# **Optimizing RPL performance based on the selection of best** route between child and root node using E-MHOF method

## Ahmed R. Zarzoor Directorate of Inspection, Ministry of Health, Iraq

## Article Info

## Article history:

Received Feb 17, 2020 Revised Jun 14, 2020 Accepted Jun 31, 2020

#### Keywords:

Expected number of transmissions (ETX) Internet of thinks (IoTs) Minimum rank with hysteresis objective function (MHOF) Objective function (OF) Routing protocol for low power and lossy networks (RPL)

## ABSTRACT

IETF has proposed the routing protocol for low power and lossy networks (RPL) for IOT as view as light weight routing protocol. In RPL, the objective function (OF) is used to select the best route between child and root node. Several researches have been conducted in order to, enhance OF according to number parameters such as number of hops, remaining energy and expected number of transmissions (ETX), without a consideration to other challenges such as congestion node problem and latency. So, to overcome these challenges a new technique called "Enhance-minimum rank with hysteresis objective function (MHOF)" is proposed in this paper, to select the ideal path between the child and root node. The technique is consisted of three layers: parent selection layer in which parent is selected based on three parameters (ETX, RSSI and nodes' residual energy), path selection layer in which the best route is chosen according to the minimum of (average ETX value) and maximum of (average remaining energy value) of all nodes in the selected route. The last layer is child node minimization, which utilized to solve the congestion node energy problem by using two parameters (RSSI reference and threshold value). The proposed method has been implemented and evaluated by using Cooja simulator software. The simulation results have shown that selected path with E-MHOF is increased the network lifetime and reduced latency in comparison with MHOF.

This is an open access article under the <u>CC BY-SA</u> license.



## Corresponding Author:

Ahmed R. Zarzoor, Department of Information Technology, Directorate of Inspection, Ministry of Health, Baghdad, Iraq. Email: Ahmed.Arjabi@gmail.com

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the current century, a huge revolution has taken place on the network development beginning with internet and ending with internet of things (IoT) [1]. Most of the development processes have been foucsed on using network with low cost and minimum energy usage. Also, enabling devices in the network to perform tasks instead of humans [2]. IoT is consisted of smart devices of "things" such as (vehicles or mobile devices) communicate with each other via internet. Many of the connections between any pair of smart things are conducted by protocol called "routing protocol for low power and lossy networks" (RPL), which is distance vector routing protocol that founds destination oriented directed acyclic graphs (DODAG) via utilizing different type objective functions (OFs). In order, to select the best route between the source node and root node in the network.

One of the challenges issue in IoT, is the limitations of "low power and lossy networks" LLNs, such as low power battery, small storage memory and short transmission range of the smart device in

the network [3, 4]. Therefore, many researchers are take in account these challenges when developing IoT [5-8]. Either by reducing traffic load on the parent node in RPL, or via selecting the optimal route with high quality among multi-path to the destination. Also, node congestion in RPL is considered a common challenge, since many nodes can send data through one node (parent) in the route to the root node. Therefore, congestion is happend and caused packets losses and maximizes latency in the first place. The common solution for optimizing network lifetime and reducing node congestion problem is traffic balancing. This can be achieved either by providing multipath routing or optimizing OF in the way of parent selection process [9].

However, in the proposed method of this research, a load balancing solution in LLN is utilized in order to solve the congestion node problem and enhancing network lifetime. In this method, the routing path will be selected based on average value of (expected number of transmissions (ETX), remaining energy) of all the nodes that constructs the route from the child node via the parent to the root node. Also, each child node selects their parent node based on "minimum rank with hysteresis objective function" (MHOF) but based on two parameters ("Received Single Strain Indicator" (RSSI) reference and threshold value) to choose the best parent. The rest of this paper organizes as follows: section 2 explores the related work, section 3 describes RPL routing protocol. While, section 4 covers the proposal method to select the optimal route between child node and root node, whereas section 5 presents the simulation implementation results of the proposed method. Finally, section 6 elaborates study conclusion.

