American University in Cairo

AUC Knowledge Fountain

6-1-2017

Islamist state: Various visions?

Shehab Wagih

Follow this and additional works at: https://fount.aucegypt.edu/etds

Recommended Citation

APA Citation
Wagih, S. (2017). *Islamist state: Various visions?* [Master's thesis, the American University in Cairo]. AUC Knowledge Fountain.
https://fount.aucegypt.edu/etds/615

MLA Citation
Wagih, Shehab. *Islamist state: Various visions?*. 2017. American University in Cairo, Master's thesis. *AUC Knowledge Fountain*.
https://fount.aucegypt.edu/etds/615

The American University in Cairo

Department of Political Science

The Islamist State: Various visions?

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

Master of Arts

In

Political Science

Thesis Supervisors

Dr. Nadine Sika

Dr. Ashraf El Sherif

By:

Shehab Wagih

ID: 800131011

Shehab.wagih@aucegypt.edu

Preface

This thesis analyzes original texts produced by ideologues and leaders of the Muslim Brotherhood, Qaeda and Islamic State to deduce their vision for the Islamist State. The thesis reveals the positions of the three movements from the institutions of Caliphate, Sharia, and Democracy, in addition to their tolerance of using violence as a strategy to install the Islamist State. The thesis concludes that the three movements are willing to install the Islamist Caliphate and apply Sharia law. Yet, they disagree on the strategy that has to be applied to achieve this end. The thesis explains the reasons behind the disagreement between the three movements either through their conditions of foundation, which affected the primary objective that the movement was founded for, or through the deficiencies in the knowledge base on Islamic political regime.

The thesis starts with a literature review that sheds the light on the Muslim and Islamist visions for the state in Islam. The review also briefly tackles the Islamist movements. Chapters 4, 5 and 6 introduce the Muslim Brotherhood, Qaeda and Islamic State texts. Each chapter starts with introducing a general overview of the movement's history and ends in a conclusion and summary of the movement's vision through the studied institutions of the Islamist State. Each text analyzed is associated with a brief introduction on the author (if known) and the context in which the text is released.

Table of Contents

Table of Tables

The Islamist State:

Various Visions?

In the year 622 AD, the first Islamic city state was announced in Yathrib, Arab Peninsula. The city was the first to be ruled by an Islamist political system. Since then, figuring out the characteristics of the Islamist State and its institutions has been a subject of interest for Ulama - Muslim scholars - who focused on Sharia - Islamic law - and how it organizes the relations between the ruler and his subjects. Politicians used the slogans of Islam to create legitimacy and motivate citizens to support their causes; this later became the case for political scientists.

Muqtedar Khan classified the theories developed by classical thinkers on Islamist Statecraft into two categories: 'Islamic theories of the state' and 'theories of the Islamic State' (Dar, 2016). Islamic theories of the state were developed by classical thinkers who lived and wrote when Islamic Civilization was dominant and before much of the Islamic world was colonized (pre-colonial theories). They focused on the nature of the state in the limited political theory developed by Muslims prior to the colonial era. On the other hand, theories of the Islamic State were written in the post-colonial era when the pain of subjugation was strongly felt and the power and influence of the former imperial powers was still palpable. They are a contemporary attempt at imagining the utopian ideal of the just and dominant Islamic State (Khan, 2004). Alfarabi is an excellent example of theorists of the Islamic theories of state, particularly in his landmark "Araa Ahl Almadina Alfadela (Opinions of the citizens of the excellent city)" where he introduced a typology of politics (Khan, 2004) Qutb is a very famous example of theorists of the Islamic State as his famous book

"Milestones" represents a "contemporary attempt at imaging the utopian ideal of the just and dominant Islamic State" (Khan, 2004).

Today, numerous Islamist movements all over the world are working on the ground to attain building their perceived model of the Islamist State. An important question to be asked is: do the major contemporary Islamist movements like the Brotherhood, Islamic State (IS) and al-Qaeda agree on one model for the basic institutions of the Islamist State such as the Caliphate, Sharia, or Democratic Institutions and the predisposition to use violence to apply Sharia? Our initial hypothesis is that reviving Caliphate is among the objectives of the three major contemporary Islamist movements. Yet, they disagree on the strategy to achieve this Caliphate and most of its institutions. This hypothesis raises secondary questions: Do Islamist movements agree on the urgency of announcing the Islamist State or believe in developing prerequisites to establish it? What are the differences between Islamist movements in their vision for the Islamist State? Why do the three studied Islamist movements disagree on the strategy to install the Islamist State?

The major Islamist movements generally agree that a basic condition for the Islamist State is that its source of legislation must be Sharia. They don't tolerate any conflict with it, since they perceive it as God's will that they have to obey. Nevertheless, the movements disagree on the priority of announcing the Islamist State and their tolerance for its gradual establishment, the method of electing the ruler and his source of legitimacy, and their predisposition to use violence to apply Sharia. The disagreement among movements on their vision for the Islamist State is caused by the diversity of the movements' founding conditions that were reflected in their strategies to achieve their objectives.

This thesis studies three major contemporary Islamist Sunni movements who share the Sunni creed and the objective of installing an Islamist State. It deduces the movements' positions from the institutions of: Caliphate, Sharia, Democracy and the usage of power. The three movements were chosen as they showed contradictory behavior in their quest for power. Their strategies varied between participating in the democratic competition to enforcing their power by violence and refraining from direct inclusion in installing an Islamist State. The studied Islamist movements are The Muslims Brotherhood, which exerted efforts to assume power through democratic means and maintaining relations with most of the international actors, Qaeda, which refrained from direct involvement in politics and preferred instead to engage in a long term war with the far enemy to deter it from interfering in Muslim territories and pave the way for Islamists to install the state, and IS, which refused democracy and the post-Westphalian model of state and preferred to install the Islamic State with power to rule tremendous lands across Iraq and Syria.

Accordingly, from a comparative theoretical perspective, three case studies (Islamist movements who are the units of analysis) which share a common goal of establishing an Islamist State yet disagree on many institutions of this Islamist State (variables) are studied. In Lijphart's (1971) words, "the comparative method here is regarded as a method of discovering empirical relationships among variables". This thesis analyzes texts from the literature of each of the three major movements to reveal the movements' visions for the Islamist State. It then compares the three models to investigate whether the three movements agree on a utopian ideal of the just and dominant Islamic State.

1. Literature Review

This thesis intersects with two topics in political science literature that we need to explore: the first set of literature is concerned with the Islamist State and its institutions, which is discussed in the literature depending on studying the institutions of the late Islamist States or depending on analyzing the contributions of the most influential *Ulama*. The second concerns Islamist movements and their ideological framework, and focuses on the three Islamist movements that we are studying in this thesis.

1.1. The Islamist State:

The literature mainly discusses the term "Islamic State" in two contexts: through studying either the Islamic State that used to exist or the visions of the utopian Islamist State as derived from the *Sharia* and *ijtihad* (independent reasoning). The most significant Islamic States studied are Yathrib city state, and the Islamic caliphate, whereas the visions of the Islamists utopian state vary between the totalitarian and democratic regimes and the refusal of the concept of Islamic State altogether.

After his arrival to the city, Mohamed installed the first state in the history of Yathrib. The state was built on the concept of being ruled and administrated in the name of Allah who communicates his orders through his prophet (Wellhausen, 2000). The nature of the regulations and order which was enforced by Mohamed was not alien to the Arabic peninsula. He applied the same rules in the name of Allah with few amendments (Serjeant, 1978). After building the alliance between Yathrib's local tribes, the Muhajroon – migrators – who migrated to Yathrib, and the non-Muslim tribes who agreed to finance the collective security system and not to betray the state, Mohamed decided to extend an "intertribal security system, a Pax Islamica, around

8

the growing polity in Medina. Pax Islamica had a religious kernel: it was a system based on "the security of God and his messenger" (Arjomand, 2009). Only after the victory in Mecca in 630 AD did Mohamed make the destruction of idols his foremost objective (Arjomand, 2009). Although the state was a theocracy ruled directly by Allah who ruled it through his messenger, Mohamed used to consult those who have experience in the various fields (Shoura) (Wellhausen, 2000). Generally, the first Islamic State grew as a confederate that respected the local norms and organizations inside the tribes as long as they became a part of the Pax Islamica.

The Islamic caliphate system governed most of the Muslims since the death of prophet Mohamed in 632 till the abolishment of the Ottoman caliphate in 1923. The election of the caliph prior the Ummayed era was performed by various methods, including a nomination by his predecessor, and an election based on a definite electoral college: being forced by power. Yet the hereditary rule prevailed starting from the Ummayed era (Liebl, 2009). Accordingly, there were no fixed schemes in the election of the caliph except that whenever the choice was made, a Bay'ah - pledge of allegiance - was introduced by the public. "The fuqaha unanimously agreed that the caliph is not legally valid without bay'ah by the People" (Faruqi, 2009).

Al Mawardi, who lived in the 10th century, introduced criteria for the caliph, although he did not introduce a theory of the state in any sense. Al Mawardi shed light on seven conditions for the caliph which guided the Sunni scholars after him in his landmark "al Ahkam al Sultanyah:"

> 1. Justice together with all its conditions; 2. Knowledge which equips them for Ijtihad in unforeseen matters and for arriving at relevant judgments; 3. Good health in their faculties of hearing, sight and speech such that they may arrive at a sound assessment of whatever they perceive; 4. Sound in limb, free of any deficiency which

9

might prevent them from normal movement; 5. A judgment capable of organizing the people and managing the offices of administration; 6. Courage and bravery enabling them to defend the territory of Islam and to mount Jihad against the enemy; 7. Of the family of the Quraysh (Al Mawardi, nd).

Al Mawardi insisted that the caliph must be a member of the tribe of Quraysh. He also gave due attention to the Electoral College and the conditions of its members and the ways through which they would deal with the difficulties in choosing the Imam. Al Mawardi rejected the concept of the presence of two Imams and preferred to pledge the allegiance to the first Imam of the two (Al Mawardi, nd).

Abi Yaali, who lived in the 10th century as well and died eight years after Al Mawardi, issued a book under the same name "al Ahkam al Sultanyah". Abi Yaali's book was more as a Hanbali version of Al Mawardi's book. There is no definite proof on which theorist issued his book first but al Mawardi book yielded the fame. Abi Yaali stated in his version citing Ibn Hanbal, "installing the Caliph is an obligation" (2000, p.19). He mentioned four necessary characteristics in the caliph "He has to be from Quraysh … The second: he has to be eligible to be a judge: free, adult, sane, knowledgeable, just. And the third condition: he has to be able to manage war, politics and applying Hedoud (Islamic Sanctions according to sharia) … The fourth is that he must be among the most knowledgeable and religious" (Abi Yaali, 2000, p. 20).

Al Juwayni also worked on the conditions of the caliph and the Electoral College as well as the conditions for dismissing the caliph. He also gave due attention to the case of the caliph's absence and how Muslims should react to this situation in his landmark "Ghiyath al Umam". "If there wasn't an Imam, and there was no ruler who is knowledgeable and standing for the people, then the power has to be given to

the Ulama (Muslim Scholars). And people whatever their positions were have to get back to the Ulama who have to take decisions in all the cases related to the territories. If the people do this, then they had followed God's guidance and the Ulama became the rulers" (Juwayni, nd). Generally, Al Juwayni highlighted the importance of the Ulama and considered them the heirs of power in case the legitimate caliph is absent.

The actual role the caliph played during the caliphate also varied significantly from one caliph to another. Theoretically, the caliph was perceived as "not only the temporal and spiritual … ruler, he was also God's Deputy on Earth and thus was qualified to comment on, or more importantly, reinterpret Sura, Hadith and Sunna" (Liebl, 2009). Practically, the absolute powers granted to the first Caliphs were not granted to all subsequent Caliphs. In many occasions, the Caliph was forced to respect independent hereditary rule throughout vast areas of his empire. Moreover, on some occasions the Caliph was a figurehead who could not exercise power outside his palace (Caliphate, 2016). The Caliphate era also witnessed the announcement of more than one Caliph in different territories, who thereupon waged wars against each other and frequently allied with non-Muslims against their Muslim foes (Dūrī, 2011). Generally, the role of the Caliph varied along the 12 centuries of the Caliphate era in accordance with his personality and the political context of his reign.

After the end of the Caliphate in the twentieth century, many predominantly Muslim states legitimized their regimes by the Islamic religion and identified themselves as Islamic States. Among them were the monarchies of Saudi Arabia and Morocco, the militaristic regimes of Turabi and Zia-Ul-Haq in Sudan and Pakistan, and the Islamist revolutionary Shiite regime in Iran.

The Saudi monarchy was the oldest among those states. It was founded upon an alliance between Mohamed Ibn Saud and Mohamed Ibn Abd Elwahab. This alliance manifested in a role for Ulama in the newly founded state – a role that continued and participated in shaping the laws and regimes throughout the 300-year history of the kingdom (Kechichian, 1986). The Moroccan monarchy considered being an offshoot of the prophet an asset that can be used to legitimize their regime. King Hassan the second stated in the 1962 constitution that the king is "Amir Elmo'mneen" – the prince of believers – and clearly stated a religious authority for the king to protect religion (Zartman, 1965). This authority was maintained in the later constitutions, including the more liberal 2011 constitution. Monarchies were not the only Islamist regimes in the post caliphate era. In 1989, Col. Omar Hassan Elbashir conducted a *coup d'état* in Sudan in association with The National Salvation Front of Dr. Hassan Alturabi to install an Islamic government in Sudan inspired by Alturabi's ideas. The honeymoon between Elbashir and Alturabi didn't last and Alturabi was detained in 2000 (Burr & Collins, 2003). Maududi's relation with Zia-Ul-Haq's *coup d'état* was luckier. Zia-Ul-Haq was inspired by Maududi's teachings in Pakistan and clearly announced that he is establishing an Islamist State in Pakistan. Furthermore, he launched a Sharia-zation process aimed at Islamizing the Pakistani society. Many tend to believe that Zia-Ul-Haq coup was not the beginning of the Islamic State in Pakistan but it was Maududi's ideas which influenced the state even under Bhutto's tenure (Kaul, 2002). The Iranian case was a unique case as the religious ideologue himself was the leader of the revolution. Ayatollah Al-Khomeini announced an Islamist State in Iran and introduced the concept of "Velayat-E Faqih" as a temporarily substitute for the Imam of the Twelve Shiites. A more important aspect of uniqueness in the Iranian regime is the Shiite faith, which to a great degree

draws a concrete role for the Imam. As we can see, various forms of regimes were labeled as Islamic regimes in the twentieth century, all of which share nothing but the 'Islamic State' label on few occasions.

But, does Islam really include a political regime? Are Islamic politics an obligation upon Muslims or just an Ijtihad from scholars? Muslim scholars are divided along the spectrum between two extremes. Scholars on the first extreme see that Islam doesn't include any political regime but rather general guidance and teachings. Accordingly, the first extreme perceives Islam as a religion that is completely compatible with democracy. On the other hand, the second extreme believes that Islam includes answers for all questions and Muslims must follow Islam, as a totalitarian regime, in every aspect of their life. There are many scholars with diverse ideas who fall in the middle between the two extremes. Among them are scholars who believe in democracy and the people's right to choose as long as their choices do not contradict Sharia. For the purposes of this study we will use the term 'Islamists' to describe those on the second extreme and we will focus on their "totalitarian" aspect in the literature review.

Many scholars tend to agree that Islam does not include a political system. Although Islam incorporates some values and ethics that can be applied to the political realm, these values do not formulate a political system (Kirmanj, 2008; Shavit, 2010). Islam did not address the most fundamental aspects of a political system, such as the nature and role of government (Kirmanj, 2008). In 1925, an Egyptian Islamic scholar, Ali Abd Elrazek, wrote a pivotal work in this regard: "Al Islam wa Osoul Al Hokm (Islam and Foundations of Power)," in which he confirmed that Islam doesn't incorporate a specific political system. Furthermore, Abd Elrazek

asserted that Islam can be perfectly combined with a secular state and is therefore fully compatible with democracy (Ettmueller, 2006).

The compatibility between Islam and democracy has generated controversial debates. Gamal El Din Al Afghani considered democracy the natural heir of the Shoura (Consultation) (Soage, 2014). Hassan El Banna – the Muslim Brotherhood founder – ran for Parliament twice and confirmed that the parliamentary system is the closest to Islam (Soage, 2014). Other scholars were less enthusiastic about democracy and instead preferred to use the term Shoura to assert the supremacy of Sharia over the people's choice. However, they did not deny the people's right to express their opinions and observations as long they do not contradict religion (Ex. Mohamed Abdou, Rashid Reda, and Youssef Al Qaradawi). This approach was expressed in the famous debate in the 1992 Cairo Book Fair over the civic and Islamic State when Mohamed el Ghazaly said "This is the difference between Islamic democracy and Western democracy: Islamic democracy sees that Shoura is the right of the people but in the areas of Ijtihad for public good. Yet, whenever there is divine text which says that the killer must be killed, I can't say there is a room for Shoura" (Ghazaly, 1992). Apparently, the supremacy of Sharia is a popular concept in some predominantly Muslim countries. Gallup conducted a survey in 10 such predominantly Muslim countries in 2006, asking Muslims if Sharia should be the only source of legislation. 66%, 60%, 54%, 52% of Egyptians, Pakistanis, Jordanians and Bangladeshis respectively supported this while 24%, 21%, 39%, 39% of the same populations perceived Sharia as *one* of the sources of legitimation but not the only source (Mogahed, 2006). After 10 years, The Global Attitudes Survey concluded that 78%, 65%, 54%, 52% of Pakistanis, Palestinians, Jordanians and Malaysians respectively believe that the rules in their countries should strictly follow the teachings of Quran

(Poushter, 2016). Generally, there are two trends accepting democracy in Islam: one believes that Islam didn't impose certain political settings, which accordingly means that democracy is fully compatible with Islam, and the other prefers the term Shoura, which gives people the right of choice in identifying what does not contradict Sharia. There is also the second extreme that refuses the idea of democracy completely and believes in Islamism as a totalitarian political ideology.

The term Islamism was re-coined (it was previously used to define Islam as religion vis-à-vis Mohamedism, which considered Muslims a group) in France in the late 1970s. The term was coined to replace the controversial term "fundamentalism" which was mainly used to describe Christians who depended only on the Bible. Many philosophers believed that the term "fundamentalists" does not capture the essence of the new revivalist Muslim movements that did not depend only on Quran but on many other sources as well. The term began to be used in English literature in the 1980s (Kramer, 2003). "In 1993, the political scientist Louis Cantori likewise argued that fundamentalism conveys a sense of extremism and dismissal. In reference to Islam, in the world of scholarship, and now internally within U.S. agencies, it is being abandoned as being prejudicial and polemical. Instead, the term Islamism is used increasingly to denote the political manifestation of the religion of Islam. "Islamism" permits one to more dispassionately make distinctions between extremist and mainstream Islam" (Kramer, 2003). Generally, Islamism and Islamic fundamentalism are currently used as interchangeable synonyms to differentiate them from Islam.

Accordingly, this thesis acknowledges the difference between Islam and Islamism. Islam is the religion while Islamism is a social movement and ideology that has all the characteristics of a totalitarian regime as it provides both solutions for all the problems of mankind and order to human affairs (Kirmanj, 2008). Hansen and

Kainz (2007) hold a comparison based on reconstructing the political theory of Adolf Hitler, Karl Marx and Sayyid Qutb - the Father of Islamic Fundamentalism - to find out that the three theories share the same basic structure. The three ideologies believe in this eternal war between good and evil in which the evil threatens the existence of mankind; it is the role of the good to stand against it and rid the world of it so as to create the utopian classless society. Hansen and Kainz conclude that Islamism is a totalitarian ideology that shares the same basic structure of the other totalitarian ideologies and causes the same threat those ideologies posed to mankind (Hansen, 2007). Islamist totalitarianism is not planned to be imposed solely on Muslims; Islamists want their ideology to prevail all over the world, thereby converting the whole world to Dar Al Islam (Home of Islam) and installing Sharia as a replacement of all other man-made legislations (Tibi, 2007). Accordingly, Islamism is perceived by many scholars as a totalitarian ideology that interferes in all the aspects of life of citizens. Moreover, it is willing to enforce its ideology all over the world in a way that can be compared to Marxism and Nazism.

