Received Date : 11-Aug-2016 Revised Date : 02-Feb-2017 Accepted Date : 13-Feb-2017

Article type : Original Article

#### 554-2016.R2

**Original Article** 

# The relationship between method of anastomosis and anastomotic failure after right hemicolectomy and ileo-caecal resection: an international snapshot audit

On behalf of the 2015 European Society of Coloproctology collaborating group\*

\*collaborating members shown at the end of the manuscript text

## **Corresponding author:**

Mr Thomas Pinkney, Senior Lecturer in Colorectal Surgery, Academic Department of Surgery, Room 29, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TH, UK, thomas.pinkney@uhb.nhs.uk

Article type: Observational cohort study
Running title: ESCP right hemicolectomy audit
Conflict of interest: None declared
Funding: None received.
Keywords: anastomotic leak; colorectal cancer; crohn's disease; epidemiology; international

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/codi.13646

#### Abstract

**Background**: Anastomosis technique following right sided colonic resection is widely variable and may affect patient outcomes. This study aimed to assess the association between leak and anastomosis technique (stapled versus handsewn).

**Methods**: This was a prospective, multicentre, international audit including patients undergoing elective or emergency right hemicolectomy or ileo-caecal resection operations over a two-month period in early 2015. The primary outcome measure was the presence of anastomotic leak within 30 days of surgery, using a pre-specified definition. Mixed effects logistic regression models were used to assess the association between leak and anastomosis method, adjusting for patient, disease and operative cofactors, with centre included as a random effect variable.

**Results**: This study included 3208 patients, of whom 78.4% (n=2515) underwent surgery for malignancy and 11.7% (n=375) for Crohn's disease. An anastomosis was performed in 94.8% (n=3041) of patients, which was handsewn in 38.9% (n=1183) and stapled in 61.1% (n=1858) cases. Patients undergoing handsewn anastomosis were more likely to be emergency admissions (20.5% handsewn versus 12.9% stapled) and to undergo open surgery (54.7% versus 36.6%). The overall anastomotic leak rate was 8.1% (245/3041), which was similar following handsewn (7.4%) and stapled (8.5%) techniques (p=0.3). After adjustment for cofactors, the odds of a leak were higher for stapled anastomosis (adjusted odds ratio 1.43, 95% confidence interval 1.04-1.95, p=0.03).

**Discussion**: Despite being used in lower risk patients, stapled anastomosis was associated with an increased anastomotic leak rate in this observational study. Further research is needed to define patient groups in whom a stapled anastomosis is safe.

#### Introduction

Morbidity following colorectal resection is common. Up to 65.3% of patients suffer a complication in the first 30 days after surgery, which is major in 17.1% (Clavien-Dindo grade III-V) <sup>1</sup>. These complications impact upon both morbidity and mortality rates, as well as increasing healthcare costs<sup>2-4</sup>. Anastomotic leak is considered as one of the most devastating of these adverse events, and is associated with a reduction in both survival and quality of life, and an increased risk of disease recurrence in those patients with cancer<sup>2</sup>.

Many factors are known to be associated with anastomotic leak including patient comorbidity, underlying pathology and anastomotic technique. There is a wide variation in the use of handsewn versus stapled anastomosis, illustrating the lack of high quality evidence supporting either method<sup>5</sup>. More evidence is required to guide surgical practice. Right hemicolectomy (including ileo-caecal resection) is the most common colonic resection, is performed in both elective and emergency settings, and for neoplastic and non-neoplastic conditions. It therefore represents an appropriate patient cohort in which to assess.the relationship between method of anastomosis method and outcome.

Multicentre snapshot audits have the ability to gather large patient numbers in short periods of time from many hospitals. They provide contemporaneous and population based data that is representative of current practice, and which is unconstrained by the confines often required in clinical trials. This first report from an international prospective cross-sectional cohort study of right hemicolectomy and ileocaecal resections investigates the relationship between anastomosis method and subsequent anastomotic leak.

#### Methods

This prospective, observational, multicentre study was performed according to a prespecified protocol (http://www.escp.eu.com/research/cohort-studies/2015-audit). The protocol and data entry system were tested and modified following an external pilot conducted in eight centres across five countries prior to the start of the main project. Followup and data collected was restricted to routinely collected data fields.

#### Centres

Any unit performing gastrointestinal surgery was eligible to register and enter patients into the study. No unit size or case volume stipulations were made and centres from any country were able to take part. The study was launched at the European Society of Coloproctology (ESCP) Scientific & Annual Meeting in Barcelona, September 2014 and invitations to participate were subsequently distributed directly to all registered members of the ESCP. Further dissemination was obtained via the national ESCP country representatives, including through national surgical or colorectal societies. In addition, the study was endorsed and disseminated by the surgical arm of the European Crohn's and Colitis Organisation.

#### Approvals

Participating centres were responsible for completion of local approvals prior to the start of the data collection period. Regional or national ethics approval or indemnity was obtained where possible. Centres were asked to ensure that appropriate pathways and local investigators were in place to be able to include all consecutive eligible patients during the study period and provide >95% completeness of data entry.

Adult patients undergoing right hemicolectomy or ileo-caecal resection for any pathological indication, via any operative approach in both the elective and emergency settings were included. Patients were excluded if their right sided colonic resection was as part of a larger procedure (e.g. subtotal colectomy or panproctocolectomy), as defined by a distal colonic transection point beyond the splenic flexure. In patients with Crohn's disease, those undergoing additional proximal strictureoplasty or resection/anastomosis of more proximal small bowel disease during the same operation were also excluded.

#### Outcome measures

The primary outcome for this study was overall anastomotic leak, pre-defined as either i) gross anastomotic leakage proven radiologically or clinically and classified according to intervention necessary (figure 1), or ii) the presence of an intraperitoneal (abdominal or pelvic) fluid collection on post-operative imaging. Secondary outcome measures included mortality, overall morbidity and length of hospital stay. An exploratory sensitivity analysis was also undertaken of those with only a 'proven' anastomotic leak (i.e. excluding those with an intraperitoneal fluid collection alone) for comparison purposes.

#### Data collection

Sites were asked to include all consecutive eligible patients over an eight week period, which could start at any time between the 15<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> January 2015. This flexible starting date was designed to maximise centre participation. The final date for any new patient inclusions at any site was March 27<sup>th</sup> 2015.

There were three main phases of data collection for each patient:

a) Pre-operative patient (e.g. age, gender, co-morbidities) and disease demographics (e.g. indication, previous treatment)
 b) Operative technical details about the operation performed (e.g. handsewn or stapled anastomosis; laparoscopic or open approach; elective or emergency)

c) Follow-up individual outcomes data (anastomotic leak, length of hospital stay, mortality); completed at 30 days post-operation.

Each of these phases had a separate clinical reporting form (CRF) that contained 10-12 main questions and was designed to fit in with data collected as part of normal clinical practice and be completed in 'real-time' with minimal extra work from the clinical team. Despite no changes being made to existing patients' pathways during this observational study, local investigators were asked to be proactive in identifying postoperative events. Methods included review of patient notes (paper and electronic) during admission and before discharge, reviewing hospital systems to check for re-attendances or re-admissions, and reviewing postoperative radiology reports. Some centres routinely reviewed patients 30 days after surgery or used a telephone review, both of which were used to identify adverse events. Data was recorded contemporaneously and stored on a dedicated, secure, webbased platform without using patient identifiable information. Data was collected by a team of 4-5 people at each site, one of whom had to be a consultant surgeon who was responsible for the data quality at that centre.

#### Statistical analysis

This report has been prepared in accordance to guidelines set by the STROBE (strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology) statement for observational studies<sup>6</sup>.

The primary aim of this study was to assess the association between the primary outcome measure (overall anastomotic leak) and the main explanatory variable of interest, anastomosis method (handsewn versus stapled anastomosis). Univariate and multivariate mixed effects logistic regression models (with centre included as a random effect) were fitted for overall anastomotic leak and the pre-specified explanatory variables: anastomosis method (handsewn or stapled), age, gender (male or female), body mass index (normal, underweight, overweight or obese), smoking status (never, ex-smoker, current or not known), history of ischaemic heart disease or cerebrovascular disease (no or yes), history of diabetes (none, diet/tablet controlled or insulin controlled), indication for operation (malignancy, Crohn's disease or other), American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) grade (low or high risk), surgery type (elective or emergency), operation type (laparoscopic or open) and extent of surgery (complete, extended or limited; figure 2). These factors were chosen based on clinical significance and were all pre-specified in the statistical analysis plan. All the explanatory variables were included in the multivariate model irrespective of statistical significance in the univariate model, as this allowed potential confounding factors relating to the patient, disease and operation to be taken into consideration in the multivariate model.

Effect estimates are presented as odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) and two-sided p-values. An OR>1 indicated increased likelihood of anastomotic leak with the relevant explanatory variable compared to the reference category for that variable. Statistical significance was defined at the level of P<0.05. Data analysis was undertaken using Stata version 14.

Sensitivity analyses were undertaken which included (1) fitting a multivariate model including anastomosis method and only those explanatory variables where  $p\leq0.1$  in the univariate analysis; (2) fitting a multivariate model including only those explanatory variables where  $p\leq0.1$  in the univariate analysis; and (3) fitting a multivariate model as per the primary analysis, but only including those patients with a 'proven' anastomotic leak in the outcome variable.

#### Results

#### Data completeness

Overall 97.4% of records had all data fields completed. Patient demographic details, basic operation details and 30-day outcome data were mandatory fields for records to be locked and as such had a 100% completion rate. The small levels of missing data predominantly related to patient smoking status and pre-operative medical therapy (in the case of Crohn's disease patients) subsections.

#### Patients and centres

This study included 3208 patients from 284 centres in 39 countries (figure 3). There were five participating centres outside of Europe. The mean age of patients was 66 years (range: 16-99), 50.8% were male, the majority were never-smokers (62%), did not have history of ischaemic heart disease or cerebrovascular disease (80.5%) and were not diabetic (84.4%) (table 1). Most patients underwent surgery for malignancy (78.4%; n=2515) or Crohn's disease (11.7%; n=375). Overall, 81.3% (n=2609) of patients underwent elective surgery, and 54.6% (n=1751) of operations were started laparoscopically; 9.6% undergoing subsequent conversion to open. Further demographic details are shown in table 1.

#### Anastomosis technique

An anastomosis was performed in 94.8% (n=3041) of patients, which was handsewn in 38.9% (n=1183) and stapled in 61.1% (n=1858) cases (table 1). There was no difference in stapled anastomosis rates in those undergoing surgery for malignancy (59.8%) and for Crohn's disease (58.7%). Patients undergoing handsewn anastomosis were more likely to be emergency admissions (20.5% versus 12.9% stapled) and to undergo open surgery (54.7% versus 36.6%).

#### Incidence of Anastomotic Leak

The primary outcome measure of anastomotic leak and/or intraperitoneal fluid collection was present in 8.1% (245/3041) (table 2).

#### Univariate Analysis of Anastomotic Leak

The mixed effects logistic regression analysis included 3013 patients and 242 leaks (there were 28 patients (0.9%) with missing data on extent of surgery who were excluded from this analysis). There was no evidence of an association between leak and anastomosis method (stapled vs. handsewn: OR 1.16, 95% CI 0.86-1.57, p=0.3) (table 3). Female gender was significantly associated with a reduced risk of leak (OR 0.70, 95% CI 0.53-0.92, p=0.011), whilst being a current smoker (vs. never-smoker: OR 1.68, 95% CI 1.15-2.43, p=0.007), other indication for surgery (vs. malignant: OR=2.39, 95% CI 1.62-3.54, p<0.001), emergency surgery (vs. elective: OR 2.33, 95% CI 1.70-3.19, p<0.001), and open incision (vs. laparoscopic: OR=2.32, 95% CI 1.74-3.08, p<0.001) were all associated with an increased risk of leak (table 3). Weaker associations were found with age (OR 0.99, 95% CI

0.98-1.00, p=0.06) and higher ASA grade (vs. low grade: OR=1.30, 95% Cl 0.98-1.72, p=0.07).

#### Multivariate Analysis of Anastomotic Leak

When a multivariate mixed effects logistic regression model was fitted including all the prespecified variables, a significant association was found between leak and stapled anastomosis (vs. handsewn: OR 1.43, 95% Cl 1.04-1.95, p=0.03). Other variables found to be significant under multivariate analysis were age (OR 0.99, 95% Cl 0.98-1.00, p=0.04), other indication for surgery (vs. malignant: OR=1.73, 95% Cl 1.05-2.85, p=0.03) and open incision (vs. laparoscopic OR=2.09, 95% Cl 1.53-2.87, p<0.001). Similar results were seen when the multivariate models were restricted to only those variables where  $p\leq0.1$  in the univariate analysis, with anastomosis method included and excluded as a co-factor. Another sensitivity analysis including only those patients with a 'proven' anastomotic leak (150/3041; 4.9%) also gave similar results (Supplementary tables).

#### Secondary Outcomes

The overall 30-day death rate was 3.2% (103/3208) (table 4); for those undergoing elective operations this reduced to 1.5% (38/2609). The median length of hospital stay was 7 days (range: 1-30+ days), and the 30-day re-operation and re-admission rates were 6.6% and 5.7% respectively. In those patients undergoing anastomosis who had an anastomotic leak and/or intraperitoneal fluid collection, the 30-day death rate increased to 9.8%, and the length of hospital stay was more than doubled to a median of 18 days (table 4). When assessing only those patients with a 'proven' anastomotic leak, similar outcomes were seen; 30-day death rate, 11.3% and length of hospital stay, median 21 days (table 4).

#### Discussion

This multicentre international snapshot audit has identified a possible association between stapled anastomosis and anastomotic leak. This became apparent following multivariate analysis that adjusted for other patient and disease characteristics, and operative information (with centre included as a random effect). This finding was perhaps surprising given that stapling was used more frequently in the lower risk groups, such as in elective and laparoscopic operations.

Multivariate analysis also found an association between operative approach and leak, with a greater risk of leak with open operations. This increased risk associated with open surgery was readily identifiable in both the emergency and elective settings and might be interpreted as suggesting that in modern surgical practice, the need for an operation to be undertaken using an open approach may be a surrogate marker of operative difficulty.

