| = 2^{m-1} + 2^{m-2} = 3 \cdot 2^{m-2}$ and $|\text{supp}(c) \cap Y| = 2^{m-2}$. Moreover,
 294 $w(a) = 3 \cdot 2^{m-1}$, $w(c + a) = 2^m$, and $|\text{supp}(a) \cap Y| = 2^{m-1}$. Then we have that
 295 $|\text{supp}(c+a) \cap Y| = 2^{m-2}$, $|\text{supp}(c+a) \cap X| = |\text{supp}(a) \cap X| = 2^{m-1}$, and consequently,
 296 $|\text{supp}(c+a) \cap X'| = w(c+a) - |\text{supp}(c+a) \cap Y| - |\text{supp}(c+a) \cap X| = 2^{m-2}$. Hence,
 297 $|\text{supp}(c) \cap \text{supp}(a) \cap X'| = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (|\text{supp}(c) \cap X'| + |\text{supp}(a) \cap X'| - |\text{supp}(c+a) \cap X'|) =$
 298 $2^{m-1} = |\text{supp}(a) \cap X'|$, thus $\text{supp}(a) \cap X' \subseteq \text{supp}(c) \cap X'$.

299 We now revisit the ideas in the first and third paragraphs of the proof, using the
 300 additional information that $k = m + 1$. In particular, there is a unique codeword in
 301 C_0 with weight $2^{k-1} = 2^m$, namely 1_Y . Thus all the remaining $2^{m+1} - 2$ nonzero
 302 codewords in C_0 have maximum weight $3 \cdot 2^{m-1}$. In $C \setminus C_0$, there are $2^{k-m+1} = 4$
 303 codewords with weight $2^{k-1} = 2^m$ and $2^k - 2^{k-m+1} = 2^{m+1} - 4$ codewords with
 304 maximum weight $D = 3 \cdot 2^{m-1}$. Recall that $w(c) = 2^{k-1} = 2^m$. As $|\text{supp}(c) \cap Y| =$

305 2^{m-2} and $|Y| = 2^m$, we have $w(c + 1_Y) = w(c) + 2^m - 2 \cdot 2^{m-2} = 3 \cdot 2^{m-1} = D$, thus
 306 $c + 1_Y$ is one of the $2^{m+1} - 4$ maximum weight codewords in $C \setminus C_0$. Hence, out of the
 307 $2^{m+1} - 2$ maximum weight codewords in $C_0 \setminus \{0, 1_Y\}$, there are exactly three codewords
 308 c' with $w(c + c') = 2^m$. One of those three is a , and there are exactly two codewords
 309 in C_0 with the same restriction to X' as a , namely a and $a + 1_Y$. Thus there must be a
 310 codeword $b \in C_0 \setminus \{0, 1_Y\}$ such that $w(c + b) = 2^m$ and the restrictions of a and b to X'
 311 are different. In particular, there exist two different nonzero codewords in H_m , thus
 312 $m \geq 2$. Moreover, every claim that we have proved about a can be copied to b , namely:
 313 $\text{supp}(c) \cap Y \subseteq \text{supp}(b) \cap Y$, $\text{supp}(b) \cap X' \subseteq \text{supp}(c) \cap X'$, $|\text{supp}(b) \cap Y| = 2^{m-1}$, and
 314 $|\text{supp}(b) \cap X| = |\text{supp}(b) \cap X'| = 2^{m-1}$. Thus $\text{supp}(c) \cap Y \subseteq \text{supp}(a) \cap \text{supp}(b) \cap Y$,
 315 and both have size 2^{m-2} , and consequently, $\text{supp}(c) \cap Y = \text{supp}(a) \cap \text{supp}(b) \cap Y$.
 316 Furthermore, $(\text{supp}(a) \cap X') \cup (\text{supp}(b) \cap X') \subseteq \text{supp}(c) \cap X'$, and both have size
 317 $3 \cdot 2^{m-2}$, so $(\text{supp}(a) \cup \text{supp}(b)) \cap X' = \text{supp}(c) \cap X'$.

318 Hence, c is $H_{m+1|m}$ -balanced with the choice of a, b as above in Definition 2.5. \square

319 *Proof of Proposition 3.4.* Done by Lemmas 3.5 and 3.10. \square

320 **4. The general case.** The next lemma finishes the proof of the classification if
 321 $k = m$.

322 LEMMA 4.1. *Let $H_{m|m} \leq C$ be a code with maximum distance 2^m . Then there*
 323 *exists a $C_0 \leq C$ with index at most two such that $H_{m|m} \leq C_0 \leq H_{m \times m}$.*

324 *Proof.* We may assume that $H_{m|m} < C$. Pick $H_{m|m} \leq C_0 \leq C$ together with a
 325 symmetrical partition such that $H_{m|m} \leq C_0 \leq H_{m \times m}$ (with respect to that partition)
 326 and the dimension of C_0 be maximal. Let $X \cup X'$ be a symmetrical partition such
 327 that $H_{m|m} \leq C_0 \leq H_{m \times m}$. Assume indirectly that $|C : C_0| > 2$.

328 Pick $c_1, c_2 \in C \setminus C_0$ from different cosets of C_0 . Then both $C_i = \langle H_{m|m}, c_i \rangle$
 329 are minimal examples (cf. Definition 3.3), and then by Lemma 3.5 we may assume
 330 that both c_i are $H_{m|m}$ -balanced (with respect to potentially different symmetrical
 331 partitions that may also differ from $X \cup X'$). By Lemma 3.1, the number of $H_{m|m}$ -
 332 equivalent pairs (x, x') such that the value of c_i in x and in x' coincide is $2^{m_i} - 1$ for
 333 some $0 \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \leq m - 1$, without loss of generality.