In 1992, Al Qaradawi issued a booklet "Inclusiveness of Islam" explaining al Banna's opinion on the inclusion of Islam. "Islam which was issued by the God didn't ignore any aspect of life. It includes all the aspects of life: materialistic and spiritual, individual or communal … Islamic Sharia has to govern all the acts of individuals, as there is no act or case unless it has a guidance in Sharia … Islam is a message for the entire life" (Qaradawi, 1992). Roots of the totalitarian aspect of Islamism can be traced to the teachings of Ibn Taymiyah. He interpreted Quran and Sunnah directly without giving much attention to previous Islamic regimes. Ibn Taymiyah asserted that an Islamic State must fight the unbelievers and enforce Sharia on all its citizens. Ibn Taymiyah stated in his book "al Siyassa al Sharaaiyah" that "the most serious type

16

of obligatory Jihad is the one against the unbelievers and against those who refuse to abide by certain prescription of the Sharia, like those who refuse to pay zakaah, the Kharijites and the like. This Jihad is obligatory if it is carried out on our initiative and also if it is waged as defense" (Bostom, 2005). Moreover, he sees the Islamic State "as a coercive mean to expand and spread Islam beyond its borders while maintaining the doctrinal purity of Islamic practices within its borders" (Khan, 2004). This totalitarian aspect of Islamism enhanced the need for a Caliph as he is, according to Ibn Taymiyah, the only authority that may wage Jihad and apply Sharia. Al Juwayni introduced the "governance of the scholars" (Welayet El Ulama) concept in Sunni Islam before Ibn Taymiyah. Al Juwayni developed this concept as an answer to a question regarding who may substitute the Caliph in case the Caliphate is not installed. Al Juwayni asserted that Welayet El Ulama can be applied to compensate for the absence of a Caliph (Khir, 2007).

The characteristics of the Islamist State were not the only issue on which scholars did not reach a consensus. The possibility of establishing the Islamist State was also debatable. Hallaq (2012) argued in his landmark "the impossible state" that the "Islamic State judged by any standard definition of what the modern state represents is both an impossibility and a contradiction in terms" (Hallaq, 2012). He clarified that the paradigm of the modern state severely contradicts the popular model of Islamist State and predicted that in case an Islamist State is announced, it wont be able to survive in the current global order – a prediction that proved to be true with IS's Islamist State.

1.2. Islamist Movements:

As Islamism began to grow and become a global ideology, Islamists seem to agree on basic objectives. Armajani introduced six objectives which characterize Islamists: 1) Sharia must govern every aspect of life in Muslim countries; 2) Governments must consist of true Muslims who obey all the pillars of Islam; 3) These governments may be either democratic or ruled by a single Emir; 4) Financial and political supports must be given exclusively to Islamic schools and universities and other forms of education have to be banned; 5) Schools must teach Quran, Hadith, Sunna, and Sharia next to the other sciences from an Islamist perspective; 6) Islam must be the moral basis for all aspects of the society (Armajani, 2012). Armajani's conditions came in harmony with the seven objectives announced by Zawahiri in "Supporting the Islam Document." Zawahiri published the document in 2012 and urged Islamist movements to unite around those objectives to face the crusaders: 1) Working to liberate every occupied Muslim land; 2) Applying Sharia and refusing manmade legislations and international regimes; 3) Working to stop plundering resources from Muslim territories; 4) Supporting the Muslims in their revolutions and raising the awareness on Sharia; 5) Supporting every tyrannized in the globe against the tyrants; 6) Working to install the Caliphate which doesn't recognize nationalism and borders; 7) Working on uniting the Islamist forces to achieve those objectives. (Zawahiri, Supporting Islam Document, 2012).

In order to achieve those goals, Islamist movements are utilizing all the tools they can use (Kelsay, 2009). Generally, three tools can be used to achieve those goals: 1) Participating in the political realm and running for elections (Ex. Muslim Brotherhood); 2) Enforcing this regime by power (Ex. IS); 3) Motivating people and paving the way to fulfill those objectives (Ex. Qaeda). Those three movements are

working on the ground to achieve the Islamists' objectives which are a subject of agreement between the three groups. It is important to notice here that this agreement on the core objectives doesn't imply an agreement on many other issues (among them the Islamist political system as the hypothesis reveals). Those disagreements could be a main reason behind the absence of cooperation between the three movements and even the mutual accusations and warfare among them on some occasions.

The Muslim Brotherhood's announced objective is to establish a state ruled by Sharia with a democratic system protecting a wide range of civil liberties (Mecham, 2009). This objective has not always been the discourse of the Brotherhood's figures. Sayyid Qutb for example, who was one of the prominent leaders of the Brotherhood, believed that submission to laws drafted by men is an act of unbelievers and that the state must submit to the divine will (Soage, 2014). The fifth general guide of the Brotherhood, Mustafa Mashur, warned that the Brotherhood is temporarily accepting the political pluralism and that they will reject it after the Islamic government is established (Soage, 2014). The Brotherhood usually states that it needs to achieve its goals gradually and legally (Mecham, 2009). Generally, among the contemporary Islamist movements, the Brotherhood is the most diverse in its announced visions for the Islamist State. This may be explained by their gradualist approach.

In June, 2014, Abu Mohamed Adnani - ISIS Spokesman at the time - announced the re-establishment of the Caliphate and declared Abu Bakr Bughdadi as the new Caliph. Adnani clarified that there is no legal constraint or excuse to postpone the announcement of the Caliph. He clarified that Ahl Al Hal wa Al Aqd - people of authority - agreed to nominate Bughdadi as the Caliph and it is incumbent upon every Muslim to pledge allegiance to him (Jihadist, 2014). The declaration of the Caliphate appealed to many Islamists from all over the world including Islamists who live in

Europe and United States (Cragin, 2015). Practically, the movement's success in recruiting, mobilizing, and gaining territories forced the international community in September 2014 to establish the global coalition to degrade and defeat IS. IS's exceptionalism results from their "four principal manifestations – as a guerrilla army, Sunni revanchist political movement, millenarian Islamist cult and ruthless administrator of territory" (Fromson, 2015). IS is always interested to introduce itself as an operating Islamist State that is already installed and welcoming Islamists to join and support it.

Qaeda started from where Qutb ended. Qaeda denied the men right to draft laws and considered it a divine right. Ayman Zawahiri – the current Qaeda leader – considered democracy a new religion and thus following this new religion illegitimate (Soage, 2014). Al Maqdassi issued a booklet titled Democracy is a Religion where he said "democracy is pagan innovated religion and its followers are either gods who legislate or followers who worship those gods." The problem that Qaeda perceives is the Jahiliyah - not following Islam - in Muslim societies. Salafism - looking back to Islam in the time of the prophet - is their methodological approach to alleviate the condition of Jahiliyah. The action they take to solve the problem is the Jihad and in order to prepare for Jihad, they did the Hijra (migration). Bin Laden says: "We left our country on jihad in the path of Allah, and it is for the sake of Allah, praise and glory be upon Him, that we made this blessed Hijra to facilitate the institutionalization of Sharia" (Turner, 2010). This is why Turner described Salafism, Jahiliyah, Hijra, and Jihad as the four tenets of the ideology of Qaeda (Turner, 2010). Actually, Qaeda believed that in order to combat the current Jahiliyah, Hijra had to take place to prepare the Muslims to follow the Salafist doctrine, after which they can start the continuous Jihad to establish the Caliphate.

The Brotherhood endorsed democracy in several circumstances as a strategy to install the Islamist State. Although IS and Qaeda may look quite similar in their intolerance of democracy as a strategy to achieve the Islamist State, they have their disagreements that led to a warfare between IS and Jabhet Elnusra, a Qaeda affiliate in Syria. Mendelsohn (2016) described this warfare as "Jihad's Civil War" and explained it by the difference in priorities between IS, which prioritizes the reintroduction of Caliphate, and Qaeda, which "views this as a step to be postponed until conditions guarantees its survival" (Mendelsohn, 2016). The older Qaeda "looked to slowly and carefully dismantle the Western civilization through dispersed suicide bombing and raids" in order to pave the way for the reintroduction of the Caliphate, while the younger more idealistic and impatient IS preferred to conquer territories and announce the Caliphate - and consequently declared war on those who deny the IS Caliph's legitimacy (Shafer-Ray, 2015). The main difference between IS and Qaeda is that IS sees no excuse to postpone announcing the Caliphate while Qaeda believes that announcing the Caliphate must be an outcome of a continuous Hijra and Jihad.

The literature discussing the Islamic State followed two frameworks: an empirical framework that focuses on studying the previously established, or the contemporarily existing, Islamic States (ex. Iran (Chehabi, 2001) & Sudan (Gallab, 2008)), and a normative approach concerned with describing the utopian Islamic State through quoting the works of the influential Ulama. Rather than studying the ideological vision of the state they are working to install, the Islamist movements that work to install their model of Islamist State were studied as social movements. Describing the ideal Islamic State depending on the visions of the major Islamist movements is a gap in the literature that this thesis tries to fill.

2. Theoretical Framework

This thesis sheds light on the theory of state as perceived by the various Islamist movements. Yet, a theoretical framework has to set the map for deducting those movements' vision of their utopian state. Two main approaches can be applied. On one hand is studying the existing states that those movements established (ex. IS state in Iraq and Syria) or the states that were established under their supervision (ex. Afghanistan under Taliban and few city states in Syria) empirically. On the other hand, deducing those movements' vision of the utopian state happens through analyzing their literature from an institutional framework perspective.

Being in power amidst a hostile environment is a justified excuse that Islamist movements may use to explain certain practices that deviates from their theoretical doctrine. Moreover, the data collected from Islamist-ruled territories is usually highly biased as it usually does not come from neutral sources; in fact, it originates through propaganda, either by the movements, or (negative propaganda) against them. Even the interviews conducted with citizens who used to live under the Islamist rule are highly biased due to the citizens' political orientation. Hence, following an empirical approach would encounter many difficulties that may draw a distorted image for the Islamist State as perceived by Islamist movements.

This thesis's theoretical framework is guided by Aref's paper on "The knowledge base of the Islamist political regimes". This theoretical framework introduces the regulations that has to be taken in consideration in deducing the Islamist movements vision for the Islamist political regime. The paper clarifies the reasons that led to the absence of concrete understanding for the Islamist state. The paper clarifies that the modern Islamist political theories came as a reaction to the west and not based on deep research in the classic Muslim theories and literature.

Aref's paper introduces two main hypotheses that has to be take in consideration: the first hypothesis is that most of the modern Islamist literature tried to build their theories on the basis of the western literature which give the priority to the political regime rather than the community in fostering the change; the second hypothesis is that there is no one concrete Islamist political regime. Instead, there are Islamic guidelines that set the base for numerous possible Islamic political regimes.

Based on these two hypotheses, Aref introduced, six major issues that caused the distortion in the understanding of the Islamic political regime:

1. The methodology of introducing the Islamic political regime in the modern literature. 2. The difficulties related with resources on the Islamic political regime. 3.The absence of details on the Islamic political regime in Quran. 4. The basic role of the society in Islam and the marginal role of the state. 5. Giving religious holiness to historical incidents. 6. Dealing with cotemporary experiences. (al Khateeb, 2005, p. 119)

Aref concluded his paper by confirming that Islamist movements generally perceive reaching political power as installing the Islamist regime. The Islamist movements consider no alternative for installing their Islamist State but reaching the political power. This theoretical framework assists us in understanding the reasons behind the disagreement of the Islamist movements on the Islamist State. Moreover, it directs the thesis to focus on the movements' political behavior to understand their vision for the institutions of the Islamist State rather than focusing on their societal activities.

Accordingly, this thesis deduces the three major Islamist movements visions of the Islamist State by analyzing three texts for each movement. Special focus is on the texts' vision concerning the institutions of the Islamist State and the reasons behind the movement's disagreement with others on this vision. This leads us to explain the methodological approach followed to deduce the characteristics of the Islamic State as perceived by each movement.

3. Methodological Approach

The objective of this section is to explain the methodology used to deduce the characteristics of the model Islamist State as perceived by the various Islamist movements. The Islamist movement's prominent texts and speeches comprise the wisdom of the movement and its main teachings, which are widespread among its members. Accordingly, analyzing the content of the major movements' texts and speeches is the method we chose for this thesis. This should take in consideration the choice of widely accepted texts written by historical figures of the movements who represent the movements' "mainstream" figures.

This thesis analyzes the content of the discourse issued by the three major Islamist movements – units of analysis – to deduce the characteristics of their model for the institutions of Islamist State – variable. This thesis also compares the three models in order to answer the research question and deduce the points of agreement and disagreement between the three models of Islamist State.

This qualitative content analysis focuses on the chapters discussing the vision of the Islamist State in texts that were chosen while taking in consideration the objective of answering the research questions of this thesis and highlighting the reasons behind the choice of words to explain the various movements' vision of the Islamist State.

Accordingly, chapters from three mainstream texts for each movement of the three will be analyzed to clarify their vision for the institutions of the Islamist State and some of the features of the movement. The Brotherhood will be studied in three periods: during the foundation under Hassan Al-Banna through one of his speeches, during their second crisis when their assemblies and speeches were not tolerated through a chapter in a book for Sayyid Qutb, and during Morsi's campaign for presidency in Egypt through his electoral program. Concerning IS, The founding speech will be analyzed in addition to two articles posted in their official English speaking periodical "Dabiq," Qaeda vision will be deduced by analyzing two speeches by the two leaders of Qaeda: Ayman Zawahiri and Bin Laden, in addition to a Fatwa issued by al-Shinqiti in which he clarifies Qaeda's position from IS's claimed caliphate. The common features of the institutions of the Islamist State in each movement's materials will be highlighted and compared to the other movements' visions for the institutions of the Islamist State.

Each chapter in this thesis starts with a historical review of the movement, generally introducing its history without focusing on the movement's vision of the Islamist State. This is followed by the analysis of the three chosen texts, which will include information on the author as well as the text's historical context. Each chapter ends in a conclusion and a summary that clarify the movement's vision for the Islamist State.

4. The Islamist State Model for

The Muslim Brotherhood

"We want: Muslim individual, Muslim home, Muslim nation and Muslim

government" (El-Banna, nd)

The Muslim Brotherhood is widely believed to be the mother of all contemporary Islamist movements. The teachings of its famous ideologue "Sayyed Qutb" and its founder "Hassan Al-Banna" are used by many Jihadists all over the globe. In order to explore the Brotherhood's vision of the Islamist State, this chapter starts with a brief historical background on the Muslim Brotherhood since its foundation. This is followed by analyzing three texts written by Al-Banna, Qutb and the electoral program of Morsi. During the foundation of the Brotherhood, Al-Banna issued a message in front of the fifth congress of the Brotherhood on his vision for the "Brotherhood program" that compares the constitutional regime under the monarchy in Egypt with his vision for the Islamist regime. Al-Banna was skeptical about the Egyptian partisan system and many other features of the Egyptian political regime in his era. Yet he was generally tolerant about the political settings in his message. On the contrary, Sayyed Qutb showed zero tolerance to the whole national and

international political regimes in his landmark "Milestones." He considered democracy as a new religion which contradicts with the basic teachings of Islam as it denies the legislative authority of God and complies to human will against that of the God. Morsi showed a different version of the Brotherhood's vision for the political regime in his electoral program for presidency. Morsi's electoral program embraced most of the Egyptian state institutions and complied to the political settings. This chapter concludes by deducing the Brotherhood's vision for the institutions of the Islamist State.

4.1. Historical Background:

In March 1923, Hassan al-Banna, created the society of the Muslim Brothers that aimed at the rejuvenation of Islam (Britannica, Hassan al-Banna, 1998). The early society of the Muslim Brothers was characterized by the appointment of people from the lower classes in leading positions. The Brotherhood's first activity was an evening school that focused on religious education. And the Brotherhood's first major project was the construction of a mosque in 1931. The fundraising campaigns and the dedication of society members to their objective received favorable attention in the press (Lia, 1998). In 1932 al-Banna decided to move to Cairo. By then, the brotherhood had three active branches. After six years from al-Banna migration to Cairo the Brotherhood grew to have more than three hundred branches across Egypt.

In the mid-1930s, the Brotherhood developed a formal hierarchical structure giving wide executive and spiritual powers to the General Guide. The structure also included the General Guidance Bureau and organized the local branches into districts. The Brotherhood's memberships were classified into four categories: activist, worker, associate, and assistant. Membership fees were dependent on the income of the

member (Lia, 1998). In the late 1930s, a crisis erupted in the Brotherhood society. Some members pushed for the Brotherhood to quit its pragmatic agenda and form a military wing and participate in the confrontation with the British rule. Al-Banna was in favor of building the physical capacities of the young members without forging a military wing. This conflict encouraged some of the most active cadres in the society to leave it and form the rival organization "Mohamed's Youth". After the crisis al-Banna decided to create the Brotherhood military wing: "the Secret Appartus" (Lia, 1998). During Second World War, the Brotherhood's position was not in favor of the British. The government imposed martial law and public figures including Hassan al-Banna were detained for short whiles. The Brotherhood avoided confrontation and preferred to keep a low profile while expanding its membership base and extending its social welfare programs. The Wafd government called for elections in 1942 and al-Banna declared his candidacy. The Wafd government pressured him to withdraw in exchange for normalizing the activities of the Brotherhood and curtailing prostitution (Mitchell, 1969). The government that conducted the 1945 elections was not as open as the Wafd government. Al-Banna and other candidates from the Brotherhood ran during the 1945 election and were defeated even in their stronghold in Isma'illiyya. The 1945 elections are widely believed to be rigged elections (Mitchell, 1969).

The years from 1945 to 1948 witnessed further expansion of the Brotherhood society as well as turbulent relations with the governments and with British authorities. "In March 1948, members of the secret apparatus assassinated Ahmed al-Khazindar who had given a prison sentence to a Muslim Brother for attacking British soldiers" (Mitchell, 1969). Generally, the Brotherhood was engaged in armed struggle against the British and in the "Palestinian war". In December 1948, and after discovering caches of bombs and weapons, the Egyptian government decided to

dissolute the Brotherhood. The Brotherhood's leadership claimed that the weapons accumulated were to be used in the "Palestinian war". The government accused the Brotherhood of preparing for an armed insurgency. Few weeks later, a Muslim Brother assassinated Prime Minister Nuqrashi. Soon after, the government unveiled an attempt by a Muslim Brother to bomb a courthouse. Al-Banna's reaction to this was to condemn the violence and assert that those acts do not represent society. Meanwhile, Al-Banna mentioned police brutality against the Brothers and the war in Palestine as reasons that stimulate violence. In February 1949, Al-Banna himself was the victim of a successful assassination assault. The confrontation between the Brotherhood and the government was an open one. After a wave of arrests by the government, a group of Brothers made an unsuccessful trial to assassinate Prime Minister "Abd Elhadi." By July 1949, some 4,000 Brothers were in prison. It took two years for the Brotherhood to choose the successor of Al-Banna.

Hassan Al-Hudaybi, a judge who was known for his rejection of violence, was chosen to be the second General Guide. He started his reign by standing against the secret apparatus and alienating it from the society (Zollner, 2009). He went further to refusing the official participation of the brotherhood in any confrontation with the British after Nahhas's decision to unilaterally abrogate the Anglo-Egyptian treaty of 1936. Al-Hudaybi issued a statement repudiating the riots that took place in January 1952 in Cairo. On July 1952 the Free Officers took power, overthrowing the monarchy. The Brotherhood that had previous cordial relations with the junta supported the army movement. In exchange, the junta's decision to dissolve the political parties and organizations considered the Brotherhood an exception (Zollner, 2009). This first tension between the Brotherhood and the new regime occurred when Nasser decided to supported the opposition in the Brotherhood against Hudaybi. In

January 1954, Nasser accused the Brotherhood of planning to overthrow the government and decided to dissolve the Brotherhood and arrest Al-Hudaybi and hundreds of the society members. The struggle over power between Naguib and Nasser encouraged Naguib to respond positively to a petition by Hudaybi to restore the society and set its detained members free. Al-Hudaybi supported Naguib (Zollner, 2009) and the Brothers were losing the trust in Nasser, who was gradually stripping Naguib of his powers. Based on their distrust in Nasser, some brothers secretly restored the Secret Apparatus. On 26 October, 1954, an unsuccessful assassination attempt against Nasser opened the gates of hell. Nasser accused the Brotherhood of planning and executing the assassination. "The organization was officially dissolved, the headquarters burned and thousands of its member arrested" (Mitchell, 1969). Al-Hudaybi was sentenced to life imprisonment with hard labor.

