



Green, M., Ken-Dror, G., Fluck, D., Sada, C., Sharma, P., Fry, C. H., & Han, T. S. (2021). Meta-analysis of changes in the levels of catecholamines and blood pressure with continuous positive airway pressure therapy in obstructive sleep apnea. *Journal of Clinical Hypertension*, *23*(1), 12-20. https://doi.org/10.1111/jch.14061

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record License (if available): CC BY-NC

Link to published version (if available): 10.1111/jch.14061

Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research PDF-document

This is the final published version of the article (version of record). It first appeared online via Wiley at https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/jch.14061 . Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/

ORIGINAL PAPER

Meta-analysis of changes in the levels of catecholamines and blood pressure with continuous positive airway pressure therapy in obstructive sleep apnea

Mackenzie Green $BSc^{1,2}$ | Gie Ken-Dror PhD² | David Fluck MD, FRCP³ | Charif Sada BSc^2 | Pankaj Sharma MD, PhD, FRCP⁴ | Christopher H. Fry DSc^5 | Thang S. Han MA, MB BChir, PhD, FRCP^{2,6}

¹Medical School, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

²Institute of Cardiovascular Research, Royal Holloway, University of London, Egham, UK

³Department of Cardiology, Ashford and St Peter's Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Chertsey, UK

⁴Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust, London, UK

⁵School of Physiology, Pharmacology and Neuroscience, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

⁶Department of Endocrinology, Ashford and St Peter's Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Chertsey, UK

Correspondence

Thang S. Han, MA, MB BChir, PhD, FRCP, Institute of Cardiovascular Research, Royal Holloway, University of London, Egham TW20 0EX, UK. Email: thang.han@rhul.ac.uk

Abstract

Stress from obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) stimulates catecholamine release consequently exacerbating hypertension. However, different studies have shown a conflicting impact of continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) treatment in patients with OSA on catecholamine levels and blood pressure. We aimed to examine changes to catecholamine levels and blood pressure in response to CPAP treatment. We conducted a meta-analysis of data published up to May 2020. The quality of the studies was evaluated using standard tools for assessing the risk of bias. Meta-analysis was conducted using RevMan (v5.3) and expressed in standardized mean difference (SMD) for catecholamines and mean difference (MD) for systolic (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP). A total of 38 studies met our search criteria; they consisted of 14 randomized control trials (RCT) totaling 576 participants and 24 prospective cohort studies (PCS) of 547 participants. Mean age ranged between 41 and 62 year and body mass index between 27.2 and 35.1 kg/m². CPAP treatment reduced 24hour urinary noradrenaline levels both in RCT (SMD = -1.1; 95% confidence interval (CI): -1.63 to - 0.56) and in PCS (SMD = 0.38 (CI: 0.24 to 0.53). SBP was also reduced by CPAP treatment in RCT (4.8 mmHg; CI: 2.0-7.7) and in PCS (7.5 mmHg; CI: 3.3-11.7). DBP was similarly reduced (3.0 mmHg; Cl: 1.4-4.6) and in PCS (5.1 mmHg; Cl: 2.3-8.0). In conclusion, CPAP treatment in patients with OSA reduces catecholamine levels and blood pressure. This suggests that sympathetic activity plays an intermediary role in hypertension associated with OSA-related stress.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is a common condition among overweight and obese individuals.¹ About half of patients with OSA have hypertension, and about half of patients with hypertension have OSA.² Causal link between OSA and hypertension is complex and remains debatable, but hypertension may in part arise from increased sympathetic nerve activity induced by hypoxic stress.³ OAS is associated with a number of secondary health complications, most notably cardiovascular disease,⁴ and co-existing cardiometabolic risk factors such as dyslipidemia,

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. © 2020 The Authors. The Journal of Clinical Hypertension published by Wiley Periodicals LLC endothelial dysfunction, deranged inflammatory responses, and insulin resistance⁵; all of which are associated with obesity.⁶

The main treatment for OSA is continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP). Meta-analyses have shown CPAP slightly reduces arterial blood pressure.^{7,8} However, studies on the impact of CPAP treatment on sympathetic activity markers such as catecholamines have drawn conflicting conclusions.⁹⁻¹¹ There is a lack of meta-analyses on large numbers of participants recording the effects of CPAP in the same individuals on both changes in catecholamine levels and blood pressure. This information is important as it provides evidence of sympathetic activity as a mediator of OSA-related stress and hypertension.

We therefore conducted a meta-analysis of published data to document changes in the levels of catecholamines and their inactive metabolites [metadrenalines], as well as blood pressure in response to CPAP treatment of OSA.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Search criteria

Two investigators followed PRISMA¹² and Cochrane guidelines,¹³ and performed independently a literature search of MEDLINE and Google Scholar up to May 2020 using the key terms (British or US usage and abbreviations, eg, CPAP and OSA): obstructive sleep apnea, continuous positive airway pressure, urinary or plasma catecholamines, adrenaline (epinephrine), noradrenaline (norepinephrine), 3-methoxytyramine, metanephrines, normetanephrine, metanephrine and dopamine, and hypertension. No filters for language or data were used. The Boolean operators "AND" and "OR"

were used to combine search terms. Relevant studies were handsearched within these references.

2.2 | Selection criteria

Studies examining the effect of CPAP on catecholamines in the OSA population were included irrespective of age, sex, race, comorbidities, duration of CPAP, and treatment. Studies that fit the inclusion criteria were randomized control trials (RCTs) and prospective cohort studies (PCS). Studies were excluded if they did not present numerical data for catecholamines at baseline and end point.

2.3 | Outcome measures

24-hour urinary or plasma catecholamines: dopamine, adrenaline and noradrenaline, or their products metadrenalines (metanephrines): 3-methoxytyramine, normetadrenaline (normetanephrine) and metadrenaline (metanephrine) and blood pressure were the outcomes used for the comparison analysis.

2.4 | Risk of bias

The quality of the reports was evaluated using the risk of bias assessed using Cochrane Collaboration's tool for RCTs¹⁴ and risk of bias in non-randomized studies of interventions (ROBINS-I) tool for PCS.¹⁵ The risk of bias for each report was rated independently from low, moderate, serious, or critical by two authors, and any discrepancies were resolved by reciprocal discussion.



