10.5005/jp-journals-10024-2476

ORIGINAL RESERACH



Fracture Resistance and Failure Pattern of Endodontically Treated Teeth Restored with Computer-aided Design/ Computer-aided Manufacturing Post and Cores: A Pilot Study

¹Rita Eid, ²Jelena Juloski, ³Hani Ounsi, ⁴Munir Silwaidi, ⁵Marco Ferrari, ⁶Ziad Salameh

ABSTRACT

Aim: To evaluate the fracture resistance and failure pattern of custom made computer-aided design & computer-aided manufacturing (CAD/CAM) post and cores using a fiber reinforced composite material (FRC) and a high-density-polymer.

Materials and methods: Thirty extracted mandibular second premolars were selected, endodontically treated and prepared to receive the posts. The specimens were randomly divided into three groups (n = 10) according to each material: group 1 (RXP) : fiber posts (Rely X, 3M-ESPE) with composite core build-up (Filtek Bulk Fill Posterior, 3M-ESPE) as a control group; group 2 (BLC): one-piece milled post and core from fiber reinforced composite blocks (Trilor, Bioloren); and group 3 (AMC): one-piece milled post and core from hybrid ceramic disks (Ambarino, Creamed). All the posts were cemented using a self-adhesive resin cement (Rely X U200, 3M ESPE). Fracture resistance was tested using a universal testing machine, failure patterns were then observed visually and radiographically then evaluated under SEM. Data was analyzed using One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tamhane post-hoc test in order to determine significant differences among groups ($\alpha = 0.05$).

¹Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Lebanese University, Beirut, Lebanon

²Department of Pediatrics and Preventive Dentistry, Faculty of Dental Medicine, University of Belgrade, Serbia

³Department of Restorative Dentistry and Endodontics, Faculty of Dental Medicine Lebanese University, Beirut, Lebanon

⁴Department of Prosthodontics, Silwaidi Dental Center, Abu Dhabi, Digital Dentistry Unit, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Lebanese University, Beirut, Lebanon

⁵Department of Medical Biotechnologies, Division of Fixed Prosthodontics, University of Siena, Siena, Italy

⁶Research Center, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Lebanese University, Beirut, Lebanon

Corresponding Author: Rita Eid, Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Lebanese University, Beirut, Lebanon, Mobile: 09613695576, e-mail: ritayeid@yahoo.com **Results:** The mean fracture resistance values were: 426.08 ± 128.26 N for group 1 (R X P), 367.06 ± 72.34 N for group 2 (BLC), and 620.02 ± 54.29 N for group 3 (AMC). Statistical analysis revealed that group 3 (AMC) had the highest mean load to fracture in comparison to the other groups (p = 0.000). failures were cohesive in group 2 and 3 and mixed in group 1 with no catastrophic failures reported in all groups.

Conclusion: All systems evaluated presented sufficient mean load-to-failure values for endodontically treated teeth restorations.CAD/CAM post and cores made from high-density-polymer showed a better performance than prefabricated fiber posts.

Keywords: One-piece post and core, Fiber reinforced composite, High-density polymer, Fracture resistance, Laboratory test.

How to cite this article: Eid R, Juloski J, Ounsi H, Silwaidi M, Ferrari M, Salameh Z. Fracture Resistance and Failure Pattern of Endodontically Treated Teeth Restored with Computer-aided Design/Computer-aided Manufacturing Post and Cores: A Pilot Study. J Contemp Dent Pract 2019;20(1):56-63.