The association between anastomotic leakage and stapling only became apparent following multivariate analysis. There was a strong association between high risk patients and handsewn anastomosis which may have influenced our results. It is impossible to assign causation to this association, but it is interesting to speculate on the possible explanations: the effects of operative approach (open versus laparoscopic), operation urgency (elective versus emergency) and anastomosis method (stapled versus handsewn) are all likely to have contributed to this effect. This situation, where findings are non-significant in univariate but significant in multivariate analysis is well recognised in observational studies. Lo and colleagues identified various scenarios in which this situation may occur; one of which was indeed the presence of hidden interactions<sup>7</sup>.

#### Strengths of this study

The prospective nature of data collection, using a standardised protocol and predesigned reporting system, ensured the quality and homogeneity of data returns. The wide variety of

surgeons, sites and countries entering patients into this study increases the generalisability of the findings. Of the 39 countries involved, 34 were based in one continent (Europe), with other countries being spread across the world: Argentina, Brazil, China, Japan and USA. Bringing such a group together and coordinating over 1000 local researchers from 284 different centres to simultaneously collect uniform data and form a research network in this manner has been one of the most important successes of this first ESCP project. The number of sites involved, and patients entered, far exceeded our expectations when designing this project. Now the model has been shown to work, it is currently being used to undertake another prospective international audit<sup>8</sup> and the research network will also be perfectly poised to deliver future prospective interventional studies based on the areas of uncertainty identified in these audits.

#### Limitations

Selection bias will always be an issue in this type of observational research. We have attempted to minimise the effects of this by undertaking adjusted analyses using mixed effects logistic regression models, but we accept that this can never fully counteract the nuances involved in clinical decision-making. Nonetheless, one might have predicted that any major selection bias effect on the primary outcome would favour stapling being actually at a diminished risk, given the prevalence of its use within the lower risk groups.

Reporting bias is also difficult to control for in this kind of study, where sites might have omitted uploading data for certain eligible patients within the study time period, either accidentally or deliberately, and the impact this could have on the results. We feel that this is unlikely given our study design, where the first two phases of data collection were prospectively and contemporaneously uploaded onto the online system in the pre-operative and immediate post-operative setting. This effectively 'locked' these patients into the audit and there was no case at any site where the follow-up data form was not completed for a

patient whose data had been already entered into the first sections. Further, our results showing a high overall anastomotic leak rate, an overall 30-day death rate of 3.2%, and an elective 30-day death rate of 1.5% would suggest that patients with poor early post-operative outcomes have not been omitted.

It is possible that some patients included in the study may have undergone additional procedures such as simultaneous liver resection or extended resection due to pathological involvement of other local organs, as these were not pre-specified exclusion criteria. The numbers of such patients are likely to be very small and as such are unlikely to have conferred any major impact upon the main findings.

A potentially contentious decision was our inclusion of intra-abdominal and pelvic collections in with the 'proven' anastomotic leak group in our primary outcome definition. There is a lack of validated scoring system for anastomotic leak<sup>9-11</sup> and intraperitoneal fluid collections are considered by many surgeons as representative of an anastomotic leak until proven otherwise. One recent study confirmed that isolated free intraperitoneal fluid was not a benign finding after anterior resection and another showed that many patients with ultimately proven anastomotic leakage did not have classical peri-anastomotic signs or extravasation of contrast on imaging<sup>12,13</sup>. It is our opinion that inclusion of patients with an intraperitoneal collection within the primary outcome group of anastomotic leak was justified given the similarities in adverse outcome rates between this group and others with a confirmed leak. Similarly, the sensitivity analysis that included only the confirmed leak patients produced very similar results to those found in the main analysis. We consider therefore that the majority of patients with isolated intraperitoneal collections had sustained an occult anastomotic leak.

#### Comparison with the literature

The anastomotic leak rate in this study compares closely with two other large-scale national audits utilising prospective data collection. The Spanish ANACO group recently identified an overall leak rate of 8.4% in 1102 patients undergoing elective right hemicolectomy for cancer<sup>5</sup> and a Dutch analysis of 15,667 patients undergoing anastomosis after colorectal cancer resection found anastomotic leak rates in the right hemicolectomy (n=7788) and ileocaecal resection (n=240) subgroups of 6.4% and 7.5% respectively<sup>14</sup>.

Our identification of stapling as a possible risk factor for anastomotic leak is contrary to a Cochrane review on the same topic<sup>15</sup>. This pooled data from 1125 patients undergoing an ileo-colic anastomosis within seven randomised trials and found fewer leaks after stapled anastomosis (2.5%;11/441) compared to handsewn (6.1%; 42/684), which was statistically significant: OR 0.48 [0.24, 0.95] p=0.03. The authors rightly commented on the small patient numbers and the very low event rate. Whilst an apparently significant difference was found in leak rates, this did not correspond to a parallel impact upon re-operation rate, length of stay or mortality. Nevertheless this review concluded that "stapled anastomoses are associated with fewer anastomotic leaks than handsewn, and should be considered the standard against which all other techniques should be compared". It is likely that surgeons may have changed their practice based on the conclusions from this highly respected data source. Our conflicting message on stapled anastomoses could perhaps be written off as statistical anomaly, were it not for the very same finding being identified in the recent Spanish ANACO multicentre study<sup>5</sup>. This prospective observational study from 52 centres found major anastomotic leak (requiring intervention) rates of 3.4% in handsewn and 7.8% in stapled anastomoses (OR 2.1 [1.1 - 4.2]; p = 0.007). Together with the current study, and accepting the potential shortfalls of observational research, this suggests that a more detailed investigation of stapled versus handsewn anastomosis is certainly warranted.

We recognise that another limitation of this study relates to the fact that there are many different stapling techniques used in anastomosis and grouping them together may be inappropriate. These include bowel orientation (side-side, side-end, end-side), the type of stapler used (linear, circular), the stapler used for apical transection (linear cutting, linear non-cutting) as well as other associated technical factors such as the use of staple line oversewing and staple height selection. Similar but less numerous variabilities also exist within the handsewn group. These technical details were all collected prospectively during the project but will be analysed and reported in a subsequent paper. It is possible that certain technical aspects , might account for a disproportionate number of leaks or make up the apparent difference in leak rates compared to the handsewn patients. Other subsequent reports from the study will explore the geographic variability in patients and techniques, and the impact of unit characteristics on outcome, and a detailed analysis of the perioperative management of Crohn's Disease patients against outcome is planned.

Despite being used in seemingly lower risk patients, stapled anastomosis was associated with increased anastomotic leak in this observational study. These findings indicate the need for further high quality, prospective and targeted research. It is likely that an updated large scale randomised trial of anastomotic technique in patients undergoing right sided bowel resection is needed.

Variable	Handsewn (N=1183)	Stapled (N=1858)	No Anastomosis (N=167)	Total (N=3208)
Patients Characteristics				
Age				
Mean [SD]	66.4 [16]	66.1 [15.8]	63.4 [18.6]	66 [16.1]
Median [IQR]	70 [59-78]	69 [59-77]	68 [54-77]	69 [59-77]
Min - Max	16 - 97	16 - 99	20 - 94	16 - 99
Gender Male	605 (51.1%)	935 (50.3%)	89 (53.3%)	1629 (50.8%)
Female	578 (48.9%)	923 (49.7%)	78 (46.7%)	1579 (49.2%)
Body Mass Index	576 (40.976)	923 (49.778)	78 (40.778)	1379 (49.278)
Normal	439 (37.1%)	671 (36.1%)	71 (42.5%)	1181 (36.8%)
Underweight	39 (3.3%)	60 (3.2%)	8 (4.8%)	107 (3.3%)
Overweight	384 (32.5%)	631 (34%)	39 (23.4%)	1054 (32.9%)
Obese	321 (27.1%)	496 (26.7%)	49 (29.3%)	866 (27.0%)
Smoking Status				
Never	754 (63.7%)	1141 (61.4%)	94 (56.3%)	1989 (62.0%)
Ex-smoker	204 (17.2%)	354 (19.1%)	28 (16.8%)	586 (18.3%)
Current	160 (13.5%)	224 (12.1%)	24 (14.4%)	408 (12.7%)
Not known	65 (5.5%)	139 (7.5%)	21 (12.6%)	225 (7.0%)
History of Ischaemic heart disease or cerebrovascular disease*				
No	918 (77.6%)	1532 (82.5%)	134 (80.2%)	2584 (80.5%)
Yes	265 (22.4%)	326 (17.5%)	33 (19.8%)	624 (19.5%)
History of Diabetes	200 (22.170)	020 (11.070)		021(10.070)
None	1000 (84.5%)	1564 (84.2%)	142 (85%)	2706 (84.4%)
Diet/Tablet controlled	141 (11.9%)	239 (12.9%)	18 (10.8%)	398 (12.4%)
Insulin controlled	42 (3.6%)	55 (3%)	7 (4.2%)	104 (3.2%)
Disease Characteristics				
Indication				
Malignant	939 (79.4%)	1503 (80.9%)	73 (43.7%)	2515 (78.4%)
Crohn's disease	123 (10.4%)	220 (11.8%)	32 (19.2%)	375 (11.7%)
Other**	121 (10.2%)	135 (7.3%)	62 (37.1%)	318 (9.9%)
ASA Grade				
Low risk	697 (58.9%)	1250 (67.3%)	60 (35.9%)	2007 (62.6%)
High risk	486 (41.1%)	608 (32.7%)	107 (64.1%)	1201 (37.4%)
Operative Information				
Surgery type				
Elective	941 (79.5%)	1618 (87.1%)	50 (29.9%)	2609 (81.3%)
Emergency	242 (20.5%)	240 (12.9%)	117 (70.1%)	599 (18.7%)
Operation type				
Laparoscopic	536 (45.3%)	1178 (63.4%)	37 (22.2%)	1751 (54.6%)
Open	647 (54.7%)	680 (36.6%)	130 (77.8%)	1457 (45.4%)
Extent of surgery	345 (20 2%)	5/3 (20 20/)	38 (22 8%)	026 (28 0%)
Complete (C4)	345 (29.2%)	543 (29.2%)	38 (22.8%)	926 (28.9%) 1560 (48.9%)
Extended (C5-7)	596 (50.4%)	912 (49.1%)	61 (36.5%)	1569 (48.9%)
Limited (C1-3)	232 (19.6%)	385 (20.7%)	66 (39.5%)	683 (21.3%)
Missing	10 (0.8%)	18 (1%)	2 (1.2%)	30 (0.9%)

% shown by column. SD=Standard deviation; IQR=Interquartile range.

\* Stroke or TIA

\*\* Other includes: appendix-related resections, ischaemia, volvulus, trauma and miscellaneous.

Table 2: Patient, disease and operative characteristics by overall anastomotic leak in patients for whom an anastomosis was performed

(Note - Overall anastomotic leak rate includes those with clinically or radiologically proven leak or intraperitoneal (abdominal or pelvic) fluid collection on post-operative imaging)