FIGURE 1 QUOROM (quality of reporting of meta-analyses) flow chart of literature search

		Mean \pm SD (or range where indicated)						
	Sex (M/F)	Age (years)	BMI (kg/m²)	SBP (mmHg)	DBP (mmHg)	duration		
RCT (CPAP group)								
Arias et al (2008) ^{a18}	30/0	52 ± 13	30.5 ± 4.0	121.5 ± 11.4	74.5 ± 7.8	3 mo		
Casitas et al (2017) ^{a 19}	26/6	56 ± 11.2	29.2 ± 5.6	131.5 ± 12.0	78.8 ± 8.5	12 wk		
Comondore et al (2008) ^{a20}	9/4	55 ± 7.1	31.1	138.4	83.8	4 wk		
de Araújo et al (2013) ²¹	8 (Both)	43 ± 12	28 ± 4	112 ± 12	67 <u>±</u> 8	1 night		
Drager et al (2007) ²⁷	12/0	44 ± 7	29.9 ± 3.0	123 ± 12	73 ± 10	4 mo		
Kohler et al (2008) ²⁸	51/0	48.1 ± 9.5	35.8 ± 7.3	131.3 ± 13.9	83.9 ± 9.3	4 wk		
Lam et al (2010) ²²	31/0	46.5 ± 10.8	27.8 ± 3.7	130.8 ± 14.7	80.1 ± 10.8	4 wk		
Mansfield et al (2004) ²³	28/0	57.2 ± 9	33.5 ± 4.8	99 ± 15.9^{b}	105 ± 15.9^{b}	3 mo		
Mills et al (2006) ²⁴	15/2	47.6 ± 10.7	31.7 ± 5.8	155.2 ± 18.6	84.2 ± 10.7	2 wk		
Phillips et al (2011) ^{a25}	35/3	49 ± 13	32.1 ± 4.3	-	-	2 mo		
Rubinsztajn et al (2006) ²⁹	15/0	50.6 ± 10.0	31.5 ± 6.3	130.1 ± 17.8	87.3 ± 13.5	8 mo		
Ruzicka et al (2020) ³⁰	7/0	59 (58-67) ^c	33 (31-35) ^c	140 (136-165) ^c	73 (66-85) ^c	6 wk		
Ryan et al (2005) ²⁶	9/1	57.6 <u>+</u> 7	28.3 ± 4.1	120.7 ± 17.1	64.6 ± 9.5	1 mo		
Thunstrom et al (2016) ³¹	15/9	58 ± 6.7	27.7 ± 3.2	164.9 ± 16.2	96.5 ± 10.9	6 wk		
RCT (control group)								
Arias et al (2008) ^{a18}	30/0	52 ± 13	30.5 ± 4.0	121.5 ± 11.4	74.5 ± 7.8	3 mo		
Casitas et al (2017) ^{a 19}	26/6	56 ± 11.2	29.2 ± 5.6	131.5 ± 12.0	78.8 ± 8.5	12 wk		
Comondore et al (2009) ^{a20}	9/4	55 ± 7.1	31.1	138.4	83.8	4 wk		
de Araújo et al (2013) ²¹	8 (Both)	43 ± 12	28 ± 4	112 ± 12	67 <u>±</u> 8	1 night		
Drager et al (2007) ²⁷	12/0	47 ± 6	29.7 ± 2.9	123 ± 12	73 ± 10	4 mo		
Kohler et al (2008) ²⁸	51/0	48.7 ± 10.6	34.5 ± 5.0	138.9 ± 20.8	88.3 ± 8.1	4 wk		
Lam et al (2010) ²²	30/0	46.1 ± 9.8	27.2 ± 3.7	129.5 ± 16.5	82.0 ± 11.6	4 wk		
Mansfield et al (2004) ²³	24/3	57.5 <u>±</u> 8.3	34.6 ± 6.2	99 ± 15.9^{b}	105 ± 15.9	3 mo		
Mills et al (2006) ²⁴	13/3	49 ± 10.4	32.2 ± 6.8	149 ± 23.2	83.6 ± 13.6	2 wk		
Phillips et al (2011) ^{a25}	35/3	49 ± 13	32.1 ± 4.3	-	-	2 mo		
Rubinsztajn et al (2006) ²⁹	10/0	45.4 ± 16.5	27.6 ± 3.1	126.7 ± 12.3	84.2 ± 10.0	8 mo		
Ruzicka et al (2020) ³⁰	6/0	63 (55-71) ^c	34 (33-36) ^c	138 (127-148) ^c	71 (62-81.5) ^c	6 wk		
Ryan et al (2005) ²⁶	7/1	60.3 ± 11.6	35.1 ± 10.5	139 ± 15.6	69.9 ± 12.2	1 mo		
Thunstrom et al (2016) ³¹	17/6	59 ± 3.7	27.6 ± 4.1	164.9 ± 16.2	96.5 ± 10.9	6 wk		
Prospective cohort studies								
Baruzzi et al (1991) ³²	6/0	41.3 ± 12.9	36 ± 6	-	-	1 night		
Bischof et al (2019) ⁵⁷	18/0	55.8 ± 9.5	35.5 <u>+</u> 3.8	133.2 ± 14.1	80.2 ± 10.6	6 mo		
Bratel et al (1999) ³³	16/0	51.3 ± 10.8	32.0 ± 5.6	143.8 ± 17.2	87.5 ± 10	7 mo		
Burioka et al (2008) ⁵⁸	8/0	45.9 ± 12.2	25.9 ± 1.7	-	-	3 mo		
Castro-Grattoni et al (2017) ³⁴	48/12	52.3 ± 9.56	30.7 ± 4.2	122.7 ± 9.9	77.2 ± 7.7	6 mo		
Donadio et al (2007) ⁴⁵	10/0	50 ± 9.5	32 ± 6.3	144 ± 6.3	98 ± 3.2	6 mo		
Feres et al (2014) ³⁵	6/3	56.0 ± 15.6	-	-	-	1 y		
Ferrier et al (2008) ³⁶	16/3	58.5 ± 11.2	30.2 ± 6.7	132 ± 16	80 ± 9	6 mo		
Grimpen et al (2000) ⁵⁹	26/3	56.9 ± 8.6	29.5 ± 3.8	98.4 ± 2.7^{b}	98.4 ± 2.7^{b}	14 mo ^d		
Heitmann et al (2000) ⁶⁰	18 (Both)	50.0 ± 10.4	29.7 ± 3.7	136.8 ± 15.7	84.9 ± 12.5	42 d ^d		
Jennum et al (1989) ⁴⁶	13/1	42 (36-66) ^c	26.13 ± 3.5	147.5 ± 5.2	122.4 ± 4.3	1 wk		
Kita et al (1998) ³⁷	12/2	53 ± 14.5	29.9 ± 4.9	127.6 ± 19.8	77.8 ± 11.6	1 night		
Krieger et al (1989) ³⁸	20/1	51 ± 10.1	32.0 ± 1.3	-	-	1 night		