The Brotherhood's second crisis lasted till 1971. During the years between 1954 and 1971, many Brothers escaped Nasser's regime and migrated to Saudi Arabia among other countries. Sayyed Qutb issued his controversial books amidst this crisis in which he refused democracy and considered it a religion that no real Muslim is allowed to believe in (Zollner, 2009). Sadat came to power in 1971 showing more tolerance to the Brotherhood. Brotherhood detainees were gradually released and in 1975 a general amnesty released those few who remained behind the bars. Umar Talmasani succeeded Al-Hudaybi after his death in 1973. In 1976, the Brotherhood was allowed to issue its monthly newspaper, Al-Da'wa, yet their second demand of operating legally as a political party was refused. In 1980, the government amended the constitution to state that Sharia is the main source of all legislation. It is widely believed that there was an agreement between Sadat and the Brotherhood to tolerate their presence in exchange for their assistance in containing the secular opposition

forces (Ibrahim, 1982). Moreover, in many occasions the Islamists condemned the militant Islamist groups that appeared in Egypt in the 1970s. It is also worth mentioning that the Brotherhood made tactical alliances with other forces on some occasions, particularly in the last years of Sadat's tenure (Camp David demonstrations, Bread Riots, etc.).

The Brotherhood founded an efficient network of social services that yielded increasing popularity for the movement under Sadat's successor, Mubarak. Mubarak didn't introduce a radical change in Sadat's policy with the Brotherhood. Mubarak's 30 years witnessed six General Guides. Talmasani agreed to ally with the Wafd Party in the 1984 Parliamentary elections. Their alliance gained more votes than the entire opposition. When Talmasani passed away in 1986, his successor Abu Elnasr decided to repeat the experience by allying with the Labor Party and repeated the success of yielding more votes than the entire opposition. In 1992, Egypt witnessed an earthquake that revealed the inability of the state institutions to deal with catastrophes. The Brotherhood's social networks operated efficiently and provided the victims with the needed financial and medical assistance. The Brotherhood's rising popularity and dominance over several professional associations rang the bell and the government resorted to repressive measures again starting from 1993 (al-Awadi, 2009). In 1993 a legislation was issued that enabled putting the professional associations under the government's guardianship. 1995 and 1996 witnessed the first military trials for Brotherhood members under Mubarak, under the charge of reviving a banned organization and the planning to overthrow the government (al-Awadi, 2009). 1996 witnessed the death of Abu Elnasr and the appointment of Mustafa Mashhour as the fifth general guide. A new schism occurred in the Brotherhood when some of the Brotherhood's middle-generation leaders decided to leave and form a new political

party "El-Wassat." The party didn't receive an approval under Mubarak in spite of its 'secular' outlook. The Brotherhood won 17 seats in the Egyptian Parliamentary elections in 2000. Brotherhood members ran for the elections as independent candidates under judiciary supervision of the elections. In 2002, Maamoun Hudayebi succeeded Mashhour as the sixth General Guide. His tenure was the shortest as he died in 2004 to be succeeded by Mahdi Akef. In 2005, the Brotherhood achieved its largest success under Mubarak when it received 88 seats (20%) in the Egyptian Parliament. A new wave of media discrediting and military trials started after this achievement (al-Awadi, 2009). Mahdi Akef was the first General Guide who decided to leave the office willingly in 2010 for his successor Mohamed Badiae, the Brotherhood's eighth General Guide. The repression wave was followed by the 2010 parliamentary elections, widely believed to be rigged. In the second round of the 2010 elections, the Brotherhood decided to boycott the elections.

In January 2011, young Egyptians hit the streets announcing their discontent with the political and economic circumstances in Egypt. The Brotherhood announced their participation in the second round of demonstration on the 28th of January. Most of the Brotherhood's leadership was arrested before then. During the uprising, many of the Brotherhood's leaders were able to escape the prisons and join the uprising. After Mubarak's ouster, a honeymoon started between the Brotherhood and the ruling SCAF (Supreme Council of Armed Forces). In 2012, the Brotherhood dominated the parliamentary elections by securing 43.7% of the seats. Harbingers of tensions between SCAF and the Brotherhood came when the SCAF delayed the presidential elections and the Brotherhood were pushing to have the elections as soon as possible (Al-Anani, 2015). The Brotherhood's candidate for presidency, Mohamed Morsi, was elected in June, 2012. In August he replaced the SCAF leader, Tantawy, with Abd el-

Fatah el-Sisi. On the 30th of June 2013, huge demonstrations erupted and el-Sisi announced a road map and detained Mohamed Morsi. Since July 2013, the Brotherhood went in an open confrontation with the post-July regime in Egypt. Hundreds of brothers were killed and thousands were detained. Badie was detained and Ezzat became the acting General Guide. The Brotherhood witnessed a division over Ezzat's leadership and the means of resistance in 2015. Yet, both factions recognized Badie as the General Guide and Morsi as the legitimate president.

4.2. Text 1: Al-Banna's Message on the Brotherhood Program:

Al-Banna's messages are widely respected among the Brotherhood members, as they are perceived as the founding General Guide teachings to the Brothers. The messages are also popular in other Islamist societies. In the following pages, we focus on al-Banna message in the Brotherhood's fifth congress. The message clarifies the Brotherhood's program and position from the main political institutions including: constitution, laws, and caliphate.

4.2.1. The Author:

The author of the message is the founding General Guide of the Muslims Brotherhood, Hassan Al-Banna. He was born in 1906 in a religious family. He graduated from the faculty of Dar Al-Ulum, "a prestigious teachers' training seminary in Cairo affiliated with al-Azhar University" (Levy, 2014) in 1927 to work as an Arabic language teacher in Ismailia, where he established the Brotherhood society in 1928. In 1932, he moved back to Cairo and resumed his career there. Levy clarifies Al-Banna's role in establishing the Brotherhood as we know it by saying:

"Al-Bannā's call to Islam as the solution to the Egyptian and Muslim malaise appealed to many disappointed members of the public. He reckoned that Egypt should interpret Islam according to modern conditions and should use it as a leading force that would guide it to progress. He shrewdly framed his ideology as an authentic alternative to the liberal path, suiting it to the cultural crisis reverberating in Egypt. Al-Bannā's religious call marked the beginning of the society's politicization of Islam, injecting it with a new dynamism that would eventually thrust it into the forefront of Egyptian public affairs" (Levy, 2014).

4.2.2. Text 1 Context:

The message was delivered vocally by Al-Banna in the fifth congress of the Muslim Brotherhood society. The congress was attended by four thousand Brother depegates and attracted huge media coverage (al-Amin, 2014). The congress was held in February 1939, a few months before Mohamed's Youth left the Brotherhood. Apparently, the debate on the Brotherhood's program was ongoing so Al-Banna decided to clarify it and also announce his position vis-à-vis many controversial issues.

The message was delivered in Arabic and was published among Al-Banna's messages. It is available on many Arabic websites and considered one of the main references for understanding the Brotherhood program. The message is composed of nine chapters: The Brotherhood: An idea in four souls; Islam of the Muslim Brotherhood; the Brotherhood: Comprehensive reformation idea; the characteristics of the Brotherhood Daawa; Frankness; when is our next step; the program of the Muslim

Brotherhood; the Brotherhood and the various institutions; and the Brotherhood's position from the European countries.

In this analysis, the chapter on the program of the Muslim Brotherhood will be analyzed. The chapter discusses six topics, namely: The objective and the means; the Brotherhood, the power and the revolution; the Brotherhood and the ruling; the Brotherhood and the constitution; the Brotherhood and the legislations; and the Brotherhood and the Caliphate. The text will be summarized and the relevant information for the research question will be deduced.

4.2.3. Text 1 Analysis:

Al-Banna commenced this message by stating that the Brotherhood's objective was to form a new generation that believes in the rightful teachings of Islam and works to apply those teachings in all the aspects of life. He defined the mean to achieve this objective as raising the supporters to become role models for others and hence alter the traditions.

Al-Banna considered power an objective for every Muslim; he used Quranic verses to underline the importance of powerful Muslims. Yet, he clarified that power is established on three levels. On the first level comes the power of belief, on the second comes the power of unity, and on the third level comes the power of arms and weapons. Al-Banna asserted that the Brotherhood may use the arms power if there is no other alternative and if they are sure that they achieved the first and second levels of powers (Al-Banna, 1939, p. 2). Concerning the revolutions, Al-Banna clarified that the Brotherhood does not believe in forging revolutions but they do believe that if no reformation takes place, a revolution may be expected.

Furthermore, Al-Banna clearly stated that the Brotherhood is pursuing legislative and executive powers. He confirmed that preaching will be in vain if the legislative and executive powers are working against God's will. He considered the silence of the Islamist reformists a crime – the Brotherhood has to rise and take the executive power from those who don't comply to Islam (Al-Banna, 1939, p. 2). He mentioned that if there are others who are able to rule with an Islamist program, the Brotherhood will support them. But, if there is no alternative to those who don't comply with God's orders, then the Brotherhood will step in. He added that the Brotherhood is smarter than ruling in this times. It has to wait till its principles prevail before standing for ruling.

Before the Brotherhood's congress, Saleh Ashmawi, Editor in Chief of el-Nazir magazine – the Brotherhood's magazine at the time – issued an article criticizing the constitutions and considered it un-Islamic. The government criticized Ashmawi's article. Al-Banna started his words on the constitution by confirming that Ashmawi's position is personal and does not reflect the Brotherhood's position. Al-Banna considered non-contradiction with Islam as the principle of having a constitution. Moreover, he considered constitutional regimes to be the most compatible ones with Islam (Al-Banna, 1939, p. 3). However, Al-Banna criticized the ambiguity of the Egyptian constitution on many topics, particularly its articles on elections.

Al-Banna's position on the legislations was stronger and clearer. He declared that the Egyptian legislations are incompatible with Islam. Furthermore, he clarified that Islam is associated with legislations which came in Quran and Sunna, whereas Egyptian legislations collide not only with Islam but with the constitution, which states that Islam is the religion of the state. He added that the Brotherhood will never

accept such legislations and they will use "every mean" to replace them with just Islamic legislations (Al-Banna, 1939, p. 5).

The Brotherhood's position on the Caliphate was also a subject that Al-Banna clarified in this message. He stated that the presence of a Caliphate is essential for Islam. Moreover, he considered that restoring the Caliphate is a priority in the Muslim Brotherhood program. Nevertheless, he confirmed that preparations, including pacts and conferences, have to be accomplished before restoring the Caliphate. He considered the agreement on the Imam to be the final step before restoring the Caliphate. He also considered the Imam to be "God's shadow on earth" (Al-Banna, 1939, p. 7).

Generally, the message referred to Quran and Sunnah in almost every section. Al-Banna was keen on showing that he does not work for the Brotherhood as much as he works for Islam generally. In his discourse, he focused on showing that the Brotherhood is not searching for office for itself; rather, he is searching for installing the Islamic legislation. He manipulated his discourse on the constitution by showing that he tolerates the constitution – indicating how he probably learned from Ashmawy's crisis – while attacking the legislations and labeling it as non-Islamic.

In conclusion, Al-Banna's message reflected the Brotherhood's position on many basic issues. He showed that installing the Caliphate is not just an objective for the Brotherhood but an obligation on Muslims in general. He also confirmed that Islam came with its legislations and that Muslims have to comply to Islamic legislations as found in the Quran and Sunna. He explained that Muslims have to pursue physical power only after achieving the powers of belief and unity, and that the resort to the physical power must happen only if there are no alternatives. He also

stated that preaching is in vain if the legislative and executive authorities continue working against the Islamic agenda. Al-Banna highlighted his gradualist approach by putting conditions and steps that have to be achieved before accomplishing any of the objectives that he clarified in his message. Finally, Al-Banna was looking to restore the Caliphate that is governed by Islamic legislations through all means. Yet, he was not ready to lose what he achieved from 1928 to 1939. This explains why he endorsed a gradualist approach. Al-Banna's gradualist approach was to ensure that he will neither collide with the Egyptians nor the regime.

4.3.Text 2: Qutb's Milestones:

Amidst the Brotherhood's second crisis, Sayyed Qutb issued one of the main references of the Jihadist movements. Qutb's book is not officially recognized as a bible for the Brotherhood. On the contrary, Hudaybi issued *Duat La Qudat* (Preachers not Judges) to refute Qutb's arguments. Despite this, the Brotherhood "insists on retaining Sayyid Qutb within its pantheon and on the reading lists for its members" (Brown, 2010). It is widely believed that Qutbians and Bannians within the Brotherhood are competing to enforce their ideas. However, this classification is arguably a shallow interpretation for the eagles and pigeons dichotomy.

4.3.1. The Author

In 2006, the Combating Terrorism Center launched a "citation analysis" project to figure out who the most cited Jihadist ideologues in the Islamist literature are. After analyzing the texts, Sayyed Qutb earned the title of "the most cited modern Islamist thinker" (McCants, 2006). Sayyed Qutb and Hassan Al-Banna were born in the same year, 1906. Qutb was born in Asyut in Upper Egypt. Both of them studied in the faculty of Dar Al-Ulum, "a prestigious teachers' training seminary in Cairo

affiliated with al-Azhar University" (Levy, 2014), and worked in the field of education. Qutb graduated in 1932 from Dar Al-Ulum while Al-Banna graduated in 1927. Qutb's relatively late graduation date was due to financial difficulties that led him to work as a primary school teacher before completing his university degree. Later, Qutb became known for publishing articles on Arabic literature in Egyptian magazines. In this phase, he was not affiliated with the Brotherhood. He was a big fan of Abbas Mahmoud El-Akkad and he considered himself one of Akkad's pupils. The radical change in Qutb's life occurred when he was sent by the ministry of education on a study trip to the United States of America in 1948. During his study in the United States, he wrote articles that criticized the American culture and showed how the materialistic American contributions were not met with values or principles. He received the news of Al-Banna's assassination while he was in the States. In 1952, the Free Officers overthrew King Farouq. Qutb was one of the biggest supporters of the Officers' movement and it was widely believed that he had good personal relations with the young Officers before the movement. Qutb maintained good relations with both the Officers and the Brotherhood. Yet, Qutb's relation with the Officers began to deteriorate in 1953 and he decided to join the Brotherhood. Youssef Qaradawi revealed that Qutb was close to Hudaybi, who put him in charge of the Brotherhood's "Nashr El-Daawa" (Propagating the call) division. Moreover, he was appointed as Editor-in-Chief of the weekly "Ikhwan Muslemeen" magazine. In January 1954, Qutb was among the Brotherhood leaders who were arrested. He was released in March and issued the "Ikhwan Muslemeen" magazine, which was critical of Nasser and his Pan Arabism policies. Qutb was arrested again after the assassination trial of Abd el Nasser in Manshyiah and he received a 15-year prison sentence. He spent 10 years in jail, where he wrote the cornerstone of the Jihadi literature, *Milestones*. He was

released in 1964 after a meditation by the Iraqi President Abd el Salam Aref. In 1965 he was arrested again and charged of leading an armed movement aiming at overthrowing the regime. He received a death sentence and was hanged in 1966. Qutb was a bachelor till his death in 1966.

4.3.2. Text 2 Context:

The book was written by Sayyed Qutb while he was in jail. It was published for the first time in 1964. The exact date of the book's synthesis is unknown, but it is located sometime between 1954 and 1964, while Qutb was in jail. During those years, Brotherhood members were suffering in Nasser's prisons, as he crushed the movement ruthlessly. In fact, the Brotherhood members always claim they suffered from torture and inhumane treatment during this era.

Qutb based most of his arguments on his interpretation for Quran and Sunna. He referred mainly to medieval Islamist thinkers such as "al-Jawziyya, al-Mawdudi and Ibn Kathir." Practically, Qutb forged a comparison between the crisis that believers faced in past ages and the crisis the Brotherhood suffered from. Based on this idea, he considered it possible to relate Nasser to the apostate rulers who used to torture Muslims.

Qutb's *Milestones* was published in many versions and languages. Online versions can easily be downloaded for free all over the Internet. According to the Combatting Terrorism Center, *Milestones* was the fourth most seen book on tawheed.ws (A Jihadist website) since its foundation till 2006 (McCants, 2006). In terms of its structure, the book has twelve chapters, which are:

The Unique Qur'anic Generation, the Nature of the Qur'anic Method, the Characteristics of the Islamic Society and the correct method for its formation, Jihad

in the Cause of Allah, La Ilaha Illa Allah Methodology of the life of Islam, the Universal Law, Islam is the Real Civilization, the Islamic Concept and Culture, A Muslim's Nationality and Belief, Far-Reaching Changes, the Triumphant Faith, and this is the Road.

For the purpose of this study, the chapter titled "A Muslim's Nationality and Belief" will be analyzed. In the chapter, Qutb argues that Muslims must not have loyalty to any state but the Islamist; they have to belong to it even if their friends, families or interests are in other countries. The chapter defines the Islamist State as the state in which Sharia law is applied and where Quran is the constitution.

4.3.3. Text 2 Analysis:

Qutb started the chapter by highlighting a statement that he previously mentioned in the book. He confirmed that the only true system is Islam, whereas all other systems are Jahiliyyahh. He also stated that the only law ought to be followed is Sharia, while all the other laws were predicated upon "emotionalism and impulsiveness." Based on this, Qutb concluded that "a Muslim has no nationality except his belief, which makes him a member of the Muslim community in Dar-ul-Islam. A Muslim has no relatives except those who share belief in Allah and thus a bond is established between him and other believer through their relationship with Allah" (Qutb, 2006, p. 133). Qutb followed this by introducing numerous examples of believers who left their families and countries to join or build Dar-ul-Islam. Qutb concluded by stating: "thus this partisanship - the partisanship of lineage - ended, and this slogan - the slogan of race - died, and this pride - the pride of nationality - vanished, and man's spirit soared to higher horizons, freed from the bondage of flesh and blood and the pride of soil and country. From that day, the Muslim's country has

not been a piece of land, but the homeland of Islam (Dar-ul-Islam)" (Qutb, 2006, p. 139).

Qutb continued his argument through clarification of Dar-ul-Islam and Dar-ul-Harb. He explicitly stated that he does not believe in the equation of 'homeland' with a piece of land or in the determination of nationality by governments. He believed that the Muslims' homeland is Dar-ul-Islam, where the ruler is Allah, the constitution is the Quran, and the legislations are derived from Sharia. Dar-ul-Islam doesn't differentiate between citizens according to their origin, race, or social level; Islam unites them all. According to Qutb, any country where Sharia is not applied, and where Muslims are not only fought, but also prrevented them from practicing their religion, is Dar-ul-Harb – even if the Muslim's family and relatives reside there (Qutb, 2006, p. 144).

Qutb referred to Quranic verses and elements of Sunna in almost every page of the chapter, throughout which he repeated his message several times He agreed with Al-Banna in his approach on the necessity of restoring the Caliphate, yet he saw it as an urgent priority. He also agreed with Al-Banna on the importance of installing Sharia law. Yet, they seem to disagree on the issue of the constitution and tolerance for democracy, even as a means to install Sharia. Al-Banna endorsed a gradualist approach that seemed to be rejected by Qutb, who believed that Sharia must be applied immediately by every Muslim as it is God's will that must be followed. Al-Banna had the luxury of political manipulation as his organizational existence was tolerated by the regime, and so he didn't want to threaten this very existence. This was not the case for Qutb, whose organization lost its legal status and was banned as its members were suffering in Nasser's prisons. Qutb had no reason to endorse a gradualist approach as he had almost nothing to lose. Surprisingly, his ideas were the

reason that he forfeited a final loss: his life; Qutb's ideas and practices were the reason that he received a life sentence and was hanged in 1966.

4.4. Text 3: Nahda Project:

The Brotherhood announced that it is running for presidency in 2012. Their candidate was Khairat el-Shater. When el-Shater was disqualified from running for the presidency, Mohamed Morsi announced endorsing the Nahda – renaissance – program and was declared the official Brotherhood candidate for presidency. The program was not written by a single author. Many authors participated in drafting the program and the Brotherhood didn't announce who the writers were.

4.4.1. Text 3 Context:

After the 25th of January 2011, Egypt witnessed fair parliamentary elections late 2011. The elections' outcome was the dominance of the Brotherhood over 43.7% of the Egyptian Parliament seats. The following step was the presidential elections, which was expected to be decisive for the future of Egypt. The Brotherhood, despite previously announced it will not run for the senior position, changed its mind and decided to run for presidency. The Brotherhood explained the decision through fear of hijacking of the revolution, while others considered this a secret deal between SCAF and the Brotherhood with the aim of dividing the Islamist votes. El-Sherif (2012) refuted those arguments and confirmed that the Brotherhood's decision to run for presidency was earnest, although he called it "a strategic mistake". Apparently, the alliance between the Brotherhood and SCAF was coming to an end. The disputes over Ganzouri's cabinet, the constitution-drafting committee, and an expected judicial decree to dismiss the parliament among other factors negatively affected the relationship. The supporters of the former NDP and various secular forces expressed

concern about this Brotherhood's decision and explicitly announced that the Brotherhood has an extremist agenda that contradicts the constitutional nature of the Egyptian state. The Brotherhood's first candidate was Khairat el-Shater, who was disqualified from running in the elections due to legal reasons. The Brotherhood expected the legal difficulties that el-Shater met and had a secondary candidate: Mohamed Morsi. The Brotherhood exerted serious efforts in branding Morsi as the representative of the Egyptian revolution, particularly in the second round when he was running against Ahmed Shafik.