Variable         No         Yes (N=2796)         (N=245)         (N=3041*)           Age         Mean [SD] Medium [IQR]         66.4 [15.9]         64.1 [16]         66.2 [15.9]           Age         Mean [SD] Medium [IQR]         69 [59-78]         67 [57-75]         69 [59-77]           Gender         Male         1396 (90.6%)         1144 (9.4%)         1540 (50.6%)           Body Mass Index         Normal         1023 (92.2%)         87 (7.8%)         11110 (36.5%)           Body Mass Index         Normal         1023 (92.2%)         87 (7.8%)         11110 (36.5%)           Body Mass Index         Normal         1023 (92.2%)         87 (7.8%)         11110 (36.5%)           Body Mass Index         Normal         1023 (92.2%)         73 (7.2%)         1015 (33.4%)           Overweight         942 (92.8%)         73 (7.2%)         1015 (33.4%)         158 (18.4%)           Smoking Status         Never         1759 (92.8%)         136 (7.2%)         1895 (62.3%)           Statis Nover         1759 (92.8%)         136 (7.2%)         1895 (62.3%)         204 (6.7%)           History of Ischaemic heart disease or cerebrovascular disease**         2255 (92.0%)         135 (8.0%)         234 (8.7%)           Diet/Tablet controlled         344 (90.5%)		Overall anastomotic leak Total					
Patient Characteristics         (N=249)         (N=249)         (N=249)           Age         Mean [SD] Medium [IQR]         66.4 [15.9]         67.157.75]         68 [59.77]           Min - Max         16 - 99         18 - 96         15.9 (6.2, [15.9])           Gender         Male         1396 (90.6%)         144 (9.4%)         15.40 (50.6%)           Body Mass Index         Normal         1023 (92.2%)         87 (7.8%)         11110 (36.5%)           Underweight         942 (92.8%)         73 (7.2%)         1015 (33.4%)           Overweight         942 (92.8%)         73 (7.2%)         1015 (33.4%)           Overweight         942 (92.8%)         73 (7.2%)         1005 (62.3%)           Smoking Status         Never         1759 (92.8%)         136 (7.2%)         1896 (62.7%)           Kersenoker         533 (81.9%)         45 (8.1%)         584 (18.4%)         384 (12.6%)           Current         340 (88.5%)         44 (11.5%)         384 (12.6%)         204 (6.7%)           History of Ischaemic heart disease or creerborvascular disease**         No         2255 (92.0%)         195 (6.0%)         591 (19.4%)           History of Diabetes         None         2363 (92.2%)         36 (9.5%)         380 (12.5%)           Diet/Tablet controll	Variable	No					
Age         Mean [SD] Medium [IQR] Medium [IQR] Min - Max         66.4 [15.9] 69 [59-73] 16 - 99         64.1 [16] 67 [57-75] 18 - 96         66.2 [15.9] 69 [59-77] 18 - 96           Gender         Male Female         1396 (90.6%) 1400 (93.3%)         144 (9.4%) 101 (6.7%)         1540 (50.6%) 1501 (49.4%)           Body Mass Index         Normal Underweight         1023 (92.2%) 942 (92.8%)         87 (7.8%) 73 (7.2%)         1110 (36.5%) 1015 (33.4%)           Smoking Status         Never Ex-smoker         1759 (92.8%) 743 (90.9%)         73 (7.2%)         1895 (62.3%) 558 (18.4%)           History of Ischaemic heart disease or cerebrovascular disease**         No 2225 (92.0%)         195 (8.0%) 195 (8.0%)         224 (6.7%)           History of Diabetes         None Diet/Tablet controlled         22363 (92.2%) 344 (90.5%)         201 (7.8%) 366 (9.5%)         226 (84.3%) 380 (12.5%)           Diseze Characteristics         More Cornn's Disease         2267 (92.8%) 312 (91.0%)         175 (7.2%) 36 (9.5%)         2450 (80.6%) 591 (19.4%)           Diset/Tablet controlled Insulin controlled         2267 (92.8%) 341 (91.5%)         175 (7.2%) 343 (11.3%)         2264 (84.3%) 343 (11.3%)           Disease Characteristics         High risk         994 (90.9%)         100 (9.1%)         343 (11.3%) 343 (11.3%)           Disease Characteristics         High risk         1360 (92.6%)         145 (7.4%)         1947 (64.0%) 1947 (		(N=2796)	(N=245)	(N=3041)			
Mean [SD] Medium [IQR]         66.4 [15.9] 69 [59-78]         67 [57-75] 67 [57-75]         69 [59-77] 69 [59-77]           Gender         144 (9.4%)         156 (90.6%)         1150 (49.4%)           Body Mass Index         1336 (90.6%)         101 (6.7%)         1501 (49.4%)           Body Mass Index         Normal         1023 (92.2%)         87 (7.8%)         11110 (36.5%)           Body Mass Index         Normal         1023 (92.2%)         87 (7.8%)         1015 (33.4%)           Overweight         942 (92.8%)         73 (7.2%)         1015 (33.4%)         1015 (33.4%)           Obese         743 (90.9%)         74 (9.1%)         817 (26.9%)         558 (18.4%)           Smoking Status         Never         1759 (92.8%)         136 (7.2%)         1185 (62.3%)           Current         340 (88.5%)         44 (11.5%)         384 (12.6%)         558 (18.4%)           Mistory of Ischaemic heart disease or creebrovascular disease**         20 (9.8%)         20 (9.8%)         591 (19.4%)           History of Diabetes         No         2363 (92.2%)         201 (7.8%)         380 (12.5%)           Diet/Tablet controlled         344 (90.5%)         36 (9.5%)         390 (12.5%)         97 (3.2%)           Disease Characteristics         Indication         Malignant	Patient Characteristics						
Medium [IQR] Min - Max         69 [59-77] 16 - 99         67 [57-75] 18 - 96         69 [59-77] 16 - 99           Gender         Male         1396 (90.6%)         144 (9.4%)         1540 (50.6%)           Body Mass Index         Normal         1023 (92.2%)         87 (7.8%)         111 (11.7%)         99 (3.2%)           Body Mass Index         Normal         1023 (92.2%)         87 (7.8%)         111 (10 (36.5%)           Underweight         942 (92.8%)         73 (7.2%)         1015 (33.4%)         817 (26.9%)           Smoking Status         Never         1759 (92.8%)         136 (7.2%)         1895 (62.3%)           Current         340 (88.5%)         44 (11.5%)         384 (12.6%)         204 (6.7%)           History of Ischaemic heart disease or cerebrovascular disease**         No         2255 (92.0%)         195 (8.0%)         24450 (80.6%)           Diet/Tablet controlled         344 (90.5%)         36 (15.5%)         591 (19.4%)         380 (12.5%)           Diet/Tablet controlled         344 (90.5%)         36 (9.5%)         380 (12.5%)         380 (12.5%)           Diet/Tablet controlled         344 (90.5%)         36 (9.5%)         380 (12.5%)         380 (12.5%)           Diet/Tablet controlled         344 (90.5%)         36 (9.5%)         380 (12.5%)         380 (12.5%)							
Min - Max         1ê - 99         1ê - 96         1ê - 99           Gender         Male         1396 (90.6%)         144 (9.4%)         1540 (50.6%)           Body Mass Index         1023 (92.2%)         87 (7.8%)         1110 (36.5%)         99 (3.2%)           Body Mass Index         00erweight         88 (88.9%)         11 (11.1%)         99 (3.2%)         101 (5.7%)         1015 (33.4%)           Underweight         942 (92.8%)         73 (7.2%)         1015 (33.4%)         558 (18.4%)           Smoking Status         Never         1759 (92.8%)         44 (11.5%)         558 (18.4%)           Subscript of Ischaemic heart disease or cerebrovascular disease*         No         2255 (92.0%)         195 (8.0%)         2450 (80.6%)           History of Diabetes         No         2255 (92.0%)         195 (8.0%)         2450 (80.6%)         591 (19.4%)           Diet/Tablet controlled         89 (91.8%)         36 (9.5%)         380 (12.5%)         380 (12.5%)           Disease Characteristics							
Gender         Male         1396 (90.6%)         1444 (9.4%)         1540 (50.6%)           Body Mass Index         Normal         1400 (93.3%)         101 (6.7%)         1501 (49.4%)           Body Mass Index         Normal         1023 (92.2%)         87 (7.8%)         1111 (036.5%)           Underweight         88 (88.9%)         73 (7.2%)         1015 (33.4%)         99 (3.2%)           Overweight         942 (92.8%)         73 (7.2%)         1015 (33.4%)         817 (26.9%)           Smoking Status         Never         1759 (92.8%)         136 (7.2%)         1895 (62.3%)           Smoking Status         Never         1759 (92.8%)         444 (11.5%)         384 (12.6%)           Mate         80.2%)         20 (9.8%)         204 (6.7%)         195 (8.0%)         591 (19.4%)           History of Ischaemic heart disease or creebrovascular disease**         None         22363 (92.2%)         201 (7.8%)         380 (12.5%)           Diet/Tablet controlled         344 (90.5%)         36 (9.5%)         380 (12.5%)         380 (12.5%)           Disease Characteristics         Indication         Matignant         2267 (92.8%)         175 (7.2%)         2442 (80.3%)           Matignant         2267 (92.8%)         31 (9.0%)         31 (9.0%)         33 (9.5%)							
Male Female         1396 (90.6%) 1400 (93.3%)         144 (9.4%) 1501 (45.7%)         1540 (50.6%) 1501 (49.4%)           Body Mass Index         Normal Underweight         1023 (92.2%) 88 (88.9%)         87 (7.8%)         1110 (36.5%)           Underweight Overweight         942 (92.8%)         87 (7.8%)         1110 (36.5%)           Smoking Status         Never         1759 (92.8%)         136 (7.2%)         1015 (53.4%)           Smoking Status         Never         1759 (92.8%)         136 (7.2%)         1895 (62.3%)           Smoking Status         Never         1759 (92.8%)         136 (7.2%)         1895 (62.3%)           Mate         90.2%)         204 (6.7%)         204 (6.7%)         204 (6.7%)           History of Ischaemic heart disease or cerebrovascular disease**         No         2255 (92.0%)         195 (8.0%)         2450 (80.6%)           Diet/Tablet controlled         344 (90.5%)         36 (9.5%)         380 (12.5%)         380 (12.5%)           Disease Characteristics         Non         2267 (92.8%)         177 (7.2%)         2442 (80.3%)           Disease Characteristics         2267 (92.8%)         175 (7.2%)         2442 (80.3%)         330 (12.5%)           Disease Characteristics         Insulin controlled         89 (91.8%)         39 (15.2%)         256 (8.4%) </td <td></td> <td>16 - 99</td> <td>18 - 96</td> <td>16 - 99</td>		16 - 99	18 - 96	16 - 99			
Female         1400 (93.3%)         101 (6.7%)         1501 (49.4%)           Body Mass Index         Incase (1023) (92.2%)         87 (7.8%)         1110 (36.5%)           Underweight         942 (92.8%)         73 (7.2%)         1015 (33.4%)           Overweight         942 (92.8%)         73 (7.2%)         1015 (33.4%)           Smoking Status         Never         1759 (92.8%)         136 (7.2%)         1895 (62.3%)           Smoking Status         Never         1759 (92.8%)         445 (8.1%)         558 (18.4%)           Current         340 (88.5%)         444 (11.5%)         384 (12.6%)           Not known         184 (90.2%)         20 (9.8%)         204 (6.7%)           History of Ischaemic heart disease or cerebrovascular disease**         2255 (92.0%)         195 (8.0%)         2450 (80.6%)           Yes         541 (91.5%)         50 (8.5%)         591 (19.4%)         591 (19.4%)           History of Diabetes         None         2363 (92.2%)         36 (9.5%)         380 (12.5%)           Diet/Tablet controlled         89 (91.8%)         38 (92.5%)         380 (12.5%)         380 (12.5%)           Disease Characteristics         Insulin controlled         217 (84.8%)         39 (15.2%)         2242 (80.3%)           ASA grade         Insu		1000 (00 00()	1 4 4 (0 40()	1540 (50.00()			
Body Mass Index         Normal Underweight Overweight Overweight Obese         1023 (92.2%) 942 (92.8%)         87 (7.8%)         1110 (36.5%)           Smoking Status         Never Ex-smoker         1759 (92.8%)         73 (7.2%)         1015 (33.4%)           Smoking Status         Never Ex-smoker         1759 (92.8%)         136 (7.2%)         1895 (62.3%)           Smoking Status         Never Ex-smoker         1759 (92.8%)         136 (7.2%)         1895 (62.3%)           History of Ischaemic heart disease or cerebrovascular disease**         No         2255 (92.0%)         195 (8.0%)         244 (0.7%)           History of Diabetes         Non Diet/Tablet controlled         2363 (92.2%)         201 (7.8%)         380 (12.5%)           Diet/Tablet controlled         89 (91.8%)         8 (8.2%)         97 (3.2%)           Disease Characteristics         1102 (92.6%)         31 (9.0%)         343 (11.3%)           ASA grade         Low risk High risk         1802 (92.6%)         175 (7.2%)         2442 (80.3%)           Operative Information         Anastomosis method         1096 (92.6%)         145 (7.4%)         1947 (64.0%)           Anastomosis method         Laparoscopic         1096 (92.6%)         158 (61.1%)         1588 (61.1%)           Surgery type         Elective         2383 (93.1%)         17							
Normal Underweight         1023 (92.2%) 88 (88.9%)         87 (7.8%)         111(1 (36.5%) 99 (3.2%)           Smoking Status         743 (90.9%)         74 (9.1%)         817 (26.9%)           Smoking Status         1759 (92.8%)         136 (7.2%)         1015 (33.4%)           Smoking Status         1759 (92.8%)         136 (7.2%)         1895 (62.3%)           Smoking Status         513 (91.9%)         45 (8.1%)         558 (18.4%)           Status         20 (9.8%)         20 (9.8%)         204 (6.7%)           History of Ischaemic heart disease or cerebrovascular disease**         2255 (92.0%)         195 (8.0%)         2450 (80.6%)           Yes         5541 (91.5%)         50 (8.5%)         591 (19.4%)           History of Diabetes         2363 (92.2%)         36 (9.5%)         380 (12.5%)           Diet/Tablet controlled Insulin controlled         89 (91.8%)         8 (8.2%)         97 (3.2%)           Disease Characteristics		1400 (93.3%)	101 (6.7%)	1501 (49.4%)			