## 2. RELATED WORK

Many of the techniques have been used to enhance the performance of RPL based on the selection of the best parent. As view to, optimize the IoT networks' energy consumption. The [10] study, used method to select the optimal routes to the target node via using metrics (such as individual node transmit power, received power and ETX) in the objective functions. In other words, they calculated the whole network energy consumption based on the individual node energy consumption. Also, study [11] is proposed energy efficient (ER-RPL) protocol to reduce power usage of the node. In this protocol only a limited number of nodes called "reference node" are used, to specify the routing path between leaf node and root node. While, Mohammed et al [12], are presented in their study a new technique to select a dynamic parent in RPL, based on (recently load packets and remaining energy) of each node that form the path from child node toward the sink node.

Whilst, in study [13] is reduced energy consumption via minimizing the latency of packet transmission. They are restricted energy usage of the nodes, that near each other in the same area. Besides, avoiding bottleneck traffic load by sending data from different parents to the sink node. In another study [14], Alvi et al are proposed a new OF for RPL, in which the best parent is selected based on the route quality (measured by ETX) and length (calculated by number of hops). So as to reduce the number of parent selection process in order to, enhance the network energy usage. In study [15], the researchers are used, fuzzy logic to select best routing path based on three metrics: remaining energy, ETX and load of data in the route, as view to reduce energy usage. Also, [16] authors are presented a new OF called OF-EC to select the best parent via utilizing three metrics (HOP count, ETX and node residual energy). As well study [17], are presented a new OF called "OFRRT-FUZZY" via utilizing fuzzy logic based on three metrics (RSSI, node residual energy and throughput) to improve the (00F) performance in RPL. So, three metrics are used as input to fuzzy logic in order, to get the ideal path as output. Whereas, Jamil et al [18], are proposed a new OF called expected lifetime (ELT), in which they depend on the node energy usage in active mode. In other word, efficient energy usage of ELT is evlaued with two OFs (MRHOF and 00F) according to the distance between the child node and root node.

In research [19], the authors used load balancing technique to optimize the RPL energy usage. The core idea of their mechanism is about disabling a child node from transmit data to the parent, when it parent has a higher number of child nodes. Furthermore, it enable child to join parent that has minimum child nodes, instead of join parent that have lowest rank value and maximium number of child nodes. Also, in study [20] proposed a method to reduce traffic packets congestion via averting forwarding of data packets through congest node. They achieved that in two steps: firstly, the congest node is specifyed based on (buffer size and data packets delivery rate) and alleviates congestion in the next step, via changing routing path to avert congestion. Whilst, Kheaksong et al [21], are used another method of chosen parent in RPL to alleviate congestion according to three criteria involving ETX, reaming energy and hop count to optimize the load balancing and increasing network lifetime. Also, in study [22] authors are utilized a new technique to avoid congestion in RPL. in this technique a node remaining time is used, which is the time that node required to loss all of it residual energy (i.e. time to die). Thus, they allocate congestion nodes and diffusion traffic load uniformly through energy congestion nodes according to the nodes' remaining time.

# 3. RPL ROUTING PROTOCOL

RPL routing protocol was created by ROLL (routing over LLNs) group member of "internet engineering task force (IETF)" IETF for IoT network. RPL is a distance vector protocol and uses tree structure called "Destination Oriented Directed Acyclic graph" (DODAG) [23] to communicate leaf node (child) and root node (sink). In a DODAG four kinds of control messages are used in order to define the topology, which are: "DODAG Information Object" DIO, "DODAG Adverting Object" DIS, "DOGAG Information Solicitation" DAO and "Destination Advertisement Object Acknowledgement" DAO-ACK [24, 25], see Figure 1. However, the messages are transmitted in two directions (downwards and upwards), in downwards direction is formed as follow:

- The root node sends DIO message to all the nodes in the network, the message contains root ID and OF information. The DIO message sents periodically to update the network.
- Each node, receives DIO message and adds it rank (distance between its location and root node location) to the DIO message and forwarding it to the neighbors.
- The DIO message will be sent periodically from root node, until DIO message received by all nodes in the network and gets all nodes' rank.

Whereas, upwards direction is formed as follow:

- Each node, sends DAO message to the root node by utilizing the nearest path between it and root node.
- Each node, may request DAO-ACK message so as to ensures the delivery of DAO message.
- While, DIS message will be used when a new node joins the network and requests DIO message.

So, according to the rank value each node can selected its parent on the path toward root. Also, each node can change its' parent dynamically depend on the OF information such as HOP counter, ETX and remaining energy.