The Brotherhood members were confident about securing a significant portion of the Islamist vote, particularly after the disqualification of their Islamist rival Abu Ismail, leaving Abu el-Fetouh as the only qualified Islamic competitor for Morsi. The Brotherhood's votes and other individuals who elected Morsi yielded approximately 5,760,000 votes out of 23,672,000 who cast their votes. It was true that those votes alone would not be able to deliver Morsi to the presidential palace. This explains why the Brotherhood needed to introduce an appropriate discourse that can gain the sympathy of the non-Islamist voters. Few days prior to the second round of elections, the Brotherhood held "Fairmont Meetings," inviting political figures in favor of "Jan 25th" to reach a consensus on the conditions of supporting Morsi. In the meetings, the Brotherhood showed understanding for the participants' demands and promised to take them in consideration in exchange of the participants' support of Morsi.

To sum up, the Brotherhood knew it won't be possible to make it to the presidential palace with its votes only, so they issued many reassurances for the moderates. Among those reassurances was the Nahda program.

The Brotherhood's Nahda program was disseminated in print and online. It was distributed for free during Morsi's presidential campaign. Seven strategic paths were announced in the Nahda program, namely:

Building the political system. transforming into developmental economy, societal empowerment, comprehensive human resource development, building a safety and security system, achieving regional and international leadership, and files under focus.

The path we explore in this thesis is "building the political system." This is why we analyze the first two subchapters of "the political program and regime." The Nahda program constructs democracy in Islamist language to show that the modern democracy pillars are compatible with Islam.

4.4.2. Text 3 analysis:

The program's introduction states that it is based on a moderate understanding of Sharia, and it serves to prepare society and reform its legislation in preparation for a proper implementation of Sharia (Nahda, 2012, p. 6). The program also confirms in the introduction that the implementation of Sharia includes all citizens.

The "political program and regime" chapter is composed of the following three subchapters: democratic system, Islamic reference, and modern state. It starts by clarifying that the Brotherhood will adapt a gradual conversion from the presidential system to a semi parliamentarian system. The "democratic system" subchapter underlines the Shoura's role and how it has to be adapted as a principle because of God's orders in Quran that define it as a behavior and strategy in administrating the state.

The program underlines the importance of the separation of powers in order to guarantee the rule of law. The most important point that this chapter raises is that the

people are the source of authority and that it is the state's role to encourage pluralism and partnership with civil society (Nahda, 2012, p. 7).

The "Islamic reference" subchapter defines the state as a constitutional national state that is not dominated by security forces. The program also affirms that the state must not be a theocracy, but a state ruled by elected rulers, who are accountable to the citizens. It follows from this that the program stresses on protecting human rights, including freedom of belief, since they were stated by Islam,. The program confirms that the protection of freedoms will occur in light of respecting the original religious values. It is also mentioned that the program endorses equality, refuses discrimination, and supports and empowers women for political and societal participation. The subchapter concludes by confirming the independence of Al-Azhar and the Egyptian Church (Nahda, 2012, p. 8).

The Nahda program represents the most "modern" and "democratic" document presented by the Brotherhood. It admits that citizens are the sources of authority. It also explains the essentiality of a power separation and checks and balances, while openly refuting theocracy. Moreover, the document asserts freedom of belief as well as the state's role in enhancing pluralism. However, the program limits the scope of human rights to those approved by Islam. It uses Islamic terms like Shoura and refers to Quranic verses and elements of Sunna.

The program may be perceived as a development in the Brotherhood's political thinking or as a maneuver to guarantee the required non-Islamist votes. It may also be perceived as a step in the Brotherhood's gradualist approach to assume power. Its introduction, which states that "the program serves to prepare society and reform its legislation to prepare it for a proper implementation of Sharia" may be interpreted as

follows: this program is just a step on the way to prepare society for the implementation of Sharia. Unfortunately, we will never be sure of the Brotherhood's real intention,s as Morsi was overthrown after one turbulent year in power.

4.5.Conclusion:

Reading Qutb's *Milestones* alone or hearing the Brotherhood's spokesmen debating the Nahda program only yields misunderstandings of the Brotherhood's vision of the Islamist State's institutions. Al-Banna's messages are very important for understanding the foundations of society. Further readings across the Brotherhood's history clarify Al-Banna's messages as well as the Brotherhood's understanding of them. If I have to choose a main theme for the Brotherhood's vision of the state, it will be their gradualist approach and their readiness to maneuver in order to maintain society and achieve its targets.

Qutb and Al-Banna were clear about their intention to install the Islamic Caliphate to unite the entire Umma under the banner of Islam. Al-Banna seemed more patient to achieve his goal than Qutb. Al-Banna believed in pacts, alliances, and diplomatic tools to install the Caliphate while Qutb divided the world to Dar-ul-Islam and Dar-ul-Harb. The term Caliphate was not mentioned in the Nahda program, which confirmed establishing a constitutional national state. I find no contradiction in the three documents, as they are compatible with the Brotherhood's objective of restoring the Caliphate, either by Al-Banna's diplomatic approach, Qutb's violent approach, or Mursi's gradual approach.

Concerning the sources of legislation, Qutb clearly stated that refusal to comply to god rules is an apostasy and that Sharia has to be followed. Al-Banna's approach was not contradicting with Qutb's approach as he clarified that Islam is associated with its

own legislations which came in Quran and Sunna and that those legislations have to be followed. Among Al-Banna's justifications was that the Egyptian constitution states that Islam is the religion of the state. Morsi stated that applying Sharia is an objective. Yet, Morsi showed that many reforms have to be performed as a prerequisite of applying Sharia. Apparently, the Brotherhood believes in applying Sharia. Yet, they may tolerate a gradual installment for the Sharia law.

The Brotherhood's positions from democratic institutions were diverse. Qutb refused the concept of democracy and considered it a new religion that Muslims have to refrain from believing in. Al-Banna tolerated the concept of democracy while refusing the separation of powers and institution of political parties. Both Qutb and Al-Banna mentioned a possibility for violent actions if it is the only means to install Sharia. Nahda project was issued as an electoral program in pluralistic presidential elections. The program was issued by Freedom and Justice Party which was recognized as the political wing of the Brotherhood. Apparently, there is a diversity in the Brotherhood's positions from the democratic institutions.

The spectrum between Qutb's milestones and Morsi's Nahda can be only understood in the context of Al-Banna's gradualism. Yet, it is illogical to assume a continuous consensus among the Brotherhood over the mentioned topics. Apparently, what gives the Brotherhood itss character is a mix between gradualism, through which the Brotherhood maintains its structure, and the capabilities of toleration, and adaptation which issued Morsi's Nahda in the euphoria of the 25th of January, delivered Qutb's *Milestones* in Nasser's jails, and maintained Al-Banna's message as the Brotherhood bible.

Summary:

	Al-Banna (in the fifth congress, 1939)	Qutb (in Milestones, 1964)	Morsi (in Nahda Program, 2012)
Caliphate	Primary objective of Muslims. Has	Muslims must install it promptly.	Not mentioned.

	to be applied gradually.		
Sharia	Component of Islam mentioned in Quran and Sunna.	A state can't be Islamist if Sharia is not applied.	Has to be applied gradually.
Democratic institutions	Refused political parties, separation of powers. Yet, accepted parliament.	Refused democracy and considered it a religion.	Accepted both political parties, and separation of powers.
Power usage to install Sharia	Accepted	Accepted	Refused

<div align="center">Table 4.1</div>

5. The Islamist State Model of Al-Qaeda

"There must be migration and endurance for the costs of the victory and implementation of the religion" (Ben Laden, 2009)

"America under attack" is a news headline that won't be forgotten for a long time. The 11th of September attacks and their aftermath branded al-Qaeda as the

superstar of Islamist movements in the first decade of the 21st century. Obama issued the "National Strategy for Counterterrorism" in June 2011 in which the terms "global terrorism" and "Qaeda" were almost used as interchangeable synonyms. Understanding Qaeda vision for Islamist State institutions is necessary for the purposes of this study. This chapter starts with a brief review of the history of Qaeda since its mysterious foundation after the Afghanistan War. Qaeda foundation, Bin Laden's migrations, September 11 attacks, and the inter-Jihadist War between ISIS and Qaeda are landmarks in Qaeda history that we will investigate. This will be followed by analyzing three eye-opening documents by Qaeda's ideologues. The first document is Bin Laden's speech "Declaration of Jihad against Americans Occupying the Land of the Two Holiest Sites." In this speech Bin Laden explains the reasons why Qaeda considers America the enemy and why Jihad against America is the precondition to establish the Islamist State. The second document is a video message by Ayman al-Zawahiri in which he compares the Islamist and secular States and highlights the differences between both. Al Zawahiri focused on Sharia and the concept of nationality among other differences between the Islamist and secular states. The last document is a paper written by Abu al-Mundhir al-Shinqiti, in which he clarifies his religious position on the ISIS Caliph, and explains the conditions required before announcing a Caliph. The chapter ends in a conclusion and summary to deduce Qaeda's vision of the Islamist State institutions.

5.1. Historical Background:

It is widely believed that Qaeda was founded by Osama Bin Laden in August 1988 to replace the Arabic jihadist organizations which used to fight the Soviets during the Soviet-Afhgan war. The objective of Qaeda was expressed during its foundation "to lift the word of God, to make his religion victorious." During the

foundation, Qaeda was willing to play a regional role – in Afghanistan and Pakistan – rather than a global role (Bergen & Cruickshank, 2012). There are other narrations regarding the foundation of Qaeda. Abdullah Azzam – Bin Laden's mentor and a jihadist ideologue – arguably had a crucial role in converting the Services Bureau (Mujahideen Recruitment Bureau) to Al Qaeda, as early as 1987 (Gunaratna & Ebrary, 2002). Another narration claims that Qaeda was not an established entity with a clear organizational structure during this phase. Moreover, there is a claim that Qaeda was not even called so in this phase (Burke, 2003). The name Qaeda saw the light in the late 1980s making use of the Arab Mujahideen who fought against the Soviets under the leadership of Osama Bin Laden, who had a regional, rather than a global, ambition.

In 1989, Bin Laden bribed Pakistani parliamentarians to vote against Benazir Bhutto in a no-confidence vote. When Bhutto discovered Bin Laden's actions, she pressured the Saudi government to return Bin Laden to Saudi Arabia. He was therefore called to return to Saudi Arabia, where he became more interested in the Jihad against the Arabic regimes (near enemy), particularly the South Yemeni communist regime. Azzam, who was assassinated in 1989, opposed Jihad against Muslim rulers who do not apply Sharia and considered Jihad for liberating Palestine, for example, a priority. On the other hand, Zawahiri believed that Jihad against Arab secular regimes (near enemy) is a priority and that the road to Jerusalem goes through Cairo (Gerges, 2011). During this phase, Bin Laden and al Qaeda believed they are eligible to announce Jihad against non-Muslim regimes. In 1991, Bin Laden returned to Pakistan, where he faced pressures from the Pakistani government against the Mujahdeen, who were also subjected to increasing fractionization. Bin Laden decided to relocate his group and himself to Sudan in 1992 (Bergan & Cruickshank, 2012). In

1992, he expressed his discontent with the American troops present in the Gulf countries and later in Somalia. Qaeda executed attacks against the American troops in Somalia as early as two weeks after their arrival (December 1992). The Egyptian Islamic Jihad (EIJ) Organization – responsible for many assassination assaults against Egyptian politicians - joined Bin Laden in Sudan under the leadership of Ayman al Zawahiri. "Bin Laden supported EIJ to the extent that certain non-Egyptian members of al Qaeda became envious of their treatment" (Gerges, 2011). By 1996 Qaeda's institutional structure was already fully set up. There is no consensus over how tolerant the Sudanese regime was with Bin Laden regarding allowing Qaeda to have its training camps. Yet, Bin Laden was able to maintain the presence of his organization in Sudan with several means and coverts. They included huge business in the field of construction that he owned, and where he almost lost all his inherited fortune. From Sudan, Qaeda started military, political, and financial control over several Islamist movements. Bin Laden's relationship with the Saudi regime deteriorated to the extent that they withdraw his Saudi nationality. Thereupon, he described   the   Saudi   regime   as "apostate."   In 1996, Bin Laden became completely sure that America is Islam's enemy and that Qaeda had to publically declare Jihad against it. Qaeda officially prioritized the far enemy rather than the near enemy. Apparently, the Sudanese government, which was already facing pressures to deploy Bin Laden, was not going to tolerate such declaration. Bin Laden therefore departed from Sudan to Afghanistan and announced his famous declaration of Jihad against America from the peaks of Hindu Kush.

Bin Laden arrived to Afghanistan a few months before the complete domination of Taliban over the country. Taliban-Qaeda relationships were not as ideal as many

perceive them to be. Gerges clarifies that the Taliban regime was neither interested in the near nor the far enemy. It wanted to install an Islamist regime and face the internal challenges. Most of Taliban's senior advisors were against hosting Qaeda because it would have engaged Taliban in unneeded tensions. The Saudi regime, for example, used to support Taliban; the latter knew that the Saudi regime would cut those ties if it supported Qaeda. It was Mulla Mohamed Omar – Taliban's leader – himself who decided to accept hosting Qaeda against the will of Taliban's advisors (Gerges, 2011). Omar allowed Qaeda to build their training camps all over Afghanistan. Qaeda's position from Saudi Arabia and the United States transformed its camps to "Mecca of the Jihadists," who joined the camps from all over the world, especially Saudi Arabia and Yemen, to receive military and religious training. Taliban asked Qaeda on many occasions to stop releasing media materials attacking Saudi Arabia and America and not to launch attacks on foreign interests (Gerges, 2011). Apparently, Qaeda didn't comply with Taliban's instructions. During Qaeda's presence in Afghanistan under Taliban regime (1996-2001), they performed several attacks against foreign interests, among them were the twin bombings of the American embassies in Kenya and Tanzania, the famous attack on the American Destroyer USS Cole, and the 9/11 attacks.

On the morning of September 11th, nineteen men hijacked four commercial airplanes. Two planes targeted the World Trade Center in New York, another targeted the Pentagon in Washington. The fourth plane crashed in a field near Shanksville, Pennsylvania. It is widely believed that the fourth plane had a target as well, but the passengers' resistance forced the hijackers to end the trip earlier than expected. The death toll was as catastrophic as claiming 2977 lives in the attacks. Shocked by the attacks, America initiated the operation "Enduring Freedom" in October to deprive

Qaeda from its safe haven in Afghanistan and overthrow the Taliban regime. Taliban lost its last strongholds and Karazi swore in as the head of power sharing government before the end of 2001. Yet, an asymmetric war between the Jihadists and Coalition Forces is still ongoing. Actually, operation Enduring Freedom was not limited to Afghanistan. In fact, it extended to target Qaeda affiliates in Philippines, the African Horn, and Saharra, among other locations. Bin Laden and Zawahiri were able to escape the military operation and keep their lines of communication with their affiliates active.

The years from 2001 to 2011 witnessed numerous operations by Qaeda against Western interests. Among those operations were the 2002 Bali and Mombasa attacks, the 2003 Riyadh Compound bombing, the 2004 Madrid Bombings, and the 2005 London Bombings. In those years, several effective Islamist groups joined Qaeda and became their regional affiliates as well. Qaeda branches in Saudi Arabia and Yemen merged in 2009 as Al-Qaeda in the Arab Peninsula (AQAP); in 2003 Al-Qaeda in Islamic Maghreb (AQIM) pledged allegiance to Qaeda; Nigerian Boko Haram, Somalian Al-Shabab and several Afghani movements were also engaged with al-Qaeda's network in those years. In May 2011, Osama Bin Laden was killed by United States Navy Seals in the operation Neptune Spear in Abbottabad, Pakistan. The attackers claimed to find a treasure of documents. Bin Laden's mail was an actual treasure to understand how Qaeda was operating. The documents clarified that Bin Laden was deeply involved in the micro-management of the vast network, contrary to the belief that he was an isolated ideologue (Joscelyn, 2006).

Zawahiri succeeded Bin Laden in the leadership of Qaeda. In his tenure, Qaeda witnessed the rise of ISIS, a new ideal competitor in the Jihadist market who cut from Qaeda's share. Actually, Abu Mosaab Zarqawi, the founder of Islamic State in Iraq,

was an affiliate of Qaeda and his organization used to be called Al-Qaeda in Iraq (AQI). Yet, in order to unite the Iraqi Jihadist movements in their fight against the Americans, he changed the name to Islamic State in Iraq (ISI) in coordination with Qaeda. The Zarqawi-Zawahiri relationship was turbulent even under Bin Laden, since Zarqawi did not adhere to the Qaeda directives and Zawahiri considered him an extremist (Celso, 2015). When Baghdadi became the leader of ISI, he sent Julani to Syria, where he founded the successful Jabhet el-Nusra. Baghdadi decided to rename his organization to Islamic State in Iraq and Sham (ISIS). Julani refused to comply with Baghdadi's state and believed he had to report to Qaeda directly. Zawahiri supported Julani's position against Baghdadi, who openly considered himself the rightful Caliph of the Islamic State and assumed that every Muslim has to pay allegiance to him. Since then, ISIS and Nusra (supported by Qaeda) were involved in military and propaganda warfare. In 2016, Nusra changed its name to Jabhet Fath el-Sham, thus claiming to cut ties with other organizations. However, it is widely believed that Nusra didn't cut ties with Qaeda and that the rebranding was a political maneuver.

There is a belief that Qaeda is declining under Zawahiri. Joscelyn (2016) refuted this idea clarifying that "with Zawahiri as its emir, al Qaeda raised its "largest formal affiliate in history" in Syria and operated its "largest training" camp ever in Afghanistan. These two facts alone undermine the widely-held assumption that al Qaeda is on death's door". Moreover, Zawahiri announced in 2014 a new affiliate for Qaeda in the Indian Subcontinent (AQIM) that paid allegiance to him next to AQAP and AQIM. Zawahiri kept the organization operating and able to threaten the interests of the far enemy. In addition to this, Qaeda frequently releases propaganda material

that goes viral over social media, especially the powerful messages of Ayman al-Zawahiri.

5.2.Text 4: Bin Laden's Declaration of Jihad:

In 1996, Bin Laden announced his famous declaration of Jihad against the Americans occupying the land of the two holiest sites from the peaks of the Hindu Kush Mountains in Afghanistan. But very few could predict that Bin Laden would cause the exceptional death toll that Bin Laden's Qaeda caused. The declaration explains the reasons of hostility between Qaeda and the Unites States. It also reveals a few characteristics of the Islamist State as Bin Laden perceives it in his critique for the Saudi regime.

5.2.1. The Author:

Osama Mohamed Awad Bin Laden was born in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, in 1957. His father, Mohamed Bin Laden, "arrived in Saudi Arabia from the rugged terrain of Northern Yemen with only what he had in his pockets, built a multi-billion-dollar construction empire from the ground up, and established strong ties with the royal family" (Gerges, 2011). Osama Bin Laden was the 17th of 52 sons and daughters of the wealthy billionaire. He had a luxurious early life; he was schooled in Saudi Arabia, Syria, and Lebanon, and spent many hours on his family's ranch in the hills and valleys between Jeddah and Mecca. Although Bin Laden showed commitment to religious teachings since an early age, he didn't show any interest in politics until the late 1970s.

Bin Laden studied economics at King Abdul Aziz University in Jeddah. During his study, he met two lecturers who deeply influenced him: Mohamed Qutb, the brother of the famous Jihadist ideologue Sayed Qutb, and Abdullah Azzam, a

Palestinian scholar who became Bin Laden's mentor during his presence in Afghanistan in the 1980s (Gerges, 2011). Britannica tells the story of Bin Laden's travel to Afghanistan, that:

> shortly after the Soviet Union invaded Afghanistan in 1979, bin Laden, who viewed the invasion as an act of aggression against Islam, began traveling to meet Afghani resistance leaders and raise funds for the resistance. By 1984 his activities were centered mainly in Afghanistan and Pakistan, where he collaborated with Azzam to recruit and organize Arab volunteers to fight the Soviet occupation. Bin Laden's financial resources, along with his reputation for piety and for bravery in combat, enhanced his stature as a militant leader (Britannica, Osama bin Laden, 2017).