Underweight Overweight Overweight         88 (88.9%) 942 (92.8%)         11 (11.1%) 73 (7.2%)         99 (3.2%) 1015 (33.4%)           Smoking Status         74 (9.1%)         817 (26.9%)           Smoking Status         1759 (92.8%)         136 (7.2%)         1895 (62.3%)           Current Current Not known         1759 (92.8%)         44 (11.5%)         384 (12.6%)           History of Ischaemic heart disease or cerebrovascular disease**         20 (9.8%)         204 (6.7%)           History of Diabetes         No         2255 (92.0%)         195 (8.0%)         2450 (80.6%)           History of Diabetes         No         2263 (92.2%)         201 (7.8%)         2564 (84.3%)           Diet/Tablet controlled         349 (91.8%)         8 (8.2%)         97 (3.2%)         2442 (80.3%)           Disease Characteristics         Insulin controlled         89 (91.8%)         31 (9.0%)         343 (11.3%)           Crohn's Disease         312 (91.0%)         31 (9.0%)         343 (11.3%)         256 (8.4%)           ASA grade         Insol (92.6%)         145 (7.4%)         1947 (64.0%)         1947 (64.0%)           Malignant Crohn's Disease         1096 (92.6%)         87 (7.4%)         1183 (38.9%)         1183 (38.9%)           Malignant Crohn's Disease         1096 (92.6%)         87 (7.4%)         <		1002 (00 00/)	07 (7 00/)	1110 (26 59/)			
Overweight Obese         942 (92.8%) 743 (90.9%)         73 (7.2%) 74 (9.1%)         1015 (33.4%) 817 (26.9%)           Smoking Status         Never Ex-smoker         1759 (92.8%)         136 (7.2%)         1895 (62.3%)           Smoking Status         Never Ex-smoker         513 (91.9%)         45 (8.1%)         558 (18.4%)           Current Surgery 10 Ischaemic heart disease or cerebrovascular disease**         340 (88.5%)         44 (11.5%)         384 (12.6%)           History of Ischaemic heart disease or cerebrovascular disease**         No         2255 (92.0%)         195 (8.0%)         2450 (80.6%)           History of Diabetes         None         2363 (92.2%)         201 (7.8%)         380 (12.5%)           Diet/Tablet controlled Insulin controlled         89 (91.8%)         8 (8.2%)         97 (3.2%)           Disease Characteristics         Indication         2267 (92.8%)         175 (7.2%)         2442 (80.3%)           Malignant Crohn's Disease         2267 (92.8%)         175 (7.2%)         2442 (80.3%)           ASA grade         Indication         2217 (84.8%)         39 (15.2%)         246 (8.4%)           Malignant Indication         2267 (92.8%)         145 (7.4%)         1947 (64.0%)           ASA grade         Indication         Indication         Inditin (3.3%)           Mastomosis method<		· · · · ·	( )				
Obese         743 (90.9%)         74 (9.1%)         817 (26.9%)           Smoking Status         Never         1759 (92.8%)         136 (7.2%)         1895 (62.3%)           Ex-smoker         513 (91.9%)         45 (8.1%)         558 (18.4%)         558 (18.4%)           Current         340 (88.5%)         44 (11.5%)         384 (12.6%)         Not (15.6%)           History of Ischaemic heart disease or cerebrovascular disease**         No         2255 (92.0%)         195 (8.0%)         2450 (80.6%)           Mo         2255 (92.0%)         195 (8.0%)         2450 (80.6%)         591 (19.4%)           History of Diabetes         2363 (92.2%)         201 (7.8%)         2564 (84.3%)           Diet/Tablet controlled         344 (90.5%)         36 (9.5%)         380 (12.5%)           Insulin controlled         89 (91.8%)         8 (8.2%)         97 (3.2%)           Disease Characteristics         1175 (7.2%)         2442 (80.3%)         343 (11.3%)           Malignant Crohn's Disease         312 (91.0%)         31 (9.0%)         343 (11.3%)           Malignant Crohn's Disease         11802 (92.6%)         145 (7.4%)         1947 (64.0%)           Malignant Stapled         100%         314 (9.0%)         145 (7.4%)         1947 (64.0%)           Markey Dithe ratrof							
Smoking Status         Never         1759 (92.8%)         136 (7.2%)         1895 (62.3%)           Ex-smoker         513 (91.9%)         45 (8.1%)         558 (18.4%)           Mot known         184 (90.2%)         20 (9.8%)         204 (6.7%)           History of Ischaemic heart disease or cerebrovascular disease**         No         2255 (92.0%)         195 (8.0%)         2450 (80.6%)           History of Diabetes         None         2363 (92.2%)         201 (7.8%)         380 (12.5%)           History of Diabetes         None         2363 (92.2%)         201 (7.8%)         380 (12.5%)           Diet/Tablet controlled         344 (90.5%)         36 (9.5%)         380 (12.5%)         380 (12.5%)           Disease Characteristics         Indication         312 (91.0%)         31 (9.0%)         343 (11.3%)           Crohn's Disease         1150 (72.8%)         1175 (7.2%)         2442 (80.3%)           ASA grade         Low risk         1802 (92.6%)         1175 (7.2%)         2442 (80.3%)           Maignant         2267 (92.8%)         100 (9.1%)         1947 (64.0%)           Operative Information         11096 (92.6%)         145 (7.4%)         1947 (64.0%)           Anastomosis method         1096 (92.6%)         87 (7.4%)         1183 (38.9%)							
Never         1759 (92.8%)         136 (7.2%)         1895 (62.3%)           Ex-smoker         513 (91.9%)         45 (8.1%)         558 (18.4%)           Not known         184 (90.2%)         20 (9.8%)         204 (6.7%)           History of Ischaemic heart disease or cerebrovascular disease**         20 (9.8%)         204 (6.7%)           No         2255 (92.0%)         195 (8.0%)         2450 (80.6%)           Yes         541 (91.5%)         50 (8.5%)         591 (19.4%)           History of Diabetes         2363 (92.2%)         201 (7.8%)         2564 (84.3%)           Diet/Tablet controlled         344 (90.5%)         36 (9.5%)         380 (12.5%)           Insulin controlled         89 (91.8%)         8 (8.2%)         97 (3.2%)           Disease Characteristics		7-10 (00.078)	7 + (0.170)	017 (20.070)			
Ex-smoker Current         513 (91.9%)         45 (8.1%)         558 (18.4%)           Not known         184 (90.2%)         20 (9.8%)         204 (6.7%)           History of Ischaemic heart disease or cerebrovascular disease**         No         2255 (92.0%)         195 (8.0%)         2450 (80.6%)           No         2255 (92.0%)         195 (8.0%)         591 (19.4%)         591 (19.4%)           History of Diabetes         No         2255 (92.0%)         360 (7.8%)         2364 (84.3%)           Diet/Tablet controlled         344 (90.5%)         36 (8.2%)         97 (3.2%)           Disease Characteristics         89 (91.8%)         8 (8.2%)         97 (3.2%)           Disease Characteristics         312 (91.0%)         31 (9.0%)         343 (11.3%)           Crohn's Disease         312 (91.0%)         31 (9.0%)         343 (11.3%)           Other         217 (84.8%)         39 (15.2%)         1947 (64.0%)           ASA grade         I802 (92.6%)         145 (7.4%)         1947 (64.0%)           Matignant         2268 (81.4%)         39 (15.2%)         2559 (84.1%)           Matignant         2266 (8.4%)         39 (15.2%)         1947 (64.0%)           Matignant         2267 (92.8%)         1145 (7.4%)         1947 (64.0%)           <	-	1759 (92.8%)	136 (7.2%)	1895 (62.3%)			
Current Not known         340 (88.5%)         44 (11.5%)         384 (12.6%)           History of Ischaemic heart disease or cerebrovascular disease**         202 (9.8%)         204 (6.7%)           No         2255 (92.0%)         195 (8.0%)         2450 (80.6%)           History of Diabetes         500 (8.5%)         591 (19.4%)         591 (19.4%)           History of Diabetes         2263 (92.2%)         201 (7.8%)         2564 (84.3%)           Diet/Tablet controlled         344 (90.5%)         36 (9.5%)         380 (12.5%)           Indication         89 (91.8%)         8 (8.2%)         97 (3.2%)           Disease Characteristics         217 (84.8%)         39 (15.2%)         2442 (80.3%)           Crohn's Disease         312 (91.0%)         31 (9.0%)         343 (11.3%)           Crohn's Disease         11802 (92.6%)         145 (7.4%)         1947 (64.0%)           High risk         994 (90.9%)         100 (9.1%)         1094 (36.0%)           Operative Information         413 (85.7%)         87 (7.4%)         1183 (38.9%)           Stapled         1096 (92.6%)         87 (7.4%)         1183 (38.9%)           Stapled         1096 (92.6%)         87 (7.4%)         1183 (38.9%)           Stapled         1096 (92.6%)         87 (7.4%)				· /			
Not known         184 (90.2%)         20 (9.8%)         204 (6.7%)           History of Ischaemic heart disease or cerebrovascular disease**         No         2255 (92.0%)         195 (8.0%)         2450 (80.6%)           Yes         541 (91.5%)         50 (8.5%)         591 (19.4%)         194 (90.5%)         360 (9.5%)         380 (12.5%)           History of Diabetes         None         2363 (92.2%)         201 (7.8%)         2564 (84.3%)         380 (12.5%)           Diet/Tablet controlled         89 (91.8%)         8 (8.2%)         97 (3.2%)         2442 (80.3%)           Disease Characteristics         Indication         2267 (92.8%)         175 (7.2%)         2442 (80.3%)           Malignant         2267 (92.8%)         1175 (7.2%)         2442 (80.3%)         343 (11.3%)           Other         217 (84.8%)         39 (15.2%)         256 (8.4%)           ASA grade         1802 (92.6%)         145 (7.4%)         1947 (64.0%)           High risk         994 (90.9%)         100 (9.1%)         1094 (36.0%)           Operative Information         2383 (93.1%)         176 (6.9%)         2559 (84.1%)           Stapled         1096 (92.6%)         87 (7.4%)         1183 (38.9%)           Surgery type         Elective         2383 (93.1%)         176 (6.9%) </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							
History of Ischaemic heart disease or cerebrovascular disease**         No         2255 (92.0%)         195 (8.0%)         2450 (80.6%)           History of Diabetes         None         2363 (92.2%)         201 (7.8%)         2564 (84.3%)           Diet/Tablet controlled         344 (90.5%)         36 (9.5%)         380 (12.5%)           Disease Characteristics         8 (8.2%)         97 (3.2%)         217 (7.8%)         2442 (80.3%)           Indication         Malignant Crohn's Disease         2267 (92.8%)         175 (7.2%)         2442 (80.3%)           ASA grade         312 (91.0%)         31 (9.0%)         343 (11.3%)         256 (8.4%)           ASA grade         Low risk         1802 (92.6%)         145 (7.4%)         1947 (64.0%)           High risk         994 (90.9%)         100 (9.1%)         1094 (36.0%)         1094 (36.0%)           Operative Information         Handsewn         1096 (92.6%)         87 (7.4%)         1183 (38.9%)           Surgery type         Elective         2383 (93.1%)         176 (6.9%)         2559 (84.1%)           Goperation type         Complete (C4)         217 (94.6%)         93 (5.4%)         1327 (43.6%)           Surgery type         Elective         2383 (93.1%)         176 (6.9%)         2559 (84.1%)           Goperati	Not known			· · · · ·			
cerebrovascular disease**         No         2255 (92.0%)         195 (8.0%)         2450 (80.6%)           History of Diabetes         50 (8.5%)         591 (19.4%)         50 (8.5%)         591 (19.4%)           History of Diabetes         0         2363 (92.2%)         201 (7.8%)         2564 (84.3%)           Diet/Tablet controlled         344 (90.5%)         36 (9.5%)         380 (12.5%)           Disease Characteristics         89 (91.8%)         8 (8.2%)         97 (3.2%)           Disease Characteristics         2267 (92.8%)         175 (7.2%)         2442 (80.3%)           Crohn's Disease         312 (91.0%)         31 (9.0%)         343 (11.3%)           Crohn's Disease         312 (91.0%)         31 (9.0%)         343 (11.3%)           ASA grade         1802 (92.6%)         145 (7.4%)         1947 (64.0%)           High risk         994 (90.9%)         100 (9.1%)         1094 (36.0%)           Operative Information         413 (85.7%)         69 (14.3%)         482 (91.9%)           Surgery type         2383 (93.1%)         176 (6.9%)         2559 (84.1%)           Elective         2383 (93.1%)         176 (6.9%)         2559 (84.1%)           Operation type         1102 (194.6%)         93 (5.4%)         1327 (43.6%)	History of Ischaemic heart disease or			· · · · /			
Yes         541 (91.5%)         50 (8.5%)         591 (19.4%)           History of Diabetes         None         2363 (92.2%)         201 (7.8%)         2564 (84.3%)           Diet/Tablet controlled         344 (90.5%)         36 (9.5%)         380 (12.5%)           Insulin controlled         89 (91.8%)         8 (8.2%)         97 (3.2%)           Disease Characteristics							
History of Diabetes         None         2363 (92.2%)         201 (7.8%)         2564 (84.3%)           Diet/Tablet controlled         344 (90.5%)         36 (9.5%)         380 (12.5%)           Disease Characteristics         89 (91.8%)         8 (8.2%)         97 (3.2%)           Indication         Malignant         2267 (92.8%)         175 (7.2%)         2442 (80.3%)           Crohn's Disease         312 (91.0%)         31 (9.0%)         343 (11.3%)           Other         217 (84.8%)         39 (15.2%)         256 (8.4%)           ASA grade         1802 (92.6%)         145 (7.4%)         1947 (64.0%)           High risk         994 (90.9%)         100 (9.1%)         1094 (36.0%)           Operative Information         41306 (92.6%)         87 (7.4%)         1183 (38.9%)           Stapled         1700 (91.5%)         158 (8.5%)         1858 (61.1%)           Surgery type         Elective         2383 (93.1%)         176 (6.9%)         2559 (84.1%)           Mastomosis method         1125 (92.6%)         132 (11.3%)         482 (15.9%)           Surgery type         Elective         2383 (93.1%)         176 (6.9%)         2559 (84.1%)           Goperation type         1621 (94.6%)         93 (5.4%)         1714 (56.4%)	No	2255 (92.0%)	195 (8.0%)	2450 (80.6%)			