334 First, assume that $m_2 \leq m - 2$. Then $2^{m_1} - 1 \leq 2^{m_2} - 1 < \frac{1}{4} \cdot (2^m - 1)$, where
 335 $2^m - 1$ is the number of all $H_{m|m}$ -equivalent pairs. Hence, the number of $H_{m|m}$ -
 336 equivalent pairs (x, x') such that the value of $c_1 + c_2$ in x and x' differ is less than
 337 $\frac{1}{2} \cdot (2^m - 1)$. If $c_1 + c_2 \notin H_{m|m}$ then $\langle H_{m|m}, c_1 + c_2 \rangle$ is a minimal example, and
 338 consequently, every codeword in $\langle H_{m|m}, c_1 + c_2 \rangle \setminus H_{m|m}$ differs in more than half of
 339 the pairs. Thus $c_1 + c_2 \in H_{m|m}$, and then c_1 and c_2 are in the same C_0 -coset, a
 340 contradiction.

341 Hence, $m_2 = m - 1$, and then there exists a symmetrical partition $X_2 \cup X'_2$ such
 342 that c_2 is the restriction of a nonzero codeword in $H_{m|m}$ to X'_2 . In particular, we have
 343 $H_{m|m} < C_0$ by maximality of the dimension of C_0 .

344 Let $c \in C_0 \setminus H_{m|m}$ be any vector with weight 2^{m-1} . If the support of c and c_2
 345 intersect the same pairs of $H_{m|m}$ -equivalent coordinates nontrivially, then $c + c_2$ have
 346 a symmetrical support: each $H_{m|m}$ -equivalent pair is either fully contained or fully
 347 not contained in it. Thus the $\langle H_{m|m}, c + c_2 \rangle$ -classes coincide with the $H_{m|m}$ -classes,
 348 and then $\langle H_{m|m}, c + c_2 \rangle$ is the repetition of an index 2 extension of H_m . According
 349 to Theorem 1.1 any extension of H_m has larger maximum weight than 2^{m-1} , and
 350 thus the code $\langle H_{m|m}, c + c_2 \rangle$ has larger maximum distance than 2^m , a contradiction.
 351 Hence, c_2 must be the restriction of a nonzero codeword to X'_2 that is different from
 352 any codeword whose restriction to X or X' is in C_0 . Due to the large degree of

353 symmetry of $H_{m|m}$, it makes no difference which nonzero codeword we choose among
 354 those. The illustration below is for $m = 4$.

| | X | | X' | |
|-----|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-------|
| | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | |
| | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | e_1 |
| | 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 | | 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 | e_2 |
| | 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 | | 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 | |
| | 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 | | 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 | e_3 |
| | 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 | | 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 | |
| | 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 | | 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 | |
| | 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 | | 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 | e_4 |
| | 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 | | 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 | |
| | 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 | | 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 | |
| | 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 | | 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 | |
| | 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 | | 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 | |
| | 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 | | 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 | |
| | 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 | | 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 | |
| | 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 | | 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 | |
| | 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 | | 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 | |
| 355 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 | c |
| | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | |
| | 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 | | 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 | |
| | 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 | | 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 | |
| | 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 | | 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 | |
| | 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 | | 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 | |
| | 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 | | 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 | |
| | 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 | | 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 | |
| | 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 | | 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 | |
| | 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 | | 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 | |
| | 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 | | 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 | |
| | 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 | | 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 | |
| | 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 | | 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 | |
| | 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 | | 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 | |
| | 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 | | 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 | |
| | 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 | | 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 | |
| | 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 | | 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 | |
| | 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 | | 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 | |

$$2^{m-1} \leq i \leq 2^m - 1$$

356

357 Let us represent $H_{m|m}$ in the standard way. That is, we produce the generating
 358 matrix by writing the binary representation of all numbers from 1 to $2^m - 1$ in columns,
 359 and then by repeating all these columns. Let e_1, \dots, e_m denote the rows of this matrix
 360 from top to bottom; this is the standard basis of the code. Then we sort the codewords
 361 $\sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i e_i$, $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$, so that the sequence of coefficients $\overline{\varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_m}$ corresponding to the
 362 r -th codeword is the binary representation of r (extended by zeros on the right) for
 363 $r = 0, \dots, 2^m - 1$. That is, the list of codewords is $0, e_1, e_2, e_2 + e_1, e_3, \dots, e_m + \dots + e_1$.
 364 Without loss of generality, we may assume that c is the restriction of e_1 to X' , and
 365 c_2 is the restriction of e_2 to X'_2 . The vectors $c + u \in c + H_{m|m}$ are listed according
 366 to the order of the elements $u \in H_{m|m}$. Note that in this coset, every codeword
 367 has the same value in the pair of $H_{m|m}$ -equivalent coordinates x, x' if $x' \notin \text{supp}(c)$,
 368 that is, in the first $2^{m-1} - 1$ pairs from the left. In particular, regardless of the
 369 choice of X_2 and X'_2 , every codeword of the form $c_2 + c + u \in c_2 + c + H_{m|m}$ with
 370 $u \in \{1, e_1, e_2, e_2 + e_1\}$ (i.e., the first four vectors in $H_{m|m}$) has 2^{m-2} ones in the union
 371 of the first $2^{m-1} - 1$ pairs, and every codeword of the form $c_2 + c + u \in c_2 + c + H_{m|m}$ with
 372 $u \in H_{m|m} \setminus \{1, e_1, e_2, e_2 + e_1\}$ has 2^{m-1} ones in the union of the first $2^{m-1} - 1$ pairs.
 373 Let us focus on the latter vectors, i.e., the ones of the form $c_2 + c + u \in c_2 + c + H_{m|m}$
 374 with $u \in H_{m|m} \setminus \{1, e_1, e_2, e_2 + e_1\}$. Note that these are listed in consecutive pairs

375 of vectors that have opposite value in every coordinate from index 2^{m-1} to $2^m - 1$
 376 in both X and X' . Thus in two such rows, the number of ones in those coordinates
 377 is 2^m altogether, regardless of the choice of X_2 and X'_2 . According to the above
 378 observations, the number of ones in the first $2^{m-1} - 1$ pairs of coordinates is also 2^m
 379 in such a codeword, making the sum of weights of a consecutive pair of codewords
 380 2^{m+1} . As the maximum weight in the code is 2^m , both codewords have weight exactly
 381 2^m . Thus for all $u \in H_{m|m} \setminus \{1, e_1, e_2, e_2 + e_1\}$, we have $w(c_2 + c + u) = 2^m$.