Figure 1. Illustrates RPL DODAG structure with control messages for the two directions

#### 4. RESEARCH METHOD

The research method is built according to load balancing technique to avert traffic congestion and reducing both nodes' energy consuming and latency. So as to achieve that technique three layers are used in the study method which are:

- Parent selection
- Routing path selection
- Child node minimization.

## 4.1. Parent selection

Each child node select one node as parent according to the calculation of three parameters ETX, remaining energy and RSSI. The ETX is the retransmission counter that required to deliver packet to the destination node. Thus, minimum ETX value means the destination node is the best one to be select as parent. The ETX is computed via using (1) [26].

227

$$ETX = \frac{1}{(Packet_{Rec}.ACK_{Succ})}$$
(1)

where *Packet\_Rec*, is the prospect of received packet from neighbor and *ACK\_Succ*, is the prospect of getting an acknowledgment successfully. While, remaining energy parameter is calculated by using (2) [15].

Remaining Energy (Ni) = 
$$\frac{Current Energy}{Initial Energy}$$
 (2)

For RSSI parameter computation, both (RSSI threshold and RSSI reference) values [9] are used to select parent,

$$RSSI_{reference}(A, N_A) > RSSI_{th}^{PS}(A)$$
(3)

The  $N_A$  is the neighbors of node A,  $RSSI_{reference}$  is calculated by enabling all nodes in the network to send DIO messages with higher transmission power without any transmission control. Where PS, is the set of candidate parents for node (A). While, RSSI threshold (th), is used to adjust size of DODAO sub tree and advert load balancing through increasing (th) value, to enable child node (A) to change its current parent with other one from PS. To illustrate, in Figure 2 the PS of node 5 are nodes (3 and 8) and best parent is node 8, because it has minimum ETX value and maximum remaining energy in compare with node 3. When node 8 received many messages from neighbors (i.e RSSI reference become higher), in that case th value increased in order to enable node 5 to select another parent for instance node 3.



Figure 2. Illustrates the two paths between node 5 and Root node

## 4.2. Routing path selection

In this layer after parent selection, the routing path from child node to the root node through parent is selected based on the minimum of (average of ETX value) and maximum (average of remaining energy (RE) value) of all the nodes in the selected route. For instance, as shown in Figure 2, the best route from node 5 to the root is the path 5->8->4->1->root because the average value of ETX=3 and RE=4.75 in comparison with path 5->3->1->root. Where average value of ETX=4 and RE=4.1. Even though, hop counter for the first path is more than the second path.

## 4.3. Child node minimization

The third layer of this method is used to handle the congestion node energy problem. The congestion node is spcified based on the node buffer size and packets delivery ratio (PDR). For example, in Figure 3, packects are sent from three nodes 9, 10 and 11 to the parent node 6. So, node 6 buffer sized became full or PDR maximized. Consequently, node 6 is considered as congestion node in that case, to handle this problem the RSSI th value is increased. In order, to enable node 9 to change its current parent from node (6 to 4) using it PS according to the ETX and RE values, that are calculated in the parent selection layer. Thus, the number of child nodes are minimized for node 6.



Figure 3. Demonstrates congestion node 6 and node 9 is used to handle the problem by changing it current parent from node (6 to 4)

## 5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The Cooja simulator with operating system Contiki is utilized to implement the study method and evaluate the RPL performance in the IoT. In the simulator, the network is consisted of 25 nodes (1 root node and 24 parent and child node). The nodes are deployed randomly in (400mx400m) area. Also, all the nodes have the same coverage range. See the Table 1, which illustrates the simulator parameters. So, to evaluate performance of RPL in the network based on the energy consuming and latency parameters. Two scenarios, are implemented in this study. in the first scenario, standard RPL is implemented based on MHOF to select both parent and routing route from child to root node. While, the second scenario is used RPL with Enhance-MHOF (E-MHOF) via utilizing the three layers of the proposed technique in this study.