None         2363 (92.2%)         201 (7.8%)         2564 (84.3%)           Diet/Tablet controlled         344 (90.5%)         36 (9.5%)         380 (12.5%)           Insulin controlled         89 (91.8%)         8 (8.2%)         97 (3.2%)           Disease Characteristics	Yes	541 (91.5%)	50 (8.5%)	591 (19.4%)			
Diet/Tablet controlled Insulin controlled         344 (90.5%) 89 (91.8%)         36 (9.5%) 8 (8.2%)         380 (12.5%) 97 (3.2%)           Disease Characteristics	History of Diabetes						
Insulin controlled         89 (91.8%)         8 (8.2%)         97 (3.2%)           Disease Characteristics         Indication         Indication         Indication         Insulin controlled         2267 (92.8%)         175 (7.2%)         2442 (80.3%)           Indication         Crohn's Disease         312 (91.0%)         31 (9.0%)         343 (11.3%)         256 (8.4%)           ASA grade         Image: Control (19.9%)         109 (92.6%)         145 (7.4%)         1947 (64.0%)           Asa grade         Image: Control (19.9%)         1094 (90.9%)         1009 (92.6%)         145 (7.4%)         1183 (38.9%)           Operative Information         Image: Control (19.1%)         Image: Control (19.1%)         1094 (90.9%)         1094 (90.9%)         1094 (90.9%)           Surgery type         Image: Control (19.1%)         Image: Control (19.1%)         Image: Control (19.1%)         Image: Control (19.1%)         1183 (38.9%)           Surgery type         Image: Control (19.1%)		· · · · ·	· · · ·				
Disease Characteristics         Image: Characteristics         Image: Characteristics           Indication         Malignant Crohn's Disease         2267 (92.8%) 312 (91.0%)         175 (7.2%) 31 (9.0%)         2442 (80.3%) 343 (11.3%)           ASA grade         217 (84.8%)         39 (15.2%)         256 (8.4%)           ASA grade         1802 (92.6%)         145 (7.4%)         1947 (64.0%)           Operative Information         1096 (92.6%)         145 (7.4%)         1947 (64.0%)           Anastomosis method         1096 (92.6%)         87 (7.4%)         1183 (38.9%)           Surgery type         Elective         2383 (93.1%)         158 (8.5%)         1858 (61.1%)           Surgery type         Elective         2383 (93.1%)         176 (6.9%)         2559 (84.1%)           Malignant         1096 (92.6%)         87 (7.4%)         11838 (38.9%)           Surgery type         11700 (91.5%)         158 (8.5%)         1858 (61.1%)           Coperation type         11621 (94.6%)         93 (5.4%)         1714 (56.4%)           Den 1175 (88.5%)         152 (11.5%)         1327 (43.6%)           Extend of surgery         Complete (C4)         819 (92.2%)         69 (7.8%)         888 (29.2%)           Extended (C5-C7)         1383 (91.7%)         125 (8.3%)         1508 (			· · · ·				
Indication         Malignant Crohn's Disease 0ther         2267 (92.8%) 312 (91.0%) 217 (84.8%)         175 (7.2%) 31 (9.0%) 31 (9.0%)         2442 (80.3%) 343 (11.3%) 256 (8.4%)           ASA grade         Low risk High risk         1802 (92.6%) 994 (90.9%)         145 (7.4%) 100 (9.1%)         1947 (64.0%) 1094 (36.0%)           Operative Information         Handsewn         1096 (92.6%) 1700 (91.5%)         87 (7.4%) 158 (8.5%)         1183 (38.9%) 1858 (61.1%)           Surgery type         Elective Elective         2383 (93.1%) 413 (85.7%)         176 (6.9%) 69 (14.3%)         2559 (84.1%) 482 (15.9%)           Operation type         Laparoscopic 0pen         1621 (94.6%) 1175 (88.5%)         93 (5.4%) 152 (11.5%)         1714 (56.4%) 1327 (43.6%)           Extent of surgery         Complete (C4) Extended (C5-C7) Limited (C1-C3)         819 (92.2%) 569 (92.2%)         69 (7.8%) 48 (7.8%)         888 (29.2%) 1508 (49.6%) 617 (20.3%)		89 (91.8%)	8 (8.2%)	97 (3.2%)			
Malignant Crohn's Disease         2267 (92.8%) 312 (91.0%)         175 (7.2%) 31 (9.0%)         2442 (80.3%) 343 (11.3%)           ASA grade         217 (84.8%)         39 (15.2%)         256 (8.4%)           ASA grade         1802 (92.6%)         145 (7.4%)         1947 (64.0%)           Operative Information         1094 (90.9%)         100 (9.1%)         1094 (36.0%)           Anastomosis method         1096 (92.6%)         87 (7.4%)         1183 (38.9%)           Surgery type         Elective         2383 (93.1%)         176 (6.9%)         2559 (84.1%)           Operation type         413 (85.7%)         176 (6.9%)         482 (15.9%)           Complete (C4)         819 (92.2%)         69 (7.8%)         888 (29.2%)           Extended (C5-C7)         1383 (91.7%)         125 (8.3%)         1508 (49.6%)           Limited (C1-C3)         569 (92.2%)         48 (7.8%)         617 (20.3%)							
Crohn's Disease Other         312 (91.0%)         31 (9.0%)         343 (11.3%)           ASA grade         217 (84.8%)         39 (15.2%)         256 (8.4%)           ASA grade         Low risk         1802 (92.6%)         145 (7.4%)         1947 (64.0%)           Operative Information         1096 (92.6%)         100 (9.1%)         1094 (36.0%)           Anastomosis method         1096 (92.6%)         87 (7.4%)         1183 (38.9%)           Stapled         1700 (91.5%)         158 (8.5%)         1858 (61.1%)           Surgery type         Elective         2383 (93.1%)         176 (6.9%)         2559 (84.1%)           Elective         2383 (93.1%)         176 (6.9%)         2559 (84.1%)           Operation type         1122 (11.3%)         482 (15.9%)           Complete (C4)         819 (92.2%)         69 (7.8%)         1327 (43.6%)           Extent of surgery         Complete (C4)         819 (92.2%)         69 (7.8%)         888 (29.2%)           Extended (C5-C7)         1383 (91.7%)         125 (8.3%)         1508 (49.6%)         1508 (49.6%)           Limited (C1-C3)         569 (92.2%)         48 (7.8%)         617 (20.3%)         617 (20.3%)		0007 (00.00()	175 (7.00()	0440 (00.00()			
Other         217 (84.8%)         39 (15.2%)         256 (8.4%)           ASA grade         Low risk         1802 (92.6%)         145 (7.4%)         1947 (64.0%)           High risk         994 (90.9%)         100 (9.1%)         1094 (36.0%)           Operative Information							
ASA grade         Low risk High risk         1802 (92.6%) 994 (90.9%)         145 (7.4%) 100 (9.1%)         1947 (64.0%) 1094 (36.0%)           Operative Information         Handsewn         1096 (92.6%) Stapled         87 (7.4%)         1183 (38.9%)           Surgery type         Elective         2383 (93.1%)         176 (6.9%)         2559 (84.1%)           Operation type         Laparoscopic         1621 (94.6%)         93 (5.4%)         1714 (56.4%)           Extent of surgery         Complete (C4)         819 (92.2%)         69 (7.8%)         888 (29.2%)           Extended (C5-C7)         1383 (91.7%)         125 (8.3%)         1508 (49.6%)           Limited (C1-C3)         569 (92.2%)         48 (7.8%)         617 (20.3%)							
Low risk         1802 (92.6%)         145 (7.4%)         1947 (64.0%)           High risk         994 (90.9%)         100 (9.1%)         1094 (36.0%)           Operative Information		217 (84.8%)	39 (15.2%)	200 (8.4%)			
High risk         994 (90.9%)         100 (9.1%)         1094 (36.0%)           Operative Information         Image: Complete (C4) Extended (C5-C7) Limited (C1-C3) 569 (92.2%)         87 (7.4%)         1183 (38.9%)           Anastomosis method         Image: Complete (C4) Extended (C5-C7) Limited (C1-C3) 569 (92.2%)         87 (7.4%)         1183 (38.9%)           Mandsewn         1096 (92.6%) (92.6%)         87 (7.4%)         1183 (38.9%)         1183 (38.9%)           Surgery type         Elective         2383 (93.1%)         176 (6.9%)         2559 (84.1%)           Surgery type         Elective         2383 (93.1%)         176 (6.9%)         2559 (84.1%)           Operation type         Elective         2383 (93.1%)         176 (6.9%)         2559 (84.1%)           Mathematical complete (C4)         819 (92.2%)         69 (14.3%)         482 (15.9%)           Extent of surgery         Mathematical complete (C4)         819 (92.2%)         69 (7.8%)         888 (29.2%)           Extended (C5-C7)         1383 (91.7%)         125 (8.3%)         1508 (49.6%)         617 (20.3%)		1802 (02 6%)	145 (7 4%)	1047 (64 0%)			
Operative Information         Image: Constraint of the system         Image: Consystem			· · · ·	· /			
Anastomosis method         Handsewn         1096 (92.6%)         87 (7.4%)         1183 (38.9%)           Stapled         1700 (91.5%)         158 (8.5%)         1858 (61.1%)           Surgery type         Elective         2383 (93.1%)         176 (6.9%)         2559 (84.1%)           Operation type         Laparoscopic         1621 (94.6%)         93 (5.4%)         1714 (56.4%)           Open         1175 (88.5%)         152 (11.5%)         1327 (43.6%)           Extent of surgery         Complete (C4)         819 (92.2%)         69 (7.8%)         888 (29.2%)           Limited (C1-C3)         569 (92.2%)         48 (7.8%)         617 (20.3%)		007 (00.070)	100 (3.170)				
Handsewn         1096 (92.6%)         87 (7.4%)         1183 (38.9%)           Stapled         1700 (91.5%)         158 (8.5%)         1858 (61.1%)           Surgery type         Elective         2383 (93.1%)         176 (6.9%)         2559 (84.1%)           Elective         2383 (93.1%)         176 (6.9%)         2559 (84.1%)           Operation type         413 (85.7%)         69 (14.3%)         482 (15.9%)           Open 1175 (88.5%)         152 (11.5%)         1714 (56.4%)           Dopen 1175 (88.5%)         152 (11.5%)         1327 (43.6%)           Extent of surgery         Complete (C4)         819 (92.2%)         69 (7.8%)         888 (29.2%)           Extended (C5-C7)         1383 (91.7%)         125 (8.3%)         1508 (49.6%)           Limited (C1-C3)         569 (92.2%)         48 (7.8%)         617 (20.3%)							
Stapled         1700 (91.5%)         158 (8.5%)         1858 (61.1%)           Surgery type         Elective         2383 (93.1%)         176 (6.9%)         2559 (84.1%)           Elective         2383 (93.1%)         176 (6.9%)         2559 (84.1%)           Operation type         413 (85.7%)         69 (14.3%)         482 (15.9%)           Open         1621 (94.6%)         93 (5.4%)         1714 (56.4%)           Open         1175 (88.5%)         152 (11.5%)         1327 (43.6%)           Extent of surgery         Complete (C4)         819 (92.2%)         69 (7.8%)         888 (29.2%)           Extended (C5-C7)         1383 (91.7%)         125 (8.3%)         1508 (49.6%)         1508 (49.6%)           Limited (C1-C3)         569 (92.2%)         48 (7.8%)         617 (20.3%)		1096 (92.6%)	87 (7.4%)	1183 (38.9%)			
Surgery type         Elective         2383 (93.1%)         176 (6.9%)         2559 (84.1%)           Emergency         413 (85.7%)         69 (14.3%)         482 (15.9%)           Operation type         Laparoscopic         1621 (94.6%)         93 (5.4%)         1714 (56.4%)           Dopen         1175 (88.5%)         152 (11.5%)         1327 (43.6%)           Extent of surgery         Complete (C4)         819 (92.2%)         69 (7.8%)         888 (29.2%)           Extended (C5-C7)         1383 (91.7%)         125 (8.3%)         1508 (49.6%)           Limited (C1-C3)         569 (92.2%)         48 (7.8%)         617 (20.3%)							
Elective         2383 (93.1%) (413 (85.7%)         176 (6.9%) (69 (14.3%)         2559 (84.1%) (482 (15.9%)           Operation type         Laparoscopic         1621 (94.6%) (1175 (88.5%)         93 (5.4%) (152 (11.5%)         1714 (56.4%) (1327 (43.6%)           Extent of surgery         Complete (C4)         819 (92.2%) (1333 (91.7%)         69 (7.8%)         888 (29.2%) (1508 (49.6%)           Extended (C5-C7)         1383 (91.7%) (Limited (C1-C3)         125 (8.3%)         1508 (49.6%) (617 (20.3%)							
Emergency         413 (85.7%)         69 (14.3%)         482 (15.9%)           Operation type         Laparoscopic         1621 (94.6%)         93 (5.4%)         1714 (56.4%)           Laparoscopic         1621 (94.6%)         93 (5.4%)         1714 (56.4%)         1327 (43.6%)           Extent of surgery         Complete (C4)         819 (92.2%)         69 (7.8%)         888 (29.2%)           Extended (C5-C7)         1383 (91.7%)         125 (8.3%)         1508 (49.6%)           Limited (C1-C3)         569 (92.2%)         48 (7.8%)         617 (20.3%)		2383 (93.1%)	176 (6.9%)	2559 (84.1%)			
Operation type         Laparoscopic         1621 (94.6%)         93 (5.4%)         1714 (56.4%)           Open         1175 (88.5%)         152 (11.5%)         1327 (43.6%)           Extent of surgery         Complete (C4)         819 (92.2%)         69 (7.8%)         888 (29.2%)           Extended (C5-C7)         1383 (91.7%)         125 (8.3%)         1508 (49.6%)           Limited (C1-C3)         569 (92.2%)         48 (7.8%)         617 (20.3%)							
Laparoscopic         1621 (94.6%)         93 (5.4%)         1714 (56.4%)           Open         1175 (88.5%)         152 (11.5%)         1327 (43.6%)           Extent of surgery         Complete (C4)         819 (92.2%)         69 (7.8%)         888 (29.2%)           Extended (C5-C7)         1383 (91.7%)         125 (8.3%)         1508 (49.6%)           Limited (C1-C3)         569 (92.2%)         48 (7.8%)         617 (20.3%)							
Open         1175 (88.5%)         152 (11.5%)         1327 (43.6%)           Extent of surgery         Complete (C4)         819 (92.2%)         69 (7.8%)         888 (29.2%)           Extended (C5-C7)         1383 (91.7%)         125 (8.3%)         1508 (49.6%)           Limited (C1-C3)         569 (92.2%)         48 (7.8%)         617 (20.3%)		1621 (94.6%)	93 (5.4%)	1714 (56.4%)			
Complete (C4)819 (92.2%)69 (7.8%)888 (29.2%)Extended (C5-C7)1383 (91.7%)125 (8.3%)1508 (49.6%)Limited (C1-C3)569 (92.2%)48 (7.8%)617 (20.3%)	Open	1175 (88.5%)		1327 (43.6%)			
Extended (C5-C7)1383 (91.7%)125 (8.3%)1508 (49.6%)Limited (C1-C3)569 (92.2%)48 (7.8%)617 (20.3%)							
Limited (C1-C3) 569 (92.2%) 48 (7.8%) 617 (20.3%)				. ,			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Missing   25 (89.3%)   3 (10.7%)   28 (0.9%)				. ,			
own by row, SD=Standard deviation: IQR=Interguartile range.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · /	28 (0.9%)			