This gives rise to a system of linear equations over \mathbb{Q} . Let us introduce pairs of variables corresponding to the pairs of $H_{m|m}$ -equivalent coordinates denoted by $x_1, x'_1, x_2, x'_2, \dots, x_{2^m-1}, x'_{2^m-1}$ with x_1, \dots, x_{2^m-1} corresponding to coordinates in X , such that $x_i = 1$ if the i -th coordinate in X is in $\text{supp}(c_2)$ and zero otherwise, and $x'_i = 1$ if the i -th coordinate in X' is in $\text{supp}(c_2)$ and zero otherwise. Let $y_i := x_i - x'_i$. The above observation that $w(c_2 + c + u) = 2^m$ for all $u \in H_{m|m} \setminus \{1, e_1, e_2, e_2 + e_1\}$ translates to linear equations, one for each u . We do not pay attention to the first $2^{m-1} - 1$ pairs of variables, as the role of the corresponding coordinates are symmetrical, thus it makes no difference where the ones in c_2 are in those coordinates: we can redefine $X \cup X'$ if need be so that the code $\langle H_{m|m}, c \rangle$ be unaffected. More importantly, we are more interested in showing that there is a very limited number of possibilities for the position of ones in the last 2^{m-1} pairs of coordinates. So we produce a system of linear equations with variables x_i, x'_i where $2^{m-1} \leq i \leq 2^m - 1$. Note that in all such positions i for all $u \in H_{m|m} \setminus \{1, e_1, e_2, e_2 + e_1\}$, the codeword $c_2 + c + u$ has opposite values in the i -th coordinate of X and that of X' . If the former coordinate is 1 and the latter is 0, then the contribution of the i -th pair of coordinates to the weight of $c_2 + c + u$ is $1 - x_i + x'_i = 1 - y_i$, and if the former coordinate is 0 and the latter is 1, then the contribution of the i -th pair of coordinates to the weight of $c_2 + c + u$ is $x_i + 1 - x'_i = 1 + y_i$. This can be summarized in the formula $1 + (-1)^{u[i]} y_i$, where $u[i]$ is the i -th coordinate of u in X , which is the same as that in $c + u$ in X . There are altogether 2^{m-1} ones in pairs of coordinates with index $i \leq 2^{m-1} - 1$ in $c_2 + c + u$, and the above expressions $1 + (-1)^{u[i]} y_i$ contribute 2^{m-1} summands 1 in the left hand side of the equation. The right hand side of the equation corresponding to u is 2^m , as we have seen above that $w(c_2 + c + u) = 2^m$. Thus for all $u \in H_{m|m} \setminus \{1, e_1, e_2, e_2 + e_1\}$, we obtain the linear equation

$$\sum_{i=2^{m-1}}^{2^m-1} (-1)^{u[i]} y_i = 0$$

382 by double counting. If we arrange the vector u into consecutive pairs, then inside
 383 every pair we obtain essentially the same equation: namely, one can be obtained from
 384 the other by multiplication with (-1) , since two such consecutive vectors complement
 385 each other in the coordinates $2^{m-1} \leq i \leq 2^m - 1$. Thus we can erase every other
 386 equation. Then we obtain an Hadamard matrix with two rows missing as the matrix
 387 of coefficients: indeed, if we produce the matrix with entries $(-1)^{u[i]}$ for all $u \in H_{m|m}$,
 388 i.e., including the first four vectors as well, where $2^{m-1} \leq i \leq 2^m - 1$, and delete every
 389 other row, then we obtain an Hadamard matrix. Hadamard matrices are invertible,
 390 thus the punctured matrix obtained by the omission of the first two rows has co-rank
 391 2. Clearly, all vectors with $y_{2^{m-1}} = \dots = y_{2^{m-1}+2^{m-2}-1}$ and $y_{2^{m-1}+2^{m-2}} = \dots =$
 392 y_{2^m-1} satisfy the system of linear equations. As these conditions define a co-rank 2
 393 subspace in $\mathbb{Q}^{2^{m-1}}$, the conditions are equivalent to the system of linear equations.
 394 As the vector c_2 has exactly 2^{m-2} ones in pairs of coordinates in $X \cup X'$ with index
 395 $2^{m-1} \leq i \leq 2^m - 1$, exactly one out of the following four possibilities occur:

- 396 • $x_{2^{m-1}} = \dots = x_{2^{m-1}+2^{m-2}-1} = 1$ and the remaining x_i, x'_i are 1 for $2^{m-1} \leq$
 397 $i \leq 2^m - 1$, or
- 398 • $x_{2^{m-1}+2^{m-2}} = \dots = x_{2^m-1} = 1$ and the remaining x_i, x'_i are 1 for $2^{m-1} \leq$
 399 $i \leq 2^m - 1$, or
- 400 • $x'_{2^{m-1}} = \dots = x'_{2^{m-1}+2^{m-2}-1} = 1$ and the remaining x_i, x'_i are 1 for $2^{m-1} \leq$
 401 $i \leq 2^m - 1$, or
- 402 • $x'_{2^{m-1}+2^{m-2}} = \dots = x'_{2^m-1} = 1$ and the remaining x_i, x'_i are 1 for $2^{m-1} \leq$
 403 $i \leq 2^m - 1$, or