(Continues)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

		Mean \pm SD (or rang		CDAD		
	Sex (M/F)	Age (years)	BMI (kg/m ²)	SBP (mmHg)	DBP (mmHg)	duration
Lemmer et al (2016) ⁴⁷	17/0	60.5 ± 8.1	35.0 ± 4.7	138 ± 15.2	83.3 ± 10.2	8 wk
Minemura et al (1998) ³⁹	26/0	47.8 ± 11.1	30.6 ± 5.1	125 ± 15	80 ± 10.9	1 night
Mokhlesi et al (2017) ⁶¹	6/6	54.6 ± 10.2	37.7 ± 8.7	-	-	1 wk
Myhill et al (2012) ⁴⁰	27/17	66.1 ± 8.8	33.6 ± 5.5	149 ± 23	80 ± 12	3 mo
Nakamura et al (2001) ⁶²	18/0	49.9.3	29.9 ± 5.1	119.9 ± 16.1	84 ± 11.9	1 night
Nicholl et al (2018) ⁴⁸	17/8	49 ± 10	33.5 <u>+</u> 6.5	127 ± 10	79 ± 10	4 wk
Pinto et al (2013) ⁴¹	67/0	49.4 ± 8.8	31.8 ± 5.3	124.7 ± 12.6	77.8 ± 9.2	1 mo
Rodenstein et al (1992) ⁶³	11/1	50.0 ± 9.0	36.9 ± 8.6	-	-	2-3 nights
Sukegawa et al (2005) ⁴²	17/0	53.1 ± 13.5	26.7 ± 4.8	-	-	1 night
Tachikawa et al (2016) ⁴³	51/12	60.6 ± 10.0	27.9 ± 3.8	-	-	3 mo
Unterberg et al (2005) ⁴⁴	9/1	61 (50-69) ^c	33 (27-46) ^c	-	-	3 nights

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CPAP, continuous positive airway pressure; M/F, male/female; RCT, randomized controlled trial; SBP and DBP, systolic and diastolic blood pressure.

^aCrossover study.

^bMean arterial pressure.

^cRange.

^dMean values.

TABLE 2	Number of studies and participants reporting
24-hurinary	or plasma tests to determine catecholamines or their
metabolites,	and blood pressure

	Studies (n)	Participants (n)
Randomized controlled trials (n = 14)		Case/control
24-h urinary noradrenaline	9	186/180
24-h urinary adrenaline	6	140/135
24-h urinary normetadrenaline	3	92/87
24-h urinary metadrenaline	2	41/36
Plasma noradrenaline	5	24/23
Plasma adrenaline	3	46/39
Blood pressure	10	208/199
Prospective cohort studies (n $=$ 24)		
24-h urinary noradrenaline	13	367
24-h urinary adrenaline	7	173
24-h urinary normetadrenaline	-	-
24-h urinary metadrenaline	-	-
Plasma noradrenaline	13	269
Plasma adrenaline	6	85
Blood pressure	10	297

Note: NB: Some studies reported more than one test.

2.5 | Statistical analysis

Meta-analysis was performed using Review Manager (RevMan, Version 5.3. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre, the Cochrane Collaboration, 2014). The standardized mean difference (SMD) was used to determine the effect size on catecholamines to accommodate for a variety of ways they were measured. The SMD expresses the size of the intervention effect in each study relative to the variability observed in that study. The mean difference (MD) used on the original scale of measurement to determine the effect size on blood pressure. Pooled estimates of each outcome for each treatment were obtained via the DerSimonian and Laird method using a random-effects model.¹⁶ Statistical significance threshold was accepted as P < .05. The l^2 statistic was used to assess heterogeneity of trial results used to construct pooled estimates of effect.¹⁷

RESULTS

A total of 38 studies met the above search criteria: 14 RCT¹⁸⁻³¹ including a total of 576 participants (295 in treatment groups and 281 controls) and 24 PCS, ³²⁻³⁵ totaling 547 participants (Figure 1). The mean age ranged between 41 and 62 yr and body mass index between 27.2 and 35.1 kg/m² (Table 1). The remaining baseline parameters including heart rate, sleep study characteristics, and noradrenaline levels are shown in Table S1. The duration of CPAP treatment ranged from one day to eight months in RCTs and one day to a year in PCS. Most studies used 24-hour urinary noradrenaline as outcome measure, including nine RCTs¹⁸⁻²⁶ and 13 PCS.³²⁻ ⁴⁴ A fewer RCTs and PCS, respectively, reported 24-hour urinary adrenaline (n = 6 and 7), normetadrenaline (n = 3 and 0) and metadrenaline (n = 2 and 0), or plasma noradrenaline (n = 5 and 13) and adrenaline (n = 3 and 6) (Table 2). Subsequently, data from studies on 24-hour urinary noradrenaline are presented herein while the remaining data on other methods of measurement of catecholamines and their metabolites are shown in Figures S1 and S2.