In Afghanistan, Osama Bin Laden became the militant leader who later established al-Qaeda and led it through its ups and downs. After September 11th, 2001, Bin Laden became America's most wanted. The American efforts to capture or kill Bin Laden didn't yield any outcome for 10 years, until May 2nd, 2011, when US troops were able to locate Osama Bin Laden in a compound in Abbottabad, Pakistan. They attacked the compound and succeeded in exterminating Osama Bin Laden.

5.2.2. Text 4 Context:

The widely distributed declaration was recorded on an audio cassette tape spanning approximately 90 minutes in August 1996. The written version of the declaration was widely distributed as well. It was titled "A Fatwa by Osama Bin Laden." The usage of cassette tapes could be inspired by the success of Khomeini in motivating the Iranians before the Iranian Revolution of 1979 through his use of audio tapes.

Osama Bin Laden inherited good relations with the Saudi royal family from his father. His decisions to travel to Afghanistan and to support the resistance against the

Soviets was in harmony with the Saudi foreign policy, which took the side of the resistance against the Soviet forces. In the early 1990s, the Saudi regime asked for military assistance from the United States to protect Saudi lands from a potential invasion by Saddam Hussein's regime after his invasion of Kuwait. The American military presence in the Arab Peninsula outraged Osama Bin Laden, as he declared that the Saudi regime asked for the infidels' assistance to defend against his fellow Muslims' Iraqi regime. Accordingly, the relation between Bin Laden and the Saudi regime began to deteriorate until, in 1994, the Saudi regime decided to withdraw Bin Laden's Saudi nationality.

Bin Laden's position refusing the presence of American troops in the Arab Peninsula was adopted by other Salafi scholars, among whom was Saffar el-Hawali, whom Bin Laden cited in his speech. The years 1995 and 1996 witnessed two violent attacks on the American Troops in Saudi Arabia in the cities of Riyadh and Khobar. Both attacks directed a message to the American troops to withdraw from Saudi Arabia.

This document clarifies Qaeda's priorities for establishing the Islamist State and explains why they consider their Jihad against the United State as an important step to build their Islamist State. Bin Laden also criticized the Saudi regime and revealed the reforms that it had to perform in order to be an Islamist regime.

5.2.3. Text 4 Analysis:

Bin Laden opened his speech with the traditional religious start. He followed this by enumerating what he considered the Jewish Christian alliance's violations against the Muslims all over the world. He identified occupying the land of the two holy places as the greatest aggression against Muslims. Bin Laden followed this by

accusing the Jewish Christian alliance to be the reason behind detaining many Islamist scholars and chasing others (Bin Laden, 1996, p. 2). He stated that he found himself a safe haven in the Hindukush Mountains.

Bin Laden criticized the economic and social situation in Saudi Arabia, asserting that this is the everyday talk of all Saudis. He accused the Saudi regime of withdrawing its legitimacy by suspending the Islamic Sharia law and confronting its Ulama, in addition to allowing Americans to occupy the land and accusing them of destroying the Saudi economy through the latter's heavy spending on their forces and compliance to the oil prices they enforce (Bin Laden, 1996, p. 4). Bin Laden mentioned that numerous attempts were made to advise the king to change his policies. Among them was the letter of Shawwal (1991) and the glorious Memo of Advice (1992). The Memo of Advice mentioned several topics, including the harassment suffered by the leaders of the society, the deviation from Sharia and arbitrary declaration of what is Halal and Haram, the state of the press and the media, the financial and economic situation and the miserable situation of the social services, the lack of training and preparing for the army, and supporting enemies of Islam in the Saudi foreign policy (Bin Laden, 1996, p. 5). Bin Laden concluded this section by asserting that the use of manmade laws instead of Sharia and the support of infidels against Muslims are acts of apostates.

Based on the previous points, Bin Laden called upon Muslims to focus on the main enemy (Jewish Christian Alliance) that divides the Muslim lands and recruit agents in the region to face the Islamist reform. He assumed that the enemy faces the Islamist reform by several means. One example is engaging them in internal conflicts with their regimes, either through armed battles or Ulama, who release false Fatwas supporting the agent's regimes. Bin Laden urged Muslims to unite against the Jewish

Christian alliance even if they have to include others to face the enemy. Those others can even be apostates as long as they are less harmful to Muslims than the enemy is (Bin Laden, 1996, p. 7). He warned Muslims against internal conflicts that cause casualties among Muslims, economic losses, destroy infrastructure and fragment society.

Bin Laden addressed the Saudi army and Security Forces, reminding them of the Islamic history and the role of the Muslim armies in facing the enemies of Islam. He criticized the Saudi regime for bringing "the women of the Christian armies to protect their regime" instead of building the capacities of the Muslim army. He accused the regime of humiliating the Saudi army and security forces by ordering them to protect the armies of the Jewish Christian alliance. Bin Laden stated his knowledge of the fact that the army and security forces are willing to participate in the confrontation. Yet, he asked them not to engage in such confrontation as long as they are not ready for it. He said that this stage requires militia, rather than organized army, operations, asking the Muslims in the army and security forces to provide the fellow Jihadists with the required information and materials and to keep their activities undercover, thus confirming that the regime may forge operations against the army and accuse the Jihadists of executing it (Bin Laden, 1996, p. 12).

Bin Laden followed this by asking Muslims generally and women in particular to boycott the American goods in order to harm the American economy, which finances the murder of Muslims all over the world (Bin Laden, 1996, p. 13). His following message was to the youth, whom he considered the leaders of Jihad. He explained to the youth that the Americans fear the Jihadists, giving the Beirut 1983 explosions as an example. He also mentioned the withdrawal of the American forces after being attacked in Yemen and Somalia. He considered terrifying the Americans who carry

weapons on Muslims lands a religious obligation on Muslims, clarifying that the peace between the Prophet and the Jews was broken when they attacked a woman, and asserting that the enemy kills hundreds of thousands of Muslims. He finalized his message to the youth by addressing those who fought in Afghanistan and Bosnia, telling them that the war didn't end and that they have to continue their Jihad (Bin Laden, 1996, p. 19). Bin Laden's final message to Muslims all over the world was for them to support Jihad against the Israelis and Americans by every possible means.

Bin Laden's message cited the Quran, Sunnah and Islamic literature to urge Muslims to join Jihad against the Jewish Christian alliance. Bin Laden clearly prioritized Jihad against the far enemy over the near one, clarifying that Jihad against the near enemy would cause losses for Muslims and would not eliminate the primary source of evil (the far enemy). Bin Laden accepted allying with others who do not share his beliefs to fight the common enemy even if they were infidels, given that they pose less harm than the primary enemy. He dedicated significant effort to persuading the youth to engage in Jihad even if they are going to do that on their own and not through his Qaeda. Generally, Bin Laden prioritized the fight against the far enemy and was ready to ally with less harmful apostates against the Jewish Christian alliance. His invitation to Jihad was a religious invitation rather than an one to join his Qaeda or to build his Islamist State.

5.3. Text 5: Al-Zawahiri Message on the Differences Between the Islamist and Secular States:

Ayman al-Zawahiri, the second leader of al-Qaeda, issued the video message Tawheed al-Kalema Hawl Kalemat al-Tawheed (unifying positions on monotheism) after the release of the Egyptian constitution in 2012 under the Brotherhood's

president Morsi in which he criticized the constitution and considered it un-Islamic. In his 103-minutes message, he clarified the differences between the Islamist and secular states and called on the Egyptian revolution to apply Sharia and have an Islamist State; the message was mainly directed to Egypt. Nonetheless, the video included other messages to other regions asking all of them – including Egypt – to ignore the fragmentations and apply Sharia.

5.3.1. The Author:

Ayman Mohammed Rabie al-Zawahiri was born in the prestigious neighborhood of Maadi on the 19th of June, 1951, to a respectable middle class family of doctors and scholars. His grandfather was the Grand Imam of Al-Azhar and one of his uncles was the first Secretary General of the Arab League. His father was a pharmacology professor in the Cairo University Medical School. (BBC, 2015).

Al-Zawahiri was a member of the Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood and was briefly arrested at the age of 15 for being a member in an outlawed organization. He studied medicine in Cairo University and obtained his Master's Degree in Surgery. In 1973, Zawahiri joined Islamic Jihad. In 1981, Islamic Jihad succeeded in assassinating President Anwar el-Sadat. Hundreds of Islamic Jihad members were tried. Al-Zawahiri was not convicted for participating in the assassination of el-Sadat but he was convicted for possession of arms. Zawahiri was released from prison in 1985 to flee to Saudi Arabia. He left Saudi Arabia shortly to join Jihadists in Afghanistan, where he established a faction of the Islamic Jihad movement. In 1993, Zawahiri became the leader of Islamic Jihad and plotted several attacks on governmental figures as a step to initiate an insurgency and announce the Islamist

State in Egypt. Zawahiri received a death sentence in absentia in Egypt for his role in many attacks (BBC, 2015).

In the 1990s, Zawahiri travelled all over the world searching for funding and support for his group. He joined Bin Laden in his project and became his second in command and Qaeda's most prominent spokesman (BBC, 2015). Gerges clarified that this union raised many questions, as Zawahiri spent his lifetime battling the Egyptian regime (the near enemy) and asserted, in one of his articles in 1995, that "Jerusalem will not be liberated unless the battle for Egypt and Algeria is won and unless Egypt is liberated". Apparently, most of Islamic Jihad leaders prioritized fighting the near, rather than the far, enemy. Gerges noted that Zawahiri justified the change in his priorities (from fighting the near enemy to fighting the far enemy) and the transnational Jihad in his book *Fursan Ttahta Rayat Al-Nabi* [Knights under the prophet's banner]. In this book, Zawahiri called for prioritizing the fight against the head of Kufr [infidelity]: the United States (BBC, 2015). After Bin Laden's death, Zawahiri became the leader of Qaeda.

5.3.2. Text 5 Context:

The video message was issued by al-Sahab Media Foundation – the official media production house of al-Qaeda – in April, 2013. It was delivered as a speech by al-Zawahiri including segments featuring videos for quotes or infographics. The total duration of the video message was an hour and forty-three minutes. It doesn't need an expert to notice that the video was edited several times before its release. The time when the video was recorded was a time of hope for Qaeda as Islamists were taking over the Arab uprisings. In Egypt, President Morsi was elected and Islamist movements were granted unprecedented freedom. In Syria, Islamist militants

dominated the scene and achieved remarkable victories. Although the message was broadcasted in early April 2013, it was recorded before the 8th of April, when Baghdadi announced annexing Sham to his Islamic State in Iraq. The relation between Qaeda and ISI started to deteriorate after Baghdadi's announcement. The message was recorded in a minute of optimism for Qaeda's leader.

Zawahiri addressed various Muslim regions in his message, directing a different message to every region. He started by asserting that Islamist efforts have to be united and coordinated. He highlighted the "Supporting Islam Document" (Wathiket Nasret el-Islam) that he released for the purpose of uniting the Islamists' efforts. He asked Islamists in Syria to unite and to continue the war till they achieve victory and warned them from the Iranians. He followed this by praising the efforts of ISI in Iraq. His subsequent message was to the Palestinians, asking the Islamists to unite and not to cooperate with seculars or international regimes, which he criticized. He then addressed the Somalian people, asking them to support the Jihadists in Somalia. His message to Muslims in Maghreb was to apply Sharia, indicating that Tunisian politicians who were leading the country – Nahda – have nothing to do with Islam. He highlighted the security violations against Islamists and women in the Arab Peninsula parsing AQAP efforts. Zawahiri asked Pakistanis to join the Islamist State in Afghanistan after directing harsh criticism to the Pakistani politicians. The previous messages spanned fifty minutes of footage. The remaining fifty-three minutes were dedicated to Zawahiri's message to Egypt, in which he discussed the compatibility of the institutional settings of the Egyptian state (according to the constitution of 2012) with his vision for the Islamist State. Zawahiri's message to Egypt clarifies his – and Qaeda's – vision for the institutions of the Islamist State. For the purposes of this study, Zawahiri's message to Egypt will be the subject of our analysis.

5.3.3. Text 5 Analysis:

Al-Zawahiri divided his message to Egypt into two section: in the first section he answered the question whether or not a real transformation was achieved in Egypt, while the second section describes a road map for achieving such transformation. He provided a negative answer to the first question, after which he mentioned three aspects for the required transformation: applying Sharia, gaining independence from foreign interference, and ending corruption. Zawahiri spent most of his message clarifying that Sharia was not applied in Egypt and that the current constitution does not reflect that of an Islamist State.

Al-Zawahiri graphically presented a comparison between the Islamist and the national secular state. Three main differences introduced by al-Zawahiri were the sources of legislations, equality between citizens, and the responsibility over other Muslims states (Zawahiri, Unifying the positions on the monotheism, 2013, p. 1).

Al-Zawahiri asserted that the Islamist State's legislations must come from Sharia and that Shoura has to be followed by the rulers. Considering the citizens or the majority of voters the source of legislation according to Zawahiri is non-Islamic but rather an aspect of the national secular state. He criticized several articles in the 2012 constitution, claiming that they confirm the parliament's rights to legislate and suggest amendments to the constitution. He undermined the articles which stated that Sharia is the primary source of legislation, a statement that indicates the existence of other sources of legislation that might potentially introduce laws incompatible with Sharia (Zawahiri, Unifying the positions on the monotheism, 2013, p. 4). Al-Zawahiri clarified that citizens must not be allowed to legislate, and that instead they have to comply with God's Sharia. However, al-Zawahiri stated that rulers must be chosen

according to Shoura, taking in consideration the religious conditions – among which that the ruler must be a Muslim male. He added that the ruler may be accounted or even impeached but again, in accordance with the Islamic regulations (Zawahiri, Unifying the positions on the monotheism, 2013, p. 4).

The second difference between the Islamist and national secular state, according to al-Zawahiri, is that the Islamist State treats all Muslims equally without consideration of their place of birth or residency; on the other hand, the national secular state is assumed to treat citizens equally, regardless of their religious affiliation. Al-Zawahiri criticized this, confirming that a Sudanese Muslim is more eligible to be a judge in Egypt than a Christian Egyptian. Al-Zawahiri also criticized the Egyptian constitution for making it possible for Christians or atheists to run for presidency (Zawahiri, Unifying the positions on the monotheism, 2013, p. 7) and for stating that citizens are equal in their rights and obligations. The Islamist State according to Qaeda perceives all Muslims equally and gives them the same rights and obligations. Those rights and obligations are not granted for non-Muslims even if they were born and raised in the Islamist State.

The responsibility of the Islamist State over Muslims elsewhere was the third difference according to al-Zawahiri's account. Al-Zawahiri believes that the Islamist State is responsible for Muslims everywhere. In case Muslims were attacked anywhere, it is the responsibility of the Islamist State to defend them (Zawahiri, Unifying the positions on the monotheism, 2013, p. 3). Qaeda perceives this as a religious obligation that has nothing to do with pacts, agreements or interests. He criticized the political borders between Muslim countries, concluding that those are Sykes and Picot borders that were placed by the West to weaken Muslims. The video

message included a segment for the Rafah families who were divided between the Egyptian and Palestinian Rafah.

The second and third aspects of the transformation were discussed by al-Zawahiri. He confirms that Egypt still complies with America and Israel, proving this by underlining the Brotherhood's pledge to respect the international agreements that Egypt signed. He considered the Camp David agreement, the continuation of facility provision for the American troops, and the siege on Gaza as clear indicators of the Egyptian compliance with the West (Zawahiri, Unifying the positions on the monotheism, 2013, p. 9). Corruption was the third aspect of the failed transformation. Al-Zawahiri highlighted the corruption in police stations as well as the fact that corrupted police officers were not introduced to trials and that the social conditions of Egyptians are still humble and poor. Generally, al-Zawahiri believed that no transformation occurred in Egypt.

Zawahiri believed that a road map is required to accomplish the transformation aspired. The first step would be to state clearly that Sharia is the only source of legislation and to have this statement as an supra-constitutional principle that cannot be amended by any means. This has to be followed by revising the current legislations and amending them to comply with Sharia (Zawahiri, Unifying the positions on the monotheism, 2013, p. 8). Zawahiri stated that the compliance to the West must stop and Egypt has to make its own decisions. Finally, Zawahiri believed that the Egyptian government has to seriously fight corruption and end the social injustices prevailing all over Egypt.

Zawahiri gave his speech in Arabic Fusha using expression from the Jihadist literature. His discourse was logical rather than heavily dependent on citations from

the Quran or Sunna. Sahab's choices for the graphic materials were in the context of Zawahiri's speech. Zawahiri was clear that an Islamist State has to comply to Sharia even if it contradicts the voters' will. He tolerated a form of democracy in the choice of rulers and in holding them accountable. The Islamist State, from Zawahiri's perspective, believes in equality among Muslims and not citizens. The state is obliged to protect Muslim societies all over the globe. He also stated that the Islamic State must be free from Western influence and must work to fight corruption and achieve social justice.

5.4. Text 6: Shinqiti's Fatwa on the Caliph of the Islamic State:

The Jihadist civil war between Nusra – supported by Qaeda – and ISIS motivated the Islamist scholars on both sides to issue Fatwas clarifying their positions from the dispute, indicating their vision for the Islamist State institutions, and criticizing the other side for deviating from this vision around the Islamist State institutions. Abu Mundhir al-Shinqiti issued a fatwa in 2014 to clarify why he does not consider ISIS's Caliph legitimate. Shinqiti's – and Qaeda's – main religious argument was the presence of another previously installed legitimate Caliph. Shinqiti's fatwa shed light on Qaeda's conditions for announcing a Caliph.

5.4.1. The Author:

Abu Mundhir al-Shinqiti is a Mauritanian scholar whose real identity is unknown. In 2009, He cofounded the Sharia Council that gave fatwas to questioners from all over the world on the website (www.tawhed.ws/FAQ) with al-Maqdisi, Hani al-Sibai, and Turki al-Bin Ali among others (Wagemakers, 2016). Shinqiti issued many fatwas that went viral in the Jihadist community. Among them was his fatwa for the Egyptian Muslims to fight the army in Sinai, in addition to one targeting Christians in Muslim

lands in general and Egypt in particular, as well as another fatwa to encourage stealing from the French and hacking American markets to steal from them (al-Shinqiti, nd).

Al-Shinqiti was engaged in many debates, especially on the Syrian civil war. Shinqiti criticized scholars who allowed Muslims to join the Free Army as he perceived it as a secular organization. He urged Muslims in Syria to join the Nusra Front instead of creating new movements. He initially praised ISI and welcomed the merge between ISI and Nusra. His position radically changed after ISIS's announcement of the Caliph (Wagemakers, 2016). He criticized ISIS and supported Qaeda's position. Moreover, al-Shinqiti wrote an article in 2015 titled "Al-Shaykh Ayman el-Zawahiri… Ragolan Beomma". He addressed Zawahiri stating, "saying I love you doesn't express how I love you" (Al-Shinqiti, 2015)

5.4.2. Text 6 Context:

The Inter-Jihadist conflicts are common among scholars. The dispute between ISIS and Nusra was associated with various fatwas and opinions written by scholars from both sides. Two main topics represent the essence of the disagreement between the two groups: the excessive use of violence by ISIS, and the legitimacy of its Caliph. The fatwa discussed is in the context of questioning the legitimacy of ISIS's Caliph.

The fatwa was issued by al-Shinqiti on the 15th of July, 2014. It was issued online on the website ([www.tawhed.ws)](www.tawhed.ws) and went viral on the Jihadist social media. The fatwa was issued two weeks after Adnani's announcement that the Islamic State in Iraq and Sham is to be renamed "the Islamic State." Before Adnani's announcement, al-Shinqiti was a big supporter of ISIS (Wagemakers, 2016). He

supported Baghdadi's decision to annex Sham to the Islamic State in Iraq in April 2013, and considered it legitimate as Baghdadi had sent Nusra's leader al-Jawlani to Syria and supported him in the first place (Wagemakers, 2016). When Adnani announced renaming ISIS to IS, he was practically dropping the territorial aspect of his state and rebranding it to be the only legitimate Caliphate. Adnani asked Muslims from all over the world to pledge allegiance to al-Baghdadi. Al-Shinqiti disagreed with this call as he believed in the presence of a Caliph who was previously chosen by Muslim scholars. This Caliph is Mullah Mohamed Omar, the leader of Taliban. Al-Shinqiti's position was similar to that of the founder of Sharia Council, al-Maqdisi, who also criticized ISIS after engaging in reconciliation attempts with Nusra. A younger cofounder of the Sharia Council, Turki al-Bin Ali, took ISIS's side and became one of its most prominent spokesmen and ideologues. He issued several texts to refute his former colleagues' criticism.