404 By replacing c_2 with its mirror image if necessary (also contained in $\langle H_{m|m}, c_2 \rangle$),
 405 that is, switching the roles of X_2 and X'_2 , we may assume that we are in one of
 406 the last two possibilities. Note that in particular $|\text{supp}(c_2) \cap \text{supp}(c) \cap X'| = 2^{m-2}$.
 407 After a suitable rearrangement of the symmetrical partition $X \cup X'$ to $X_3 \cup X'_3$ that
 408 does not affect the code $\langle H_{m|m}, c \rangle$ and only involves potential transposition of pairs
 409 of coordinates with index $1 \leq i \leq 2^{m-1} - 1$, we obtain that c_2 is the restriction of
 410 e_2 to X'_3 . But then $\langle H_{m|m}, c, c_2 \rangle$ together with the modified symmetrical partition
 411 $X_3 \cup X'_3$ is a code between $H_{m|m}$ and $H_{m \times m}$. By maximality of the dimension of
 412 C_0 , we have that there must be at least one more $H_{m|m}$ -balanced vector $c' \in C_0$
 413 different from c . It cannot be the restriction of e_2 or $e_2 + e_1$ to X' : in that case,
 414 the support of c' or $c + c'$ would intersect the same pairs of equivalent coordinates
 415 nontrivially as the support of c_2 , which was earlier shown to be impossible (in the
 416 above arguments, c was an arbitrary $H_{m|m}$ -balanced vector in C_0). Without loss of
 417 generality, c' is the restriction of e_3 to X' . In particular, the transposition of pairs
 418 of coordinates with index $1 \leq i \leq 2^{m-1} - 1$ to obtain the new symmetrical partition
 419 $X_3 \cup X'_3$ could not have involved pairs with indices $2^{m-2} \leq i \leq 2^{m-1} - 1$, as the same
 420 argument as we have applied for c yields that $|\text{supp}(c_2) \cap \text{supp}(c') \cap X'| = 2^{m-2}$. Then
 421 we can again rearrange $X_3 \cup X'_3$ to some $X_4 \cup X'_4$ by transposing pairs with indices
 422 $1 \leq i \leq 2^{m-2} - 1$, and obtain that c_2 is the restriction of e_2 to X'_4 . Again, this means
 423 that $\langle H_{m|m}, c', c, c_2 \rangle$ is a good candidate for C_0 , thus C_0 itself must contain at least
 424 one more $H_{m|m}$ -balanced vector $c'' \in C_0$ that is the restriction of e_3 to X' , without
 425 loss of generality. By carrying on in the same fashion, after m steps, we obtain a
 426 symmetrical partition $X_{m+2} \cup X'_{m+2}$ such that $\langle H_{m|m}, c^{(m-1)}, \dots, c', c, c_2 \rangle$ is between
 427 $H_{m|m}$ and $H_{m \times m}$ with respect to $X_{m+2} \cup X'_{m+2}$, and this has the same dimension as
 428 $H_{m \times m}$, which is the biggest dimension that C_0 can possibly have. Thus $C_0 = H_{m \times m}$
 429 (with the symmetrical partition $X_{m+2} \cup X'_{m+2}$), and $c_2 \in C_0$, a contradiction. \square

430 Now we focus on the $k > m$ case. According to Proposition 3.4, we only need to
 431 show that there are no unknown examples for $2 \leq m, k = m + 1$.

432 LEMMA 4.2. *Let $2 \leq m$ and let $H_{m+1|m} \leq C \not\leq H_{(m+1) \times m}$ (with respect to
 433 any symmetrical partition) be a minimal example (cf. Definition 3.3 and item 3. of
 434 Proposition 3.4). Then C cannot be extended to a code C' with the same length n and
 435 maximum distance D .*

436 *Proof.* Let C_0 denote the copy of $H_{m+1|m}$ in C . Let $C = \langle C_0, c \rangle$ with some
 437 codeword c that is C_0 -balanced with respect to the symmetrical partition $X \cup Y \cup X'$.
 438 Let $c' \in C' \setminus C$; we may assume that $C' = \langle C, c' \rangle$. Then $\langle C_0, c' \rangle$ is a minimal example,
 439 thus either $C_0 \leq \langle C_0, c' \rangle \leq H_{(m+1) \times m}$ with respect to some symmetrical partition
 440 (potentially different from $X \cup Y \cup X'$), or $\langle C_0, c' \rangle$ is as in item 3. of Proposition 3.4.

441 Assume first that $c' \in H_{(m+1) \times m}$ with respect to some symmetrical partition. We
 442 may assume that $\text{supp}(c') \cap Y = \emptyset$, and then $w(c') = 2^{m-1}$.

443 There are four codewords $u \in C \setminus C_0$ with weight 2^m , and all four has 2^{m-2} ones
 444 in Y . Thus $w(c' + u + 1_Y) = w(c' + u) + |Y| - 2 \cdot 2^{m-2} = w(c' + u) + 2^{m-1} \leq D$,

445 which makes $w(c' + u) \leq D - 2^{m-1} = w(u)$. Clearly, if $u \in C \setminus C_0$ has weight D , then
 446 $w(c' + u) \leq w(u)$. Thus $w(c' + u) \leq w(u)$ for all $u \in C \setminus C_0$, and $\sum_{u \in C \setminus C_0} w(c' + u) =$

447 $\sum_{u \in C \setminus C_0} w(u)$. Hence, $w(c' + u) = w(u)$ for all $u \in C \setminus C_0$, and consequently, the

448 support of any $u \in C \setminus C_0$ cuts the support of c' in half.