% shown by row. SD=Standard deviation; IQR=Interquartile range.

\*Note excludes patients who are classed as anastomosis category "none"; \*\* stroke or TIA.

# Table 3: Univariate and multivariate mixed effects logistic regression analysis

Outcome (Anastomotic leak	Univariate analysis*			Multivariate analysis				
+ Abscess)	Odds Ratio	95% CI	P- value	Overall p- value	Odds Ratio	95% CI	P-value	Overall p- value
Anastomosis method								
Handsewn	-	-	-		-	-	-	
Stapled	4.40	(0.86,	0.040	0.342	4 40	(1.04,	0.000	0.026
Patient Characteristics	1.16	1.57)	0.342		1.43	1.95)	0.026	
Patient Characteristics Age		(0.98,		0.064		(0.98,		0.037
Age	0.99	(0.00, 1.00)	0.064	0.004	0.99	(0.00, 1.00)	0.037	0.007
Gender								
Male	-	-	-		-	-	-	
Female		(0.53,		0.011		(0.57,		0.066
De de Mara la das	0.70	0.92)	0.011		0.76	1.02)	0.066	
Body Mass Index Normal	-	_	-		-		_	
Underweight	-	(0.73,	-		-	(0.61,	-	
ondorweight	1.46	2.91)	0.289		1.25	2.56)	0.543	
Overweight	-	(0.66,			-	(0.69,		
_	0.93	1.30)	0.665		0.98	1.38)	0.888	
Obese	4.00	(0.87,		0.315		(0.80,	0.400	0.768
Smoking Status	1.23	1.72)	0.241		1.14	1.64)	0.463	
Smoking Status Never	-	_	_			_	_	
Ex-smoker	-	(0.79,	-		-	- (0.67,	-	
	1.13	1.63)	0.504		0.99	1.46)	0.968	
Current smoker	-	(1.15,				(0.93,		
	1.68	2.43)	0.007		1.38	2.04)	0.106	
Not known		(0.86,	0.450	0.040		(0.81,	0.000	0.269
History of lashaamia haart	1.47	2.49)	0.158		1.41	2.44)	0.222	
History of Ischaemic heart disease or cerebrovascular								
disease								
No	-	-	-		-	-	-	
Yes		(0.75,		0.766		(0.69,		0.983
	1.05	1.47)	0.766		1.00	1.47)	0.983	
History of Diabetes								
None Diet/Tablet controlled	-	(0.82,	-		-	(0.89,	-	
Diet/ Tablet controlled	1.21	(0.0 <u>2</u> , 1.78)	0.338		1.34	(0.03, 2.02)	0.165	
Insulin controlled		(0.51,	0.000	0.624		(0.53,	000	0.375
	1.10	2.35)	0.811		1.16	2.55)	0.717	
Disease Characteristics								
Indication								
Malignant Crohns disease	-	-	-		-	-	-	
Cionins disease	1.27	(0.83, 1.93)	0.270		1.29	(0.71, 2.34)	0.398	
Other	/	(1.62,	0.270	<0.001	1.20	(1.05,	0.000	0.095
	2.39	3.54)	<0.001		1.73	2.85)	0.031	
ASA Grade								
Low risk	-	-	-		-	-	-	0.457
High risk	1 20	(0.98,	0.060	0.068	1.04	(0.89, 1.72)	0.197	0.197
Operative Information	1.30	1.72)	0.068		1.24	1.72)	0.197	
Surgery type			<u> </u>				+	
Elective	-	-	-		-	-	-	
Emergency		(1.70,		<0.001		(0.94,		0.101
	2.33	3.19)	<0.001		1.40	2.09)	0.101	
Operation type								
Laparoscopy	-	- (1 7/	-	<0.001	-	- (1.52	-	<0.001
Open	2.32	(1.74, 3.08)	<0.001	<0.001	2.09	(1.53, 2.87)	<0.001	<0.001
I	2.02	0.00)	10.001	1 1	2.00	2.57)	1 20.001	I I

Complete (C4)	-	-	-		-		
Extended (C5-C7)	1.07	(0.77, 1.48)	0.699		```	.79, 53) 0.56	0
Limited (C1-C3)	1.07	(0.66,	0.688	0.869		53) 0.56 .44,	0
	0.98	1.47)	0.925			11) 0.13	
* Univariate analysis includ	led centr	e as a rand	om effect	to taken	into account	variation acr	0
centres.							
Table 4: The impact of o	voralla	nastomotia	look (or	nd the gr	oup with on	v a 'proven	,
clinical outcomes		nastomotic	o leak (al	ia ine gi		y a proven	1
Group	)		n	30-da	ay death rate (n; %)	Length (days; me	
Full cohort			320	8 1	03 (3.2%)	7 (5	5-
No anastomosis made			167	7 3	0 (18.0%)	11 (	(7
In those undergoing anastom	osis:		304	1 7	73 (2.4%)	7 (5	5-
No leak or collection e	evident		279	6 4	49 (1.8%)	7 (5	5-
Anastomotic leak and/	Anastomotic leak and/or collection*		245	5 2	24 (9.8%)	18 (1	10
Proven anastomotic le	eak only		150	) 1	7 (11.3%)	21 (1	13
*the primary outcome of this	study.	IQR=Interc	juartile rar	ige.			
Oslishaustaus							
Collaborators							
Writing group and ESCP overall guarantor), N Bat Nepogodiev, B Singh, S	ttersby,	A Bhangu	, S Chau				
ESCP Research Commit Laurberg, D Morton (cha						, A D'Hoore	э,
Logistical support and da Trials Consortium, Unive			-	erry, N S	heward (Bir	ningham S	u
Statistical analysis: N lve Birmingham)	es, S Me	ehta (Birmi	ingham (	Clinical T	rials Unit, U	niversity of	

Local Investigators:

Argentina: M. Cillo, D. Estefania, J. Patron Uriburu, H. Ruiz, M. Salomon (Hospital Britanico de Buenos Aires).

Belarus: A. Makhmudov, L. Selnyahina, A. Varabei, Y. Vizhynis (Surgical Department of the Belarusian Medical Academy of Postgraduate Education).

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Extent of surgery								
Complete (C4)	-	-	-		-	-	-	
Extended (C5-C7)		(0.77,				(0.79,		
. ,	1.07	1.48)	0.688		1.10	1.53)	0.568	
Limited (C1-C3)		(0.66,		0.869		(0.44,		0.139
· · · ·	0.98	1.47)	0.925		0.70	1.11)	0.132	

verall anastomotic leak (and the group with only a 'proven' leak) on

Group	n	30-day death rate (n; %)	Length of stay (days; median (IQR))
Full cohort	3208	103 (3.2%)	7 (5-11)
No anastomosis made	167	30 (18.0%)	11 (7-20)
In those undergoing anastomosis:	3041	73 (2.4%)	7 (5-10)
No leak or collection evident	2796	49 (1.8%)	7 (5-10)
Anastomotic leak and/or collection*	245	24 (9.8%)	18 (10-27)
Proven anastomotic leak only	150	17 (11.3%)	21 (13-30)

Cohort Studies + Audits Sub-Committee: T Pinkney (chair and tersby, A Bhangu, S Chaudhri, A El-Hussuna, M Frasson, D Vennix, O Zmora.

ata collation: L Magill, R Perry, N Sheward (Birmingham Surgical rsity of Birmingham)

Belgium: D. Claeys, B. Defoort, F. Muysoms, P. Pletinckx, V. Vergucht (AZ Maria Middelares Gent); I. Debergh, T. Feryn, H. Reusens (AZ Sint-Jan); M. Nachtergaele (AZ St Jozef Malle);
D. Francart, C. Jehaes, S. Markiewicz, B. Monami, J. Weerts (Clinique St Joseph, Liege); W. Bouckaert, B. Houben, J. Knol, G. Sergeant, G. Vangertruyden (Jessa Hospital Hasselt); L. Haeck, C. Lange, C. Sommeling, K. Vindevoghel (OLV van Lourdes Ziekenhuis); S. Castro, H. De Bruyn, M. Huyghe (St Augustinus General Hospital); E. De Wolf, D. Reynders (St Vincentius General Hospital); A. D'Hoore, A. de Buck van Overstraeten, A. Wolthuis (University Hospitals Leuven).

Bosnia and Herzegovina: S. Delibegovic (University Clinical Center Tuzla).

Brazil: A. Christiani, M. Marchiori Jr, C. Rocha de Moraes, V. Tercioti Jr (Centro Médico Campinas).

Bulgaria: E. Arabadjieva, D. Bulanov, D. Dardanov, V. Stoyanov, A. Yonkov (First Surgical Department, University Hospital Alexandrovska); K. Angelov, S. Maslyankov, M. Sokolov, G. Todorov, S. Toshev, (Second Surgery Clinic, Sofia Medical University). Y. Georgiev, A. Karashmalakov, G. Zafirov (Virgin Mary Hospital, Burgas).

China: X. Wang, (West China Hospital).

Croatia: D. Condic, D. Kraljik, H. Mrkovic, V. Pavkovic, K. Raguž (GCH Dr Josip Bencevic Slavonski Brod).

Czech Republic: V. Bencurik, E. Holášková, M. Skrovina (Hospital & Oncological Centre Nový Jičín); M. Farkašová, T. Grolich, Z. Kala (Masaryk University Hospital); F. Antos, V. Pruchova (Nemocnice Na Bulovce); O. Sotona, M. Chobola, T. Dusek, A. Ferko, J. Örhalmi (University Hospital Hradec Kralove); J. Hoch, P. Kocian, L. Martinek (University Hospital Motol).

Denmark: I. Bernstein, K. Gotschalck Sunesen, J. Leunbach, O. Thorlacius-Ussing, A. Uth Oveson (Aalborg University Hospital); P. Christensen, S. Dahl Chirstensen, V. Gamez, M. Oeting, U. Schou Loeve, A. Ugianskis (Randers Regional Hospital/Aarhus University Hospital); M. Jessen, P. Krarup, K. Linde (Bispebjerg Hospital); Q. Mirza, J. Overgaard Stovring (Esbjerg Hospital); L. Erritzøe, H. Loft Jakobsen, J. Lykke, E. Palmgren Colov (Herlev Hospital); A. Husted Madsen, T. Linde Friis (Herning Regional Hospital); J. Amstrup Funder, R. Dich (Hospitalsenheden Horsens); S. Kjær, S. Rasmussen, N. Schlesinger (Hvidovre Hospital); M. Dilling Kjaer, N. Qvist (OUH, Svendborg); A. Khalid (Regionshospitalet Viborg); G. Ali, A. El-Hussuna, S. Hadi, L. Rosell Walker (Slagelse Hospital).

Finland: A. Kivelä, T. Lehtonen, A. Lepistö, T. Scheinin, P. Siironen (Helsinki University Central Hospital); J. Kössi, P. Kuusanmäki, T. Tomminen, A. Turunen (Kanta-Häme Central Hospital); T. Rautio, M. Vierimaa (Oulu University Hospital); H. Huhtinen, J. Karvonen, M. Lavonius, A. Rantala, P. Varpe (Turku University Hospital).

France: E. Cotte, Y. Francois, O. Glehen, V. Kepenekian, G. Passot (Centre Hospitalier Lyon-Sud); L. Maggiori, G. Manceau, Y. Panis (CHU Beaujon); M. Gout (CHU Le Bocage); E. Rullier, B. van Geluwe (Hôpital Saint-André); N. Chafai, J. H. Lefevre, Y. Parc, E. Tiret (Hôpital Saint-Antoine); C. Couette, E. Duchalais (University Hospital of Nantes).

Germany: A. Agha, M. Hornberger, A. Hungbauer, I. Iesalnieks, I. Weindl (Klinikum Bogenhausen); F. Crescenti (Klinikum Verden); M. Keller, N. Kolodziejski, R. Scherer, D. Sterzing (Krankenhaus Waldfriede); B. Bock, G. Boehm, M. El-Magd, C. Krones, M. Niewiera (Marienhospital Aachen); J. Buhr, S. Cordesmeyer, M. Hoffmann, K. Krückemeier, T. Vogel (Raphaelsklinik Münster); M. Schön, J. Baral, T. Lukoschek, S. Münch, F. Pullig (Städtisches Klinikum Karlsruhe); K. Horisberger, P. Kienle, J. Magdeburg, S. Post (Universitätsmedizin).

Greece: K. Batzalexis, S. Germanos (General University Hospital of Larissa); C. Agalianos, C. Dervenis, N. Gouvas, P. Kanavidis, A. Kottikias (Konstantopouleio Hospital of Athens); I. E. Katsoulis, D. Korkolis, G. Plataniotis, G. Sakorafas (St. Savvas Cancer Hospital, Athens); I. Akrida, M. Argentou, C. Kollatos, C. Lampropoulos, S. Tsochatzis (University Hospital of Patras).

Hungary: I. Besznyák, A. Bursics, T. Egyed, G. Papp, I. Svastics (Uzsoki Hospital).

Iceland: J. Atladottir, P. Möller, H. Sigurdsson, T. Stefánsson, E. Valsdottir (The National University Hospital in Iceland).

Ireland: E. Andrews, N. Foley, D. Hechtl, M. Majeed, M. McCourt (Cork University Hospital); A. Hanly, J. Hyland, S. Martin, P. R. O'Connell, D. Winter (St Vincent's University Hospital); T. Connelly, W. Joyce, P. Wrafter (The Galway Clinic).

Israel: R. Berkovitz (Hadassah Medical Center); S. Avital, I. Haj Yahia, N. Hermann, B. Shpitz, I. White (Meir Medical Center); Y. Lishtzinsky, A. Tsherniak, N. Wasserberg (Rabin Medical Center, Beilinson Campus); N. Horesh, U. Keler, R. Pery, R. Shapiro, O. Zmora (Sheba Medical Centre); H. Tulchinsky (Tel Aviv Sourasky Medical Center); B. Badran, K. Dayan, A. Iskhakov, J. Lecaros, N. Nabih (Wolfson Medical Center).