Source of support: Nil

Conflict of interest: None

INTRODUCTION

Different modalities for restoring endodontically treated teeth (ETT) are guided by strength and esthetics.¹ A post is indicated when the remaining coronal structure of the tooth can no longer provide adequate retention and support for the restoration.^{2,3} For decades, cast gold posts and cores have been considered the gold standard thanks to their favorable long-term prognosis;^{4,5} however they might compromise the esthetic outcome when used with all-ceramic crowns especially with high-translucency ceramics or when the thickness available is less than 1.5 mm.⁶ Moreover, their cost remains relatively high compared to other treatment options. FRC posts are known for their superior esthetic qualities



and their elastic modulus close to that of dentin⁷ and allow for fewer irreparable root fractures and esthetic problems as compared to metallic posts.^{8,9} In addition, when compared to ETT with no root canal retention, teeth restored with FRC posts showed better fracture resistance and more favorable failure patterns^{10,11} with debonding being the most common failure type.¹²⁻¹⁴ Improvements in this field were possible as several trials^{15,16} studied the effect of customizing FRC posts and concluded that higher bond strength values were due to the presence of a thin and uniform resin cement layer, and increased retention due to better adaptation to the post space. Furthermore, cohesive failure of the core restoration was also described and was due to the flexure of the post inducing stress on the composite resin core.¹⁷⁻²⁰ The establishment of reliable bonds at the root, post, and core interfaces are critical for the clinical success of a post retained restoration.²¹

With the introduction of CAD/CAM technology, Bittner et al.¹ described the milling of one-piece zirconia Post and cores and concluded an acceptable load bearing capacity in comparison with gold posts and cores, however the use of zirconia posts has been limited due to their high modulus of elasticity (200 GPa), stiffness, hardness that can result in catastrophic failures,²² and difficult retrievability.¹ Pressable ceramic posts and cores were also studied²³ and showed acceptable results with 1.7 mm post diameter, while the 1.4 mm diameter did not show satisfactory fracture resistance value. Recently, resin composite and FRC blocks have been introduced for use with CAD/CAM systems as an alternative for machinable ceramics.^{24,25}CAD/CAM resin composite restorations have several advantages over their ceramic counter parts: they are milling-damage tolerant, which allows for faster milling and better marginal quality,²⁶ and no post-milling firing is required. In fact, CAD/CAM composites show an elasticity modulus closer to that of dentin than ceramics, and the property of absorbing masticatory forces.²⁷ Limited data exist regarding the behavior of these new CAD/CAM materials when used as posts and cores.

The study aims to compare the fracture resistance and failure pattern of teeth restored with CAD/CAM custom posts and cores made from a fiber reinforced composite, and a high-density polymer to teeth restored using prefabricated fiber posts.

The null hypothesis tested was that there are no differences in the fracture strength and failure pattern of teeth restored with milled or prefabricated posts and cores.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was approved by the ethical committee of the Lebanese University (124/112018). Thirty extracted singlerooted mandibular premolars free of cracks and caries were stored in 0.5% chloramine solution (Chloramine T, Honeywell Riedel de-Haen, Seelze, Germany) for less than 2 months. The root length of each tooth was measured from the cemento-enamel junction (CEJ) to the apex on the buccal side, and the diameter of the teeth was measured buccolingually and mesiodistally at the CEJ using a vernier caliper (Insize Co, Ltd). Lengths between 12 and 14 mm were accepted. Teeth with more than 2 mm variations in terms of mesiodistal and buccolingual diameter were discarded. Teeth were cleaned with an ultrasonic scaler (Mectron S.P.A, Carasco, Italy)and stored in saline solution for no longer than 2 months before testing. Anatomic crowns of the teeth were cut parallel to CEJ at an equal distance of 1.5 mm with a water-cooled low-speed diamond saw (Isomet, Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA). Root canals were prepared using ProTaper Next nickel-titanium rotary instruments (Dentsply-Sirona Endodontics, Ballaigues, Switzerland) to X3 (30/.07) at a working length of 0.5 mm from the apex with 5.25% sodium hypochlorite irrigation. Canals were then dried with paper points (DiaDent Group, Burnabay, BC, Canada) and obturated with gutta-percha points (DiaDent) and canal sealer AH Plus (Dentsply-DeTrey, Konstanz, Germany) using warm vertical compaction. Access was sealed with glass ionomer cement (Fuji IILC, GC, Tokyo, Japan) and stored in water for 48 hours to allow for complete setting of the sealer. Each specimen was embedded in an auto-polymerizing acrylic resin (Novacryl, Uredent, Carabobo, Venezuela) 2 mm under the CEJ to simulate bone level and placed perpendicular to the long axis of the root using a dental surveyor. Coronal preparation was standardized with a 1 mm chamfer finish line and a ferrule of 1.5 mm usinga dental surveyor, and then the dentinal walls thickness was verified using a digital caliper with 0.01 mm accuracy (Insize Co, Ltd). Samples with less than 1 mm thickness were considered fragile, discarded, and replaced.