449 This yields a system of linear equations over \mathbb{Q} . Introduce pairs of variables
 450 corresponding to the pairs of C_0 -equivalent coordinates denoted by $x_1, x'_1, x_2, x'_2,$
 451 $\dots, x_{2^m-1}, x'_{2^m-1}$ with x_1, \dots, x_{2^m-1} corresponding to coordinates in X , such that
 452 $x_i = 1$ if the i -th coordinate in X is in $\text{supp}(c')$ and zero otherwise, and $x'_i = 1$ if the
 453 i -th coordinate in X' is in $\text{supp}(c')$ and zero otherwise. Then each $u \in C \setminus C_0$ yields a
 454 linear equation by equating the sum of variables corresponding to $\text{supp}(u)$ in X with
 455 $\frac{w(c')}{2} = 2^{m-1}$.

456 Given an $1 \leq i \leq 2^m - 1$, let us add the linear equations corresponding to the
 457 2^m codewords $u \in C \setminus C_0$ such that the value of u is one in the i -th coordinate of
 458 X , and subtract the remaining 2^m equations. If we did this with codewords in C_0 ,
 459 then x_i and x'_i would have coefficient 2^m and all the remaining variables would have
 460 coefficient 0, thus yielding the equation $2^m \cdot (x_i + x'_i) = 0$. As $C \setminus C_0 = c + C_0$, thus
 461 zeros and ones are flipped in the support of c , the equation obtained is of the form
 462 $2^m \cdot (x_i + x'_i) = 0$ if i' is not in the support of c , and it is of the form $2^m \cdot (x_i - x'_i) = 0$
 463 if i' is in the support of c . Thus $x_i + x'_i = 0$, or equivalently $x_i = x'_i = 0$ for all the i
 464 such that i' is not in the support of c , and $x_i = x'_i$ for all the i such that i' is in the
 465 support of c . As c' has nonzero coordinates in $X \cup X'$, the latter possibility occurs
 466 with some i such that $x_i = x'_i = 1$. But then there are C_0 -equivalent coordinates
 467 where c' is one, a contradiction.

468 Hence, $\langle C_0, c' \rangle$ is as in item 3. of Proposition 3.4 for all $c' \in C' \setminus C_0$. That is, if we
 469 partition C' into C_0 -cosets $C' = C_0 \cup K \cup K' \cup K''$, then there are C_0 -balanced vectors
 470 each of $c \in K, c' \in K'$ and $c'' \in K''$ (with respect to possibly different symmetrical
 471 partitions), where c and c' have already been chosen along with the symmetrical
 472 partition $X \cup Y \cup X'$ corresponding to c . Let $a, b \in C_0$ be as in Definition 2.5 for c .

473 All nonzero codewords in C' have weight 2^m or $3 \cdot 2^{m-1}$. The four codewords in
 474 each of K, K' and K'' with weight 2^m are exactly those u with $|\text{supp}(u) \cap Y| = 2^{m-2}$.
 475 In each of K, K' and K'' , these four sets of the form $\text{supp}(u) \cap Y$ partition Y . Given the
 476 intersection of two maximal weight codewords in Y as in Definition 2.5, if we produce
 477 the C_0 -balanced vector and its C_0 -translates with weight 2^m , the partition obtained
 478 either coincides with the above one, or the two partitions bisect each other (i.e.,
 479 their intersection consists of eight classes with half the size of the original classes).
 480 Clearly, these intersections bisect each other, as otherwise there were two vectors
 481 $u \in K, u' \in K'$ with the same support inside Y , and then $u + u' \in K''$ would be all
 482 zero in Y , a contradiction. In particular, $3 \leq m$. Moreover, we cannot choose the
 483 same pair u, v to define c' , but we may assume that $\text{supp}(c) \cap Y$ and $\text{supp}(c') \cap Y$ cut
 484 each other in half, and in particular that $c'' = c + c'$. However we pick a pair u', v' to
 485 define c' so that this condition is met, we obtain equivalent binary linear codes.

486 So we are going to work in a particular example, for the sake of transparency.
 487 First of all, let us represent $C_0 \cong H_{m+1|m}$ in the standard way. The illustration below
 488 is for $m = 3$.

| | | X | Y | X' | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-------|-----|
| C_0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1_Y | |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | a | |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | b | |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | b' | |
| | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | b | |
| | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | b' | |
| | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | b' | |
| | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | b' | |
| | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | b' | |
| | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | b' | |
| | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | b' | |
| | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | b' | |
| | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | b' | |
| | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | b' | |
| | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | b' | |
| | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | b' | |
| | 489 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | c |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | c |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c | |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c | |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | c | |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | c | |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | c | |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | c | |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | c | | |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | c | | |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | c | | |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | c | | |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | c | | |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | c | | |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | c | | |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | c | | |
| 490 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | c | | |

$$2^{m-1} \leq i \leq 2^m - 1$$

491 Hence, we produce the generating matrix as in Section 2 (see $M_{3|2}$), by writing
 492 the binary representation of all numbers from 1 to $2^{m+1} - 1$ in columns, and then
 493 by repeating the first $2^m - 1$ columns. Let e_1, \dots, e_{m+1} denote the rows of this
 494 matrix from top to bottom; this is the standard basis of the code. Then we sort
 495 the codewords $\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \varepsilon_i e_i$, $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$, so that the sequence of coefficients $\overline{\varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_{m+1}}$
 496 corresponding to the r -th codeword is the binary representation of r (extended by
 497 zeros on the right) for $r = 0, \dots, 2^{m+1} - 1$. That is, the list of codewords is $0, e_1 =$
 498 $1_Y, e_2, e_2 + e_1, e_3, \dots, e_{m+1} + \dots + e_1$. Let $a = a' = e_2, b = e_3, b' = e_4$ (note that
 499 this is possible as $3 \leq m$). So $\langle C_0, c \rangle$ is uniquely determined (together with the fixed
 500 symmetrical partition of coordinates), and so are the vectors a', b' in C_0 to define c' .
 501 The difficulty in showing that this cannot yield an appropriate code is that there are
 502 2^m possibilities for the symmetrical partition corresponding to c' .
 503 Considering the way we represented the code C_0 , note that the restriction of