Table 1. Simulator parameters	
Parameters	Values
Operating System (OS)	Contiki OS Version 3.0
Area in Meters (m)	400x400
Number of Nodes	24
MAC Layer	IEEE 802.15.4
Transmission Packet Ratio (TX)	100%
Received Packet Ratio (RX)	100%
TX and RX Range	100m
Packet Sending Interval	500ms approx.
Network protocol	Contiki RPL
Objective Function (OF)	MRHOF in scenario 1 and Enhance
	(E-MRHOF) in scenario 2
Mote Type	Sky Mote
Simulation Duration Time	60 minutes

For RE parameter, the nodes are consumed more energy in scenario one in comparison with scenario two as shown in Figure 4. Where, the average energy usage of nodes in E-MHOF is 0.63 Joule, while in MHOF is 1.12 Joule. since the best route in E-MHOF is selected based on minimizing the number of children for each parent via using RSSI threshold value, Consequently, the congestion node scenario is alleviated in this way. Moreover, the best route is the one that have highest average value of nodes' remaining energy and minimum average ETX value of all the nodes that forms it from child toward the root node. Also, ETX value is reduced for all the nodes on the selected path from leaf node to the root node, when using scenario two in comparison with first scenario as shown in Figure 5. For route quality evaluation, latency is increased in scenario one when using MHOF as shown in Figure 6, which shows that E-MHOF utilized less time to convey data packets from each child node to the root node. Where, the total delay value of E-MHOF is 135.33 milliseconds, whereas in MHOF is 530.36 milliseconds.



Figure 4. Demonstrates the energy usage of the nodes of RPL with MHOF and E-MHOF



Figure 5. Shows the node ETX of RPL with MHOF and E-MHOF



Figure 6. Shows the route delay for RPL with MHOF and E-MHOF

#### 6. CONCLUSION

In this study, a new mechanism for routing path selection between child and root node, has been proposed to optimize the RPL routing protocol performance in IoT network. The method is composed of three layers: a parent selection layer that is based on three metrics (ETX, RSSI and nodes' residual energy), a routing path selection layer, in which the best route from child to the root node is chosen according to the minimum average value of the nodes' ETX value and maximum average value of nodes' remaining energy. While, the child node minimization layer is used to handle the congestion node problem via using RSSI reference and threshold value.

However, two scenarios have been implemented by using Cooja simulator software, the first scenario is used to run standard RPL with MHOF and the second scenario is used to implement RPL based on the E-MHOF. The simulation results have been shown that nodes in E-MHOF used less energy in comparison with MHOF. Also, the routing path in E-MHOF take less time for data transmisstion in contrast to the routing path in MHOF.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to appreciate all the excellent suggestions of anonymous reviewers to enhance the quality of this paper