This fatwa is studied as it clarifies some of the religious conditions of establishing a Caliphate from Qaeda's perspective. Although al-Shinqiti asserts that his fatwa comes from his religious conviction and it doesn't imply any political affiliation, it is quite revealing of the Qaeda leaders' vision for the institutions of the Islamist State.

5.4.3. Text 6 analysis:

Shinqiti started his fatwa by asserting that this is a religious fatwa that is totally unaffected by political affiliations (Shinqiti, 2014, p. 1). He followed this by proving that from a religious point of view, there is no difference between what he calls the Emara and the Caliphate, pointing out that there has been a legitimate operating Islamic Caliphate since the foundation of the Islamic regime in Afghanistan.

He clarified that a legitimate Islamic Caliphate is installed as soon a Muslim man receives Bayaa – pledge of allegiance – even if he doesn't have the required influence over the Muslim territories, as long as his Bayaa is not preceded by another Bayaa to another man (Shinqiti, 2014, p. 2). He considered the Caliph's influence over Muslim lands a political requirement for the Caliphate, but not a condition from a religious perspective. Accordingly, Shinqiti believes that a man can be a legitimate Caliph if he received Bayaa even if he was not practicing influence over Muslim territories, as long as no other man received a previous Bayaa. This means that Mullah Mohamed Omar, having received the first Bayaa, is the legitimate Caliph (Shinqiti, 2014, p. 4).

Shinqiti showed that it is eligible to give a Bayaa to a territorial Emir who becomes an acting Caliph over certain territories if the unification of Muslims over one Caliph is impossible. As soon as the unification is possible, the legitimate Caliph is the first Emir who received Bayaa whatever his title was, even if he didn't ask for his right. Shinqiti derived many proofs for his opinion from Sunna. Accordingly, Shinqiti said that this leaves Muslims with two options: either to assume that the unification is impossible and accordingly give Bayaa to local Emirs, or to agree to be united under one Caliph who would govern Muslims all over the world. According to Shinqiti, the rightful Caliph who shall unite Mulims – if they see the unification possible – is Mullah Mohamed Omar, as he is the first Emir who received the Bayaa even if he was not able to practice his influence (Shinqiti, 2014, p. 7).

Shinqiti also addressed the claim that Omar is not a rightful Caliph as he doesn't have Qurayshi roots. He started by highlighting that the Qureshi roots condition for the Caliph is a matter of debate among scholars. Then, he clarified that Taliban didn't have a candidate who met the Qurashi roots conditions. So, they chose

the most fitting candidate who was Omar. Accordingly, he became the rightful Caliph as he received the Bayaa.

Shinqiti's final words summarized four religious mistakes that ISIS fell into when they announced their Islamist State: giving Bayaa to Baghdadi who had previously given Bayaa to Zawahiri, announcing a Caliph from their group in the midst of a dispute with other Islamist faction, ignoring the presence of a rightful previous Caliphate, and asking Muslims to cancel their previous Bayaa. Shinqiti warned against the concept of cancelling Bayaas, clarifying that this will undermine the Bayaa and encourage disobedience (Shinqiti, 2014, p. 9).

Shinqiti confirmed in every possible chance that his fatwa comes from his religious convictions and that it does not imply any political objectives to support one side over the other. He used logical evidence in addition to citations from Sunna to prove his point of view. He used a soft language is his criticism for ISIS, while using strong phrases to express his religious point of view.

Generally, Shinqiti's text draws our attention to the fact that Qaeda accepts giving the Bayaa to a non-empowered Caliph as long as there is no other Caliph who received the Bayaa. They don't mind giving the Bayaa to a Caliph who is not from their organizational ranks. However, he has to be believing in their ideas. They agree to ignore the Qurayshi roots condition in necessity. Finally, Qaeda refuses the cancelation of Bayaa and considers it a threat for the Caliphate.

5.5.Conclusion:

The analyzed texts from Qaeda seemed consistent with each other. Zawahiri's text was chosen to shed light on Qaeda's vision of the Islamist State institutions generally with special focus on the Sharia and legislation. Yet, it highlighted Qaeda's refusal for

the American influence which was the main topic of Bin Laden's speech that clarified Qaeda's priority of fighting the far enemy. Bin Laden's speech showed that Qaeda's priority is not holding power but fighting the far enemy. This was proved by the third text, which revealed that Qaeda pledged allegiance to Mullah Mohamed Omar and kept this Bayaa. Generally, there were no contradictions between the three texts that seemed consistent with each other.

Shinqiti showed that the Caliphate is installed as soon as an Emir receives a Bayaa. He also showed a possibility to tolerate multiple Emirs in case the unification of Muslims over one Emir is impossible. Zawahiri highlighted a global aspect for the Islamist State as it is obliged to protect Muslims generally and not only Muslims within its borders. Moreover, Zawahiri believed in equality between all Muslims regardless to their places of birth. Yet he didn't refuse the concept of multiple Emirs.

Promptly installing Sharia was a clear objective for Qaeda in the three texts. Zawahiri believed that Sharia must be the source of legislation whether people accepted it or not, and refused the idea of considering the people the source of legislation. Moreover, he refused any other sources of legislation other than Sharia; Zawahiri considered Sharia the only source of legislation. Bin Laden criticized the Saudi regime for not applying Sharia and refused the presence of manmade legislations in Saudi Arabia.

Concerning the democratic institutions and the use of power to install Sharia, Zawahiri was clear that the Muslim ruler who installs Sharia may be elected, accounted, or impeached, according to the Islamic regulations. Shinqiti refused the concept of the withdrawal of Bayaa from a Muslim ruler and considered it a threat for the Islamist State. Yet he accepted the concept that the Muslim ruler may willingly

forfeit power. Bin Laden highlighted the concept of advising the ruler. Despite Bin Laden's criticism of the Saudi regime, he didn't welcome using violence against it as he considered this usage of power harmful to Muslims and prioritized using power against foreign enemies.

Qaeda's ideas are clear and consistent in the priorities, including social justice and fighting corruption. They were ready to get in tactical alliances to achieve their goals. Yet, they do not consider the application of Sharia a negotiable matter.

Summary:

	Qaeda
Caliphate	Tolerate territorial Emirs if unification of Muslims on one Emir is impossible.
Sharia	The only source of legislation and has to be applied promptly.
Democratic institutions	Ruler maybe elected and accounted but the impeachment have to take place only if he violates Sharia.
Power usage to install Sharia	The priority is to use the power against the far enemy who supports his agents.

Table 5.1

6.   The Islamist State Model for

The Islamic State –IS-

"The spark has been lit here in Iraq, and its heat will continue to intensify – by

Allah's permission – until it burns the crusader armies in Dābiq." (Zarqawi, 2004)

The Islamic State (IS) is the most updated version of the group that started to
operate in Iraq in October 2004 and used to be called al Qaeda in Iraq (AQI). The
group name changed several time indicating political developments. The same group
used to be called Islamic State in Iraq (ISI) and Islamic State in Iraq and Sham (ISIS).
Sham in Arabic language is the Levant. This is why an alternative name for ISIS is
Islamic State in Iraq and Levant (ISIL). The group is currently led by Abu Bakr el
Baghdady who is recognized by the group as the legitimate Caliph of the Muslims all
over the globe.

The group issued several infographics and videos describing the operating Islamist
State institutions that they installed in the territories under their control. As explained

in the introduction, this thesis is not willing to deduce the Islamist movements' vision for the Islamist State through the movements' empirical experiences. It is analyzing the movements' theoretical assumptions on the state to deduce the movements' vision for the Islamist State. Accordingly, this chapter starts with shedding the light on the history of IS since its foundation as AQI till 2016. This is followed by analyzing a speech and two articles: the speech is by Abu Mohamed el Adnani in which he announced changing the name of ISIS to IS; The first article comes from IS's official English speaking magazine, Dabiq. It describes the required steps to achieve the Caliphate from IS's point of view. The second article comes from Dabiq too. It criticizes the Brotherhood and accuses them of being apostates. Going through the three documents clarifies to us IS's vision for the institutions of the ideal Islamist State.

6.1. Historical Background:

On the the 19th of February, 2003, President Bush announced that the United States, and the International Coalition had begun the military operation "Iraqi Freedom" against Saddam Hussein regime in Iraq. By the 9th of April the Coalition forces controlled Baghdad and Saddam's statue in the Firdos Square was toppled down. Bush declared on the first of May in the same year "major combat operations" over. Not long after this date, the Iraqi insurgency against the occupation emerged and developed. The insurgency united former regime loyalists, nationalists, tribal elements, Sunni Islamists and foreign fighters. "in June 2004, Izzat Ibrahim al-Douri, the Iraqi vice president and deputy chairman of the Revolutionary Council before the war, along with his two sons, met Zarqawi at which they pledged their support for his guerrilla efforts. The atmosphere of the meeting was full of enthusiasm, as Ibrahim al Douri announced to al-Zarqawi, 'You are the commander and we are your soldiers"

(Michael, 2007). Zarqawi led many successful operations against foreign interests and utilized the internet effectively for publicizing his activities. For example, In May 2004, a video was broadcasted online for the beheading of the young American businessman Nicholas Berg. Zarqawi was sending a message to dissuade the west from sending troops or aiding in Iraq's reconstructions. In October 2004 Zarqawi pledged allegiance to Bin Laden and Qaeda. Bin Laden responded to Zarqawi Bayaa by welcoming him and designated him as the leader of al-Qaeda in the 'Land of the Two Rivers'.

Al Qaeda Central (AQC) and AQI had a major disagreement on targeting Shia in Iraq. Bin Laden believed that a united Islamic front has to be fostered to face the Coalition forces. Zarqawi perceived Shia as apostates and thought that allying with them would discourage foreign Sunni fighters from Joining AQI (Michael, 2007). In early 2006, Zarqawi brought together several Iraqi movements in Majlis Shoura al-Mujahdin (MSM). MSM was led to a great extent by AQI (Zelin, 2014). On the 7th of June, 2006 the American Special Forces succeed in tracking down Zarqawi and killing him (Michael, 2007).

On October 15th, MSM released a statement announcing establishing the Islamic State in Iraq (ISI). On November 10th, Abu Hamza al Muhajir, Zarqawi's successor in AQI pledged Bayaa to the leader of ISI, Abu Omar al Baghdadi (Zelin, 2014). Zelin assumes that al Muhajer pledged allegiance to Abu Omar al Baghdadi only and didn't pledge allegiance to Bin Laden. Hence, "Zarqawi's death invalidated MSM's implied pledge to bin Ladin. This means that, in effect, the group and its subsequent incarnations have not technically been subordinate to al-Qaeda" (Zelin, 2014).

"The Islamic State pursued a dual strategy of fighting the Iraqi government and American forces, on one hand, and rival insurgent factions on the other" (No-Name, 2014). ISI orchestrated several bloody attacks in Iraq. Among them: The series of truck bombs in Yazidi towns in 2007; the dual suicide bomb attacks in Baghdad and Diyala and the coordinated attacks in Baghdad in 2009. ISI's targets included: Shia, Christians, Jews, Sufis and Muslims who join the political process. The Iraqi Security Forces, Sahawat –Sunni Militias supported by the Americans-, and the Americans were able to lead a successful –to a great extent- campaign against ISI between 2007 and 2010. On the 18th of April, 2010 Abu Omar al Baghdadi and Abu Hamza al Muhajer –ISI's War Minister- were killed by the Americans. Abu Bakhr al Baghdadi succeeded Abu Omar al Baghdadi in leading ISI. In 2010, a string of suicide bomb attacks hit Iraqi cities from Mosul to Basra in Ramadan. Baghdadi asserted that the attacks were for the sake of the blessed prisoners. In October 2010, ISI attacked Christian worshippers in Baghdad and justified it by events related to Christians' acts in Egypt (No-Name, 2014).

ISI's attacks continued in 2011, but the complete American military departure in December 2011 marked a cornerstone in ISI operations. In January 2012, ISI initiated a large scale attacks against Shia civilians. In July 2012, Al Baghdadi Announced "an extended campaign to free all Jihadist prisoners and capture territory" (No-Name, 2014). From July 2012 to July 2013, ISI launched 8 campaigns against Iraqi prisons and freed hundreds of prisoners. In July 2013, ISIS announced that their new campaign "Soldiers Harvest" is targeting ISF especially in areas with Sunni majority. ISIS's new campaign succeeded in December 2013 in entrapping and killing the leadership of the ISF's 7th division, and in January 2014, ISIS took over the Iraqi city of Fallujah. (No-Name, 2014)

Nusra Front is an Islamist Jihadist group that started to operate in Syria in 2011 after an uprising that has taken place in Syria and loosen the Syrian Security grip over vast Syrian territories. Nusra Front's leader Abu Mohamed al Julani was sent and supported by ISI for Jihad in Syria. ISI considered Nusra to be a subordinate to it as Julani was a member of ISI and he was supported by it. In April, 2013 Baghdadi announced annexing Nusra to his movement and renamed his movement to "Islamic State in Iraq and Sham" (ISIS). "In fact, the leaders of al-Nusra claimed to never have agreed to this decision, and the new leader of al-Qaeda, Ayman al-Zawahiri, intervened: Zawahiri reaffirmed al-Nusra's independence and ordered ISIL to leave Syria. But Baghdadi defied the command, stating that after consulting his council" (Shafer-Ray, 2015). The disagreement over Nusra's position from ISIS was a major reason for ISIS-Qaeda dispute. It led Zawahiri to take a decision to disaffiliate ISIS in February, 2014. Baghdadi asked Nusra members to quit Julani and give Bayaa to him and went in an armed battle with Nusra.

In June, 2014 ISIS launched its operation to control Mosul. The city fell in the hands of ISIS in less than a week. ISIS looted caches of weapons and equipment from their new capital. The victors displaced around 500,000 citizens (No-Name, 2014). On the 12th of June, ISIS controlled Camp Speicher in Tikrit. They singled out Shia and non-Muslims from Sunnis and murdered more than 1100 unarmed Shia Iraq Air Force cadets. Tikrit and the oil refinery in Baji fell also in the hands of ISIS who followed this by moving towards Baghdad when Iranian supported militias prevented them from controlling the strategic city of Samarra and continuing the march. By the end of June, ISIS announced changing their name to the Islamic State –IS- and pledged allegiance to their leader Abu Bakr al Baghdadi as the Caliph of Muslims all

over the globe. Few days later, al Baghdadi gave his famous speech from the Big Mosque in Mosul.

In August, 2014, the American President Obama authorized airstrikes targeting IS in Iraq and Syria. The first airstrikes came in a reaction towards the ethnic cleansing ISIS started against the Yazidi minority in Sinjar. The drones supported the Kurdish fighters in their ground battles against IS to free Yazidi areas. This was developed by time to be a strategy which supports friendly ground units engaging in battles against IS. The campaign was a component of Obama's strategy to "degrade and ultimately destroy" the Islamic State. IS responded to US and Coalition airstrikes by beheading foreigners including journalists and aid workers.

By early 2015, IS was controlling vast areas from the outskirts of Aleppo in Syria to down and central Iraq including major cities such as Mosul and Raqqa and various other cities. Various Islamist provinces outside Syria and Iraq pledged allegiance to IS and renamed themselves to the "Islamic State". In 2014, Ansar Bait al Maqdis renamed themselves to the Islamic State in Sinai; Militants in Libya and Nigeria and other territories pledged allegiance to Baghdadi.

ISIS online propaganda and activities succeeded in recruiting many Islamists who performed lone wolves' operations. Several operations were executed against civilians outside Syria and Iraq. Among them: Paris attacks; Russian jet attack; Tunisia beach attack, and numerous other attacks. IS are very active in their online propaganda activities, and they maintain issuing periodic magazines in Arabic –such as Al Nabaa – and in English –such as Dabiq- and in other languages –such as Rumiyah-. ISIS's periodicals contains news, articles and high quality infographics and

images. ISIS produces videos and images frequently to shed the light on their activities and to recruit new members.

In 2016, IS witnessed several defeats in Iraq and Syria. The coalition supported militias in Syria to fight IS and assisted them with the airstrikes. Iraq security forces coordinated with several foreign powers to contain IS's activities in Iraq. IS's success stories in 2016 were mainly in recruiting lone wolves out of Syria and Iraq to perform attacks against the west and in few of their provinces outside Syria and Iraq.

6.2. Text 7: Adnani's speech announcing IS:

By the end of June 2014, ISIS was controlling vast territories in Iraq and Syria, and their relation with Qaeda was deteriorating. Abu Mohamed al Adnani issued his speech to announce that ISIS is not a territorial Emirate with a limited influence anymore. He declared that his Emirate is now the Islamic State whose influence isn't recognizing boarders and declared that Abu Bakr al Baghdadi has become the Caliph of Muslims. Adnani asked Muslims all over the world to pledge allegiance to Abu Bakr al Baghdadi. In his historical speech, Adnani clarified the essentiality of having a Caliphate from IS's point of view and shed a light on the criteria of choosing the Caliph.

6.2.1. The Author:

Taha Sobhi Falaha –Known by his alias Abu Mohamed al Adnani- was born in 1977 in Idlib, Syria. According to a Targama [biography] issued by Turki al Bin Ali for al Adnani in 2014, Adnani was described as the "Catapult of the Islamic State". He was raised loving the mosques and memorized the Quran in less than a

year. He mentioned three Sheikhs as the most influential sheikhs in his life "Abu Anas al Shami, Abu Maysra al Gharib and Abu Bakr al Baghdadi". Adnani wrote several books and gave numerous lessons on Islamism along his life. Bin Ali added that al Adnani pledged allegiance to al Zarqawi in the early 2000s with 35 other Syrians to fight the Syrian regime but, after the occupation of Iraq, he moved to fight in Iraq (Bin Ali, 2014).

According to Bin Ali, al Adnani was detained by the Syrian regime three times and by the Americans in Iraq two times. His longest detention lasted for almost six years in Iraq. Al Adnani held many senior positions in IS since his release in 2010. He was the Spokesman of ISI, ISIS and IS. (Bin Ali, 2014). Counter Extremism Project clarifies that Adnani was also ISIS's Emir in Syria since the splitting between ISIS and Nusra. Adnani was also believed to to head Emni unit in IS which "has become a combination of an internal police force and an external operations branch, dedicated to exporting terror abroad" (CALLIMACHI, 2016). Adnani was believed to be IS's second in charge after Baghdadi.

Al Adnani addressed two calls for IS sympathizers in the West to carry out attacks targeting civilians and military personnel in their countries in September 2014, and May 2016. In May 2015, a reward up to US$ five million was announced for information leading to his capture. On the 30th of August, 2016 al Adnani was killed by an airstrike. The Russians claimed that they performed the airstrike which led to kill al Adnani in Aleppo while the Americans claimed that they had executed the operation in al Bab city near to the Syrian Turkish boarders.

6.2.2. Text 7 Context:

Adnani's speech was broadcasted on the Jihadist social media on the 29th of June, 2014, the first day of Ramadan in the Hijri year 1435. Ramadan is considered a holy month by Muslims, and during the month before Ramadan, ISIS achieved unprecedented achievements as it was officially controlling huge territories across Syria and Iraq. Their victory in controlling Mosul in June, 2014 raised the attention of most of the spectators. The spectators were not only the global powers who were assessing the situation to decide if they have to interfere but also the jihadists from all over the globe who found a successful model who is able to seize territories and achieve military success and challenge both the far and near enemy in the Iraqi battlefield.

The Syrian context may also assist us to understand the motives which encouraged ISIS to alter to IS. In 2014, there were several Islamist movements who are fighting the Syrian regime and each other in a chaotic scene over influence and territories in Syria. Announcing a legitimate Caliphate was assumed to encourage the movements' members to leave their movements and join the legitimate Caliphate. Uniting the Islamist movements in Syria and ending the inter-rebel disputes would have given those movements a better position in their fight in Syria.

The speech was broadcasted as an approximately forty minutes' audio speech. A video was issued before the audio speech featuring ISIS members on the Syrian-Iraqi boarders. Abu Omar al Shishani –ISIS War Minister- and other Jihadists from several nationalities were around al Adnani when he announced that ISIS is not recognizing the boarders anymore. In the speech, Adnani used Quran, Sunna and Islamic heritage to motivate Islamists to pledge allegiance to Abu Bakr al Baghdadi and join the Islamist State.