504 codewords to Y have an alternating nature: $\text{supp}(u_{2k-1}) \cap Y = Y \setminus (\text{supp}(u_{2k}) \cap Y)$.
 505 Inside X (and symmetrically inside X'), the restrictions to $\text{supp}(a) \cap X$ is a list of
 506 identical pairs of vectors, and the restrictions to $(\text{supp}(b) \setminus \text{supp}(a)) \cap X$ is a list of
 507 identical quartets of vectors. Since $\text{supp}(c) \cap X = \emptyset$, the same holds for the coset
 508 K (whose elements $c + u$ are listed in the same order as the vectors $u \in C_0$ are).
 509 That is, the list can be partitioned into consecutive quartets with the same restriction
 510 to $(\text{supp}(b) \setminus \text{supp}(a)) \cap X$, and each quartet consists of two consecutive pairs with
 511 the same restriction to $\text{supp}(a) \cap X$. It is easy to see that the eight codewords in
 512 $c + u \in K$ such that $\text{supp}(c + c' + u) \cap Y$ has size 2^{m-2} or $3 \cdot 2^{m-1}$, which are exactly
 513 those codewords in K'' whose weight in $X \cup X'$ is $3 \cdot 2^{m-2}$ rather than 2^m , is the
 514 union of two such quartets. Thus the indices of these eight vectors are independent
 515 from the choice of the symmetrical partition corresponding to c' , as we can find them
 516 by only studying the restriction of vectors to Y .

517 Introduce pairs of variables corresponding to the pairs of C_0 -equivalent coordi-
 518 nates denoted by $x_1, x'_1, x_2, x'_2, \dots, x_{2^m-1}, x'_{2^m-1}$ with x_1, \dots, x_{2^m-1} corresponding
 519 to coordinates in X , such that $x_i = 1$ if the i -th coordinate in X is in $\text{supp}(c')$ and
 520 zero otherwise, and $x'_i = 1$ if the i -th coordinate in X' is in $\text{supp}(c')$ and zero oth-
 521 erwise. As a first step, we are going to simplify the notations, so that it is enough
 522 to focus on the variables x_1, \dots, x_{2^m-1} . First of all, if $1 \leq i \leq 2^m - 1$ is such that
 523 both $\text{supp}(a) \cup \text{supp}(b)$ and $\text{supp}(a) \cup \text{supp}(b')$ are one in the i -th coordinate of X' ,
 524 then $x_i + x'_i = 1$ and every $c + u \in K$ has opposite values in the i -th coordinate
 525 in X and the i -th coordinate of X' , respectively. Note that this applies exactly to
 526 $3 \cdot 2^{m-3} \leq i \leq 2^m - 1$. Thus if the i -th coordinate of $c + u$ in X is $u[i] = 0$, then the
 527 sum of the i -th coordinates in X and in X' of $c' + c + u \in K''$ (as rational numbers
 528 rather than elements of \mathbb{Z}_2) is

- 529 • 0 if $x_i = 0$, and
- 530 • 2 if $x_i = 1$.

531 Similarly, if the i -th coordinate of $c + u$ in X is $u[i] = 1$, then the sum of the
 532 i -th coordinates in X and in X' of $c' + c + u \in K''$ (as rational numbers rather than
 533 elements of \mathbb{Z}_2) is

- 534 • 2 if $x_i = 0$, and
- 535 • 0 if $x_i = 1$.

536 Hence, the sum of the i -th coordinates in X and in X' of $c' + c + u \in K''$ is
 537 $2u[i] + 2(-1)^{u[i]} \cdot x_i$ for all $3 \cdot 2^{m-3} \leq i \leq 2^m - 1$. In case of the remaining values
 538 $1 \leq i \leq 3 \cdot 2^{m-3}$, the choice of the symmetrical partition in the definition of c' does
 539 not affect the sum of the i -th coordinates in X and in X' of $c' + c + u \in K''$. Let us
 540 denote this sum by $s(u, i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3 \cdot 2^{m-3} - 1$.

541 Then each codeword $c + u \in K$ yields a linear equation. Namely, if $c + u$ is
 542 one of the eight codewords with either 2^{m-2} or $3 \cdot 2^{m-2}$ ones in Y , then we have

$$543 \sum_{i=1}^{3 \cdot 2^{m-3} - 1} s(u, i) + \sum_{i=3 \cdot 2^{m-3}}^{2^m - 1} (2u[i] + 2(-1)^{u[i]} \cdot x_i) = 3 \cdot 2^{m-2}, \text{ and in case of the rest of the}$$

$$544 \text{ codewords in } K, \text{ the equation is } \sum_{i=1}^{3 \cdot 2^{m-3} - 1} s(u, i) + \sum_{i=3 \cdot 2^{m-3}}^{2^m - 1} (2u[i] + 2(-1)^{u[i]} \cdot x_i) = 2^m.$$

545 After rearranging the equations, we obtain

$$546 \bullet \sum_{i=3 \cdot 2^{m-3}}^{2^m - 1} 2(-1)^{u[i]} \cdot x_i = 3 \cdot 2^{m-2} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{3 \cdot 2^{m-3} - 1} s(u, i) + \sum_{i=3 \cdot 2^{m-3}}^{2^m - 1} 2u[i] \right) \text{ in case}$$

547 of the eight special vectors u , and

548 • $\sum_{i=3 \cdot 2^{m-3}}^{2^m-1} 2(-1)^{u[i]} \cdot x_i = 2^m - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{3 \cdot 2^{m-3}-1} s(u, i) + \sum_{i=3 \cdot 2^{m-3}}^{2^m-1} 2u[i] \right)$ for the rest.