Post space was prepared at a constant length of 9 mm from the coronal limit of the preparation for all teeth using Gates-Glidden. Largo drills were then used gradually (size 1 to 3, Dentsply-Sirona Endodontics) to homogenize the shape and remove residual gutta-percha. The specimens were prepared by one operator and randomly divided into 3 groups of 10 teeth each: group 1 (RXP)–prefabricated posts and composite core build-ups used as control group, group 2 (BLC)–custom milled fiber-reinforced posts and cores, group 3 (AMC)–custom milled high-density polymer posts and cores (materials are listed in Table 1).

 Table 1: Group distribution by material and manufacturer

Group #	Material	Manufacturer	Composition
1	Rely X® fiber Post	3M-ESPE, St Paul, MN, USA	Epoxy resin matrix:32% Glass fibers:67%, Zirconium and Strontium fillers
2	Trilor®	Bioloren, Saronno, Italy	Epoxy resin matrix (25% vol), multi directional glass fiber reinforcement (75% vol)
3	Ambarino® High Class	Creamed, Marburg, Germany	Highly crosslinked Bis- GMA, UDMA, BODMA matrix 70.1wt% inorganic silica nanofillers

For group 1 (RXP) canal spaces were rinsed with distilled water then dried with paper points (DiaDent Group). The posts were cleaned with alcohol and cemented with self-adhesive resin cement (Rely-X U200 Automix, 3M-ESPE, Seefeld, Germany) as per manufacturer recommendations. Elongation tips were used to place the cement in the canal to avoid air bubbles. Excess cement was removed after light polymerization (Elipar S10 LED curing light, 3M ESPE) for 40 seconds on the tip of the post. The core build-up was prepared using nano-filled composite (FiltekBulk-fill Posterior, 3M-ESPE) 4 mm above the coronal walls with a 30-degrees angulation of the buccal cusp slope to the long axis of the tooth verified using a dental surveyor.

For groups 2 (BLC) and 3 (AMC) all prepared canal spaces were lubricated with a minimum die lubricant (Picosep, Renfert, Hilzingen, Germany). Direct resin patterns were fabricated (Pattern Resin, GC America, Alsip IL, USA) maintaining an equal length of 4 mm above the ferrule with a 30-degrees angulation of the buccal cusp slope to the long axis of the tooth verified on the dental surveyor. The resin patterns were sprayed with a scan powder (IPS Contrast Spray; Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein) and scanned with a lab scanner (Imetric 1041, Courtenay, Switzerland). After scanning, digitized data collected were transmitted to a special digital software (Exocad dental CAD, ExocadGmbH, Darmstadt, Germany) where a design was conceived for each post, after what a CAM software (WorkNC Dental, Frankfurt, Germany) was used to develop the milling sequence for each of the materials used. The posts were undersized by 80 microns to compensate for the thickness of the scanning spray and the luting resin cement. The customized posts and cores (groups 2 and 3) were milled using a 5-axes milling machine (Datron D5, Datron AG, Darmstadt, Germany). The machine movement was adjusted by decreasing the Z step and lateral step over speed to reduce lateral bending movement leading to the fracture of the post on its apical end. Burs of 2.5 mm, 1 mm and 0.6 mm were used (Datron AG). In group 2 (BLC), blocks were used since the unidirectional fibers responsible of stress dissipation are concentrated in the long axis of the blocks (Fig. 1A) and posts were silanized (Rely X ceramic primer, 3M ESPE) as per manufacturer recommendations. As for group 3 (AMC)posts were dry milled from disks (Fig. 1B), sand blasted with 50 µ aluminum oxide particles and silanized (Rely X ceramic primer, 3M ESPE) following the manufacturer recommendations. All the post and cores (group 2-RXP and 3-AMC) were cemented in their respective canal spaces previously irrigated with distilled water and dried with paper points using a self-adhesive resin cement (Rely-X U200 Automix, 3M-ESPE, Seefeld, Germany). Elongation tips were used to place the cement in the canal to avoid air bubbles. Excess cement was removed after light polymerization (Elipar S10 LED curing light, 3M ESPE) for 40 seconds on each axial wall of the tooth.