WILEY

(A)	С	PAP		C	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
De Araujo et al 2013	0	3.6	10	25	12.4	6	6.5%	-2.97 [-4.53, -1.41]	
Ryan et al 2005	-1.9	2.6	10	0.4	5.4	8	10.0%	-0.54 [-1.49, 0.41]	+ _
Mansfield et al 2004	-9.8	5.4	19	1.6	4.3	21	10.9%	-2.30 [-3.12, -1.49]	(
Mills et al 2006	-0.7	0.4	17	0	0.4	16	10.9%	-1.71 [-2.52, -0.90]	_ -
Comondore et al 2009	-3.9	12	13	-1.25	12	13	11.2%	-0.21 [-0.99, 0.56]	
Phillips et al 2011	-53.8	37.3	29	7.8	37.4	29	12.3%	-1.63 [-2.23, -1.03]	_ -
Arias et al 2008	-4.5	3.8	25	-1.8	4.1	25	12.5%	-0.67 [-1.24, -0.10]	
Casitas et al 2017	-7.7	12	32	-0.1	9.2	32	12.9%	-0.70 [-1.21, -0.20]	
Lam et al 2010	-0.6	1.3	31	-0.4	1.9	30	12.9%	-0.12 [-0.62, 0.38]	
Total (95% CI)			186			180	100.0%	-1.10 [-1.63, -0.56]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.5	1: Chi ² =	= 41.57	'. df = 8	(P < 0.	00001): ² = 8	1%		
Test for overall effect: Z =	4.02 (P	< 0.00	01)						-4 -2 0 2 4
			,						Favours [CPAP] Favours [Control]
(B)	Dr	o CDAD	,	Dec				td Mean Difference	Std Moon Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	e-CPAP	Total	Mean	SI-CPAI	Total	Weight	W Random 95% Cl	M Random 95% Cl
Baruzzi et al 1991	95	101	6	52	16	6	1.6%	0.55 [-0.61 1.71]	
Feres et al 2014	78.2	33.7	9	68.2	44.4	9	2.5%	0.24 [-0.69, 1.17]	
Bratel et al 1999	259.5	102.9	11	154.8	67.5	11	2.6%	1.16 [0.24, 2.07]	
Unterberg et al 2005	18.2	6.6	10	17.5	6.9	10	2.8%	0.10 [-0.78, 0.98]	
Kita et al 1998	69.8	41.5	14	65	55	14	3.9%	0.10 [-0.65, 0.84]	
Sukegawa et al 2005	81.5	47.8	17	47	26.8	17	4.3%	0.87 [0.16, 1.58]	
Ferrier et al 2008	26.7	11.2	19	25.4	9.3	19	5.3%	0.12 [-0.51, 0.76]	
Krieger et al 1989	37.8	19.8	21	30.5	15.8	21	5.8%	0.40 [-0.21, 1.01]	
Minemura et al 1998	305.2	207.1	26	236	166.3	26	7.2%	0.36 [-0.19, 0.91]	+
Myhill et al 2012	344	233.7	44	284.3	174.7	44	12.2%	0.29 [-0.13, 0.71]	+
Castro-Grattoni et al 2017	355	192.9	60	252.9	50.3	60	15.8%	0.72 [0.35, 1.09]	
Tachikawa et al 2016	102	53	63	96	41	63	17.6%	0.13 [-0.22, 0.48]	
Pinto et al 2013	60.3	28	67	50.9	21.8	67	18.5%	0.37 [0.03, 0.71]	
Total (95% CI)			367			367	100.0%	0.38 [0.24, 0.53]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00;	Chi ² = 11	1.82, df	= 12 (P	= 0.46);	² = 0%			_	
Test for overall effect: Z = 5.1	12 (P < 0	.00001)						Favours [Pre-CPAP] Favours [Post-CPAP]
									•

FIGURE 2 Changes in 24-hour urinary noradrenaline levels by CPAP treatment in RCT (A) and in PCS (B)

CPAP treatment reduced the levels of 24-hour urinary noradrenaline levels both in RCT: SMD = -1.1 (95%CI = -1.63to - 0.56) (Figure 2A) and in PCS: SMD = 0.38 (95%CI = 0.24 to 0.53) (Figure 2B). Inter-study heterogeneity was high among RCT ($l^2 = 81\%$) but low among PCS ($l^2 = 0\%$).

Blood pressure as study outcome measure was reported in ten RCTs totaling 407 participants^{18-22,24-28,30} and ten PCS containing 297 participants^{34,36,39-41,45-48} (Table 2). CPAP treatment led to a blood pressure reduction. With RCT, mean reductions of SBP were 4.8 mmHg (95%CI = 2.0-7.7 mmHg) (Figure 3A) and of DBP were 3.0 mmHg (95%CI = 1.4-4.6 mmHg) (Figure 3B). With PCS, mean reductions of SBP were 7.5 mmHg (95%CI = 3.3 to 11.7 mmHg) (Figure 3C) and of DBP were 5.1 mmHg (95%CI = 2.3-8.0 mmHg) (Figure 3D). There was evidence of substantial inter-study heterogeneity both in RCTs ($l^2 = 84\%$ and 62%, respectively, in SBP and DBP analyses).

We have also observed that CPAP had similar impact on the reduction of other catecholamines and their metabolites (Results not shown).

Risk of bias for the RCT assessed by random sequence generation (Figure 4A) showed a high risk in three studies since they were not double-blinded.^{20,21,23} All of the studies used intention-to-treat analysis or did not have any dropouts, to minimize risk of incomplete outcome data. All of the studies lacked information of selective reporting bias as they did not mention the study protocol. There were confounding factors in one study²¹ due to all of the patients undergoing surgery and in another²³ where patients were undergoing heart failure treatment.

Risk of bias for PCS was evaluated using the risk of bias in non-randomized studies of interventions (ROBINS-I) tool (Figure 4B). Bias due to confounding factors was seen in five studies, ^{36,39,39,44} but in only three was there a moderate risk of overall bias. ^{35,39,44} Patients in one study⁴⁴ had previously been receiving CPAP therapy for at least three months. Bias in selection of participants was seen in one study: There was no reimbursement for CPAP usage in Brazil.³⁵ Missing data were assessed to cause a moderate risk of bias in three studies.^{34,35,39} None of the studies had bias in measurement of outcomes. There was insufficient information from any of the studies for assessing bias in selection of the reported result.