6.2.3. Text 7 Analysis:

Adnani started without the expected Islamic commencement, probably to highlight the importance of the content of his speech. Adnani followed this by asserting that god promised Muslims to empower and secure them in case they stick to the monotheism and get away from any sign of Shirk [polytheism]. Adnani continued by asserting that Muslims have to comply to god's orders in order to achieve god's promise of empowerment. Accordingly, Muslims have to use every possible mean to enforce Sharia as installing the religion and enforcing Sharia is the reason that god sent his messengers for (Adnani, 2014, p. 1).

Adnani gave example by how inferior were Arabs before Mohamed and how did Islam changed this by uniting them and made them able to defeat the world's largest empires in their time. Accordingly, Adnani confirmed that if Muslims were back to Islam in its purist form, god will bless them again with the victory and the empowerment. He considered that ISIS's victory in controlling the territories from Aleppo to Diyala a sign for the coming victory. Adnani mentioned few of what he perceived as obligations on an Islamic State. Among those obligations were: Applying the whole Sharia laws through Islamic courts; protecting the frontlines; demolishing crosses and graves (visited by Shia and Sufis); collecting Fayaa, Jizyah (non-Muslims taxes), Khuraj and Zakat (obligatory alms); Removing Munkar (What is refused in Islam); and organizing Islamic lessons (Adnani, 2014, p. 5). Adnani added that there is an additional religion obligation that Muslims must install in order to submit to god will to feel the pride of being a Muslim, appointing a Caliph. Accordingly, Adnani announced that "Ahlu al Hal we al Akd" –decision makers- decided to appoint Abu Bakr al Baghdadi as the Caliph of Muslims. In Adnani's introduction for al Baghdadi, he focused that Baghdadi's roots are coming from Quaich tribe (Adnani, 2014, p. 6).

Adnani added that by the announcement of the Caliph, every Muslim has to pledge allegiance to al Baghdadi, and every other Islamist movement is illegitimate. He described Democracy, secularism and nationalism as the trash of the west that Muslims have to abandon in order to restore their religion and to control the East and the West and to fulfill god's promise of empowerment. He followed this by addressing the leaders of the Islamist movements and armies asserting that he can't find a legitimate excuse for them to restrain from supporting the Islamic State encouraging them to end the fragmentation and division which is against the religion and join the Islamic State confirming that those movements won't be able to stand against the Islamic State (Adnani, 2014, p. 8).

Adnani then refuted two claims against the state: the first is that, it is a state of Khawarij. This was refuted as the state proved not to be so in the cities governed by ISIS; The second claim was that the infidels won't tolerate the presence of the Islamic State. Adnani refuted this by confirming the continuous victories of ISIS. Adnani's pre-final message was to the soldiers of ISIS. He warned them from expected challenges that they have to prove loyalty to their Emirs in order to overcome. He encouraged them to keep united and loyal to their Emirs. He added to this that if someone tried to divide the group, then he must be killed (Adnani, 2014, p. 11). Adnani followed this by clarifying that the groups, movements and armies has never been unified over a decision and their disagreement is not a reason not to appoint the Caliph. He Stated that despite this, the Islamist movements have to comply to the appointment of the Caliph (Adnani, 2014, p. 12). Adnani finalized his message by congratulating the Muslims for Ramadan and praying god that Muslims were empowered in Ramadan.

Adnani's message clarified that IS believe that appointing a Caliph is a religious obligation that must be fulfilled upon the agreement of Ahl al Hal we al Akd even if some Islamist movements and groups were not agreeing on the nominee. They see that the Islamist State has to install the Sharia, demolish crisis and graves and enforce Jizyah and Islamic obligatory alms. They believe that Muslims are allowed to use violence to install the Caliph and apply Sharia not only against infidels and apostates but even against rival Islamist movements who are threatening the Islamist unity under the Caliph.

6.3. Text 8&9: Dabiq's Articles:

Dabiq is IS's official English speaking periodical. It is printed in a very high quality with plenty of pictures and infographics. Dabiq is assumed to be a recruiting tool for IS in the west as they issue their ideas on the World Wide Web through it. Clarion project had been following Dabiq since its first issue. It introduces it saying "Dabiq as it is called, bills itself as "a periodical magazine focusing on the issues of tawhid (unity), manhaj (truth-seeking), hijrah (migration), jihad (holy war) and jama'ah (community). It will also contain photo reports, current events, and informative articles on matters relating to the Islamic State. It portrays the Islamic State as they see themselves: boasting of their victories and painting a romantic image of the restoration of an Islamic golden age and the heralding of a "glorious" new caliphate based on holy war. Dabiq is a place in Syria that is supposed to be the location for one of the final battles according to certain Muslim myths about a final apocalypse. Choosing such a name for the magazine highlights the caliphate's goals" (Harris, 2014).

Dabiq first issue was released in Ramadan 2014 few days after announcing the Caliphate, and its title was "The Return of Khalifah". Dabiq's last issue was the 15th issue. It was then replaced with a new periodical "Rumiyah". Both periodicals are recognized as official periodicals representing the positions of IS. For the purpose of answering the research question of this thesis, two articles are analyzed: The first article was released in the first issue of Dabiq "From Hijra to Khilafah", it explains the steps required to achieve the rightful Khilafah from IS point of view; The second article comes from the fourteenth issue of Dabiq. "The Murtadd Brotherhood" criticizes the Muslim Brotherhood harshly and explains why do IS consider them apostates. The article sheds the light on the Islamist State institutions from IS's point of view.

6.3.1. Text 8 Context:

"From Hijra to Khilafah" is an article that was published in Dabiq's first issue. The issue was released in Ramadan 1435 Hijri, few days after Abu Bakr al Baghdadi speech in the Big Mosque in Mosul, Iraq. Baghdadi speech was his first speech as Muslims Caliphate after Abu Mohamed's al Adnani speech on the first of Ramadan in which he announced the appointment of Baghdadi as the Caliph of Muslims and abandoned the territorial aspect of ISIS in favor of a borderless IS.

The issue was released amidst huge disputes in the Islamist communities over the legitimacy of IS's Caliph. Qaeda, Nusra and several Islamist movements refused to pledge allegiance to IS's Caliph for several reasons. By then, IS propaganda message was loud and clear, "Baghdadi is the Caliph, give him the Bayaa now or you are not a genuine Muslim". Dabiq's first issue was designed professionally and introduced a mixature of IS's news, reports and religious articles that supports IS's

point of view and refute the others' point of view. The issue started with introducing Dabiq Magazine and what is the significance of the village Dabiq. It followed it with a report "Khilafah Declared" on the announcement of the Caliphate. The following topics were "Islamic State Reports; Imamah is from the Millah of Ibrahim; The State in the Words of the Enemy; Feature: from Hijrah to Khilafah; Islamic State news".

The article "From Hijra to Khilafah" was a brief article of seven pages with much graphical content showing mainly IS's power. An infographic was included that introduces the five steps from Hijra to Khilafah according to IS "Hijrah, Jamaah, Destabilize Taghut, Tamkin and Khilafah". The article is mainly highlighting IS's struggle to achieve announcing the Islamist State. The article criticizes Qaeda and other Islamist movements indirectly. The issue generally and the article particularly introduces IS as an ideal destination for Hijra that Islamist may travel to in order to support Islam.

6.3.2. Text 8 Analysis:

The article starts with introducing establishing the Khilafah as a goal that occupied the hearts of the Mujahidin. The article cited Sunna to prove that the prophet promised the reestablishment of the Khilafah. The author identified the main difficulties that hindered the establishment of Khilafah in Afghanistan as: the nationalism that distinguished Muslims from each other; and the innovations that destroyed the creed (Dabiq, From Hijrah to Khilafah, 2014, p. 35). The article clarified that Abu Musaab al Zarkawi learned from the lessons of Afghanistan that an essential step to restore the Khilafah is through a Jamaah –Group- that is gathered upon the Kitab and Sunnah.

The article enumerated obligations that the Jamaah has to work on in order to restore the monotheism. Among those obligations are: Walaa and Baraa (supporting Muslims and deserting the infidels), Tashria (legislation) and Jihad. The author clarified that the Jihad must be built on Hijra, Bayaa, obedience, training in order to fight and achieve the Khilafah or Shahadah (Martyrdom). The writer followed this by explaining the sequence. The first step to achieve the Islamist State from IS point of view was Hijra in order to have a safe haven without the threat of a powerful police state. The article introduced Zarqawi Hijras to Afghanistan and Kurdistan (Iraq) as examples for Hijras that enabled the establishment of an Islamist Jamaa which is the second step. The author mentioned creating as much chaos as possible with the means permitted by the Sharia as the following objective. This could be implemented by performing operations causing enemy death, injury and damage in order to destabilize the enemies' regimes and prevent them from being able to crush the Islamist movements. Zarqawi used "Vechile bombs, IEDs and Istishhadiyyin –Suicidal operation-"in order to create the required destabilization in Iraq. The article added that, he performed dozens of operations in dozens of areas every day against the apostates from the police forces and Rafidah. Actually, the article clarified that Zarqawi operations were also targeting: army, intelligence, Kurds even Sunnis who supports the "crusaders" or participate into the democratic political process. The author asserted that the group was not involved in any attacks targeting Sunni public places and gatherings. The objective of all of this was to keep Iraq in constant instability and war and deprive any apostate group from a moment of security (Dabiq, From Hijrah to Khilafah, 2014, p. 37).

After the Hijra, building the Jamaah and destabilizing the enemy, the article introduced the Tamkin –consolidation- as the following step. This step was based on

more complex and devastating operations that pave the way for claiming territories leading to the gradual collapse of authority in Sunni territories. The article introduced their state as the first state in modern times that was sat up exclusively by Mujahidin.

The Article summarized the roadmap towards Khilafah for the Mujahidin by starting with Hijra to land with weak central authority to form the Jamaa, recruit members and train them. This has to be followed by attacks to weaken the authority, and follow this by stronger attacks to enable the Jihadists to control the territories to manage the state gradually to the point of developing into a full-fledged state and continue expansion later to new areas (Dabiq, From Hijrah to Khilafah, 2014, p. 38).

Then, the article criticized the opposition of the "present leadership of famous Jihad groups" for becoming frozen in the attacks phase and not trying to pass it to the Tamkin phase. The article added that this leadership considered apostates like Morsi and Haniyeh as a hope for the Muslims. The article accused this leadership of giving preference to popularity and rationalization over pleasing god and relying upon him, and denying the sharia fundamentals. The article pointed out that the announcement of the Islamic State showed the difference between genuine Islamists who are fighting to install the Islamist State and others who are following deviant methodologies or have corrupt desires for fame, wealth or power (Dabiq, From Hijrah to Khilafah, 2014, p. 39).

The article then focused on the importance of the unity of Muslims under the Caliph from a religious approach. The article narrated the story of Sahawat and criticized them harshly clarifying that they didn't harm the Islamists as Islamists were able to survive Sahawat attacks, and have their revenge from their leaders. The article

was finalized by calling upon every Mulsim to raise his voice and pledge allegiance to Abu Bakr al Baghdadi (Dabiq, From Hijrah to Khilafah, 2014, p. 40).

The article was a propaganda material to introduce a heroic history for the establishment of IS and criticizing its rivals. It raises our attention to IS's five steps roadmap to build the Islamist State "Hijrah, Jamaa, Destabilize Taghut, Tamkin and Khilafah". IS believe that the Islamist State is the home that Muslims have to immigrate to and build their Jamaa in. They believe in extreme violence to destabilize their enemies and control territories. They criticize Qaeda approach harshly for taking time in fighting the enemies without terrorizing them enough to install the state. IS question the Islamist believe of other Islamist movement who doesn't pledge allegiance to IS's Caliph.

6.3.3. Text 9 Context:

The article "The Murtadd Brotherhood" was issued in Dabiq's Fourteenth issue that was released in Rajab, 1437 Hijri, April, 2016. The issue held the same name. The article was released almost three years after the overthrowing of Morsi from the Egyptian presidency and amidst a dispute in the ranks of the movement which was facing an open confrontation with the Egyptian regime. In the same time, IS were facing the global coalition operations against IS in Iraq and Syria by conventional and asymmetric war techniques and through lone wolves' operations in in Non-Islamists dominated territories.

The lack of solid organizational structure in the Brotherhood due to the continuous security raids against their leadership in Egypt encouraged many organizations to recruit their experienced and motivated members. Qaeda directed many tempting soft messages to Brotherhood youth to revise their Manhaj and

abandon the religion of democracy and return to pure Islam. Qaeda asserted that al Banna in his last year revised his Manhaj and lost the believe in the Democracy as a tool to install the Islamist State. IS adapted a more criticizing discourse accusing Brotherhood founding leaders to be apostates. In this article, IS explains why do they consider the Brotherhood apostates. They mentioned numerous reasons. Among them: Their relations with Shiaa, Christians and Jews; following democracy and respecting constitutions; pacifism and respect to human rights.

The feature held the same name of the issue and occupied approximately 15 pages with the minimum pictures and with no infographics. The article language was very strong in criticizing the Brotherhood. They cited Brotherhood leader's positions on certain topics and compare it to IS interpretation of Sunna and Quran to prove that the Brotherhood is not following god's instructions. The article didn't focus on the Brotherhood's post 2011 positions and gave more attention to the positions of the founding General Guides of the Brotherhood. The article picked positions which proves the article point of view ignoring Qutb's positions or al-Banna's last articles for example.

The article didn't criticize the Brotherhood only. It directed criticism to Qaeda and other Islamist movements for their support and soft discourse towards the Brotherhood. The article included an invitation to Islamists from all over the world to migrate to the Islamist State introducing it as the only destination that Muslims can respond to god's call from and engage in Jihad against enemies of god.

6.3.4. Text 9 Analysis:

The article started with introducing the Brotherhood as a devastating cancer that has emerged, mutated and spread attempting to drown the Ummah in apostasy.

94

The article described the deviance of the Brotherhood to be surpassing the deviance of the most deviant and widespread historical sects (Dabiq, The Murtadd Brotherhood, 2016, p. 28). The article was divided into sub-sections proving the Brotherhood deviance from Islam: The Ikhwan and the Rafidah; The Ikhwan and interfaith deviance; The Ikhwan and Legislature; The Ikhwan and Democracy; The Ikhwan and constitutional rule; The Ikhwan and pluralism; The Ikhwan and human rights; The Ikhwan and pacifism; The Ikhwan, patrons of the Taghut Kings of Egypt; The Ikhwan and the Taghut Mubarak; The Ikhwan and extreme Irja; The Jihad claimants and the Ikhwan. The article is finalized with the subsection "Baraah from The Ikhwan" which describes the Brotherhood as a party of extreme apostasy and that Muslims have to declare the stance of Takfir toward the group and its members.

The article accused el al-Banna of following the steps of the two "Freemason modernists" Muhammed Abdu and Jamal al Din al Afgani in calling for wala between the Muslims and Rafidah –Shiaa-. The article listed many trials by the Brotherhood to neutralize the relations with Shiaa including hosting Shiaa figures and supporting Khomeini's revolution in Iran. The article refused the Brotherhood trial to consider the Rafidah Muslims and to coordinate with them (Dabiq, The Murtadd Brotherhood, 2016, p. 30). The article followed this by focusing on the Brotherhood relation with Jews and Christians. The article highlighted a statement for Hassan al Banna saying "Our dispute with the Jews is not religious as Quran encouraged us to be friendly with them". In addition to this al Banna referred to Copts of Egypt as "our Christian Brothers" and the Brotherhood released an official statement asserting that their Christian Brothers in Egypt have the same rights and responsibilities they have. The article concluded that the Brotherhood want to treat all Kuffar as equals with Muslims

and reject the obligation of Jihad against Jews and Christians (Dabiq, The Murtadd Brotherhood, 2016, p. 32).

The article tackled the Brotherhood relation with legislature, democracy and constitutional rule in the following pages. The article confirmed that Hassan al Banna himself ran for parliament elections twice and tried to justify participating in parliament elections. This was followed by numerous participations for the Brotherhood in several legislative bodies in numerous countries. IS criticized the Brotherhood for requesting for themselves Allah's right to legislate. The article refuted the Brotherhood justification of running for elections in order to command the good and forbid the evil. IS's position was that commanding the good and forbidding the evil has to be done by Jihad against those who go against Sharia and not by calling Muslims to commit apostasy by choosing individuals to represent them in parliaments as legislators beside Allah (Dabiq, The Murtadd Brotherhood, 2016, p. 33).

The article defined democracy as a religion that gives the supreme authority to people rather than Allah (Dabiq, The Murtadd Brotherhood, 2016, p. 34). The article criticized the Brotherhood harshly for supporting democracy in speeches for Brotherhood's prominent figures. Concerning the constitutions, IS considered it as authorities of law competing with Allah Sharia. Thus, considered it tawaghit that has to be despised, rejected and fought. IS considered it obligatory to pronounce Takfir upon those who rule by and support constitutions. This was followed by listing constitution articles that the Brotherhood supported which highlight equality, freedom, citizenship and democracy (Dabiq, The Murtadd Brotherhood, 2016, p. 35). The article followed this by refuting the concept of pluralism in the Brotherhood literature as pluralism means that apostates may have the right to dissimilate their

ideas and maybe take power which is against Islamism as Islamists only has the right to rule Muslims (Dabiq, The Murtadd Brotherhood, 2016, p. 35).

The author listed many positions for the Brotherhood in which they supported human rights which the author considered to be a part of the pagan democratic religion. The author asserted that those rights contradicts with Islam and showed that the Brotherhood are promoting them (Dabiq, The Murtadd Brotherhood, 2016, p. 36). The following criticism for Ikhwan was due to their pacifism as according to the writer, Jihad in this era is an obligation upon each and every Muslim as lands of the Muslims have been usurped by the Kuffar and apostates. The author clarified that the Brotherhood were not only pacifists but moreover they censured "terrorism" while striking terror in the Kuffar is a part of Islam (Dabiq, The Murtadd Brotherhood, 2016, p. 36). The writer added that whoever censures terrorism disbelieves Islam. The writer listed many examples for Brotherhood leaders asserting their pacifism and censuring terrorism.

The article criticized the Brotherhood for cooperating with the Kings of Egypt and Mubarak. The article described Kings Fuad and Farouk regimes as superficial monarchies loyal to the British Empire ruled by secularist law and having the Kings in its position of Khalif. The authors listed examples for the Brotherhood praising the Egyptian monarchy (Dabiq, The Murtadd Brotherhood, 2016, p. 39). Concerning Mubarak, the article assumed that he implemented Shirk, and inflicted oppression upon the Muslims of Egypt. The article listed many positions for the Brotherhood which was introduced as cooperation from the Brotherhood with Mubarak's regime against the Muslims (Dabiq, The Murtadd Brotherhood, 2016, p. 39).

The following topic was the concept of Irja or the refusal of Takfir. Duat La Qudat (Preachers Not Judges) book was introduced as form of extreme Irja that refuses to pronounce Takfir upon the governments that ruled by manmade laws. The article showed many examples for Brotherhood figures refusing Takfir asserting that those who refuse Takfir of governments ruling by manmade rules are Kuffar. The article followed this by introducing the relation between "Jihad Claimants" and the Ikhwan describing it as the blatant deviance of the Brotherhood. The author claimed that many Jihadist figures from most of the prominent Islamist movements –eg. Zawahiri of Qaeda- were affected by the Brotherhood false methodology and criticized those movements' sympathy with the Brotherhood harshly accusing them of sympathizing with apostates while criticizing the real Jihadists of IS (Dabiq, The Murtadd Brotherhood, 2016, p. 41).

The article was concluded by citing IS figures criticizing the Brotherhood and announcing that they are a party of extreme apostasy adding that it is obligatory upon every member of the Brotherhood to abandon it and renounce its Kufri tenets. The article also clarified that it is obligatory upon all Muslims to perform Hijrah to the Khilafah which is the only body standing in the face of the Murtadd Brotherhood and their Rafidah allies and Crusaders masters (Dabiq, The Murtadd Brotherhood, 2016, p. 43).

The article was mainly directed towards Brotherhood members and sympathizers to recruit them for IS. The article played efficiently on the contradictions in the Brotherhood methodology –which we previously assumed to be coming from the Brotherhood's gradualist approach- and choose the Brotherhood's soft position in order to show them as an apostate non-Islamist movement. The article showed IS position from many issues in the context of their criticism for the

Brotherhood. Among those positions, IS positions of takfir for Shia, Christians, Jews, Non Islamist Muslims and even Islamist movements who are not following IS methodology of Takfir. The article also clarified IS's position of refusing democracy and considering it a religion and the human rights and pluralism as a part of this Kufri religion.

