

Clin Oral Invest (2016) 20:1295-1296 DOI 10.1007/s00784-015-1628-8



ERRATUM

Erratum to: Comparison of pulpal anesthesia and cardiovascular parameters with lidocaine with epinephrine and lidocaine with clonidine after maxillary infiltration in type 2 diabetic volunteers

Marija S. Milic¹ · Bozidar Brkovic¹ · Elena Krsljak² · Dragica Stojic³

Published online: 22 October 2015 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2015

Erratum to: Clinical Oral Investigations DOI 10.1007/s00784-015-1610-5

The original version of the above article contained a mistake in the arrangement of Table 2. Below is the correct table.

The online version of the original article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/s00784-015-1610-5.

Dragica Stojic dragica.stojic@stomf.bg.ac.rs

Clinic of Oral Surgery, School of Dental Medicine, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

Department of Physiology, School of Dental Medicine, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

Department of Pharmacology in Dentistry, School of Dental Medicine, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

Table 2 Pulpal blood flow

Observation time (min)	Participants					p ^a		
	Healthy (n=36)		Diabetic (n=36)					
	H-LC	H-LE	DM-LC	DM-LE	p ^b	Group difference	Time course	Interaction
0 (baseline)	4.74±1.45	4.40±1.33	4.99±1.61	5.19±1.66	0.094	0.037	< 0.001	< 0.001
5	$3.52\pm1.60*$	2.87±1.16*#	4.26±1.41*	$4.40\pm1.63*$	0.001			
10	3.19±1.57*	2.45±1.05**	$3.82\pm1.32*$	3.94±1.72*	0.001			
15	$2.90 \pm 1.23*$	$2.26 \pm 0.98 *$	$3.30 \pm 1.36*$	$3.55 \pm 1.52*$	0.001			
30	$3.29 \pm 1.34*$	2.89±1.13*	3.75±1.53*	3.27±1.37*	0.065			
45	3.80±1.12*	3.66±1.25*	4.29±1.59*#	3.34±1.30*	0.025			
60	4.57 ± 1.37	4.31 ± 1.37	$4.79 \pm 1.83^{\#}$	3.27±1.29*	0.005			
p ^c	0.001	< 0.001	0.005	< 0.001				

Values given as mean \pm SD in perfusion units (PU)



n number of participants, H Healthy participants, DM Diabetes Mellitus Type 2, LC Lidocaine with Clonidine, LE Lidocaine with Epinephrine

^a Two-way repeated measures ANOVA for main effects and interaction, ^b one-way ANOVA, Tuckey post hoc ($^{\#}p$ <0.05, H-LE vs. DM-LE 5th min, 10th min, 15th min; DM-LC vs. DM-LE 45th min, 60th min), ^c one-way repeated measures ANOVA, Bonferroni post hoc ($^{*}p$ <0.05, 0 (baseline) vs. observed time interval)