3 | DISCUSSION

In this meta-analysis of data from over a thousand patients with OSA, it was observed that CPAP treatment significantly reduced

(A)	C	PAP		Co	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% C	I IV, Random, 95% Cl
Arias et al 2008	0.5	3.7	25	0	3.4	25	13.4%	0.50 [-1.47, 2.47	1 +
Casitas et al 2017	-3.4	6.5	32	-0.2	6.9	32	12.0%	-3.20 [-6.48, 0.08	j
Comondore et al 2009	-7.4	19.3	13	-3.8	19.3	13	2.9%	-3.60 [-18.44, 11.24]
De Araujo et al 2013	0	3.6	10	11	5	6	10.5%	-11.00 [-15.58, -6.42]
Drager et al 2007	-3	4.7	12	-0.5	4.5	12	11.6%	-2.50 [-6.18, 1.18	i] —•+
Kohler et al 2008	-2.8	2.8	51	0.6	4	51	13.9%	-3.40 [-4.74, -2.06] –
Lam et al 2010	-3	8.4	31	-2.1	8.5	30	10.9%	-0.90 [-5.14, 3.34]
Mills et al 2006	-4.7	4.1	17	-2.1	7.9	16	10.8%	-2.60 [-6.93, 1.73	1
Ruzicka et al 2020	-8	- 6	7	17.2	8.1	6	6.8%	-25.20 [-33.06, -17.34	
Ryan et al 2005	-4.6	7.7	10	0.6	8.3	8	7.2%	-5.20 [-12.67, 2.27	
Total (95% CI)			208			199	100.0%	-4.81 [-7.66, -1.97	」 ◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 14.	70; Chi ²	= 56.2	23, df =	9 (P < 0	0000.	1); I² =	84%		
Test for overall effect: Z =	3.32 (P =	= 0.00	09)						Favours [CPAP] Favours [Control]
(B)	с	PAP		С	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% C	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Arias et al 2008	-1	11.5	25	-1	10.4	25	5.2%	0.001-6.08.6.08	
Casitas et al 2017	-2.1	5.6	32	0.4	3.6	32	14.6%	-2.50 [-4.81, -0.19]	
Comondore et al 2009	-3.7	10.2	13	-3	10.2	13	3.4%	-0.70 [-8.54, 7.14]	
De Arauio et al 2013	0	2.8	10	5	2.8	6	12.6%	-5.00 [-7.832.17]	_ _
Drager et al 2007	-4	3.8	12	-3	3.7	12	12.0%	-1.00 [-4.00, 2.00]	
Kohler et al 2008	-2.6	1.9	51	1.6	1.7	51	20.1%	-4.20 [-4.90, -3.50]	•
Lam et al 2010	-3.8	6.7	31	-3.2	7.1	30	10.5%	-0.60 [-4.07, 2.87]	i
Mills et al 2006	-4.7	4.1	17	-0.7	4.5	16	12.2%	-4.00 [-6.94, -1.06]	i
Ruzicka et al 2020	-7.2	6.4	7	11	10	6	2.6%	-18.20 [-27.50, -8.90	i <u></u>
Ryan et al 2005	-4	4.5	10	-4.3	6	8	6.8%	0.30 [-4.71, 5.31]	i — —
Total (05% CI)			200			100	100.0%	2091457 130	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 3.1	4: Chi≧=	: 23.60	Zuo Adf=0	A (P = 0	005)	199 12 = 629	100.0%	-2.90 [-4.57, -1.59]	
Test for overall effect: Z =	3.67 (P	= 0.00	02)	5 (1 - 0.	000),	- 02	~~		-20 -10 0 10 20
	(,						Favours [CPAP] Favours [Control]
(C)									
Study or Subgroup	Pro	e-CPA	P	Pos	t-CPA	Total	Moinht	Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean 400.0	111	10(2)	100 F	10.4	10(21	operation	1V, Kandom, 95% CI	
Castro-Grattoni et al 2017	100.2	14.1	01 03	130.5	13.4	01 00	9.270	2.70[-0.29, 11.09] 1.00[-2.54]4.54]	
Donadio et al 2007	144	63	10	121.7	15.8	10	79%	24 00 [13 46 34 54]	
Ferrier et al 2008	132	16	19	123	21	19	7.0%	9.00 [-2.87, 20.87]	
Jennum et al 1989	147.5	17.5	14	122.4	16.1	14	6.6%	25.10 [12.64, 37.56]	>
Lemmer et al 2016	138	15.2	14	132.8	10.7	14	8.5%	5.20 [-4.54, 14.94]	
Minemura et al 1998	125	15	26	120	10	26	11.0%	5.00 [-1.93, 11.93]	
Myhill et al 2012	149	23	44	140	18	44	9.5%	9.00 [0.37, 17.63]	
Nicholl et al 2018	127	10	25	120	10	25	12.4%	7.00 [1.46, 12.54]	
Pinto et al 2013	124.7	12.6	67	122.9	11.9	67	13.7%	1.80 [-2.35, 5.95]	
Total (95% CI)			297			297	100.0%	7.54 [3.34, 11.73]	-
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 28.9	9; Chi ² =	31.57,	df = 9 (P = 0.00	102); I ^z	= 71%		_	-20 -10 0 10 20
Test for overall effect: $Z = 3$.52 (P = l	J.UUU4)						Favours [Pre-CPAP] Favours [Post-CPAP]
(D)	Pr	e-CPA	Р	Pos	t-CPA	р		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Bischof et al 2019	80.2	10.7	18	76.2	8.3	18	9.5%	4.00 [-2.26, 10.26]	
Castro-Grattoni et al 2017	77.2	7.7	60	77.2	7.7	60	15.1%	0.00 [-2.76, 2.76]	-+-
Donadio et al 2007	98	3.2	10	82	12.6	10	7.3%	16.00 [7.94, 24.06]	
Ferrier et al 2008	79	17	19	80	9	19	6.7%	-1.00 [-9.65, 7.65]	
Jennum et al 1989	83.8	11.6	14	71.2	9	14	7.7%	12.60 [4.91, 20.29]	
Lemmer et al 2016	83.3	10.2	14	80.2	8.4	14	8.6%	3.10 [-3.82, 10.02]	
winemura et al 1998 Mubili et el 2042	83.5	14.2	26	80	10.9	26	8.7%	3.50 [-3.38, 10.38]	
wyrini ei al 2012 Nicholl of al 2019	80	12	44 26	73	13	44 25	10.0%	7.00 [1.77, 12.23] 6.00 [0.46, 44, 64]	
Nicholi et al 2018 Pinto et al 2013	79 /9 77 0	1U 0.2	25 67	/3 70	10 9 A	25 67	10.0%	0.00 [0.46, 11.54] 4 80 M 90 7 001	
1 1110 61 61 2013	0	5.2	07	13	0.0	07	194.7.20	4.00 [1.00, 7.00]	
Total (95% CI)			297			297	100.0%	5.14 [2.29, 7.98]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 11.9	5; Chi ² =	24.82,	df = 9 ((P = 0.00)3); I ≈ =	64%		_	
Test for overall effect: Z = 3	.54 (P = 0	0.0004)						Favours [Pre-CPAP] Favours [Post-CPAP]