After cementation, the load to fracture test was performed using a universal testing machine with mounting jig (Triax Digital 50, Controls, Milan, Italy). The specimens were loaded on the slope of the buccal cusp 2 mm from the tip of the cusp toward the central fossa with a steel rounded tip of 2.5 mm diameter. The load was applied parallel to the longitudinal axis of the tooth at a crosshead speed of 1 mm/min until failure. The load was measured in Newton (N). The mean failure load for each group was calculated. The mode of failure was determined visually and radiographically, then three random samples of each group were evaluated under scanning electron microscopy (SEM).



Figs 1A and B : (A) Representative figure custom milled post and core Trilor; (B) Representative figure custom milled post and core Ambarino

Fracture Resistance of CAD-CAM Post and Cores

Data were analyzed using statistical analysis software (IBM SPSS Statistics 2015, Ontario, Canada). One-way ANOVA was used followed by Tamhane post-hoc test to determine significant differences among groups. The significance level was set at 0.05.

RESULTS

The mean load to fracture was 426.08 N(SD = 128.26) for group 1 (RXP), 367.06N (SD = 72.34) for group 2 (BLC), and 620.02N (SD = 54.29) for group 3 (AMC) (Table 2). Statistical differences were found between groups 1 (RXP), 2 (BLC) and group 3 (AMC) (p < 0.001 and p < 0.000 respectively). No differences were found between group 1 (RXP) and 2 (BLC) (p = 0.545). The mode of failure for each

Table 2: Mean and standard deviation of the 3 groups

Group #	n	Mean fracture resistance (N)		
1 (control)	10	426.08 ^b		
2	10	367.06 ^b		
3	10	620.02 ^a		

^{a,b}One-way ANOVA revealed significant differences (p<0.01) Different letters indicate significant differences



test group is listed in Table 3. Regarding group 1 (RXP) all specimens displayed mixed failure patterns and partial core debonding and core fracture (Figs 2A and B) with no fracture of the tooth, the SEM of the fractured specimens showed a failure at the interface between the post surface and the bonding agent used (Fig. 2C). As for group 2 (BLC) posts and cores, the mode of failure was cohesive within the core: a crack in the coronal part was observed visually and radiographically without any damage to the tooth structure (Figs 3A and B). The SEM revealed rupture of fibers by the failure of the interface between the fiber and the surrounding matrix (Fig. 3C). Group 3

	-					-		
Table	3:	Failure	mode	of th	ne difl	ferent	group	วร

		5 1
	Number of	
Group #	specimens	Mode of failure
1 (n=10)	10	Partial core debonding and core
		Crack in the core without tooth
2 (n=10)	10	involvement
3 (n=10)	8	Partial core fracture without tooth involvement
	2	Partial core and tooth fracture above the CEJ





Figs 2A to C: (A) Representative photograph showing a crack in the core (arrow) and between the post and the core build up (arrow); (B) Radiograph displaying the extent of the crack (arrow); (C): SEM micrograph revealing failure at the interface between the bonding and the fiber post surface (C: Composite; B: Bonding; FP: Fiber post).