549 In each quartet, the identical restriction to X yield identical equations. So we
 550 obtain two different linear equation from each quartet.

551 We study the equations corresponding to the first quartet of vectors in K sep-
 552 arately, as they are essentially different from the rest. The first equation (obtained
 553 from the first two vectors in K) is $\sum_{i=3 \cdot 2^{m-3}}^{2^m-1} x_i = 2^{m-1}$, and the second equation is

554 $\sum_{i=3 \cdot 2^{m-3}}^{2^{m-1}-1} x_i - \sum_{i=2^{m-1}}^{2^m-1} x_i = 2^{m-1} = -2^{m-1}$. By adding up these two linear equations,
 555 we obtain $\sum_{i=3 \cdot 2^{m-3}}^{2^{m-1}-1} x_i = 0$. As all the x_i are non-negative rational numbers, this is

556 only possible if $x_i = 0$ for all $3 \cdot 2^{m-3} \leq i \leq 2^{m-1} - 1$. Thus it is enough to focus on
 557 the variables x_i with $2^{m-1} \leq i \leq 2^m - 1$, and the equations

558 • $\sum_{i=2^{m-1}}^{2^m-1} (-1)^{u[i]} \cdot x_i = 3 \cdot 2^{m-3} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{3 \cdot 2^{m-3}-1} \frac{s(u, i)}{2} + \sum_{i=3 \cdot 2^{m-3}}^{2^m-1} u[i] \right)$ in case of the
 559 eight special vectors u , and
 560 • $\sum_{i=2^{m-1}}^{2^m-1} (-1)^{u[i]} \cdot x_i = 2^{m-1} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{3 \cdot 2^{m-3}-1} \frac{s(u, i)}{2} + \sum_{i=3 \cdot 2^{m-3}}^{2^m-1} u[i] \right)$ for the rest.

561 For each remaining quartet, let us subtract the first equation from the second.
 562 Fortunately, the right sides of the two equations are equal: in all quartets (other than
 563 the first), the number of ones in X in the indices $3 \cdot 2^{m-2} \leq i \leq 2^m - 1$ is the same in
 564 all four vectors, and the restriction of the vectors to the first $1 \leq i \leq 2^{m-1}$ coordinates
 565 in X is also the same, making $s(u, i)$ independent from u (within a quartet). Thus
 566 the right hand side of the difference of equations is 0. On the left hand side, we have
 567 all the x_i with opposite sign in the two equations, as there are opposite coordinates in
 568 the region $2^{m-1} \leq i \leq 2^m - 1$ in X in the two different vectors of each quartet. After
 569 subtracting the two equations and dividing by 2, we obtain the same coefficients as
 570 if we simply subtracted the restrictions of the two vectors in K to the coordinates
 571 $2^{m-1} \leq i \leq 2^m - 1$ in X (where the 0-1 vectors are considered as rational vectors). If
 572 we do this for all quartets, including the first, then the coefficients in the 2^m equations
 573 obtained form an Hadamard matrix. On the right hand side, we have 2^{m-2} in the first
 574 equation, and 0 everywhere else. Since Hadamard matrices are invertible, this system
 575 of linear equations has a unique solution in $\mathbb{Q}^{2^{m-1}}$. As $x_{2^{m-1}} = \dots = x_{2^m-1} = \frac{1}{2}$
 576 is obviously a solution, this is the unique solution of the system of linear equations
 577 obtained. However, each x_i should be 0 or 1, a contradiction. \square

578 The proof of the main theorem is now complete.

579 *Proof of Theorem 2.6.* By Theorem 2.2, Proposition 3.4 and Lemmas 4.1, 4.2. \square

580 **5. Further comments.** Although our sole purpose was to (nearly) minimize
 581 the maximum distance of a binary linear code, the codes obtained turn out to have
 582 a relatively large minimum distance. According to the Plotkin bound [15], a binary
 583 linear code C with length n and minimum distance d such that $n = 2d$ has dimension
 584 $\dim C \leq 1 + \lceil \log_2 n \rceil$. This upper bound is attained by the codes $C = \langle H_{m|m}, c \rangle$, where
 585 c is the $H_{m|m}$ -balanced vector that is all one in X' , given a symmetrical partition
 586 $X \cup X'$ of the coordinates. Indeed, $\dim C = m + 1$, $d = 2^m - 1$ and $n = 2d =$
 587 $2^{m+1} - 2$, thus $\lceil \log_2 n \rceil = m$. Moreover, these codes also meet the Griesmer bound [8]:

588 $\sum_{i=0}^m \left\lceil \frac{2^m-1}{2^i} \right\rceil = 2^m - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^m 2^{m-i} = 2^m - 1 + 2^m - 1 = n$. We note that the Griesmer
 589 bound is also attained by the code $C = \langle H_{m|m}, c, 1 \rangle$ where 1 is the all one vector. In
 590 that case, $\dim C = m + 1$ and the minimum distance is $d = 2^m - 2$.