FIGURE 3 Changes in systolic (A) and diastolic (B) blood pressure in RCT and systolic (C) and diastolic (D) blood pressure in PCS by CPAP treatment



FIGURE 4 Risk of bias of RCTs evaluated by Cochrane Collaboration's tool (A) and risk of bias of PCS evaluated by ROBINS-I tool (B)

urinary or plasma catecholamines, and their metabolites, as well as blood pressure. This suggests that a reduction of OSA-related stress by CPAP decreases sympathetic activity (catecholamines) and consequently blood pressure. These findings lend further support for the intermediary role of sympathetic activity in the relationship between OSA-related stress and hypertension.

18

WILEY

The impact of CPAP both on catecholamines and blood pressure has previously been debatable due to inconsistent findings. Forest plots in this analysis revealed high inter-study heterogeneity which may be explained by a variation in study designs and patient characteristics. For example, inclusion criteria of baseline blood pressure or severity of OSA may differ and other factors such as antihypertensive medications and duration of CPAP treatment are also likely to vary between studies.

The reduction in blood pressure observed in this analysis is consistent with findings from previous meta-analyses on CPAP and blood pressure.^{7,8,49,50} Although the reduction of systolic blood pressure is relatively small (about 5-7.5 mmHg), this is clinically relevant in reducing stroke incidence.⁵¹

We found studies from existing literature reported a variety of methods of measurements, either urinary or plasma and catecholamines or their metabolites, but the majority reported urinary noradrenaline. The application of urinary catecholamines and metadrenalines or plasma metadrenaline depends on the degree of risk of an individual to have catecholamine-secreting tumor; urinary method which has high specificity (98%) is suggested for testing lowrisk patients, while plasma method (high sensitivity: 97%) is suggested for high-risk patients.⁵² Albeit, we found that CPAP had very similar effects on the reduction of all catecholamines and their metabolites.

In this study, we analyzed both RCTs and PCS and observed CPAP to have overall effects both on the reduction of catecholamine levels and blood pressure, which is consistent with observations made by Benson and Hartz.⁵³ We observed that reductions in blood pressure

appear to be higher in PCS than those in RCTs but not able to clarify the underlying reasons for these differences, but bias in selection of participants and CPAP treatment regimen may contribute.

It would be of interest to examine the effects of CPAP on heart rate in response to the reduction of catecholamines. However, only 15 studies reported heart rate at baseline (Appendix S1) and only one studied changes in heart rate in relation to CPAP treatment.²¹ Heart rate was therefore not included as an outcome measure in our study.

There are certain limitations identified in this study, as expected for a meta-analysis. These include different methods of measuring catecholamines and their metabolites.

There were also varying methods applied to control groups in the RCTs, and some received no treatment while other received sham CPAP treatment. This may introduce a risk of bias since sham CPAP treatment has been shown to have greater influences on the results than non-treatment,⁵⁴ which may underestimate the effect of CPAP on catecholamines and blood pressure.⁵⁵ Further bias may also arise from the inability to disguise sham CPAP from patients in RCTs; about two-thirds of patients are able to determine whether they were receiving sham CPAP or therapeutic CPAP. The numbers of participants also vary widely between studies, while CPAP treatment duration ranges from one night to one year which would contribute to significant inter-study heterogeneity. There was a low representation of female participants relative to the overall prevalence of women with OSA⁵⁶; thus, the findings from this study should be interpreted cautiously in the female population.

4 | CONCLUSIONS

CPAP treatment in patients with OSA reduces catecholamines levels and blood pressure suggesting sympathetic activity plays an

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

TSH created the study concept and design. TSH and GK-D reviewed the literature. MG performed data collection and data analysis under the guidance of TSH and GK-D. TSH wrote the first draft of the manuscript and edited subsequent versions. DF, CS, PS, and CHF commented on the manuscript. All authors checked, interpreted the results, and approved the final manuscript.

ORCID

Thang S. Han (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2570-0938

REFERENCES

- 1. Jehan S, Zizi F, Pandi-Perumal SR, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea and obesity: implications for public health. *Sleep Med Disord*. 2017;1(4):pii: 00019.
- Silverberg DF, Iaina A, Oksenberg A. Treating obstructive sleep apnea improves essential hypertension and quality of life. *Am Fam Physician*. 2002;65:229-236.
- Khayat R, Patt B, Hayes D. Obstructive sleep apnea: the new cardiovascular disease. Part I: obstructive sleep apnea and the pathogenesis of vascular disease. *Heart Fail Rev.* 2009;14:143-153.
- Marin JM, Carrizo SJ, Vicente E, Agusti AG. Long-term cardiovascular outcomes in men with obstructive sleep apnoea-hypopnoea with or without treatment with continuous positive airway pressure: an observational study. *Lancet*. 2005;365:1046-1053.
- Basoglu OK, Sarac F, Sarac S, Uluer H, Yilmaz C. Metabolic syndrome, insulin resistance, fibrinogen, homocysteine, leptin, and C-reactive protein in obese patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Ann Thorac Med.* 2011;6:120-125.
- Hoyos CM, Drager LF, Patel SR. OSA and cardiometabolic risk: What's the bottom line? *Respirology*. 2017;22:420-429.
- 7. Alajmi M, Mulgrew AT, Fox J, et al. Impact of continuous positive airway pressure therapy on blood pressure in patients with obstructive sleep apnea hypopnea: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Lung.* 2007;185:67-72.
- Bazzano LA, Khan Z, Reynolds K, He J. Effect of nocturnal nasal continuous positive airway pressure on blood pressure in obstructive sleep apnea. *Hypertension*. 2007;50:417-423.
- 9. Grunstein RR, Stewart DA, Lloyd H, Akinci M, Cheng N, Sullivan CE. Acute withdrawal of nasal CPAP in obstructive sleep apnea does not cause a rise in stress hormones. *Sleep*. 1996;19:774-782.
- Ziegler MG, Mills PJ, Loredo JS, Ancoli-Israel S, Dimsdale JE. Effect of continuous positive airway pressure and placebo treatment on sympathetic nervous activity in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. *Chest.* 2001;120:887-893.
- 11. Phillips CL, Yang Q, Williams A, et al. The effect of short-term withdrawal from continuous positive airway pressure therapy on sympathetic activity and markers of vascular inflammation in subjects with obstructive sleep apnoea. *J Sleep Res.* 2007;16:217-225.
- 12. http://www.prisma-statement.org/ [Accessed 15 April 2020].
- 13. https://training.cochrane.org/handbook/current/chapter-04 [Accessed 15 April 2020].

- Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ*. 2011;343:d5928.
- Sterne JA, Hernán MA, Reeves BC, et al. ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. *BMJ*. 2016;355:i4919.
- Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, et al. (Eds). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, 2nd edn. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons; 2019.
- 17. Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. *Stat Med*. 2002;21:1539-1558.
- 18. Arias MA, García-Río F, Alonso-Fernández A, et al. CPAP decreases plasma levels of soluble tumour necrosis factor- α receptor 1 in obstructive sleep apnoea. *Eur Respir J.* 2008;32:1009-1015.
- Casitas R, Martinez-Ceron E, Galera R, et al. The effect of treatment for sleep apnoea on determinants of blood pressure control. *Eur Respir J.* 2017;50(5):1701261.
- 20. Comondore VR, Cheema R, Fox J, et al. The impact of CPAP on cardiovascular biomarkers in minimally symptomatic patients with obstructive sleep apnea: a pilot feasibility randomized crossover trial. *Lung.* 2008;187:17-22.
- 21. de Araújo MT, Bissoli NS, Gouvêa SA, et al. CPAP therapy prevents increase in blood pressure after upper airway surgery for obstructive sleep apnoea. *Sleep Breath*. 2013;17:1289-1299.
- 22. Lam JC, Lam B, Yao TJ, et al. A randomised controlled trial of nasal continuous positive airway pressure on insulin sensitivity in obstructive sleep apnoea. *Eur Respir J.* 2010;35:138-145.
- 23. Mansfield DR, Gollogly NC, Kaye DM, Richardson M, Bergin P, Naughton MT. Controlled trial of continuous positive airway pressure in obstructive sleep apnea and heart failure. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2004;169:361-366.
- Mills PJ, Kennedy BP, Loredo JS, Dimsdale JE, Ziegler MG. Effects of nasal continuous positive airway pressure and oxygen supplementation on norepinephrine kinetics and cardiovascular responses in obstructive sleep apnea. J Appl Physiol. 2006;100:343-348.
- Phillips CL, Yee BJ, Marshall NS, Liu PY, Sullivan DR, Grunstein RR. Continuous positive airway pressure reduces postprandial lipidemia in obstructive sleep apnea: a randomized, placebo-controlled crossover trial. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2011;184:355-361.
- Ryan CM. Effect of continuous positive airway pressure on ventricular ectopy in heart failure patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. *Thorax*. 2005;60:781-785.
- Drager LF, Bortolotto LA, Figueiredo AC, Krieger EM, Lorenzi-Filho G. Effects of continuous positive airway pressure on early signs of atherosclerosis in obstructive sleep apnea. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2007;176:706-712.
- 28. Kohler M, Pepperell JC, Casadei B, et al. CPAP and measures of cardiovascular risk in males with OSAS. *Eur Respir J*. 2008;32:1488-1496.
- 29. Rubinsztajn R, Kumor M, Byśkiniewicz K, Bielicki P, Chazan R. The influence of 8 months therapy with nasal continues positive airway The influence of 8 months therapy with nasal continues positive airway pressure (nCPAP) on sympathetic activity and leptin serum concentration in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Sen.* 2006;6:64-73.
- Ruzicka M, Knoll G, Leenen FH, Leech J, Aaron SD, Hiremath S. . Effects of CPAP on blood pressure and sympathetic activity in patients with diabetes mellitus, chronic kidney disease, and resistant hypertension. *CJC Open.* 2020;2(4):258-264.
- Thunström E, Manhem K, Yucel-Lindberg T, Rosengren A, Lindberg C, Peker Y. Neuroendocrine and inflammatory responses to losartan and continuous positive airway pressure in patients with hypertension and obstructive sleep apnea. A randomized controlled trial. *Ann Am Thorac Soc.* 2016;13:2002-2011.