Figs 3A to C: (A) Representative photograph showing a crack in the core (arrow); (B): Radiograph displaying the extent of the crack (arrow); (C): SEM micrograph revealing a rupture of fibers by failure of the interface between the fiber and the surrounding matrix (A: Occlusal surface; B: Rupture of fibers).

(AMC) showed a cohesive fracture of the core starting from the point of the force application was observed for all samples, minimal fracture of the tooth was observed in two samples above the CEJ (Figs 4A and B). No tooth fracture or post fracture were reported in any of the specimens. SEM revealed a radial crack located below the surface point of load application on the occlusal surface of the post that fanned out as it propagated apically (Fig. 4C).

DISCUSSION

The results of this study led to the rejection of the null hypothesis tested. This study aimed to assess CAD/CAM esthetic materials and milling technique in customized post and core. The two tested materials are not known for the post and core indication. This proof of concept study aimed primarily to test the capability of new materials to withstand masticatory loads and compare their mechanical behavior to that of FRC prefabricated esthetic posts. In fact FRC posts show higher properties in bending and in tensile but their compressive strength is the most affected because the fibers have less side support by the resin and tend to fail at lower applied loads.²⁸ A vertical load to fracture seems to test more the cohesive properties of these materials and to distribute the stress more evenly between the dental tissues and the restorative material simulating a physiological occlusion.² Consequently, full coverage crowns were eliminated as they are known to increase the fracture resistance of ETT with fiber posts.²⁰

This study was performed on extracted mandibular premolars and although the care was taken to ensure comparable dimensions, differences in dimensions might still have affected the maximal load to failure.

For group 1 (RXP), the largest post that reached the working length with mild friction was selected and cut 4 mm coronal to the prepared ferrule with a diamond disk. This technique aimed to conserve the root dentinal substance simulating the clinical situation and to maximize comparability with groups 2 (BLC) and 3 (AMC). Mixed failures were probably due to the dichotomous structure of prefabricated post restorations, the concentrated stress at the interface between post and core can lead to such failures. However, although several papers reported debonding as the main reason for

Fracture Resistance of CAD-CAM Post and Cores



Figs 4A to C: (A) Representative photograph displaying the fracture in the core (arrow); (B) Radiograph displaying the extent of the fracture in the core (arrow); (C) SEM micrograph revealing a radial crack located below the surface point of load application on the occlusal surface of the post that fanned out as it propagated apically (OS: Occlusal surface, CS: Crack surface).

prefabricated post failure,^{12,29,30} others reported fracture of the core as mode of failure,^{20,31,32} In a randomized clinical trial Ferrari et al.³³ compared prefabricated posts with customized ones in relation to the residual coronal dentin. They concluded that the placement of prefabricated or a customized post contributed to the survival of pulpless teeth with better behavior for the prefabricated group. Most of the failures observed was debonding of the post in the prefabricated group and the post/core fracture in the customized group. Milling a one-piece post and core results in avoiding the interface between the post and the core while ensuring a better adaptation of the radicular part of the post thus creating more favorable conditions for the retention of the post.³⁴

Ambarino® high-class is high-density-polymer composed of a radiolucent, ultra-hard composite material using an optimized, highly cross-linked Bis-GMA, UDMA matrix containing 70.1% wt of inorganic silica nanofillers.³⁵This composition enhances the strength of the material,³⁶offers better resilience and fracture toughness in comparison to ceramics by enhancing the dissipative characteristic and subsequently the resistance to fracture. Also, according to the manufacturer, the flexural strength would be around 175 MPa and compression strength around 500 MPa. When subjected to compression, the glassy polymer undergoes a craze initiation that will eventually develop into a crack when the force exceeds the creep limit of the material, craze initiation serves as a sign of failure.³⁷In the present study, SEM images of the fractured specimens revealed the presence of a radial crack originating at the point of application of the occlusal load, and that fanned out as it propagated apically. These findings are in accordance with Aboushelib et al.³⁸ who tested survival of ceramics and polymers under fatigue. Moreover, and according to the manufacturer, Ambarino® disks have a modulus of elasticity of 10 GPa, which is inferior to the elastic modulus of dentin. This may explain the mode of failure observed since the core fractured mostly by macro-crack propagation without involving the residual coronal dentin thus resulting in a favorable failure mode.