591 Again, by the Griesmer bound, a binary linear code of length $n = 10$ and di-
 592 mension $\dim C = 4$ cannot have minimum distance $d \geq 5$. The optimal minimum
 593 distance $d = 4$ is attained by $C = \langle H_{3|2}, c \rangle$ with any $H_{3|2}$ -compatible c . In fact, we
 594 can improve the dimension by once again extend the code by the all one vector 1,
 595 to obtain a $[10, 5, 4]_2$ code. This example cannot be further improved in the sense
 596 that there is no $[10, 6, 4]_2$ code. According to [22], there are exactly four inequivalent
 597 binary linear codes with parameters $[10, 5, 4]_2$; the above example C is Code 2 in that
 598 document. It is noted in [22] that C is not self-dual. However, the dual of C has
 599 the same weight distribution as C , and thus - as the remaining three examples have
 600 different weight distribution - we have $C \cong C^\perp$. It is also mentioned in [22] that
 601 according to the Assmus-Mattson theorem [9, Theorem 8.4.2], the supports of the
 602 weight 4 codewords in C form a $2 - (10, 4, 2)$ block design.

603 The concepts of two- and three-weight codes are getting more and more popular
 604 recently, see [5, 11, 12, 23]. Every code of the form $C = \langle H_{m|m}, c \rangle$, where c is an
 605 $H_{m|m}$ -balanced vector, is a two-weight code. According to Lemma 3.2, every code
 606 of the form $C = \langle H_{m+1|m}, c \rangle$, where c is an $H_{m+1|m}$ -balanced vector, is also a two-
 607 weight code. Furthermore, for $m = 2$, the latter example can be extended by the all
 608 one vector to obtain a three-weight binary linear code. For all $1 \leq m < k$, $H_{k|m}$ is a
 609 two-weight code, and the trivial examples $H_{k|m} < C \leq H_{k \times m}$ are three-weight codes.
 610

611

REFERENCES

- 612 [1] O. AHLMAN AND V. KOPONEN, *Limit laws and automorphism groups of random nonrigid struc-*
 613 *tures*, J. Log. Anal., 7 (2015), pp. 1–53.
 614 [2] S. M. BALL AND A. BLOKHUIS, *A bound for the maximum weight of a linear code*, SIAM J.
 615 *Discrete Math.*, 27 (2013), pp. 575–583.
 616 [3] A. BETTEN, M. BRAUN, H. FRIPERTINGER, A. KERBER, A. KOHNERT, AND A. WASSERMANN,
 617 *Error-Correcting Linear Codes*, Springer, 2006.
 618 [4] P. J. CAMERON, *On graphs with given automorphism group*, European J. Combin., 1 (1980),
 619 pp. 91–96.
 620 [5] K. DING AND C. DING, *A class of two-weight and three-weight codes and their applications in*
 621 *secret sharing*, Trans. Inform. Theory, 61 (2015), pp. 5835–5842.
 622 [6] P. ERDŐS AND A. RÉNYI, *Asymmetric graphs*, Acta Math. Hungar., 14 (1963), pp. 295–315.
 623 [7] R. FAGIN, *The number of finite relational structures*, Discrete Math., 19 (1977), pp. 17–21.
 624 [8] J. H. GRIESMER, *A bound for error-correcting codes*, IBM Journal of Res. and Dev., 4 (1960),
 625 pp. 532–542.
 626 [9] W. C. HUFFMAN AND V. PLESS, *Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes*, Cambridge Universty
 627 Press, 2003.
 628 [10] V. KOPONEN, *Typical automorphism groups of finite nonrigid structures*, Arch. Math. Logic,
 629 54 (2015), pp. 571–586.
 630 [11] C. LI, S. BAE, AND S. YANG, *Some two-weight and three-weight linear codes*, Adv. Math.
 631 *Commun.*, 13 (2019), pp. 195–211.
 632 [12] C. LI, Q. YUE, AND F. W. FU, *A construction of several classes of two-weight and three-weight*
 633 *linear codes*, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput., 28 (2017), pp. 11–30.
 634 [13] M. W. LIEBECK AND A. SHALEV, *On fixed points of elements in primitive permutation groups*,
 635 *J. Algebra*, 421 (2015), pp. 438–459.
 636 [14] P. M. NEUMANN, *A lemma that is not Burnside’s*, The Mathematical Scientist, 4 (1979),
 637 pp. 133–141.
 638 [15] M. PLOTKIN, *Binary codes with specified minimum distance*, Trans. Inform. Theory, 6 (1960),
 639 pp. 445–450.
 640 [16] A. PONGRÁCZ, *Extremal solutions of an inequality concerning supports of permutation groups*

- 641 *and punctured Hadamard codes*. submitted, 2018.
- 642 [17] C. RONSE, *On permutation groups of prime power order*, Math. Z., 173 (1980), pp. 211–215.
- 643 [18] R. ROTH, *Introduction to coding theory*, Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- 644 [19] J. SAXL AND A. SHALEV, *The fixity of permutation groups*, J. Algebra, 174 (1995), pp. 1122–
- 645 1140.
- 646 [20] A. SHALEV, *On the fixity of linear groups*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., 68 (1994), pp. 265–293.
- 647 [21] J. J. SYLVESTER, *Thoughts on inverse orthogonal matrices, simultaneous sign successions, and*
- 648 *tessellated pavements in two or more colours, with applications to newton’s rule, ornament-*
- 649 *tal tile-work, and the theory of numbers*, Philosophical Magazine, 34 (1867), pp. 461–475.
- 650 [22] H. N. WARD, *The four [10,5,4] binary codes*. The manuscript can be found on the homepage
- 651 <http://www.people.virginia.edu/~hnw/Four104.pdf>.
- 652 [23] Z. ZHOU, N. LI, C. FAN, AND T. HELLESETH, *Linear codes with two or three weights from*
- 653 *quadratic Bent functions*, Des. Codes Cryptogr., 81 (2016), pp. 283–295.