Italy: I. Angrima, R. Bardini, E. Pizzolato, M. Tonello (Azienda Ospedaliera - Università degli Studi di Padova); F. Arces, R. Balestri, C. Ceccarelli, V. Prosperi, E. Rossi (Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria Pisana); I. Giannini, L. Vincenti (Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria Policlinico Bari); D. F. Altomare, F. Di Candido, M. Di Iena, A. Guglielmi, O. Caputilambrenghi (Department of Emergency and Organ Transplantation, University of Bari); P. Marsanic, A. Mellano, A. Muratore (Candiolo Cancer Institute - FPO - IRCCS); M. Annecchiarico, L. Bencini, S. Amore Bonapasta, A. Coratti, F. Guerra (Careggi Hospital); C. R. Asteria, L. Boccia, L. Gerard, A. Pascariello (ASST- Mantova); G. Manca, F. Marino (Di Summa - Perrino Hospital); A. Casaril, M. Inama, G. Moretto (Hospital "Dott. Pederzoli" Peschiera del Garda - Verona); C. Bacchelli, M. Carvello, N. Mariani, M. Montorsi, A. Spinelli (Humanitas Research Hospital); E. Romairone, S. Scabini (IRCCS San Martino IST); A. Belli, F. Bianco, S. De Franciscis, G. Maria Romano (Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Napoli, Unitá di Oncologia Addominale); P. Delrio, U. Pace, D. Rega, C. Sassaroli, D. Scala, (Istituto Nazionale Tumori Napoli); R. De Luca, E. Ruggieri (National Cancer Research Center Instituto "G.Paolo II" IRCCS-BARI); C. Elbetti, A. Garzi, L. Romoli, M. Scatizzi, A. Vannucchi (Ospedale S. Stefano); G. Curletti, V. Durante, R. Galleano, F. Mariani, L. Reggiani (Ospedale Santa Corona); R. Bellomo, A. Infantino (Ospedale Santa Maria dei Battuti); L. Franceschilli, P. Sileri (Policlinico di Tor Vergata); I. Clementi, D. Coletta, F. La Torre, A. Mingoli, F. Velluti (Policlinico Umberto I "La Sapienza" University of Rome); A. Di Giacomo, A. Fiorot, M. Massani, L. Padoan, C. Ruffolo (Regional Hospital Cà Foncello, Treviso); S.

Caruso, F. Franceschini, R. Laessig, I. Monaci, M. Rontini (S.M.Annunziata Azienda Sanitaria Firenze 10); P. De Nardi, U. Elmore, M. Lemma, R. Rosati, A. Tamburini (San Raffaele Scientific Institute and Vita Salute University); M. De Luca, A. Sartori, (San Valentino Hospital); A. Benevento, C. Bottini, C. C. Ferrari, F. Pata, G. Tessera (Sant'Antonio Abate Hospital, Gallarate); G. Pellino, F. Selvaggi (Second University of Naples); A. Lanzani, F. Romano, G. Sgroi, F. Steccanella, L. Turati (Treviglio Hospital).

Japan: T. Yamamoto (Yokkaichi Hazu Medical Centre).

Latvia: G. Ancans, S. Gerkis, M. Leja, A. Pcolkins, A. Sivins (Riga East University Hospital, Latvia Oncology Center);

Lithuania: T. Latkauskas, P. Lizdenis, Ž. Saladžinskas, S. Švagždys, A. Tamelis (Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Surgery); A. Razbadauskas, M. Sokolovas (Klaipeda Seamen's Hospital); A. Dulskas, N. Samalavicius (National Cancer Institute); V. Jotautas, S. Mikalauskas, E. Poskus, T. Poskus, K. Strupas (Vilnius University Hospital Santariskiu Klinikos).

Malta: C. Camenzuli, C. Cini, A. Predrag, J. Psaila, N. Spiteri (Mater Dei Hospital).

Netherlands: W. Bemelman, C. Buskens, E. J. de Groof, J. Gooszen, P. Tanis (Academic Medical Center Amsterdam); E. Belgers (Atrium Medical Center Heerlen); P. Davids, E. Furnee, E. Postma, A. Pronk, N. Smakman (Diakonessenhuis); S. Clermonts, D. Zimmerman (Elisabeth-Tweesteden); J. Omloo, E. van der Zaag P. van Duijvendijk, E. Wassenaar (Gelre Hospital Apeldoorn); M. Bruijninckx, E. de Graff, P. Doornebosch, G. Tetteroo, M. Vermaas (IJsselland Ziekenhuis); G. Iordens, S. Knops, B. Toorenvliet (Ikazia Ziekenhuis); H. L. van Westereenen (Isala Hospital Zwolle); E. Boerma, P. Coene, E. van der Harst, A. Van Der Pool (Maasstad Ziekenhuis); M. Raber (Medisch Spectrum Twente Hospital); J. Melenhorst (MUMC+/AZM); S. de Castro, M. Gerhards (Onze Lieve Vrouwe Gasthuis); M. Arron, A. Bremers, H. de Wilt, F. Ferenschild, S. Yauw (Radboud University Medical Center); H. Cense, A. Demirkiran, M. Hunfeld, I. Mulder (Rode Kruis Hospital); J. Nonner (Sint Franciscus Gasthuis); H. Swank, B. van Wagensveld (Sint Lucas Andreas Ziekenhuis); M. Bolmers, J. Briel, A. van Geloven, C. van Rossem (Tergooi Hospital Hilversum); V. Klemann, J. Konsten, B. Leenders, T. Schok (VieCuri Medical Center voor Noord-Limburg); W. Bleeker (Wilhelmina Hospital Assen).

Northern Ireland: A. Gidwani, R. Lawther, P. Loughlin, B. Skelly, R. Spence (Altnagelvin Hospital).

Norway: M. Brun, M. Helgeland, D. Ignjatovic, T. Øresland, P. Yousefi (Akershus University Hospital); I. Flåten Backe, O. Helmer Sjo, A. Nesbakken, M. Tandberg-Eriksen (Oslo University Hospital); A. Cais, J. Hallvard Træland, R. Herikstad, H. Kørner, N. Lauvland (Stavanger University Hospital).

Poland: D. Jajtner, W. Kabiesz, M. Rak (Beskidian Oncological Center); L. Gmerek, K. Horbacka, N. Horst, P. Krokowicz (General and Colorectal Surgery Department University of Medical Sciences); A. Kwiatkowski, K. Pasnik (Military Institute of Medicine); P. Karcz, M. Romaniszyn, T. Rusek, P. Walega (Third Department of General Surgery, Jagiellonian University Medical College); R. Czarencki, Z. Obuszko, M. Sitarska, W. Wojciech, M. Zawadzki (Wroclaw Regional Hospital).

Portugal: S. Amado, P. Clara, A. Couceiro, R. Malaquias, N. Rama (Centro Hospitalar de Leiria); A. Almeida, E. Barbosa, E. Cernadas, A. Duarte, P. Silva (Centro Hospitalar s. João);
S. Costa, C. Martinez Insua, J. Pereira, C. Pereira, M. Sacchetti (Centro Hospitalar Tâmega e Sousa); B. Carvalho Pinto, P. Jorge Vieira Sousa, R. Marques, A. Oliveira (Centro Hospitalar Trás os Montes e Alto Douro); R. Cardoso, S. Carlos, J. Corte-Real, P. Moniz Pereira, R. Souto (Garcia de Orta); C. Carneiro, R. Marinho, V. Nunes, R. Rocha, M. Sousa (Hospital Prof.Dr. Fernando Fonseca); J. Leite, F. Melo, J. Pimentel, L. Ventura, C. Vila Nova (Universidade Coimbra).Romania: C. Copăescu (Ponderas Hospital); V. Bintintan, C. Ciuce, G. Dindelegan, R. Scurtu, R. Seicean (University Emergency Hospital Cluj Napoca).

Russia: N. Domansky, A. Karachun, A. Moiseenko, Y. Pelipas, A. Petrov, I. Pravosudov (N.N.Petrov Research Institute of Oncology); R. Aiupov, Y. Akmalov, A. Parfenov, N. Suleymanov, N. Tarasov (Oncological Centre); H. Jumabaev, Z. Mamedli, A. Rasulov (Russian Cancer Research Center); I. Aliev, I. Chernikovskiy, V. Kochnev, K. Komyak, I. Pravosudov, A. Smirnov (St. Petersburg Clinical Research Center); S. Achkasov, K. Bolikhov, Y. Shelygin, O. Sushkov, A. Zapolskiy (State Scientific Center of Coloproctology).

Serbia: M. Gvozdenovic, D. Jovanovic, Z. Lausevic (Center of Emergency Surgery, Clinical Center of Serbia); D. Cvetković, M. Maravić, B. Milovanovic, N. Stojakovic, I. Tripković (City Hospital Valjevo); D. Mihajlovic, M. Nestorovic, V. Pecic, D. Petrovic, G. Stanojevic (Clinical Centre Nis); G. Barisic, I. Dimitrijevic, Z. Krivokapic, V. Markovic, M. Popovic (First Surgical Clinic, Cilinical Centre of Serbia, Belgrade); A. Aleksic, D. Dabic, I. Kostic, A. Milojkovic, V. Perunicic (General Hospital Cacak); D. Lukic, T. Petrovic, D. Radovanovic, Z. Radovanovic (Oncology Institute of Vojvodina); V. M. Cuk, V. V. Cuk, M. Kenic, B. Kovacevic, I. Krdzic (University Clinical Center Zvezdara).

Slovakia: J. Korcek (Teaching Hospital Nitra).

Slovenia: M. Rems, J. Toplak (General Hospital Jesenice).

Spain: J. Escarrâ, M. Gil Barrionuevo, T. Golda, E. Kreisler Moreno, C. Zerpa Martin (Bellvitge University Hospital); C. Álvarez Laso, P. Cumplido, H. Padin (Cabueñes); J. Baixauli Fons, J. Hernández-Lizoain, P. Martinez-Ortega, M. Molina-Fernández, C. Sánchez-Justicia (Clínica Universidad de Navarra); J. Antonio Gracia Solanas, E. Córdoba Díaz de Laspra, E. Echazarreta-Gallego, M. Elia-Guedea, J. Ramirez (Clinico Universitario, Zaragoza); J. Arredondo Chaves, P. Diez González, T. Elosua, J. Sahagún, A. Turienzo Frade (Complejo Asistencial Universitario de León); J. Álvarez Conde, E. Castrillo, R. Diaz Maag, V. Maderuelo, L. Saldarriaga (Complejo asistencial Universitario de Palencia); I. Aldrey Cao, X. Fernández Varela, S. Núñez Fernández, A. Parajó Calvo, S. Villar Álvarez (Complejo Hospitalario de Ourense); I. Blesa Sierra, A. Duarte, R. Lozano, M. Márquez, O. Porcel (Complejo Hospitalario Torrecárdenas); P. Menendez (Gutierrez Ortega); M. Fernández Hevia, L. Flores Sigüenza, M. Jimenez Toscano, A. Lacy Fortuny, J. Ordoñez Trujillo (Hospital Clínic de Barcelona); A. Espi, S. Garcia-Botello, J. Martín-Arévalo, D. Moro-Valdezate, V. Pla-Martí (Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valencia); F. Blanco-Antona (Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valladolid); J. Abrisqueta, N. Ibañez Canovas, J. Lujan Mompean (Hospital Clínico Universitario Virgen de la Arrixaca); D. Escolá Ripoll, S. Martinez Gonzalez, J. Parodi (Hospital Comarcal de Vilafranca); A. Fernández López, M. Ramos Fernández (Hospital Costa del Sol); J. Castellvi Valls, L. Ortiz de Zarate, R. Ribas, D. Sabia, L. Viso (Hospital de Sant Joan Despí Moisès Broggi); S. Alonso Gonçalves, M. José Gil

Egea, M. Pascual Damieta, M. Pera, S. Salvans Ruiz (Hospital del Mar); J. Bernal, F. Landete (Hospital General de Reguena); G. Ais, J. Etreros (Hospital General de Segovia); J. Aguiló Lucia, A. Boscá, S. Deusa, J. García del Caño, V. Viciano (Hospital Lluís Alcanyís); J. García-Armengol, J. Roig (Hospital NISA 9 de Octubre); J. Blas, J. Escartin, J. Fatás, J. Fernando, R. Ferrer (Hospital Royo Villanova); R. Arias Pacheco, L. García Flórez, M. Moreno Gijón, J. Otero Díez, L. Solar Garcia (Hospital San Agustin); F. Aguilar Teixido, C. Balaguer Ojo, J. Bargallo Berzosa, S. Lamas Moure (Hospital Terrassa); J. Enrique Sierra, A. Fermiñán, F. Herrerias, M. Rufas, J. Viñas (Hospital Universitari Arnau de Vilanova); A. Codina-Cazador, R. Farrés, N. Gómez, D. Julià, P. Planellas (Hospital Universitari de Girona Doctor Josep Trueta); J. López, A. Luna, C. Maristany, A. Muñoz Duyos, N. Puértolas (Hospital Universitari Mútua Terrassa); M. Alcantara Moral, X. Serra-Aracil (Hospital Universitari Parc Tauli de Sabadell); P. Concheiro Coello, D. Gómez (Hospital Universitario de A Coruña); C. Carton, A. Miguel, F. Reovo Pascual, X. Valero Cerrato, R. Zambrano Muñoz (Hospital Universitario de Burgos); J. Cervera-Aldama, J. García González, J. Ramos-Prada, M. Santamaría-Olabarrieta, A. Urigüen-Echeverría (Hospital Universitario de Cruces); R. Coves Alcover, J. Espinosa Soria, E. Fernandez Rodriguez, J. Hernandis Villalba, V. Maturana Ibañez (Hospital Universitario De Elda); F. De la Torre Gonzalez, D. Huerga, E. Pérez Viejo, A. Rivera, E. Ruiz Ucar (Hospital Universitario de Fuenlabrada); J. Garcia-Septiem, V. Jiménez, J. Jiménez Miramón, J. Ramons Rodriguez, V. Rodriguez Alvarez (Hospital Universitario de Getafe); A. Garcea, L. Ponchietti (Hospital Universitario de Torrevieja); N. Borda, J. Enriquez-Navascues, Y. Saralegui (Hospital Universitario Donostia); G. Febles Molina, E. Nogues, Á. Rodríguez Méndez, C. Rogue Castellano, Y. Sosa Quesada (Hospital Universitario Dr Negrín); M. Alvarez-Gallego, I. Pascual, I. Rubio-Perez, B. Diaz-San Andrés, F. Tone-Villanueva (Hospital Universitario La Paz); J. Alonso, C. Cagigas, J. Castillo, M. Gómez, J. Martín-Parra (Hospital Universitario Margués de Valdecilla); M. Mengual Ballester, E. Pellicer Franco, V. Soria Aledo, G. Valero Navarro (Hospital Universitario Morales Meseguer); E. Caballero Rodríguez, P. Gonzalez De Chaves, G. Hernandez, A. Perez Alvarez, A. Soto Sanchez, (Hospital Universitario Ntra Sra de Candelaria); F. Cesar Becerra Garcia, J. Guillermo Alonso Roque, F. López Rodríguez Arias, S. R. Del Valle Ruiz, G. Sánchez De La Villa (Hospital Universitario Rafael Méndez); A. Compañ, A. García Marín, C. Nofuentes, F. Orts Micó, V. Pérez Auladell (Hospital Universitario San Juan de Alicante); M. Carrasco, C. Dugue Perez, S. Gálvez-Pastor, I. Navarro Garcia, A. Sanchez Perez (Hospital Universitario Santa Lucía); D. Enjuto, F. Manuel Bujalance, N. Marcelin, M. Pérez, R. Serrano García (Hospital Universitario Severo Ochoa); A. Cabrera, F. de la Portilla, J. Diaz-Pavon, R. Jimenez-Rodriguez, J. Vazquez-Monchul (Hospital Universitario Virgen del Rocío); J. Daza González, R. Gómez Pérez, J. Rivera Castellano, J. Roldán de la Rua (Hospital Virgen de la Victoria); J. Errasti Alustiza, L. Fernandez, J. Romeo Ramirez, J. Sardon Ramos, B. Cermeño Toral (Hospital Universitario Araba); D. Alias, D. Garcia-Olmo, H. Guadalajara, M. Herreros, P. Pacheco (Quironsalud); F. del Castillo Díez F. Lima Pinto, J. Martínez Alegre, I. Ortega, A. Picardo Nieto Antonio (Infanta Sofia University Hospital); A. Caro, J. Escuder, F. Feliu, M. Millan (Joan XXIII University Hospital); R. Alos Company, A. Frangi Caregnato, R. Lozoya Trujillo, R. Rodríguez Carrillo, M. Ruiz Carmona (Sagunto); N. Alonso, D. Ambrona Zafra, B. Amilka Ayala Candia J. Bonnin Pascual, C. Pineño Flores (Son Espases); J. Alcazar Montero, M. Angoso Clavijo, J. Garcia, J. Sanchez Tocino (Universitario de Salamanca); C. Gómez-Alcazar, D. Costa-Navarro, J. Ferri-Romero, M. Rey-Riveiro, M. Romero-Simó (University General Hospital of Alicante); B. Arencibia, P. Esclapez, M. Frasson, E. García-Granero, P. Granero (University Hospital La Fe); F. J. Medina Fernández, A. B. Gallardo Herrera, C.