According to the manufacturer, Trilor[®] is formed of an epoxy resin matrix (25% vol) and multidirectional glass fibers reinforcement (75% vol) that simulate in multi-

Rita Eid et al.

dimensional configuration the texture of a fabric, with a flexural strength of 540 MPa and an elasticity modulus of 26 GPa. Fibers are silanized before being embedded in the resin matrix. Fiber-reinforced materials are known to gain their mechanical properties from the matrix and the fibers as well as the strength of the bond at the interface between them. Good interfacial bonding can ensure efficient load transfer from the matrix to the reinforcing fibers, thus enhancing the mechanical behavior of the composite materials.³⁹

Grandini et al.⁴⁰ observed under SEM the surface of fiber posts cut with a diamond bur. The tips of the posts were irregular, and some bur marks were observed close to the surface borders. They assumed that it was because the posts were not cut against a surface, which would create a mechanical resistance load opposed to the cut direction. This might occur when a block of fiber is milled on a milling machine. Potential stress could actually occur on the cutting surface of the post and core and cutting of the fibers might have affected the interface between the fibers and the matrix and compromised the mechanical properties of the initial blocks. This agrees with the findings of Baran et al.41 who concluded that in case of failure of fiber reinforced materials, cracks do not propagate for a long distance within the matrix before reaching the fiber interface. The strength gradient at the interface between the matrix and filler will represent the crack-growth rate better than the crack propagation rate determined for the matrix alone. Following local matrix and interface failure surrounding a dispersed fiber, the fiber itself ruptures; then, the load is transferred to neighboring fibers, which in turn rupture. After a critical density of single-fiber failures is attained, the fracture of the body takes place. Failures may also be localized within a specific domain, and this damage is termed "brush-like cracking", from which the ultimate failure of the body proceeds.⁴² These findings are in accordance with the SEM images observed on the crack surface of Trilor[®] posts and cores after failure. It might, therefore, be concluded that the milling procedure might have compromised the mechanical properties of group 2 (BLC).

More studies should be conducted and include factors such as full-coverage crowns, the aging process, and bond strength tests to better simulate *in vivo* conditions.

CONCLUSION

Within the limitations of this *in vitro* study, the following conclusions can be drawn:

• One-piece post and core can be milled successfully from FRC blocks and high-density-polymer material disks using CAD/CAM technology