²⁰ WILEY

- Baruzzi A, Riva R, Cirignotta F, Zucconi M, Cappelli M, Lugaresi E. Atrial natriuretic peptide and catecholamines in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Sleep.* 1991;14:83-86.
- Bratel T, Wennlund A, Carlström K. Pituitary reactivity, androgens and catecholamines in obstructive sleep apnoea. Effects of continuous positive airway pressure treatment (CPAP). *Respir Med.* 1999;93:1-7.
- Castro-Grattoni AL, Torres G, Martínez-Alonso M, et al. Blood pressure response to CPAP treatment in subjects with obstructive sleep apnoea: the predictive value of 24-h ambulatory blood pressure monitoring. *Eur Respir J.* 2017;50(4):1700651.
- Feres MC, Cintra FD, Rizzi CF, et al. Evaluation and validation of a method for determining platelet catecholamine in patients with obstructive sleep apnea and arterial hypertension. *PLoS One*. 2014;9:e98407.
- Ferrier KA, Neill AM, O'Meeghan T, Richards M, Campbell AJ. Continuous positive airway pressure in heart failure patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. *Int Med J.* 2008;38:829-836.
- Kita H, Ohi M, Chin K, et al. The nocturnal secretion of cardiac natriuretic peptides during obstructive sleep apnoea and its response to therapy with nasal continuous positive airway pressure. J Sleep Res. 1998;7:199-207.
- Krieger J, Schmidt M, Sforza E, et al. Urinary excretion of guanosine 3': 5'-cyclic monophosphate during sleep in obstructive sleep apnoea patients with and without nasal continuous positive airway pressure treatment. *Clin Sci (Lond)*. 1989;76:31-37.
- Minemura H, Akashiba T, Yamamoto H, Akahoshi T, Kosaka N, Horie T. Acute effects of nasal continuous positive airway pressure on 24-hour blood pressure and catecholamines in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. *Intern Med.* 1998;37:1009-1013.
- 40. Myhill PC, Davis WA, Peters KE, Chubb SA, Hillman D, Davis TM. Effect of continuous positive airway pressure therapy on cardiovascular risk factors in patients with type 2 diabetes and obstructive sleep apnea. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2012;97:4212-4218.
- Pinto P, Bárbara C, Montserrat JM, et al. Effects of CPAP on nitrate and norepinephrine levels in severe and mild-moderate sleep apnea. BMC Pulm Med. 2013;13:13.
- Sukegawa M, Noda A, Sugiura T, et al. Assessment of continuous positive airway pressure treatment in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome using 24-hour urinary catecholamines. *Clin Cardiol.* 2005;28:519-522.
- 43. Tachikawa R, Ikeda K, Minami T, et al. Changes in energy metabolism after continuous positive airway pressure for obstructive sleep apnea. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2016;194:729-738.
- 44. Unterberg C, Lüthje L, Szych J, Vollmann D, Hasenfuß G, Andreas S. Atrial overdrive pacing compared to CPAP in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome. *Eur Heart J*. 2005;26:2568-2575.
- Donadio V, Liguori R, Vetrugno R, et al. Daytime sympathetic hyperactivity in OSAS is related to excessive daytime sleepiness. J Sleep Res. 2007;16:327-332.
- 46. Jennum P, Wildschiødtz G, Christensen NJ, Schwartz T. Blood pressure, catecholamines, and pancreatic polypeptide in obstructive sleep apnea with and without nasal continuous positive airway pressure (nCPAP) treatment. *Am J Hypertens*. 1989;2(11 Pt 1):847-852.
- Lemmer B, Scholtze J, Schmitt J. Circadian rhythms in blood pressure, heart rate, hormones, and on polysomnographic parameters in severe obstructive sleep apnea syndrome patients. *Blood Press Monit.* 2016;21:136-143.
- Nicholl DD, Hanly PJ, Zalucky AA, et al. CPAP therapy delays cardiovagal reactivation and decreases arterial renin-angiotensin system activity in humans with obstructive sleep apnea. Journal of clinical sleep medicine. J Clin Sleep Med. 2018;14:1509-1520.
- 49. Haentjens P, Van Meerhaeghe A, Moscariello A, et al. The impact of continuous positive airway pressure on blood pressure in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: evidence from a

meta-analysis of placebo-controlled randomized trials. *Arch Intern Med.* 2007;167:757-764.

- Montesi SB, Edwards BA, Malhotra A, Bakker JP. The effect of continuous positive airway pressure treatment on blood pressure: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Clin Sleep Med. 2012;8:587-596.
- Turnbull F. Effects of different blood-pressure lowering regimens on major cardiovascular events: results of prospectively-designed overviews of randomised trials. *Lancet.* 2003;362:1527-1535.
- Sawka AM, Jaeschke R, Singh RJ, Young WF Jr. A comparison of biochemical tests for pheochromocytoma: measurement of fractionated plasma metanephrines compared with the combination of 24-hour urinary metanephrines and catecholamines. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2003;88:553-558.
- 53. Benson K, Hartz AJ. A comparison of observational studies and randomized, controlled trials. *N Engl J Med.* 2000;342:1878-1886.
- Rodway GW, Weaver TE, Mancini C, et al. Evaluation of sham-CPAP as a placebo in CPAP intervention studies. *Sleep.* 2010;33:260-266.
- 55. Kushida CA, Nichols DA, Holmes TH, et al. Effects of continuous positive airway pressure on neurocognitive function in obstructive sleep apnea patients: The Apnea Positive Pressure Long-term Efficacy Study (APPLES). *Sleep.* 2012;35:1593-1602.
- Franklin K, Lindberg E. Obstructive sleep apnea is a common disorder in the population - a review on the epidemiology of sleep apnea. *J Thorac Dis.* 2015;7:1311-1322.
- 57. Bischof F, Egresits J, Schulz R, et al. Effects of continuous positive airway pressure therapy on daytime and nighttime arterial blood pressure in patients with severe obstructive sleep apnea and endothelial dysfunction. *Sleep Breath*. 2020;24(3):941-951.
- Burioka N, Koyanagi S, Endo M, et al. Clock gene dysfunction in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome. *Eur Respir J.* 2008;32:105-112.
- Grimpen F, Kanne P, Schulz E, Hagenah G, Hasenfuss G, Andreas S. Endothelin-1 plasma levels are not elevated in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea. *Eur Respir J.* 2000;15:320-325.
- Heitmann J, Ehlenz K, Penzel T, et al. Sympathetic activity is reduced by nCPAP in hypertensive obstructive sleep apnoea patients. *Eur Respir J.* 2000;15:320-325.
- Mokhlesi B, Grimaldi D, Beccuti G, Van Cauter E. Effect of one week of CPAP treatment of obstructive sleep apnoea on 24-hour profiles of glucose, insulin and counter-regulatory hormones in type 2 diabetes. *Diabet Obesity Metabol*. 2017;19:452-456.
- 62. Nakamura T, Chin K, Shimizu K, et al. Acute effect of nasal continuous positive airway pressure therapy on the systemic immunity of patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Sleep.* 2001;24:545-553.
- 63. Rodenstein DO, d'Odemont JP, Pieters T, Aubert-Tulkens G. Diurnal and nocturnal diuresis and natriuresis in obstructive sleep apnea: effects of nasal continuous positive airway pressure therapy. *Am Rev Respir Dis.* 1992;145:1367-1371.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.

How to cite this article: Green M, Ken-Dror G, Fluck D, et al. Meta-analysis of changes in the levels of catecholamines and blood pressure with continuous positive airway pressure therapy in obstructive sleep apnea. *J Clin*

Hypertens2021;23:12-20. https://doi.org/10.1111/jch.14061