Diaz López, E. Navarro Rodriguez, E. Torres Tordera (University Hospital Reina Sofía de Córdoba); J. Arenal, M. Citores, J. Marcos, J. Sánchez, C. Tinoco (University Hospital Río Hortega); E. Espin, A. Garcia Granero, L. Jimenez Gomez, J. Sanchez Garcia, F. Vallribera (Valle de Hebron).

Sweden: J. Folkesson, F. Sköldberg (Akademiska Sjukhuset); K. Bergman, E. Borgström, J. Frey, A. Silfverberg, M. Söderholm (Blekingesjukhuset); J. Nygren, J. Segelman (Karolinska Institutet and Ersta Hospital); D. Gustafsson, A. Lagerqvist, A. Papp, M. Pelczar (Hudiksvalls Hospital); M. Abraham-Nordling, M. Ahlberg, A. Sjovall (Karolinska University Hospital); J. Tengstrom (Lidköping); K. Hagman (Ryhov County Hospital); A. Chabok, E. Ezra, M. Nikberg, K. Smedh, C. Tiselius (Västmanlands Hospital Västerås).

Switzerland: N. Al-Naimi, M. Dao Duc, J. Meyer, M. Mormont, F. Ris (Geneva University Hospitals); G. Prevost, P. Villiger (Kantonsspital Graubünden); H. Hoffmann, C. Kettelhack, P. Kirchhoff, D. Oertli, B. Weixler (University Hospital Basel).

Turkey: B. Aytac, S. Leventoglu, B. Mentes, O. Yuksel (Gazi University Medical School, Dep. of Surg); S. Demirbas (Gülhane Military Medical Academy, School of Medicine); B. Busra Ozkan, G. Selçuk Özbalci (Ondokuz Mayis University Medical Faculty); U. Sungurtekin (Pamukkale University School of Medicine); B. Gülcü, E. Ozturk, T. Yilmazlar (Uludag University School of Medicine Hospital).

UK: C. Challand, N. Fearnhead, R. Hubbard, S. Kumar (Addenbrooke's Hospital); J. Arthur, C. Barben, P. Skaife, S. Slawik, M. Williams (Aintree University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust); M. Zammit (Basildon Hospital); J. Barker, J. French, I. Sarantitis, C. Slawinski (Blackpool Victoria); R. Clifford, N. Eardley, M. Johnson, C. McFaul, D. Vimalachandran (Countess of Chester); S. Allan, A. Bell, E. Oates, V. Shanmugam (Darlington Memorial Hospital); A. Brigic (Doncaster Royal Infirmary); M. Halls, P. Pucher, B. Stubbs (Dorset County Hospital); T. Agarwal, A. Chopada, S. Mallappa, M. Pathmarajah, C. Sugden (Ealing Hospital); C. Brown, E. Macdonald, A. Mckay, J. Richards, A. Robertson (Forth Valley Royal Hospital); M. Kaushal, P. Patel, S. Tezas, N. Tougan (Furness General Hospital); S. Ayaani, K. Marimuthu, B. Piramanayagam, M. Vourvachis (George Eliot Hospitals NHS Trust); N. Iqbal, S. Korsgen, C. Seretis, U. Shariff (Good Hope Hosptal); S. Arnold, N. Battersby, H. Chan, E. Clark, R. Fernandes, B. Moran (Hampshire Hospitals NHS Trust); A. Bajwa, D. McArthur (Heartlands Hospital); K. Cao, P. Cunha, H. Pardoe, A. Quddus, K. Theodoropoulou (Homerton Hospital); C. Bolln, G. Denys, M. Gillespie, N. Manimaran, J. Reidy (Inverclyde Royal Hospital); A. I. Malik, A. Malik, J. Pitt (Ipswich Hospital NHS Trust); K. Aryal, A. El-Hadi, R. Lal, A. Pal, V. Velchuru (James Paget University Hospital); S. Chaudhri, M. Oliveira Cunha, B. Singh, M. Thomas (Leicester General Hospital); S. Bains, K. Boyle, A. Miller, M. Norwood, J. Yeung (Leicester Royal Infirmary); L. Goian, S. Gurjar, W. Saghir, N. Sengupta, E. Stewart-Parker (Luton & Dunstable Hospital); S. Bailey, T. Khalil, D. Lawes, S. Nikolaou, G. Omar (Maidstone and Tunbridge Wells NHS Trust); R. Church, B. Muthiah (Manor Hospital); W. Garrett, P. Marsh, N. Obeid (Medway Maritime Hospital); S. Chandler, P. Covne, M. Evans (Morriston Hospital); L. Hunt, J. Lim, Z. Oliphant, E. Papworth, H. Weaver, (Musgrove Park Hospital); K. Cuiñas Leon, G. Williams, (New Cross Hospital); J. Hernon, S. Kapur, R. Moosvi, I. Shaikh, L. Swafe (Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital); M. Aslam, J. Evans, U. Ihedioha, P. Kang, J. Merchant (Northampton General Hospital); R. Hompes, R. Middleton (Oxford University Hospitals); A. Broomfield, D. Crutten-Wood, J. Foster, G. Nash (Poole General Hospital); M. Akhtar, M. Boshnag, S.

Eldesouky, S. Mangam, M. Rabie (QEQM Hospital, EKHUF Trust); J. Ahmed, J. Khan, N. Ming Goh, A. Shamali, S. Stefan (Queen Alexandra Hospital); D. Nepogodiev, T. Pinkney, C. Thompson (Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham); A. Amin, J. Docherty, M. Lim, K. Walker, A. Watson (Raigmore Hospital); M. Hossack, N. Mackenzie, M. Paraoan (Royal Albert Edward Infirmary); N. Alam, I. Daniels, S. Narang, S. Pathak, N. Smart (Royal Devon and Exeter Hospital); A. Al-Qaddo, R. Codd, O. Rutka, G. Williams, (Royal Gwent Hospital); C. Bronder, I. Crighton, E. Davies, T. Raymond (Royal Lancaster Infirmary); L. Bookless, B. Griffiths, S. Plusa (Royal Victoria Infirmary); G. Carlson, R. Harrison, N. Lees, C. Mason, J. Quayle (Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust); G. Branagan, J. Broadhurst, H. Chave, S. Sleight (Salisbury District Hospital); F. Awad, A. Bhangu, N. Cruickshank, H. Joy (Sandwell General Hospital); C. Boereboom, P. Daliya, A. Dhillon, N. Watson, R. Watson (Sherwood Forest Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust); D. Artioukh, K. Gokul, M. Javed, R. Kong, J. Sutton (Southport & Ormskirk Hospital); O. Faiz, I. Jenkins, C. A. Leo, S. F. Samaranayake, J. Warusavitarne (St Mark's Hospital); S. Arya, C. Bhan, H. Mukhtar, A. Oshowo, J. Wilson (The Whittington Hospital); S. Duff, T. Fataver, J. Mbuvi, A. Sharma (University Hospital of South Manchester NHS Trust); J. Cornish, L. Davies, R. Harries, C. Morris, J. Torkington (University Hospital of Wales); J. Knight, C. Lai, O. Shihab, A. Tzivanakis (University Hospital Southampton); A. Hussain, D. Luke, R. Padwick, A. Torrance, A. Tsiamis (University Hospitals of North Midlands); P. Dawson (West Middlesex University Hospital); A. Balfour, R. Brady, J. Mander, H. Paterson (Western General Hospital); N. Chandratreya, H. Chu, J. Cutting, S. Vernon, C. Wai Ho (Weston General Hospital); S. Andreani, H. Patel, M. Warner, J. Yan Qi Tan (Whipps Cross University Hospital).

USA: A. Iqbal, A. Khan, K. Perrin, A. Raza, S. Tan (University Hospital of Florida).

# Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the European Crohn's and Colitis Organisation (ECCO) for endorsing the study and disseminating it to their surgical membership.

Thanks are given to Professor D Gourevitch for his diagram of resection margins.

## References

- 1. Impact of postoperative non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs on adverse events after gastrointestinal surgery. *Br J Surg* 2014; **101:** 1413-23.
- Mirnezami A, Mirnezami R, Chandrakumaran K, Sasapu K, Sagar P, Finan P.
   Increased local recurrence and reduced survival from colorectal cancer following anastomotic leak: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Surg* 2011; 253: 890-9.

- Bosma E, Pullens MJ, de Vries J, Roukema JA. The impact of complications on Quality of Life following colorectal surgery: a prospective cohort study to evaluate the Clavien-Dindo classification system. *Colorectal Dis* 2015
- Lindsay JO, Bergman A, Patel AS, Alesso SM, Peyrin-Biroulet L. Systematic review: the financial burden of surgical complications in patients with ulcerative colitis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2015; **41:** 1066-78.
- 5. Frasson M, Granero-Castro P, Ramos Rodriguez JL, Flor-Lorente B, Braithwaite M, Marti Martinez E, et al. Risk factors for anastomotic leak and postoperative morbidity and mortality after elective right colectomy for cancer: results from a prospective, multicentric study of 1102 patients. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2016; **31:** 105-14.
- Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP; STROBE Initiative. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. Lancet. 2007 Oct 20;370(9596):1453-7.
- Lo SK, Li IT, Tsou TS, See L. Non-significant in univariate but significant in multivariate analysis: a discussion with examples. Changgeng Yi Xue Za Zhi. 1995 Jun;18(2):95-101.
- European Society of Coloproctology Cohort Study Committee. 2016 pan\_European snapshot audit: closure of intestinal stoma. Available at http://www.escp.eu.com/research/cohort-studies/2016-audit
- Bruce J, Krukowski ZH, Al-Khairy G, Russell EM, Park KG. Systematic review of the definition and measurement of anastomotic leak after gastrointestinal surgery. *Br J Surg* 2001; 88: 1157-68.
- McDermott FD, Arora S, Smith J, Steele RJC, Carlson GL, Winter DC. Issues in professional practice: Prevention, diagnosis and management of colorectal anastomotic leakage. London: Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland; 2016. Available at: http://www.acpgbi.org.uk/content/uploads/2016/03/managementof-colorectal-anastomtic-leakage.pdf
- 11. Kulu Y, Ulrich A, Bruckner T, Contin P, Welsch T, Rahbari NN, et al. Validation of the International Study Group of Rectal Cancer definition and severity grading of anastomotic leakage. *Surgery* 2013; **153**: 753-61.
- 12. Caulfield H, Hyman NH. Anastomotic leak after low anterior resection: a spectrum of clinical entities. *JAMA Surg* 2013; **148:** 177-82.

- Nicksa GA, Dring RV, Johnson KH, Sardella WV, Vignati PV, Cohen JL. Anastomotic leaks: what is the best diagnostic imaging study? *Dis Colon Rectum* 2007; **50:** 197-203.
- 14. Bakker IS, Grossmann I, Henneman D, Havenga K, Wiggers T. Risk factors for anastomotic leakage and leak-related mortality after colonic cancer surgery in a nationwide audit. *Br J Surg* 2014; **101:** 424-32; discussion 32.
- Choy PY, Bissett IP, Docherty JG, Parry BR, Merrie A, Fitzgerald A. Stapled versus handsewn methods for ileocolic anastomoses. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2011: CD004320.

Figure 1: Classification of anastomotic leak

Grade A - Anastomotic leakage requiring no active intervention (diagnosed radiologically)

Grade B - Anastomotic leakage requiring active radiological intervention but manageable without surgical re-intervention

Grade C - Anastomotic leakage requiring surgical re-intervention

NB - Highest score during follow up; e.g. Grade C if percutaneous drainage is followed by laparotomy

Figure 2: Extent of resection – distal resection (colonic) margins as allocated on postoperative CRF