#### REFERENCES

- D. B Ansari, A. Rehman, R. Ali, "Internet of Things (IoT) Protocols: A Brief Exploration of MQTT and CoAP," International Journal of Computer Applications, vol. 179, no. 27, pp. 9-14, 2018.
- [2] Mishra, D., et al., "Vision, applications and future challenges of Internet of Things: A bibliometric study of the recent literature," *Ind. Manag. Data Syst.*, vol. 116, no. 7, pp. 1331-1355, 2016.
- [3] S. Sankar and P. Srinivasan, "Composite Metric Based Energy Efficient Routing Protocol for Internet of Things," *Int. J. Intell. Eng. Syst.*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 278-286, 2017.
- [4] P. R. Lutui, B. Cusack and G. Maeakafa, "Energy efficiency for IoT devices in home environments," *IEEE International Conference on Environmental Engineering (EE)*, pp. 1-6, 2018.
- [5] J. Lee and S. Yoo, "Adaptive Energy Efficient RPL Avoiding Unstable Nodes for Smart Factory," *IEEE 18th International Symposium on Network Computing and Applications (NCA)*, pp. 1-4, 2019.
- [6] M. A. Mahmud, A. Abdelgawad and K. Yelamarthi, "Energy efficient routing for Internet of Things (IoT) applications," *IEEE International Conference on Electro Information Technology (EIT)*, pp. 442-446, 2017.
- [7] S. A. Alvi, F. U. Hassan and A. N. Mian, "On the energy efficiency and stability of RPL routing protocol," 13th International Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing Conference (IWCMC), pp. 1927-1932, 2017.
- [8] F. Javed, M. K. Afzal, M. Sharif and B. Kim, "Internet of Things (IoT) Operating Systems Support, Networking Technologies, Applications, and Challenges: A Comparative Review," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 2062-2100, 2018.
- [9] Lim, C., "A Survey on Congestion Control for RPL-Based Wireless Sensor Networks," *Sensors*, vol. 19, no. 11, pp. 1-30, 2019.
- [10] Z. M. Wang, W. Li and H. L. Dong, "Analysis of Energy Consumption and Topology of Routing Protocol for Low-Power and Lossy Networks," *IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series*, vol. 1087, no. 5, pp. 1-8, 2018.
- [11] M. Zhao, I. W. Ho and P. H. J. Chong, "An Energy-Efficient Region-Based RPL Routing Protocol for Low-Power and Lossy Networks," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 1319-1333, 2016.
- [12] M. Nassiri, M. Boujari, S. Azhari, "Energy-aware and load-balanced parent selection in RPL routing for wireless sensor networks," *International Journal of Wireless and Mobile Computing*, vol. 9 no. 3, pp. 231-239, 2015.
- [13] Zhu, Licai, Wang, Ruchuan, Yang, Hao, "Multi-Path Data Distribution Mechanism Based on RPL for Energy Consumption and Time Delay," *Information*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp 1-20, 2017.
- [14] S. A. Alvi, F. u. Hassan and A. N. Mian, "On the energy efficiency and stability of RPL routing protocol," 13th International Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing Conference (IWCMC), pp. 1927-1932, 2017.
- [15] S. Sankar and P. Srinivasan, "Fuzzy Logic Based Energy Aware Routing Protocol for Internet of Things," *I. J. Intelligent Systems and Applications*, vol. 10, no. 10, pp. 11-19, 2018.
- [16] H. Lamaazi and N. Benamar, "OF-EC: A novel energy consumption aware objective function for RPL based on fuzzy logic," J. Netw. Comput., vol. 117, pp. 42-58, 2018.
- [17] A. Saaidah, O. Almomani, L. Al-Qaisi and M. Madi, "An Efficient Design of RPL Objective Function for Routing in Internet of Things using Fuzzy Logic," *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, vol. 10, no. 8, 2019.
- [18] M. Jamil, D. Khan, A. Saleem, K. Mehmood and A. Iqbal, "Comparative Performance Analysis of RPL for Low Power and Lossy Networks based on Different Objective Functions," *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, vol. 10, no. 5, 2019.
- [19] Sebastian, A., and Sivagurunathan, S, "Load Balancing Optimization for RPL Based Emergency Response Using Q-Learning. MATTER," *International Journal of Science and Technology*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 74-92, 2018.

- [20] M. Lodhi, et al., "Transient Multipath routing protocol for low power and lossy networks," *KSII Transactions On Internet And Information Systems*, vol. 11, no. 4, 2017.
- [21] A. Kheaksong, K. Srisomboon, A. Prayoteand W. Lee, "Multicriteria Parent Selection Using Cognitive Radio for RPL in Smart Grid Network," *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, vol. 2018, pp 1-13, 2018.
- [22] O. Iova, F. Theoleyre and T. Noel, "Exploiting multiple parents in RPL to improve both the network lifetime and its stability," *IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*, pp. 610-616, 2015.
- [23] Sanmartin, P., et al., "Sigma Routing Metric for RPL Protocol," Sensors, vol. 18, no. 1277, pp 1-18, 2018.
- [24] O. Iova, P. Picco, T. Istomin and C. Kiraly, "RPL:The Routing Standard for the Internet of Things... Or Is It?," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 54, no. 12, pp. 16-22, 2016.
- [25] G. Vennila, D. Arivazhagan, and R. Jayavadivel, "Experimental Analysis Of RPL Routing Protocol In IOT," International Journal Of Scientific & Technology Research, vol. 8, no. 10, pp. 3466 -3470, 2019.
- [26] S. Hakeem, A. Hady and H. Kim, "RPL Routing Protocol Performance in Smart Grid Applications Based Wireless Sensors: Experimental and Simulated Analysis," *Electronics*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp 1-23, 2019.

## **BIOGRAPHY OF AUTHOR**



Ahmed R. Zarzoor received a MSc. degree in Software Engineering from Univesity of Bradford, UK, Bradford in 2006, and a PhD from Institute for Post-graduation Studies Iraqi Commission for Computer & informatics, Baghdad-Iraq in 2019. He is currently IT Manager in Directorate of Inspection, Ministry of Health, Baghdad-Iraq. His fields of interst are Wireless Sensor Networks, IoT and Computer Networks & Security.