6.4. Conclusion:

Although many expect that IS territorial influence will diminish, their ideas are not expected to vanish after the military conquest. Their idealistic purist Islamist discourse will keep inspiring future Jihadists. IS is simply perceiving their Islamist tenets as non-negotiable. They refuse and censure the gradualist approaches and consider it a form of apostasy. IS perceive their leader as the Muslims Caliph, and Islamist movements and Muslims have to pledge allegiance to him. They believe in the Kufr of those who don't announce Takfir over governments who are not applying Sharia.

IS believe that installing the Caliphate is an obligation that Muslims must fulfill. As soon as Ahl al Hal we al Akd pledge allegiance to a Caliph, Muslims from all over the world have to pledge allegiance to him and the legitimacy of every Islamist movement who didn't give the Bayaa to him falls. IS don't see the agreement of the Islamist movements on the Caliph a condition to appoint him. Moreover, they believe that Islamist movements won't ever agree on a single nominee. Yet, IS believe in waging war against those who don't recognize the appointed Caliph.

Applying *Sharia* is a nonnegotiable issue for IS. IS don't just declare the apostasy of governments who are not applying Sharia. They declare the apostasy of those who doesn't declare the apostasy of governments who are not applying the Shariia. IS texts

were considering applying the Sharia as a nonnegotiable obligation whether people agreed with it or not. They criticized the Brotherhood for calling the people to vote for candidates who request Allah's right to legislate. IS is believing that Sharia is the only source of legislation. IS doesn't recognize international borders and citizenship.

IS consider democracy a pagan religion whose followers are definitely not Muslims. IS give the Caliph the full power upon the Bayaa of Ahl al Hal wa al Akd and believe that the state has to act to fulfill god orders and not to pacify its citizens. Moreover, they believe that violence and terrorism are the only way to install the Sharia and the Caliphate. IS developed the five steps model to install the Caliphate which depends mainly on force.

IS is definitely the most radical movement of the three studied movements as it doesn't tolerate any gradual or maneuvering techniques. IS is not only refusing to ally with non-Muslim movements. They are not ready to ally with Islamist movement who doesn't share their methodology and consider many prominent Islamist movement apostates.

Summary:

	IS
Caliphate	Installed upon the agreement of Ahl al Hal we al Akd even if the Islamist movements disagree on the nominee
Sharia	The only source of legislation and has to be applied promptly. Those who don't announce Takfir of governments that don't apply Sharia are Kuffar

Democratic institutions	Democracy is a pagan religion and the ruler must be chosen by Ahl al Hal we al Akd and he has full powers
Power usage to install Sharia	Power must be used to install Sharia and Caliphate. IS model to install Caliphate comprises (Hijra-Jamaa-Destabilizing Taghut-Tamkin-Caliphate)

Table 6.1

7. Conclusion

Few days before the release of this thesis, Abd Rabou issued an article that raised the question "did Islamic Sharia imply a political regime that we can consider "The Islamist Political Regime"". Abd Rabou asserted that there were no common characteristics for what was called the Islamic regime in the previous thirteen centuries except that those who ruled were Muslims (Abd Rabou, 2017).

The findings of this thesis are not discrepant. The three studied Islamist movements don't agree on a common understanding for the institutions of the Islamist State. They share the willingness to install an Islamist Caliphate. Yet, they disagree on the conditions of announcing the Caliphate; The gradualism in applying Sharia; Their position from democracy; and their willingness to use power to enforce Sharia. These disagreements maybe explained either by the conditions of the foundation of the movement and its main objectives or by deficiencies in creating the knowledge base on the Islamic political regimes.

The three movements are willing eventually to install the Islamic Caliphate and consider it a religious obligation. They also agree that the Islamic Sharia has to be applied in Muslims territories. Yet, they disagree on the urgency of installing

the Caliphate and applying the Sharia. IS perceives this obligation as an urgent obligation that must be installed even if there was a disagreement among Islamist movements on the nominee for Caliph. They see that Sharia must be applied promptly whether citizens choose to apply it or not as it is the god will that must be applied. Qaeda also believes in the need for installing a Caliph. Yet, they tolerate installing territorial Emirs as a step towards installing the Caliph if uniting the Muslims behind one Caliph is impossible. The priority for Qaeda is fighting the far enemy who Qaeda perceive as the source of all evil. Qaeda believes in the supremacy of Sharia too, and not accept mixing the divine laws with manmade laws. The Brotherhood were clear about the objective of installing the Caliph in Banna and Qutb texts. Yet, when they drafted the electoral program of Mursi in the Egyptian elections, they focused on the concept of the national state. Banna believed in installing the Caliphate through pacts, alliances and diplomatic tools in a gradual way. The Brotherhood under Mursi believed that applying his electoral program is a first step in a long path to achieve Sharia. Banna also believed in democracy as a tool to apply Sharia. Qutb believed that Sharia is a part of believe in the monotheism and has to be applied away from any considerations. The three movements believe in installing the Caliphate and applying the Sharia. Yet, the disagree on the strategy to achieve this end.

The three movements position from Democracy vary significantly. IS consider Democracy a pagan religion which contradicts with Islam. They show no sign for tolerating public will and refuse pacifying the public. Qaeda also refused democracy. Yet, Zawahiri discourse indicated a tolerance to react to the public will as long as it is not contradicting with Sharia. Mursi electoral program showed complete embracement for the modern democratic model. Banna showed

acceptance for the constitutional democracy too. Yet, he refused the multi-party system. Qutb refused the concept of having the people as a source of legislation and considered this a form of polytheism.

The reason behind the embracement of every movement for a different strategy in installing the Islamist State requires an intensive study and can be a subject for future research. Yet, the available literature shed the light on eye catching differences in the political conditions around each movement that may had a determining effect on the movement strategy. The Brotherhood was established in a relatively constitutional regime that tolerated political activism, and civil society. They reached the peak of embracing the democratic model prior to the free presidential elections of 2012. This may explain the Brotherhood gradualist approach by their ability to pursue the change by democratic means. The Brotherhood is an Islamist movement that accepted democracy as democracy was a valid strategy to achieve the Islamist State in the movement's political context.

Qaeda's primary objective was defeating the far enemy who occupy the Muslim holy lands and support the oppressive regimes in Muslim lands. Qaeda's priority was fostering alliances that enables them to fight the far enemy to force it to withdraw and deter it from further interference in Muslim lands. The previous made Qaeda tend to postpone the tensions over sensitive issue like Caliphate in order to keep the Islamist line united against the far enemy who is the biggest obstacle –from their point of view- against the establishment of the Caliphate.

IS was born in a battlefield where they were fighting the Iraqi regime, Shiaa militias and Sunni Sahwat. Showing power was important to deter the enemy. Showing a relative advantage over other Islamist movement was essential to

attract recruits. Installing the Caliphate gave IS an advantage over the other Islamist movements and made its lands in Syria and Iraq a favorable destination for Hijra for Islamists from all over the globe.

The deficiency of the knowledge base on the Islamic political regimes was clear in the movements' texts. The six issues that were mentioned in the theoretical framework affected the movements' visions for the Islamist State severely. The Islamist movements used historical events as religious references in many occasions ignoring the fact that those historical events doesn't imply religious significance as explained by Aref (al Khateeb, 2005) and proved in several texts in this thesis. The Islamic literature tolerated various versions of the Islamic state. Yet, the Islamist movements are not tolerating any version but what they believe in. The exaggerated interest in reaching political power to install the state was apparent and caused an Islamist-Islamist disputes that caused warfare in many occasions.

In conclusions, The Muslims Brotherhood, Qaeda and IS are looking forward to install the Islamist State. They agree that the Islamist State has to be a Caliphate uniting Muslims all over the globe and has to be ruled by Sharia. Yet, the three movements disagree on the strategy of installing the state and the degree of tolerance for Democracy inside this state. The reason behind the disagreements of the movements requires further research and study. Yet, the available literature gives significant importance to the circumstances of foundation and the priorities of each movement which was interpreted in their strategy to install the Islamic State in addition to a deficiency in the knowledge base on Islamic political regimes.

	The Brotherhood According to Banna	**Qaeda**	**IS**
Caliphate	Primary objective of Muslims. Has to be applied gradually through Diplomacy	Primary objective. Tolerate territorial Emirs if there is no agreement over one Caliph.	Primary objective. Prompt obligation for Muslims even if they disagree on the Caliph
Sharia	Component of Islam mentioned in Quran and Sunna	The only source of legislation that can't be mixed with other sources	The only source of legislation and has to be applied promptly. Those who don't announce Takfir of governments that don't apply Sharia are Kuffar
Democratic institutions	Refused political parties. Yet, accepted parliament and constitution	Refused democracy and considered it a religion. Tolerated public will in some issues not contradicting with Sharia	Refused democracy and considered it a religion.
Power usage to install Sharia	Accepted, If there is no other mean	Defeating the far enemy is the priority	Accepted, and perceive it as obligation
Strategy to install the	Democracy followed by diplomacy	Defeating the far enemy followed by its agents	Forcing their influence by power

Caliphate			
Reason behind the strategy	Being founded in a relatively democratic and free political environment	A reaction to the occupation of the Muslims holy lands	Being founded in an open confrontation with several factions and the need for relative advantage

Table 7.1

8. References

Abd Rabou, A. (2017). الإسلام المعاصر ..الشريعة والسياسة. الشروق.

Abi Yaali, M. (2000). *Al ahkam al Sultaniyah.*

Adnani, A. (2014). *This is the Promise of God.*

al Khateeb, M. (2005). *The crisis of the state between Islamists and Seculars.*

Al Mawardi, A. a. (nd). *The Laws of Islamic Governance.* (A. Yate, Trans.) London: Taha Publishers Ltd.

al-Amin, M. (2014). الاخوان المسلمون من النشأة الي الحل. Retrieved from Ikhwanwiki.com.

Al-Anani, K. (2015). Upended path: The rise and fall of egypt's muslim brotherhood. *Middle East Journal, 69(4).*

al-Awadi, H. (2009). A struggle for legitimacy: The muslim brotherhood and mubarak, 1982-2009. *Contemporary Arab Affairs.*

Al-Banna, H. (1939). *Banna Message to the FIfth Brotherhood Conference.*

Al-Shinqiti. (2015). الشيخ ايمن الظواهري.. رجل بامة Retrieved from منبر التوحيد والجهاد: http://www.ilmway.com/site/maqdis/MS_7593.html

al-Shinqiti, A. (nd). ابي المنذر الشنقيطي. Retrieved from منبر التوحيد والجهاد: http://www.ilmway.com/site/maqdis/MS_5959.html

Arjomand, S. A. (2009). the constitution of medina: A sociolegal interpretation of muhammad's acts of foundation of the umma. . *International Journal of Middle East Studies, 41(4),* 555-575.

Armajani, J. (2012). *Modern islamist movements: History, religion, and politics.*

BBC. (2015). *Profile: Ayman al-Zawahiri* . Retrieved from BBC: http://www.bbc.com/news/world-middle-east-13789286

Ben Laden, O. (2009). Call For Jihad To Stop Aggression Against Gaza.

Bergen, P., & Cruickshank, P. (2012). Revisiting the early al qaeda: An updated account of its formative years. . *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism*.

Bin Ali, T. (2014). اللفظ الساني في ترجمة العدناني. Retrieved from https://thabat111.wordpress.com/2014/07/15/%D8%A7%D9%84%D9%84%D9%81 %D8%B8-%D8%A7%D9%84%D8%B3%D8%A7%D9%86%D9%8A- %D9%81%D9%8A-%D8%AA%D8%B1%D8%AC%D9%85%D8%A9- %D8%A7%D9%84%D8%B9%D8%AF%D9%86%D8%A7%D9%86%D9%8A- %D8%A8%D9%82%D9%84%D9%85-%D8%A3%D8%A8/

Bin Laden, O. (1996). *DECLARATION OF WAR AGAINST THE AMERICANS.*

Bostom, A. G. (2005). *The legacy of jihad: Islamic holy war and the fate of non-muslims.*

Britannica. (1998). *Hassan al-Banna*. Retrieved from Britannica.com: https://www.britannica.com/biography/Hasan-al-Banna

Britannica. (2017). *Osama bin Laden*. Retrieved from Britannica: http://academic.eb.com.libproxy.aucegypt.edu:2048/levels/collegiate/article/Osama-bin-Laden/343571

Brown, N. (2010). The Muslim Brotherhood's (and Egypt's) Qutb conundrum. *Foreign Policy*.

Burke, J. (2003). Al-Qaeda: Casting a shadow of terror.

Burr, M., & Collins, R. O. (2003). *Revolutionary sudan: Hasan al-turabi and the islamist state, 1989-2000.*

Caliphate. (2016). *Caliphate*. Retrieved from Encyclopædia Britannica: https://www.britannica.com/place/Caliphate

CALLIMACHI, R. (2016). *How a Secretive Branch of ISIS Built a Global Network of Killers*. Retrieved from NYTimes: https://www.nytimes.com/2016/08/04/world/middleeast/isis-german-recruit-interview.html?_r=0

Celso, A. (2015). Zarqawi's Legacy: Al Qaeda's ISIS "Renegade" . *Mediterranean Quarterly*.

Chehabi, H. E. (2001). The political regime of the islamic republic of iran in comparative perspective. *Government and Opposition, 36(1)*, 48-70.

Cragin, R. K. (2015). Semi-Proxy Wars and U.S. Counterterrorism Strategy. *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism*, 311-327.

Dabiq. (2014). From Hijrah to Khilafah. *Dabiq*(1).

Dabiq. (2016). The Murtadd Brotherhood. *Dabiq*(14).

Dar, S. A. (2016). Contemporary discourses on the possibility of an 'Islamic state': an overview. *Contemporary Arab Affairs*, 421-427.

Dūrī, .. a. (2011). *Early islamic institutions: Administration and taxation from the caliphate to the umayyads and 'Abbāsids.* London: I. B. Tauris Publishers.

El-Banna, H. (nd). رسائل الامام الشهيد حسن البنا

El-Sherif, A. (2012). *Will the Muslim Brotherhood's gamble on al-Shater pay off?* Retrieved from http://carnegieendowment.org/: http://carnegieendowment.org/sada/?fa=47836

Ettmueller, E. U. (2006). Islam and Democracy.

Faruqi, M. Y. (2009). The bay'ah as a politico-legal principle: The prophet (SAW), the fuqahā' and the rāshidūn caliphs. *Intellectual Discourse, 17(1).*

Fromson, J. (2015). ISIS: The Dubious Paradise of Apocalypse Now, ,. *Survival, 57:3*, 7-56,.

Gallab, A. A. (2008). *The first islamist republic: Development and disintegration of islamism in the sudan.*

Gerges, F. (2011). *The Rise and Fall of Al-Qaeda.*

Ghazaly, M. (1992). *Egypt Between Islamic and Secular State.* Markez El Ialam El Araby.

Gunaratna, R., & Ebrary, I. . (2002). Inside al qaeda: Global network of terror.

Hallaq, W. B. (2012). The impossible State.

Hansen, H. &. (2007). Radical islamism and totalitarian ideology: A comparison of sayyid qutb's islamism with marxism and national socialism. *Totalitarian Movements and Political Religions, 8(1)*, 55-76.

Harris, D. (2014). *The Islamic State's (ISIS, ISIL) Magazine.* Retrieved from Clarion Project: https://clarionproject.org/islamic-state-isis-isil-propaganda-magazine-dabiq-50/

Ibrahim, S. (1982). An Islamic Alternative in Egypt: The Muslim Brotherhood and Sadat. *Arab Studies Quarterly.*

Jihadist. (2014). *ISIS Spokesman Declares Caliphate, Rebrands Group as "Islamic State".* Retrieved from Intelligence Group: https://news.siteintelgroup.com/Jihadist-News/isis-spokesman-declares-caliphate-rebrands-group-as-islamic-state.html

Johari, J. C. (2008). *Comparative politics.*

JOSCELYN, T. (2016). Fifteen years after the 9/11 attacks, al Qaeda fights on. *FDD's Long War Journal.*

Juwayni, A. (nd). *Ghiyath al Umam.*

Kaul, K. (2002). Role of religion in politics: Impact on the civil and military society of Pakistan. *Strategic Analysis*, 356-369.

Kechichian, J. A. (1986). The Role of the Ulama in the Politics of an Islamic State: The Case of Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Middle East Studies*, 53-71.

Kelsay, J. (2009). Islamist Movements and Shari'a Reasoning. *Totalitarian Movements and Political Religions, 10:2*, 121-134.

Khan, M. A. (2004). The Islamic State. In M. Hawksworth, & M. Kogan, *Encyclopaedia of Government and Politics.*

Khir, B. (2007). Who Applies Islamic Law in Non-Muslim Countries? A Study of the Sunnī Principle of the Governance of the Scholars (wilāyat al-'ulamā'). *Journal of Muslim Minority Affairs*, 79-91.

Kirmanj, S. (2008). Islam, politics and government. *Totalitarian Movements and Political Religions, 9(1)*, 43-59.

Kramer, M. (2003). Coming to Terms: Fundamentalists or Islamists. *The Middle East Quarterly*, 65-77.

Levy, R. A. (2014). The idea of jihād and its evolution: Ḥasan al-bannā and the society of the muslim brothers . *Die Welt Des Islams*.

Lia, B. (1998). *The Society of the Muslim Brothers in Egypt: The Rise of an Islamic Mass Movement 1928-1942.*

Liebl, V. (2009). The caliphate. *Middle Eastern Studies, 45(3)*, 373-391.

Lijphart, A. (1971). Comparative Politics and the Comparative Method. *The American Political Science Review*.

McCants, W. (2006). *Militant Ideology Atlas.*

Mecham, C. H. (2009). Democratic Ideology in Islamist Opposition? The Muslim Brotherhood's 'Civil State'. *Middle Eastern Studies*, 189-205.

Mendelsohn, B. (2016). Jihad's Civil War. *Foreign Affairs*.

Michael, G. (2007). The legend and legacy of Abu Musab al-Zarqawi. *Defence Studies*.

Mitchell, R. P. (1969). *The Society of the Muslim Brothers.*

Mogahed, D. (2006). *Islam and Democracy.* Gallup World Poll.

Nahda. (2012). *Nahda Program.* Retrieved from https://www.uni-marburg.de/cnms/politik/forschung/forschungsproj/islamismus/dokumentation/dokumente/praesidentmursi.pdf

No-Name. (2014). *Islamic State (ISIS).* Retrieved from World Almanac of Islamism: http://almanac.afpc.org/sites/almanac.afpc.org/files/ISIL.pdf

Poushter, J. (2016). *The Divide Over Islam and National Laws in the Muslim World.* Retrieved from Pew Research Center: http://www.pewglobal.org/2016/04/27/the-divide-over-islam-and-national-laws-in-the-muslim-world/

Qaradawi, Y. (1992). Inclusiveness of Islam.

Qutb, S. (2006). *Milestones.* (A. al-Mehri, Ed.) Birmingham, England: MAKTABAH.

Serjeant, R. B. (1978). The sunnah jāmi'ah, pacts with the yathrib jews, and the taḥrīm of yathrib: Analysis and translation of the documents comprised in the so-called 'Constitution of medina'. . *Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies, 41(1)*.

Shafer-Ray, R. (2015). A family Schism: ISIL, al-Qaida, and the New Civil War. *Harvard International Review*.

Shavit, U. (2010). Is shura a muslim form of democracy? roots and systemization of a polemic. *Middle Eastern Studies*.

Shinqiti, A. (2014). *The Declaration of Caliphate.*

Soage, A. B. (2014). Shūrà and democracy. *Two sides of the same coin? Religion Compass, 8(3)*, 90-103.

Tibi, B. (2007). The totalitarianism of jihadist islamism and its challenge to europe and to islam. *Totalitarian Movements and Political Religions, 8(1)*, 35-54.

Turner, J. (2010). From cottage industry to international organisation: The evolution of salafi-jihadism and the emergence of the al qaeda ideology. *Terrorism and Political Violence*, 541-558.

Wagemakers, J. (2016). What should an islamic state look like? Jihādī-Salafī debates on the war in Syria. *The Muslim World*.

Wellhausen, J. (2000). *The arab kingdom and its fall.*

Zarqawi, A. (2004). Zaraqawi speech.

Zartman, W. (1965). THE MONARCHY AND THE CONSTITUTION IN MOROCCO. *Politico*, 126-143.

Zawahiri, A. (2012). *Supporting Islam Document.*

Zawahiri, A. (2013). *Unifying the positions on the monotheism.*

Zelin, A. (2014). *The War between ISIS and al-Qaeda for Supremacy of the Global Jihadist Movement.* The Washington Institute for Near East Policy .

Zollner, B. (2009). *The Muslim Brotherhood.*