• High-density-polymer material custom made posts and cores behaved better with regard to fracture resistance than prefabricated fiber post with composite core build up and custom made FRC posts and cores.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Dr Charbel Bou Nassif for contributing to specimen preparation, and Mr. Khaled Azzam for assistance with the laboratory work.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bittner N, Hill T, Randi A. Evaluation of a one-piece milled zirconia post and core with different post-and core systems: an in vitro study. J Prosthet Dent 2010;103(6):369-379
- 2. Assif D, Bitenski A, Pilo R, Oren E. Effect of post design on resistance to fracture of endodontically treated teeth with complete crowns. The Journal of prosthetic dentistry. 1993 Jan 1;69(1):36-40.
- 3. Morgano S, Rodrigues A, Sabrosa CE. Restoration of endodontically treated teeth. Dent Clin North Am 2004;48(2):397-416
- 4. Bergman B, Lundquist P, Sjo U. Restorative and endodontic results after treatment with cast posts and cores. The Journal of prosthetic dentistry. 1989 Jan 1;61(1):10-15.
- 5. Creugers NH, Mentink AG, Kayser AF. An analysis of durability data on post and core restorations. J Dent 1993;21(5):281-284
- 6. Vichi A, Ferrari M, Davidson CL. Influence of ceramic and cement thickness on the masking of various types of opaque posts. J Prosthet Dent 2000;83(4):412-417
- Schmitter M, Rammelsberg P, Gabbert O, Ohlmann B. Influence of clinical baseline findings on the survival of 2 post systems: a randomized clinical trial. Int J Prosthodont. 2007 Mar-Apr;20(2):173-178.
- King PA, Setchell DJ, Rees JS. Clinical evaluation of a carbon fiber reinforced carbon endodontic post. J Oral Rehabil 2003;30(8):785-789
- Ferrari M, Vichi A, Mannocci F, Mason PN. Retrospective study of the clinical performance of fiber posts. Am J Dent. 2000 May;13(Spec No):9B-13B.
- Fokkinga WA, Le Bell AM, Kreulen CM, Lassila LV, Vallittu PK, Creugers NH. Ex vivo fracture resistance of direct resin composite complete crowns with and without posts on maxillary premolars. International endodontic journal. 2005 Apr;38(4):230-237.
- Salameh Z, Sorrentino R, Papacchini F, Ounsi HF, Tashkandi E, Goracci C, et al. Fracture resistance and failure patterns of endodontically treated mandibular molars restored using resin composite with or without translucent glass fiber posts. Journal of endodontics. 2006 Aug 1;32(8):752-755.
- Cagidiaco MC, Goracci C, Garcia-Godoy F, Ferrari M. Clinical studies of fiber posts: a literature review. International Journal of Prosthodontics. 2008 Jul 1;21(4):328-336.
- 13. Crysanticagidiaco M, FRANKLINGARCIA-GODOY DD, Alessandrovichi DD. Placement of fiber prefabricated or custom made posts affects the 3-year survival of endodontically treated premolars. Dent. 2008;21:179-184.
- 14. Marchionatti AM, Wandscher VF, Rippe MP, Kaizer OB, Valandro LF. Clinical performance and failure modes of pulpless teeth restored with posts: a systematic review. Brazilian Oral Research. 2017;31(3):e64.

Fracture Resistance of CAD-CAM Post and Cores

- Faria-e-Silva AL, Pedrosa-Filho CD, Menezes MD, Silveira DM, Martins LR. Effect of relining on fiber post retention to root canal. Journal of Applied Oral Science. 2009 Dec;17(6):600-604.
- Marcos RM, Kinder GR, Alfredo E, Quaranta T, Correr GM, Cunha LF, et al. Influence of the resin cement thickness on the push-out bond strength of glass fiber posts. Brazilian dental journal. 2016 Oct;27(5):592-598.
- Martinez-Insua A, Da Silva L, Rilo B, Santana U. Comparison of the fracture resistances of pulpless teeth restored with a cast post and core or carbon-fiber post with a composite core. The Journal of prosthetic dentistry. 1998 Nov 1;80(5):527-352.
- Cormier CJ, Burns DR, Moon P. In vitro comparison of the fracture resistance and failure mode of fiber, ceramic and conventional post systems at various stages of restoration. J Prosthodont 2001;10(1):26-36
- 19. Pegoretti A, Fambri L, Zappini G, Bianchetti M. Finite element analysis of a glass fiber reinforced composite endodontic post. Biomaterials 2002;23(13):2667-2682
- 20. Amarnath GS, Swetha MU, Muddugangadhar BC, Sonika R, Garg A, Rao TR. Effect of Post Material and Length on Fracture Resistance of Endodontically Treated Premolars: An In-Vitro Study. J Int Oral Health 2015;7(7):22-28
- 21. Vano M, Goracci C, Monticelli F, Tognini F, Gabriele M, Tay FR, Ferrari M. The adhesion between fibre posts and composite resin cores: the evaluation of microtensile bond strength following various surface chemical treatments to posts. Int Endod J 2006;39(1):31-39
- 22. Fernandes AS, Dessai GS. Factors affecting the fracture resistance of post-core reconstructed teeth: a review. Int J Prosthodont 2001;14(4):355-363
- 23. Soundar SI, Suneetha TJ, Angelo MC, Kovoor LC. Analysis of fracture resistance of endodontically treated teeth restored with different post and core system of variable diameters: an in vitro study. J Indian Prosthodont Soc 2014;14(4):144-150
- 24. Shembish FA, Tong H, Kaizer M, Janal MN, Thompson VP, Opdam NJ, Zhang Y. Fatigue resistance of CAD/CAM resin composite molar crowns. Dental Materials. 2016 Apr 1;32(4):499-509.
- Kunzelmann KH, Jelen B, Mehl A, Hickel R. Wear evaluation of mz100 compared to ceramic CAD/CAM materials. Int J Comput Dent 2001;4(3):171-184.
- 26. Giordano R. Materials for chairside CAD/CAM-produced restorations. JADA 2006;9(137Suppl):14S-21S
- 27. Mainjot AK, Dupont NM, Oudkerk JC, Dewael TY, Sadoun-MJI. From Artisanal to CAD-CAM Blocks: State of the Art of Indirect Composites. J Dent Res 2016;95(5):487-495

- Chieruzzi M, Pagano S, Pennacchi M, Lombardo G, D' Errico P, Kenny JM. Compressive and flexural behaviour of fibre reinforced endodontic posts. J Dent. 2012;40(11):968-978
- 29. Ferrari M, Breschi L, GrandiniS. Fiber posts and endodontically treated teeth: A compendium of scientific and clinical perspectives. 1st Ed. Modern Dentistry Media; 2008. p.125-126
- Naumann M, Blankenstein F, Kiessling S, Dietrch T. Risk factors for failure of glass fiber-reinforced composite post restorations: a prospective observational clinical study. Eur J Oral Sci 2005;113(6):519-524
- Gencel Z, Ersu B, Senyilmaz DP. Influence of One-Piece (Monoblock) Fibreglass Post Design on the Fracture Resistance of Extensively Damaged Teeth: an Ex vivo Study. Br J Med Med Res 2015;8(1):22-29
- 32. Costa RG, De Morais EC, Campos EA, Michel MD, Gonzaga CC, Correr GM. Customized fiber glass posts. Fatigue and fracture resistance. Am J Dent 2012;25(1):35-38.
- Ferrari M, Vichi A, Fadda GM, Cagidiaco MC, Tay FR, Breschi L, et al. A randomized controlled trial of endodontically treated and restored premolars. Journal of dental research. 2012 Jul;91(7):S72-S78.
- 34. D'Arcangelo C, Cinelli M, de Angelis F, D'Amario M. The effect of resin cement film thickness on the pullout strength of a fiber-reinforced post system. J Prosthet Dent 2007;98(3):193-198.
- Guth GF, Zuch T, Zwing S, Engels J, Stimmelmayr M, Edelhoff D. Optical properties of manually and CAD/CAM-fabricated polymers. Dental Mater J 2013; 32(6):865-871
- Porto T, Roperto R, Akkus A, Akkus O, Porto-Neto S, Teich S, et al. Mechanical properties and DIC analyses of CAD/ CAM materials. J Clin Exp Dent 2016;8(5):e512- e516.
- Freiden AB, Goldman A. Appearance of crazing and shear bands as deformation phase, transition in glassy polymers. Mechanics of Composite Materials 1985;20(5):517-523
- Aboushelib M, Elsafi M. Survival of resin infiltrated ceramics under influence of fatigue. Dental Materials 2016;32(4):529-534
- 39. Grandini S, Chieffi N, Cagidiaco MC, Goracci C, Ferrari M. Fatigue resistanceandstructural integrity of different types of fiber posts. Dent Mater J 2008;27(5):687-694.
- 40. Grandini S, Balleri P, Ferrari M. Scanning Electron Microscopic Investigation of the Surface of Fiber Posts After Cutting. J Endodont 2002;28(8):610-612
- 41. Baran G, Boberick K, McCool J. Fatigue of Restorative Materials. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med 2001;12(4):350-360.
- Bolotin VV. Mechanics of fatigue. Boca Raton, FL, CRC Press, 1999, pp. 386.